Supplementary Material*

Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, et al. Update alert 11: epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 12 July 2022. [Epub ahead of print]. doi:10.7326/L22-0235

Supplement Table 1. Results of individual studies, risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection in HCWs

Supplement Table 2. Demographic characteristics and HCW role and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Supplement Table 3. Clinical characteristics and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Supplement Table 4. Exposure history and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Supplement Table 5. Education or training, environmental and physical factors, and infection control policies and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2

Supplement Table 6. Mask use and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Supplement Table 7. Infection prevention and control factors (other than masks) and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Supplement Table 8. Risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection in HCWs evidence map

References

^{*} This supplementary material was provided by the authors to give readers further details on their article. The material was not copyedited.

Supplement Table 1. Results of individual studies, risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection in HCWs

Study, Year	Totallo of marriadal otal	iles, risk factors for SANS-COV-2 infection in ficws	
(Reference)			
Study Design	Denulation		
Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Prospective cohort stud		Outcomes	Limitations
Abdelmoniem et al	203 asymptomatic ED	Age: Mean 31.7 (cases) vs 31.9 (non-cases) years, p=0.86	No control for
2020 (31)	HCWs	Sex	confounders; potential
2020 (31)	Mean age 32 y	• Female: 16.1% (16/99)	recall bias; 37%
Prospective cohort	• 49% female	• Male: 12.5% (13/104)	participation rate
1 Tospective contri	• 37% physician, 44%	o Female vs. male: OR 1.35 (0.61-2.97)*	participation rate
Egypt (Cairo); 1	nurse, 12% cleaning	HCW role	
university hospital; 1 to	and transportation,	Nurse: 16.8% (15/89)	
14 June 2020	7% administration	• Physician: 9.4% (7/74)	
1 1 04110 2020	86% contact with	Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.95 (0.75-5.05)*	
	confirmed or	Cleaner/transportation: 20.8% (5/24)	
	suspected COVID-19	Radiology/lab: 0% (0/2)	
	patient	1	
	• 13.9% SARS-CoV-2		
	infection (PCR)	Contact with COVID-19 infected patient (suspected or confirmed)	
	iniconori (i Giv)	• Contact: 12.5% (22/176)	
		No contact: 25.9% (7/27) Contact up to contact: OR 0.44 (0.45.4.09)*	
		o Contact vs. no contact: OR 0.41 (0.15-1.08)*	
		Proper hand hygiene practice	
		• Yes: 14.1% (27/192)	
		• No: 18.2% (2/11)	
		o Yes vs. no: OR 0.74 (0.15-3.59)* PPE use as recommended	
		• Yes: 14.3% (27/189)	
		• No: 14.3% (2/14)	
		o Yes vs. no: OR 1.00 (0.21-4.72) Comorbidities	
		• Yes: 8.0% (2/25)	
		• No: 15.2% (27/178)	
Dobro et al. 2004 (20)	660 HCWa	o Yes vs. no: OR 0.49 (0.11-2.18)	200/ portioination rate
Bahrs et al., 2021 (32)	660 HCWs	Sex	20% participation rate; no control for
Droopoetivo cobort	Median age 40 y	• Female: 2.7% (13/486)	no control for confounders
Prospective cohort	• 73.6% female	• Male: 2.9% (5/174)	comounaers
Germany (Jena); 1	• 32.6% nurses, 27.3%	o Female vs. male: OR 0.93 (0.33-2.64)*	
academic hospital	administration, 15.6%	HCW role	
19 May to 19 June 2020	physicians, 2.7%	Physician: 4.8% (5/103); reference	
19 May to 19 Julie 2020			<u> </u>

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	nursing assistants, 2.7% psychologists, 2.6% ergo therapists 2.7% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Nurse or care worker: 2.3% (5/215); adjusted OR 0.38 (0.10-1.34) Cleaner: 16.7% (1/6); adjusted OR 2.75 (0.25-29.93) Reception staff: 5.3% (1/19); adjusted OR 0.73 (0.07-7.32) Administrative staff: 3.3% (6/180); adjusted OR 0.51 (0.13-1.93) Other 0% (0/130) Work COVID-19 risk category High-risk (patient contact with confirmed COVID-19 patients or high number of suspected cases): 1.5% (2/137); reference Intermediate-risk (patient contact, no routine contact with confirmed or suspected COVID-19 patients): 2.9% (10/343); adjusted OR 1.97 (0.42-9.22) Low-risk (no patient contact): 3.3% (6/180); adjusted OR 2.10 (0.40-11.06) 	
Barrett et al 2020 (33) Prospective cohort United States (New Jersey); 2 hospitals; 24 March to 7 April 2020	546 HCWs with increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection (≥20 hours work/week, regular patient exposure and regular direct patient contact) • Mean age not reported; 55% age 20-39 y, 37% age 40-59 y, 8% ≥60 y • 65% female • 38% physician, 41% nurse, 21% other HCW role • 14% COVID-19 symptoms in last week • 7.3% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	HCW role Nurse: 11.1% (25/225) Physician (attending, resident or fellow): 2.4% (5/210) Nurse vs. physician: <i>OR 5.12 (1.92-13.65)*</i> Other HCW role: 9% (10/111) Emergency department: 8.2% (20/245) Medical floor: 4.9% (9/185) Operating room: 9.7% (13/134) ICU: 2.2% (4/192) COVID-19 unit: 7.9% (5/63) Other unit: 5.5% (14/255) PPE use (estimated proportion of patients for which PPE [gloves, gown and surgical or N95 mask] used) <<25%: 4.6% (4/87) 25-49%: 3.5% (2/59) 50-74%: 6.7% (4/61) 75-99%: 9.8% (4/41)100%: 10.5% (25/238) <<25% vs. 100%: OR 0.41 (0.14-1.22)* Average number of patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 per shift 0: 4.1% (6/148) >0-<5: 5.6% (9/162) ≥5: 10.6% (24/226) Missing data: 10% (1/10) 0 vs. ≥5 patients: <i>OR 0.36 (0.14-0.89)*</i> Estimated percentage of work-time spent in patients' rooms <<25%: 5.2% (11/210)	No control for confounders; potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes • 50-74%: 9.5% (11/116) • ≥75%: 11.6% (11/95)	Limitations
Blairon et al, 2020 (34)	1499 asymptomatic HCWs	 <25% vs. ≥75%: OR 0.42 (0.18-1.01)* Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection Nurse or other clinical HCW: 19.2% (113/588) 	48% participation rate;
Prospective cohort Belgium (Brussels); 4 hospitals; 25 May to 19 June 2020	 Median age 45 y 72.4% female 39% nurse or other HCW, 22% physician or dentist, 21% pharmacist or administrative staff, 9% maintenance or technical worker, 4% imaging or lab worker, 5% other/not specified 37% known exposure to COVID-19-infected patient 	 Physician or dentist: 11.8% (38/323) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.78 (1.20-2.65)* Pharmacist or administrative staff: 9.1% (29/320) Maintenance or technical worker: 16.4% (22/134) Imaging or lab worker: 6.6% (2/31) Inpatient unit: 24.3% (97/399) Intensive care unit: 13.2% (31/234) COVID emergency unit: 14.6% (30/205) Non-COVID emergency unit: 18.5% (34/184) Other non-COVID patient contact: 14.4% (159/1106) Other services with no patient contact: 10.5% (33/317) Performed bronchoscopies: 4.9% (3/61) Performed other high-risk procedure: 17.4% (37/213) 	confounders
Calcagno et al 2020 (35) Prospective cohort Italy (Turin); public hospitals and outpatient clinics; 17 April to 20 May 2020	5,444 HCWs (4,306 with HCW role data) • Mean age 49 y • 75% female • 16% physician, 42% nurse, 11% nurse assistant, 10% healthcare personnel, 0.7% pharmacist, 12% administrative employee, 5% technical personnel, 4% laboratory personnel, 0.3% veterinarian • 6.9% SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence	HCW role Nurse: 8.2% (150/1833) Physician: 7.8% (55/700) ○ Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.05 (0.76-1.44)* Nurse assistant: 9.2% (44/476) ○ Nurse or nurse assistant vs. physician: OR 1.08 (0.79-1.47) Healthcare personnel: 6.7% (23/343) Pharmacist: 3.4% (1/29) Administrative employee: 3.3% (18/539) Technical personnel: 6.9% (15/216) Laboratory personnel: 11.5% (18/157) Veterinarian: 0% (0/13)	No control for founders; 73% participation rate; incomplete data for 21% of participants

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Damluji et al 2021 (36) Prospective cohort United States (Northern Virginia); April to November 2020 (specific dates not reported)	Population Characteristics 1323 asymptomatic HCWs with 6-month followup data • Mean age not reported; 19% 20-29 y, 29% 30-39 y, 24% 40-49 y, 18% 50-59 y, 10% ≥60 y • 81% female • 58% White, 11% Black, 8% Hispanic, 22% other race/ethnicity • Specific HCW role not reported; 79% direct patient care role, 21% non-direct role • 4.8% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Outcomes Age 20-29 y: 7.9% (20/253); reference • 30-39 y: 4.7% (18/381); adjusted OR 0.48 (0.23-0.96) • 40-49 y: 3.7% (12/321); adjusted OR 0.44 (0.19-0.92) • 50-59 y: 3.8% (9/238); adjusted OR 0.41 (0.16-0.93) • ≥60 y: 3.8% (5/130); adjusted OR 0.40 (0.11-1.05) Sex • Female: 4.6% (49/1070); reference • Male: 5.9% (15/253); adjusted OR 1.52 (0.77-2.77) Race/ethnicity • White: 4.0% (31/775); reference • Black: 8.8% (13/147); adjusted OR 2.53 (1.20-5.05) • Hispanic: 7.7% (8/104); adjusted OR 1.37 (0.50-3.09) • Other race/ethnicity: 4.0% (12/297); adjusted OR 1.07 (0.50-2.07) HCW role • Direct patient care role: 4.9% (51/1051); reference • Non-direct role: 4.8% (13/272); adjusted OR 0.93 (0.45-1.73)	Limitations 27% dropout rate; potential selection bias; limited control of confounders
Dillner et al 2021 (37) Prospective cohort Sweden (Stockholm); university hospital; 23 April to 22 May 2020	12,928 HCWs • Mean age not reported; 12% <29 y, 25% 30-39 y, 25% 40-49 y, 24% 50-59 y, 15% ≥60 y • 79% female • HCW role not reported • 11.4% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Age	84% participation rate; no data on HCW role; no control for confounders
Doernberg et al 2022 (20) Prospective cohort United States (San Francisco, CA); July 2020 to January 2021	2435 HCWs • Mean age 40 y • 79% female • 61% White, 23% Asian, 2% Black, 6% mixed race, 6% other race; 12% Latinx	Incident cases Age • Per year: adjusted HR 1.0 (1.0-1.0) Sex • Female: 2.9% (55/1923); adjusted HR 0.83 (0.45-1.67)* • Male: 2.9%(15/512); reference Race • White: 2.7% (38/1411); reference	Participation rate 62% among those screened (number eligible not reported); potential recall bias; some risk estimates imprecise

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Added for Update Alert #11	44% nurse, 22% clinician, 5% research or administration, 5% support service, 3% laboratory worker, 3% care coordinator, 2% clinic manager, 1% respiratory or speech therapist, <1% environmental or food services 3.7% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) or seropositive	 Black: 5.4% (2/37); adjusted HR 2.6 (0.6-11.1) Asian: 3.0% (16/530); adjusted HR 1.2 (0.7-2.2) Mixed race: 2.2% (3/137); adjusted HR 1.67 (0.91-3.33)* Clinician: 2.0% (16/804); reference Exposure category Low risk COVID-19 exposure (ever providing direct care, being within 6 feet, or being within the environment of a COVID-19 patient or being involved with laboratory processing of samples from COVID-19 patients): 1.9% (13/680); adjusted HR 0.8 (0.4-1.7) High risk COVID-19 exposure (ever interacting with a COVID-19 patient without full PPE or having a breach in PPE [e.g., tears, accidental removal]): 3.9% (31/797); adjusted HR 2.5 (1.3-4.8) No exposure: 2.8% (26/942); reference Time spent in the healthcare workplace <10 hours/week: 3.3% (3/90); reference 10-20 hours/week: 3.6% (3/169); adjusted HR 1.9 (0.5-7.9) 21-30 hours/week: 3.4% (39/1148); adjusted HR 2.5 (0.7-8.9) 31-40 hours/week: 3.3% (16/688); adjusted HR 2.0 (0.6-6.6) Time spent providing direct patient-facing care: <10 hours/week: 1.0% (3/305); adjusted HR 0.5 (0.2-0.9) 10-20 hours/week: 2.5% (10/400); adjusted HR 0.6 (0.3-1.3) 21-30 hours/week: 3.5% (29/825); reference >40 hours/week: 3.5% (29/825); reference >40 hours/week: 1.9% (5/258); adjusted HR 0.9 (0.4-2.0) Direct contact with a person with COVID-19 outside of work Yes: 9.6% (17/176); adjusted HR 8.1 (3.8-17.5) No: 2.3% (53/2259); reference Avoiding exposure others when not at work All of the time: 2.5% (39/17107); reference Wearing a mask when not at work All of the time: 2.8% (49/1778); adjusted HR 0.8 (0.5-1.6) Most/some of the time or never: 3.3% (21/641); reference 	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates El Abdellati et al, 2021 (38) Prospective cohort Belgium (Antwerp); 1 psychiatric hospital; 3 to 17 June 2020	Population Characteristics 431 asymptomatic clinical and nonclinical HCW • Age and sex not reported for entire cohort • 42.0% nurses, 31.3% non-clinical staff, 13.0% paramedical staff, 6.0% psychologists, 4.2% physicians, 3.5% other • 3.2% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Outcomes Age • Mean 46.8 (cases) vs. 43.7 (non-cases) years, p>0.05 Sex • Female: 2.8% (10/355) • Male: 5.3% (4/76) • Female vs. male: OR 0.52 (0.16 to 1.71)*	Limitations No control for confounders; 47% participation rate
el-Boghdadly et al, 2020 (39)	1718 HCWs involved in tracheal intubation of patients	Laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 infection, self-isolation due to symptoms, or hospital admission with ≥1 symptom: • Physician: 10.7% (166/1558)	Criteria for laboratory- confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis not
Prospective cohort Multinational (17 countries); 503 hospitals; 23 March to 2 June 2020	 Mean age 41.5 years 40.3% female Physician: 90.7%, non-physician 9.3% Anaesthesia: 83.1%, intensive care medicine: 14.6%, emergency medicine: 1.6%, other specialty 0.6% 	 Non-physician: 11.2% (18/160) Anaesthesia: 10.5% (150/1428) Intensive care medicine: 12.4% (31/251) Emergency medicine: 10.7% (3/28) Other specialty: 0% (0/11) Unadjusted HR for laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 infection, self-isolation due to symptoms, or hospital admission with ≥1 symptom (95% CI) Age (year): 0.99 (0.98-1.01) Female vs. male: 1.44 (1.08-1.93) PPE WHO standard (yes vs. no), analyzed by procedure: 0.97 (0.63-1.51) Bag-mask ventilation (yes vs. no), analyzed by procedure: 0.81 (0.54-1.23) Supraglottic airway device (yes vs. no), analyzed by procedure: 1.40 (0.66-2.97) Adjusted HR (95% CI) Female vs. male: 1.36 (1.01-1.82) 	reported; included outcomes based on symptoms without laboratory confirmation
Ferreira et al 2021 (40)	1669 asymptomatic HCWs	Infection (PCR) HCW role	Participation rate ~14%; no control for
Prospective cohort	Infection (PCR; n=1669) ■ Mean age 40 y	• Nurse: 1.2% (8/655)	confounders; some

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Canada (Toronto); 1 multisite acute and long-term care system; 17 April to 29 May 2020	Population Characteristics 79% female 39% nurse, 9% physician, 27% allied health, 24% other role, 1% role not reported 0.5% SARS-CoV-2 infection Seropositive (n=996) Mean age 41 y 78% female 36% nurse, 10% physician, 26% allied health, 27% other role, 0.1% role not reported	Outcomes • Physician: 0% (0/152)	Limitations missing data; imprecise estimates
Grant et al, 2020 (41) Prospective cohort UK (London); multicenter health trust; March to May 2020	1.4% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive 2004 HCWs Mean age 40 y % female not reported 67% clinical setting with prolonged direct patient contact, 9.8% clinical setting with less or no patient contact, 17.0% nonclinical setting and minimal/no patient contact, <1.0% working from home	 Direct care vs. no direct care: OR 0.20 (0.03-1.53)* Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection seropositivity Clinical setting with prolonged direct patient contact: 34.7% (467/1345) Clinical setting with less/no patient contact: 30.0% (59/197) Nonclinical setting with prolonged direct patient contact: 25.0% (27/108) Nonclinical setting with no/minimal patient contact: 22.6% (77/341) Working from home: 30.8% (4/13) COVID-19 ward with CPAP: 42.0% (84/200) COVID-19 ward, without CPAP (excluding ER): 41.3% (175/424) Operating theater: 33.6% (43/128) Non-COVID-19 ward: 28.9% (82/284) Any other non-ward environment: 26.0% (206/792) ICU: 25.0% (44/176) 	Limited information on demographic characteristics of HCWs; participation rate not reported
Hall et al 2021 (42) Prospective cohort	 25,661 HCWs Median age 46 y 84% female 87% white, 7% mixed race, 2% Asian, 2% 	Sex • Female: 31.6% (6840/21617); <i>OR 0.84 (0.78-0.90)*</i> • Male: 35.5% (1425/4010); reference • Other: 38.2% (13/34); OR 1.12 (0.56-2.25)* Race/ethnicity	Potential recall bias; no control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates United Kingdom (throughout England); NHS publicly funded hospitals; 18 June to 31 December 2020	Population Characteristics Black, 1% Chinese, 0.6% other race/ethnicity, 0.2% declined 42% nurse of healthcare assistant, 15% administration, 11% physician, 6% specialist, 4% healthcare scientist, 2% midwife, 2% pharmacist, 1% estates, porters or security 32.3% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR), seropositivity or both	 White: 31.1% (6969/22404); reference Mixed race: 40.8% (724/1773); OR 1.53 (1.38-1.69)* Asian: 45.0% (236/525); OR 1.81 (1.52-2.15)* Black: 32.5% (134/412); OR 1.07 (0.87-1.31)* Chinese: 42.5% (147/346); OR 1.64 (1.32-2.03)* Other ethnic group: 33.8% (51/151); OR 1.13 (0.81-1.58)* Not reported: 34.0% (17/50); OR 1.14 (0.64-2.05)* HCW role Nurse or healthcare assistant: 34.4% (3751/10891); OR 0.94 (0.86-1.02)* Physician: 35.9% (999/2783); reference Administration: 27.9% (1090/3903); OR 0.69 (0.62-0.77)* Specialist: 31.6% (489/1548); OR 0.82 (0.72-0.94)* Scientist: 25.2% (225/894); OR 0.60 (0.51-0.71)* Midwife: 29.1% (189/649); OR 0.73 (0.61-0.88)* Pharmacist: 28.7% (112/390); OR 0.72 (0.57-0.91)* Estates, porters or security: 37.1% (95/256); OR 1.05 (0.81-1.37)* Other role: 30.5% (1328/4347); OR 0.79 (0.71-0.87)* Exposure Direct patient contact: 22.9% (7280/22112); OR 1.25 (1.16-1.36)* None: 32.4% (6195/19,125); reference Chronic non-respiratory conditions: 31.4% (1019/3248); OR 0.95 (0.88-1.03)* Chronic respiratory conditions: 31.4% (1019/3248); OR 0.95 (0.88-1.03)* Chronic non-respiratory conditions: 33.1% (909/2746); OR 1.03 (0.95-1.12)* Immunosuppression: 28.6% (155/542); OR 0.84 (0.69-1.01)* Index of multiple deprivation 5 (least deprived): 32.3% (1968/6094); reference 4: 31.3% (1880/6005); OR 0.96 (0.89-1.03)* 3: 31.2% (1823/5842); OR 0.95 (0.88-1.03)* 2: 32.2% (1469/4563); OR 1.00 (0.92-1.08)* 1 (most deprived): 36.1% (1054/2916); OR 1.19 (1.08-1.30)* Antibody status (incidence [per 1000 participants) of reinfection [antibody positive cohort] vs. new infection [antibody negative cohort]) Symptomatic: 6.0 vs. 64.8 All events: 18.7 vs. 98.0 Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection (seropositive or PCR) 	Limitations Limited information on
(43)	Median age 34 7	• Age <30: 54% (31/57)	demographic

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Prospective cohort UK (London); 1 acute care hospital; 26 March to 8 April 2020	 61% female 36% physician, 53% nurse or other front-line clinical staff, 11% other 17% ER, 18% acute medical admissions, 22% ICU, 21% hematology, 22% other 	 Age 30-39: 49% (34/70) Age 40-49: 30% (12/30) Age ≥50: 33% (10/30) Female: 40% (49/122) Male: 50% (38/76) Female vs. male: OR 0.67 (0.38-1.20)* Physician: 44% (32/72) Nurse or other frontline clinical staff: 43% (46/106) Nurse or other frontline clinical staff vs. physician: OR 0.96 (0.52-1.75)* Other healthcare role: 41% (9/22) ER: 38% (13/34) Acute medical admissions: 51% (19/37) ICU: 37% (16/43) Hematology: 48% (19/40) Other healthcare setting: 4% (18/43) 	characteristics of HCWs; selection of HCWs for testing unclear; no control for confounders
Howard-Anderson et al 2022 (23) Prospective cohort United States (Atlanta, GA); academic health system comprised of 4 hospitals and associated clinics; May to December 2020 Added for Update Alert #11	Mean age not reported; 57% <40 y 77% female 73% White, 11% Black, 10% Asian,7% other/no answer 40% nurse, 26% physician, 24% other HCW, 10% administration 9.5% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Age	Unclear participation rate; imprecise risk estimates; potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Kassem et al, 2020 (44) Prospective cohort Egypt; gastroenterological unit of 1 hospital; 1 to 14 June 2020	74 HCWs in gastroenterology service with no reported household contact with infected persons • Median age 42 y • 59.5% female • 40.5% physician, 37.8% nurses; 12.2% cleaning and transportation; 9.5% administration	 ≤50% of shift: 8.6% (14/163); reference Close contact with a SARS-CoV-2 infected person Close contact in the community (yes vs. no): 13.3% (4/30) vs. 8.1% (22/271); adjusted OR 2.1 (0.5-6.9) Close contact with co-worker (yes vs. no): 4.8% (2/42) vs. 9.7% (24/259); adjusted OR 0.5 (0.1-1.8) PPE Used a mask all/nearly all the time: (17/227); reference Used a mask less than nearly all the time: (4/18); adjusted OR 4.0 (0.7-19.5) At least one positive test for SARS-CoV-2 infection (RT- PCR or RST) Female: 18.2% (8/44) Male: 26.7% (8/30) Female vs. male: OR 0.61 (0.20-1.86)* Physicians: 13.3% (4/30) Nurses: 21.4% (6/28) Nurse vs. physician: OR 91.77 (0.44-7.09)* Patient transporters/cleaners: 33.3% (3/9) Administrative: 42.9% (3/7) Comorbidities: 18.8% (3/16) Exposure to suspected or confirmed COVID-19 during work in last 2 weeks: 75% (12/16) Proper hand hygiene: 93.8% (15/16) PPE when indicted: Always 93.8% (15/16) vs. occasionally 6.2% (1/16) 	59% participation rate; no control for confounders
Leeds et al, 2020 (45) Prospective cohort UK (England); multicenter, including 2 main hospitals and 6 community hospitals; 1 to 28 April 2020	991 symptomatic HCWs • Mean age 43 y • 85% female 59% nurse, 7% allied health professional, 3% clinical support staff, 5% nonclinical staff (HCW role n=738)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 infection (repeat PCR over 4 weeks): • Age: 1.01 (0.99-1.03) • Male: 1.03 (0.59-1.79) • Frontline worker: 0.79 (0.53-1.17) • Black, Asian, and minority ethnic: 1.08 (0.56-2.04)	Incomplete data on HCW role at baseline
Lepak et al 2021 (46) Prospective cohort	7015 HCWs with symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection • Mean age, sex not reported	 HCW role Physician: 4.0% (36/910); reference Nurse, medical assistant or therapist: 8.5% (252/2968); adjusted OR 1.79 (1.23-2.60) 	Participation rate not reported; potential recall bias; no control for PPE use

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates United States (Midwest); health system comprised of 4 hospitals and >80 outpatient clinics; 25 March to 11 November 2020	Population Characteristics 13% physician, 42% nurse, medical assistant or therapist, 5% medical or nursing student, 2% pharmacist, 12% other role with direct patient care, 25% other role with no direct patient care 9% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	 Outcomes Medical or nursing student: 9.1% (33/361); adjusted OR 2.76 (1.63-4.67) Pharmacist: 12.6% (22/175); adjusted OR 3.06 (1.69-5.52) Other HCW role with direct patient care: 10.0% (86/862); adjusted OR 2.18 (1.41-3.35) Other HCW role with no direct patient care: 11.2% (195/1739); adjusted OR 2.21 (1.49-3.29) Exposure Inpatient unit: 8.9% (278/3120); reference Ambulatory unit: 8.2% (261/3196); adjusted OR 0.84 (0.69-1.04) Work from home: 13.1% (67/511); adjusted OR 0.80 (0.56-1.15) Affiliated health facility: 9.6% (18/188); adjusted OR 0.86 (0.47-1.57) Reported contact with patients with respiratory illness (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 0.79 (0.58-1.06) Reported contact with non-masked health care visitor (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 0.75 (0.53 to 1.04) Reported contact with family/community member with known COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 4.03 (3.13-5.18) Reported contact with family/community member with suspected COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.65 (1.24-2.19) Duration of contact Unknown/no direct contact: 6.9% (245/3531); reference <10 minutes: 5.2% (37/704); adjusted OR 0.79 (0.54-1.17) 10-20 minutes: 5.5% (19/345); adjusted OR 0.62 (0.37-1.05) >20 minutes: 13.3% (323/2435); adjusted OR 1.25 (0.97-1.59) 	Limitations
Lumley et al, 2020 et al (47) Prospective cohort UK (Oxfordshire); 4 teaching hospitals; 27 March to 30 November 2020	 12541 HCWs Median age 38 y 74% female; 0.2% other 72% white, 16% Asian, 4% Black, 1% Chinese, 6 other race/ethnicity 36% nurse, 15% physician, 12% administrative staff, 5% medical or nursing student, 4% 	SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity at baseline Age ■ Median 38 years (positive at baseline) vs. 38-41 years (not positive at baseline) Sex ■ Female: 9.0% (835/9263) ■ Male: 10.4% (339/3259) □ Female vs. male: OR 0.85 (0.75-0.97)* Race/ethnicity ■ White: 7.7% (703/9074); reference ■ Asian: 14.2% (287/2026); OR 1.97 (1.70-2.28)* ■ Black: 15.9% (81/510); OR 2.25 (1.75-2.89)* ■ Chinese: 6.9% (9/130); OR 0.89 (0.45-1.75)*	No control for confounders (for baseline seropositivity); unclear participation rate

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	laboratory staff, 3% therapist, 3% porter or domestic worker, 2% security or catering, 20% other role 9.4% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	 Other: 12.1% (97/801) HCW role Nurse or health care assistant: 12.3% (555/4528) Physician: 9.9% (184/1860) Nurse or health care assistant vs. physician: OR 1.27 (1.07-1.52)* Administrative staff: 6.1% (95/1557) Medical or nursing student: 5.8% (36/620) Laboratory staff: 8.0% (36/452) Physical, occupational or speech therapist: 9.6% (37/386(Porter or domestic worker: 15.4% (58/377) Security, estates, or catering staff: 8.5% (23/271) Other: 6.1% (153/2491) SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion incidence Sex Male vs. female: adjusted IRR 1.03 (0.77-1.39) Baseline antibody status (vs. negative) Anti-spike IgG positive: adjusted IRR 0.3 (0.03-0.44) Anti-nucleocapsid IgG positive: adjusted IRR 0.11 (0.03-0.45) Both anti-spike and anti-nucleocapsid IgG positive: adjusted IRR 0.06 (0.01-0.46) 	
Lumley et al 2022 (26) Extension of Lumley 2020 (47) Prospective cohort UK (Oxfordshire); 4 teaching hospitals and associated clinics; 27 March 2020 to 28 February 2021	 13109 HCWs Median age 39 y 74% female 27% nurse, 14% physician, 13% administration, 10% healthcare assistant 5.4% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) 	Age Per 10-year increase: adjusted IRR 0.99 (0.99-1.00) Sex Female vs. male: 5.6% (544/9765) vs. 5.1% (169/3321); adjusted IRR 0.93 (0.75-1.11)* Race/ethnicity White: 4.8% (455/9411); reference Asian: 7.9% (170/2157); adjusted IRR 1.59 (1.32-1.91) Black: 6.4% (34/532); adjusted IRR 1.25 (0.88-1.78) Other race/ethnicity: 5.4% (55/1009); adjusted IRR 1.23 (0.93-1.62) HCW role Nurse: 6.9% (248/3579); adjusted IRR 1.49 (1.21-1.83)	Unclear participation rate; limited control for exposures
Added for Update Alert #11		 Junior physician: 4.4% (42/942); adjusted IRR 1.10 (0.78-1.54) Senior physician (consultant): 2.5% (21/834); adjusted IRR 0.60 (0.38-0.95) Healthcare assistant: 8.2% (103/1263); adjusted IRR 1.82 (1.42-2.34) Physical, occupational or speech/language therapist: 4.7% (20/419); adjusted IRR 1.17 (0.73-1.88) 	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 Porter or domestic staff: 5.6% (19/338); adjusted IRR 1.37 (0.84-2.22) Administration: 4.8% (82/1688); adjusted IRR 1.18 (0.89-1.57) Other role: 4.4% (179/4046); reference Exposure Working in a non-ICU COVID-19 ward: 3.4% (37/1090); adjusted IRR 1.53 (1.21-1.94) Not working in a COVID-19 ward: 1.7% (290/12019); reference Direct patient care: 5.7% (580/10221); adjusted IRR 1.13 (0.90-1.42) No direct patient care: 4.6% (134/2888); reference Vaccination status Unvaccinated, seronegative: 6.0% (635/10513); reference Unvaccinated, seropositive: 0.9% (12/1273); adjusted IRR 0.15 (0.08-0.26) Vaccinated once, previously seronegative: 0.6% (64/9711); adjusted IRR 0.36 (0.25-0.50) Vaccinated twice, previously seronegative: 0.2% (2/940); adjusted IRR 0.10 (0.02-0.38) Vaccinated, previously seropositive: 0.1% (1/974); adjusted IRR 0.04 (0.01-0.27) 	
Maltezou et al, 2020 (48) Prospective cohort Greece; HCWs throughout Greece; 13 March to 3 May 2020	3,398 HCWs exposed to a patient or colleague with COVID-19 • Mean age 45 y • 71% female • 32% physician, 50% nurse, 18% other HCW role • 47% low-risk setting, 23% moderate-risk setting, 30% highrisk setting	Unadjusted OR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 infection High- vs. low-risk exposure: 5.26 (2.98-9.26)* Medium- vs. low-risk exposure: 1.05 (0.45-2.45)* Adjusted OR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 infection Male vs female: 1.79 (1.03-3.13) Administrative role vs other role: 3.34 (1.49-7.49) High-risk exposure vs low or moderate risk exposure: 3.58 (1.94-6.58) Adjusted OR (95% CI) for COVID-19 diagnosis Male vs female: 1.82 (1.04-3.20) Administrative role vs other role: 3.06 (1.43-6.55) High-risk exposure vs low or moderate risk exposure: 3.06 (1.43-6.55)	Unvalidated method used to categorize risk exposure (based on use of mask by patient and use of PPE by HCW); limited control for confounders
Milazzo et al 2021 (49) Prospective cohort Italy (Milan) University hospital; 21 February to 27 May 2020	 679 HCWs Median age 45 y 76% female 30% physician, 36% nurse, 11% other healthcare service worker; 13% health services assistants 	Age Per 1 year or more of increase: adjusted OR 0.99 (0.95-1.03) Sex Female: 4.0% (18/452) Male: 3.3% (5/153) Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.27 (0.41-3.85) HCW role Nurse: 2.8% (6/214)	44% participation rate; potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Mutambudzi et al, 2020 (50) Prospective cohort United Kingdom; HCWs throughout the United Kingdom; 16 March to 3 May 2020	Population Characteristics (including cleaning); 10% socio- administrative 31% direct contact with infected patients 7% direct contact with infected relatives 62% trained in PPE use 4.3% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive 11,353 HCWs participating in UK Biobank Age, sex of HCWs not reported 16% healthcare professionals, 12% medical support staff, 71% health associate professionals 0.7% (76/10,718) diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection	Physician: 4.1% (8/194) Physician: 4.1% (8/194) Nurse vs. physician: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.22-2.27) Healthcare service worker: 1.6% (1/63) Health service assistant: 5.3% (4/76) Socio-administrative staff: 6.9% (4/58) Exposure Contact with infected relative: 14.9% (10/67) No contact with infected relative: 2.4% (13/538) Contact vs. no contact with infected relative: adjusted OR 8.12 (2.95-22.34) Contact with infected patient (in previous 30 days): 3.5% (14/401) No contact with infected patient: 4.4% (9/204) Contact vs. no contact with infected patient: adjusted OR 1.19 (0.37-3.79) PPE Trained in PPE use: 3.4% (16/469) Not trained in PPE use: 5.1% (7/136) Trained vs. not trained: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.25-2.13) High/very high confidence with PPE use: 4.1% (18/434) Low/medium confidence with PPE use: 2.9% (5/171) High/very high vs. low/medium confidence: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.31-2.98) Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection Healthcare professionals: 0.7% (12/1,779) Medical support staff: 0.8% (10/1,286) Health associate professionals: 0.7% (54/7,653)	Not peer reviewed No control for confounders; restricted to participants in UK Biobank study
Nguyen et al, 2020 (51) Prospective cohort	99,795 frontline HCWsMean age, 42 years	HR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 infection Reported inadequate PPE availability vs. adequate: 1.24 (1.04-1.47) No exposure to COVID-19 patients: 1.54 (1.12-2.11)	Potential selection bias, limited measurement of

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
United Kingdom and United States; Start March 24 or 29, 2020, end date not reported	 83% female HCW role/position not reported 4.0% 30-day incidence of SARS- CoV-2 infection 	 Exposure to suspected COVID-19 patients: 1.88 (1.25-2.84) Exposure to documented COVID-19 patients: 5.98 (4.61-7.77) Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 infection Reported inadequate PPE availability vs. adequate: 1.23 (1.03-1.46) No exposure to COVID-19 patients: 1.53 (1.11-2.09) Exposure to suspected COVID-19 patients: 1.84 (1.22-2.78) Exposure to documented COVID-19 patients: 5.94 (4.57-7.72) Inpatient HCW (reference general population): 24.3 (21.8-27.1) Nursing homes: 16.2 (13.4-19.7) Outpatient clinics in hospital: 11.2 (8.44-14.9) Home health sites: 7.86 (5.63-11.0) Ambulatory clinics: 6.94 (5.12-9.41) Other healthcare setting: 9.52 (7.49-12.1) 	exposures/risk factors, SARS-CoV-2 infection status based on self- report
Rashid-Abdi et al 2020 (52) Prospective cohort Sweden (Vasteras); 1 hospital; 4 May to 19 August 2020	131 infectious disease HCWs • Mean age 39 y • 84% female • 36% nurse, 19% physician, 40% nurse assistant, 5% other role • 19.1% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Age: Mean 38 (cases) vs. 44 (non-cases) years, p not reported Sex • Female: 22.7% (25/110) • Male: 0% (0/21) • Female vs. male: OR 12.82 (0.75-219.19)* HCW role • Nurse: 21.3% (10/47) • Nurse assistant: 24.5% (13/53) • Physician: 8.0% (2/25) • Nurse vs. physician: OR 3.11 (0.62-15.47)* • Nurse or nurse assistant vs. physician: OR 3.44 (0.75-15.68)* • Other role: 0% (0/6) Exposure to COVID-19 • At work: 20.0% (25/125) • Outside of work: 10.5% (2/19) • At work vs. outside of work: OR 16.88 (3.79-75.19)* • None: 0% (0/5) HCW role	No control for confounders; potential recall bias; participation rate unclear
Prospective cohort Denmark (Copenhagen); hospital otorhinolaryngology	 Mean age not reported % female not reported 30% nurse, 23% physician, 5% secretary, 23% 	HCW role Infection (PCR) ■ Nurse: 1% (1/104) ■ Physician: 5% (4/80) □ Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.18 (0.02-1.68)* ■ Secretary: 0% (0/18) ■ Theatre personnel: 0% (0/81) ■ Cleaner: 0% (0/8)	data not reported; no control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates department; 27 March to 3 June 2020 Schmidt et al, 2020	Population Characteristics theatre personnel, 2% cleaner, 9% porter, 7% other role 2.0% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR); 4.3% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Outcomes Porter: 7% (2/31) Other role: 0% (0/25) Seropositive Nurse: 2% (2/104) Physician: 4% (3/80) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.50 (0.08-3.09)* Secretary: 6% (1/18) Theatre personnel: 5% (4/81) Cleaner: 0% (0/8) Porter: 13% (4/31) Other role: 4% (1/25) Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Limitations 73% participation rate;
(54)	neurological center	• 18-29 y: 1.9% (1/55)	no control for
	Mean age not	• 30-49 y: 4.8% (7/154)	confounders
Prospective cohort	reported; 14% age	• 50-64 y: 1.8% (3/170)	
Germany; 1 neurologic hospital; 20 to 30 March 2020	18-29 y, 40% age 30-49 y, 44% age 50-64 y, 2% age ≥65 y • 80% female • 9% physician, 40% nurse, 21% therapist, 30% other healthcare role	 ≥65 y: 0% (0/6) Male: 5.2% (4/77) Female: 2.3% (7/308) Physician: 8.8% (3/34) Nurse: 0% (0/154) Therapist: 3.8% (3/80) Other role: 4.3% (5/117) Unadjusted OR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Female vs. male: 0.42 (0.12-1.49)* Nurse vs. physician: 0.03 (0.002-0.58)* 	Doubie in chiene note not
Science et al 2021	1062 HCWs	Age	Participation rate not
(55)	Median age 40 y78% female	Per year of increase: adjusted OR 0.98 (0.96-0.99) Sex	reported; potential selection and recall
Prospective cohort	69% White, 16% Asian, 3% Middle	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.86 (0.72-4.78) Race/ethnicity	bias; limited control of confounders
Canada (Ontario); 4 hospitals; 1 April to 13 November 2020	Eastern, 2% Black, 1% Hispanic, 0.3% Inuit, First Nations or Metis, 5% other	 Non-White race vs. White race: adjusted OR 1.26 (0.46-3.52) Exposure Direct care of patients with COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.33 (0.72-2.47) 	
	race/ethnicity, 4% unknown or	Symptomatic household exposure (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 7.15 (5.42-9.41)	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	unspecified race/ethnicity 22% physician, 42% nurse, 1% nurse practitioner, 15% allied health worker, 5% respiratory therapist, 7% auxiliary health worker, 11% other role 5.4% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive		
Sims et al 2020 (56) Prospective cohort United States (Michigan); health system including 8 hospitals; 13 April to 28 May 2020	20,614 HCWs • Mean age 43 y • 77% female • 40% nurse/nursing support, 19% physician • 8.8% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Sex	Participation rate 48%; potential recall bias; limited control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Qutoomes	Limitations
Dales	Citatacteristics	Outcomes Direct patient contact: 9.5% (1,430/15,058)	Lillitations
		 No direct patient contact: 6.9% (388/5,584) 	
		o Direct vs. no direct patient contact: <i>OR 1.41 (1.25-1.58)</i> *	
		Mask use	
		N95: 10.3% (532/5,165)	
		• PAPR: 12.3% (8/65)	
		• Surgical: 13.1% (171/1,305)	
		Other mask: 14.2% (11/77)	
		No mask: 17.5% (369/2,108)	
		 Any mask vs. no mask: OR 0.58 (0.50-0.66)* 	
		 N95 or surgical mask vs. no mask: OR 0.57 (0.50-0.66)* 	
		 N95 vs. no mask: OR 0.54 (0.47-0.62)* 	
		 Surgical mask vs. no mask: OR 0.71 (0.58-0.86)* 	
		 N95 vs. surgical mask: OR 0.76 (0.63-0.92)* 	
		Diabetes	
		• Yes: 5.8% (105/912)	
		• No: 8.7% (1713/19,712)	
		o Diabetes (yes vs. no): OR 1.37 (1.11-1.69) *	
		Cardiovascular disease	
		• Yes: 1.9% (33/395)	
		• No: 8.8% (1785/20,219	
		o Cardiovascular disease (yes vs. no): OR 0.94 (0.66-1.35)*	
		Chronic lung disease	
		• Yes: 8.2% (58/710)	
		• No: 8.8% (1760/19,904)	
		 Chronic lung disease (yes vs. no): OR 0.92 (0.70-1.20)* Chronic kidney disease 	
		• Yes: 6.4% (5/78)	
		No: 8.8% (1813/20,536)	
		 No. 8.8% (1813/20,336) Chronic kidney disease (yes vs. no): OR 0.71 (0.29-1.75)* 	
		Hypertension	
		• Yes: 9.3% (284/3064)	
		No: 8.7% (254/3004) No: 8.7% (1534/17,550)	
		Hypertension (yes vs. no): OR 1.07 (0.93-1.22)*	
		Immunosuppressed	
		• Yes: 6.5% (25/383)	
		No: 8.9% (1793/20,231)	
		o Immunosuppressed (yes vs. no): OR 0.72 (0.48-1.08)*	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Trieu et al, 2020 (57) Prospective cohort Norway (Bergen); 3 hospitals; testing began 6 March 2020 Villanueva et al, 2020 (58) Prospective cohort The Philippines	Population Characteristics 607 HCWs • Median age 39 years • 77% female • 29% physician, 47% nurse; 24% other • 5.3% SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence 324 HCWs with known exposure of signs or symptoms • Mean age, 36 y • 67% female	Outcomes Age	Limitations No control for confounders 27% participation rate; no control for confounders
	tech, 4% lab, 1% clerk and other	 Female vs. male: OR 1.51 (0.30-7.63)* Physician: 2.7% (1/37) Nurse: 2.0% (4/203) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.72 (0.08-6.66)* Lab personnel: 25% (3/12) Radiology tech, clerk, other: 0% High risk: 4.1% (4/97) Low risk: 1.8% (4/227) 	
		Of 8 positive tests Care of COVID-19 patient: 12.5% (1/8) Community/worker residence exposure: 87.5% (7/8) At least one underlying disease: 100% (8/8)	
Vimercati et al 2021 (59)	2407 asymptomatic HCWs with close contact with COVID-19-positive	Infection (PCR) Age • Mean age, positive vs. negative: 43.2 y vs. 45.7 y; OR 0.98 (0.95-1.02)	Participation rate unclear; limited control for exposures and no
Prospective cohort	patients	Sex	control for PPE use

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Italy (Bari); 1 hospital; 8 April 2020 to 7 June 2020	Population Characteristics • Mean age 46 y • 56% female • 34% nurse, 35% physician, 14% support operator, 2% healthcare professions, 15% other role	Outcomes • Female: 0.9% (12/1360) • Male: 0.6% (6/1047) • Female vs. male: OR 1.54 (0.58-4.13)* HCW role: • Nurse: 0.5% (4/809) • Physician: 1.6% (13/831) • Nurse vs. physician: <i>OR 0.31 (0.10-0.96)</i> * • Support operator: 0.3% (1/347)	Limitations
	5% COVID units; 4% ER; 15% ICU/ anesthesiology; 3% ID; 57% other clinical units; 16% non-clinical units Italian and CDC Biological Occupational Risk level: 27% high risk, 61% medium risk, 12% low risk 0.75% SARS-CoV-2 infection, PCR; 11.5% IgM seropositive; 2.4% IgG seropositive	 Support operation 0.3% (1/347) Healthcare professions: 0% (0/53) Other role: 0% (0/367) Hospital unit: COVID units: 0% (0/118) ER: 0.9% (1/107) ICU/anesthesiology: 1.1% (4/357) ID: 0% (0/70) Other clinical units: 0.9% (13/1373) Non-clinical units: 0% (0/377) Risk level: Low: 0% (0/281); reference Medium: 0.8% (12/1452); OR 4.89 (0.29-82.76)* High: 0.8% (5/653); OR 4.77 (0.26-86.6)* Seropositive, IgM Age Mean age, positive vs. negative: 43.3 y vs. 46.0 y; OR 0.98 (0.97-0.99) Sex: Female 11.9% (162/1360) Male: 11.0% (115/1047) Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.97 (0.75-1.27) HCW role: Nurse: 12.1% (98/809) Physician: 13.6% (113/831) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.88 (0.66-1.17)* Support operator: 10.1% (35/347) Healthcare professions: 0.9% (5/53) Other: 7.1% (26/367) Hospital unit: COVID units: 15.3% (18/118) 	

Study, Year			
(Reference)			
Study Design			
Setting and Study	Population		
Dates	Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Dates	Characteristics	• ER: 3.7% (4/107)	Limitations
		• ICU/anesthesiology: 14.6% (52/357)	
		• ID: 2.9% (2/70)	
		Other clinical units: 13.3% (183/1373)	
		• Non-clinical units: 4.2% (16/377)	
		Risk level:	
		• Low: 4.3% (12/281); reference	
1		Medium: 12.3% (179/1452); adjusted OR 2.91 (1.57-5.39)	
		High: 11.6% (76/653); adjusted OR 2.84 (1.50-5.39)	
		Seropositive, IgG	
		Age	
		 Mean age, positive vs. negative: 48.9 vs. 45.6; OR 1.03 (1.00-1.059) 	
		Sex:	
		• Female 2.1% (29/1360)	
		Male: 2.7% (28/1047)	
		o Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.73 (0.41-1.29)	
		HCW role:	
		Nurse: 2.1% (17/809)	
		Physician: 2.2% (18/831)	
		Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.97 (0.50-1.89)*	
		• Support operator: 4.0% (14/347)	
		Healthcare professions: 1.9% (1/53)	
		• Other: 1.9% (7/367)	
		Hospital unit:	
		COVID units: 2.5% (3/118)	
		• ER: 2.8% (3/107)	
		ICU/anesthesiology: 1.7% (6/357)	
		• ID: 1.4% (1/70)	
		Other clinical units: 2.8% (39/1373)	
		Non-clinical units: 2.8% (3/377)	
		Risk level:	
		Low: 1.1% (3/281); reference	
		Medium: 2.7% (39/1452); OR 3.03 (0.90-10.21)	
		• High: 2.0% (13/653); OR 2.32 (0.62-8.62)	
Weinberger et al 2021	300 HCWs		No control for
(60)		Exposure COVID-19 unit: 2.9% (4/140)	confounders
(00)	Mean age 35 y 67% famals		Contounders
	 67% female 	Non-COVID-19 unit: 11.1% (10/90)	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Prospective cohort Germany (Munich); 1 university hospital; 24 Marth to 7 July 2020	Population Characteristics • 49% nurse, 32% physician, 6% laboratory staff, 6% other frontline HCW, 8% administrative staff • 4.7% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Outcomes Outcomes OUTCOVID-19 unit vs. non-COVID-19 unit: OR 0.24 (0.07-0.77)*	Limitations
Retrospective cohort s			<u> </u>
Akinbami et al 2020 (61) Retrospective cohort United States (Detroit metropolitan area, Michigan); regional healthcare coalition; 18 May to 13 June 2020	16,397 asymptomatic HCWs, first responders and public safety personnel • Mean age 42 y • 69% female • 86% HCW (39% nurse, 4% nurse assistant, 14% physician, 2% pharmacist, 1% physical therapist, 3% respiratory therapist, 6% administration/clerk, 2% clinical technician, 4% imaging technician, 2% laboratory technician, 4% midlevel clinician, 2% firefighter, 5% police/ corrections officer • 6.9% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Age 18-24 y: 7.9% (54/686); reference 25-34 y: 6.9% (337/4,885); adjusted OR 0.89 (0.56-1.39) 35-44 y: 7.0% (278/3,977); adjusted OR 0.93 (0.57-1.52) 45-59 y: 6.9% (360/5,222); adjusted OR 0.86 (0.53-1.40) 60-64 y: 7.5% (83/1,106); adjusted OR 0.97 (0.58-1.62) ≥65 y: 3.5% (18/521); adjusted OR 0.41 (0.23-0.72) Sex Female: 7.0% (787/11,251): Male: 6.7% (345/5,146) ○ Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.79 (0.65-0.95) Race/ethnicity Non-Hispanic black: 16.3% (196/1200); adjusted OR 1.92 (1.52-2.43) Non-Hispanic Asian: 7.3% (80/1097); adjusted OR 0.97 (0.74-1.26) Hispanic: 6.8% (30/440); adjusted OR 1.06 (0.76-1.48) Other: 7.2% (29/404); adjusted OR 0.95 (0.67-1.35) HCW Nurse: 7.7% (495/6,426) ○ Nurse vs. physician: adjusted OR 1.52 (1.18-1.95) Nurse assistant: 12.8% (82/641) ○ Nurse assistant: 4.4% (14/321) Physical therapist: 10.6% (25/235) Respiratory therapist: 8.3% (34/409) Administration/clerk: 8.0% (77/964) Clinical technician: 5.5% (20/365) Imaging technician: 4.2% (30/719)	Potential recall bias; ~7% of participants were firefighters or police/corrections officers

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study	Population		
Dates	Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 Laboratory technician: 3.4% (10/293) Midlevel clinician: 4.6% (26/566) Other HCW role: 7.0% (62/888) EMT: 5.2% (60/1,158) Firefighter: 6.7% (22/330) Police/corrections officer: 4.0% (31/785) Clinical setting (yes vs. no) Hospital emergency department: Adjusted OR 1.16 (1.00-1.35) Hospital ward: Adjusted OR 1.24 (0.97-1.58) Hospital intensive care unit: Adjusted OR 0.78 (0.66-0.91) Hospital operating room/surgical unit: Adjusted OR 0.63 (0.48-0.83) Other hospital location: Adjusted OR 0.87 (0.74-1.03) Emergency medical services: Adjusted OR 1.08 (0.70-1.66) Exposure to persons testing positive for COVID-19 (yes vs. no) Co-worker: 10.0% (680/6799): 1.45 (1.21-1.73) Household member: 34.3% (178/519); adjusted OR 6.18 (4.81-7.93) Patient: 7.8% (810/10/389); adjusted OR 1.03 (0.87-1.22) Other person: 11.5% (312/2709); adjusted OR 1.25 (1.08-1.45) PPE use (all the time vs. less than all the time Gown: 6.9% (643/9,316); adjusted OR 1.14 (0.97-1.34) Gloves: 7.0% (832/11,887); adjusted OR 1.19 (0.90-1.30) N95: 6.9% (505/7,316); adjusted OR 0.83 (0.72-0.95) PAPR: 7.6% (53/695); adjusted OR 1.10 (0.80-1.52) Goggles/face shield: 6.5% (428/6,581); adjusted OR 0.86 (0.75-0.98) Aerosol generating procedure frequency 0 times: reference 1-5 times: adjusted OR 0.83 (0.66-1.04) 11-25 times: adjusted OR 0.83 (0.66-1.04) 11-25 times: adjusted OR 0.89 (0.69-1.16) >25 times: adjusted OR 1.09 (0.89-1.34) 	
Algado-Selles et al	784 symptomatic HCWs	Age	Potential recall bias
2020 (62)	 Mean age not 	• <60 y: 19.5% (135/691)	
	reported; 88% <age< td=""><td>• ≥60 y: 22.6%% (21/93)</td><td></td></age<>	• ≥60 y: 22.6%% (21/93)	
Retrospective cohort	60 y	o <60 vs ≥60: OR 1.2 (0.7-2.0); not included in multivariate model	
	• 78% female	Sex	
Spain (Alicante); 1	 27% physician, 34% 	• Female: 19.2% (118/615)	
hospital and 12 primary	nurse, 20% nursing	Male: 22.5% (38/169)	

Study, Year (Reference)			
Study Design			
Setting and Study	Population		
Dates	Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
care clinics; 2 March to 16 April 2020	assistant or technician, 20% other role • 19.9% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	 ○ Female vs. male: OR 1.2 (0.8-1.8); not included in multivariate model HCW role • Nurse: 18.1% (49/270) • Physician: 28.2% (60/213) ○ Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.57 (0.37-0.87)* • Nurse assistant/technician: 14.6% (27/185) • Other role: 17.2% (20/116) Department • ED: 16.2% (6/37) • Pediatric: 8.3% (4/48) • Critical care unit: 25.0% (30/120) • Adult ward: 14.9% (42/281) • Primary care: 23.7% (23/97) • Non-COVID-19 ward: adjusted OR 0.7 (0.2-1.9) ○ Pediatric vs. non-COVID-19 unit: adjusted OR 0.8 (0.3-1.7) ○ Adult ward vs. non-COVID-19 unit: adjusted OR 0.7 (0.4-1.4) ○ Primary care vs. non-COVID-19 unit: adjusted OR 1.1 (0.5-2.2) Contact type • Close: 22.8% (119/523) • Occasional: 14.8% (27/182) • Unknown: 12.7% (10/79) 	Limitations
		 Close vs. unknown: adjusted OR 2.1 (0.8-5.1) Occasional vs. unknown: adjusted OR 1.4 (0.5-3.9) Close vs. occasional: OR 1.69 (1.07-2.67)* PPE use (surgical mask or N95 [or equivalent] and eye protection for aerosol generating procedures) Yes: 13.7% (40/293) No: 22.8% (112/491) Yes vs. no: adjusted OR 0.45 (0.26-0.83) 	
Bai et al, 2020 (63)	118 HCWs with potential	COVID-19 vs. no COVID-19	Not peer reviewed;
Retrospective cohort	exposure to COVID-19 patient	Age (years): 36.6 vs. 30.5, p=0.006 BMI (kg/m²): 22.4 vs. 22.0, p=0.85	potential recall bias; no control for
China (Wuhan); 1 hospital (neurosurgery	Mean age, 31 years64% female25% physician, 75%	Contact frequency (median, contacts/day): 3.0 vs. 5.0, p=0.95 Contact duration (median, minutes/contact): 4.0 vs. 4.0, p=0.54	confounders; criteria for COVID-19 diagnosis not
department) prior to recognition of outbreak;	nurse	OR (95% CI) for COVID-19 [†] • Female vs. male: 0.78 (0.23-2.64)	described; 4 infected HCWs without

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
December 25, 2019 to February 15, 2020	10.2% (12/118) diagnosed with COVID-19	 Current smoking (yes vs. no): 0.41 (0.02-7.49) Current alcohol (yes vs. no): 0.37 (0.02-6.67) Regular physical activity (yes vs. no): 2.12 (0.64-7.05) Nurse vs. physician: 0.65 (0.18-2.34) Working under pressure (yes vs. no): 4.24 (1.19-15.05) Contact with index case (yes vs. no): 0.27 (0.08-0.94) Air contact vs. no contact: 0.32 (0.07-1.50) Direct contact vs. no contact: 0.22 (0.05-1.03) Air or direct contact vs. no contact: 0.31 (0.03-3.01) In same department as index case (yes vs. no): 62.70 (3.60-1092.46) Chronic pulmonary disease (yes vs. no): 1.11 (0.13-9.76) Chronic non-pulmonary disease (yes vs. no): 0.62 (0.03-11.65) 	exposure data excluded
Banjeree et al 2020 (64) Retrospective cohort India (Kolkata); 1 hopsital; 1 June to 31 August 2020	274 symptomatic HCWs or HCWs who had direct contact with a COVID-19 patient • Mean age not reported • 40% female • HCW role not reported • 31% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	Sex • Female: 23.4% (32/109) • Male: 26.1% (43/165) • Female vs. male: OR 0.85 (0.49-1.45)*	No control for confounders; limited demographic information; participation rate unclear
Buchtele et al, 2020 (65) Retrospective cohort Austria (Vienna); stem cell transplant unit; 23 March to 17 April 2020	26 HCWs and staff with face-to-face exposure to SARS-CoV-2 infected colleague • Age, sex not reported • 19.2% physician, 69.2% nurse, 11.5% cleaning staff	Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) Physician: 0% (0/5) Nurse: 27.8% (5/18) Cleaning staff: 0% (0/3) Nurse vs. physician: OR 4.48 (0.21-95.60)*	No information on demographic or clinical characteristics of HCWs
Colaneri et al 2020 (66) Retrospective cohort	1,477 HCWs • Mean age 45 y • 70% female • 31% physician, 39% nurse, 18%	Age (mean, years): 46.3 (cases) vs. 44.9 (non-cases); p=0.43 Sex • Female: 10.3% (104/1007) • Male: 13.6% (60/440) • Female vs. male: OR 0.73 (0.52-1.02)*	Potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Italy (Lombardy); 1 hospital; 22 February to 8 May 2020	healthcare assistant, 12% administrative staff • 11.3% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	HCW role Nurse: 11.1% (63/568) Physician: 12.1% (54/446) ○ Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.91 (0.62-1.33)*; adjusted IRR 1.49 (0.97-2.30) Healthcare assistant: 13.3% (34/255) Administrative staff: 7.3% (13/178) Clinical setting; p<0.0001 COVID-19 ward: 20.9% (97/465) Non-COVID-19 clinical ward: 7.3% (60/825) No patient contact: 4.5% (7/157) Contact with CPAP helmets Yes: 26.3% (73/278) No: 7.8% (91/1169) ○ Yes vs. no: OR 4.22 (3.00-5.94)* BMI (mean, kg/m²): 24.4 vs. 23.4; p=0.01 Smoking; p<0.0001 Never: 15.7% (113/721) Former: 23.9% (33/138) Current: 7.3% (18/247) Hypertension Yes: 16.2% (16/99) No: 13.9% (148/1064) ○ Yes vs. no: OR 1.19 (0.68-2.09)* Alcohol consumption; p=0.34 None: 10.0% (34/340) Moderate: 12.3% (83/677) Not moderate: 6.7% (3/45) High: 0% (0/0)	
Comelli et al 2021 (67) Retrospective cohort Italy (Brescia); one hospital; May 2020	 1893 HCWs Mean age 44 y 77% female 43% nurse, 22% physician, 14% support staff, 7% pharmacist, 1% 	Age (mean years), positive vs. negative: 45 vs. 44, p=0.01 Sex • Female: 22.6% (330/1459) • Male: 23.7% (103/434) • Female vs. male: OR 0.94 (0.73-1.21)* Exposure • Work in COVID-19 unit or ED: 23.2% (234/1008)	48% participation rate among eligible HCWs; potential recall bias; no control for confounders
	technician, 1%	 Did not work in COVID-19 unit or ED: 22.5% (199/885) Work vs. did not work in COVID-19 unit or ED: OR 1.04 (0.84-1.29)* 	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	psychologist, 11% other role 22.9% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Direct contact with confirmed COVID-19 case: 25.9% (284/1098) No direct contact with confirmed COVID-19 case: 18.7% (149/795) Direct vs. no direct contact with confirmed COVID-19 case: OR 1.51 (1.21-1.89) Underlying immunosuppression: 26.7% (12/45) Underlying immunosuppression (yes vs. no): OR 1.23 (0.63-2.41) 	
Folgueira et al, 2020 (68) Retrospective cohort Spain (Madrid); 1 hospital; 1 to 29 March 2020	2085 HCWs tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection • Age, sex, HCW role/position not reported	Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection, by department/hospital area Intensive care unit: 52.3% (34/65) Emergency department: 37.0% (50/135) Surgery: 45.1% (79/175) Oncology/hematology: 44.3% (31/70) Medical areas without COVID-19: 37.4% (93/249) Pediatrics/neonatal units: 48.6% (53/109) Obstetrics/gynecology units: 39.5% (32/81) Radiology: 38.0% (49/129) Outpatient setting: 31.8% (14/44) Administrative areas, clerical, informatics, communication, pharmacy: 55.2% (37/67) Laboratories: 33.3% (28/84) Kitchen: 38.3% (18/47)	Not peer reviewed; no control for confounders
Garcia et al, 2020 (69) Retrospective cohort Spain (Madrid); 1 hospital; 24 February to 30 April 2020	1911 symptomatic HCWs tested for SARS- CoV-1 Median age 42 y 80% female 25.7% physician 64.4% nurse 1.3% nurse supervisor 2.0% department head 5.9% other role	Incidence of COVID-19 High-risk setting: 9.1% (52/572) • Medical COVID-19 department: 18.7% (9/48) • ICU: 5.7% (13/226) • ED: 10.1% (30/298) Moderate-risk setting: 12.3% (94/761) • Outpatient clinic: 14.1% (25/177) • Obstetrics/gynecology: 5.4% (4/74) • Day unit: 10.5% (4/38) • Medical non-COVID-19 department: 16.1% (37/229) • Surgical unit: 9.9% (24/243) Low-risk setting: 8.6% (9/105) • Pathology: 6.2% (1/16) • Pharmacy: 10.0% (3/30) • Administrative, management, preventive medicine and social work: 8.5% (5.59) HCW role:	No control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes Dhysician 42 00/ (C4/404)	Limitations
Gras-Valenti et al 2020 (70) Retrospective cohort Spain (Alicante); 1 hospital and 12 primary care clinics; 24 April to 8 May 2020	4,179 HCWs • Mean age not reported; 2% ≤24 y, 9% 25-29 y, 10% 30-34 y, 12% 40-44 y, 12% 45-49 y, 13% 50-54 y, 15% 55-59 y, 16% 60-64 y, 2% ≥65 y • 73% female • 23% physician, 28% nurse • 6.6% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	 Physician: 13.0% (64/491) Nurse: 10.2% (126/1231) Nurse supervisor: 37.5% (9/24) Any nursing role: 10.7% (135/1255) Department head: 23.1% (9/39) Other role: 4.4% (5/113) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.76 (0.55-1.05)* Sex Female: 6.4% (197/3,065) Male: 6.9% (77/1,114) Female vs. male: OR 0.93 (0.70-1.22)* Age ≤24 years: 6.9% (5/72) 25-29 years: 9.4% (36/383) 30-34 years: 7.7% (29/375) 35-39 years: 6.4% (26/408) 40-44 years: 7.4% (36/487) 45-49 years: 5.8% (29/503) 50-54 years: 6.2% (34/553) 55-59 years: 5.6% (36/645) 60-64 years: 5.2% (36/688) ≥65 years: 10.8% (7/65) HCW role Nurse: 6.6% (78/1,186) Physician: 8.7% (85/974) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.74 (0.53-1.01)*Caretaker: 6.8% (19/286) Technician: 3.0% (4/127) Administrative: 16.1% (/672) Other housekeeping personnel: 3.8% (/158) Other non-housekeeping personnel: 3.0% (/125) Clinical setting Primary care: 18.1% (/757) Urgent care: 7.6% (/318) Critical care: 6.2% (/259) 	No control for confounders
		 Medical specialty: 13.0% (/545) Surgical specialty: 20.2% (/846) Other: 34.8% (1454) 	

(Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Heinzerling et al, 2020 (71) Retrospective cohort United States (California); 1 hospital with unsuspected COVID-19 case; February 2020	Population Characteristics 37 HCWs with exposure to COVID-19 patient and at least one aerosol-generating procedure • Median age, 39 years • 84% female • 7% physician, 51% nurse, 9% respiratory therapist, 9% phlebotomist, 7% certified nursing assistant, 7% environmental services worker, 5% nutrition services worker, 2% pharmacist, 2% other • 5.4% (2/37) diagnosed with COVID-19 • No use of N95 respirators, eye protection, gowns, or PAPR	Estimated time in patient room (median, minutes): 120 (IQR 120-420) vs. 25 (IQR 10-50), p=0.06 Estimated time in patient room during aerosol generating procedures (median, minutes): 95 (IQR 0-160) vs. 0 (IQR 0-3), p=0.13 OR (95% CI) for COVID-19 (PCR)* Taking vital sign (yes vs. no): 7.71 (0.61-97.85) Taking medical history (yes vs. no): 1.93 (0.15-24.46) Performing physical examination: 21.82 (1.02-466.52) Providing medication: 1.20 (0.10-14.79) Bathing or cleaning patient: 0.97 (0.04-22.02) Lifting or positioning patient: 0.92 (0.08-11.18) Emptying bedpan: 8.00 (0.49-13.70) Changing linens: 0.77 (0.03-17.01) Cleaning patient room: 0.97 (0.04-22.02) Peripheral line insertion: 3.19 (0.11-94.15) Central line insertion: 3.19 (0.11-94.15) Drawing arterial blood gas: 16.50 (0.73-372.83) Drawing blood: 0.77 (0.03-17.01) Manipulation of oxygen mask or tubing: 11.60 (0.88-153.29) Manipulation of ventilator or tubing: 0.53 (0.02-11.30) In room while high-flow oxygen delivered: 1.39 (0.11-17.24) Collecting respiratory specimen: 1.29 (0.05-30.38) Airway suctioning: 0.52 (0.02-11.30) Noninvasive ventilation (BiPAP, CPAP): 15.00 (1.09-205.50) Manual (bag) ventilation: 8.00 (0.49-130.70) Persent in room (vs. no involvement): 8.00 (0.49-130.70) Present in room (vs. no involvement): 1.86 (0.07-46.97) Bronchoscopy: 1.29 (0.05-30.38) Performed or assisted (vs. no involvement): 1.29 (0.05-30.38) Present in room (vs. no involvement): 1.10 (0.05-30.38) Performed or assisted (vs. no involvement): 1.29 (0.05-30.38) Persent in room (vs. no involvement): 1.29 (0.05-30.38) Persent in room (vs. no involvement): 3.19 (0.11-94.15) Any aerosol generating procedure: 2.53 (0.21-30.68) Always gloves during aerosol generating procedures: 3.10 (0.13-75.19)	Potential recall bias; no control for confounders; few cases and imprecise estimates; 6 tested HCWs were not interviewed and excluded from analysis
--	--	---	---

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 Always facemask (non-N95) during aerosol generating procedures: 0.77 (0.03-20.02) Always gloves during non-aerosol generating procedures: 4.40 (0.21-91.92) Always facemask (non-N95) during non-aerosol generating procedures: 1.29 (0.05-30.38) Longest single duration of time in room (reference <2 minutes): 2 to 30 minutes: 32.00 (1.96-522.78) 31 to 60 minutes: 1.86 (0.07-46.97) >60 minutes: 8.00 (0.59-130.70) Within 6 feet of index patient: 1.03 (0.05-23.49) Direct skin-to-skin contact with index patient: 0.45 (0.02-9.52) Index patient either masked or on closed system ventilator when contact occurred (reference never): Always: 0.20 (0.01-4.22) Sometimes: 2.86 (0.24-34.66) 	
Lai et al, 2020 (72)	9,648 HCWs • 12% ≥45 y, 88% <45	OR (95% CI) for COVID-19 (criteria not described)* • Age <45 y vs. ≥45 y: 0.32 (0.21-0.48)	No control for confounders; incident
Retrospective cohort China (Wuhan); 1 hospital; 1 January-9 February 2020	 12% 243 y, 88% 443 y 74% female 22% physician, 46% nurse, 32% health care assistant 1.1% (110/9648) diagnosed with COVID-19 	 Age <45 y vs. 245 y. 0.32 (0.21-0.46) Female vs. male: 0.91 (0.60-1.39) Nurse vs. physician: 1.16 (0.73-1.84) Health care assistant vs. physician: 0.59 (0.33-1.04) Clinic department for patients presumed not to have COVID-19 vs. fever clinic or ward: 3.00 (1.76-5.09) Department with no patient contact vs. fever clinic or ward: 1.81 (0.95-3.46) 	rate ratios reported but unclear how duration of exposure estimated and results discrepant with data in study; criteria for COVID-19 not described
Larese Filon et al 2022(25) Retrospective cohort Italy (Trieste); 1 tertiary medical center; 1 March 2020 to 31 May 2021 Added for Update Alert #11	4251 HCWs • Mean age 47 y • 69% female • 40% nurse, 18% nurses' aide, 14% physician, 16% other HCW, 5% technician, 6% clerk • SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR), before vaccine introduction: 13.6% (578/4251); after vaccine introduction: 1.4% (60/4251)	Before vaccine introduction (1 March 2020 to 28 February 2021) Age (per year): adjusted IRR 0.99 (0.98-0.99) Sex • Female vs. male: 13.4% (394/2944) vs. 14.1% (184/1307); adjusted IRR 1.06 (0.91-1.25)* HCW role • Nurse: 15.2% (261/1720); adjusted IRR 1.18 (0.94-1.49) • Nurses' aide: 16.9% (126/745); adjusted IRR 1.18 (0.91-1.52) • Physician: 12.6% (78/617); reference • Other HCW: 8.5% (57/673); adjusted IRR 0.70 (0.50 -0.98) • Technician: 9.0% (20/221); adjusted IRR 0.72 (0.43-1.22) • Clerk: 13.1% (36/275); adjusted IRR 1.18 (0.79-1.76) Hospital ward • Anaesthesia: 8.6% (17/198); adjusted IRR 1.10 (0.61-1.97)	No control for exposures other than hospital ward

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes Other: 9.7% (126/1301); adjusted IRR 1.48 (0.98-2.23) Surgery: 10.5% (95/909); adjusted IRR 1.37 (0.90-2.08) Radiology and nuclear medicine: 11.8% (21/178); adjusted IRR 1.96 (1.14-3.36) Administration: 11.9% (50/421); adjusted IRR 1.85 (1.13-3.04) First aid: 18.8% (51/272); adjusted IRR 2.44 (1.56-3.83) Pneumology (COVID ward): 21.8% (26/119); adjusted IRR 2.72 (1.65-4.48) Rehabilitation (COVID ward): 22.2% (22/99); adjusted IRR 2.70 (2.14-6.04) Emergency medicine: 30.5% (18/59); adjusted IRR 3.70 (2.15-6.37) Geriatrics: 46.5% (20/43); adjusted IRR 5.96 (3.64-9.76) Infectious disease (COVID): 47.9% (23/48); adjusted IRR 5.75 (3.54-9.33) Long term care (COVID): 57.8% (26/45); adjusted IRR 7.15 (4.59-11.14) Other medical wards: 7.3% (26/355); reference	Limitations
Modenese et al 2021 (14) Retrospective cohort Italy (Modena); university hospital; 1 March 2020 to 21 January 2021 Added for Update Alert #11	5897 HCWs • Mean age 42 y • 71% female • 30% physician, 44% nurse or nurse's aide, 15% other HCW role, 11% non-HCW • 13.0% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	Sex	Participation rate not reported; limited control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Moscola et al, 2020	40,329 HCWs	Positive seroprevalence for COVID-19 IgG antibodies	56% of all eligible
(73)	Median age, 42 y	• 18-39 y: 15.0% (2723/18,193)	HCWs; 7 different
	• 73.7% female	• 40-49 y: 14.1% (1100/7829)	assays used
Retrospective cohort	• 16.0% Black, 14.0%	• 50-59 y: 12.3% (1055/8550)	
	Hispanic, 0.8%	• 60-69 y: 11.4% (597/5215)	
United States (New	multiracial	• ≥ 70 y: 8.9% (48/542)	
York); multicenter	 9.3% physicians, 	o Adjusted RR (95% CI) vs. age 18-39 years:	
health system; 20 April	28.4% nurses	o 40-49: 1.0 (0.97-1.02)	
to 23 June 2020		o 50-59: 0.99 (0.97-1.02)	
		o 60-69: 1.00 (0.98-1.03)	
		• ≥70: 1.00 (0.94-1.07)	
		• Female: 13.7% (4062/29,725)	
		Male: 13.8% (1461/10,604)	
		 Female vs. male: adjusted RR, 1.05 (1.01-1.09) 	
		American Indian: 17.0% (32/188)	
		Asian: 11.9% (722/6082)	
		Black: 23.5% (1513/6444)	
		Hispanic: 19.6% (1108/5653)	
		Pacific Islander: 17.2% (35/203)	
		White: 9.6% (2057/21 428)	
		Other/multiracial: 16.9% (56/331)	
		Physicians: 8.7% (327/3746)	
		Nurses: 13.1% (1503/11,468)	
		 Nurse vs. physician: OR, 1.58 (1.39-1.79)* 	
		Allied health: 11.6% (949/8156)	
		o Physician vs. allied health: adjusted RR,, 0.98 (0.95-1.00)	
		 Nurse vs. allied health: adjusted RR, 1.00 (0.98-1.01) 	
		• Administrative: 12.6% (1217/9645)	
		 Administrative and clerical vs. allied health: adjusted RR, 1.01 (0.99- 1.02) 	
		Service/maintenance (included medical assistants): 20.9% (1527/7314)	
		 Service/ maintenance vs. allied health: adjusted RR, 1.03 (1.00-1.05) 	
		• ED: 17.3% (533/3089)	
		• ICU: 9.9% (331/3355)	
		Other hospital units: 17.1% (1706/9976)	
		Other: 12.1% (2448/20)	
		Direct patient care, no: 12.2% (1562/12 803)	
1		Direct patient care, yes: 14.4% (3437/23 852)	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes Work in COVID-19 unit, no: 16.0% (2519/15 779) Work in COVID-19 unit, yes: 12.3% (2247/18 332) Self-report suspicion of virus exposure, low: 5.3% (1177/22 155) Self-report suspicion of virus exposure, medium: 11.3% (1180/10 410) Self-report suspicion of virus exposure, high: 59.2% (2726/4604) PCR test negative: 10.33% (403/3892) PCR test positive: 93.5% (2044/2186)	Limitations
Ng et al, 2020 (74) Retrospective cohort Singapore; February 2020	41 HCWs with exposure to COVID-19 patient and aerosol-generating procedures for ≥10 min at ≤2 m • Age, sex, and HCW role/position not reported • 0% (0/41) diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2	 Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in exposed HCWs: 0% (0/41); no HCWs developed symptoms Aerosol-generating procedures: endotracheal intubation (n = 10), extubation (n = 2), noninvasive ventilation (n = 25), other (n = 4) Mask type during exposures: surgical mask, 85%; N95, 15% 	No cases of COVID- 19 occurred
Perez-Garcia et al 2020 (75) Retrospective cohort Spain (Alcalá de Henares); 1 hospital; 5 March to 30 May 2020	infection 2,963 HCWs • Mean age 43 y • 80% female • 9% physician, 29% nurse, 22% nurse technician, 15% medical staff, 5% technical specialist, 6% hospital porter, 2% other HCW, 12% non-healthcare hospital personnel • 37% symptomatic • 36.5% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR or seropositivity)	HCW role Nurse: 39.6% (340/859) Physician: 33.1% (90/272) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.32 (0.99-1.77)* Nurse technician: 39% (250/641) Nurse or nurse technician vs. physician: OR 1.31 (1.00-1.72)* Medical staff: 34.7% (154/444) Technical specialist: 29.1% (41/141) Hospital porter: 44.9% (83/185) Other HCW: 26.4% (19/72) Non-healthcare hospital personnel: 29.8% (104/349) Healthcare personnel vs. non-healthcare personnel: OR 1.40 (1.10-1.79)* Exposure Participation in aerosol-generating procedure vs. no participation: OR 2.54 (1.71-3.77)^ Contact with co-worker: OR 3.18 (2.64-3.82)^ Contact with relatives: OR 2.16 (1.50-3.11)^ Close contact with COVID-19 infected person vs. casual contact: adjusted OR 1.32 (0.97-1.80)	Potential recall bias; some analyses did not control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		Contact with COVID-19 patients (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.69 (1.28-2.24) PPE use	
		Use of PPE (included cap, FFP2 mask [or FFP3 mask for aerosol generating procedures], disposable medical protective clothing, gloves, goggles/screens) vs. no use: adjusted OR 0.56 (0.44-0.72)	
		Smoker	ļ
		• Yes: 25.7% (98/382)	
		 No: 38.1% (983/2581) Yes vs. no: OR 0.56 (0.44-0.72)* 	
		Hypertension	
		• Yes: 49.5% (95/192)	
		• No: 35.6% (986/2771)	
		o Yes vs. no: <i>OR 1.77 (1.32-2.38)</i> *	
		Cardiovascular disease	
		 Yes: 43.9% (25/57) No: 36.3% (1056/2906) 	
		○ Yes vs. no: OR 1.37 (0.81-2.32)*	
		Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	
		• Yes: 49.6% (56/113)	
		• No: 36.0% (1025/2850)	
		 Yes vs. no: OR 1.75 (1.20-2.55)* 	
		Pregnancy	
		• Yes: 53.8% (14/26)	
		• No: 36.3% (1067/2937)	
		Yes vs. no: OR 2.04 (0.94-4.44)*Immunosuppression	
		Yes: 50.0% (14/28)	
		• No: 36.4% (1067/2935)	
		 Yes vs. no: OR 1.75 (0.83-3.69)* 	
Piapan et al, 2020 (76)	903 HCWs with known	Adjusted OR (95% CI), prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	Potential recall bias
	exposure to a COVID-	• Female vs. male: 0.67 (0.45-1.02)	
Retrospective cohort	19-infected patient	HCW role-	
Italy (Tripata), magic	Mean age 45 y	o Nurse vs. physician: 0.9 (0.5-1.4)	
Italy (Trieste); regional HCWs with known	• 71% female	Nurse's aide vs. physician: 1.4 (0.7-2.6) Pasident vs. physician: 1.3 (0.5-2.7)	
COVID-19 exposure; 1	28% physician, 43% nurse, 18% nurse's	Resident vs. physician: 1.3 (0.5-2.7)Other role vs. physician: 0.9 (0.4-2.0)	
March to 6 April 2020	aide, 8% resident,	Department-	
	9% other role	High-risk vs. low-risk department: 67.9 (34.7-133)	
		Medium-risk vs. low-risk department: 9.6 (5.6-16.5)	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Piapan et al 2021 (16)	7% high-risk department, 13% moderate-risk department, 80% low-risk department 963 HCWs with known	Contact - Contact with colleagues and patients vs. contact with patients: 7.0 (3.7-13.3) Contact with colleagues vs contact with patients: 0.9 (0.54-1.5) PPE - Mask (FFP2-3 or surgical) vs. no mask:: 1.6 (0.9-2.9) FFP2-3 mask vs. surgical mask: 7.1 (3.0-16.7) Patient wearing mask: 7.1 (3.6-13.9) Age	Participation rate not
Extension of Piapan et al 2020 (76) Retrospective cohort Italy (Trieste); regional HCWs with known COVID-19 exposure; 1 March to 31 May 2020 Added for Update Alert #11	exposure to a COVID- 19-infected patient • Mean age 44 y • 71% female • 25% physician, 43% nurse, 13% nurse's aide, 6% resident, 13% other role • 20.0% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	 Per year: adjusted OR 0.98 (0.96-1.01) Sex Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.59 (0.31-1.11) HCW role (univariate estimates; not included in multivariate model) Physician: 22.9% (54/236); reference Resident: 23.7% (14/59); OR 1.35 (0.78-2.69) Nurse: 20.5% (85/414); OR 1.12 (0.75-1.69) Nurse aid: 23.6% (30/127); OR 1.39 (0.83-2.35) Other: 15.7% (20/127); OR 1.01 (0.60-2.00) Exposure High risk (infectious disease, geriatric or emergency medicine): adjusted OR 13.4 (5.8-31.0) Medium risk (internal medicine): adjusted OR 1.88 (0.97-3.6) Low risk (surgical and medical ward): reference Directness of contact Contact with patients: reference Contact with HCWs: adjusted OR 1.39 (0.64-3.0) Contact with patients and HCWs: adjusted OR 2.23 (1.01-4.9) Contact with contact of causal contacts: adjusted OR 1.40 (0.28-6.9) PPE use, yes vs. no: adjusted OR 3.7 (1.6-8.2) N95 use, yes vs. no: OR 7.8 (4.0-15.2) (not included in multivariate model) 	reported; otential recall bias; limited control for confounders
Porru et al 2022 (29) Retrospective cohort Italy (Verona); one hospital; 27 December 2020 to 3 May 2021	9811 HCWs offered vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 • Mean age 36 y • 69% female • 25% nurse, 28% physician, 39% other	Age Per 10-year increase: <i>adjusted HR 1.61 (1.27-2.04)</i> Sex Female vs. male: 1.9% (132/6814) vs. 1.5% (45/2997); adjusted HR 1.15 (0.60-2.17)* HCW role Nurse: 2.8% (70/2463); adjusted HR 2.13 (0.60-7.65)	Unclear participation rate; no control for exposures

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Added for Update Alert #11	Population Characteristics health professional, 8% administration 82% vaccinated	Outcomes Other health professional: 1.6% (59/3734); adjusted HR 2.46 (0.71-8.51) Administration: 1.4% (12/828); reference Vaccination status	Limitations
	1.8% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	 Fully vaccinated (2 doses): 0.7% (55/7659); adjusted HR 0.37 (0.29-0.69) Not vaccinated: 4.5% (77/1718); reference 	
Ran et al, 2020 (77) Retrospective cohort China (Wuhan); 1 hospital serving outbreak; follow-up through 28 January 2020	72 HCW with acute symptoms • Median age, 31 y • 69% female • 53% clinicians and 47% nurses • 38.9% (28/72) diagnosed with COVID-19	RR (95% CI) for COVID-19 (PCR) High-risk vs. general department: 2.13 (1.45–3.95) High-exposure operation: 0.54 (0.19–1.53) Tracheal tube removal: 0.63 (0.06–7.08) CPR: 0.63 (0.06–7.08) Fiberoptic bronchoscopy: 0.63 (0.06–7.08) Sputum suction: 0.43 (0.12–1.55) Unqualified handwashing: 2.64 (1.04–6.71) Suboptimal handwashing before patient contact: 3.10 (1.43–6.73) Suboptimal handwashing after patient contact: 2.43 (1.34–4.39) Improper PPE (proper PPE defined as use of hospital masks, round caps, gloves, protective clothing, boot covers, and goggles or face shields): 2.82 (1.11–7.18) Increase in work hours: log-rank P = 0.02 with interaction with high-risk department Contact history: Diagnosed family member: 2.76 (2.02–3.77) Suspected family member: 1.30 (0.31–5.35) Diagnosed patient: 0.36 (0.22–0.59) Suspected patient: 0.49 (0.27–0.89)	Potential recall bias; unclear if most risk estimates adjusted; reference group unclear for some estimates; some estimates imprecise; 11 of 83 cases dropped for invalid surveys
Shorten et al 2021 (78)	4474 HCWs • Mean age 42 y	Huanan seafood market: 0.63 (0.06–7.08) Age, per 1-year change: adjusted OR 0.99 (0.98-0.994) Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.06 (0.87-1.29)	Limited adjustment for confounders
Retrospective cohort	78% female11% Asian/Asian	Race/ethnicity White (UK or Republic of Ireland); reference	
United Kingdom (northwest England); NHS trust; 29 May to 4 July 2020	British, 2% Black/Black British, 0.5% Chinese; 2% mixed race, 70%	 Asian/Asian British: adjusted OR 1.61 (1.27-2.04) Other white: adjusted OR 1.28 (0.79-2.05) Black/Black British: adjusted OR 2.08 (1.25-3.45) Mixed race/ethnicity: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.35-1.49) 	
	white (UK and Republic of Ireland),	 Mixed race/entificity: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.35-1.49) Chinese: adjusted OR 0.62 (0.18-2.14) Unknown ethnicity: adjusted OR 1.44 (1.14-1.82) 	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics 3% other white, 12% unknown race/ethnicity 61% nonclinical staff, 7% ED, 5% critical care, 27% other clinical role 17.4% SARS-CoV-2	Outcomes Exposure Clinical vs. nonclinical location: adjusted OR 2.68 (2.27-3.15)	Limitations
Sotgiu et al. 2020 (79) Retrospective cohort Italy (Milan); 1 hospital; 2 to 16 April 2020	seropositive 202 HCWs Median age 45 y 65% female 47% physician, 26% nurse, 10% resident, 6% socio-sanitary worker, 2% administrative staff, 4% technicians, 4% hospital staff, 1% non-hospital staff	Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Female, IgG: 6.1% (8/132); IgM: 9.1% (12/132) Male, IgG: 10.0% (7/70); IgM: 24.3% (17/70) Female vs. male (IgG): OR 0.58 (0.20-1.67)* Age 20-29 y, IgG: 14.8% (4/27); IgM: 25.9% (7/27) 30-39 y, IgG: 2.3% (1/44); IgM: 9.1% (4/44) 40-49 y, IgG: 7.0% (4/57); IgM: 5.3% (3/57) 50-59 y, IgG: 9.8% (5/51); IgM: 15.7% (8/51) 60-69 y, IgG: 4.4% (1/23); IgM: 30.4% (7/23) Contact with COVID-19 patient, IgG: 7.6% (12/158); IgM: 13.9% (22/158) No contact with COVID-19 patient, IgG: 6.8% (9/44); IgM: 15.9% (7/44) HCW, IgG: 7.6% (15/197); IgM: 14.7% (29/197) Physician, IgG: 14.8% (7/115); IgM: 13.9% (16/115) Nurse/OSS. IgG: 7.8% (5/64); IgM: 10.9% (7/64) Nurse vs. physician (IgG): OR 0.76 (0.23-2.52)* Other role: IgG 13.0% (3/23); IgM: 26.1% (6/23)	No control for confounders
Wang Q. et al, 2020 (80) Retrospective cohort China (Hubei province); 107 hospital neurosurgery departments; January 20 to March 1, 2020	5,322 HCWs • Mean age, 34 years • 50% female • 45% surgeon, 55% nurse • 2.2% diagnosed with COVID-19(120/5,442)	OR (95% CI) for COVID-19 (PCR) Level 2 protection (cap, N95 or higher, goggles/eye protection, gown, gloves, shoe covers) (yes vs. no): 0.03 (0.003-0.19)*	Not peer reviewed; potential recall bias; no control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Wang X. et al, 2020 (81) Retrospective cohort China (Wuhan); 1 hospital; January 2020	Population Characteristics 493 HCWs • Mean age, 32 y • 87% female • 27% doctor, 73% nurse • 2.0% (10/493) diagnosed with COVID-19	Outcomes Incidence of COVID-19 Respiratory department: 0% (0/70) ICU: 0% (0/169) Infectious disease department: 0% (0/39) Hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery department: 11% (8/74) Trauma and microsurgery department: 2% (1/44) Urology department: 1% (1/97) Unadjusted OR (95% CI) Nurse vs. doctor: 0.04 (95% CI 0.005 to 0.31)† In department with N95 mask use (no vs. yes): 28.46 (1.65 to 488.48)* Adjusted OR (95% CI) for COVID-19	Limitations Mask and other PPE use based on department practice, not individual participant use; estimate for mask very imprecise
		• In department with N95 mask use (no vs. yes): 464.82 (97.73- ∞)	
Wang X. et al 2020b (82) Retrospective cohort China (Wuhan); hospital neurosurgery department; 25 December 2019 to 15 February 2020	118 HCWs in neurosurgery unit • Mean age 31 y • 64% female • 75% nurse, 25% physician • 10% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	Sex	No control for confounders; potential recall bias; 2 infected HCWs not included in analysis

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Zhang G et al, 2020 (83) Retrospective cohort China (Wuhan); 1 university hospital; 25 December 2019 to 31 January 2020	237 HCWs with confirmed contact with a COVID-19 infected patient • Age, sex not reported • 28% physician, 72% nurse • 31% respiratory department, 31% hepatobiliary surgery, 38% neurology	 Yes: 19.0% (8/42) No: 5.3% (4/76) Working under pressure (yes vs. no): OR 4.24 (1.19-15.05)* Smoking Current smoker: 0% (0/9) Not current smoker: 11.0% (12/109) Current smoker (yes vs. no): OR 0.41 (0.02-7.49)* Alcohol Current alcohol use: 0% (0/10) No current alcohol use: 11.1% (12/108) Current alcohol use (yes vs. no): OR 0.37 (0.02-6.67) Chronic pulmonary disease Yes: 11.1% (1/9) Chronic pulmonary disease (yes vs. no): OR 1.11 (0.13-9.76)* Chronic non-pulmonary disease (yes vs. no): OR 0.62 (0.03-11.65)* Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) Physician: 14.9% (10/67) Nurse: 1.2% (2/170) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.07 (0.01-0.32)* Respiratory department: 0% (0/73) Hepatobiliary surgery: 14.9% (10/74) Neurology: 2.2% (2/90) 	Limited information on demographic characteristics; no control for confounders
Cross-sectional studies		Age (personal) adjusted OR 4.00 (0.00 to 4.04)	CCO/ participation rate:
Abbas et al 2021 (84) Cross-sectional Pakistan (Lahore); 2 cancer hospitals; 29 to 31 July 2020	 2162 HCWs Mean age 34 y 29% female 48% clinical staff, 52% nonclinical staff 39.6% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity 	Age (per year): adjusted OR 1.00 (0.99 to 1.01) Sex • Female: 32.3% (204/631); adjusted OR 0.60 (0.49-0.73) • Male: 42.6% (653/1531); reference HCW role • Clinical: 41.2% (470/1142); adjusted OR 1.27 (1.06-1.53) • Nonclinical: 37.9% (387/1020); reference	66% participation rate; limited data on HCW role; did not control for exposures

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Akinbami et al 2021 (85) Cross-sectional Hospital HCWs US (Rhode Island); 15 hospitals; 17 July to 28 August	8,370 asymptomatic hospital HCWs • Mean age not reported; 3% 18-24 y, 24% 25-34 y, 22% 35-44 y, 35% 45-59 y, 11% 60-64 y, 5% ≥65 y • 81% female • 82% white, 4% Asian, 3% Black; 7% Hispanic; 5% other/decline • 33% nurse, 5% nurse assistant, 12% physician, 1% physician assistant; 2% administration • 3.1% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Age • 18-24 y: 7.6% (21/275); reference • 25-34 y: 3.6% (71/1987); adjusted OR 0.53 (0.31-0.92) • 35-44 y: 3.0% (56/1874); adjusted OR 0.46 (0.20-1.04) • 45-59 y: 2.8% (81/2890); adjusted OR 0.50 (0.26-0.96) • 60-64 y: 2.5% (22/896); adjusted OR 0.48 (0.23-0.97) • ≥65 y: 1.1% (5/448); adjusted OR 0.26 (0.11-0.63) Sex • Female: 3.1% (212/6788); adjusted OR 0.85 (0.59-1.21) • Male: 2.8% (44/1582); reference Race/Ethnicity • White: 2.7% (182/6829); reference • Black: 7.0% (20/284); adjusted OR 2.83 (1.77-4.51) • Asian: 3.2% (10/316); adjusted OR 1.16 (0.58-2.29) • Hispanic: 5.6% (31/554); adjusted OR 1.70 (1.35-2.13) • Other race/ethnicity: 5.8% (11/191); adjusted OR 1.94 (0.89-4.22) HCW role • Physician: 2.2% (22/1001); reference • Nurse: 4.2% (114/2733); adjusted OR 1.66 (1.07-2.57) • Nurse assistant: 5.9% (23/392); adjusted OR 0.68 (0.22-2.12) • Reception/medical assistant: 4.1% (12/296); adjusted OR 2.04 (1.18-3.52) Workplace (yes or no) • COVID-19 unit: 5.0% (72/1435); adjusted OR 1.29 (0.88-1.87) • General inpatient unit: 3.7% (138/3752); adjusted OR 1.04 (0.74-1.46) • ICU: 3.0% (37/1250); adjusted OR 0.78 (0.49-1.30) • Surgical unit: 2.5% (31/1234); adjusted OR 0.61 (0.41-0.91) • ED: 2.4% (7/288); adjusted OR 0.49 (0.18-1.29) • Administrative office: 1.9% (21/1132); adjusted OR 0.76 (0.48-1.21) • Ambulatory healthcare/dental office: 2.3% (48/2122); adjusted OR 0.80 (0.60-1.09) Coworker exposure • No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive coworker: 2.1% (134/6299); reference • Exposure to COVID-19-positive coworker: 5.9% (122/2070); adjusted OR 1.87 (1.36-2.58) Household member exposure	Participation rate not reported; potential recall bias; some imprecise estimates

Study, Year (Reference)			
Study Design Setting and Study	Population		
Dates	Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive household member: 2.4% (198/8156); reference Exposure to COVID-19-positive household member: 27.2% (58/213); adjusted OR 11.91 (8.43-16.83) Patient exposure No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive patient: 2.0% (88/4422); reference Exposed while not wearing PPE: 4.6% (60/1317); adjusted OR 1.28 (0.89-1.85) Exposed while wearing PPE: 4.1% (108/2630); adjusted OR 1.41 (0.99-2.00) Other exposure to COVID-19-positive person No/unknown exposure: 2.5% (189/7542); reference Exposed: 8.1% (67/827); adjusted OR 1.63 (1.02-2.60) Frequency of aerosol-generating procedure (times/shift/week) 0: 2.6% (108/4121); reference 1-5: 3.7% (62/1679); adjusted OR 1.12 (0.76-1.62) 6-10: 5.8% (22/380); adjusted OR 1.60 (0.87-2.92) 11-25: 4.0% (11/277); adjusted OR 0.51 (0.36-1.40) >25 times: 5.2% (19/366); adjusted OR 1.24 (0.70-2.20) Use of PPE shortage protocol (reference: never used PPE) No shortage: 4.9% (25/511); 1.23 (0.67-2.25) Reuse: 2.3% (21/934); adjusted OR 0.55 (0.28-1.05) Extended use: 3.1% (42/1341); adjusted OR 0.66 (0.42-1.04) Reuse and extended use: 3.9% (104/2644); adjusted OR 0.84 (0.56-1.26) Education/training No PPE donning/doffing training: 2.5% (5/199); reference PPE donning/doffing training: 3.6% (184/5140); OR 1.45 (0.59-3.55)* 	
Akinbami et al 2021	1,494 asymptomatic	Age	Participation rate not
(85)	nursing home HCWs	• 18-24 y: 10.3% (7/68); reference	reported; potential recall bias; some
Cross-sectional	 Mean age not reported; 5% 18-24 y, 	• 25-34 y: 14.6% (37/254); adjusted OR 1.27 (0.59-2.73)	imprecise estimates
O1000 Socioliai	17% 25-34 y, 22%	 35-44 y: 13.7% (45/328); adjusted OR 1.21 (0.44-3.37) 45-59 y: 13.7% (78/569); adjusted OR 1.61 (0.68-3.78) 	improdice estimates
Nursing home HCWs	35-44 y, 38% 45-59	60-64 y: 11.8% (20/170); adjusted OR 1.94 (0.89-4.21)	
-	y, 11% 60-64 y, 7%		
US (Rhode Island); 56	≥65 y	Sex	
nursing homes; 17 July	85% female	• Female: 12.4% (157/1267); adjusted OR 0.66 (0.46-0.94)	
to 28 August		Male: 17.2% (39/227); reference	

	opulation haracteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
•	78% white, 6% Black, 2% Asian; 9% Hispanic; 7% other/decline 28% nurse, 20% nursing assistant, 0.7% physician 13.1% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Race/Ethnicity White: 10.2% (119/1165); reference Black: 27.6% (24/87); adjusted OR 1.60 (0.84-3.05) Asian: 21.4% (6/28); adjusted OR 1.90 (0.99-3.66) Hispanic: 21.5% (28/130); adjusted OR 1.90 (0.99-3.66) Other race/ethnicity: 20.0% (8/40); adjusted OR 2.57 (1.25-5.28) HCW role Occupational/physical/speech therapist: 9.8% (16/163); reference Nurse: 15.3% (63/413); adjusted OR 1.78 (0.93-3.42) Nurse assistant: 19.9% (59/296); adjusted OR 2.06 (1.06-4.00) Workplace (yes vs. no) COVID-19 unit: 24.1% (136/565); adjusted OR 2.86 (1.61-5.10) Non-COVID-19 unit: 10.2% (111/1088); adjusted OR 0.81 (0.51-1.28) Administrative office: 5.5% (12/218); adjusted OR 0.77 (0.34-1.72) Coworker exposure No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive coworker: 8.8% (83/944); reference Exposure to COVID-19-positive coworker: 20.6% (113/550); adjusted OR 1.10 (0.71-1.72) Household member exposure No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive household member: 10.9% (155/1418); reference Exposure to COVID-19-positive household member: 54.0% (41/76); adjusted OR 9.37 (4.75-18.50) Patient exposure No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive patient: 6.0% (49/823); reference Exposed while not wearing PPE: 16.2% (28/173); adjusted OR 1.10 (0.54-2.07) Exposed while wearing PPE: 23.9% (119/498); adjusted OR 2.18 (1.26-3.80) Other exposure to COVID-19-positive person No/unknown exposure: 10.7% (142/1331); reference Exposed: 33.1% (54/163); adjusted OR 1.92 (1.26-2.91) Frequency of aerosol-generating procedure (times/shift/week) 0: 10.8% (93/858); reference Exposed: 33.1% (52/114); adjusted OR 1.59 (0.87-2.92)	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 11-25: 17.4% (4/23); adjusted OR 0.96 (0.25-3.71) >25 times: 29.3% (12/41); adjusted OR 1.31 (0.63-2.74) Use of PPE shortage protocol (reference: never used PPE) No shortage: 11.8% (28/238); adjusted OR 0.94 (0.46-1.94) Reuse: 11.3% (21/186); adjusted OR 0.89 (0.42-1.87) Extended use: 17.8% (45/253); adjusted OR 1.49 (0.77-2.87) Reuse and extended use: 16.8% (83/495); adjusted OR 1.30 (0.66-2.58) Education/training No PPE donning/doffing training: 20.0% (3/15); reference PPE donning/doffing training: 15.0% (170/1135); OR 0.70 (0.20-2.52)* 	
Al-Naamani et al 2021 (11)	1111 asymptomatic HCWs Median age 37 y	n/N, 95% CI not reported Age > >50: 2.6%	Participation rate note reported; limited control for
Cross-sectional	 67% female 	• <50: 31%; adjusted OR 0.98 (p=0.19)	confounders; potential
Oman (Muscat); 1 military hospital; 9 to 24 December 2020 Added for Update Alert #11	 HCW role not reported 33.6% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive 	 Sex Female: 26.4%; adjusted OR 2.27 (p<0.0001) Male: 7.2% Exposure High-risk environment: 5.5% (reference) Low or intermediate-risk environment: 14.7%; adjusted OR 1.22 (p=0.29) 	recall bias
Aleit #11		 Directness of contact Unprotected contact with COVID-19 patients: 8.2%; adjusted OR 1.13 (p=0.72) No contact with COVID-19 patients: 25.4% 	
Al Youha et al 2021 (86)	847 HCWs • Mean age 36 y • 52% female	Age, Sex Narrative report of no association between age or sex and risk of infection (data not shown)	Participation rate not reported; potential recall bias; limited
Cross-sectional Kuwait (Kuwait City); 1	56% physician, 18% nurse, 7% lab technician, 3%	HCW role Nurse (yes vs. no): 27.6% (43/156); <i>adjusted OR 1.77 (1.15-2.71)</i> Other medical staff (yes vs. no): 23.5% (44/186); adjusted OR 1.40 (0.93-	control of confounders
hospital; August to October 2020	radiology technician, 2% pharmacist	2.12) PPE	
	20.5% SARS-CoV-2 infection (seropositive or PCR)	 Glove use vs. non-use: adjusted OR 2.93 (1.19-7.22) Goggle use vs. non-use: adjusted OR 1.27 (0.72-2.27) Gown use vs. non-use: adjusted OR 0.64 (0.31-1.32) 	
Alkurt et al 2021 (87)	813 HCWs • Mean age 34 y	Sex • Female: 13.3% (80/600)	Participation rate not reported; no control
Cross-sectional	65% female	Male: 11.7% (39/332)	for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Turkey (Istanbul and Kocaeli); 3 hospitals; 30 May to 6 June 2020	 27% nurse, 31% physician, 14% ward clerk and security, 12% lab and radiology technician, 10% cleaning staff, 5% administrative staff 2.7% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive 	 ○ Female vs. male: OR 1.16 (0.77-1.74)* HCW role Nurse: 16.8% (45/268) Physician: 10.8% (30/279) ○ Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.67 (1.02-2.75)* Ward clerk and security: 11.6% (15/129) Lab and radiology technician: 6.5% (7/107) Cleaning staff: 12.5% (12/96) Administrative staff: 18.9% (10/53) Exposure COVID-19 clinic: 15.2% (51/335) Non-COVID-19 clinic: 27.8% (30/108) ○ COVID-19 vs. non-COVID-19 clinic: OR 0.46 (0.28-0.78)* ICU: 12.7% (10/79) ED: 14.5% (8/55) Administrative office: 12.0% (15/125) Clinical factors Hypertension: 17.0% (7/41) ○ Yes vs. no: OR 1.43 (0.62-3.31)* Diabetes mellitus: 20.7% (6/29) ○ Yes vs. no: OR 1.82 (0.73-4.58)* Smoking: 6.1% (14/228) ○ Yes vs. no: OR 0.37 (0.32-0.67)* Immunosuppressive drug: 22.2% (2/9) ○ Yes vs. no: OR 3.16 (0.61-16.48)* 	
Allen et al 2021 (88) Cross-sectional Ireland (Dublin); 2 hospitals; 14 to 23 October 2020	 5787 HCWs Mean age 40 y 77% female 14% administration, 17% medical/dental staff, 36% nurse/midwife, 19% allied health, 5% healthcare assistant, 8% general support staff 9.9% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity 	Age • 18-29 y: 13.0% (177/1350); adjusted RR 1.40 (1.10-1.90) • 30-39 y: 10.0% (168/1617); adjusted RR 1.20 (0.90-1.50) • 40-49 y: 8.2% (124/1515); adjusted RR 1.0 (0.80-1.30) • 50-59 y: 7.7% (77/1001); reference • >60 y: 9.9% (30/304); adjusted RR 1.40 (0.90-2.00) Sex • Female: 9.4% (422/4478); reference • Male: 12.0% (154/1308); adjusted RR 1.2 (1.0-1.4) Race/ethnicity • Irish: 8.6% (384/4444); reference • Other White: 11.0% (62/551); adjusted RR 1.3 (1.0-1.6) • African or other Black: 14.0% (16/113); adjusted RR 1.3 (0.8-2.0)	64% participation rate; potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 Asian: 19.0% (107/577); adjusted RR 1.3 (1.0-1.6) Other race/ethnicity: 6.9% (7/101); adjusted RR 0.6 (0.2-1.3) HCW role Administration: 6.0% (48/803); reference Medical/dental: 10.0% (102/982); adjusted RR 1.2 (0.8-1.7) Nurse/midwife: 13.0% (263/2064); adjusted RR 1.6 (1.1-2.2) Allied health: 6.7% (73/1091); adjusted RR 0.9 (0.6-1.3) General support staff: 7.6% (33/434); adjusted RR 0.9 (0.6-1.4) Healthcare assistant: 18.0% (50/286); adjusted RR 2.0 (1.4-3.0) Other role: 5.5% (7/127); adjusted RR 1.0 (0.5-2.1) Workplace exposure Daily contact with COVID-19 patients: 15.0% (136/902); adjusted RR 1.6 (1.2-2.1) Daily contact with non-COVID-19 patients: 11.0% (344/3245); adjusted RR 1.4 (1.1-1.8) 	
Allon et al 2022 (40)	5085 HCWs	No patient contact: 5.9% (96/1635); reference	56% participation rate
Allen et al 2022 (18) Cross-sectional Ireland (Dublin and Galway); 19 to 28 April 2021 Added for Update Alert #11	Median age 40 y 78% female 84% White (Irish or other white background), 12% Asian, 2% African or Black, 2% other race/ethnicity 37% nurse, 21% allied health, 14% medical or dental, 13% administration, 7% general support, 6% healthcare assistant, 2% other role 17.7% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Age 18-29 y: 22.5% (249/1108); adjusted RR 1.3 (1.1-1.6) 30-39 y: 17.9% (238/1330); adjusted RR 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 40-49 y: 14.7% (208/1414); adjusted RR 1.0 (0.7-1.1) 50-59 y: 16.6% (158/951); reference >60 y: 16.0% (45/282); adjusted RR 1.0 (0.7-1.3) Sex Female: 16.9% (669/3959); reference Male: 18.7% (229/1226); adjusted RR 1.2 (1.0-1.4) Race/Ethnicity White (Irish): 15.7% (595/3798); reference Other white: 19.7% (94/476); adjusted RR 1.2 (1.0-1.4) Asian: 24.7% (148/599); adjusted RR 1.1 (0.9-1.3) African or other Black: 33.3% (39/117); adjusted RR 1.7 (1.3-2.2) Other race/ethnicity: 23.2% (22/95); adjusted RR 1.3 (0.9-1.9) HCW role Administration: 12.6% (85/676); reference Medical/dental: 16.3% (116/713); adjusted RR 1.0 (0.7-1.4) Nurse/midwife: 20.9% (396/1891); adjusted RR 1.4 (1.0-1.8) Allied health: 11.3% (118/1044); adjusted RR 0.8 (0.6-1.1) General support: 22.2% (81/365); adjusted RR 1.2 (0.9-1.7) Healthcare assistant: 32.0% (93/291); adjusted RR 1.8 (1.3-2.3) 	30 % participation rate

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes Other role: 9.5% (10/105); adjusted RR 0.7 (0.3-1.2) Exposure Contact with COVID-19 patients: 23.3% (265/1136); adjusted RR 1.4 (1.1-1.7) Contact with patients without COVID-19: 18.5% (463/2500); adjusted RR 1.3	Limitations
		(1.1-1.5) No patient contact: (170/1449); reference	
Al Maskari et al, 2020 (89) Cross-sectional Oman; 1 tertiary care hospital; 18 March to 11 July 2020	Mean age not reported 29% female 12% physician, 40% nurse, 20% paramedic, 28% administrative/ support staff	SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) • Male: 2.2% (74/3299) • Female: 9.3% (130/1404) • Female vs. male: OR 4.45 (3.32-5.96)* • Physician: 4.7% (26.556) • Nurse: 4.1% (77/1870) • Nurse vs. physician: 0.88 (0.56-1.38)* • Paramedic: 2.8% (27/969) • Administrative/support staff: 5.6% (74/1308)	No control for confounders
Amendola et al, 2020 (90) Cross-sectional Italy (Milan); 1 pediatric hospital; 15 April 2020	663 asymptomatic HCWs (547 clinical and 116 nonclinical) • Mean age 44 y • 84% female • 83% clinical HCW, 17% nonclinical HCW ○ 32% physician, 32% nurse, 18% technician, 17% non- clinical hospital employee • 27% labor and delivery, 12% pediatric unit, 10% outpatient clinic, 4% surgical unit, 8% pediatric ER, 7% NICU, 6% PICU, 11% administrative /	SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Female: 4.3% (24/555) Male: 9.3% (10/108) Female vs. male: OR 0.44 (0.21-0.96)* Clinical HCW: 5.1% (28/547) Physician: 4.7% (10/214) Nurse: 6.0% (13/216) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.31 (0.56-3.05)* Other health technicians: 4.2% (5/117) Non-clinical HCW: 5.2% (6/116) Outpatient services: 6.3% (4/63) Surgery: 22.2% (6/27) Pediatric: 1.2% (1/80) Pediatric ER: 1.8% (1/55) NICU: 2.1% (1/47) PICU: 14.3% (6/42) Labor and delivery: 3.3% (6/181) 6 (3.31) Administration/pharmacy/laboratory: 8.6% (6/70) Others: 3.1% (3/98) Direct contact with COVID-19 patient: 6.6% (20/304)	No control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics pharmacy / lab, 15% other • Among HCWs (n=547), 56% direct contact with COVID- 19 patient, 44% no known direct contact	Outcomes • No known direct contact: 3.3% (8/243) • Direct vs. no direct contact with COVID-19 patient: OR 2.07 (0.89-4.78)*	Limitations
Barallat et al 2020 (91) Cross-sectional Spain (Barcelona); HCWs in the Northern Metropolitan Area; 4 to 22 May 2020	7563 HCWs • Mean age 43 y • 76% female • 24% physician, 30% nurse, 11% nursing assistant, 6% heathcare support services, 16% administration, 8% other role • 9.4% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Age • 18-34 y: 10.3% (209/2025); reference • 35-54 y: 9.0% (330/3664); OR 0.86 (0.72-1.03)* • ≥55 y: 10.1% (179/1771); OR 0.98 (0.79=-1.21)* Sex • Female: 9.2% (522/5673) • Male: 10.1% (181/1789) ○ Female vs. male: OR 0.90 (0.75-1.08)* HCW role • Nurse: 9.6% (216/2243) • Nursing assistant: 11.9% (85/832) • Physician: 27.0% (192/1821) ○ Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.90 (0.74-1.11)* ○ Nurse or nursing assistant vs. physician: OR 0.92 (0.76-1.11)* • Heathcare support services: 4.6% (33/429) • Administration: 10.5% (75/1181) • Other role: 7.2% (51/616)	No control for confounders; 81% participation rate
Baker et al 2021 (92) Cross-sectional US (Atlanta); 1 academic health care system including 11 hospitals and 250 locations; 19 April 19 to 26 June 2020	10,275 HCWs Mean age not reported; 16% <30 y, 31% 30-39 y, 22% 40-49 y, 19% 50-59 y, 12% ≥60 y 78% female 31% nurse, 18% physician, 19% other no patient contact; 15% other direct care; 7% advanced practice provider; 4% nurse tech; 3%	Age	35% participation rate; potential recall bias; limited measurement of exposures and PPE

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	radiology tech; 1% respiratory therapist; 0.4% environmental services; 2% not specified 30% Black, 55% white, 12% Asian, 1% multiracial, 0.3% American Indian/Alaska native, 0.2% Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 2% not specified; 96% non- Hispanic 5.7% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Multiracial: 7.6% (10/132); unweighted adjusted OR 1.8 (0.8-3.5) HCW role Nurse: 5.9% (177/2976); unweighted adjusted OR 1.0 (0.7-1.4) Physician: 5.0% (87/1753); unweighted adjusted OR 0.9 (0.6-1.4) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.21 (0.93-1.58)* Other no patient contact: 5.5% (100/1812); reference Other direct care: 6.2% (88/1423); unweighted adjusted OR 1.1 (0.7-1.5) Advanced practice provider: 5.2% (36/698); unweighted adjusted OR 0.9 (0.6-1.5) Nurse tech:8.1% (28/346); unweighted adjusted OR 0.9 (0.5-1.5) Radiology tech: 7.0% (21/302); unweighted adjusted OR 1.3 (0.7-2.2) Respiratory therapist: not reported; unweighted adjusted OR 0.8 (0.3-1.7) Environmental services: not reported; unweighted adjusted OR 1.3 (0.3-4.0) Exposure No patient contact: 4.6% (43/941); reference Home: 4.9% (13/267); unweighted adjusted OR 1.2 (0.6-2.2) Other hospital area: 5.6% (52/926); unweighted adjusted OR 1.2 (0.8-2.0) OR/procedure area: 5.7% (38/672); unweighted adjusted OR 1.2 (0.7-2.0) Outpatient, clinical: 5.4% (90/1675; unweighted adjusted OR 1.4 (0.9-2.2) Inpatient, not COVID-19 focused: 5.8% (93/1594); unweighted adjusted OR 1.5 (1.0-2.4) ED: 6.7% (67/1007); unweighted adjusted OR 1.5 (0.9-2.4) PPE use As recommended: 6.3% (14/221); unweighted adjusted OR 0.8 (0.4-1.4) Unsure: 4.0% (22/546); unweighted adjusted OR 0.6 (0.4-0.9) Not asplicable: 5.9% (149/2523); unweighted adjusted OR 1.1 (0.8-1.3) Community contact with confirmed/suspected positive people No/not sure: 5.4% (478/8862); reference Yes: 10.3% (77/748); unweighted adjusted OR 1.7 (1.3-2.3) 	
Bayle et al 2021 (93) Cross-sectional	241 nursing home HCWsMean age 40 y84% female	Sex • Female: 13.4% (27/202) • Male: 12.8% (5/39)	Participation rate not reported; no control for confounders;
France (Paris); 4 nursing homes; 16 to 29 April 2020	63% nurse and nursing assistant, 3% medical staff, 6% allied health, 8%	 Male: 12.6% (5/39) Female vs. male: OR 1.05 (0.38-2.92)* HCW role Nurse and nursing assistant: 15.2% (23/151) Medical staff: 0% (0/6) 	imprecise estimates

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics clerical, 14% environmental staff, 5% food service • 13.3% SARS-CoV-2	Outcomes Outcomes Nurse and nursing assistant vs. medical staff: OR 2.38 (0.13-43.64)* Clerical staff: 0% (0/20) Allied health: 13.3% (2/15) Environmental staff: 12.1% (4/33)	Limitations
Bouwman et al 2021(94) Cross-sectional The Netherlands (Northern Limburg); 1 teaching hospital; 22 June to 3 July 2020	infection (PCR) 2507 HCWs Median age 45 y 82% female 8% physician, 30% nurse, 18% paramedical staff, 44% other staff 21.1% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Food service: 25.0% (3/12) HCW role (reference no direct patient contact, 15.6% [137/877]) Physician: 17.8% (36/202); adjusted OR 0.60 (0.35-1.03) Nurse: 30.2% (225/745); adjusted OR 1.21 (0.79-1.84) Paramedical: 16.1% (74/459); adjusted OR 0.66 (0.45-0.98) Other HCW with direct patient contact: 25.9% (58/224); adjusted OR 1.08 (0.69-1.70) Department (reference department with no direct patient contact, 15.4% [147/951]) Physician vs. role with no direct patient contact: adjusted OR 0.60 (0.35-1.03) Nurse vs. role with no direct patient contact: adjusted OR 1.21 (0.79-1.84) COVID-19 nursing ward: 29.2% (193/660); adjusted OR 1.86 (0.93-3.74) ICU: 14.1% (28/199); adjusted OR 1.01 (0.43-2.33) Pediatrics: 24.4% (11/45); adjusted OR 1.93 (0.67-5.55) Emergency department: 26.1% (23/88); adjusted OR 1.41 (0.58-3.45) Housekeeping: 18.0% (9/50); adjusted OR 1.05 (0.49-2.26) General surgery: 37.9% (39/103); adjusted OR 3.39 (1.32-8.71) Exposure COVID-19 contact No known COVID-19 contact: 11.7% (87/745); reference COVID-19 patient contact: 27.4% (299/1088); adjusted OR 1.62 (0.80-3.33) COVID-19 co-worker contact: 23.4% (215/919); adjusted OR 1.83 (1.15-2.93) COVID-19 household contact: 51.8% (44/85); adjusted OR 6.09 (2.23-16.64) Hospital ward No direct patient contact: 15.4% (147/951); reference COVID-19 confirmed nursing ward: 29.2% (193/660); adjusted OR 0.82 (0.53-1.25) Non-COVID-19 nursing ward: 24.1% (45/187); adjusted OR 0.95 (0.58-1.55) 	76% participation rate; potential recall bias; limited control of confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes Outpatient department: 16.8% (52/309); adjusted OR 0.80 (0.53-1.19)	Limitations
Brousseau et al 2021 (12) Cross-sectional Canada (Quebec); 10 hospitals; 6 July to 24 September 2020 Added for Update Alert #11	2056 HCWs • Mean age not reported; 21% 18-29 y, 31% 30-39 y, 27% 40-49 y, 17% 50-59 y, 4% ≥60 y • 76% female • 77% White, 7% Black, 2% Hispanic, 13% other race/ethnicity • 21% physician, 58% nurse, 6% nursing assistant, 10% orderly, 5% cleaning staff • 11.7% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Race/Ethnicity Black or Hispanic: 23.4% (45/192); adjusted RR 1.41 (1.13-1.76) Other race/ethnicity: 12.2% (33/270); adjusted RR 0.89 (1.67-1.18) White: 10.2% (163/1594); reference HCW role Nurse/nursing assistant: 12.3% (163/1321); adjusted RR 1.34 (1.03-1.74) Orderly: 17.9% (36/201); adjusted RR 1.49 (1.12-1.97) Cleaning staff: 10.8% (11/102); adjusted RR 0.88 (0.50-1.54) Physician: 7.2% (31/432); reference Department ICU: 6.2% (29/469); adjusted RR 0.47 (0.30-0.71) ED: 7.9% (46/580); adjusted RR 0.61 (0.39-0.98) COVID-19 unit: 23.2% (101/436); adjusted RR 1.48 (0.93-2.36) Other unit: 10.5% (14/133); adjusted RR 1.03 (0.70-1.50) Non-COVID-19 unit: 11.6% (51/438); reference Exposure ≥3 days/week working in SARS-CoV-2 unit: 14.0% (173/1233); adjusted RR 1.07 (0.74-1.56) ≤2 days/week working in SARS-CoV-2 unit: 5.7% (5/87); reference	47% participation rate; limited control for confounders; potential recall bias
Clifton et al 2021 (95) Cross-sectional United States (New York City); COVID-19 government-administered treatment facility; 28 to 30 April 2020	 336 military HCWs Median age 32 y 40% female 30% nurse, 7% physician or physician assistant, 28% medical support (LPN, medic, therapist or other specialty) 1.8% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) 	Sex Female: 1.5% (2/135) Male: 2.0% (4/201) Female vs. male: OR 0.74 (0.13-4.10)* HCW role Nurse: 1.0% (1/100) Physician or physician assistant: 0% (0/25) Nurse vs. physician or physician assistant: OR 0.77 (0.03-19.44)* Medical support: 1.1% (1/94) Other role: 3.4% (4/117) Exposure Direct contact with COVID-19 patients: 0.9% (2/223) No direct contact with COVID-19 patients: 3.5% (4/113) Direct contact vs. no direct contact: OR 0.25 (0.04-1.37)* Performed aerosol-generating procedures: 0% (0/26) Did not perform aerosol-generating procedures: 1.9% (6/310)	57% participation rate; no control for confounders; imprecise estimates

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 Performed vs. did not perform aerosol-generating procedures: OR 0.88 (0.05-16.12)* Reported break in PPE: 2.8% (1/36) Did not report break in PPE: 1.7% (5/300) Reported vs. did not report break in PPE: OR 1.69 (0.19-14.84)* 	
Cruz-Arenas et al 2021 (96) Cross-sectional Mexico (Mexico City); 1 hospital; 10 August to 9 September 2020	299 HCWs • Mean age not reported; 14% <30 y, 42% 30-44 y, 38% 45-49 y, 7% ≥60 y • 65% female • 19% medical personnel,19% nurse, 21% administration, 5% research, 5% orderly, 6% technician, 16% therapist, 4% janitor, 3% security, 2% food services • 13.0% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Sex Female: 15.4% (30/195); reference Male: 8.7% (9/104); adjusted OR 0.31 (0.11-0.90) HCW role Nurse: 12.5% (7/56); adjusted OR 0.71 (0.18-2.78) Medical personnel: 5.2% (3/58); adjusted OR 0.32 (0.06-1.66) Research: 6.2% (1/16); adjusted OR 1.27 (0.13-12.23) Orderly: 6.2% (1/16); adjusted OR 0.77 (0.07-8.41) Technician: 11.1% (2/18); adjusted OR 0.73 (0.09-5.60) Therapist: 12.8% (6/47); adjusted OR 1.81 (0.48-6.83) Janitor: 45.4% (5/11); adjusted OR 13.70 (2.85-65.77) Security: 62.5% (5/8); adjusted OR 12.35 (1.32-115.01) Food service: 20.0% (1/5); adjusted OR 5.58 (0.47-66.31) Administration: 12.5% (8/64); reference	Participation rate unclear; no control for exposures/PPE; some estimates very imprecise
Dacosta-Urbieta et al, 2020 (97) Cross-sectional Spain (Galacia); 1 pediatric hospital; 14 to 16 April 2020	 175 HCWs Mean age not reported; 18% ≥55 y Gender not reported 33% physician, 47% nurse/nurse's aide 47%, 13% resident, 7% other role 	SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Nurse/nurse's aide: 4.8% (4/83) Physician/resident: 3.8% (3/80) Nurse/nurse's aide vs. physician/resident: OR 1.30 (0.28-6.00)*	No control for confounders
Dalla Volta et al, 2020 (98) Cross-sectional Italy (Brescia); 1 oncology unit; 24 February to 19 May 2020	76 HCWs • Median age 46 y • 75% female • 49% nurse, 22% oncologist, 16% resident, 1% psychologist, 7% data manager, 5% administrative	SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Physician/resident: 20.7% (6/29) Nurse: 5.4% (2/37) Nurse vs. physician/resident: OR 0.22 (0.04-1.18)* Administrative: 50% (2/4)	No control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	80% asymptomatic		
Davido et al 2021 (99) Cross-sectional France (Paris environs); 1 hospital; 5 March to 10 May 2020	99 HCWs (symptomatic or contact with COVID-19 patient) • Median age 44 y • 73% female • 47% nurse or nursing assistant, 18% physician, 21% support staff, 13% administration • 28.3% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	Age	50% participation rate; potential recall bias; some missing data
Davila-Conn et al 2022 (19) Cross-sectional Mexico (Mexico City); October 2020 to June 2021 Added for Update Alert #11	883 HCWs Median age 36 y 70% female 24% physician, 28% nurse, 4% lab technician, 22% administration, 22 other role 32.8% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Prevalent cases Sex Female: 25.4% (158/620); adjusted OR 0.86 (0.58-1.29) Male: 29.0% (76/262); reference HCW role Physician: 22.2% (47/212); reference Nurse: 31.1% (76/244); adjusted OR 1.02 (0.57-1.81) Lab technician: 42.1% (16/38); adjusted OR 4.38 (1.75-10.93) Administration: 22.6% (44/195); adjusted OR 1.18 (0.64-2.17) Other role: 26.4% (50/189); adjusted OR 1.40 (0.79-2.50) Exposure Frequent contact with COVID-19 patient: 30.7%% (113/367); No or occasional contact with COVID-19 patient: 23.5% (121/514); reference PPE	Participation rate unclear; potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 Always or generally used PPE: 25.6% (208/812); adjusted OR 0.60 (0.32-1.13) Sometimes or never used PPE: 37.7% (26/69); reference Always or generally used a face mask: 26.4% (231/875); adjusted OR 0.44 (0.05-3.91) Sometimes or never used a face mask: 50.0% (3/6); reference <i>Incident cases</i> Sex Female vs. male: adjusted HR 1.54 (95% CI 0.81-2.94)* Age (comparison unclear): adjusted HR 0.80 (0.58-1.10) Occupation Physician: Reference Nurse: adjusted HR 0.94 (95% CI 0.40 to 2.19) Administrative: adjusted HR 1.55 (95% CI 0.68-3.52) Other: adjusted HR 0.23 (95% CI 0.06-81) Exposure Contact with patient with COVID-19 (frequently vs. never/occasionally): adjusted HR 1.18 (95% CI 0.55 to 2.50) Contact with any person with COVID-19 (yes vs. no/unknown): <i>adjusted HR</i> 2.45 (95% CI 1.21-5.00) PPE Use of PPE (yes vs. no): adjusted HR 0.38 (95% CI 0.14 to 1.01) 	
Ebinger et al 2021 (100) Cross-sectional USA (Los Angeles); health system; beginning 11 May 2020 (end date not reported)	6,062 HCWs • Mean age 41 y • 68% female • 50% white race, 31% Asian, 6% Black, 13% other • HCW role not reported • 3.5% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Age	Some data discrepancies; potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 Cancer: 1.5% (3/198)^ Cardiovascular: 1.6% (2/129)^ COPD: 0% (0/84)^ Diabetes mellitus: 2.1% (8/378)^ HTN: 2.6% (26/993)^ BMI ≥30 kg/m²: 3.1% (32/1030)^ Exposure Regular contact with patients with COVID-19: 6.0% (86/1444)^ Work in unit housing/caring for patients with COVID-19: 5.5% (93/1693); adjusted OR 1.61 (95% CI 1.18 to 2.18) 	
Elfstrom et al 2021 (101) Cross-sectional Sweden (Stockholm); 1 hospital; 23 April to 24 June 2020	12,928 HCWs • Mean age not reported; 12% ≤29 y, 24% 30-29 y, 25% 40-49 y, 24% 50-59 y, 15% ≥60 y • 79% female • 18% physician, 31% nurse or midwife, 20% nursing assistant, 31% other role • 11.4% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Age	Limited control for exposures and no control for PPE use
Eyre et al 2020 (102) Cross-sectional	10034 asymptomatic HCWs • Mean age not	SARS-Cov-2 seropositivity or infection (PCR) Age, per 10 years: OR 0.95 (0.90-1.00) Sex	Potential recall bias; 73% participation rate; limited control of
UK (Oxfordshire); Oxford University hospitals; 23 April to 8 June 2020	reported 74% female; 0.05% transgender; 0.15% not reported 72% white, 17% Asian, 4% Black, >1% Chinese, 2%	 Female: 10.9% (812/7452) Male: 12.2% (313/2562) Female vs. male: OR 0.88 (0.76-1.01)^ Transgender: 20.0% (1/5) Race/ethnicity White: 9.5% (686/7237); reference Asian: 16.8% (281/1673); adjusted OR 1.51 (1.28-1.77) 	exposures and PPE

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	mixed race, 4% other/not reported • 40% nurse or healthcare assistant, 16% physician, 12% administration, 4% laboratory, 3% cleaning staff, 3% physical, occupational or speech/ language therapist, 2% security or catering, 21% other role • SARS-CoV-2 infection (seropositive or PCR): 11.2% (1128/10034)	 Black: 18.0% (71/394); adjusted OR 1.66 (1.25-2.21) Chinese: 7.5% (7/93); adjusted OR 0.75 (0.34-1.67) Mixed race/ethnicity: 11.6% (28/242); adjusted OR .23 (0.82-1.87) HCW role Nurse/healthcare assistant: 14.2% (562/3971) Physician: 10.9% (170/1557) Nurse/healthcare assistant vs. physician: OR 1.35 (1.12-1.61)* Administration: 7.2% (88/1218) Laboratory staff: 8.2% (29/354) Cleaning staff: 18.6% (60/323) Therapist: 14.9% (47/316) Security or catering: 11.8% (26/221) Exposure Do not work in COVID-19 unit: 8.6% (631/7369); reference Work in COVID-19 unit: 22.6% (358/1586); adjusted OR 2.47 (1.99-3.08) Work in any unit: 12.9% (139/1079); adjusted OR 1.39 (1.04-1.85) SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity (based on presence of IgG antibodies n=9956) Sex (vs. female) Male: adjusted OR 1.19 (1.01-1.40) Transgender: adjusted OR 3.32 (0.35-31.09) Race/ethnicity (vs. white) Asian: adjusted OR 1.52 (1.29-1.80) Black: adjusted OR 1.51 (1.28-2.28) Chinese: adjusted OR 0.67 (0.29-1.59) Mixed race/ethnicity: adjusted OR 1.30 (0.86-1.97) HCW role (vs. administration) Nurse/healthcare assistant: adjusted OR 1.33 (0.94-1.87) Senior physician: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.60-1.54) Cleaning staff: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.60-1.54) Cleaning staff: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.60-1.54) Cleaning staff: adjusted OR 0.70 (0.39-1.25) ED: adjusted OR 1.06 (0.69-1.64) General, urologic, plastic or cardiovascular surgery: adjusted OR 0.90 (0.61-1.33) Hematology or oncology: adjusted OR 1.96 (1.32-2.92) 	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Faico-Filho et al, 2020 (103) Cross-sectional Brazil (Sao Paolo); 1 hospital; 22 March to 22 April 2020	466 symptomatic HCWs • Median age 39 y • 72% female • 27% nurse technician, 11% nurse, 23% physician, 3% laboratory personnel, 19% administrative staff, 6% logistic worker, 10% other HCW role	 Respiratory infectious disease: adjusted OR 0.76 (0.45-1.28) ICU: adjusted OR 0.47 (0.30-0.74) Medicine: adjusted OR 1.47 (1.02-2.11) Ob/Gyn: adjusted OR 0.68 (0.38-1.23) Ophthalmology, ENT surgery, or maxillofacial surgery: adjusted OR 0.73 (0.37-1.42) Pediatrics: adjusted OR 0.82 (0.55-1.24) Radiology: adjusted OR 0.90 (0.54-1.50) Specialist medicine: adjusted OR 0.87 (0.63-1.21) Trauma and orthopedics, rheumatology: adjusted OR 1.83 (1.23 to 2.72) Exposure (vs. no) Exposure to known or suspected COVID-19 patient without PPE: adjusted OR 1.47 (1.26-1.70) Work in COVID-19 patient area, yes: adjusted OR 2.39 (1.91-3.00) Work in any area: adjusted OR 1.38 (1.03-1.84) Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR): 36.2% (169/466) Direct patient contact: 33.8% (109/322) Nurse or nurse technician: 40.1% (73/182) Physician: 24.2% (26/107) Laboratory personnel: 28.6% (4/14) Nurse or nurse technician vs. physician: OR 2.09 (95% CI 1.23-3.55) No direct patient contact: 41.7% (60/144) Administrative staff: 31.1% (28/90) Logistic worker: 63.0% (17/27) 	Participation rate unclear; no control for confounders
Fitzpatrick et al 2021 (104) Cross-sectional	 16,233 HCWs Mean age 42 y 86% female 0.4% American Indian, 5% Asian, 4% 	Age • 18-31 y: 5.5% (226/4073); reference • 32-40 y: 3.1% (126/4124); adjusted OR 0.54 (0.43-0.68) • 41-52 y: 3.6% (147/4122); adjusted OR 0.66 (0.53-0.83) • 53-82 y: 3.1% (123/3914); adjusted OR 0.55 (0.44-0.69)	23% participation rate; potential selection bias
United States (Illinois and Wisconsin); healthcare system that includes 26 hospitals and 500 clinical sites; 8 June to 10 July 2020	Black, 6% Mixed, 85% White; 6% Hispanic • 14% COVID-19 clinical role, 57%	Race • American Indian: 3.6% (2/56); adjusted OR 0.85 (0.20-3.55) • Asian: 5.8% (46/787); adjusted OR 1.87 (1.37-2.57) • Black: 10.6% (62/587); adjusted OR 3.86 (2.91-5.12) • Mixed: 6.6% (60/912); adjusted OR 1.99 (1.50-2.63) • White: 3.1% (423/13500); reference	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics other clinical role, 28% nonclinical role	Outcomes Ethnicity Hispanic: 6.8% (66/968); adjusted OR 1.80 (1.34-2.42) Non-Hispanic: 3.6% (556/15265); reference HCW role COVID-19 clinical: 6.5% (153/2349); adjusted OR 1.86 (1.45-2.40) Other clinical: 3.5% (330/9308); adjusted OR 1.09 (0.88-1.35)	Limitations
Fletcher et al 2021 (105) Cross-sectional United States (Michigan); health system; 17 August to 4 September 2020 (Study Period 1)	Study Period 1 1385 HCWs Mean age 40 y 80% female 92% white, 2% Hispanic, 1% Black, 2% Asian-Pacific Islander, 0.7% Arabic, 2% other race/ethnicity 10% interprofessional, 8% ancillary role, 32% nonclinical role 1.9% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	 Nonclinical: 3.0% (139/4576); reference Age (years): mean 36 (cases) vs. 40 (non-cases), p=0.08 Sex Female: 1.6% (18/1104); OR 0.56 (0.24-1.30)* Male: 2.9% (8/279); reference Race/ethnicity White: 1.6% (20/1274); reference Hispanic/Latino: 2.9% (1/34); OR 1.90 (0.25-14.58)* Black: 4.8% (1/21); OR 3.14 (0.40-24.51)* Asian/Pacific Islander: 0% (0/22); OR 1.36 (0.08-23.19)* Arabic: 10.0% (1/10); OR 6.97 (0.84-57.62)* Other race/ethnicity: 12.5% (3/24); OR 8.96 (2.47-32.47)* HCW role Clinical provider: 2.4% (17/708); reference Interprofessional: 0.8% (1/132); OR 0.31 (0.04-2.35)* Ancillary: 1.0% (1/105); OR 0.39 (0.05-2.97)* Nonclinical role: 1.6% (7/440); OR 0.66 (0.27-1.60)* Exposure Exposed to COVID-19 outside of work but not in household: 5.7% (7/122); OR 0.54 (0.16-1.78)* Exposed to COVID-19 in household: 10.2% (5/49); reference PPE use at work Yes: 2.0% (26/1303); OR 0.98 (0.06-16.48)* Sometimes: 0% (0/58) No: 0% (0/23); reference Mask use N95: 2.2% (10/453); OR 1.25 (0.55-2.85)* Surgical mask: 1.8% (14/792); reference CAPR: 0% (0/41); OR 0.65 (0.04-11.03)* Mix of N95 or CAPR: 2.0% (2/99); OR 1.15 (0.26-5.12)* 	Approximately 50% participation rate; potential recall bias; some imprecise estimates; no control for confounders
Fletcher et al 2021 (105)	Study Period 2 1445 HCWs	Age (years): mean 38.9 vs. 40.3, p=0.13 Sex	Approximately 50% participation rate;

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Cross-sectional United States (Michigan); health system; 2 to 23 December 2020 (Study Period 2)	Mean age 40 y 80% female 90% white, 3% Hispanic, 2% Black, 2% Asian-Pacific Islander, 0.5% Arabic, 3% other race/ethnicity 55% clinical provider, 15% interprofessional, 6% ancillary role, 23% nonclinical role 13.7% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	 Female: 13.8% (159/1156); OR 1.05 (0.72-1.53)* Male: 13.2% (38/288); reference Race/ethnicity White: 13.5% (176/1303); reference Hispanic/Latino: 20.5% (8/39); OR 1.65 (0.75-3.65)* Black: 26.1% (6/23); OR 2.26 (0.88-5.81)* Asian/Pacific Islander: 0% (4/33); OR 0.88 (0.31-2.54)* Arabic: 12.5% (1/8); OR 0.91 (0.11-7.48)* Other race/ethnicity: 7.7% (3/39); OR 0.53 (0.16-1.75)* HCW role Clinical provider: 13.4% (107/800); reference Interprofessional: 14.9% (33/222); OR 1.13 (0.74-1.72)* Ancillary: 10.6% (10/94); OR 0.77 (0.39-1.53)* Nonclinical role: 14.6% (48/329); OR 1.11 (0.77-1.60)* Exposure Exposed to COVID-19 outside of work but not in household: 21.0% (48/229); OR 0.56 (0.34-0.90)* Exposed to COVID-19 in household: 32/3% (43/133); reference PPE use at work Yes: 13.7% (189/1382); OR 0.36 (0.11-1.17)* Sometimes: 10% (5/50); OR 0.25 (0.06-1.12)* No: 30.8% (4/13); reference Sometimes: 10% (5/50); OR 0.70 (0.27-1.79)* Mask use N95: 14.5% (101/695); OR 1.18 (0.86-1.62)* Surgical mask: 12.6% (80/635); reference CAPR: 0% (7/31); OR 2.02 (0.84-4.85)* N95 or CAPR: 11.8% (10/85); OR 0.39 (0.46-1.86)* 	potential recall bias; some imprecise estimates; no control for confounders
Forrest et al 2021 (106)	14,600 HCWs • Mean age 42 y	n/N not reported for COVID-19 diagnosis Age	Participation rate not calculable; potential
Cross-sectional United States; national registry of HCWs; 10	76% female4% Black, 79%White, 6% Asian or Pacific Islander, 4%	 18-29 y: reference 30-49 y: adjusted OR 1.26 (0.87-1.81) 50-64 y: adjusted OR 1.38 (0.91-2.08) ≥65 y: adjusted OR 1.13 (0.48-2.64) 	selection and recall bias
April to 31 July 2020	other race; 8% Hispanic/Latino Clinical roles: 33% nurse, 18%	Sex • Female: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.72-1.29) • Male: reference Race/ethnicity	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	physician, 3% intern/resident, 3% physician's assistant, 5% nurse practitioner, 2% respiratory therapist, 2% physical therapist, 1% medical assistant; Nonclinical roles: 5% administration, 20% other nonclinical role 3.8% SARS-CoV-2 infection (seropositive, PCR or physician diagnosis)	 Black: adjusted OR 2.32 (1.45-3.70) Hispanic: adjusted OR 2.19 (1.55-3.08) Asian/Pacific Islander: adjusted OR 1.39 (0.91-2.14) Other race/ethnicity: adjusted OR 1.16 (0.62-2.17) White: reference HCW role Nurse: reference Physician: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.49-1.02) Intern/resident: adjusted OR 0.77 (0.40-1.48) Paramedic/EMT: adjusted OR 0.67 (0.24-1.81) Physician's assistant: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.48-1.90) Nurse practitioner: adjusted OR 1.18 (0.69-2.01) Respiratory therapist: adjusted OR 0.95 (0.36-2.51) Physical therapist: adjusted OR 1.42 (0.67-2.99) Medical assistant: adjusted OR 1.27 (0.46-3.45) Administration: adjusted OR 1.10 (0.61-1.66) Laboratory technician: adjusted OR 0.54 (0.16-1.79) Pharmacist: adjusted OR 0.29 (0.09-0.97) Dietary/food services: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.18-2.78) Other role: adjusted OR 0.80 (0.58-1.11) Exposure High-risk setting (ICU, ED, urgent care centers, COVID-19 therapeutic or diagnostic units, respiratory services, anaesthesia, EMS): adjusted OR 0.95 (0.72-1.25) Low-risk setting (all other settings): reference 	
Fukuda et al 2021 (107) Cross-sectional Japan (Tokyo); university hospital; 6	 4147 HCWs Mean age 37 y 64% female 32% nurse, 27% physician, 6% 	Age <40 y: 0.3% (9/2706); reference ≥40 y: 0.3% (5/1,441); OR 1.04 (0.35-3.12)* Sex Female: 0.4% (10/2649); OR 1.42 (0.44-4.52)* Malar 0.2% (4/4/400); references	Participation rate not reported; no control for confounders
July to 21 August 2021	laboratory personnel, 8% paramedic, 12% administration, 15% researcher, 0.9% other • 0.3% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	 Male: 0.3% (4/1498); reference HCW role Nurse: 0.2% (3/1308); OR 0.51 (0.12-2.13)* Physician: 0.4% (5/1111); reference Laboratory personnel: 0% (0/236) Paramedic: 0.6% (2/314) Administrative staff: 0.6% (3/510) Researcher: 0.2% (1/632) 	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		• Other role: 0% (0/36)	
Fusco et al, 2020 (108) Cross-sectional Italy; single hospital; 23 March to 2 April 2020	115 HCWs in high-risk departments • Median age 43 y • 49% female • 23% physician, 50% nurse, 27% other HCW role 92% direct patient care	Incidence of COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2 infection or IgG seropositivity): 3.5% (4/115) Female: 1.8% (1/55) Male: 5.1% (3/59) Female vs. male: OR 0.35 (0.03-3.43) Physician: 3.8% (1/26) Nurse: 3.5% (2/57) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.91 (0.08-10.50) Other HCW role: 3.1% (1/32) ED: 3.4% (2/59) Medical division: 1.8% (1/56) Direct patient care: 3.7% (4/107) No direct patient care: 0% (0/8) 30 days exposure to patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19: 4.3% (2/46) <30 days exposure to patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19: 2.9% (2/69) Participation in a PPE training event: 4.1% (4/98) No participation in a PPE training event: 0% (0/13) PPE training vs. no training: 1.27 (0.06-24.97)	No control for confounders; estimates imprecise
Garralda Fernandez et al 2021 (109) Cross-sectional Spain (Fuenlabrada); 1 teaching hospital; 14 April to 13 May	 2439 HCWs Mean age 42 y 78% female HCW role not reported 19.9% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity or infection (PCR) 	Sex • Female: 18.5% (353/1911) • Male: 25.0% (132/528) • Female vs. male: <i>OR 0.68 (0.54-0.85)</i> * HCW role (n/N not reported; vs. administrative staff and management) • Doctor: 25.4%; OR 2.13 (1.53–2.96) • Nurse assistant: 22.0%; OR 1.77 (1.26–2.49) • Security: 21.1%; OR 1.68 (1.02–2.78) • Nurse: 19.4%; OR 1.51 (1.09–2.09) • Technician: 18.1%; OR 1.39 (0.87–2.21) • Cleaners: 16.9%; OR 1.27 (0.68–2.39)	No control for confounders; 81% participation rate
Gelanew et al 2022 (22) Cross-sectional	1997 HCWs • Mean age 34 y • 52% female • 28% nurse, 19% physician, 11%	Age • 19-24 y: adjusted OR 1.27 (0.9-1.9) • 25-34 y: adjusted OR 1.1 (0.9-1.5) • 35-49 y: reference • ≥50 y: adjusted OR 1.2 (0.8-1.8)	24% participation rate; potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Ethiopia (countrywide); 5 hospitals; December 2020 to February 2021 Added for Update Alert #11	laboratory personnel, 17% administration, 24% role not reported • 39.8% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Sex Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.1 (0.92-1.4) HCW role Nurse: adjusted OR 1.0 (0.8-1.4) Physician: reference Laboratory technician: adjusted OR 1.3 (0.9-1.9) Administration: adjusted OR 1.01 (0.8-1.5) Other role: adjusted OR 1.3 (0.9-1.7) Exposure Close contact with a COVID-19-infected patient (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.4 (1.1-1.8) 	
Goenka et al 2020 (110) Cross-sectional India (region not described); 1 hospital; 12 July to 23 August 2020	1,122 HCWs Mean age not reported; 59% age 30-50 y 34% female 23% physician, 20% nurse, 20% housekeeping, 9% technician, 7% administrative, 6% lab assistant/ pharmacist, 6% ward executive, 4% dietician, 4% other role 11.9% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	HCW role Nurse: 9.4% (21/224) Physician: 3.9% (10/255) Nurse vs. physician: adjusted OR 1.61 (0.62-4.35) Housekeeping: 26.1% (59/226) Technician: 12.1% (12/99) Administration: 8.0% (6/75) Lab assistant/pharmacist: 15.3% (11/72) Dietician: 18.4% (9/49) Ward executive: 7.0% (5/71) Other role: 2.0% (1/51) Exposure High-risk HCW (work in COVID-19 unit or ICU or regularly involved in testing or investigating of COVID-19 patients): 19.9% (27/136) Intermediate-risk HCW (workers not meeting high-risk or low-risk criteria): 11.1% (101/911) Low-risk HCW (no direct contact with patients or their belongings): 8.0% (6/75) High-risk vs. low-risk: adjusted OR 0.93 (0.13-6.79) High-risk vs. low-risk: adjusted OR 0.75 (0.11-5.15) Time spent in hospital in a week ≺48 hours: 14.0% (102/731) 48 hours or more: 7.8% (29/373) ≺48 vs. 48 hours or more: 7.8% (29/373) ≺48 vs. 48 hours or more: OR 1.92 (1.25-2.97)* Diabetes Yes: 9.2% (6/65)	Potential recall bias; no control for confounders for some analyses; participation rate unclear

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
24100		No: 12.1% (128/1057)	
		o Diabetes (yes vs. no): OR 0.74 (0.31-1.74)*	
		Hypertension/coronary artery disease	
		 Yes: 4.7% (5/107) No: 12.7% (129/1015) 	
		 No. 12.7% (129/1015) Hypertension/coronary artery disease (yes vs. no): OR 0.34 (0.13-0.84)* 	
		Lung disease	
		Yes: 9.7% (3/31)	
		• No: 12.0% (131/1091)	
		 Lung disease (yes vs. no): OR 0.79 (0.24-2.62)* 	
		BCG vaccine	
		• Yes: 7.3% (41/561)	
		 No: 16.9% (13/77) BCG vaccine (yes vs. no): OR 0.39 (0.20-0.76)* 	
		MMR vaccine	
		Yes: 8.6% (29/336)	
		• No: 8.2% (25/303)	
		o MMR vaccine (yes vs. no): OR 1.05 (0.60-1.84)*	
		Hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis	
		Adequate dose (400 mg/week for >6 weeks): 1.3% (1/77)	
		• Inadequate dose: 11.2% (18/160)	
		Not received: 12.3% (115/885) I hadron ablanca via a postable design with a degree description of the degree description.	
		 Hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis with adequate dose vs. inadequate dose or no prophylaxis: OR 0.09 (0.01-0.65)* 	
Gohil et al 2021 (13)	654 HCWs	Age	50% participation rate;
	Mean age 40 y	• <35 y: reference	limited control for
Cross-sectional	• 72% female	• 35 to <50: adjusted OR 1.53 (0.88-2.69)	confounders potential
United States	• 47% Asian, 27%	• 50 to <60: adjusted OR 1.68 (0.82-3.45)	recall bias
(California); academic	White, 20% other race/ethnicity, 15%	>60: adjusted OR 1.42 (0.45-4.44) Sex	
medical center; 1	Latino. 2% Black	 Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.56 (0.25-0.96) 	
January to 15 April	• 15% physician, 58%	Race/ethnicity	
2020	nurse, 7% nurse	 Latino (vs. not Latino): adjusted OR 2.1 (1.12-3.96) 	
Added for the date	aide, 2% physical or	HCW role (reference registered nurse for roles other than registered nurse	
Added for Update Alert #11	occupational	[unclear])	
AIGIL # I I	therapist, 2%	Registered nurse: adjusted OR 1.29 (0.57-2.95) Note: The content of the con	
	environmental service, 5% other	Nurse aide: adjusted OR: adjusted OR 1.02 (0.20-5.74) Plant I (2.40-6.40) Replace (0.40-6.40)	
	Service, 570 other	Physical/occupational therapist: adjusted OR 1.05 (0.12-9.36)	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Dates	Characteristics patient care, 9% not direct patient care 13.3% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive; analyses evaluated risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection (defined as sero- or PCR-positive)	 Outcomes Respiratory therapist: adjusted OR 0.47 (0.20-1.13) Physician: adjusted OR 0.32 (0.07-1.43) Environmental services: adjusted OR 0.19 (0.02-1.69) Other direct patient care: adjusted OR 0.95 (0.41-2.19) Not direct patient care: adjusted OR 1.29 (0.57-2.95) COVID patient care: adjusted OR 1.10 (0.64–1.88) Exposure Direct COVID-19 patient care (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.10 (0.64-1.88) ICU (yes vs no): adjusted OR 0.75 (0.41-1.37) HCP COVID outbreak unit (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 2.21 (1.28-3.81) Designated COVID care unit (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 0.53 (0.30-0.94) 	Limitations
Gupta et al 2021 (111) Cross-sectional India (New Delhi); one hospital; 22 June to 24 July 2020	 3739 HCWs Mean age 34 y 41% female 32% nurse, 18% physician, 20% paramedical staff, 11% technical staff, 14% administration 13.0% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive 	Sex	82% participation rate; potential recall bias; no control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Guerrero Torres et al 2021 (112)	1310 HCWs hospitalized due to SARS-CoV-2 infection	Non-HCWs vs. HCWs Age • 30 y: reference	Potential selection bias due to database enrollment methods
Cross-sectional Mexico (Mexico City); government database of SARS-CoV-2 infections; 27 February to 31 August 2020	 Mean age 46 y 44% female 38% nurse, 28% physician, 34% other role 24461 non-HCWs hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 infection Mean age 58 y 36% female 	 50 y: adjusted OR 2.31 (2.10-2.54) 60 y: adjusted OR 3.65 (3.31-4.01) Sex Female: reference Male: adjusted OR 1.60 (1.51-1.69) Role Non-HCW: reference Nurse: adjusted OR 0.29 (0.20-0.42) Physician: adjusted OR 0.60 (0.48-0.75) Other HCW role: adjusted OR 0.61 (0.49-0.77) 	
Herzberg et al 2021 (113) Cross-sectional Germany (Schleswig- Holstein); single hospital; March to June 2020	871 asymptomatic HCWs • Mean age 40 y • 75% female • 17% physician, 34% nurse, 11% students or trainees • 2.6% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Age Age, per 10 years: adjusted OR 1.50 (1.19 to 1.90) Sex Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.91 (0.42-2.00) Other population characteristics Body mass index, per increase of 5 kg/m²: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.56-0.94) Exposure Direct patient care, yes vs. no: adjusted OR 0.85 (0.41 to 1.74)	Details on multivariate analysis lacking; no control for PPE and limited control for exposures
Hunter et al, 2020 (114) Cross-sectional United States (Indiana); 18 locations within one healthcare system; dates not reported	734 HCWs without active symptoms or previously confirmed COVID-19 who volunteered and completed study • Mean age: 42.8 y • 70.1% female 38.0% physicians, 43.2% nurses, 12.8% RTs, 6.0% Admin	Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies: Physician/APP: 1.1% (3/279) Nurses: 2.2% (7/317) Nurse vs. physician: OR 2.08 (0.53-8.11)* RTs: 0% (0/94) Administrative: 4.5% (2/44) High risk: 1.3% (5/385) Low risk: 2.0% (7/349)	Number of tests allocated according to assessed risk; testing protocol varied according to hospital risk (only offered to ED and COVID units in high risk hospitals); no control for confounders
Jacob et al 2021 (115) Cross-sectional	24749 HCWs • Mean age not reported; 18% <30 y,	Sex • Female: 4.3% (840/19361); adjusted OR 0.8 (0.7-1.0) • Male: 4.5% (240/5378); reference	Participation rate not reported; potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
United States (Atlanta GA, Baltimore MD and Chicago IL); 4 healthcare systems; 19 April to 30 August 2020	30% 30-39 y, 21% 40-49 y, 18% 50-59 y, 12% ≥60 y • 78% female • 61% white, 21% Black, 10% Asian, 4% unknown race, 3% other race, 1% multiracial, 0.4% American Indian or Alaska Native; 4% Hispanic • 32% nurse, 18% physician, 21% nonclinical, 8% other direct care personnel, 6% nurse practitioner or physician's assistant, 5% nurse assistant or patient care technician, 2% radiology, 2% respiratory therapist, 2% physical, occupational or speech therapist, 2% other professional, 1% pharmacy, <1% environmental service, <1% unknown role • 4.4% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Age <30 y: 5.3% (239/4545); adjusted OR 1.3 (1.0-1.7) 30-39 y: 4.4% (328/7454); adjusted OR 1.1 (0.9-1.4) 40-49 y: 4.4% (228/5234); adjusted OR 1.0 (0.8-1.3) 50-59 y: 3.9% (178/4578); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.7-1.2) ≥60 y: 3.6% (107/2938); reference Race White: 3.3% (499/15157); reference Black: 7.3% (376/5117); adjusted OR 2.1 (1.8-2.4) Asian: 4.5% (107/2369); adjusted OR 1.2 (1.0-1.5) Unknown: 5.2% (53/1012); adjusted OR 1.8 (1.2-2.7) Other race: 3.6% (25/702); adjusted OR 1.3 (0.8-2.0) Multiracial: 5.5% (14/253): adjusted OR 1.3 (0.8-2.0) Multiracial: 5.5% (14/253): adjusted OR 1.3 (0.8-2.3) American Indian or Alaska Native: 4.8% (5/105); adjusted OR 0.8 (0.1-6.2) Ethnicity Hispanic: 5.2% (59/1126); adjusted OR 1.1 (0.8-1.5) Non-Hispanic/Latino: 4.4% (975/22403); reference Honsei: 4.8% (374/7830); adjusted OR 1.1 (0.9-1.3) Physician: 3.7% (166/4499); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.7-1.1) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.13 (1.09-1.58)* Nurse practitioner or physician's assistant: 3.5% (53/1535); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.6-1.2) Environmental services: 7.4% (9/122); adjusted OR 1.5 (0.8-3.1) Pharmacy: 3.1% (10/325); adjusted OR 0.8 (0.4-1.6) Physical, occupational, or speech therapist: 3.5% (17/483); adjusted OR 1.3 (0.7-2.1) Raciology technician: 4.8% (23/476); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.5-1.6) Other direct care personnel: 5.5% (105/1914); adjusted OR 0.1 (0.6-1.6) Respiratory therapist: 4.5% (18/399); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.5-1.6) Other health care professional: 2.7% (10/367); adjusted OR 0.7 (0.4-1.3) Unknown: 6.8% (11/162); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.4-1.8) Exposure - workplace Inpatient unit (with or without COVID-19): 4.8% (425/8893); reference ED: 5.3% (127/2409); adjusted OR 1.0 (0.8-1.3) 	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Jeremias et al, 2020 (116) Cross-sectional United States (New York); 1 hospital; 1 March to 30 April 2020	Population Characteristics 3,046 HCWs (asymptomatic or symptom-free for at least 14 days), of whom 1958 underwent testing • Mean age 43 y • 70% female • 6.4% physician, 55.6% nurse, 10.0% technologist, 6.2% environmental worker, 21.7% ancillary worker	Outcomes Other: 3.7% (413/11257); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.7-1.0) Unknown: 5.5% (115/2108); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.7-1.2) Exposure - patients Any contact with COVID-19 patients: 4.7% (584/12413): adjusted OR 1.1 (0.9-1.3) No contact with COVID-19 patients: 3.9% (448/11435); reference Unknown contact: 5.3% (48/901); adjusted OR 1.3 (0.9-1.9) Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Female: 9.4% (118/1259) Male: 11.1% (49/440) Female vs. male: OR 0.83 (0.58-1.17)* Physician: 11.4% (9/79) Nurse: 9.5% (99/1043) Nurse: 9.5% (99/1043) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.82 (0.40-1.68)* Technologist: 5.8% (9/155) Environmental worker: 12.0% (12/100) Ancillary worker: 12.1% (39/322) ER: 10.2% (9/88) Floor: 12.3% (60/489) ICU: 6.9% (22/321) Operational: 10.5% (42/400) Procedural: 9.1% (22/243) Other: 7.6% (12/158)	Limitations 64% participation rate; demographic characteristics reported for the entire cohort rather than those who underwent testing
Jespersen et al, 2020 (117) Cross-sectional Denmark (central region); all hospitals, prehospital and specialist practitioners; 18 May to 19 June 2020	17,971 (17,280 with reported data) HCWs • Mean age not reported, 11% age <29 y, 22% age 30-39 y, 26% age 40-49 y, 26% age 50-59, 15% age ≥60 y • 86% female • 85% hospital worker (n=15,261) ○ 13% physician, 46% nurse, 7% laboratory	SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity • Age <29 y: 6.0% (116/1,916) • Age 30-39 y: 3.8% (144/3,794) • Age 40-49 y: 3.8% (175/4,560) • Age 50-59 y: 3.6% (161/4,505) • Age ≥60 y: 2.9% (72/2,505) • Male: 3.9% (94/2,430) • Female: 3.9% (574/14,850) ○ Female vs. male: OR 1.00 (0.80-1.25)*	69% overall response rate; discrepant results reported

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Jones et al 2020 (118)	scientist, 9% medical secretary, 25% other role 6858 HCWs (all invited	Age	No control for
Cross-sectional UK (Bristol); health service	for testing) • Mean age not reported • 78% female • 29% nurse/midwife, 12% medical/dental, 9% allied health, 18% administrative/ clerical, 21% other clinical services • 9.3% SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence	 ▲ ≤20 y: 12.3% (14/114); adjusted OR 1.47 (0.96-2.20) ■ 21-30 y: 10.9% (192/1757); adjusted OR 1.64 (1.36-1.99) ■ 31-40 y: 7.3% (118/1624); reference ■ 41-50 y: 10.3% (158/1536): adjusted OR 1.36 (1.11-1.67) ■ 51-60 y: 8.5% (120/1408): adjusted OR 1.45 (1.17-1.80) ■ 61-70 y: 8.7% (35/402): adjusted OR 1.28 (0.94 to 1.73) Sex ■ Female: 9.3% (498/5338) ■ Male: 9.2% (140/1520) ○ Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.04 (0.88-1.23) Race/Ethnicity ■ Black, Asian, and minority ethnic: 14.6% (160/1095) ■ White: 8.2% (456/5578) ○ BAME vs white: adjusted OR 1.99 (1.69-2.34) HCW role ■ Nurse/midwife: 10.2% (201/1962) ■ Medical/dental: 8.6% (74/856) ○ Nurse/midwife vs. medical/dental: OR 1.21 (0.91-1.60)* ■ Allied health 7.5% (31/413) ■ Administrative/clerical: 5.9% (73/1233) ■ Other clinical services: 12.7% (180/1420) 	exposures or PPE; 56% participation rate
Korona-Glowniak et al 2022 (24) Cross-sectional Poland (Lublin); 1 hospital and 1 medical center; 5 to 25 May 2020 and 4 to 15 December 2020 Added for Update Alert #11	387 HCWs • Mean age 46 y • 87% female • 32% nurse, 32% physician, 22% laboratory technician, 16% administration • 13.2% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive (IgG)	Other clinical services: 12.7% (180/1420) IgG seropositive Age (per year): adjusted OR 1.02 (0.98-1.08) Sex Female vs. male: 11.6% (39/337) vs. 14.0% (7/50); adjusted OR 0.13 (0.02-0.71)* HCW role Nurse: 13.1% (16/122); adjusted OR 5.0 (0.85-29.3) Physician: 9.8% (11/112); reference Laboratory technician: 10.5% (9/86); adjusted OR 2.87 (0.5-16.1) Administration: 15.6% (10/64); adjusted OR 1.72 (0.35-8.4) Exposure SARS-CoV-2 exposure at work: 23.5% (32/136); adjusted OR 1.54 (0.48-4.9)	Participation rate 26% in the May study and 22% in the December study; most risk estimates were imprecise

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes • No SARS-CoV-2 exposure at work: 6.6% (14/211); reference	Limitations
Korth et al, 2020 (119) Cross-sectional German (Essen); 1 hospital; 25 March to April 21 2020	317 HCWs • Mean age, 37 y in high-risk group, 42.3 y in low-risk group • 100% female • 25% physician, 66% nurse, 6% lab assistant, 3% other • 1.6% (5/316) diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity	Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity High-risk (daily contact with COVID-19 patients on designated wards and intensive care units): 1.2% (3/244) Intermediate-risk (daily non-COVID-19 patient contact): 5.4% (2/36) Low-risk (no daily patient contact): 0% (0/35)	No control for confounders
Krastinova et al 2020 (120) Cross-sectional France (Creteil); 1 hospital; 17 March to 20 April 2020	 314 symptomatic HCWs Mean age 40 y 81% female HCW role not reported 5.0% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) 	Age	No control for PPE and limited control for exposures
Lackermair et al, 2020 (121) Cross-sectional Germany (Dachau); 8 primary care clinics; 2 to 6 April	 151 HCWs Mean age 38 years 83% female 36% physician, 64% other HCW 28% known unprotected COVID-19 contact 72% symptomatic 	Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Female: 1.6% (2/126) Male: 8.0% (2/25) Female vs. male: OR 0.19 (0.02-1.38)* Physician: 5.6% (3/54) Other HCW role: 1.0% (1/97) Direct contact with COVID-19 patient: 4.8% (2/42) No direct contact with COVID-19 patient: 1.8% (2/109) Direct contact with COVID-19 patient vs. no direct contact: 2.68 (0.36-19.64)*	Potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Lahner et al, 2020 (122) Cross-sectional Italy (Rome); 1 hospital; 18 March to 27 April 2020	Population Characteristics 2,115 HCWs in a COVID-19 regional hub Median age 46 y 60.2% female 30% physician, 33% nurse, 37% other HCW role	Outcomes Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) • Physician: 4.1% (26/632) • Nurse: 3.8% (27/705) • Other HCW role: 0.6% (5/778)	Limitations No non-HCW controls
Lombardi et al, 2020 (123) Cross-sectional Italy (Milan); 1 hospital; 24 February to 31 March 31 2020	1,573 HCWs Mean age, 44 y 64% female 37% physician, 33% nurse/midwife, 10% healthcare assistant, 11% health technician, 9% clerical workers/technician 30% at least 1 symptom 8.8% (138/1573) diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	Odds ratio (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) Female vs. male: 0.83 (0.58-1.18) Nurse vs. physician: 0.75 (0.50-1.13) Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) <30 y: 11.7% (29/248) 30-39 y: 8.8% (34/387) 40-49 y: 8.0% (26/326) 50-59 y: 7.9% (35/444) ≥60 y: 8.3% (14/168) Physician (including resident): 10.6% (62/582) Nurses/midwife: 8.2% (43/522) Health care assistant: 8.0% (13/162) Health technician: 9.4% (16/170) Clerical worker/technician: 2.9% (4/137)	No control for confounders
Madsen et al 2021 (124) Cross-sectional Denmark (Copenhagen); 1 medical school; 19 to 26 October 2020 Martin et al, 2020 (125)	1096 medical students • Median age 24 y • 71% female • 100% medical students • 35% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Age • Per year: adjusted OR 0.99 (0.94-1.04) Sex: • Female: adjusted OR 0.77 (0.56-1.05) Exposure • Working with COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.40 (1.05-1.88) SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR or seropositivity)	30% participation rate; potential recall bias; limited control for confounders
Cross-sectional	Mean age 37 y73% female	Male: 11.5% (10/87) Female: 13.0% (31/239)	confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Belgium (Brussels); 1 tertiary hospital specializing in infectious disease; 15 April to 18 May 2020	Population Characteristics 29% physician, 51% nurse, 9% care assistant, 11% paramedical staff, 8% administrative staff, 2% cleaning staff 82% asymptomatic 11% COVID-19 diagnosis prior to study	Outcomes • Female vs. male: OR 1.15 (0.54-2.45)* • Physician: 11.8% (10/85) • Nurse: 12.7% (19/150) • Nurse vs. physician: 1.09 (0.48-2.46)* • Care assistant: 14.8% (4/27) • Paramedical staff: 15.1% (5/33) • Administrative staff: 0.4% (1/25) • Cleaning staff: 33.3% (2/6) • COVID-19 unit: 14.4% (31/215) • COVID-19 ICU: 5.7% (3/53) • ED: 12.1% (7/58)	Limitations
Moncunill et al 2021 (126)	501 asymptomatic HCWs Mean age 42 y	Age • Per year: OR 0.98 (0.95-1.02) Sex	No control for confounders; some estimates very
Cross-sectional Spain (Barcelona); 1 hospital; 27 April to 6 May 2020	T2% female T2% female Townse/auxiliary services, 26% physician, 8% technician, 17% other role; 75% direct clinical care; 50% COVID-19 unit T4.9% incident (4 weeks) SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity or infection (PCR)	 Female vs. male: OR 1.27 (0.50-3.24) HCW role (vs. other role) Nurse/auxiliary services: OR 5.54 (0.72-42.55) Physician: OR 2.77 (0.30-25.25) Technician: OR 13.03 (1.47-116) Comorbidities (yes vs. no) Heart and liver disease, diabetes, chronic respiratory and renal disease, cancer and autoimmune disease, or other immunological disorders: OR 0.70 (0.23-2.08) Smoker: OR 1.58 (0.67-3.77) Exposure (vs. no) Work in clinical care: 1.06 (0.41-2.70) Involved with direct patient contact: OR 0.97 (0.38-2.50) Work in COVID-19 unit: OR 1.07 (0.48-2.40) 	imprecise
Mukhtar et al 2021 (127) Cross-sectional	455 asymptomatic HCWs • Mean age 33 y • 48% female	Age (years): mean 33.4 (cases) vs. 32.8 (non-cases), p=0.71 Sex • Female: 9.2% (20/216); OR 1.42 (0.72-2.82)* • Male: 6.7% (16/239); reference	75% participation rate; HCW role not reported; no control for confounders; some
Egypt (Cairo); university hospital; 20 May to 11 June 2020	HCW role not reported 7.9% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Exposure • Participation in an aerosol-generating procedure: 0.7% (1/143); OR 0.06 (0.01-0.41)*	estimates very imprecise

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 No participation in an aerosol-generating procedure: 11.2% (35/312); reference Hypertension (yes vs. no): 8.0% (2/25) vs. 8.6% (34/396); OR 1.01 (0.23-4.48)* Diabetes (yes vs. no): 0% (0/9) vs. 8.8% (36/410); OR 0.59 (0.03-10.38)* Asthma (yes vs. no): 5.3% (1/19) vs. 8.7% (35/401); OR 0.64 (0.08-4.91)* Current smoker vs. non-smoker: 8.3% (2/24) vs. 7.6% (28/369); OR 1.11 (0.25-4.95)* Ex-smoker vs. non-smoker: 9.7% (6/62) vs. 7.6% (28/369); OR 1.30 (0.52-2.39)* 	
Murongazvombo et al 2021(128) Cross-sectional United Kingdom (London); 2 hospitals; 4 May to 30 June 2020	 615 HCWs Mean age not reported; 59% <40 y, 41% ≥40 y 71% female 64% White, 19% Asian, 9% Black, 5% mixed race, 3% other, <1% missing data 24% physician, 35% nurse, 16% allied health, 25% other role 41% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive 	 Exposure Not a frontline worker: reference Frontline worker who carried out any activities more than 2 m away from a COVID-19 patient with or without appropriate PPE (FFP2/FFP3/N95, surgical mask, apron, gown, gloves): adjusted OR 1.48 (0.72-3.02) Frontline worker who provided care within 2 m of a COVID-19 patient with appropriate PPE (surgical mask, apron, gown, gloves) for a non-aerosol-generating procedure: adjusted OR 1.15 (0.61-2.17) Frontline worker who performed an aerosol-generating procedure on a COVID-19 patient with appropriate PPE (FFP2/FFP3/N95, apron, gown, gloves) for an aerosol-generating procedure: adjusted OR 1.06 (0.57-1.96) Frontline worker who provided care within 2 m of a COVID-19 patient but without an aerosol-generating procedure and without appropriate PPE (surgical mask, apron, gown, gloves) for a non-aerosol-generating procedure: adjusted OR 1.82 (0.96-3.44) Frontline worker who performed an aerosol-generating procedure on a COVID-19 patient without appropriate PPE (FFP2/FFP3/N95, apron, gown, gloves) for an aerosol-generating procedure: adjusted OR 2.49 (1.00-6.18) 	25% participation rate; potential recall bias; limited control of confounders
Naesens et al 2021 (129) Cross-sectional Belgium (Antwerp	 5233 HCWs Mean age not reported, range 17-73 y 80% female 11% medical staff, 	Age • Unit of analysis not reported: <i>adjusted OR 0.99 (0.98–0.99)</i> Sex • Female: 7.7% (323/4174); reference • Male: 7.0% (74/1059); adjusted OR 0.86 (0.97-1.12) HCW role	77% participation rate; potential recall bias
region); public hospital system (3 acute care hospitals, 1 children's hospital, 5 chronic care	51% nursing staff, 13% paramedical staff, 12% administrative staff, 12%	 Nursing staff: 10.0% (265/2660); reference Medical staff: 6.4% (38/596); adjusted OR 0.65 (0.43-0.94) Paramedical staff: 6.0% (40/671); adjusted OR 0.74 (0.51-0.94) Administrative staff: 2.9% (19/654); adjusted OR 0.40 (0.24-0.66) 	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
facilities); 9 to 29 June 2020	cleaning/technical staff • 8% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Cleaning and technical staff: 5.4% (35/652); adjusted OR 0.63 (0.43-0.93) Exposure Not working on a COVID-19 ward: 5.2% (172/3289); reference Working on a COVID-19 ward: 11.6% (225/1944); adjusted OR 1.73 (1.36-2.20) No close colleague at work had confirmed COVID-19: 4.2% (123/2940); reference Close colleague at work had confirmed COVID-19: 12.0% (274/2293); adjusted OR 1.73 (1.36-2.20) No contact with confirmed/suspected COVID-19 cases in private setting: 6.8% (327/4783); reference Contact with confirmed/suspected COVID-19 cases in private setting: 15.6% (70/450); adjusted OR 2.56 (1.91-3.42) Contact with confirmed/suspected COVID-19 cases at work: No contact: 2.9% (42/1425); reference Only protected contact (PPE): 9.6% (188/1950); adjusted OR 1.74 (1.19-2.54) Only accidental and unprotected contact (no PPE): 8.2% (19/232); adjusted OR 1.44 (0.80-2.59) Any contact (intentional or accidental, protected or unprotected): 9.1% (148/1626); adjusted OR 1.17 (0.78-1.75) 	
Newberry et al 2021 (130) Cross-sectional United States (California); 10 county fire departments; June to August 2020	983 firefighters cross- trained as paramedics or EMTs • Mean age not reported; 21% 18-34 y, 57% 35-49 y, 22% ≥50 y • 4% female • 60% white non- Hispanic, 20% Hispanic, 8% Asian/Pacific Islander, 3% Black non-Hispanic, 10% other • 2.5% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity; 0.9%	SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Age • 18-34 y: 1.0% (2/206); reference • 35-49 y: 3.1% (17/556); OR 3.22 (0.74-14.05)* • ≥50 y: 2.7% (6/221); OR 2.85 (0.57-14.27)* Race/ethnicity • White, non-Hispanic: 1.3% (8/594); reference • Hispanic: 4.7% (9/192); OR 3.60 (1.37-9.47)* • Asian or Pacific Islander: 3.8% (3/78); OR 2.93 (0.76-11.29)* • Black, non-Hispanic: 3.8% (1/26); OR 2.93 (0.35-24.34)* • Other: 4.3% (4/93); OR 3.29 (0.97-11.16)* Exposure • Exposed to confirmed SARS-CoV-2 patient respiratory secretions (yes vs. no): 4.8% (13/271) vs. 1.7% (12/712); OR 2.94 (1.32-6.53)* • Exposed to confirmed SARS-CoV-2 positive patient or colleague (yes vs. no): 3.0% (10/335) vs. 2.3% (15/648); OR 1.30 (0.58-2.92)* PPE	73% participation rate; potential recall bias; imprecise estimates; no control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	 Full use of PPE during exposure (yes vs. no): 1.3% (3/227) vs. 5.6% (5/90); OR 0.23 (0.05-0.97)* PPE breach or failure (yes vs. no): 2.7% (1/38) vs. 2.3% (19/837); OR 1.20 (0.16-9.18) 	
		SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) Age • 18-34 y: 1.0% (2/206); reference • 35-49 y: 0.5% (3/556); OR 0.55 (0.09-3.34) • ≥50 y: 1.8% (4/221); OR 1.88 (0.34-10.38) Race/ethnicity • White, non-Hispanic: 1.0% (6/594); reference • Hispanic: 1.6% (3/192); OR 1.56 (0.39-6.28) • Asian or Pacific Islander: 0% (0/78); OR 0.58 (0.03-10.34) • Black, non-Hispanic: 0% (0/26); OR 1.71 (0.09-31.13) • Other: 0% (0/93); OR 0.48 (0.03-8.67) Exposure • Exposed to confirmed SARS-CoV-2 patient respiratory secretions (yes vs. no): 0.7% (2/271) vs. 1.0% (7/712); OR 0.75 (0.15-3.63)* • Exposed to confirmed SARS-CoV-2 positive patient or colleague (yes vs. no): 1.5% (5/335) vs. 0.6% (4/648); OR 2.44 (0.65-9.15)* PPE • Full use of PPE during exposure (yes vs. no): 1.3% (3/227) vs. 2.2% (2/90); OR 0.59 (0.10-3.59) • PPE breach or failure (yes vs. no):: 2.7% (0/37) vs. 1.1% (9/837); OR 1.16 (0.07-20.36)	
Nopsopon et al 2021 (131) Cross-sectional Thailand (Ranong province); public hospital; 17 April to 17 May 2020	844 HCWs in a low-risk hospital setting • Median age 42 y • 72% female • HCW role not reported • 0.8% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Sex	No control for confounders; imprecise estimates
Nunes et al 2021 (27)	396 HCWs • Mean age 38 y • 83% female	Age	Unclear participation rate

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design			
Setting and Study	Population		
Dates	Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Population overlaps with Nunes et al 2022 Cross-sectional South Africa (Johannesburg); one tertiary care hospital; 22 April to 19 June 2020 Added for Update Alert #11	Town Black African, 14% Asian, 12% White, 3% other race 49% nurse, 33% physician; 18% other role 34.6% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	Female vs. male: 45.2% (148/327) vs. 26.1% (18/69); adjusted OR 1.15 (0.58-2.27)* Race/ethnicity Black African: 49.8% (139/279); reference Asian: 28.01% (16/57); OR 0.39 (0.21-0.73); not included in multivariate model White: 20.4% (10/49); OR 0.26 (0.12-0.54); not included in multivariate model Other race: adjusted OR 0.62 (0.30-1.26) HCW role Nurse: 57.5% (111/193); reference Other role: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.37-1.42) HCW department; not included in multivariate model Internal medicine: 61.7% (103/167); reference Pediatrics: 33.3% (31/93); OR 0.31 (0.18-0.53) Intensive care: 22.4% (11/49); OR 0.18 (0.09-0.38) Obstetrics: 13.0% (3/23); OR 0.09 (0.03-0.33) Vaccines and immune disease analytics: 28.1% (18/64); OR 0.24 (0.13-0.46) Other demographic and clinical risk factors Smoking (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 0.47 (0.17-1.19) BMI >30: (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 0.97 (0.59-1.59) ≥1 comorbid condition (yes vs. no): OR 1.41 (0.94-2.12); not included in multivariate model Asthma (yes vs. no): OR 0.67 (0.30-1.467); not included in multivariate model	
		Diabetes (yes vs. no): OR 1.75 (0.46-6.61); not included in multivariate model	
Nunes et al 2022 (28) Population overlaps	433 symptomatic HCWsMean age 38 y83% female	Previous infection status (PCR-confirmed) • Previous infection: adjusted OR 0.55 (0.36-0.84) • No previous infection: reference	Unclear participation rate; no adjustment for exposures
with Nunes et al 2021	90% Black African HCW role not	Vaccination status • Ad26.COV2 vaccine, single dose: adjusted OR 0.81 (0.46-1.43)	,
Cross-sectional	reported 43.9% SARS-CoV-2	 Ad26.COV.2 vaccine, booster dose: adjusted OR 0.94 (0.44-2.03) BNT162b2 vaccine, two doses: adjusted OR 0.59 (0.23-1.57) 	
South Africa (Johannesburg); initially one tertiary care hospital; two additional hospitals added in December 2021; 24 November to 31 December 2021	infection (PCR), Omicron variant	 Never vaccinated: reference Previous infection status (PCR-confirmed) and vaccination status No previous infection + no vaccination: reference No previous infection + Ad26.COV.2 single dose: adjusted OR 0.89 (0.46-1.72) Previous infection + no vaccination: reference 	

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Added for Update Alert #11		 Previous infection + Ad26.COV.2 single dose: adjusted OR 0.82 (0.28-2.44) Previous infection + Ad26.COV.2 booster dose: adjusted OR 0.55 (0.14-2.09) Previous infection status (PCR-confirmed), vaccination status, and antibody level (spike IgG) No previous infection + no vaccination + IgG<300: reference No previous infection + Ad26.COV.2 single dose + IgG>1549: adjusted OR 0.42 (0.18-0.85) 	
Nygren et al 2021 (132) Cross-sectional Sweden (Lund); university hospital; 8 September to 10 November 2020	271 HCWs Mean age 37 y 81% female 40% nurse, 33% assistant nurse, 12% physician, 2% other HCW with patient contact, 12% other HCW without patient contact 7.7% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive or infection (PCR)	 Exposure Working in COVID-19 unit: 21.6% (11/51); adjusted OR 5.6 (1.3-23.0) Not working in a COVID-19 unit: 4.5% (10/220); reference Increased risk (involvement in an aerosol-generating procedure or bedside surveillance for >3 hours/shift with a COVID-19 patient: 16.1% (9/56); OR 3.2 (1.1-8.7)^ No increased risk: 5.7% (12/211); reference Caring for COVID-19 patient most days: 19.7% (12/61); adjusted OR 5.1 (1.3-19.0) No caring for COVID-19 patient most days: 4.3% (9/210); reference Worked shift with COVID-19-positive colleague; 11.3% (7/62); adjusted OR 1.4 (0.45-4.0) Did not work shift with COVID-19-positive colleague: 6.7% (14/208); reference 	Participation rate not reported; potential recall bias; limited adjustment for confounders
Olalla et al, 2020 (133) Cross-sectional Spain (Andalusia); 1 hospital; 15 to 24 April 2020	 498 HCWs Mean age 42 y 80% female 20% physician, 39% nurse, 26% nursing assistant, 7% security, 2% administrative, 5% housekeeping 26% ED, 13% ICU, 44% COVID-19 unit, 9% non-COVID-19 unit, 8% pediatric unit 	Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositivity, negative IgM and negative PCR • Physician: 1.9% (2/101) • Nurse: 0.5% (1/195) • Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.26 (0.03-2.85)* • Nursing assistant: 2.3% (3/129) • Security: 2.9% (1/35) • ER: 1.6% (2/129) • ICU: 1.6% (1/63) • COVID-19 unit: 0.9% (2/219) • Non-COVID-19 unit: 4.5% (2/44) • COVID-19 vs. non-COVID-19 unit: OR 0.19 (0.03-1.41)*	Uncertain criteria for testing eligibility; no control for confounders
Paris et al 2021 (15)	3,234 HCWs	Exposure Care of COVID-19 patient	Participation rate not reported; potential

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Cross-sectional France (Rennes); tertiary care hospital; 29 May to 10 July 2020 Added for Update Alert #11	 Mean age not reported; 26% <30 y, 28% 30-39 y, 25% 40-49 y, 21% ≥60 y 80% female 31% nurse/midwife, 21% auxiliary nurse, 10% medical staff, 7% administration, 6% cleaner, 4% student, 4% resident 3.8% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive or infection (PCR) 	 Yes: 4.0% (75/1881); adjusted OR 1.30 (0.78-2.17) No: 3.2% (3.2% (37/1151); reference Contact with a COVID-19 infected co-worker Yes: 4.9% (76/1564); adjusted OR 2.08 (1.33-3.24) No: 2.4% (36/1477); reference Contact with the family of a COVID-19 infected patient Yes: 3.4% (6/178); adjusted OR 0.90 (0.38-2.10) No: 3.6% (106/2905); reference Contact with a COVID-19 infected patient at home Yes: 6.9% (24/349); adjusted OR 2.00 (1.23-3.28) No: 3.2% (86/2684); reference Participated in nasopharyngeal sampling With appropriate protective equipment: 3.8% (43/1140); adjusted OR 1.33 (1.15-10.40) With appropriate protective equipment: 9.1% (4.44); adjusted OR 3.46 (0.79-2.25) No participation: 3.3% (82/2438); reference Participated in central line insertion (n/N not reported) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.35-1.45) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 2.55 (0.57-12.38) No participation: reference Participated in upper respiratory tract actions With appropriate protective equipment: 4.2% (38/914); adjusted OR 1.53 (0.92-2.55) With suboptimal protective equipment: 7.1% (6/85); adjusted OR 1.85 (0.66-5.22) No participation: 3.3% (68/2071); reference Participated in washing and dressing patient (n/N not reported) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 2.13 (1.05-4.30) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 0.38 (0.10-1.46) No participation: reference Participated in patient mobilization With appropriate protective equipment: 3.3% (56/1692); adjusted OR 0.93 (0.49-1.79) With suboptimal protective equipment: 7.2% (17/237); adjusted OR 0.93 (0.49-1.79) With suboptimal protective equipment: 7.2% (17/237); adjusted OR 2.30 (1.51-7.25) No participation: 3.4% (39/1147); reference	recall bias; "appropriate protective equipment" imprecisely defined; some estimates very imprecise; limited control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 With appropriate protective equipment: 3.4% (29/842); adjusted OR 1.00 (0.54-1.89) With suboptimal protective equipment: 7.5% (8/107); adjusted OR 2.49 (0.92-6.72) No participation: 3.4% (71/2109); reference Participated in clinical exam With appropriate protective equipment: 3.8% (43/1140); adjusted OR 1.50 (0.85-2.65) With suboptimal protective equipment: 7.5% (13/173); adjusted OR 2.51 (1.16-5.43) No participation: 3.1% (56/1765); reference Participated in eye exam With appropriate protective equipment: 6.2% (10/161); adjusted OR 1.86 (0.93-3.73) With suboptimal protective equipment: 7.5% (4/53); adjusted OR 2.90 (1.01-8.35) No participation: 3.4% (98/2859); reference 	
Pereckaite et al 2021 (134) Cross-sectional Lithuania (Kaunas); hospital system; 15 June to 21 September 2020	 432 HCWs Mean age 43 y 85% female 41% nurse, 39% physician, 12% assistant, 7% other role 1.2% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive 	HCW role Nurse: 1.1% (2/179) Physician: 1.2% (2/169) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.94 (0.13-6.77)*	Participation rate unclear; no control for confounders; imprecise estimate
Pinarlik et al 2021 (135) Cross-sectional Turkey (Istanbul); 1 hospital; May to December 2020 (specific dates not reported)	1732 HCWs • Median age 28 y • 67% female • 12% physician, 48% nurse, 7% porter, 4% janitorial staff, 3% anesthesia technician, 3% laboratory technician, 3% medical secretary, 2% security staff, 2% radiology technician,	Non-significant risk factors were eliminated in stepwise regression analysis HCW role Janitorial staff, yes vs. no: adjusted OR 2.24 (1.21-4.14) Medical secretary, yes vs. no: adjusted OR 4.17 (2.21-8.18) Exposure Patient diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 in household (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 8.98 (6.64-12.15)	90% participation rate; potential recall bias; limited control of confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics 2% pharmacist, <1%	Outcomes	Limitations
Robles-Perez et al 2021	physiotherapist, 13% other role • 16% SARS-CoV-2 infection (seropositive or PCR) 542381 HCWs (500920	HCW role*	Limited adjustment for
(136) Cross-sectional Mexico; Mexican Social Security Institute database of workers; March to December 2020 (specific dates not reported)	active HCWs + 41461 inactive HCWs) • Mean age 37 y • 57% female • Among active HCWs: 30% nurse, 21% physician, 6% cleaner, 13% medical assistant; 6% laboratory technician, 2% food handler, 2% patient transport, 1% pharmacy staff, 1% social worker, 1% radiologist, 0.7% stomatologist, 0.5% ambulance personnel, 0.3% respiratory therapist, 0.2% histologist, 11% other role, 15% administration • 14.1% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	 Administration: 11.6% (8689/74525); reference Nurse: 17.0% (25469/149040); adjusted RR 1.13 (1.10-1.15) Physician: 13.4% (13968/104306); adjusted RR 0.91 (0.89-0.94) Cleaner: 14.7% (4501/30568); adjusted RR 1.13 (1.09-1.17) Medical assistant: 13.2% (3741/28220); adjusted RR 1.08 (1.04-1.12) Laboratory technician: 14.6% (1849/12626); adjusted RR 1.02 (0.97-1.07) Food handler: 13.9% (1290/9297); adjusted RR 1.11 (1.05-1.18) Patient transport: 17.5% (1561/8919); adjusted RR 1.15 (1.09-1.22) Pharmacy staff: 14.2% (961/6740); adjusted RR 1.07 (1.00-1.15) Social worker: 15.9% (971/6094); adjusted RR 1.16 (1.08-1.24) Radiologist: 14.6% (746/5106); adjusted RR 1.08 (1.00-1.17) Stomatologist: 7.9% (287/3641); adjusted RR 0.91 (0.81-1.02) Ambulance personnel: 15.9% (425/2677); adjusted RR 1.20 (1.09-1.32) Respiratory therapist: 19.0% (254/1336); adjusted RR 1.10 (0.97-1.25) Histologist: 9.9% (78/791); adjusted RR 1.03 (0.82-1.29) Other role: 10.1% (5741/57034); adjusted RR 1.06 (1.03-1.10) 	confounders
Rodriguez et al 2021 (137) Cross-sectional	 2210 HCWs Mean age 44 y 74% female 56% nurse or nursing assistant, 17% physician, 5% other 	Age (mean, years), cases vs. non-cases: 42 vs. 44, p>0.05 Sex • Female: 2.6% (42/1637) • Male: 1.6% (19/1168) ○ Female vs. male: OR 1.59 (0.92-2.75)* HCW role	79% participation rate; no adjustment for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Spain (Mallorca); university hospital; 28 April to 11 June 2020	HCW, 3% registrar, 0.8% warden • 2.8% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Physician: 2.6% (10/380) Nurse or nursing assistant vs. physician: OR 1.14 (0.56-2.31)* Registrar: 3.9% (3/77) Warden: 3.4% (6/176) Other HCW: 0% (0/108) Other non-HCW: 2.2% (5/229) 	
Sandri et al 2021 (138) Cross-sectional Italy (Lombardy); 7 healthcare facilities; 28 April to 16 May 2020	 3985 HCWs Median age 42 y 67% female 25% nurse, 16% physician, 7% surgeon, 3% anesthesiologist, 2% physiotherapist, 4% technician, 3% researcher, 8% administration, 1% biologist, 0.7% student 11.2% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity (confirmed positive result, defined as IgG >15.0 AU/mL) 	n/N not reported for SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Age • Age >60 vs. ≤60: adjusted OR 0.35 (0.15-0.83) Sex • Male vs. female: adjusted OR 0.73 (0.57-0.94) HCW role • Nurse: adjusted OR 1.16 (0.87-1.55) • Physician: adjusted OR 1.10 (0.79-1.53) • Anesthesiologist: adjusted OR 0.49 (0.22-1.08) • Physiotherapist: adjusted OR 1.17 (0.56-2.46) • Researcher: adjusted OR 0.94 *0.47-1.90) • Biologist: adjusted OR 0.69 (0.20-2.37) • Student: adjusted OR 1.07 (0.29-3.95) • Radiology technician: adjusted OR 0.75 (0.31-1.86) • Staff: adjusted OR 1.04 (0.67-1.61) • Other role: reference	~65% participation rate
Schmitz et al 2020 (139) Cross-sectional The Netherlands (countrywide); 43 hospitals; 1 March to 1 May 2020	 3,064 ED HCWs Mean age, % female not reported 14% resident physician, 70% nurse, 16% consultant 5.4% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) 	 HCW role Nurse: 5.6% (121/2153) Resident physician: 6.3% (27/431) Consultant: 3.5% (16/459) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.17 (0.82-1.68)* PPE use High-level PPE hospital (FFP2 mask and eye protection for all patient contact) hospital: (73/944) Other hospital: (91/2099) High-level PPE hospital vs. other hospital: <i>OR 1.85 (1.35-2.54)</i>*^ 	SARS-CoV-2 infection status based on self- report; potential recall bias; no control for confounders; participation rate 70%; PPE used based on hospital policy, not individual HW use
Scohy et al 2021 (140) Cross-sectional	3255 hospital HCWs • Mean age 42 y • 78% female	Age <30 y: 7.7% (50/662); adjusted OR 1.25 (0.92-1.70) 30-49 y: 9.0% (139/1539); adjusted OR not reported 	Potential recall and selection bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Belgium (Brussels); one teaching hospital; 19 June to 30 June 2020	46% nursing role, 33% medical role, 2% pharmacy role, 19% administrative or other role 7.8% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	 50-65 y: 6.1% (64/1049); reference Sex Female: 8.4% (211/2513); adjusted OR 1.61 (1.11-2.32) Male: 5.5% (39/712); reference HCW role Nursing: 9.7% (145/1490); adjusted OR 1.04 (0.66-1.63) Medical: 5.6% (60/1067); adjusted OR 0.79 (0.51-1.24) Pharmacy: 10.4% (8/77); adjusted OR 1.49 (0.65-3.40) Administration or other: 6.4% (40/621); reference Exposure Work in COVID-19 unit: 11.2% (127/1130); adjusted OR 1.64 (1.21-2.23) Did not work in COVID-19 unit: 5.9% (126/2125); reference Exposed to a COVID-19 patient: 10.6% (115/1088); adjusted OR 1.30 (0.97-1.74) Not exposed to a COVID-19 patient: 6.4% (138/2167); reference Exposed to a COVID-19 colleague: 10.5% (135/1291); adjusted OR 1.41 (1.06-1.87) Not exposed to a COVID-19 colleague: 6.0% (118/1964); reference Cohabitant infected with SARS-CoV-2: 17.0% (46/271); adjusted OR 2.55 (1.78-3.66) Cohabitant not infected with SARS-CoV-2: 4.8% (130/2727); reference 	
Scozzari et al 2021 (141) Cross-sectional Italy (Turin); one teaching hospital; 4 May to 31 July 2020	8769 hospital HCWs • Median age 49 y • 74% female • 39% nurse, 29% physician, 2% biologist, 2% radiology technician, 4% laboratory technician, 2% obstetrician, 2% physiotherapist, 15% healthcare assistant, 4% other healthcare worker, 9% administration, 4% IT/maintenance,1% role not reported	 Age Per year increase: adjusted OR 1.00 (0.99-1.01) Sex Female:7.2% (464/6450); reference Male: 8.9% (206/2319); adjusted OR 1.15 (0.94-1.40) HCW role Physician: 8.3% (181/2182); adjusted OR 1.00 (0.77-1.30) Healthcare assistant: 8.6% (96/1123); adjusted OR 1.27 (0.97-1.66) Other clinical role: 5.1% (16/315); adjusted OR 1.02 (0.78-1.35) Administration: 7.3% (59/807); adjusted OR 1.30 (0.94-1.81) IT/maintenance staff: 6.2% (20/325); adjusted OR 1.00 (0.61-1.66) Nurse: 7.5% (223/2981); reference Exposure Working in COVID-19 unit: adjusted OR 1.24 (1.01-1.52) Not working in COVID-19 unit: ; reference Household contact with COVID-19: adjusted OR 5.31 (4.12-6.85) No household contact with COVID-19: reference 	79% participation rate

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics • 7.6% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Outcomes Other contact with COVID-19: adjusted OR 1.28 (0.86-1.92) No other contact with COVID-19: reference	Limitations
Shields et al, 2020a (142) Cross-sectional United Kingdom (Birmingham, England); four urban hospitals; 25 April 2020	554 asymptomatic HCWs • Age, sex, HCW role/position not reported	Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 (PCR): 2.4% (13/554) Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion (IgG, IgM, IgA): 24.4% (126/516) • Housekeeping: 34.5% (10/29) • Acute medicine: 33.3% (10/30) • General internal medicine: 30.3% (30/99) • Intensive care: 14.8% (9/61) • Emergency medicine: 13.3% (2/15) • General surgery: 13.0% (3/23) • Female: 26.3% (102/388) • Male: 18.8% (24/128) OR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion • Female vs. male: 1.55 (0.94-2.54)*	Not peer reviewed No information on clinical characteristics of HCWs; no information on clinical outcomes of SARS- CoV-2 infection; participation rate not reported; 7% of patients who underwent PCR testing did not undergo antibody testing
Shields et al 2020b (143) Cross-sectional UK (Birmingham); NHS hospital trust; 24 to 25 April 2020	516 HCWs • Mean age 42 y • 75% female • HCW role not reported • 24.4% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Age Per additional year: adjusted OR 0.98 (0.96-1.00) Sex Female: (102/388) Male: (24/128) Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.49 (0.81-2.83) Race/ethnicity BAME vs. white: adjusted OR 1.92 (1.14-3.23) Department (working in the department vs. not working in the department) Acute medicine: adjusted OR 0.99 (0.34-2.86) ED: adjusted OR 0.36 (0.05-1.69) Estates: adjusted OR 0.57 (0.11-2.29) Internal medicine: adjusted OR 0.93 (0.42-2.12) Surgery: adjusted OR 0.24 (0.03-1.05) Facilities: adjusted OR 0.52 (0.15-1.60) Housekeeping: adjusted OR 1.01 (0.31-3.09) ICU: adjusted OR 0.28 (0.09-0.78) Ob/gyn: adjusted OR 0.85 (0.30-2.39)	No control for HCW role, exposures, or PPE; participation rate unclear
Stubblefield et al, 2020 (144)	249 frontline HCWs • Mean age 34 y	Research: adjusted OR 0.44 (0.15-1.22) Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Female: 6.7% (11/163)	No control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes Outcomes	Limitations
Cross-sectional United States (Tennessee); 1 academic medical center; 3 to 13 April 2020	57% female 37% nurse, 36% physician/provider, 8% radiology technician, 19% other healthcare role 59% ED, 22% medical ICU, 19% other setting	 Male: 6.6% (8/122) Nurse: 4.8% (5/105) Physician/provider: 9.3% (8/86) Radiology technician: 29.4% (5/17) Other HCW role: 2.4% (1/41) ED: 8.2% (12/147) Medical ICU: 5.5% (3/55) Other setting: 8.5% (4/47) Did not use surgical or N95 mask or PAPR during all clinical encounters: 23.1% (3/13) OR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Female vs. male: 1.03 (0.40-2.65)* 	
		Nurse vs. physician/provider: 0.49 (0.15-1.55)*	
Talbot et al 2021 (145) Cross-sectional United States (Suffolk County, NY); university hospital; 2 to 18 April 2020	474 HCWs Mean age 42 y 62% female 72% non-Hispanic white, 7% Hispanic or Latino, 3% African American/Black, 18% Asian, 0.5% other/unknown race/ethnicity 28% nurse (RN), 21% frontline physician, 19% other physician, 28% other clinical staff, 2% administrative/other role 16.9% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	Sex Female: 18.5% (54/292) Male: 14.3% (26/182) Female vs. male: OR 1.36 (0.82-2.27)* Race/Ethnicity Non-Hispanic white: 15.6% (53/339); reference Hispanic or Latino: 22.6% (7/31); OR 1.57 (0.65-3.84)* African American/Black: 7.1% (1/14); OR 0.42 (0.05-3.24)* Asian: 21.8% (19/87); OR 1.51 (0.84-2.71)* HCW role Nurse (RN): 15.6% (21/135) Frontline physician: 12.7% (13/102) Other physician: 16.5% (15/91) Nurse vs. (any) physician: OR 1.09 (0.59-2.01)* Other clinical staff: 21.6% (29/134) Administrative/other role: 16.7% (2/12) Exposure High exposure (time exposed to COVID-19 patients at work): 14.2% (19/134); OR 0.71 (0.38-1.33)* Moderate exposure: 17.0% (16/94); OR 0.88 (0.43-1.72)* Some exposure: 17.1% (14/82); OR 0.89 (0.44-1.78) Minimal to no exposure: 18.9% (30/159); reference	Participation rate not reported; potential recall bias; no adjustment for confounders; imprecise estimates
Tomczyk et al 2022 (17)	Second survey 1223 HCWs	Age • 16-29 y: 6.8% (12/176); adjusted OR 1.6 (0.3-7.4)	Participation rate not reported; limited

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Cross-sectional Germany (Berlin); tertiary care hospital; 18 May to 10 June 2020 (first survey) and 8 to 20 December (second survey) Added for Update Alert #11	Median age 40 y 69% female 27% nurse, 22% physician, 23% other allied health, 27% administration/other management role SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity: 1.2% (18/1477) May-June 2020; 4.6% (56/1223) December 2020	 30-39 y: 3.7% (15/405); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.2-3.9) 40-49 y: 7.0% (20/284); adjusted OR 1.6 (0.3-7.1) 50-59 y: 2.1% (6/281); adjusted OR 0.6 (0.1-3.1) ≥60 y: 3.4% (2/58); reference Sex Female: 3.7% (31/842); adjusted OR 0.5 (0.3-0.9) Male: 6.3% (24/380); reference HCW role Nurse: 6.7% (22/327); adjusted OR 2.7 (1.1-6.2) Physician: 5.3% (14/264); adjusted OR 1.6 (0.6-4.2) Other allied health: 3.6% (10/276); adjusted OR 1.2 (0.4-3.2) Administration/other management: 2.8% (9/325); reference Exposure High risk (working in a dedicated COVID-19 ward): 22.4% (13/58); adjusted OR 18.5 (6.0-57.2) Moderate risk (ward with higher risk of transmission, e.g. anaesthesia, ENT, oral-maxillofacial surgery, non-COVID-19 ICU): 6.0% (12/200); adjusted OR 3.9 (1.3-11.6) Low risk (direct patient contact outside of high- or moderate-risk categories): 4.2% (26/619); adjusted OR 2.9 (1.1-7.7) Very low (no direct patient contact): 1.5% (5/332); reference 	control for confounders; adjusted analyses only reported for second survey time period (December 2020); some imprecise estimates
Varona et al 2021 (146) Cross-sectional Spain (Madrid, Coruna, Barcelona, Other); hospital employees of the HM Group (GHM); 15 April to 30 June 2020	6038 HCWs (1253 symptomatic in previous 2 mo) • Mean age 44 y • 71% female • 62.7 % high-risk (clinical environment; prolonged/ direct contact with patients); 16.8% moderate risk (clinical environment with non-intense/no patient contact); 20.5% low-risk (non-clinical environment)	Age	Potential recall bias; limited control for potential exposures and no control for PPE

Study, Year			
(Reference)			
Study Design			
Setting and Study	Population		
Dates	Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
	• 11.0% SARS-CoV-2		
	seroprevalence		
Venugopal et al 2021	500 HCWs	Age^	Potential recall bias;
(147)	 Mean age not 	• 20-39 y: 25% (58/230); reference	limited control for
	reported; 48% 20-39	• 40-59 y: 30% (60/196); OR 1.31 (0.86-2.00)*	exposures; potential
Cross-sectional	y; 41% 40-59 y; 11%	• ≥60 y: 23% (12/52); OR 0.89 (0.44-1.81)*	data discrepancies
1104 (0 (1 5 1))	>60 y	Sex^	between reported OR
USA (South Bronx, NY);	• 69% female	• Female: 26% (87/329)	and data (unadjusted
Level 1 trauma center;	 28% Hispanic; 24% 	Male: 29% (43/149)	OR re-calculated
1 March to 1 May 2020	White; 24% Asian;	 Female vs. male: OR 0.89 (0.58-1.36)* 0.90 (0.67-1.24) 	using data provided in
	18% Black; 6% Other	Race/ethnicity:	Table 1)
	race/ethnicity	Caucasian: 15% (18/115); reference	
	• 33% physician; 30%	Hispanic: 31% (41/132); adjusted OR 1.32 (0.60-2.89)	
	nurse; 15% ancillary	Black: 32% (28/87); adjusted OR 1.50 (0.62-3.58)	
	service; 22% other	Asian: 26% (30/114); adjusted OR 0.90 (0.39-2.07)	
	role	Other race/ethnicity: 43% (13/30); adjusted OR 2.59 (0.86-7.73)	
	• 14% high and	HCW role^	
	moderate risk of	Nurse: 28% (40/142)	
	healthcare exposure;	Physician: 25% (39/157)	
	86% low risk of	 Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.19 (0.71-1.98)* 	
	healthcare exposure	Ancillary service: 28% (20/72)	
	25% community exposure	Other role: 29% (31/107)	
	• 27.4% SARS-CoV-2	PPE^	
	seropositivity	N95 only (yes vs. no): 25% (19/76); OR 0.87 (0.50-1.54)	
	seropositivity	Surgical mask only (yes vs. no): 36% (39/109); OR 1.70 (1.08-2.69)	
		N95 and surgical mask (yes vs. no): 25% (90/361); OR 0.64 (0.41-1.00)	
		• Face shield and goggles (yes vs. no): 23% (77/329); OR 0.55 (0.36-0.84)	
		• No PPE: 50% (1/2)	
		N95 only vs. surgical mask only: OR 0.60 (0.31-1.15)*	
		Exposure	
		High/moderate risk (prolonged close contact with patient with COVID-19 with	
		HCW or patient not wearing face mask or aerosol-generating procedure	
		without eye, nose or mouth protection): 38% (25/65)	
		• Low risk: 25% (105/413)	
		 High/moderate vs. low risk: adjusted OR 2.00 (0.99 to 4.25) 	
von Freyburg et al,	1170 HCWs	Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity:	No information on
2020 (148)	 Age, sex not 	Physician: 3.8% (8/208)	clinical outcomes of
	reported	Nurse: 9.7% (40/413)	infection; limited

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Cross-sectional Germany (Dachau); single hospital; 3-5 and April 2020	Population Characteristics 17.8% physician, 35.3% nurse, 43.1% nonmedical staff; 3.8% other	Outcomes Nonmedical: 1.6% (8/505) Other: 4.5% (2/44) OR for SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity Nurse vs. physician: 2.68 (1.23-5.84)*	Limitations information on demographic and no information on clinical characteristics of HCWs
Wan et al 2021 (149) Cross-sectional Malaysia; university medical center; 6 March to 20 August 2020	1174 HCWs with work-related COVID-19 exposure • Median age 30 y • 72% female • 47% nurse, 28% physician, 22% allied health, 3% ancillary personnel • 1.0% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	Age	No control for confounders; imprecise estimates
West et al 2022 (30) Cross-sectional Switzerland (Zurich area); six nursing homes and six home healthcare organizations; 21 September to 23 October 2020	427 HCWs • Mean age 44 y • 85% female • 58% nurse, 20% housekeeping, kitchen or technical services, 9% administration, 6% other role • 11.5% SARS-CoV-2 seropositive	 Nurse (yes vs. no)e: adjusted OR 2.61 (1.09-6.19) Exposure Per increase in number of SARS-CoV-2 positive people in immediate environment: adjusted OR 1.22 (0.92-1.61) Per increase in number of persons met outside household during the pandemic: adjusted OR 0.92 (0.87-0.97) Current smoker (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 0.26 (0.10-0.70) 	Unclear participation rate; potential recall bias; limited control for exposures

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
Added for Update			
Alert #11			
Yogo et al 2020 (150)	1770 HCWs in high-risk	Age	Potential recall bias;
	settings	18-35 y: reference	participation rate 45%
Cross-sectional	 Mean age not 	36-45 y: adjusted OR 0.47 (0.17-1.33)	
1104 (0 5:) 4	reported; 45% 18-35	46-55 y: adjusted OR 0.50 (0.16-1.59)	
USA (San Diego); 4	y, 26% 36-45 y, 18%	56-65 y: adjusted OR 0.32 (0.04-2.50)	
acute care hospitals, 1	46-55 y, 8% 56-65 y,	• >65 y: adjusted OR 1.93 (0.25-14.80)	
inpatient behavioral	2% >65 y	Sex	
health hospital, 3 skilled	• 75% female	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.00 (0.40-2.51)	
nursing facilities; 20 May to 8 June 2020	• 64% nurse, 9%	Race/ethnicity	
May to 8 Julie 2020	nursing assistant,	Caucasian: reference	
	<1% nurse	African American/Black: adjusted OR 1.32 (0.15-11.47)	
	practitioner, 6%	Asian: adjusted OR 1.16 (0.41-3.31)	
	physician, 6% phlebotomist, 7%	Hispanic/Latino: adjusted OR 2.79 (1.02-7.65)	
	respiratory therapist,	Other: adjusted OR 2.85 (0.62-13.15)	
	2% social worker, 4%	HCW role	
	technician, 1%	Nurse: reference	
	therapist, 1% other	Nursing assistant: adjusted OR 0.65 (0.17-2.45)	
	role	Physician: adjusted OR 1.19 (0.19-7.38)	
	• 2.3% SARS-CoV-2	Technician: adjusted OR 1.65 (0.38-7.15)	
	seropositivity or	Therapist: adjusted OR 0.78 (0.01-70.94)	
	infection (PCR)	PPE (exposure without PPE)	
		No: reference	
		Yes: adjusted OR 1.17 (0.42-3.26)	
Zhang G et al, 2020	237 HCWs with	Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	Limited information on
(83)	confirmed contact with a	Physician: 14.9% (10/67)	demographic
	COVID-19 infected	Nurse: 1.2% (2/170)	characteristics; no
Cross-sectional	patient	Respiratory department: 0% (0/73)	control for
	Age, sex not reported	Hepatobiliary surgery: 14.9% (10/74)	confounders
China (Wuhan); 1	 28% physician, 72% 	Neurology: 2.2% (2/90)	
university hospital; 25	nurse		
December 2019 to 31	31% respiratory		
January 2020	department, 31%		
	hepatobiliary surgery,		
71	38% neurology	OD (050) ON (OO) (D 40	00) ((0)
Zheng et al, 2020 (151)	117,100 HCWs	OR (95% CI) for COVID-19	COVID-19 cases
	Age not reported	• Female vs. male: 1.02 (0.94-1.12)	based on requests for

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Cross-sectional China (Wuhan); throughout Wuhan	Population Characteristics 72% female 37% physician, 49% nurse, 14% medical staff	Outcomes • Nurse vs. physician: 1.16 (1.07-1.27) • Nurse vs. medical staff: 1.03 (0.91-1.16) Prevalence of COVID-19	Limitations financial assistance; denominators based on epidemiological data; limited
area; from March 26, 2020	• 2.1% (2,457/117,100) diagnosed with COVID-19	 General hospital: 2.9% (2,193/74,944) Specialized hospital: 0.80% (140/17,565) Community hospital: 0.50% (124/24,591) 	information on clinical outcomes of COVID- 19 infections
Zhou F et al, 2020 (152) Cross-sectional China (Wuhan); single hospital; 16 to 25 March 2020	 Mean age not reported; 38% age 18-30 y, 45% age 31-50 y; 17% age >50 y 68% female 65% healthcare role, 14% administrative staff, 21% clinical support staff 	Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR, seropositivity, or CT scan suggesting infection) • Female: 3.3% (81/2486) • Male: 3.8% (45/1188) • Female vs. male: OR 0.86 (0.59.1.24) • Age 18-30: 2.5% (35/1378) • Age 31-50: 3.3% (55/1656) • Age >50: (36/640) • Healthcare role: 3.0% (73/2406) • Administrative staff: 2.4% (12/505) • Clinical staff: 5.4% (41/763) • High risk vs. low-risk setting: p=0.39 • Attended vs. did not attend training early in the COVID-19 outbreak: p<0.01	No control for confounders
Case-control studies		y ,	
Alishaq et al 2021 (153) Case control	164 fully vaccinated HCWs with breakthrough infection (cases) • Median age 39 y	Age Per 10 years increase: adjusted HR 1.02 (0.61-1.68) Sex (reference female) Malay adjusted HR 4.30 (0.54.3.46)	Potential recall bias; some estimates very imprecise; limited control of confounders
Qatar (countrywide); national medical system; 20 December 2020 to 18 May 2021	 Median age 39 y 49% female 16% physician, 42% nurse, 21% allied health professional, 4% clinical support services, 9% non-clinical support services, 8% administration 0.7% SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR) 	 Male: adjusted HR 1.26 (0.51-3.16) HCW role (nurse/midwife reference) Physician: adjusted HR 6.27 (1.20-32.82) Allied health professional: adjusted HR 2.99 (0.94-9.50) Clinical support service: adjusted HR 9.15 (1.32-63.64) Non-clinical support service: adjusted HR 4.95 (1.00-23.45) Exposure (reference non-exposed) Contact with confirmed COVID-19 case: adjusted HR 9.25 (3.67-23.28) 	Common or common ders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics among total cohort (n=22247)	Outcomes	Limitations
Celebi et al, 2020 (154) Case-control Population subset of Celebi 2020 prospective cohort, see above	47 HCWs and 134 controls • Mean age 35 y (cases) • 68% female (cases) • 15% physician, 60% nurse, 25% cleaning personnel	 Unadjusted OR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 infection* Female vs. male: 1.27 (0.63-2.57) Nurse vs. physician: 2.45 (0.98-6.11) Entered room with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient (yes vs. no): 0.58 (0.28-1.20) Examined (touched) suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient (yes vs. no): 0.42 (0.21-0.85) Obtained a respiratory sample from a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient (yes vs. no): 0.050 (0.22-1.13) Intubated a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient or present in the room during intubation (yes vs. no): 0.79 (0.30-2.09) Resuscitated a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient or present in the room during resuscitation (yes vs. no): 1.25 (0.45-3.48) Entered the ICU room of a suspected or confirmed patient with mechanical ventilation (yes vs. no): 0.72 (0.32-1.66) Present in the operation room during a surgical procedure on suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient (yes vs. no): 0.56 (0.03-11.83) Improper use of PPE while caring for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient (yes vs. no): 5.29 (1.64-17.11) Made mistake while implementing infection control precautions (yes vs. no): 2.98 (0.58-15.29) Stayed in personnel break room with an HCW without wearing medical mask for more than 15 minutes (yes vs. no): 6.18 (2.98-12.83) Consumed food within one meter of an HCW (yes vs. no): 2.91 (1.43-5.92) Failed to keep a safe social distance from an HCW (yes vs. no): 2.54 (1.28-5.03) Adjusted OR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 infection Improper use of PPE while caring for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient (yes vs. no): 11.30 (2.18-59) Stayed in same personnel break room as an HCW without wearing medical mask for more than 15 minutes (yes vs. no): 7.42 (1.90-29) 	Potential recall bias; imprecision in adjusted risk estimates
Chatterjee et al, 2020 (155) Case-control	378 HCW cases and 373 HCW controls	Unadjusted OR (95%) for SARS-CoV-2 infection, • ICU with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 case on ventilator, yes vs. no: 1.36 (0.88-2.1)	Potential recall bias; 60% of eligible cases included

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
India (throughout); 8 to 23 May 2020	Mean age 35 y (cases) 42% female (cases) 29% physician, 44% nurse/nurse midwife, 4% housekeeping, 11% lab or operating room technician, 3% security guard, 9% other	 Performing endotracheal intubation vs. not performing: 2.5 (1.13-5.5) Respiratory tract suctioning (yes vs. no): 0.73 (0.37-1.45) Handling clinical specimen (stool, blood, bronchoalveolar lavage) (yes vs. no): 0.89 (0.57-1.39) Doctor vs. laboratory technician/operation theatre technician: 0.94 (0.57-1.57) Nurse vs. laboratory technician/operation theatre technician: 1.2 (0.74-1.96) No PPE use vs. PPE use all/most cases: 3.72 (2.12-6.52) Any mask vs. no mask: 0.35 (0.22-0.57) Cap vs. no cap: 0.70 (0.52-0.94) Gown vs. no gown: 0.62 (0.46-0.83) Shoe cover vs. no shoe cover: 1.05 (0.78-1.42) Face shield or goggles vs. none: 0.81 (0.61-1.08) Gloves vs. no gloves: 0.38 (0.26-0.55) Adjusted OR (95% CI) for SARS-CoV-2 infection Male vs. female: 1.93 (1.21-3.07) Never used PPE vs used PPE: 5.33 (2.27-12.48) Performing endotracheal intubation vs. not performing: 4.33 (1.16-16.07) 	
Dusefante et al 2022 (21) Case-control Italy (Trieste); 2 hospitals (1 outpatient only); 1 September 2020 to 31 January 2021 Added for Update Alert #11	120 HCWs (29 cases; 91 controls) • Median age 40 y • 58% female • 71% nurse or assistant nurse, 11% clinical or other medical professional, 12% patient transport	Age Per year: adjusted OR 0.98 (0.93-1.03) Sex Female: adjusted OR 0.66 (0.21-2.03) Male: reference Education/training < 2 hours COVID-19 training: reference ≥ 2 hours COVID-19 training: adjusted OR 0.36 (0.11-1.12) HCW role Registered nurse vs. medical doctor: OR 0.49 (0.14-7.29); not included in multivariate model Exposure Exposure to aerosol-generating procedures (yes vs. no): OR 0.50 (0.21-1.19); not included in multivariate model Exposure to COVID-19 patient' body fluids (yes vs. no): OR 0.60 (0.24-1.48); not included in multivariate model COVID-19 vs. non-COVID-19 ward: OR 1.32 (0.56-3.10); not included in multivariate model COVID-19 wards dedicated days: OR 0.96 (0.82-1.12); not included in multivariate model	Potential recall bias

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates Haller et all 2021 (156)† Prospective cohort Switzerland (northern and eastern regions); 18 institutions (14 acute care, 1 rehabilitation, and 3 psychiatric clinics); 22 June 2020 to 9 March 2021	Population Characteristics Total cohort 3,259 HCWs Median age 39 y 81% female 53% nurse, 21% physician, other HCW role not reported 13.3% SARS-CoV-2 infection (self-report) Seroconverted subgroup with negative serum testing at baseline and repeat testing in January or February 2021 2916 HCWs Age, sex, HCW role not reported 17.6% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Outcomes Total cohort Mask use Mostly FFP2 use vs. mostly surgical mask use: adjusted HR 0.80 (0.64-1.00) Restricted to data collected after 1 December 2020: adjusted HR 0.73 (0.55-0.97) Seroconverted subgroup Mask use Mostly FFP2 use vs. mostly surgical mask use: adjusted HR 0.73 (0.53-1.00)	Limitations Not peer reviewed Potential recall bias
Khalil et al, 2020 (157) Case-control Bangladesh; multiple hospitals (number not reported); May to June 2020	98 COVID-19 positive HCWs and 92 COVID-19 negative controls • Mean age 33 y (cases) • 22% female (cases) • 100% physicians	 Risk of COVID-19 (defined as positive PCR), unadjusted OR (95% CI): Age ≥35 years vs. <35 years: 0.67 (0.35-1.28) Female vs. male: 0.66 (0.44-1.69) Workplace setting (reference inpatient) Outpatient: 0.64 (0.31-1.29) ED: 0.94 (0.41-2.15) ICU: 1.24 (0.40-3.85) Tertiary care: 0.95 (0.31-2.88) Received formal training on PPE (yes vs. no): 1.67 (0.89-3.12) Place of contact with COVID-19 (reference hospital environment) Suspected contact with COVID-19 patient or health worker: 1.51 (0.67-3.42) Confirmed contact with COVID-19 patient or health worker: 0.82 (0.39-1.69) Community contact: 1.14 (0.24-5.43) Unknown contact: 0.35 (0.13-0.94) Participated in direct COVID-19 patient care (yes vs. no): 0.94 (0.50-1.77) 	Proportion of eligible HCW cases included not reported; method of identifying controls unclear; imprecise estimates; potential recall bias; no control for confounders

Study, Year (Reference) Study Design Setting and Study Dates	Population Characteristics	Outcomes	Limitations
		 Performed aerosol-generating procedure on COVID-19 patient (yes vs. no): 1.30 (0.64-2.37) Direct contact with contaminated fomite (yes vs. no): 1.74 (0.90-3.35) Wore PPE (yes vs. no): 0.15 (0.02-1.21) Single-use gloves (yes vs. no): 1.01 (0.38-2.68) Medical/surgical mask (yes vs. no): 1.40 (0.30-6.42) Face shield/goggles (yes vs. no): 0.44 (0.23-0.84) Disposable gown (yes vs. no): 1.08 (0.53-2.20) Proper donning and doffing of PPE (yes vs. no): 0.57 (0.29-1.14) Followed hand hygiene – During patient care (yes vs. no): 0.78 (0.23-2.67) During procedure (yes vs. no): 3.28 (0.66-12.30) After body fluid exposure (yes vs. no): 0.28 (0.06-1.45) After touching fomites (yes vs. no): 1.58 (0.49-5.04) Decontaminated surroundings (yes vs. no): 0.39 (0.18-0.87) 	
Not peer reviewed	T =		T
Haller et all 2021 (156)† Prospective cohort Switzerland (northern and eastern regions); 18 institutions (14 acute care, 1 rehabilitation, and 3 psychiatric clinics); 22 June 2020 to 9 March 2021	Total cohort 3,259 HCWs Median age 39 y 81% female 53% nurse, 21% physician, other HCW role not reported 13.3% SARS-CoV-2 infection (self-report) Seroconverted subgroup with negative serum testing at baseline and repeat testing in January or February 2021 2916 HCWs Age, sex, HCW role not reported 17.6% SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	Total cohort Mask use Mostly FFP2 use vs. mostly surgical mask use: adjusted HR 0.80 (0.64-1.00) Restricted to data collected after 1 December 2020: adjusted HR 0.73 (0.55-0.97) Seroconverted subgroup Mask use Mostly FFP2 use vs. mostly surgical mask use: adjusted HR 0.73 (0.53-1.00)	Not peer reviewed Potential recall bias

Abbreviations: BAME = Black, Asian and minority ethnic; BCG = bacille Calmette-Guerin; CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ED = emergency department; HCW = healthcare worker; HR = hazard ratio; ICU = intensive care unit; IRR = incidence rate ratio; MMR = measles, mumps and rubella; NICU = neonatal intensive care unit; ob/gyn =

obstetrics and gynecology; OR = odds ratio; PAPR = powered air purifying respirator; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; PICU = pediatric intensive care unit; PPE = personal protective equipment; RR = relative risk; VHA = Veterans Health Administration; y=years *Unadjusted OR calculated based on available data.

^Variable was not retained in the multivariate model

†Preprint included for risk associated with mask use only

Supplement Table 2. Demographic characteristics and HCW role and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Abbas et al 2021 (84)	Age (per year): adjusted OR 1.00 (0.99-1.01)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.60 (0.49-0.73)				Clinical vs. nonclinical: adjusted OR 1.27 (1.06-1.53)
Abdelmonim et al 2020 (31)	Mean 31.7 (cases) vs. 31.9 years (non- cases), p=0.86	Female vs. male: OR 1.35 (0.61-2.97)		9.4% (7/74)	16.8% (15/89) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.95 (0.75-5.05)	Cleaner/transportation: 20.8% (5/24) Radiology/lab: 0% (0/2) Administration: 14.3% (2/14)
Akinbami et al 2020 (61)	18-24 y: 7.9% (54/686) 25-34 y: 6.9% (337/4,885) 35-44 y: 7.0% (278/3,977) 45-59 y: 6.9% (360/5,222) 60-64 y: 7.5% (83/1,106) ≥65 y: 3.5% (18/521); vs. 18-24 y, adjusted OR 0.41 (0.23-0.72)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.79 (0.65-0.95)		6.1% (140/2,297)	Nurse: 7.7% (495/6,426) Nurse vs. physician; adjusted OR 1.52 (1.18-1.95) Nurse assistant: 12.8% (82/641) Nurse assistant vs. physician: adjusted OR 1.88 (1.24-2.83)	Pharmacist: 4.4% (14/321) Physical therapist: 10.6% (25/235) Respiratory therapist: 8.3% (34/409) Administration/clerk: 8.0% (77/964) Clinical technician: 5.5% (20/365) Imaging technician: 4.2% (30/719) Laboratory technician: 3.4% (10/293) Midlevel clinician: 4.6% (26/566) Other HCW role: 7.0% (62/888)

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Akinbami et al 2021 (85)	18-24 y: reference 25-34 y: adjusted	Female: 3.1% (212/6788); adjusted OR 0.85	White: 2.7% (182/6829); reference	2.2% (22/1001)	Nurse: 4.2% (114/2733) Nurse vs. physician:	Physician assistant: 1.0% (1/100) vs. physician; adjusted
Hospital HCWs	OR 0.53 (0.31-0.92)	(0.59-1.21)	Black: 7.0%		adjusted OR 1.66 (1.07-2.57)	OR 0.68 (0.22-2.12)
	35-44 y: adjusted OR 0.46 (0.20-1.04)	Male: 2.8% (44/1582); reference	(20/284); adjusted OR 2.83 (1.77-4.51)		Nurse assistant: 5.9% (23/392)	Reception/medical assistant: 4.1% (12/296) vs. physician;
	45-59 y: adjusted OR 0.50 (0.26-0.96)		Asian: 3.2% (10/316); adjusted OR 1.16 (0.58-2.29)		Nurse assistant vs. physician: adjusted OR 1.44 (0.85-2.43)	adjusted OR 2.04 (1.18-3.52)
	60-64 y: adjusted OR 0.48 (0.23-0.97)		Hispanic: 5.6% (31/554); adjusted		,	
	≥65 y: adjusted OR 0.26 (0.11-0.63)		OR 1.70 (1.35-2.13)			
			Other race/ethnicity: 5.8% (11/191); adjusted OR 1.94 (0.89-4.22)			
Akinbami et al 2021 (85)	18-24 y: reference 25-34 y: adjusted	Female: 12.4% (157/1267); adjusted OR 0.66	White: 10.2% (119/1165); reference		Nurse: 15.3% (63/413); adjusted OR 1.78 (0.93-3.42)	Occupational/physical/ speech therapist: 9.8% (16/163); reference
Nursing home HCWs	OR 1.27 (0.59-2.73)	(0.46-0.94)	Black: 27.6%		Nurse assistant:	(10/103), reference
nows	35-44 y: adjusted OR 1.21 (0.44-3.37)	Male: 17.2% (39/227); reference	(24/87); adjusted OR 1.60 (0.84-3.05)		19.9% (59/296); adjusted OR 2.06 (1.06-4.00)	
	45-59 y: adjusted		Asian, 24.40/ (C/20).		(1.00-4.00)	
	OR 1.61 (0.68-3.78)		Asian: 21.4% (6/28); adjusted OR 1.90			
	60-64 y: adjusted OR 1.94 (0.89-4.21)		(0.99-3.66)			
	≥65 y: adjusted OR 1.75 (0.59-5.20)		Hispanic: 21.5% (28/130); adjusted OR 1.90 (0.99-3.66)			
			Other race/ethnicity: 20.0% (8/40); adjusted OR 2.57 (1.25-5.28)			

Author, Year (Reference)	Ago	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Al Maskari et al, 2020 (89)	Age 	Female vs. male: OR 4.45 (3.32-5.96)		4.7% (26556)	4.1% (77/1870) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.88 (0.56-1.38)	Paramedic: 2.8% (27/969) Administrative/support staff: 5.6% (74/1308)
Al-Naamani et al 2021 (11) Added for Update Alert #11	>50 vs. <50: adjusted OR 0.98 (p=0.19)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 2.27 (p<0.0001)				(74/1306)
Al Youha et al 2021 (86)	No association between age and risk of infection (data not shown)	No association between sex and risk of infection (data not shown)			Nurse (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.77 (1.15-2.71)	Other medical staff (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.40 (0.93-2.12)
Algado-Selles et al 2020 (62)	<60 y vs. ≥60 y: OR 1.2 (0.7-2.0)	Female vs. male: OR 1.2 (0.8-1.8)		28.2% (60/213)	18.1% (49/270) Nurse vs. physician: <i>OR 0.57 (0.37-0.87)</i>	Nurse assistant/technician: 14.6% (27/185) Other role: 17.2% (20/116)
Alishaq et al 2021 (153)	Per 10 years increase: adjusted HR 1.02 (0.61-1.68)	Female vs. male: adjusted HR 0.79 (0.32-1.96)		Physician vs. nurse/midwife: adjusted HR 6.27 (1.20-32.82)		Reference nurse/midwife Allied health professional: adjusted HR 2.99 (0.94-9.50) Clinical support service: adjusted HR 9.15 (1.32-63.64) Non-clinical support service: adjusted HR 4.95 (1.00-23.45)
Alkurt et al 2021 (87)		OR 1.16 (0.77-1.74)		10.8% (30/279)	16.8% (45/268) Nurse vs. physician: <i>OR 1.67 (1.02-2.75)</i>	Ward clerk and security: 11.6% (15/129)

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role Lab and radiology technician: 6.5% (7/107) Cleaning staff: 12.5% (12/96) Administrative staff: 18.9% (10/53)
Allen et al 2021 (88)	18-29 y: adjusted RR 1.40 (1.10-1.90) 30-39 y: adjusted RR 1.20 (0.90-1.50) 40-49 y: adjusted RR 1.0 (0.80-1.30) 50-59 y: reference >60 y: adjusted RR 1.40 (0.90-2.00)	Female vs. male: adjusted RR 0.83 (0.71-1.0)	Irish: reference Other White: adjusted RR 1.3 (1.0-1.6) African or other Black: adjusted RR 1.3 (0.8-2.0) Asian: adjusted RR 1.3 (1.0-1.6) Other race/ethnicity: adjusted RR 0.6 (0.2-1.3)	Medical/dental vs. administration: adjusted RR 1.2 (0.8-1.7)	Nurse vs. administration: adjusted RR 1.6 (1.1-2.2)	Administration: reference Allied health: adjusted RR 0.9 (0.6-1.3) General support staff: adjusted RR 0.9 (0.6-1.4) Healthcare assistant: adjusted RR 2.0 (1.4-3.0) Other role: adjusted RR 1.0 (0.5-2.1)
Allen et al 2022 (18) Added for Update Alert #11	18-29 y: 22.5% (249/1108); adjusted RR 1.3 (1.1-1.6) 30-39 y: 17.9% (238/1330); adjusted RR 1.1 (0.9-1.3) 40-49 y: 14.7% (208/1414); adjusted RR 1.0 (0.7-1.1)	Female: 16.9% (669/3959); reference Male: 18.7% (229/1226); adjusted RR 1.2 (1.0-1.4)	White (Irish): 15.7% (595/3798); reference Other white: 19.7% (94/476); adjusted RR 1.2 (1.0-1.4) Asian: 24.7% (148/599); adjusted RR 1.1 (0.9-1.3) African or other Black: 33.3% (39/117); adjusted RR 1.7 (1.3-2.2)	Medical/dental vs administration: 16.3% (116/713); adjusted RR 1.0 (0.7-1.4)	Nurse/midwife vs administration: 20.9% (396/1891); adjusted RR 1.4 (1.0-1.8)	Administration: 12.6% (85/676); reference Allied health: 11.3% (118/1044); adjusted RR 0.8 (0.6-1.1) General support: 22.2% (81/365); adjusted RR 1.2 (0.9-1.7) Healthcare assistant: 32.0% (93/291); adjusted RR 1.8 (1.3-2.3)

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
(Kelelelice)	50-59 y: 16.6% (158/951); reference >60 y: 16.0% (45/282); adjusted RR 1.0 (0.7-1.3)	Jex	Other race/ethnicity: 23.2% (22/95); adjusted RR 1.3 (0.9-1.9)	riiysiciaii	Nuise	Other role: 9.5% (10/105); adjusted RR 0.7 (0.3-1.2)
Amendola et al, 2020 (90)		Female vs. male: OR <i>0.44</i> (0.21-0.96)		4.7% (10/214)	6.0% (13/216) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.31 (0.56-3.05)	Other health technicians: 4.2% (5/117) Non-clinical HCW: 5.2% (6/116)
Bahrs et al., 2021 (32)		Female vs. male: OR 0.93 (0.33-2.64)		4.8% (5/103)	Nurse or care worker: 2.3% (5/215) Nurse or care worker vs. physician: adjusted OR 0.38 (0.10-1.34)	Cleaner: 16.7% (1/6) Reception staff: 5.3% (1/19) Administrative staff: 3.3% (6/180) Other role: 0% (0/130)
Bai et al, 2020 (63)	Mean age: 36.6 y in cases vs. 30.5 in non-cases, P=0.006	Female vs. male: OR 0.78 (0.23-2.64)			Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.65 (0.18-2.34)	
Baker et al 2021 (92)	≥60: reference 50-59: adjusted OR 0.8 (0.6-1.2) 40-49: adjusted OR 0.9 (0.7-1.4) 30-39: adjusted OR 1.0 (0.7-1.4) <30: adjusted OR 1.2 (0.8-1.7)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.77 (0.59-1.00)	White: 4.3% (226/5263); reference Black: 8.3% (238/2860); adjusted OR 2.1 (1.7-2.6) Asian: 5.3% (60/1133); adjusted OR 1.3 (0.9-1.7)	5.0% (87/1753)	5.9% (177/2976) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.21 (0.93-1.58)	Other HCW role with no patient contact: 5.5% (100/1812) Other direct care: 6.2% (88/1423) Advanced practice provider: 5.2% (36/698) Nurse tech:8.1% (28/346) Radiology tech: 7.0% (21/302)

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
			Multiracial: 7.6% (10/132); adjusted OR 1.8 (0.8-3.5)			
Banjeree et al 2020 (64)		Female vs. male: OR 0.85 (0.49-1.45)				
Barallat et al 2020 (91)	35-54 vs. 18-34 y: OR 0.86 (0.72-1.03) ≥55 vs. 18-34 y: OR 0.98 (0.79-1.21)	Female vs. male: OR 0.90 (0.75-1.08)		27.0% (192/1821)	Nurse: 9.6% (216/2243) Nursing assistant: 11.9% (85/832) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.90 (0.74-1.11) Nurse or nursing assistant vs. physician: OR 0.92 (0.76-1.11)	Heathcare support services: 4.6% (33/429) Administration: 10.5% (75/1181) Other role: 7.2% (51/616)
Barrett et al 2020 (33)				2.4% (5/210)	11.1% (25/225) Nurse vs. physician: <i>OR 5.12 (1.92-13.65)</i>	Other HCW role: 9% (10/111)
Bayle et al 2021 (93)		Female vs. male: OR 1.05 (0.38-2.92)			Nurse and nursing assistant: 15.2% (23/151) Nurse and nursing assistant vs. medical staff: OR 2.38 (0.13-43.64)*	Medical staff: 0% (0/6) Clerical staff: 0% (0/20) Allied health: 13.3% (2/15) Environmental staff: 12.1% (4/33) Food service: 25.0% (3/12)
Blairon et al, 2020 (34)				11.8% (38/323)	19.2% (113/588) Nurse vs. physician: OR <i>1.78 (1.20-2.65)</i>	Pharmacist or administrative staff: 9.1% (29/320) Maintenance or technical worker: 16.4% (22/134)

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
						Imaging or lab worker: 6.6% (2/31)
Bouwman et al 2021 (94)				Physician vs. role with no direct patient contact: adjusted OR 0.60 (0.35-1.03)	Nurse vs. role with no direct patient contact: adjusted OR 1.21 (0.79-1.84)	Paramedical vs. role with no direct patient contact: adjusted OR 0.66 (0.45-0.98) Other HCW with direct patient contact vs. role with no direct patient contact vs. role with no direct patient contact: adjusted OR 1.08 (0.69-1.70) Reference department with no direct patient contact COVID-19 nursing ward: adjusted OR 1.86 (0.93-3.74) ICU: adjusted OR 1.01 (0.43-2.33) Pediatrics: adjusted OR 1.93 (0.67-5.55) Emergency department: adjusted OR 1.41 (0.58-3.45) Housekeeping: adjusted OR 1.05 (0.49-2.26) General surgery: adjusted OR 3.39 (1.32-8.71)
Brousseau et al 2021 (12)			Black or Hispanic: 23.4% (45/192);	7.2% (31/432)	Nurse/nursing assistant: 12.3% (163/1321)	Orderly: 17.9% (36/201) vs. physician;

Author, Year						
(Reference) Added for Update Alert #11	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity adjusted RR 1.41 (1.13-1.76) Other race/ethnicity: 12.2% (33/270); adjusted RR 0.89 (1.67-1.18) White: 10.2% (163/1594); reference	Physician	Nurse Nurse/nursing assistant vs. physician: adjusted RR 1.34 (1.03-1.74)	Other HCW Role adjusted RR 1.49 (1.12-1.97) Cleaning staff: 10.8% (11/102) vs. physician; adjusted RR 0.88 (0.50-1.54)
Buchtele et al, 2020 (65)				0% (0/5)	27.8% (5/18) Nurse vs. physician: OR 4.48 (0.21- 65.60)	Cleaning staff: 0% (0/3)
Calcagno et al 2020 (35)				7.8% (55/700)	Nurse: 8.2% (150/1833) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.05 (0.76-1.44) Nurse assistant: 9.2% (44/476) Nurse or nurse assistant vs. physician: OR 1.08 (0.79-1.47)	Healthcare personnel: 6.7% (23/343) Pharmacist: 3.4% (1/29) Administrative employee: 3.3% (18/539) Technical personnel: 6.9% (15/216) Laboratory personnel: 11.5% (18/157)
Celebi et al, 2020 (154)		Female vs. male: OR 1.27 (0.63-2.57)			Nurse vs. physician: OR 2.45 (0.98-6.11)	
Chatterjee et al, 2020 (155)	Mean age: 34.7 y in cases vs. 33.5 y in non-cases	Male vs. female: adjusted OR 1.93 (1.21-3.07)				
Clifton et al 2021 (95)		Female vs. male: OR 0.74 (0.13-4.10)		Physician or physician assistant: 0% (0/25)	Nurse: 1.0% (1/100) Nurse vs. physician or physician assistant: OR 0.77 (0.03-19.44)	Medical support: 1.1% (1/94) Other role: 3.4% (4/117)

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Colaneri et al 2020 (66)	Mean age: 46.3 y in cases vs. 44.9 in non-cases, p=0.43	Female vs. male: OR 0.73 (0.52-1.02)		12.1% (54/446)	11.1% (63/568) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.91 (0.62- 1.33); adjusted IRR 1.49 (0.97-2.30)	Healthcare assistant: 13.3% (34/255) Administrative staff: 7.3% (13/178)
Comelli et al 2021 (67)	Mean age: 45 y in cases vs. 44 y in non-cases, p=0.01	Female vs. male: 0.94 (0.73-1.21)				
Cruz-Arenas et al 2021 (96)		Female vs. male: adjusted OR 3.22 (1.11-9.09)			Nurse vs. administration: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.18-2.78)	Reference administration Medical personnel: adjusted OR 0.32 (0.06-1.66) Research: adjusted OR 1.27 (0.13-12.23) Orderly: adjusted OR 0.77 (0.07-8.41) Technician: adjusted OR 0.73 (0.09-5.60) Therapist: adjusted OR 1.81 (0.48-6.83) Janitor: adjusted OR 13.70 (2.85-65.77) Security: adjusted OR 12.35 (1.32-115.01) Food service: adjusted OR 5.58 (0.47-66.31)
Dacosta-Urbieta et al, 2020 (97)				Physician/resident: 3.8% (3/80)	Nurse/nurse's aide: 4.8% (4/83)	

Author, Year (Reference)	A ===	Sex	Doca/othnicity	Dhysisian	Nurse	Other UCW Bele
	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse Nurse/nurse's aide vs. physician/resident: OR 1.30 (0.28-6.00)	Other HCW Role
Dalla Volta et al, 2020 (98)				Physician/resident: 20.7% (6/29)	5.4% (2/37) Nurse vs. physician/resident: OR 0.22 (0.04-1.18)	Administrative: 50% (2/4)
Damluji et al 2021 (36)	Reference 20-29 y 30-39 y: adjusted OR 0.48 (0.23-0.96) 40-49 y: adjusted OR 0.44 (0.19-0.92) 50-59 y: adjusted OR 0.41 (0.16-0.93) ≥60 y: adjusted OR 0.40 (0.11-1.05)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.66 (0.36-1.30)	Reference White race Black: adjusted OR 2.53 (1.20-5.05) Hispanic: adjusted OR 1.37 (0.50-3.09) Other race/ethnicity: adjusted OR 1.07 (0.50-2.07)			
Davido et al 2021 (99)	>44 vs. <44: adjusted OR 6.7 (1.7-37.7)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.25 (0.42-5.00)		Physician: 22.2% (4/18)	Nurse or nursing assistant: 36.2% (17/47); vs. physician: OR 1.98 (0.56-7.00)	Support staff: 28.6% (6/21)
Davila-Conn et al 2022 (19) Prevalent cases Added for Update Alert #11		Female: 25.4% (158/620); adjusted OR 0.86 (0.58-1.29) Male: 29.0% (76/262); reference		Physician: 22.2% (47/212); reference	Nurse: 31.1% (76/244); adjusted OR 1.02 (0.57-1.81)	Lab technician: 42.1% (16/38); <i>adjusted OR</i> 4.38 (1.75-10.93) Administration: 22.6% (44/195); adjusted OR 1.18 (0.64-2.17) Other role: 26.4% (50/189); adjusted OR 1.40 (0.79-2.50)
Davila-Conn et al 2022 (19)	Adjusted HR 0.80 (0.58-1.10)	Female vs. male: adjusted HR 1.54 (95% CI 0.81-2.94)*				

Author, Year	A	0	Danadathailaita	Dharalalan	None	Other HOW Bala
(Reference) Incident cases	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Added for Update Alert #11						
Dillner et al 2021 (37)	< 29 y: 16.4% (249/1522); reference 30-39 y: 12.1% (383/3172); OR 0.70 (0.59-0.83)* 40-49 y: 11.4% (370/3238); OR 0.66 (0.55-0.78)* 50-59 y: 10.2% (313/3066); OR 0.58 (0.49-0.70)* ≥60 y: 8.6% (166/1930); OR 0.48 (0.39-0.59)*					
Doernberg et al 2022 (20) Added for Update Alert #11	Per year: adjusted HR 1.0 (1.0-1.0)	Female: 2.9% (55/1923); adjusted HR 0.83 (0.45- 1.67)* Male: 2.9%(15/512); reference	White: 2.7% (38/1411); reference Black: 5.4% (2/37); adjusted HR 2.6 (0.6-11.1) Asian: 3.0% (16/530); adjusted HR 1.2 (0.7-2.2) Mixed race: 2.2% (3/137); adjusted HR 0.8 (0.2-2.7)	2.0% (16/804); reference	3.2% (34/1077); adjusted HR 1.67 (0.91-3.33)*	
Dusefante et al 2022 (21)	Per year: adjusted OR 0.98 (0.93-1.03)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.66 (0.21-2.03)			Registered nurse vs. medical doctor: OR 0.49 (0.14- 7.29); not included	

Author, Year						
(Reference) Added for Update Alert #11	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse in multivariate model	Other HCW Role
Ebinger et al 2021 (100)	Per decade increase: adjusted OR 0.80 (0.68 to 0.94)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.84 (0.63-1.12)	Asian: 3.1% (57/1866) Black: 4.8% (18/372); adjusted OR 2.02 (1.08-3.76) Hispanic/Latino: 5.3% (62/1159); adjusted OR 1.98 (1.34-2.92) Other: 4.2% (33/782) White: 3.4% (104/3042); reference			
El Abdellati et al, 2021 (38)	Mean 46.8 (cases) vs. 43.7 (non- cases) years	Female vs. male: OR 0.52 (0.16-1.71)				
el-Boghdadly et al, 2020 (39)	Age (y): HR, 0.99 (0.98-1.01)	Female vs. male: adjusted HR 1.36 (1.01-1.82)		10.6% (166/1558) Physician vs. non- physician: OR 0.94 (0.56-1.58)		Non-physician: 11.2% (18/160)
Elfstrom et al 2021 (101)	Reference ≤29 y 30-39 y: adjusted OR 0.78 (0.65-0.93) 40-49 y: adjusted OR 0.76 (0.64-0.91) 50-59 y: adjusted OR 0.67 (0.56-0.80) ≥60 y: adjusted OR 0.57 (0.46-0.70)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.88 (0.76-1.01*		Physician vs. other HCW role: adjusted OR 1.07 (0.89-1.28)	Nurse/midwife vs. other HCW role: adjusted OR 1.28 (1.11-1.49) Nurse assistant vs. other HCW role: adjusted OR 1.62 (1.38-1.90)	

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Eyre et al 2020 (102) Seropositive or PCR	Per 10 years: OR 0.95 (0.90-1.00)	Female vs. male: OR 0.88 (0.76-1.01)	White: 9.5% (686/7237); reference Asian: 16.8% (281/1673); adjusted OR 1.51 (1.28-1.77) Black: 18.0% (71/394); adjusted OR 1.66 (1.25-2.21) Chinese: 7.5% (7/93); adjusted OR 0.75 (0.34-1.67) Mixed race/ethnicity: 11.6% (28/242); adjusted OR .23 (0.82-1.87)	10.9% (170/1557)	Nurse/healthcare assistant: 14.2% (562/3971) Nurse/healthcare assistant vs. physician: OR 1.35 (1.12-1.61)*	Administration: 7.2% (88/1218) Laboratory staff: 8.2% (29/354) Cleaning staff: 18.6% (60/323) Therapist: 14.9% (47/316) Security or catering: 11.8% (26/221)
Eyre et al 2020 (102) Seropositive		Male vs. female: adjusted OR 1.19 (1.01-1.40)	vs. White Asian: adjusted OR 1.52 (1.29-1.80) Black: adjusted OR 1.71 (1.28-2.28) Chinese: adjusted OR 0.67 (0.29-1.59) Mixed race/ethnicity: adjusted OR 1.30 (0.86-1.97)	vs. administration Senior physician: adjusted OR 0.84 (0.54-1.31) Junior physician: adjusted OR 1.05 (0.70-1.58)	vs. administration Nurse/healthcare assistant: adjusted OR 1.33 (0.94-1.87)	vs. administration Laboratory staff: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.60-1.54) Cleaning staff: adjusted OR 1.96 (1.26-3.04)
Faico-Filho et al, 2020 (103)				24.2% (26/107)	Nurse or nurse technician: 40.1% (73/182)	Laboratory personnel: 28.6% (4/14)

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
	gc	COA	- race en initial		Nurse or nurse technician vs. physician: <i>OR 2.09</i> (1.23-3.55)	Administrative staff: 31.1% (28/90) Logistic worker: 63.0% (17/27)
Ferreira et al 2021 (40)				PCR 0% (0/152) Seropositive 1.0% (1/101)	PCR 1.2% (8/655) Nurse vs. physician: OR 4.00 (0.23-69.75) Seropositive 1.9% (7/361) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.98 (0.24-16.26)	PCR Allied health: 2.2% (1/446) Seropositive Allied health: 1.9% (5/261)
Fitzpatrick et al 2021 (104)	18-31 y: reference 32-40 y: adjusted OR 0.54 (0.43-0.68) 41-52 y: adjusted OR 0.66 (0.53-0.83) 53-82 y: adjusted OR 0.55 (0.44-0.69)		Race American Indian: adjusted OR 0.85 (0.20-3.55) Asian: adjusted OR 1.87 (1.37-2.57) Black: adjusted OR 3.86 (2.91-5.12) Mixed: adjusted OR 1.99 (1.50-2.63) White: reference Ethnicity Hispanic vs. non- Hispanic: adjusted OR 1.80 (1.34-2.42)			COVID-19 clinical: adjusted OR 1.86 (1.45-2.40) Other clinical: OR 1.09 (0.88-1.35) Nonclinical: reference
Fletcher et al 2021 (105) Study Period 1	Study 1: Mean 36 (cases) vs. 40 (non- cases) years, p=0.08	Female vs. male: OR 0.56 (0.24-1.30)	White: 1.6% (20/1274); reference			Clinical provider: 2.4% (17/708); reference

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
			Hispanic/Latino:			Interprofessional:
			2.9% (1/34); OR			0.8% (1/132); OR 0.31
			1.90 (0.25-14.58)			(0.04-2.35)
			Black: 4.8% (1/21);			Ancillary: 1.0%
			OR 3.13 (0.40-			(1/105); OR 0.39
			24.51)			(0.05-2.97)
			,			(
			Asian/Pacific			Nonclinical role: 1.6%
			Islander: 0% (0/22);			(7/440); OR 0.66
			OR 1.36 (0.08-			(0.27-1.60)
			23.19)			
			Arabic: 10.0%			
			(1/10); OR 6.97			
			(0.84-57.62)			
			Other race/ethnicity:			
			12.5% (3/24); OR			
			8.96 (2.47-32.47)			
Fletcher et al 2021		Female vs. male:	White: 13.5%			Clinical provider:
(105)	Study 2: Mean 38.9	OR 1.05 (0.72-1.53)	(176/1303);			13.4% (107/800);
()	(cases) vs. 40.3		reference			reference
Study Period 2	years, p=0.13					
			Hispanic/Latino:			Interprofessional:
			20.5% (8/39); OR			17.5% (33/189); OR
			1.65 (0.75-3.65)			1.37 (0.89-2.10)
			Black: 26.1% (6/23);			Ancillary: 10.6%
			OR 2.26 (0.88-5.81)			(10/94); OR 0.77
			(0.00 0.01)			(0.39-1.53)
			Asian/Pacific			
			Islander: 0% (4/33);			Nonclinical role: 14.6%
			OR 0.88 (0.31-2.54)			(48/329); OR 1.11
			Archio: 42 E0/ (4/0):			(0.77-1.60)
			Arabic: 12.5% (1/8); OR 0.91 (0.11-7.48)			
			01. 0.31 (0.11-7.40)			
			Other race/ethnicity:			
			7.7% (3/39); OR			
			0.53 (0.16-1.75)			

Author, Year		_				
Author, Year (Reference) Forrest 2021 et al (106)	Age 18-29 y: reference 30-49 y: adjusted OR 1.26 (0.87-1.81) 50-64 y: adjusted OR 1.38 (0.91-2.08) ≥65 y: adjusted OR 1.13 (0.48-2.64)	Sex Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.72-1.29)	Race/ethnicity Black: adjusted OR 2.32 (1.45-3.70) Hispanic: adjusted OR 2.19 (1.55-3.08) Asian/Pacific Islander: adjusted OR 1.39 (0.91-2.14) Other race/ethnicity: adjusted OR 1.16 (0.62-2.17) White: reference	Physician Physician vs. nurse: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.49-1.02)	Nurse	Other HCW Role Nurse: reference Physician: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.49-1.02) Intern/resident: adjusted OR 0.77 (0.40-1.48) Paramedic/EMT: adjusted OR 0.67 (0.24-1.81) Physician's assistant: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.48-1.90) Nurse practitioner: adjusted OR 1.18 (0.69-2.01) Respiratory therapist: adjusted OR 0.95 (0.36-2.51) Physical therapist: adjusted OR 1.42
						(0.36-2.51) Physical therapist:
						Administration: adjusted OR 1.10 (0.61-1.66) Laboratory technician: adjusted OR 0.54
						(0.16-1.79) Pharmacist: <i>adjusted OR 0.29 (0.09-0.97)</i>

Author, Year	Ama	Sau	Dood of the side	Dhyaisian	Numan	Other LICIM Bala
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Dietary/food services: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.18-2.78) Other role: adjusted OR 0.80 (0.58-1.11)
Fukuda et al 2021 (107)	≥40 vs. <40 y: OR 1.04 (0.35-3.12)	Female vs. male: OR 1.42 (0.44-4.52)		0.4% (5/1111)	0.2% (3/1308) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.42 (0.44-4.52)	Physician reference Laboratory personnel: 0% (0/236); OR 0.43 (0.02-7.72) Paramedic: 0.6% (2/314); OR 1.42 (0.27-7.34) Administrative staff: 0.6% (3/510); OR 1.31 (0.31-5.50) Researcher: 0.2% (1/632); OR 0.35 (0.04-3.01) Other role: 0% (0/36); OR 2.76 (0.15-50.78)*
Fusco et al, 2020 (108)		Female vs. male: OR 0.35 (0.03-3.43)		3.8% (1/26)	3.5% (2/57) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.10 (0.10- 12.70)	Other HCW role: 3.1% (1/32)
Ganz-Lord et al 2021 (158)				17.5% (119/679)	38.9% (296/760) Nurse vs. physician: <i>OR 3.00 (2.35-3.84)</i>	Physician reference Nurse practitioner or physician assistant: 33.0% (34/103); OR 2.32 (1.47-3.66)* Clerk: 32.7% (135/413); OR 2.29 (1.72-3.04)*

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
						Environmental service: 53.3% (32/60); <i>OR</i> 5.38 (3.12-9.27)*
						Respiratory service: 30.6% (11/36); OR 2.07 (0.99-4.32)*
						Security guard: 32.4% (11/34); OR 2.25 (1.07-4.74)*
						Social worker: 28.4% (23/81); OR 9.84 (4.67-20.73) *
						Other clinical staff: 39.2% (408/1040); <i>OR</i> 3.04 (2.40-3.84)*
Garcia et al, 2020 (69)				13.0% (64/491)	Nurse: 10.2% (126/1231)	Department head: 23.1% (9/39)
					Nurse supervisor: 37.5% (9/24)	Other role: 4.4% (5/113)
					Any nursing role: 10.7% (135/1255)	
					Nurse vs. physician, COVID-19: OR 0.76 (0.55-1.05)*	
Garralda Fernandez et al 2021 (109)		Female vs. male: OR 0.68 (0.54-0.85)		25.4% (n/N not reported)	Nurse: 19.4% (n/N not reported) Nursing assistant:	Security: 21.1% (n/N not reported)
				Physician vs. administrator: OR 2.13 (1.53-2.96)	22.0% (n/N not reported)	Technician: 18.1% (n/N not reported)
				2.10 (1.00 2.00)	Nurse vs. administrator: OR 1.51 (1.09-2.09)	Cleaning staff: 16.9% (n/N not reported)

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Gelanew et al 2022 (22)	19-24 y: adjusted OR 1.27 (0.9-1.9)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.1 (0.92-1.4)			Nurse vs. physician: adjusted OR 1.0 (0.8-1.4)	Laboratory technician: adjusted OR 1.3 (0.9- 1.9)
Added for Update Alert #11	25-34 y: adjusted OR 1.1 (0.9-1.5)					Administration: adjusted OR 1.01 (0.8-
	35-49 y: reference					1.5) Other role: adjusted
	≥50 y: adjusted OR 1.2 (0.8-1.8)					OR 1.3 (0.9-1.7)
Goenka et al 2020 (110)	Nurse v	9.4% (21/224) Nurse vs. physician: adjusted OR 1.61	Housekeeping: 26.1% (59/226)			
					(0.62-4.35)	Technician: 12.1% (12/99)
						Administration: 8.0% (6/75)
						Lab assistant/pharmacist: 15.3% (11/72)
						Dietician: 18.4% (9/49)
						Ward executive: 7.0% (5/71)
O - - - - 0004	05	Famala va mala	Latina (consuma)	Dharisian adicated	Designation designation	Other role: 2.0% (1/51)
Gohil et al 2021 (13)	<35 y: reference	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.56	Latino (yes vs. no) adjusted OR 2.1	Physician: adjusted OR 0.32 (0.07-1.43)	Registered nurse (yes vs. no):	Physical/occupational therapist: adjusted OR 1.05 (0.12-9.36)
Added for Update Alert #11	35 to <50: adjusted OR 1.53 (0.88-2.69)	(0.25-0.96	(1.12-3.96)		adjusted OR 1.29 (0.57-2.95); reference for other	Respiratory therapist: adjusted OR 0.47
	50 to <60: adjusted OR 1.68 (0.82-3.45)				HCW role comparisons	(0.20-1.13) Environmental
	>60: adjusted OR 1.42 (0.45-4.44)				Nurse aide: adjusted OR 1.02 (0.20-5.74)	services: adjusted OR 0.19 (0.02-1.69)

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
						Other direct patient care: adjusted OR 0.95 (0.41-2.19)
						Not direct patient care: adjusted OR 1.29 (0.57-2.95)
						COVID patient care: adjusted OR 1.10 (0.64–1.88)
Gras-Valenti et al 2020 (70)		Female vs. male: OR 0.93 (0.70-1.22)		8.7% (85/974)	6.6% (78/1,186) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.74 (0.53-1.01)	
Guerrero Torres et al 2021 (112)	Non-HCWs vs. HCWs 30 y: reference 50 y: adjusted OR 2.31 (2.10-2.54) 60 y: adjusted OR 3.65 (3.31-4.01)	Non-HCWs vs. HCWs Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.62 (0.59-0.66)		Physician vs. non- HCW: adjusted OR 0.60 (0.48-0.75)	Nurse vs. non- HCW: adjusted OR 0.29 (0.20-0.42)	Other HCW role vs. non-HCW: adjusted OR 0.61 (0.49-0.77)
Gupta et al 2021 (111)	18-35 vs. >35: OR 1.01 (0.86-1.18)	Female vs. male: OR 0.82 (0.67-1.00)		5.4% (36/661)	9.8% (117/1198) Nurse vs. physician: <i>OR 1.88 (1.28-2.77)</i>	Administration: 19.6% (105/537) Technical staff: 17.9% (71/397) Paramedical: 17.2% (128/743) Research staff: 14.8% (30/203)
Hall et al 2021 (42)		Female vs. male: OR 0.84 (0.78-0.90)	White: 31.1% (6969/22404); reference	35.9% (999/2783)	34.4% (3751/10891) Nurse or healthcare assistant vs. physician: OR 0.94 (0.86-1.02	Physician reference Administration: 27.9% (1090/3903)

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
			Mixed race: 40.8% (724/1773); OR 1.53 (1.38-1.69)			Specialist: 31.6% (489/1548)
			Asian: 45.0% (236/525); OR 1.81			Scientist: 25.2% (225/894)
			(1.52-2.15)			Midwife: 29.1% (189/649)
			Black: 32.5% (134/412); OR 1.07 (0.87-1.31)			Pharmacist: 28.7% (112/390)
			Chinese: 42.5% (147/346); <i>OR 1.64</i> (1.32-2.03)			Estates, porters or security: 37.1% (95/256)
						Other role: 30.5% (1328/4347)
Herzberg et al 2021 (113)	Per 10 years: adjusted OR 1.50 (1.19 to 1.90)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.91 (0.42-2.00)				
Houlihan et al, 2020 (43)	<30 y: 54% (31/57) 30-39 y: 49% (34/70)	Female vs. male: OR 0.67 (0.38-1.20)		44% (32/72)	Nurse or other frontline clinical staff: 43% (46/106) Nurse or other	Other healthcare role: 41% (9/22)
	40-49 y: 30% (12/30) ≥50 y: 33% (10/30)				frontline worker vs. physician: OR 0.96 (0.52-1.75)	
Howard-Anderson et al 2022 (23)	<40 y: 8.7% (15/172); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.3-2.5)	Female vs. male: 9.1% (21/231) vs. 7.1% (5/70); OR 1.3	Asian: 3.4% (1/29); adjusted OR 0.8 (0.0-4.9)	Physician/ advanced practice provider: 3.8%	11.8% (11/119); OR 1.2 (0.4-5.7)	Administrator: 9.7% (3/31); reference
Added for Update Alert #11	≥40 y: 8.5% (11/129); reference	(0.5-0.4); not included in multivariate model	Black: 21.1% (7/33); adjusted OR 4.5 (1.3-14.2)	(3/79); OR 0.4 (0.1-2.1)		Other healthcare personnel: 8.3% (6/72); OR 0.8 (0.2-4.2)
			Other race/ ethnicity/not			

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
			reported: 5.0%			
			(1/20); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.0-5.2)			
			0.5 (0.0 5.2)			
			White: 7.8%			
			(17/219); reference			
Hunter et al, 2020				1.1% (3/279)	2.2% (7/317)	Administrative staff vs.
(114)				(,	Nurse vs. physician:	all other employees:
					OR 2.08 (0.53-8.11)	RR 3.1 (0.71-13.9)
Jacob et al 2021	<30 y: 5.3% (239/4545);	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.8	Race White: 3.3%	3.7% (166/4499)	Nurse: 4.8% (374/7830)	Nonclinical: 3.9%
(115)	(239/4545), adjusted OR 1.3	(0.7-1.0)	(499/15157);		Nurse vs. physician:	(205/5289); reference
	(1.0-1.7)	(0.7 1.0)	reference		OR 1.13 (1.09-1.58)	Nurse practitioner or
						physician's assistant:
	30-39 y: 4.4%		Black: 7.3%			3.5% (53/1535);
	(328/7454); adjusted OR 1.1		(376/5117); adjusted OR 2.1			adjusted OR 0.9 (0.6- 1.2)
	(0.9-1.4)		(1.8-2.4)			1.2)
	, ,					Environmental
	40-49 y: 4.4%		Asian: 4.5%			services: 7.4%
	(228/5234);		(107/2369);			(9/122); adjusted OR
	adjusted OR 1.0 (0.8-1.3)		adjusted OR 1.2 (1.0-1.5)			1.5 (0.8-3.1)
	(0.0 1.0)		(1.0 1.0)			Pharmacy: 3.1%
	50-59 y: 3.9%		Unknown: 5.2%			(10/325); adjusted OR
	(178/4578);		(53/1012); adjusted			0.8 (0.4-1.6)
	adjusted OR 0.9 (0.7-1.2)		OR 1.8 (1.2-2.7)			Physical, occupational,
	(0.7-1.2)		Other race: 3.6%			or speech therapist:
	≥60 y: 3.6%		(25/702); adjusted			3.5% (17/483);
	(107/2938);		OR 1.3 (0.8-2.0)			adjusted OR 1.3 (0.7-
	reference		Multiracial: 5.5%			2.1)
			(14/253): adjusted			Radiology technician:
			OR 1.3 (0.8-2.3)			4.8% (23/476);
			1			adjusted OR 1.0 (0.6-
			American Indian or Alaska Native: 4.8%			1.6)
			(5/105); adjusted			Respiratory therapist:
			OR 1.4 (0.6-3.5)			4.5% (18/399);
			, ,			, , , , ,

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
			Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander: 2.9%			adjusted OR 0.9 (0.5- 1.6)
			(1/34); adjusted OR 0.8 (0.1-6.2) Ethnicity			Other direct care personnel: 5.5% (105/1914); adjusted OR 1.1 (0.9-1.4)
			Hispanic: 5.2% (59/1126); adjusted OR 1.1 (0.8-1.5) Non- Hispanic/Latino:			Other health care professional: 2.7% (10/367); adjusted OR 0.7 (0.4-1.3)
			4.4% (975/22403); reference			Unknown: 6.8% (11/162); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.4-1.8)
Jeremias et al, 2020 (116)		Female vs. male: OR 0.83 (0.58-117)		11.4% (9/79)	9.5% (99/1043) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.82 (0.40-1.68)	Technologist: 5.8% (9/155)
						Environmental worker: 12.0% (12/100)
						Ancillary worker: 12.1% (39/322)
Jespersen et al, 2020 (117)	<29 y: 6.0% (116/1,916)	Female vs. male: OR 1.00 (0.80-1.25)		Range (according to study site): 1.9%-12.8%	Range (according to study site): 1.24%-18.2%	Range (according to study site):
	30-39 y: 3.8% (144/3,794)			/3	. 6.2,0	Laboratory scientist: 1.43%-12.9%
	40-49 y: 3.8% (175/4,560)					Medical secretary: 1.09%-2.52%
	50-59 y: 3.6% (161/4,505)					Other role: 0.80%- 5.77%
	≥60 y: 2.9% (72/2,505)					
Jones et al 2020 (118)	≤20 y: adjusted OR 1.47 (0.96-2.20)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.04 (0.88-1.23)	BAME: 14.6% (160/1095	Medical/dental: 8.6% (74/856)	Nurse/midwife: 10.2% (201/1962)	Allied health 7.5% (31/413)

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
	21-30 y: adjusted OR 1.64 (1.36-1.99)		White: 8.2% (456/5578) BAME vs white:		Nurse/midwife vs. medical/dental: OR 1.21 (0.91-1.60)	Administrative/clerical: 5.9% (73/1233)
	31-40 y: reference		adjusted OR 1.99 (1.69-2.34)			Other clinical services: 12.7% (180/1420)
	41-50 y: adjusted OR 1.36 (1.11-1.67)					
	51-60 y: adjusted OR 1.45 (1.17-1.80)					
	61-70 y: adjusted OR 1.28 (0.94 to 1.73)					
Kassem et al, 2020 (44)		Female vs. male OR 0.61 (0.20-1.86)		13.3% (4/30)	21.4% (6/28) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.56 (0.14-2.25)	Patient transporters/cleaners: 33.3% (3/9)
						Administrative: 42.9% (3/7)
Khalil et al, 2020 (157)	≥35 years vs. <35 years: OR 0.67 (0.35-1.28)	Female vs. male: OR 0.66 (0.44-1.69)				
Korona-Glowniak et al 2022 (24) Added for Update	Per year: adjusted OR 1.02 (0.98-1.08)	Female vs. male: 11.6% (39/337) vs. 14.0% (7/50); adjusted OR 0.13		9.8% (11/112); reference	13.1% (16/122); adjusted OR 5.0 (0.85-29.3)	Laboratory technician: 10.5% (9/86); adjusted OR 2.87 (0.5-16.1)
Alert #11		(0.02-0.71)*				Administration: 15.6% (10/64); adjusted OR 1.72 (0.35-8.4)
Krastinova et al 2020 (120)	>50 y vs. ≤50 y: adjusted OR 0.69 (0.36-1.32)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.20 (0.60-2.38)				
Lackermair et al, 2020 (121)		Female vs. male: OR 0.19 (0.02-1.38)		5.6% (3/54)		1.0% (1/97)
Lahner et al, 2020 (122)				4.1% (26/632)	3.8% (27/705) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.93 (0.54- 1.61)*	Other HCW role: 0.6% (5/778)

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Lai et al, 2020 (72)	<45 y vs. ≥45 y: OR 0.32 (0.21-0.48)	Female vs. male: OR 0.91 (0.60-1.39)			Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.16 (0.73-1.84)	Health care assistant vs. physician: OR 0.59 (0.33-1.04)
Larese Filon et al 2022 (25) Added for Update Alert #11	Per year: adjusted IRR 0.99 (0.98-0.99)	Female vs. male: 13.4% (394/2944) vs. 14.1% (184/1307); adjusted IRR 1.06 (0.91-1.25)		12.6% (78/617); reference	Nurse: 15.2% (261/1720); adjusted IRR 1.18 (0.94-1.49) Nurses' aide: 16.9% (126/745); adjusted IRR 1.18 (0.91-1.52)	Other HCW: 8.5% (57/673); adjusted IRR 0.70 (0.50 -0.98) Technician: 9.0% (20/221); adjusted IRR 0.72 (0.43-1.22)
						Clerk: 13.1% (36/275); adjusted IRR 1.18 (0.79-1.76)
Leeds et al, 2020 (45)	Age: adjusted 1.01 (0.99-1.03)	Female vs. male: adjusted 0.97 (0.56- 1.69)				Frontline worker vs. other worker: adjusted 0.79 (0.53-1.17)
Lepak et al 2021 (46)						Reference physician Nurse, medical assistant or therapist: adjusted OR 1.79 (1.23-2.60) Medical or nursing student: adjusted OR 2.76 (1.63-4.67) Pharmacist: adjusted OR 3.06 (1.69-5.52) Other HCW role with direct patient care: adjusted OR 2.18 (1.41-3.35) Other HCW role with no direct patient care: adjusted OR 2.21 (1.49-3.29)

Author, Year (Reference)	Ago	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Lombardi et al, 2020 (123)	Age <30 y: 11.7% (29/248) 30-39 y: 8.8% (34/387) 40-49 y: 8.0% (26/326) 50-59 y: 7.9% (35/444)	Female vs. male: OR 0.83 (0.58-1.18)		Physician (including residents): 10.6% (62/582)	Nurse/midwife: 8.2% (43/522) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.75 (0.50-1.13)	Healthcare assistant: 8.0% (13/162) Health technician: 9.4% (16/170) Clerical worker, technician: 2.9% (4/137)
Lumley et al, 2020 et al (47)	≥60 y: 8.3% (14/168) Median 38 vs. 38-41 y (positive at baseline vs. not positive at baseline)	Female vs. male: <i>OR 0.85 (0.75-0.97)</i>	Asian vs. white: <i>OR</i> 1.97 (1.70-2.28) Black vs. white: <i>OR</i> 2.25 (1.75-2.89) Chinese vs. white: <i>OR</i> 0.89 (0.45-1.75)	9.9% (184/1860)	Nurse or health care assistant: 12.3% (555/4528) Nurse or health care assistant vs. physician: <i>OR 1.27</i> (1.07-1.52)	Administrative staff: 6.1% (95/1557) Medical or nursing student: 5.8% (36/620) Laboratory staff: 8.0% (36/452) Physical, occupational or speech therapist: 9.6% (37/386) Porter or domestic worker: 15.4% (58/377) Security, estates, or catering staff: 8.5% (23/271)
Lumley et al 2022 (26), Lumley et al 2020 (47), (102) Extension of Lumley et al 2020	Per 10-year increase: adjusted IRR 0.99 (0.99- 1.00)	Female vs. male: 5.6% (544/9765) vs. 5.1% (169/3321); adjusted IRR 0.93 (0.75-1.11)*	White: 4.8% (455/9411); reference Asian: 7.9% (170/2157); adjusted IRR 1.59 (1.32-1.91)	Junior physician: 4.4% (42/942); adjusted IRR 1.10 (0.78-1.54) Senior physician (consultant): 2.5%	6.9% (248/3579); adjusted IRR 1.49 (1.21-1.83)	Healthcare assistant: 8.2% (103/1263); adjusted IRR 1.82 (1.42-2.34) Physical, occupational or speech/language therapist: 4.7%

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Added for Update Alert #11			Black: 6.4% (34/532); adjusted IRR 1.25 (0.88- 1.78) Other race/ethnicity: 5.4% (55/1009); adjusted IRR 1.23 (0.93-1.62)	(21/834); adjusted IRR 0.60 (0.38-0.95)		(20/419); adjusted IRR 1.17 (0.73-1.88) Porter or domestic staff: 5.6% (19/338); adjusted IRR 1.37 (0.84-2.22) Administration: 4.8% (82/1688); adjusted IRR 1.18 (0.89-1.57) Other role: 4.4% (179/4046); reference
Madsen et al 2021 (124)	Per year: adjusted OR 0.99 (0.94-1.04)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.77 (0.56-1.05)				
Maltezou et al, 2020 (48)		Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.55 (0.31-0.96)				Administrative role vs other role: adjusted OR 3.06 (1.43-6.55)
Martin et al, 2020 (125)		Female: 13.0% (31/239) Male: 11.5% (10/87) Female vs. male: OR 1.15 (0.54-2.45)		11.8% (10/85)	12.7% (19/150) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.09 (0.48-2.46)	Care assistant: 14.8% (4/27) Paramedical staff: 15.1% (5/33) Administrative staff: 0.4% (1/25) Cleaning staff: 33.3% (2/6) COVID-19 unit: 14.4% (31/215) COVID-19 ICU: 5.7% (3/53) ED: 12.1% (7/58)

Author, Year	A	Cov	Decelother!:!:	Dhysisian	Numan	Other HOW Dala
(Reference) Milazzo et al 2021 (49)	Age Per 1 year or more of increase: adjusted OR 0.99 (0.95-1.03)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.26 (0.41-3.85)	Race/ethnicity	4.1% (8/194)	Nurse 2.8% (6/214) Nurse vs. physician: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.22-2.27)	Other HCW Role Healthcare service worker: 1.6% (1/63) Health service assistant: 5.3% (4/76) Socio-administrative staff: 6.9% (4/58)
Modenese et al 2021 (14)	≤30 y: 12.1% (159/1312); reference 31-40 y: 13.2% (199/1512); adjusted OR 1.20 (0.92-1.56) 41-50 y: 12.3% (188/1318); adjusted OR 1.06 (0.80-1.43) 51-60 y: 13.5% (178/1329); adjusted OR 1.06 (0.77-1.46) >60 y: 9.4% (41/426); adjusted OR 1.06 (0.65-1.72)	Female: 12.4% (518/4168); reference Male: 14.3% (247/1729); adjusted OR 1.03 (0.83-1.29)		Physician vs. non-HCW: adjusted OR 1.91 (1.16-3.14)	Nurse/nurse's aide vs. non-HCW: adjusted OR 2.67 (1.63-4.37)	Other HCW vs. non-HCW: adjusted OR 0.50 (0.27-0.93)
Moncunill et al 2021 (126)	Per year: OR 0.98 (0.95-1.02)	Female vs. male: OR 1.27 (0.50-3.24)		Physician vs. other HCW role: OR 2.77 (0.30-25.25)	Nurse/auxiliary services vs. other HCW role: OR 5.54 (0.72-42.55)	Technician vs. other HCW role: <i>OR 13.03</i> (1.47-116)
Moscola et al, 2020 (73)	Adjusted RR (95% CI) vs. age 18-39 years: 40-49: 1.0 (0.97-1.02)	Female vs. male: adjusted RR, 1.05 (1.01-1.09)		Physician vs. allied health: adjusted RR, 0.98 (0.95-1.00)	Nurse vs. allied health: adjusted RR, 1.00 (0.98-1.01)	Adjusted RR (95% CI) vs. allied health:

Author, Year	_	_				
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
	50-59: 0.99 (0.97- 1.02)				Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.58 (1.38-1.79)	Administrative and clerical: 1.01 (0.99-1.02)
	60-69: 1.00 (0.98- 1.03)					Service/ maintenance: 1.03 (1.00-1.05)
	≥70: 1.00 (0.94- 1.07)					
Mukhtar et al 2021 (127)	Mean 33.4 (cases) vs. 32.8 (non- cases) years, p=0.71	Female vs. male: OR 1.42 (0.72-2.82)				
Mutambudzi et al, 2020 (50)						Healthcare professionals: 0.7% (12/1,779)
						Medical support staff: 0.8% (10/1,286)
						Health associate professionals: 0.7% (54/7,653)
Naesens et al 2021 (129)	Unit of analysis not reported: <i>adjusted</i> <i>OR 0.99 (0.98–</i> <i>0.99)</i>	Female vs. male: 1.16 (0.89-1.03)				Reference nursing staff Medical staff: adjusted OR 0.65 (0.43-0.94) Paramedical staff: adjusted OR 0.74 (0.51-0.94)
						Administrative staff: adjusted OR 0.40 (0.24-0.66)
						Cleaning and technical staff: <i>adjusted OR</i> 0.63 (0.43-0.93)

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Newberry et al 2021 (130) SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity	18-34 y: 1.0% (2/206); reference 35-49 y: 3.1% (17/556); OR 3.22 (0.74-14.05) ≥50 y: 2.7% (6/221); OR 2.85 (0.57- 14.27)		White, non- Hispanic: 1.3% (8/594); reference Hispanic: 4.7% (9/192); <i>OR 3.60</i> (1.37-9.47) Asian or Pacific Islander: 3.8% (3/78); OR 2.93 (0.76-11.29) Black, non- Hispanic: 3.8% (1/26); OR 2.93 (0.35-24.34)			
Newberry et al 2021			Other: 4.3% (4/93); OR 3.29 (0.97- 11.16)			
(130) SARS-CoV-2 infection (PCR)	(2/206); reference 35-49 y: 0.5% (3/556); OR 0.55 (0.09-3.34) ≥50 y: 1.8% (4/221); OR 1.88 (0.34- 10.38)		Hispanic: 1.0% (6/594); reference Hispanic: 1.6% (3/192); OR 1.56 (0.39-6.28) Asian or Pacific Islander: 0% (0/78); OR 0.58 (0.03- 10.34)			
			Black, non- Hispanic: 0% (0/26); OR 1.71 (0.09- 31.13) Other: 0% (0/93); OR 0.48 (0.03-8.67)			

Author, Year			B / . / . / . /	Di cicio		Ott - HOW B. I
(Reference) Nopsopon et al 2021 (131)	Age 	Sex Female vs. male: OR 2.10 (0.25- 17.57)	Race/ethnicity	Physician 	Nurse 	Other HCW Role
Nunes et al 2021 (27) Population overlaps with Nunes et al 2022 Added for Update Alert #11	<38 y: 33.2% (71/214); reference ≥38 y: 52.2% (95/182); adjusted OR 1.25 (0.75-2.10)	Female vs. male: 45.2% (148/327) vs. 26.1% (18/69); adjusted OR 1.15 (0.58-2.27)	Black African: 49.8% (139/279); reference Asian: 28.01% (16/57); OR 0.39 (0.21-0.73); not included in multivariate model White: 20.4% (10/49); OR 0.26 (0.12-0.54); not included in multivariate model Other race: adjusted OR 0.62 (0.30-1.26)		57.5% (111/193); reference	Other role: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.37-1.42)
Olalla et al, 2020 (133)				1.9% (2/101)	0.5% (1/195) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.26 (0.03-2.85)	Nursing assistant: 2.3% (3/129) Security: 2.9% (1/35)
Pereckaite et al 2021 (134)				1.2% (2/169)	1.1% (2/179) OR 0.94 (0.13-6.77)	
Perez-Garcia et al 2020 (75)				33.1% (90/272)	39.6% (340/859) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.32 (0.99-1.77)	Medical staff: 34.7% (154/444) Technical specialist: 29.1% (41/141) Hospital porter: 44.9% (83/185) Other HCW: 26.4% (19/72)

Author, Year	A	Cov	Dood/othnicity	Dhyaisian	Numan	Other HOW Date
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Non-healthcare hospital personnel:
Piapan et al 2021 (16)	Per year: adjusted OR 0.98 (0.96-1.01)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.59 (0.31-1.11)		Physician: 22.9% (54/236); reference Resident: 23.7% (14/59); OR 1.35 (0.78-2.69) HCW role not included in multivariate model	Nurse: 20.5% (85/414); OR 1.12 (0.75-1.69) Nurse aid: 23.6% (30/127); OR 1.39 (0.83-2.35) HCW role not included in multivariate model	29.8% (104/349) Other HCW: 15.7% (20/127); OR 1.01 (0.60-2.00) HCW role not included in multivariate model
Pinarlik et al 2021 (135)						Non-significant risk factors were eliminated in stepwise regression analysis Janitorial staff (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 2.24 (1.21-4.14) Medical secretary (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 4.17 (2.21-8.18)
Porru et al 2022 (29) Added for Update Alert #11	Per 10-year increase: adjusted HR 1.61 (1.27-2.04)	Female vs. male: 1.9% (132/6814) vs. 1.5% (45/2997); adjusted HR 1.15 (0.60-2.17)*		1.3% (36/2727); adjusted HR 1.62 (0.43-6.11)	2.8% (70/2463); adjusted HR 2.13 (0.60-7.65)	Other health professional: 1.6% (59/3734); adjusted HR 2.46 (0.71-8.51) Administration: 1.4% (12/828); reference
Rashid-Abdi et al 2020 (52)	Mean 38 (cases) vs. 44 (non-cases) years, p not reported	Female vs. male: OR 12.82 (0.75- 219.19)		8.0% (2/25)	Nurse vs. physician: OR 3.11 (0.62- 15.47) Nurse or nurse assistant vs. physician: OR 3.44 (0.75-15.68)	Other HCW role: 0% (0/6)

Author, Year					1	
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Rasmussen et al 2021 (53)				Infection (PCR) 5% (4/80) Seropositive 4% (3/80)	Infection (PCR) 1% (1/104) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.18 (0.02-1.68) Seropositive 2% (2/104) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.50 (0.08-3.09)	Infection (PCR) Secretary: 0% (0/18) Theatre personnel: 0% (0/81) Cleaner: 0% (0/8) Porter: 7% (2/31) Other role: 0% (0/25) Seropositive Secretary: 6% (1/18) Theatre personnel: 5% (4/81) Cleaner: 0% (0/8) Porter: 13% (4/31) Other role: 4% (1/25)
Robles-Perez et al 2021 (136)				Physician vs. administration: adjusted RR 0.91 (0.89-0.94)	Nurse vs. administration: adjusted RR 1.13 (1.10-1.15)	Administration: reference Cleaner: adjusted RR 1.13 (1.09-1.17) Medical assistant: adjusted RR 1.08 (1.04-1.12) Laboratory technician: adjusted RR 1.02 (0.97-1.07) Food handler: adjusted RR 1.11 (1.05-1.18)

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
						Patient transport:
						adjusted RR 1.15
						(1.09-1.22)
						Pharmacy staff:
						adjusted RR 1.07
						(1.00-1.15)
						Social worker: adjusted RR 1.16
						(1.08-1.24)
						(1.00-1.24)
						Radiologist: adjusted
						RR 1.08 (1.00-1.17)
						Stomatologist:
						adjusted RR 0.91
						(0.81-1.02)
						Ambulance personnel:
						adjusted RR 1.20
						(1.09-1.32)
						Despiratory therepiets
						Respiratory therapist:
						adjusted RR 1.10 (0.97-1.25)
						(0.97-1.25)
						Histologist: adjusted
						RR 1.03 (0.82-1.29)
						(3.32)
						Other role: adjusted
						RR 1.06 (1.03-1.10)
Rodriguez et al	Mean 42 y (cases)	Female vs. male:		2.6% (10/380)	Nurse or nursing	Registrar: 3.9% (3/77)
2021 (137)	vs. 44 y (non-	OR 1.59 (0.92-2.75)			assistant: 3.0%	
	cases), p>0.05				(37/1240)	Warden: 3.4% (6/176)
					Nurse or nursing	Oth - = 110\M/- 00/
					assistant vs.	Other HCW: 0%
					physician: OR 1.14 (0.56-2.31)*	(0/108)
					(0.50-2.51)	Other non-HCW: 2.2%
						(5/229)

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Sandri et al 2021 (138)	Age >60 vs. ≤60: adjusted OR 0.35 (0.15-0.83)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.37 (1.06-1.75)		Physician vs. other role: adjusted OR 1.10 (0.79-1.53)	Nurse vs. other role: adjusted OR 1.16 (0.87-1.55)	Anesthesiologist: adjusted OR 0.49 (0.22-1.08)
						Physiotherapist: adjusted OR 1.17 (0.56-2.46)
						Researcher: adjusted OR 0.94 *0.47-1.90)
						Biologist: adjusted OR 0.69 (0.20-2.37)
						Student: adjusted OR 1.07 (0.29-3.95)
						Radiology technician: adjusted OR 0.53 (0.25-1.13)
						Laboratory technician: adjusted OR 0.75 (0.31-1.86)
						Staff: adjusted OR 1.04 (0.67-1.61)
						Other role: reference
Schmidt et al, 2020 (54)	18-29 y: 1.9% (1/55)	Female vs. male: OR 0.42 (0.12-1.49)		8.8% (3/34)	0% (0/154) Nurse vs. physician: <i>OR 0.03 (0.002-</i>	Therapist: 3.8% (3/80) Other role: 4.3%
	30-49 y: 4.8% (7/154)				0.58)	(5/117)
	50-64 y: 1.8% (3/170)					
	≥65 y: 0% (0/6)					
Schmitz et al 2020 (139)				4.8% (43/890)	5.6% (121/2153) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.17 (0.82-1.68)	

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Science et al 2021 (55)	Per year: adjusted OR 0.98 (0.96-0.99)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.86 (0.72-4.78)	Non-White vs. White race: adjusted OR 1.26 (0.46-3.52)			
Scohy et al 2021 (140)	<30 y vs. 50-65 y: adjusted OR 1.25 (0.92-1.70)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.61 (1.11-2.32)			Nurse vs. administration: adjusted OR 1.04 (0.66-1.63)	Medical: adjusted OR 0.79 (0.51-1.24) Pharmacy: adjusted OR 1.49 (0.65-3.40) Administration or other: reference
Scozzari et al 2021 (141)	Per year increase: adjusted OR 1.00 (0.99-1.01)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.87 (0.71-1.06)		Physician vs. nurse: adjusted OR 1.00 (0.77-1.30)	7.5% (223/2981)	Healthcare assistant: adjusted OR 1.27 (0.97-1.66) Other clinical role: adjusted OR 1.02 (0.78-1.35) Administration: adjusted OR 1.30 (0.94-1.81) IT/maintenance staff: adjusted OR 1.00 (0.61-1.66) Nurse: reference
Shields et al 2020b (143)	Per additional year: adjusted OR 0.98 (0.96-1.00)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.49 (0.81-2.83)				
Shields et al, 2020a (143)		Female vs. male: OR 1.55 (0.94-2.54)	BAME vs. white: adjusted OR 1.92 (1.14-3.23)			

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
(78) chan OR 0	Age, per 1 year change: adjusted OR 0.99 (0.98- 0.994)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.06 (0.87-1.29)	White (UK or Republic of Ireland); reference			
	0.334)		Asian/Asian British: adjusted OR 1.61 (1.27-2.04)			
			Other white: adjusted OR 1.28 (0.79-2.05)			
			Black/Black British: adjusted OR 2.08 (1.25-3.45)			
			Mixed race/ethnicity: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.35-1.49)			
			Chinese: adjusted OR 0.62 (0.18-2.14)			
			Unknown ethnicity: adjusted OR 1.44 (1.14-1.82)			
Sims et al 2020 (56)	Mean 41.3 y (cases) vs. 43.3 y (non-cases), p>0.05	Female vs. male: OR 0.97 (0.87-1.09)		7.0% (277/3,957)	Nurse/nursing support: 10.8% (902/8,352)	Respiratory therapy: 12.1% (37/306)
	(50000), p. 6100				Nurse/nursing support vs.	Phlebotomy: 14.6% (41/281)
					physician: <i>OR 1.62</i> (1.41-1.86)*	Clinical support with patient contact: 8.0% (173/2162)
						Facilities: 8.2% (41/500)
						Administration: 6.8% (194/2,853)

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
						Laboratory: 5.5% (44/800) Security/safety: 6.6% (7/106) Clinical support with no patient contact: 7.7% (102/1,325)
Sotgiu et al. 2020 (79)	IgG 20-29 y:14.8% (4/27) 30-39 y: 2.3% (1/44) 40-49 y: 7.0% (4/57) 50-59 y: 9.8% (5/51) 60-69 y: 4.4% (1/23)	Female vs. male (IgG): OR 0.58 (0.20-1.67)		IgG: 14.8% (7/115)	IgG: 7.8% (5/64) Nurse vs. physician (IgG): OR 0.76 (0.23-2.52)	Other role: IgG 13.0% (3/23)
Stubblefield et al, 2020 (144)		Female vs. male: OR 1.03 (0.40-2.65)		9.3% (8/86)	4.8% (5/105) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.49 (0.15-1.55)	Radiology technician: 29.4% (5/17) Other HCW role: 2.4% (1/41)
Talbot et al 2021 (145)	Mean age 42.4 y (cases) vs. 41.9 y (non-cases)	Female vs. male: OR 1.36 (0.82-2.27)	Non-Hispanic white: 15.6% (53/339); reference Hispanic or Latino: 22.6% (7/31); <i>OR</i> 1.57 (0.65-3.84) African American/Black: 7.1% (1/14); OR 0.42 (0.05-3.24)	Frontline physician: 12.7% (13/102) Other physician: 16.5% (15/91)	15.6% (21/135) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.09 (0.59-2.01)	Other clinical staff: 21.6% (29/134) Administrative/other role: 16.7% (2/12)

Author, Year	A	Sau	Dogg/otherinity	Dhysisian	Numan	Other HOW Bele
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Asian: 21.8% (19/87); OR 1.51 (0.84-2.71)	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Tomczyk et al 2022 (17) Added for Update Alert #11	16-29 y: 6.8% (12/176); adjusted OR 1.6 (0.3-7.4) 30-39 y: 3.7% (15/405); adjusted OR 0.9 (0.2-3.9) 40-49 y: 7.0% (20/284); adjusted OR 1.6 (0.3-7.1) 50-59 y: 2.1% (6/281); adjusted OR 0.6 (0.1-3.1) ≥60 y: 3.4% (2/58); reference	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.5 (0.3-0.9)		Physician vs. administration/ management: adjusted OR 1.6 (0.6-4.2)	Nurse vs. administration/ management: adjusted OR 2.7 (1.1-6.2)	Other allied health vs. administration/ management: adjusted OR 1.2 (0.4-3.2)
Trieu et al, 2020 (57)	20-35 vs. 36-78 y: OR 1.2 (0.6-2.2)	Female vs. male: OR 1.2 (0.6-2.2)		Physician vs. other HCW role: OR 0.9 (0.4-2.0)	Nurse vs. other HCW role: OR 1.3 (0.7-2.7)	
Varona et al 2021 (146)	30-45 vs. <30 y: adjusted OR 0.84 (0.67 to 1.06) 46-60 vs. <30 y: adjusted OR 0.96 (0.76 to 1.23) >60 vs. <30 y: adjusted OR 1.07 (0.77 to 1.48)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.98 (0.83 to 1.18)				

Author, Year						
(Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
Venugopal et al 2021(147)	40-59 vs. 20-39 y: OR 1.31 (0.86- 2.00)* ≥60 vs. 20-39 y: OR 0.89 (0.44-1.81)*	Female vs. male: OR 0.89 (0.58 to 1.36)*	Hispanic vs. white: adjusted OR 1.32 (0.60 to 2.89)* Black vs. white: adjusted OR 1.50 (0.62 to 3.58)* Asian vs. white: adjusted OR 0.90 (0.39 to 2.07)* Other race/ethnicity vs. white: adjusted OR 2.59 (0.86 to	25% (39/157)	28% (40/142) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.19 (0.71- 1.98)*	Ancillary service: 28% (20/72) Other role: 29% (31/107)
			7.73)*			
Villaneuva et al, 2020 (58)	20-29 y: 2.3% (2/88) 30-39 y: 2.9% (4/140) 40-49 y: 2.9% (2/68) 50-59 y: 0% (0/24) 60-69 y: 0% (0/4)	Female vs. male: OR 1.51 (0.30-7.63)		2.7% (1/37)	2.0% (4/203) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.72 (0.07-6.66)	Lab personnel: 25% (3/12) Radiology tech, clerk, other: 0%
Vimercati et al 2021 (59)	Infection Mean age, positive vs. negative: 43.2 y vs. 45.7 y; OR 0.98 (0.95-1.02) Seropositive, IgM Mean age, positive vs. negative: 43.3 y vs. 46.0 y; OR 0.98 (0.97-0.99) Seropositive, IgG	Infection (PCR) Female vs. male: OR OR 1.54 (0.58- 4.13) Seropositive, IgM Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.97 (0.75-1.27) Seropositive, IgG		Infection (PCR) 1.6% (13/831) Seropositive, IgM 13.6% (113/831) Seropositive, IgG 2.2% (18/831)	Infection (PCR) 0.5% (4/809) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.31 (0.10-0.96) Seropositive, IgM 12.1% (98/809) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.88 (0.66-1.17) Seropositive, IgG 2.1% (17/809)	Infection (PCR) Support operator: 0.3% (1/347) Healthcare professions: 0% (0/53) Other role: 0% (0/367) Seropositive, IgM Support operator: 10.1% (35/347)

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
(Mererence)	Mean age, positive vs. negative: 48.9 vs. 45.6; <i>OR 1.03</i> (1.00-1.06)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 0.73 (0.41-1.29)	Race/ethincity	riiysician	Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.97 (0.50-1.89)	Healthcare professions: 0.9% (5/53) Other: 7.1% (26/367) Seropositive, IgG Support operator: 4.0% (14/347) Healthcare professions: 1.9% (1/53)
						Other: 1.9% (7/367)
von Freyburg et al, 2020 (148)				3.8% (8/208)	9.7% (40/413) Nurse vs. physician: OR 2.68 (1.23-5.84)	4.5% (2/44)
Wan et al 2021 (149)	≤24 y: reference 25-34 y: OR 1.66 (0.21-13.38) 35-44 y: 1.5% (3/204); OR 2.33 (0.24-22.60) 45-54 y: OR 1.08 (0.04-26.84) ≥55 y: OR 8.03 (0.30-216.60)	Female vs. male: OR 0.79 (0.24-2.64)		0.6% (2/325)	1.1% (6/551) Nurse vs. physician: OR 1.78 (0.36-8.86)	Physician reference Allied health: 1.2% (3/259); OR 1.89 (0.31-11.41) Ancillary personnel: 2.6% (1/39); OR 4.25 (0.38-47.98)
Wang X. et al 2020b (82)	Mean 36.6 y (cases) vs. 30.5 y (non-cases), p=0.006	Female vs. male: OR 0.78 (0.23-2.64)		13.3% (4/30)	9.1% (8/88) Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.65 (0.18-2.34)	
Wang X. et al, 2020a (81)					Nurse vs. physician: OR 0.04 (0.005- 0.31)	

Author, Year (Reference)	Age	Sex	Race/ethnicity	Physician	Nurse	Other HCW Role
West et al 2022 (30) Added for Update	-				Nurse (yes vs. no)e: adjusted OR 2.61 (1.09-6.19)	
Alert #11 Yogo et al 2020 (150)	18-35 y: reference 36-45 y: adjusted OR 0.47 (0.17-1.33 46-55 y: adjusted OR 0.50 (0.16-1.59) 56-65 y: adjusted OR 0.32 (0.04-2.50) >65 y: adjusted OR 1.93 (0.25-14.80)	Female vs. male: adjusted OR 1.00 (0.40-2.51)	vs. Caucasian African American/Black: adjusted OR 1.32 (0.15-11.47) Asian: adjusted OR 1.16 (0.41-3.31) Hispanic/Latino: adjusted OR 2.79 (1.02-7.65) Other: adjusted OR 2.85 (0.62-13.15)		Nurse vs. physician: adjusted OR 1.19 (0.19-7.38)	Nursing assistant: adjusted OR 0.65 (0.17-2.45) Technician: adjusted OR 1.65 (0.38-7.15) Therapist: adjusted OR 0.78 (0.01-70.94)
Zhang G, et al 2020 (83)				14.9% (10/67)	1.2% (2/170) Nurse vs. physician: OR <i>0.07 (0.01-0.32)</i>	
Zhou et al, 2020 (152)	Age 18-30: 2.5% (35/1378) Age 31-50: 3.3% (55/1656) Age >50: (36/640)	Female vs. male: OR 0.86 (0.59-1.24)				Healthcare role: 3.0% (73/2406) Administrative staff: 2.4% (12/505) Clinical staff: 5.4% (41/763)

Abbreviations: BAME = Black, Asian and minority ethnic; EMT = emergency medical technician; HCW = health care worker; OR = odds ratio; RR = rate ratio. *Results based on risk of seropositivity at baseline

Supplement Table 3. Clinical characteristics and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Author, Year		Previous Infection with		
(Reference)	Antibody status	Status	Vaccination Status	Other Clinical Characteristics
Hall et al 2021 (42)	Incidence of infection within 14 days (reinfection or new infection) per 1000 participants 18.7 vs. 98.0			
Lumley et al, 2020 et al (47)	vs. negative Anti-spike IgG positive: adjusted IRR 0.3 (0.03- 0.44) Anti-nucleocapsid IgG positive: adjusted IRR 0.11 (0.03-0.45) Both anti-spike and anti- nucleocapsid IgG positive: adjusted IRR 0.06 (0.01-0.46) Either anti-spike or anti- nucleocapsid positive: adjusted IRR 0.42 (0.10- 1.69)			
Lumley et al 2022 (26), Lumley et al 2020 (47), (102) Extension of Lumley 2020 Added for Update Alert #11			Unvaccinated, seronegative: 6.0% (635/10513); reference Unvaccinated, seropositive: 0.9% (12/1273); adjusted IRR 0.15 (0.08-0.26) Vaccinated once, previously seronegative: 0.6% (64/9711); adjusted IRR 0.36 (0.25-0.50) Vaccinated twice, previously seronegative: 0.2% (2/940); adjusted IRR 0.10 (0.02-0.38) Vaccinated, previously seropositive: 0.1% (1/974);	

Author, Year (Reference)	Antibody status	Previous Infection Status	Vaccination Status	Other Clinical Characteristics
(Kererence)	Antibody status	Status	Vaccination Status adjusted IRR 0.04 (0.01- 0.27)	Other Clinical Characteristics
Nunes et al 2021 (27)				Asthma, yes vs. no: OR 0.67 (0.30-1.467); not included in multivariate model
Population overlaps with Nunes et al 2022				Diabetes, yes vs. no: OR 1.75 (0.46-6.61); not included in multivariate model
Added for Update Alert #11				
Nunes et al 2022 (28) Population overlaps with Nunes et al 2021 Added for Update Alert #11		PCR-confirmed previous infection vs. no previous infection: adjusted OR 0.55 (0.36-0.84)	Ad26.COV2 vaccine, single dose: adjusted OR 0.81 (0.46-1.43) Ad26.COV.2 vaccine, booster dose: adjusted OR 0.94 (0.44-2.03) BNT162b2 vaccine, two doses: adjusted OR 0.59 (0.23-1.57) Never vaccinated: reference	Previous infection status (PCR-confirmed) and vaccination status: No previous infection + no vaccination: reference No previous infection + no vaccination: reference No previous infection + Ad26.COV.2 single dose: adjusted OR 0.89 (0.46-1.72) Previous infection + no vaccination: reference Previous infection + Ad26.COV.2 single dose: adjusted OR 0.82 (0.28-2.44) Previous infection + Ad26.COV.2 booster dose: adjusted OR 0.55 (0.14-2.09) Previous infection status (PCR-confirmed), vaccination status, and antibody level (spike IgG) No previous infection + no vaccination + IgG<300: reference No previous infection + Ad26.COV.2 single dose + IgG>1549: adjusted OR 0.42 (0.18-0.85)
Porru et al 2022 (29) Added for Update Alert #11			Fully vaccinated (2 doses) vs. not vaccinated: 0.7% (55/7659) vs. 4.5% (77/1718); adjusted HR 0.37 (0.29-0.69)	

Supplement Table 4. Exposure history and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
Abdelmoniem et al 2020 (31)		Contact with patient with known or suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection (yes vs. no): OR 0.41 (0.15-1.08)			
Akinbami et al 2020 (61)		Exposure to patient with COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.03 (0.87-1.22)			Aerosol generating procedure frequency 0 times: reference 1-5 times: adjusted OR 1.04 (0.85-1.28) 6-10 times: adjusted OR 0.83 (0.66-1.04) 11-25 times: adjusted OR 0.78 (0.69-1.16) >25 times: adjusted OR 1.09 (0.89-1.34)
Akinbami et al 2021 (85) Hospital HCWs		No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive coworker: 2.1% (134/6299); reference Exposure to COVID-19-positive coworker: 5.9% (122/2070); adjusted OR 1.87 (1.36-2.58)			Frequency of aerosol- generating procedure (times/shift/week) 0: 2.6% (108/4121); reference 1-5: 3.7% (62/1679); adjusted OR 1.12 (0.76- 1.62) 6-10: 5.8% (22/380); adjusted OR 1.60 (0.87- 2.92) 11-25: 4.0% (11/277); adjusted OR 0.71 (0.36- 1.40) >25 times: 5.2% (19/366); adjusted OR 1.24 (0.70- 2.20)
Akinbami et al 2021 (85) Nursing home HCWs		No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive coworker: 8.8% (83/944); reference Exposure to COVID-19-positive coworker: 20.6%			Frequency of aerosol- generating procedure (times/shift/week) 0: 10.8% (93/858); reference

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
		(113/550); adjusted OR 1.10 (0.71-1.72)			1-5: 21.9% (25/114); adjusted OR 1.59 (0.87- 2.92) 6-10: 19.4% (7/36); adjusted OR 1.46 (0.66- 3.24) 11-25: 17.4% (4/23); adjusted OR 0.96 (0.25- 3.71) >25 times: 29.3% (12/41); adjusted OR 1.31 (0.63- 2.74)
Al-Naamani et al 2021 (11)		Unprotected contact with COVID-19 patients vs. no contact with COVID-19 patients: adjusted OR 1.13 (p=0.72)			High- vs. intermediate risk environment: adjusted OR 1.22 (p=0.29)
Algado-Selles et al 2020 (62)		Close vs. occasional contact: OR 1.69 (1.07- 2.67)			
Alishaq et al 2021 (153)		Contact with confirmed COVID-19 case vs. non-exposed: <i>adjusted HR</i> 9.25 (3.67-23.28)			
Alkurt et al 2021 (87)		COVID-19 vs. non- COVID-19 clinic: <i>OR 0.46</i> (0.28-0.78)			
Allen et al 2021 (88)		Daily contact with COVID- 19 patients: adjusted RR 1.6 (1.2-2.1) Daily contact with non- COVID-19 patients: adjusted RR 1.4 (1.1-1.8) No patient contact: reference			

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
Allen et al 2022 (18) Added for Update Alert #11		Contact with COVID-19 patients: 23.3% (265/1136); adjusted RR 1.4 (1.1-1.7) Contact with patients without COVID-19: 18.5% (463/2500); adjusted RR 1.3 (1.1-1.5) No patient contact: (170/1449); reference			
Amendola et al, 2020 (90)		Direct contact with COVID-19 patient vs. no known direct contact: OR 2.07 (0.89-4.78)			
Bahrs et al., 2021 (32)		Work COVID-19 risk category High-risk (patient contact with confirmed COVID-19 patients or high number of suspected cases): 1.5% (2/137); reference Intermediate-risk (patient contact, no routine contact with confirmed or suspected COVID-19 patients): 2.9% (10/343); adjusted OR 1.97 (0.42-9.22) Low-risk (no patient contact): 3.3% (6/180); adjusted OR 2.10 (0.40-11.06)			
Bai et al, 2020 (63)		Contact with index case (yes or no): OR 0.27 (0.08-0.94) Air contact vs. no contact: OR 0.32 (0.07-1.50)		Contact frequency (median, contacts/day): 3.0 in cases vs. 5.0 in non-cases, P=0.95	

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
		Direct contact vs. no contact: OR 0.22 (0.05-1.03) Air or direct contact vs. no contacts: OR 0.31 (0.03-3.01)		Contact duration (median, minutes/contact): 4.0 in cases vs. 4.0 in non-cases, P=0.54 In same department as index case: OR 62.70 (3.60-1092.46)	
Baker et al 2021 (92)		COVID-19 unit vs. no patient contact: adjusted OR 1.5 (1.0-2.4)			
Barrett et al 2020 (33)				Average number of patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 per shift, 0 vs. ≥5 patients: <i>OR 0.36</i> (0.14-0.89)* Estimated percentage of work-time spent in patients' rooms, <25% vs. ≥75%: OR 0.42 (0.18-1.01)	
Brousseau et al 2021 (12)				≥3 vs. ≤2 days/week working in SARS-CoV- 2 unit: adjusted RR 1.07 (0.74-1.56)	
Bouwman et al 2021 (94)		Reference no known contact COVID-19 patient contact: adjusted OR 1.62 (0.80-3.33) COVID-19 co-worker contact: adjusted OR 1.83 (1.15-2.93) COVID-19 household contact: adjusted OR 6.09 (2.23-16.64)			Reference hospital ward with no direct patient contact COVID-19 confirmed nursing ward: adjusted OR 1.05 (0.69-1.60) COVID-19 suspected nursing ward: adjusted OR 0.82 (0.53-1.25)

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
					Non-COVID-19 nursing ward: adjusted OR 0.95 (0.58-1.55)
					Outpatient department: adjusted OR 0.80 (0.53- 1.19)
Calcagno et al 2020 (35)		Direct patient contact vs. indirect/no patient contact: <i>OR 1.51 (1.11-2.05)</i> *			
Celebi et al, 2020 (154)	Intubating a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient or being present in the room during intubation, yes vs. no: OR 0.79 (0.30-2.09)		Obtaining a respiratory sample from a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient: OR 0.59 (0.22-1.13)		Resuscitating a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient or being present in the room during resuscitation: OR 1.25 (0.45-3.48) Entering a room in which a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient was hospitalized: OR 0.58 (0.28-1.20) Examining (touching) a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient: OR 0.42 (0.21-0.85) Entering the ICU room of a suspected or confirmed patient with mechanical ventilation: OR 0.72 (0.32-1.66) Being present in the operation room during a surgical procedure on a suspected or confirmed

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
					COVID-19 patient: OR 0.56 (0.03-11.83)
Chatterjee et al, 2020 (155)	Performing endotracheal intubation vs. not performing: adjusted OR 4.33 (1.16-16.07)				In ICU with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 case on ventilator (yes vs. no): OR 1.36 (0.88-2.1)* Respiratory tract suctioning (yes vs. no): OR 0.73 (0.37-1.45)* Handling clinical specimen (yes vs. no): OR 0.78 (0.57-1.39)*
Clifton et al 2021 (95)		Direct contact vs. no direct contact with COVID-19 patients: OR 0.25 (0.04-1.37)			Performed vs. did not perform aerosol-generating procedures: OR 0.88 (0.05-16.12)
Colaneri et al 2020 (66)			Contact with CPAP helmets (yes vs. no): <i>OR</i> 4.22 (3.00-5.94)		
Comelli et al 2021 (67)		Direct vs. no direct contact with confirmed COVID-19 case: <i>OR 1.51 (1.21-1.89)</i> Work vs. did not work in COVID-19 unit or ED: OR 1.04 (0.84-1.29)			
Damluji et al 2021 (36)		Direct patient care role vs. non-direct patient care role: adjusted OR 0.93 (0.45-1.73)			

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
Davido et al 2021 (99)		COVID-19 unit vs. non- COVID-19 unit: adjusted OR 0.7 (0.2-3.2) Exposure to COVID-19 infected coworker vs. no/unknown exposure: OR 1.66 (0.64-4.32)			Participated in procedure involving patient airway, yes vs. no: adjusted OR 2.6 (0.6-12.5)
Davila-Conn et al 2022 (19) Added for Update Alert #11		Contact with patient with COVID-19 (frequently vs. never/occasionally): adjusted HR 1.18 (95% CI 0.55 to 2.50) Contact with any person with COVID-19 (yes vs. no/unknown): adjusted HR 2.45 (95% CI 1.21-5.00)			
Doernberg et al 2022 (20) Added for Update Alert #11		Low risk COVID-19 exposure (ever providing direct care, being within 6 feet, or being within the environment of a COVID-19 patient or being involved with laboratory processing of samples from COVID-19 patients): 1.9% (13/680); adjusted HR 0.8 (0.4-1.7) High risk COVID-19 exposure (ever interacting with a COVID-19 patient without full PPE or having a breach in PPE [e.g., tears, accidental removal]): 3.9% (31/797); adjusted HR 2.5 (1.3-4.8)		Time spent in the healthcare workplace: <10 hours/week: 3.3% (3/90); reference 10-20 hours/week: 1.8% (3/169); adjusted HR 1.9 (0.5-7.9) 21-30 hours/week: 3.0% (9/296); adjusted HR 2.5 (0.7-8.9) 31-40 hours/week: 3.4% (39/1148); adjusted HR 2.2 (0.7-7.0) >40 hours/week: 2.3% (16/688); adjusted HR 2.0 (0.6-6.6)	Direct contact with a person with COVID-19 outside of work Yes: 9.6% (17/176); adjusted HR 8.1 (3.8-17.5) No: 2.3% (53/2259); reference Avoiding exposure others when not at work All/most of the time: 2.5% (33/1312); adjusted HR 1.0 (0.6-1.6) Some/none of the time: 3.3% (37/1107); reference

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
		No exposure: 2.8% (26/942); reference		Time spent providing direct patient-facing care: <10 hours/week: 1.0% (3/305); adjusted HR 0.5 (0.2-0.9)	
				10-20 hours/week: 2.5% (10/400); adjusted HR 0.6 (0.3- 1.3)	
				21-30 hours/week: 4.0% (16/397); adjusted HR 0.9 (0.5- 1.7)	
				31-40 hours/week: 3.5% (29/825); reference	
				>40 hours/week: 1.9% (5/258); adjusted HR 0.9 (0.4-2.0)	
Dusefante et al 2022 (21) Added for Update Alert #11		COVID-19 vs. non- COVID-19 ward: OR 1.32 (0.56-3.10); not included in multivariate model		COVID-19 wards dedicated days: OR 0.96 (0.82-1.12); not included in multivariate model	Exposure to aerosol- generating procedures (yes vs. no): OR 0.50 (0.21-1.19); not included in multivariate model
					Exposure to COVID-19 patient' body fluids (yes vs. no): OR 0.60 (0.24-1.48); not included in multivariate model

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
Ebinger et al 2021 (100)		Regular contact with patients with COVID-19: 6.0% (86/1444)* Work in unit housing/caring for patients with COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.61 (1.18 to 2.18)			
el-Boghdadly et al, 2020 (39)	Tracheal intubation: 8.97% (462/5148)		Apneic oxygenation: HR 0.84 (0.63-1.14) Bag-mask ventilation (yes vs. no): HR 0.81 (0.54-1.23) Supraglottic airway: device (yes vs. no): HR 1.40 (0.66-2.97)		
Elfstrom et al 2021 (101)					Reference other hospital unit COVID ward: adjusted OR 1.95 (1.65-2.32) ICU: adjusted OR 0.86 (0.66-1.13)
Eyre et al 2020 (102) Seroprevalence or PCR		Do not work in COVID-19 unit: 8.6% (631/7369); reference Work in COVID-19 unit: 22.6% (358/1586); adjusted OR 2.47 (1.99-3.08) Work in any unit: 12.9% (139/1079); adjusted OR 1.39 (1.04-1.85)			

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
Eyre et al 2020 (102) Seroprevalence only		vs. no work in a COVID- 19 patient area Work in COVID-19 patient area: adjusted OR 2.39 (1.91-3.00) Work in any area: adjusted OR 1.38 (1.03- 1.84)			
Faico-Filho et al, 2020 (103)		Direct patient contact vs. no direct patient contact: OR 0.72 (0.48-1.07)			
Ferreira et al 2021 (40)		PCR Direct care of COVID patient vs. no direct care: OR 1.15 (0.29 to 4.61) Seropositive Direct care of COVID patient vs. no direct care: OR 0.20 (0.03-1.53)			
Fletcher et al 2021 (105)					Study Period 1 Exposed to COVID-19 outside of work but not in household vs. exposed to COVID-19 in household: OR 0.54 (0.16-1.78) Study Period 2 Exposed to COVID-19 outside of work but not in household vs. exposed to COVID-19 in household: OR 0.56 (0.34-0.90)
Forrest 2021 et al (106)		High-risk setting (ICU, ED, urgent care centers, COVID-19 therapeutic or diagnostic units, respiratory services, anaesthesia, EMS) vs.			

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
		low-risk setting (all other clinical settings): adjusted OR 0.95 (0.72-1.25)			
Fukuda et al 2021 (107)				-	High-risk exposure: 0.3% (8/2419); reference Medium-risk exposure: 0.4% (2/550); OR 1.10 (0.23-5.19)* Low-risk exposure: 0.3% (4/1178); OR 1.03 (0.31-3.42)*
Fusco et al, 2020 (108)		Direct patient care vs. no direct patient care: OR 0.74 (0.04-14.91)		>30 days vs. <30 days exposure to patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19: OR 1.52 (0.21-11.21)	
Gelanew et al 2022 (22) Added for Update Alert #11		Close contact with a COVID-19-infected patient (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.4 (1.1-1.8)			
Goenka et al 2020 (110)		High-risk (work in COVID-19 unit or ICU or regularly involved in testing or investigating of COVID-19 patients) vs. low-risk (no direct contact with patients or their belongings): adjusted OR 0.93 (0.13-6.79)			Time spent in hospital in a week <48 vs. 48 hours or more: <i>OR 1.92 (1.25-2.97)</i>
Gohil et al 2021 (13) Added for Update Alert #11		Direct COVID-19 patient care (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.10 (0.64-1.88)			
		COVID outbreak unit (yes vs. no): adjusted 2.21 (1.28-3.81)			

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
		Designated COVID care unit (yes vs. no): adjusted 0.53 (0.30-0.94)			
Gupta et al 2021 (111)		Contact vs. no contact with COVID-19 positive individual: <i>OR 1.38 (1.14-1.68)</i>			
		Active vs. not active COVID duty: OR 0.84 (0.67-1.05)			
Hall et al 2021 (42)					Direct patient contact vs. no direct patient contact: OR 1.25 (1.15-1.35)
Heinzerling et al, 2020 (71)		Direct skin-to-skin contact with index patient: OR 0.45 (0.02-9.52)		Estimated time in patient room (median, minutes): 120 vs. 25, P=0.06 Estimated time in patient room during aerosol generating procedures (median, minutes): 95 vs. 0, P=0.13 Longest single duration of time in room (reference <2 minutes): 2 to 30 minutes: OR 32.00 (1.96-522.78) 31 to 60 minutes: OR 1.86 (0.07-46.97) >60 minutes: OR 8.00 (0.59-130.70) Within 6 feet of index patient: OR 1.03 (0.05-23.49)	Taking vital sign (yes vs. no): OR 7.71 (0.61-97.85) Taking medical history (yes vs. no): OR 1.93 (0.15-24.46) Performing physical examination: <i>OR 21.82</i> (1.02-466.52) Taking vital sign, taking medical history, providing medication, bathing or cleaning patient, lifting or positioning patient, emptying bedpan, changing linens, cleaning patient room, peripheral line insertion, drawing arterial blood bas, drawing blood: No statistically significant associations

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
Herzberg et al 2021 (113)		Direct patient care, yes vs. no: OR 0.85 (0.41 to 1.7)			
Howard-Anderson et al 2022 (23) Added for Update Alert #11		Shifts in COVID-19 units: At least some shifts in COVID-19 units: 10.1% (11/109); adjusted OR 1.1 (0.4-3.2) No shifts in COVID-19 units: 7.8% (15/192); reference Time spent directly at bedside: >50% of shift: 8.7% (12/138); adjusted OR 1.5 (0.5-5.0) ≤50% of shift: 8.6% (14/163); reference Close contact with a SARS-CoV-2 infected person: Close contact in the community (yes vs. no): 13.3% (4/30) vs. 8.1% (22/271); adjusted OR 2.1 (0.5-6.9) Close contact with co-worker (yes vs. no): 4.8% (2/42) vs. 9.7% (24/259); adjusted OR 0.5 (0.1-1.8)			
Jacob et al 2021 (115)		Any contact with COVID- 19 patients: 4.7%			

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
(constant)		(584/12413): adjusted OR 1.1 (0.9-1.3)		,	
		No contact with COVID- 19 patients: 3.9% (448/11435); reference			
		Unknown contact: 5.3% (48/901); adjusted OR 1.3 (0.9-1.9)			
Kassem et al, 2020 (44)	-	Exposure to suspected or confirmed COVID-19 during work in last 2 weeks vs. not: OR 0.95 (0.27-3.44)	-	-	-
Khalil et al, 2020 (157)		Participated in direct COVID-19 patient care vs. no participation (yes vs. no): 0.94 (0.50-1.77)			Performed aerosol- generating procedure on COVID-19 patient (yes vs. no): OR 1.30 (0.64- 2.37)
Korona-Glowniak et al 2022 (24) Added for Update Alert #11		SARS-CoV-2 exposure at work: 23.5% (32/136); adjusted OR 1.54 (0.48- 4.9)			
		No SARS-CoV-2 exposure at work: 6.6% (14/211); reference			
Krastinova et al, 2020 (120)		Non-patient facing vs. non-clinical: adjusted OR 1.57 (0.5-5.31)			
		Direct patient facing vs. non-clinical: adjusted OR 3.08 (1.09-8.78)			
Lepak et al 2021 (46)				Reference unknown or no direct contact <10 minutes: adjusted OR 0.79 (0.54-1.17)	Reference inpatient unit Ambulatory unit: adjusted OR 0.84 (0.69-1.04)

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
				10-20 minutes: adjusted OR 0.62 (0.37-1.05)	Work from home: adjusted OR 0.80 (0.56- 1.15)
				>20 minutes: adjusted OR 1.25 (0.97-1.59)	Affiliated health facility: adjusted OR 0.86 (0.47-1.57)
					Reported contact with patient with respiratory illness (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 0.79 (0.58-1.06)
					Reported contact with nonmasked health care visitor (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 0.75 (0.53- 1.04)
					Reported contact with family/community member with known COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 4.03 (3.13-5.18)
					Reported contact with family/community member with suspected COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.65 (1.24-2.19)
Lumley et al 2022 (26), Lumley et al 2020 (47), (102) Added for Update Alert #11		Working in a non-ICU COVID-19 ward: 3.4% (37/1090); <i>adjusted IRR 1.53 (1.21-1.94)</i> Not working in a COVID-19 ward: 1.7% (290/12019); reference			

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
		Direct patient care: 5.7% (580/10221); adjusted IRR 1.13 (0.90-1.42)			
		No direct patient care: 4.6% (134/2888); reference			
Madsen et al 2021 (124)		Working with COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.40 (1.05-1.88)			
Milazzo et al 2021 (49)		Contact vs. no contact with infected patient: adjusted OR 1.19 (0.37-3.79)			
Moncunill et al 2021 (126)		Direct patient contact (yes vs. no): OR 0.97 (0.38-2.50) Work in COVID-19 unit (yes vs. no): OR 1.07 (0.48-2.40)			
Moscola et al, 2020 (73)				Work in COVID-19 positive unit, yes vs. no: adjusted RR 1.00 (0.98-1.03)	Work location, ED ref RR multivariable ICU: 0.98 (0.93-1.02) Non ICU hospital units: 1.00 (0.96-1.04) Other: 0.99 (0.95-1.03)
Mukhtar et al 2021 (127)					Participated vs. did not participate in an aerosol-generating procedure: OR 0.06 (0.01-0.41)
Naesens et al 2021 (129)		Working on a COVID-19 ward (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.73 (1.36-2.20)			Close colleague at work had confirmed COVID-19 (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.73 (1.36-2.20)

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
					Contact with confirmed/ suspected COVID-19 cases in private setting (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 2.56 (1.91-3.42)
Newberry et al 2021 (130)					Seropositivity Exposed to confirmed SARS-CoV-2 patient respiratory secretions vs. no exposure: OR 2.94 (1.32-6.53)
					Exposed to confirmed SARS-CoV-2 positive patient or colleague vs. not exposed: OR 1.30 (0.58-2.92)
					Infection (PCR) Exposed to confirmed SARS-CoV-2 patient respiratory secretions vs. no exposure: OR 0.75 (0.15-3.63)
					Exposed to confirmed SARS-CoV-2 positive patient or colleague vs. not exposed: OR 2.44 (0.65-9.15)
Nopsopon et al 2021 (131)					Close contact with a confirmed COVID-19 case vs. no close contact: OR 3.13 (0.17-56.90)
Nygren et al 2021 (132)		Caring for COVID-19 patient most days: 19.7% (12/61); <i>adjusted OR 5.1</i> (1.3-19.0)			Working in COVID-19 unit: 21.6% (11/51); adjusted OR 5.6 (1.3-23.0)

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
		No caring for COVID-19 patient most days: 4.3% (9/210); reference			Not working in a COVID- 19 unit: 4.5% (10/220); reference
					Increased risk (involvement in an aerosol-generating procedure or bedside surveillance for >3 hours/shift with a COVID-19 patient: 16.1% (9/56); OR 3.2 (1.1-8.7)^ No increased risk: 5.7% (12/211); reference
Olalla et al, 2020 (133)				COVID-19 vs. non- COVID-19 unit: OR 0.19 (0.03-1.41)	
Paris et al 2021 (15)		Care of COVID-19 patient, yes vs. no: adjusted OR 1.30 (0.78- 2.17) Contact with a COVID-19 infected co-worker, yes vs. no: adjusted OR 2.08 (1.33- 3.24) Contact with the family of a COVID-19 infected patient, yes, vs. no: adjusted OR 0.90 (0.38- 2.10) Contact with a COVID-19 infected patient at home, yes vs. no: adjusted OR 2.00 (1.23-3.28)			Participated in nasopharyngeal sampling (reference no participation) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 1.33 (1.15-10.40) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 3.46 (0.79-2.25) Participated in central line insertion (reference no participation) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.35-1.45) With suboptimal protective equipment:

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
					Participated in patient mobilization (reference no participation) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 0.93 (0.49-1.79) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 3.30 (1.51-7.25)
					Participated in patient feeding (reference no participation) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 1.00 (0.54-1.89) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 2.49 (0.92-6.72)
					Participated in clinical exam (reference no participation) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 1.50 (0.85-2.65) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 2.51 (1.16-5.43)
					Participated in eye exam (reference no participation) With appropriate protective equipment:

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
					adjusted OR 1.86 (0.93-3.73) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 2.90 (1.01-8.35)
Perez-Garcia et al 2020 (75)		Direct patient contact vs. no direct patient contact: OR 1.40 (1.10-1.79) Contact with COVID-19 patients (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 1.69 (1.28-2.24)		Close contact with COVID-19 infected person vs. casual contact: adjusted OR 1.32 (0.97-1.80)	Participation in aerosol- generating procedure vs. no participation: <i>OR 2.54</i> (1.71-3.77) Contact with co-worker (yes vs. no): <i>OR 3.18</i> (2.64-3.82)*
Piapan et al 2021 (16)		Contact with patients: reference Contact with HCWs: adjusted OR 1.39 (0.64-3.0) Contact with patients and HCWs: adjusted OR 2.23 (1.01-4.9) Contact with contact of causal contacts: adjusted OR 1.40 (0.28-6.9)			High risk (infectious disease, geriatric or emergency medicine): adjusted OR 13.4 (5.8-31.0) Medium risk (internal medicine): adjusted OR 1.88 (0.97-3.6) Low risk (surgical and medical ward): reference
Pinarlik et al 2021 (135)					Person diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 in household (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 8.98 (6.64-12.15)
Ran et al, 2020 (77)	Endotracheal tube removal: RR, 0.63 (0.06-7.08)				CPR: RR, 0.63 (0.06-7.08) Fiberoptic bronchoscopy: RR, 0.63 (0.06-7.08) Sputum suction: RR, 0.43 (0.12-1.55)

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
Rashid-Abdi et al, 2020 (52)					Exposure at work vs. outside of work: OR 16.88 (3.79-75.19)
Science et al 2021 (55)		Direct care of patients with COVID-19 vs. no direct care: adjusted OR 1.33 (0.72-2.47)			Symptomatic household exposure (yes vs. no): adjusted OR 7.15 (5.42-9.41)
Scohy et al 2021 (140)		Worked in a COVID-19 unit vs. did not work in a COVID-19 unit: adjusted OR 1.64 (1.21-2.23) Exposed to a COVID-19 patient vs. not exposed: adjusted OR 1.30 (0.97-1.74) Exposed to a COVID-19 colleague vs. not exposed: adjusted OR 1.41 (1.06-1.87)			Cohabitant infected with SARS-CoV-2 vs. not infected: <i>adjusted OR</i> 2.55 (1.78-3.66)
Scozzari et al 2021 (141)		Working in COVID-19 unit vs. no working in COVID-19 unit: <i>adjusted OR</i> 1.24 (1.01-1.52)			Household contact with COVID-19 vs. no household contact: adjusted OR 5.31 (4.12-6.85) Other contact with COVID-19 vs. no other contact: adjusted OR 1.28 (0.86-1.92)
Sims et al 2020 (56)		Direct vs. no direct patient contact: <i>OR 1.41 (1.25-1.58)</i>			
Talbot et al 2021 (145)				High exposure (time exposed to COVID-19 patients at work): 14.2% (19/134); OR 0.71 (0.38-1.33)	

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
				Moderate exposure: 17.0% (16/94); OR 0.88 (0.43-1.72)	
				Some exposure: 17.1% (14/82); OR 0.89 (0.44-1.78)	
				Minimal to no exposure: 18.9% (30/159); reference	
Tomczyk et al 2022 (17)					High risk (working in a dedicated COVID-19 ward): 22.4% (13/58); adjusted OR 18.5 (6.0-57.2)
					Moderate risk (ward with higher risk of transmission, e.g. anaesthesia, ENT, oral-maxillofacial surgery, non-COVID-19 ICU): 6.0% (12/200); adjusted OR 3.9 (1.3-11.6)
					Low risk (direct patient contact outside of high- or moderate-risk categories): 4.2% (26/619); adjusted OR 2.9 (1.1-7.7)
					Very low (no direct patient contact): 1.5% (5/332); reference
Trieu et al, 2020 (57)		Patient contact vs. no patient contact: OR 1.7 (0.7-4.3)			
Varona et al 2021 (146)		Prolonged direct contact vs. non-clinical and			

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
(Kelerence)	Intubation	minimal/no patient contact: adjusted OR 2.06 (1.63-2.62)	and Related Exposures	Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
		Clinical or non-clinical with non-intense patient contact vs. non-clinical and minimal/no patient contact: adjusted OR 1.77 (1.32-2.37)			
Venugopal et al 2021 (147)					High/moderate (prolonged close contact with patient with COVID- 19 with HCW or patient not wearing face mask or aerosol-generating procedure without eye, nose, or mouth protection) vs. low risk: adjusted OR 2.00 (0.99 to 4.25)
Wan et al 2021 (149)					High/moderate risk (prolonged contact with COVID-19 patient without proper PPE use or exposed to respiratory secretions without PPE) vs. low risk (close contact with COVID-19 patient while using PPE): <i>OR</i> 62.97 (3.72-1066.70)
Wang X. et al 2020b (82)		Contact vs. no contact with index case: <i>OR 0.27</i> (0.08-0.94) Contact vs. no contact with infected co-worker: <i>OR 62.70</i> (3.60-1092.46)			
West et al 2022 (30)					Per increase in number of SARS-CoV-2 positive people in immediate

Author, Year (Reference)	Intubation	Directness of Contact	Oxygen Administration and Related Exposures	Number or Duration of Contacts and Proximity to Patient	Other Exposures
Added for Update Alert #11					environment: adjusted OR 1.22 (0.92-1.61)
					Per increase in number of persons met outside household during the pandemic: adjusted OR 0.92 (0.87-0.97)

Abbreviations: BiPAP = bilevel positive airway pressure; CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ECG = electrocardiography; HCW = health care worker; OR = odds ratio; RR = relative risk; SARS = severe acute respiratory syndrome.

*Variable not included in a multivariate model.

Supplement Table 5. Education or training, environmental and physical factors, and infection control policies and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2

Author, Year (Reference)	Education or Training on Infection Control	Ventilation or Negative Pressure Isolation Room	Environment and Physical Layout	Infection Control Policies
Akinbami et al 2021 (85) Hospital HCWs	No PPE donning/doffing training: 2.5% (5/199); reference PPE donning/doffing training: 3.6% (184/5140); OR 1.45 (0.59-3.55)			Use of PPE shortage protocol (reference: never used PPE) No shortage: 4.9% (25/511); 1.23 (0.67-2.25) Reuse: 2.3% (21/934); adjusted OR 0.55 (0.28-1.05) Extended use: 3.1% (42/1341); adjusted OR 0.66 (0.42-1.04) Reuse and extended use: 3.9% (104/2644); adjusted OR 0.84 (0.56-1.26)
Akinbami et al 2021 (85) Nursing home HCWs	No PPE donning/doffing training: 20.0% (3/15); reference PPE donning/doffing training: 15.0% (170/1135); OR 0.70 (0.20-2.52)			Use of PPE shortage protocol (reference: never used PPE) No shortage: 11.8% (28/238); adjusted OR 0.94 (0.46-1.94) Reuse: 11.3% (21/186); adjusted OR 0.89 (0.42-1.87) Extended use: 17.8% (45/253); adjusted OR 1.49 (0.77-2.87) Reuse and extended use: 16.8% (83/495); adjusted OR 1.30 (0.66-2.58)
Fusco et al, 2020 (108)	Participation in a PPE training vs. no participation: OR 2.86 (0.14-56.58)			
Milazzo et al 2021 (49)	Trained vs. not trained in PPE use: adjusted OR 0.71 (0.25-2.13)			
Zhou F et al, 2020 (152)	Attended vs. did not attend training early in the COVID-19 outbreak: p<0.01			

Abbreviations: PPE = personal protective equipment; SARS = severe acute respiratory syndrome

Supplement Table 6. Mask use and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Author, Year	wask use and risk for infect	Comparison of Mask		Multiple Mask Layers Versus
(Reference)	Mask Use Versus Nonuse	Types	Consistency of Mask Use	Single Layer
Akinbami et al 2020 (61)			Always use N95 vs. less than always: adjusted OR 0.83 (0.72-0.95)	
			Always use surgical mask vs. less than always: adjusted OR 0.86 (0.75-0.98)	
Chatterjee et al, 2020 (155)	Any mask vs. no mask: <i>OR</i> 0.35 (0.22-0.57)*			
Davido et al 2021 (99)			Systematic use of facemask vs. no systematic use: adjusted OR 0.07 (0.003-0.56)	
Doernberg et al 2022 (20)			Wearing a mask when not at work:	
Added for Update Alert #11			All of the time: 2.8% (49/1778); adjusted HR 0.8 (0.5-1.6)	
			Most/some of the time or never: 3.3% (21/641); reference	

Author, Year (Reference)	Mask Use Versus Nonuse	Comparison of Mask Types	Consistency of Mask Use	Multiple Mask Layers Versus Single Layer
Fletcher et al 2021 (105)		Study Period 1 N95 vs. surgical mask: OR 1.25 (0.55-2.85)		
		<u>Study Period 2</u> N95 vs. surgical mask: OR 1.18 (0.86-1.62)		
Heinzerling et al, 2020 (71)			Always facemask (non-N95) during aerosol generating procedures: OR 0.77 (0.03- 20.02)	
			Always facemask (non-N95) during non-aerosol generating procedures: OR 1.29 (0.05- 30.38)	
Howard-Anderson et al 2022 (23)			Used a mask all/nearly all the time: (17/227); reference	
Added for Update Alert #11			Used a mask less than nearly all the time: (4/18); adjusted OR 4.0 (0.7-19.5)	
Khalil et al, 2020 (157)	Medical/surgical mask (yes vs. no): 1.40 (0.30-6.42)			

Author, Year (Reference)	Mask Use Versus Nonuse	Comparison of Mask Types	Consistency of Mask Use	Multiple Mask Layers Versus Single Layer
Piapan et al, 2020 (76)	Mask (FFP2-3 or surgical) vs. no mask: adjusted OR 1.6 (0.9-2.9)	FFP2 mask vs. surgical mask: adjusted OR 7.1 (3.6-13.9)		
Piapan et al 2021 (16)	N95 use (yes vs. no): <i>OR 7.8</i> (4.0-15.2); not included in multivariate model			
Sims et al 2020 (56)	Any mask vs. no mask: <i>OR</i> 0.58 (0.50-0.66)	N95 vs. surgical mask: <i>OR</i> 0.76 (0.63-0.92)		
	N95 or surgical mask vs. no mask: <i>OR 0.57 (0.50-0.66)</i>			
	N95 vs. no mask: <i>OR 0.54</i> (0.47-0.62)			
	Surgical mask vs. no mask: OR 0.71 (0.58-0.86)			
Venugopal et al 2021 (147)	N95 only (yes vs. no): OR 0.87 (0.50-1.54)* Surgical mask only (yes vs. no): OR 1.70 (1.08-2.69)*	N95 only vs. surgical mask only: OR 0.60 (0.31-1.15)		
	N95 and surgical mask (yes vs. no): OR 0.64 (0.41-1.00)*			
Wang X. et al, 2020 (81)	In department with mask use (no vs. yes): <i>adjusted OR</i> 464.82 (97.73– ∞)			

Author, Year (Reference)	Mask Use Versus Nonuse	Comparison of Mask Types	Consistency of Mask Use	Multiple Mask Layers Versus Single Layer
Haller et all 2021 (156)		Total cohort Mostly FFP2 use vs. mostly surgical mask use: adjusted HR 0.80 (0.64-1.00) Restricted to data collected after 1 December 2020: adjusted HR 0.73 (0.55-0.97) Seroconverted subgroup Mostly FFP2 use vs. mostly surgical mask use: adjusted HR 0.73 (0.53-1.00)		

Abbreviations: HCW = healthcare worker; OR = odds ratio; SARS = severe acute respiratory syndrome *Variable not included in a multivariate model.

Supplement Table 7. Infection prevention and control factors (other than masks) and risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2 in HCWs

Author, Year	Cour	Clave	Uan duraching	Eve Protection	PPE
(Reference) Abdelmoniem et al 2020 (31)	Gown 	Glove 	Proper hand hygiene (yes vs. no): OR 0.74 (0.15-3.59)	Eye Protection	PPE use as recommended (yes vs. no): OR 1.00 (0.21-4.72)
Akinbami et al 2020 (61)	Use all the time vs less than all the time: 6.9% (643/9,316); adjusted OR 1.14 (0.97-1.34)	Use all the time vs less than all the time: adjusted OR 1.09 (0.90-1.30)		Face shield or goggles, use all the time vs less than all the time: adjusted OR 1.09 (0.90-1.30)	PAPR, use all the time vs. less than all the time: adjusted OR 1.10 (0.80-1.52)
Akinbami et al 2021 (85) Hospital HCWs					No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive patient: 2.0% (88/4422); reference Exposed while not wearing PPE: 4.6% (60/1317); adjusted OR 1.28 (0.89-1.85) Exposed while wearing PPE: 4.1% (108/2630); adjusted OR 1.41 (0.99 2.00)
Akinbami et al 2021 (85) Nursing home HCWs					No/unknown exposure to COVID-19-positive patient: 6.0% (49/823); reference Exposed while not wearing PPE: 16.2% (28/173); adjusted OR 1.10 (0.54-2.07) Exposed while wearing PPE: 23.9% (119/498); adjusted OR 2.18 (1.26-3.80)
Al Youha et al 2021 (86)	Gown use vs. non-use: adjusted OR 0.64 (0.31-1.32)	Glove use vs. non- use: <i>adjusted OR</i> 2.93 (1.19-7.22)		Goggle use vs. non-use: adjusted OR 1.27 (0.72-2.27)	
Algado-Selles et al 2020 (62)					PPE use (surgical mask or N95 [or equivalent] and eye protection for aerosol generating procedures; yes

Author, Year (Reference)	Gown	Glove	Handwashing	Eye Protection	PPE
(Reference)	Gowii	Giove	панимазнину	Eye Protection	vs. no): <i>adjusted OR 0.45 (0.26-</i>
					0.83)
Baker et al 2021 (92)					PPE use as recommended vs. not as recommended: adjusted OR 0.8 (0.4-
					1.4)
					PPE use as recommended vs.
					unsure use: adjusted OR 0.6 (0.4- 0.9)
Barrett et al 2020 (33)					Estimated proportion of patients for which PPE (gloves, gown and
(33)					surgical or N95 mask) was used,
					<25% vs. 100%: OR 0.41 (0.14-1.22)*
Celebi et al, 2020 (154)					Making a mistake while implementing infection control
(154)					precautions, yes vs. no: OR 2.98 (0.58-15.29)
					Improper use of PPE while caring for
					a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patient, yes vs. no: <i>adjusted OR</i>
					11.30 (2.18-59)
					Stayed in personnel break room with
					other HCW without wearing a medical mask for >15 minutes, yes
					vs. no: adjusted OR 7.42 (1.90- 29.02)
Chatterjee et al, 2020 (155)	Gown vs. no gown: <i>OR 0.62</i> (0.46-0.83)*	Gloves vs. no gloves: <i>OR 0.38</i> (0.26-0.55)*		Face shield or goggles vs. none: OR 0.81 (0.61- 1.08)*	No PPE vs PPE all/most of the time: adjusted OR 5.33 (2.27-12.48)
	(0.10 0.00)	(0.20 0.00)		,	Shoe cover vs. no shoe cover: OR 1.05 (0.78-1.42)*
					Cap vs. no cap: <i>OR 0.70 (0.52-0.94)</i> *
Clifton et al 2021 (95)					Reported vs. did not report break in PPE: OR 1.69 (0.19-14.84)

Author, Year					
(Reference)	Gown	Glove	Handwashing	Eye Protection	PPE
el-Boghdadly et al, 2020 (39)					PPE meeting WHO standard, yes vs. no: HR, 0.97 (0.63-1.51)*
Eyre et al 2020 (102) Seroprevalence					Exposure to known or suspected COVID-19 patient without PPE, yes vs. no: <i>adjusted OR 1.47 (1.26-1.70)</i>
Fletcher et al 2021 (105)					Study Period 1 PPE use at work (yes vs. no): OR 0.98 (0.06-16.48) PPE use at work (sometimes vs. no): 0% (0/58) vs. 0% (0/23) Study Period 2 PPE use at work (yes vs. no): OR 2.81 (0.86-9.20) PPE use at work (sometimes vs. no): 10% (5/50); OR 0.70 (0.27-1.79)
Gupta et al 2021 (111)					PPE breach vs. no breach: OR 0.96 (0.66-1.40)
Heinzerling et al, 2020 (71)		Always gloves during aerosol generating procedures: 3.10 (0.13-75.19) Always gloves during non-aerosol generating procedures: 4.40 (0.21-91.92)			
Kassem et al, 2020 (44)	-	-	Proper hand hygiene: OR 0.26 (0.02-4.46)	-	PPE when indicted, always vs. occasionally OR 0.54 (0.05-6.32)
Khalil et al, 2020 (157)	Disposable gown (yes vs. no): OR 1.08 (0.53-2.20)	Single-use gloves (yes vs. no): OR 1.01 (0.38-2.68)	Followed hand hygiene During patient care (yes vs. no): OR 0.78 (0.23-2.67)	Face shield/goggles (yes vs. no): 0.44 (0.23-0.84)	Wore PPE (yes vs. no): OR 0.15 (0.02-1.21) Proper donning and doffing of PPE (yes vs. no): OR 0.57 (0.29-1.14)

Author, Year					
(Reference)	Gown	Glove	Handwashing	Eye Protection	PPE
Maltanana			During procedure (yes vs. no): OR 3.28 (0.66-12.30) After body fluid exposure (yes vs. no): OR 0.28 (0.06-1.45) After touching fomites (yes vs. no): OR 1.58 (0.49-5.04)		
Maltezou et al, 2020 (48)					High- vs. low- or moderate-risk exposure (based on mask use by patient and PPE use by HCW): adjusted OR 3.06 (1.43-6.55)
Murongazvombo et al 2021 (128)					Reference not a frontline worker Frontline worker who carried out any activities more than 2 m away from a COVID-19 patient with or without appropriate PPE (FFP2/FFP3/N95, surgical mask, apron, gown, gloves): adjusted OR 1.48 (0.72-3.02) Frontline worker who provided care within 2 m of a COVID-19 patient with appropriate PPE (surgical mask, apron, gown, gloves) for a non-aerosol-generating procedure: adjusted OR 1.15 (0.61-2.17) Frontline worker who performed an aerosol-generating procedure on a COVID-19 patient with appropriate PPE (FFP2/FFP3/N95, apron, gown, gloves) for an aerosol-generating procedure: adjusted OR 1.06 (0.57-1.96) Frontline worker who provided care within 2 m of a COVID-19 patient but without an aerosol-generating procedure and without appropriate PPE (surgical mask, apron, gown, gloves) for a non-aerosol-generating

Author, Year					
(Reference)	Gown	Glove	Handwashing	Eye Protection	PPE
					procedure: adjusted OR 1.82 (0.96-
					3.44)
					Frontline worker who performed and
					aerosol-generating procedure on a
					COVID-19 patient without
					appropriate PPE (FFP2/FFP3/N95,
					apron, gown, gloves) for an aerosol-
					generating procedure: adjusted OR
Naesens et al 2021					2.49 (1.00-6.18) Reference no contact with confirmed/
(129)					suspected COVID-19 cases at work
(129)					Only protected contact (PPE):
					adjusted OR 1.74 (1.19-2.54)
					uajusted ON 1.14 (1.15 2.04)
					Only accidental and unprotected
					contact (no PPE):
					adjusted OR 1.44 (0.80-2.59)
					,
					Any contact (intentional or
					accidental, protected or unprotected
					[with or without PPE]): adjusted OR
					1.17 (0.78-1.75)
Newberry et al 2021					Seropositivity
(130)					Full use of PPE during exposure (yes
					vs. no): OR 0.23 (0.05-0.97)
					DDE harrach and allows (consumers)
					PPE breach or failure (yes vs. no):
					OR 1.20 (0.16-9.18)
					Infection (PCR)
					Full use of PPE during exposure (yes
					vs. no): OR 0.59 (0.10-3.59)
					(2.1.2)
					PPE breach or failure (yes vs. no):
					OR 1.16 (0.07-20.36)
Paris et al 2021					Participated in nasopharyngeal
(15)					sampling (reference no participation)
					 With appropriate protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 1.33 (1.15-
					10.40)

Author, Year		Clave	Handwashir	Five Bretestien	DDE
(Reference)	Gown	Glove	Handwashing	Eye Protection	• With suboptimal protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 3.46 (0.79-
					2.25)
					Participated in central line insertion
					(reference no participation)With appropriate protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.35-
					1.45)
					With suboptimal protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 2.55 (0.57-
					12.38)
					Participated in upper respiratory trace
					actions (reference no participation)
					With appropriate protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 1.53 (0.92-
					2.55) • With suboptimal protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 1.85 (0.66-
					5.22)
					Participated in central line insertion (reference no participation)
					With appropriate protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 0.72 (0.35-
					1.45)
					With suboptimal protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 2.55 (0.57-12.38)
					12.30)
					Participated in washing and dressing
					patient (reference no participation)
					With appropriate protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 2.13 (1.054.30)
					With suboptimal protective
					equipment: adjusted OR 0.38 (0.10-
					1.46)
					Participated in patient mobilization
					(reference no participation)

Author, Year					
(Reference)	Gown	Glove	Handwashing	Eye Protection	PPE
					With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 0.93 (0.49-1.79) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 3.30 (1.51-7.25)
					Participated in patient feeding (reference no participation) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 1.00 (0.54-1.89) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 2.49 (0.92-6.72)
					Participated in clinical exam (reference no participation) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 1.50 (0.85-2.65) With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 2.51 (1.16-5.43)
					Participated in eye exam (reference no participation) With appropriate protective equipment: adjusted OR 1.86 (0.93-3.73)
					 With suboptimal protective equipment: adjusted OR 2.90 (1.01- 8.35)
Perez-Garcia et al 2020 (75)					Use of PPE (included cap, FFP2 mask [or FFP3 mask for aerosol generating procedures], disposable medical protective clothing, gloves, goggles/screens) vs. no use: adjusted OR 0.56 (0.44-0.72)
Piapan et al 2021 (16)					PPE use (yes vs. no): <i>adjusted OR</i> 3.7 (1.6-8.2)

Author, Year (Reference)	Gown	Glove	Handwashing	Eye Protection	PPE
Ran L et al, 2020 (77)			Unqualified hand washing: RR 2.64 (1.04-6.71) Suboptimal handwashing before patient contact: RR 3.10 (1.43-6.73) Suboptimal handwashing after patient		Improper PPE: RR 2.82 (1.11-7.18)
			contact: RR 2.43 (1.34-4.39)		
Schmitz et al 2020 (139)					High-level PPE (FFP2 mask and eye protection for all patient contact) hospital vs. other hospital: <i>OR 1.85</i> (1.35-2.54)*
Trieu et al 2020 (57)					Patient contact with full PPE vs. no patient contact: OR 1.1 (0.5-2.7) Patient contact with partial PPE vs.
Venugopal et al 2021 (147)				Face shield and goggles (yes vs. no): OR 0.55 (0.36-0.84)*	no patient contact: OR 2.5 (0.5-12.2)
Wang Q. et al, 2020 (159)					Level 2 protection (cap, N95 or higher, goggles/eye protection, gown, gloves, shoe covers) (yes vs. no): <i>OR 0.03 (0.003-0.19)</i> [†]
Yogo et al 2020 (150)					Exposure to SARS-CoV-2 infected patient without PPE, yes vs. no: adjusted OR 1.17 (0.42-3.26)

Abbreviations: HCW = health care worker; OR = odds ratio; PPE = personal protective equipment; RR = relative risk; SARS = severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Variable not included in a multivariate model

Supplement Table 8. Risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection in HCWs evidence map

Risk factor	Size of evidence	Strength of Evidence and Effect
Age	++++	•
Sex	++++	•
Race/ethnicity (Black or Hispanic vs. White)	+++	•
Prior SARS-CoV-2 infection	+	*
Positive vaccination status	+	*
Positive antibody status	+	*
HCW role (nurse vs. physician)	++++	•
Greater directness or intensity of contact	++++	•
Participation in high-risk procedure	+++	•
Education/training in infection control measures	+	•
Mask use	++	•
PPE use	+++	•

Size of evidence

++++ >50 studies; >200,000 HCWs 20-50 studies; >100,000 HCWs +++ 10-20 studies; >50,000 HCWs ++

<10 studies; <20,000 HCWs

Strength of evidence

Moderate

Low

Insufficient

No evidence

Effect

Positive association Negative association No association

References

- 1. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers: a living rapid review. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173(2):120-36. Epub 2020/05/06. doi: 10.7326/m20-1632. PubMed PMID: 32369541; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7240841.
- 2. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Update Alert 7: Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 2021. Epub 2021/02/09. doi: 10.7326/121-0034. PubMed PMID: 33556273.
- 3. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Update Alert 8: Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 2021. Epub 2021/03/30. doi: 10.7326/121-0143. PubMed PMID: 33780293.
- 4. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Update Alert 9: Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 2021. Epub 2021/06/01. doi: 10.7326/121-0302. PubMed PMID: 34058107.
- 5. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Update Alert 2: Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173(4):W77. doi: 10.7326/M20-4806.
- 6. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Update Alert 3: Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173(6):W123-W4. Epub 2020/08/04. doi: 10.7326/120-1005. PubMed PMID: 32744870; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7418491
- www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M20-4806.
- 7. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Update Alert 4: Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173(8):143-4. Epub 2020/09/12. doi: 10.7326/120-1134. PubMed PMID: 32915642.
- 8. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Update Alert 5: Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173(11):W154-W5. Epub 2020/10/21. doi: 10.7326/120-1227. PubMed PMID: 33076695.
- 9. Chou R, Dana T, Selph S, Totten AM, Buckley DI, Fu R. Update Alert 6: Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 2021;174(1):W18-w9. Epub 2020/11/24. doi: 10.7326/120-1323. PubMed PMID: 33226856; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7711654
- www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=L20-1323.
- 10. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Update Alert 10: Epidemiology of and risk factors for coronavirus infection in health care workers. Ann Intern Med. 2021. Epub 2021/11/17. doi: 10.7326/m21-4294. PubMed PMID: 34781714; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8593888 www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M21-4294.
- 11. Al-Naamani K, Al-Jahdhami I, Al-Tamtami W, Al-Amri K, Al-Khabori M, Sinani SA, et al. Prevalence and persistence of SARS-CoV2 antibodies among healthcare workers in Oman. J Infect Public Health. 2021;14(11):1578-84. Epub 2021/10/25. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2021.09.006. PubMed PMID: 34688980; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8442291.
- 12. Brousseau N, Morin L, Ouakki M, Savard P, Quach C, Longtin Y, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in health care workers from 10 hospitals in Quebec, Canada: a cross-sectional study. CMAJ. 2021;193(49):E1868-e77. Epub 2021/12/15. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.202783. PubMed PMID: 34903591; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8677578

- 13. Gohil SK, Quan KA, Madey KM, King-Adelsohn S, Tjoa T, Tifrea D, et al. Infection prevention strategies are highly protective in COVID-19 units while main risks to healthcare professionals come from coworkers and the community. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2021;10(1):163. Epub 2021/11/24. doi: 10.1186/s13756-021-01031-5. PubMed PMID: 34809702; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8608236.
- 14. Modenese A, Casolari L, Rossi G, Della Vecchia E, Glieca F, D'Elia C, et al. Factors Associated with SARS-CoV-2 Infection Risk among Healthcare Workers of an Italian University Hospital. Healthcare (Basel). 2021;9(11). Epub 2021/11/28. doi: 10.3390/healthcare9111495. PubMed PMID: 34828540; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8622462.
- 15. Paris C, Tadié E, Heslan C, Gary-Bobo P, Oumari S, Saade A, et al. Risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection among health care workers. Am J Infect Control. 2021. Epub 2021/11/15. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2021.11.001. PubMed PMID: 34774895; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8585562.
- 16. Piapan L, De Michieli P, Ronchese F, Rui F, Peresson M, Segat L, et al. COVID-19 outbreaks in hospital workers during the first COVID-19 wave. Occup Med (Lond). 2021. Epub 2021/12/18. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqab161. PubMed PMID: 34919710; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8755348.
- 17. Tomczyk S, Hönning A, Hermes J, Grossegesse M, Hofmann N, Michel J, et al. Longitudinal SARS-CoV-2 seroepidemiological investigation among healthcare workers at a tertiary care hospital in Germany. BMC Infect Dis. 2022;22(1):80. Epub 2022/01/26. doi: 10.1186/s12879-022-07057-3. PubMed PMID: 35073863; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8784861.
- 18. Allen N, Brady M, Ni Riain U, Conlon N, Domegan L, Carrion Martin AI, et al. Prevalence of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 following natural infection and vaccination in Irish hospital healthcare workers: changing epidemiology as the pandemic progresses. Front Med (Lausanne). 2022;8:758118. Epub 2022/02/22. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.758118. PubMed PMID: 35186963; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8854655.
- 19. Dávila-Conn V, Soto-Nava M, Caro-Vega YN, Paz-Juárez HE, García-Esparza P, Tapia-Trejo D, et al. Seroepidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare personnel working at the largest tertiary COVID-19 referral hospitals in Mexico City. PLoS One. 2022;17(3):e0264964. Epub 2022/03/18. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0264964. PubMed PMID: 35298500; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8929624.
- 20. Doernberg SB, Holubar M, Jain V, Weng Y, Lu D, Bollyky JB, et al. Incidence and prevalence of COVID-19 within a healthcare worker cohort during the first year of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Clin Infect Dis. 2022. Epub 2022/03/13. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciac210. PubMed PMID: 35279023.
- 21. Dusefante A, Negro C, D'Agaro P, Segat L, Purpuri A, Cegolon L, et al. Occupational risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection in hospital health care workers: a prospective nested case-control study. Life (Basel). 2022;12(2). Epub 2022/02/26. doi: 10.3390/life12020263. PubMed PMID: 35207550; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8874671.
- 22. Gelanew T, Seyoum B, Mulu A, Mihret A, Abebe M, Wassie L, et al. High seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies among Ethiopian healthcare workers. BMC Infect Dis. 2022;22(1):261. Epub 2022/03/18. doi: 10.1186/s12879-022-07247-z. PubMed PMID: 35296265; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8926102.
- 23. Howard-Anderson JR, Adams C, Dube WC, Smith TC, Sherman AC, Edupuganti N, et al. Occupational risk factors for severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)

- infection among healthcare personnel: A 6-month prospective analysis of the COVID-19 Prevention in Emory Healthcare Personnel (COPE) Study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2022a:1-8. Epub 2022/02/15. doi: 10.1017/ice.2021.518. PubMed PMID: 35156597; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8886081.
- 24. Korona-Głowniak I, Mielnik M, Podgajna M, Grywalska E, Hus M, Matuska K, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in healthcare workers before the vaccination in Poland: evolution from the first to the second pandemic outbreak. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(4). Epub 2022/02/26. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19042319. PubMed PMID: 35206504; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8871845.
- 25. Larese Filon F, Rui F, Ronchese F, De Michieli P, Negro C. Incidence of COVID-19 infection in hospital workers from March 1, 2020 to May 31, 2021 routinely tested, before and after vaccination with BNT162B2. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):2533. Epub 2022/02/17. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-04665-y. PubMed PMID: 35169127; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8847551.
- 26. Lumley SF, Rodger G, Constantinides B, Sanderson N, Chau KK, Street TL, et al. An observational cohort study on the incidence of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection and B.1.1.7 variant infection in healthcare workers by antibody and vaccination status. Clin Infect Dis. 2022;74(7):1208-19. Epub 2021/07/04. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab608. PubMed PMID: 34216472; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8994591.
- 27. Nunes MC, Baillie VL, Kwatra G, Bhikha S, Verwey C, Menezes C, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 infection among healthcare workers in South Africa: a longitudinal cohort study. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73(10):1896-900. Epub 2021/05/06. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab398. PubMed PMID: 33949670; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8135922.
- 28. Nunes MC, Mbotwe-Sibanda S, Baillie VL, Kwatra G, Aguas R, Madhi SA, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron symptomatic infections in previously infected or vaccinated South African healthcare workers. Vaccines (Basel). 2022;10(3). Epub 2022/03/27. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10030459. PubMed PMID: 35335091; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8951475.
- 29. Porru S, Spiteri G, Monaco MGL, Valotti A, Carta A, Lotti V, et al. Post-Vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infections among health workers at the university hospital of Verona, Italy: a retrospective cohort survey. Vaccines (Basel). 2022;10(2). Epub 2022/02/27. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10020272. PubMed PMID: 35214733; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8879605.
- 30. West EA, Kotoun OJ, Schori LJ, Kopp J, Kaufmann M, Rasi M, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, associated factors, experiences and attitudes of nursing home and home healthcare employees in Switzerland. BMC Infect Dis. 2022;22(1):259. Epub 2022/03/18. doi: 10.1186/s12879-022-07222-8. PubMed PMID: 35296242; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8924739.
- 31. Abdelmoniem R, Fouad R, Shawky S, Amer K, Elnagdy T, Hassan WA, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection among asymptomatic healthcare workers of the emergency department in a tertiary care facility. J Clin Virol. 2020;134:104710. Epub 2020/12/05. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104710. PubMed PMID: 33276180; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7694465.
- 32. Bahrs C, Kimmig A, Weis S, Ankert J, Hagel S, Maschmann J, et al. Prospective surveillance study in a 1,400-bed university hospital: COVID-19 exposure at home was the main risk factor for SARS-CoV-2 point seroprevalence among hospital staff. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2021. Epub 2021/02/20. doi: 10.1111/tbed.14041. PubMed PMID: 33605549.

- 33. Barrett ES, Horton DB, Roy J, Gennaro ML, Brooks A, Tischfield J, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in previously undiagnosed health care workers in New Jersey, at the onset of the U.S. COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Infect Dis. 2020;20(1):853. Epub 2020/11/18. doi: 10.1186/s12879-020-05587-2. PubMed PMID: 33198725; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7668027.
- 34. Blairon L, Mokrane S, Wilmet A, Dessilly G, Kabamba-Mukadi B, Beukinga I, et al. Large-scale, molecular and serological SARS-CoV-2 screening of healthcare workers in a 4-site public hospital in Belgium after COVID-19 outbreak. J Infect2020. Epub 2020/08/03. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.07.033. PubMed PMID: 32739485; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7392848.
- 35. Calcagno A, Ghisetti V, Emanuele T, Trunfio M, Faraoni S, Boglione L, et al. Risk for SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Healthcare Workers, Turin, Italy. Emerg Infect Dis 2020;27(1). Epub 2020/10/07. doi: 10.3201/eid2701.203027. PubMed PMID: 33021927.
- 36. Damluji AA, Wei S, Bruce SA, Haymond A, Petricoin EF, Liotta L, et al. Seropositivity of COVID-19 among asymptomatic healthcare workers: A multi-site prospective cohort study from Northern Virginia, United States. Lancet Reg Health Am. 2021;2:100030. Epub 2021/08/14. doi: 10.1016/j.lana.2021.100030. PubMed PMID: 34386793; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8319689.
- 37. Dillner J, Elfström KM, Blomqvist J, Eklund C, Lagheden C, Nordqvist-Kleppe S, et al. Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and risk of past or future sick leave. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):5160. Epub 2021/03/06. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-84356-w. PubMed PMID: 33664279; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7933367.
- 38. El Abdellati K, Coppens V, Goossens J, Theeten H, Van Damme P, Berens A, et al. Hospital-wide SARS-CoV-2 antibody screening of staff in a university psychiatric centre in Belgium. BJPsych Open. 2021;7(1):e40. Epub 2021/01/21. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2020.172. PubMed PMID: 33468277.
- 39. El-Boghdadly K, Wong DJN, Owen R, Neuman MD, Pocock S, Carlisle JB, et al. Risks to healthcare workers following tracheal intubation of patients with COVID-19: a prospective international multicentre cohort study. Anaesthesia. 2020. Epub 2020/06/10. doi: 10.1111/anae.15170. PubMed PMID: 32516833.
- 40. Ferreira VH, Chruscinski A, Kulasingam V, Pugh TJ, Dus T, Wouters B, et al. Prospective observational study and serosurvey of SARS-CoV-2 infection in asymptomatic healthcare workers at a Canadian tertiary care center. PLoS One. 2021;16(2):e0247258. Epub 2021/02/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0247258. PubMed PMID: 33592074.
- 41. Grant J, Wilmore S, McCann N, Donnelly O, Lai R, Kinsella M, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in healthcare workers at a London NHS Trust. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol2020:1-12. Epub 2020/08/05. doi: 10.1017/ice.2020.402. PubMed PMID: 32746953.
- 42. Hall VJ, Foulkes S, Charlett A, Atti A, Monk EJM, Simmons R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection rates of antibody-positive compared with antibody-negative health-care workers in England: a large, multicentre, prospective cohort study (SIREN). Lancet. 2021;397(10283):1459-69. Epub 2021/04/13. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(21)00675-9. PubMed
- 2021;397(10283):1459-69. Epub 2021/04/13. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(21)00675-9. PubMed PMID: 33844963; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8040523.
- 43. Houlihan CF, Vora N, Byrne T, Lewer D, Kelly G, Heaney J, et al. Pandemic peak SARS-CoV-2 infection and seroconversion rates in London frontline health-care workers. Lancet. 2020. Epub 2020/07/13. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)31484-7. PubMed PMID: 32653078.

- 44. Kassem AM, Talaat H, Shawky S, Fouad R, Amer K, Elnagdy T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers of a gastroenterological service in a tertiary care facility. Arab J Gastroenterol. 2020. Epub 2020/08/01. doi: 10.1016/j.ajg.2020.07.005. PubMed PMID: 32732168; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7373030.
- 45. Leeds JS, Raviprakash V, Jacques T, Scanlon N, Cundall J, Leeds CM. Risk factors for detection of SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare workers during April 2020 in a UK hospital testing programme. EClinicalMedicine. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100513.
- 46. Lepak AJ, Buys A, Stevens L, LeClair-Netzel M, Anderson L, Osman F, et al. COVID-19 in health care personnel: significance of health care role, contact history, and symptoms in those who test positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Mayo Clin Proc. 2021;96(9):2312-22. Epub 2021/08/10. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.06.019. PubMed PMID: 34366140; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8249700.
- 47. Lumley SF, O'Donnell D, Stoesser NE, Matthews PC, Howarth A, Hatch SB, et al. Antibody status and incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in health care workers. N Engl J Med. 2020. Epub 2020/12/29. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2034545. PubMed PMID: 33369366; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7781098.
- 48. Maltezou HC, Dedoukou X, Tseroni M, Tsonou E, Raftopoulos V, Papadima K, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in healthcare personnel with high-risk occupational exposure: evaluation of seven-day exclusion from work policy. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;29(5864498).
- 49. Milazzo L, Lai A, Pezzati L, Oreni L, Bergna A, Conti F, et al. Dynamics of the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies among healthcare workers at a COVID-19 referral hospital in Milan, Italy. Occup Environ Med. 2021. Epub 2021/02/06. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2020-107060. PubMed PMID: 33542096; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7868130.
- 50. Mutambudzi M, Niedwiedz C, Macdonald EB, Leyland A, Mair F, Anderson J, et al. Occupation and risk of severe COVID-19: prospective cohort study of 120 075 UK Biobank participants. Occup Environ Med. 2020. Epub 2020/12/11. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2020-106731. PubMed PMID: 33298533.
- 51. Nguyen LH, Drew DA, Graham MS, Joshi AD, Guo CG, Ma W, et al. Risk of COVID-19 among front-line health-care workers and the general community: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Public Health. 2020. Epub 2020/08/04. doi: 10.1016/s2468-2667(20)30164-x. PubMed PMID: 32745512.
- 52. Rashid-Abdi M, Krifors A, Sälléber A, Eriksson J, Månsson E. Low rate of COVID-19 seroconversion in health-care workers at a Department of Infectious Diseases in Sweden during the later phase of the first wave; a prospective longitudinal seroepidemiological study. Infect Dis (Lond). 2020:1-7. Epub 2020/11/25. doi: 10.1080/23744235.2020.1849787. PubMed PMID: 33232190.
- 53. Rasmussen KMB, Andersen PA, Channir HI, Aanæs K, Knudsen JD, Kirkeby NS, et al. COVID-19 infection rate among tertiary referral center otorhinolaryngology healthcare workers. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2021:1-8. Epub 2021/02/06. doi: 10.1007/s00405-021-06615-w. PubMed PMID: 33544196; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7862982.
- 54. Schmidt SB, Gruter L, Boltzmann M, Rollnik JD. Prevalence of serum IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 among clinic staff. PLoS One. 2020;15(6):e0235417. Epub 2020/06/26. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0235417. PubMed PMID: 32584894.
- 55. Science M, Bolotin S, Silverman M, Nadarajah J, Maguire B, Parekh RS, et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in Ontario health care workers during and after the first wave of the pandemic:

- a cohort study. CMAJ Open. 2021;9(4):E929-e39. Epub 2021/10/14. doi: 10.9778/cmajo.20210044. PubMed PMID: 34642255; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8513603.
- 56. Sims MD, Maine GN, Childers KL, Podolsky RH, Voss DR, Berkiw-Scenna N, et al. COVID-19 seropositivity and asymptomatic rates in healthcare workers are associated with job function and masking. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. Epub 2020/11/06. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1684. PubMed PMID: 33150375.
- 57. Trieu MC, Bansal A, Madsen A, Zhou F, Sævik M, Vahokoski J, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific neutralizing antibody responses in Norwegian healthcare workers after the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic: a prospective cohort study. J Infect Dis. 2020. Epub 2020/11/29. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa737. PubMed PMID: 33247924.
- 58. Villanueva AMG, Lazaro J, Sayo AR, Myat Han S, Ukawa T, Suzuki S, et al. COVID-19 screening for healthcare workers in a tertiary infectious diseases referral hospital in Manila, the Philippines. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2020. Epub 2020/07/31. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-0715. PubMed PMID: 32729461.
- 59. Vimercati L, Stefanizzi P, De Maria L, Caputi A, Cavone D, Quarato M, et al. Large-scale IgM and IgG SARS-CoV-2 serological screening among healthcare workers with a low infection prevalence based on nasopharyngeal swab tests in an Italian university hospital: Perspectives for public health. Environ Res. 2021;195:110793. Epub 2021/01/29. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2021.110793. PubMed PMID: 33508260; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7839391.
- 60. Weinberger T, Steffen J, Osterman A, Mueller TT, Muenchhoff M, Wratil PR, et al. Prospective longitudinal serosurvey of health care workers in the first wave of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in a quaternary care hospital in Munich, Germany. Clin Infect Dis. 2021. Epub 2021/01/04. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1935. PubMed PMID: 33388756; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7799305.
- 61. Akinbami LJ, Vuong N, Petersen LR, Sami S, Patel A, Lukacs SL, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among healthcare, first response, and public safety personnel, Detroit Metropolitan Area, Michigan, USA, May-June 2020. Emerg Infect Dis 2020;26(12). Epub 2020/09/22. doi: 10.3201/eid2612.203764. PubMed PMID: 32956614.
- 62. Algado-Sellés N, Gras-Valentí P, Chico-Sánchez P, Mora-Muriel JG, Soler-Molina VM, Hernández-Maldonado M, et al. Frequency, associated risk factors, and characteristics of COVID-19 among healthcare personnel in a Spanish health department. Am J Prev Med. 2020;59(6):e221-e9. Epub 2020/11/23. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2020.07.014. PubMed PMID: 33220760; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7434331.
- 63. Bai Y, Wang X, Huang Q, Wang H, Gurarie D, Ndeffo-Mbah M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in health care workers: a retrospective analysis and a model study. medRxiv. 2020:2020.03.29.20047159. doi: 10.1101/2020.03.29.20047159.
- 64. Banerjee A, Mukherjee K, Bhattacharjee D, Garai D, Chakraborty R. Status of health-care workers in relation to COVID-19 Infection: a retrospective study in a Level 4 COVID hospital in Eastern India. J Assoc Physicians India. 2020;68(12):55-7. Epub 2020/11/29. PubMed PMID: 33247643.
- 65. Buchtele N, Rabitsch W, Knaus HA, Wohlfarth P. Containment of a traceable COVID-19 outbreak among healthcare workers at a hematopoietic stem cell transplantation unit. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2020. Epub 2020/06/03. doi: 10.1038/s41409-020-0958-6. PubMed PMID: 32483288.

- 66. Colaneri M, Novelli V, Cutti S, Muzzi A, Resani G, Monti MC, et al. The experience of the health care workers of a severely hit SARS-CoV-2 referral Hospital in Italy: incidence, clinical course and modifiable risk factors for COVID-19 infection. J Public Health (Oxf). 2020. Epub 2020/11/04. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdaa195. PubMed PMID: 33140084.
- 67. Comelli A, Focà E, Sansone E, Tomasi C, Albini E, Quiros-Roldan E, et al. Serological response to SARS-CoV-2 in health care workers employed in a large tertiary hospital in Lombardy, Northern Italy. Microorganisms. 2021;9(3). Epub 2021/03/07. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9030488. PubMed PMID: 33669151.
- 68. Folgueira MD, Munoz-Ruiperez C, Alonso-Lopez MA, Delgado R. SARS-CoV-2 infection in health care workers in a large public hospital in Madrid, Spain, during March 2020. medRxiv. 2020:2020.04.07.20055723. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.07.20055723.
- 69. García IS, López M, Vicente AS, Abascal PL. SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers in a hospital in Madrid, Spain. J Hosp Infect. 2020. Epub 2020/07/24. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.07.020. PubMed PMID: 32702465.
- 70. Gras-Valentí P, Chico-Sánchez P, Algado-Sellés N, Gimeno-Gascón MA, Mora-Muriel JG, Jiménez-Sepúlveda NJ, et al. Sero-epidemiological study of SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare personnel in a healthcare department. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin (Engl Ed). 2020. Epub 2020/11/10. doi: 10.1016/j.eimc.2020.09.015. PubMed PMID: 33162223; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7556776.
- 71. Heinzerling A, Stuckey MJ, Scheuer T, Xu K, Perkins KM, Resseger H, et al. Transmission of COVID-19 to health care personnel during exposures to a hospitalized patient Solano County, California, February 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(15):472-6. Epub 2020/04/17. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6915e5. PubMed PMID: 32298249.
- 72. Lai X, Wang M, Qin C, Tan L, Ran L, Chen D, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-2019) infection among health care workers and implications for prevention measures in a tertiary hospital in Wuhan, China. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(5):e209666. Epub 2020/05/22. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.9666. PubMed PMID: 32437575.
- 73. Moscola J, Sembajwe G, Jarrett M, Farber B, Chang T, McGinn T, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in health care personnel in the New York City area. JAMA. 2020. Epub 2020/08/12. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.14765. PubMed PMID: 32780804.
- 74. Ng K, Poon BH, Kiat Puar TH, Shan Quah JL, Loh WJ, Wong YJ, et al. COVID-19 and the risk to health care workers: a case report. Ann Intern Med. 2020. Epub 2020/03/17. doi: 10.7326/120-0175. PubMed PMID: 32176257; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7081171.
- 75. Pérez-García F, Pérez-Zapata A, Arcos N, De la Mata M, Ortiz M, Simón E, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection among hospital workers of one of the most severely affected institutions in Madrid, Spain: a surveillance cross-sectional study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2020:1-28. Epub 2020/10/30. doi: 10.1017/ice.2020.1303. PubMed PMID: 33118904.
- 76. Piapan L, De Michieli P, Ronchese F, Rui F, Mauro M, Peresson M, et al. COVID-19 outbreak in healthcare workers in Trieste hospitals (North-Eastern Italy). J Hosp Infect. 2020. Epub 2020/08/18. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.08.012. PubMed PMID: 32805309; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7427613.
- 77. Ran L, Chen X, Wang Y, Wu W, Zhang L, Tan X. Risk factors of healthcare workers with Corona Virus Disease 2019: a retrospective cohort study in a designated hospital of Wuhan in China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. Epub 2020/03/18. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa287. PubMed PMID: 32179890.

- 78. Shorten RJ, Haslam S, Hurley MA, Rowbottom A, Myers M, Wilkinson P, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in healthcare workers in a large teaching hospital in the North West of England: a period prevalence survey. BMJ Open. 2021;11(3):e045384. Epub 2021/03/18. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045384. PubMed PMID: 33727275; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7969758.
- 79. Sotgiu G, Barassi A, Miozzo M, Saderi L, Piana A, Orfeo N, et al. SARS-CoV-2 specific serological pattern in healthcare workers of an Italian COVID-19 forefront hospital. BMC Pulm Med. 2020;20(1):203. Epub 2020/07/31. doi: 10.1186/s12890-020-01237-0. PubMed PMID: 32727446; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7388425.
- 80. Wang Q, Huang X, Bai Y, Wang X, Wang H, Hu X, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 in medical staff members of neurosurgery departments in Hubei province: a multicentre descriptive study. medRxiv. 2020:2020.04.20.20064899. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.20.20064899.
- 81. Wang X, Pan Z, Cheng Z. Association between 2019-nCoV transmission and N95 respirator use. J Hosp Infect. 2020(March 3). Epub 2020/03/03. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.02.021.
- 82. Wang X, Jiang X, Huang Q, Wang H, Gurarie D, Ndeffo-Mbah M, et al. Risk factors of SARS-CoV-2 infection in healthcare workers: a retrospective study of a nosocomial outbreak. Sleep Med X. 2020;2. doi: 10.1016/j.sleepx.2020.100028.
- 83. Zhang GQ, Pan HQ, Hu XX, He SJ, Chen YF, Wei CJ, et al. The role of isolation rooms, facemasks and intensified hand hygiene in the prevention of nosocomial COVID-19 transmission in a pulmonary clinical setting. Infect Dis Poverty. 2020;9(1):104. Epub 2020/07/25. doi: 10.1186/s40249-020-00725-z. PubMed PMID: 32703281; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7376530.
- 84. Abbas S, Raza A, Iftikhar A, Khan A, Khan S, Yusuf MA. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies among health care personnel at a health care system in Pakistan. Asia Pac J Public Health. 2021:10105395211011010. Epub 2021/04/22. doi: 10.1177/10105395211011010. PubMed PMID: 33880961.
- 85. Akinbami LJ, Chan PA, Vuong N, Sami S, Lewis D, Sheridan PE, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 seropositivity among healthcare personnel in hospitals and nursing homes, Rhode Island, USA, July-August 2020. Emerg Infect Dis 2021;27(3):823-34. Epub 2021/02/25. doi: 10.3201/eid2703.204508. PubMed PMID: 33622481; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7920685.
- 86. Al Youha S, Alowaish O, Ibrahim IK, Alghounaim M, Abu-Sheasha GA, Fakhra Z, et al. Factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection amongst healthcare workers in a COVID-19 designated hospital. J Infect Public Health. 2021;14(9):1226-32. Epub 2021/08/28. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2021.08.012. PubMed PMID: 34450510; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8366051.
- 87. Alkurt G, Murt A, Aydin Z, Tatli O, Agaoglu NB, Irvem A, et al. Seroprevalence of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) among health care workers from three pandemic hospitals of Turkey. PLoS One. 2021;16(3):e0247865. Epub 2021/03/04. doi:
- 10.1371/journal.pone.0247865. PubMed PMID: 33657142; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7928442.
- 88. Allen N, Ni Riain U, Conlon N, Ferenczi A, Carrion Martin AI, Domegan L, et al. Prevalence of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in Irish hospital healthcare workers. Epidemiol Infect. 2021:1-33. Epub 2021/04/28. doi: 10.1017/s0950268821000984. PubMed PMID: 33902786.
- 89. Al Maskari Z, Al Blushi A, Khamis F, Al Tai A, Al Salmi I, Al Harthi H, et al. Characteristics of healthcare workers infected with COVID-19, a cross-sectional observational

- study. Int J Infect Dis. 2020. Epub 2020/10/12. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.009. PubMed PMID: 33039607; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7543901.
- 90. Amendola A, Tanzi E, Folgori L, Barcellini L, Bianchi S, Gori M, et al. Low seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers of the largest children hospital in Milan during the pandemic wave. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2020:1-6. Epub 2020/08/08. doi: 10.1017/ice.2020.401. PubMed PMID: 32758311.
- 91. Barallat J, Fernández-Rivas G, Quirant-Sánchez B, González V, Doladé M, Martinez-Caceres E, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG specific antibodies among healthcare workers in the Northern Metropolitan Area of Barcelona, Spain, after the first pandemic wave. PLoS One. 2020;15(12):e0244348. Epub 2020/12/29. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0244348. PubMed PMID: 33370363; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7769254.
- 92. Baker JM, Nelson KN, Overton E, Lopman BA, Lash TL, Photakis M, et al. Quantification of occupational and community risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 Seropositivity among health care workers in a large U.S. health care system. Ann Intern Med. 2021. Epub 2021/01/30. doi: 10.7326/m20-7145. PubMed PMID: 33513035.
- 93. Bayle C, Cantin D, Vidal JS, Sourdeau E, Slama L, Dumesges N, et al. Asymptomatic SARS COV-2 carriers among nursing home staff: A source of contamination for residents? Infect Dis Now. 2021;51(2):197-200. Epub 2021/02/02. doi: 10.1016/j.idnow.2020.11.008. PubMed PMID: 33521774; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7834689.
- 94. Bouwman M, van Osch F, Crijns F, Trienekens T, Mehagnoul-Schipper J, van den Bergh JP, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in healthcare workers of a teaching hospital in a highly endemic region in the Netherlands after the first wave: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2021;11(10):e051573. Epub 2021/10/20. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-051573. PubMed PMID: 34663664.
- 95. Clifton GT, Pati R, Krammer F, Laing ED, Broder CC, Mendu DR, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection risk among active duty military members deployed to a field hospital New York City, April 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2021;70(9):308-11. Epub 2021/03/05. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7009a3. PubMed PMID: 33661864; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7948931
- 96. Cruz-Arenas E, Cabrera-Ruiz E, Laguna-Barcenas S, Colin-Castro CA, Chavez T, Franco-Cendejas R, et al. Serological prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection and associated factors in healthcare workers in a "non-COVID" hospital in Mexico City. PLoS One. 2021;16(8):e0255916. Epub 2021/08/13. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255916. PubMed PMID: 34383842; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8360585.
- 97. Dacosta-Urbieta A, Rivero-Calle I, Pardo-Seco J, Redondo-Collazo L, Salas A, Gómez-Rial J, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 among pediatric healthcare workers in Spain. Front Pediatr. 2020;8:547. Epub 2020/10/13. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.00547. PubMed PMID: 33042908; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7516980.
- 98. Dalla Volta A, Valcamonico F, Pedersini R, Fornaro C, Tovazzi V, Monteverdi S, et al. The spread of SARS-CoV-2 infection among the medical oncology staff of ASST Spedali Civili of Brescia: efficacy of preventive measures. Front Oncol. 2020;10:1574. Epub 2020/10/06. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.01574. PubMed PMID: 33014806; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7462005.
- 99. Davido B, Gautier S, Riom I, Landowski S, Lawrence C, Thiebaut A, et al. First wave of COVID-19 in hospital staff members of a tertiary care hospital in the greater Paris area: surveillance and risk factors study. Int J Infect Dis. 2021. Epub 2021/02/20. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2021.02.055. PubMed PMID: 33607301.

- 100. Ebinger JE, Botwin GJ, Albert CM, Alotaibi M, Arditi M, Berg AH, et al. Seroprevalence of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare workers: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2021;11(2):e043584. Epub 2021/02/14. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-043584. PubMed PMID: 33579769; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7883610.
- 101. Elfström KM, Blomqvist J, Nilsson P, Hober S, Pin E, Månberg A, et al. Differences in risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers. Prev Med Rep. 2021;24:101518. Epub 2021/08/31. doi: 10.1016/j.pmedr.2021.101518. PubMed PMID: 34458081; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8379088.
- 102. Eyre DW, Lumley SF, O'Donnell D, Campbell M, Sims E, Lawson E, et al. Differential occupational risks to healthcare workers from SARS-CoV-2 observed during a prospective observational study. eLife. 2020;9. Epub 2020/08/22. doi: 10.7554/eLife.60675. PubMed PMID: 32820721; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7486122.
- 103. Faíco-Filho KS, Carvalho JMA, Conte DD, de Souza Luna LK, Bellei N. COVID-19 in health care workers in a university hospital during the quarantine in São Paulo city. Braz J Infect Dis. 2020. Epub 2020/09/16. doi: 10.1016/j.bjid.2020.08.003. PubMed PMID: 32931758.
- 104. Fitzpatrick V, Rivelli A, Blair C, Copeland K, Richards J. Disparities in seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin antibodies in a large Midwestern health care system. Public Health Rep. 2021;136(3):361-7. Epub 2021/03/07. doi: 10.1177/0033354921999168. PubMed PMID: 33673779.
- 105. Fletcher JJ, Feucht EC, Hahn PY, McGoff TN, Dehart DJ, El Mortada ME, et al. Health care acquired COVID-19 is less symptomatic than community acquired disease among healthcare workers. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2021:1-28. Epub 2021/04/16. doi: 10.1017/ice.2021.167. PubMed PMID: 33853694.
- 106. Forrest CB, Xu H, Thomas LE, Webb LE, Cohen LW, Carey TS, et al. Impact of the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic on US healthcare workers: results from the HERO registry. J Gen Intern Med. 2021;36(5):1319-26. Epub 2021/03/12. doi: 10.1007/s11606-020-06529-z. PubMed PMID: 33694071; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7946335.
- 107. Fukuda H, Seyama K, Ito K, Ai T, Nojiri S, Hori S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in healthcare workers at a frontline hospital in Tokyo. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):8380. Epub 2021/04/18. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-87688-9. PubMed PMID: 33863960.
- 108. Fusco FM, Pisaturo M, Iodice V, Bellopede R, Tambaro O, Parrella G, et al. COVID-19 infections among healthcare workers in an infectious diseases specialized setting in Naples, Southern Italy: results of a cross-sectional surveillance study. J Hosp Infect. 2020. Epub 2020/06/23. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.06.021. PubMed PMID: 32565367.
- 109. Garralda Fernandez J, Molero Vilches I, Bermejo Rodríguez A, Cano Torres I, Colino Romay EI, García Arata I, et al. Impact of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic among health care workers in a secondary teaching hospital in Spain. PLoS One. 2021;16(1):e0245001. Epub 2021/01/15. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0245001. PubMed PMID: 33444392.
- 110. Goenka M, Afzalpurkar S, Goenka U, Das SS, Mukherjee M, Jajodia S, et al. Seroprevalence of COVID-19 amongst health care workers in a tertiary care hospital of a metropolitan city from India. J Assoc Physicians India. 2020;68(11):14-9. Epub 2020/11/14. PubMed PMID: 33187030.
- 111. Gupta R, Dwivedi T, Gajendra S, Sahoo B, Gupta SK, Vikas H, et al. Seroprevalence of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare workers & implications of infection control practice in India. Indian J Med Res. 2021. Epub 2021/03/02. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_3911_20. PubMed PMID: 33642348.

- 112. Guerrero-Torres L, Caro-Vega Y, Crabtree-Ramírez B, Sierra-Madero JG. Clinical characteristics and mortality of health-care workers with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in Mexico City. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73(1):e199-e205. Epub 2020/09/29. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1465. PubMed PMID: 32986118; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7543320.
- 113. Herzberg J, Vollmer T, Fischer B, Becher H, Becker AK, Sahly H, et al. Prospective sero-epidemiological evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 among health care workers in a German secondary care hospital. Int J Infect Dis. 2021;102:136-43. Epub 2020/10/20. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.026. PubMed PMID: 33075538; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7566663. 114. Hunter BR, Dbeibo L, Weaver CS, Beeler C, Saysana M, Zimmerman MK, et al. Seroprevalence of severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) antibodies among health care workers with differing levels of coronavirus disease 2010 (COVID 10) petiant.
- healthcare workers with differing levels of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patient exposure. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2020:1-2. Epub 2020/08/04. doi: 10.1017/ice.2020.390. PubMed PMID: 32741406.
- 115. Jacob JT, Baker JM, Fridkin SK, Lopman BA, Steinberg JP, Christenson RH, et al. Risk factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity among US health care personnel. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(3):e211283. Epub 2021/03/11. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.1283. PubMed PMID: 33688967.
- 116. Jeremias A, Nguyen J, Levine J, Pollack S, Engellenner W, Thakore A, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection among health care workers in a tertiary community hospital. JAMA Int Med. 2020. Epub 2020/08/12. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.4214. PubMed PMID: 32780100.
- 117. Jespersen S, Mikkelsen S, Greve T, Kaspersen KA, Tolstrup M, Boldsen JK, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence survey among 17,971 healthcare and administrative personnel at hospitals, pre-hospital services, and specialist practitioners in the Central Denmark Region. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. Epub 2020/10/05. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1471. PubMed PMID: 33011792.
- 118. Jones CR, Hamilton FW, Thompson A, Morris TT, Moran E. SARS-CoV-2 IgG seroprevalence in healthcare workers and other staff at North Bristol NHS Trust: A sociodemographic analysis. J Infect. 2020. Epub 2020/12/04. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.11.036. PubMed PMID: 33271169; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7704342.
- 119. Korth J, Wilde B, Dolff S, Anastasiou OE, Krawczyk A, Jahn M, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody detection in healthcare workers in Germany with direct contact to COVID-19 patients. J Clin Virol. 2020:104437. Epub 2020/05/15. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104437. PubMed PMID: 32405256; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7219425.
- 120. Krastinova E, Garrait V, Lecam MT, Coste A, Varon E, Delacroix I, et al. Household transmission and incidence of positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR in symptomatic healthcare workers, clinical course and outcome: a French hospital experience. Occup Environ Med. 2020. Epub 2020/12/06. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2020-106866. PubMed PMID: 33277384; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7722356.
- 121. Lackermair K, William F, Grzanna N, Lehmann E, Fichtner S, Kucher HB, et al. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 in primary care health care workers assessed by antibody testing. Fam Pract. 2020. Epub 2020/08/09. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmaa078. PubMed PMID: 32766704.
- 122. Lahner E, Dilaghi E, Prestigiacomo C, Alessio G, Marcellini L, Simmaco M, et al. Prevalence of Sars-Cov-2 infection in health workers (HWs) and diagnostic test performance: the experience of a teaching hospital in Central Italy. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(12). Epub 2020/06/25. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17124417. PubMed PMID: 32575505.

- 123. Lombardi A, Consonni D, Carugno M, Bozzi G, Mangioni D, Muscatello A, et al. Characteristics of 1,573 healthcare workers who underwent nasopharyngeal swab for SARS-CoV-2 in Milano, Lombardy, Italy. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020;Jun 19:S1198-743X(20)30354-2. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.07.20094276. PubMed Central PMCID: PMCID: PMC7305713.
- 124. Madsen JR, Nielsen JPS, Fogh K, Hansen CB, Nielsen PB, Lange T, et al. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity among medical students in Copenhagen. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2021;8(8):ofab273. Epub 2021/08/24. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofab273. PubMed PMID: 34423066; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8374058.
- 125. Martin C, Montesinos I, Dauby N, Gilles C, Dahma H, Van Den Wijngaert S, et al. Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR positivity and seroprevalence among high-risk healthcare workers and hospital staff. J Hosp Infect. 2020;106(1):102-6. Epub 2020/07/01. doi:
- 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.06.028. PubMed PMID: 32593608; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7316468.
- 126. Moncunill G, Mayor A, Santano R, Jiménez A, Vidal M, Tortajada M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and antibody kinetics among health care workers in a Spanish hospital after 3 months of follow-up. J Infect Dis. 2021;223(1):62-71. Epub 2020/11/12. doi:
- 10.1093/infdis/jiaa696. PubMed PMID: 33175145; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7717341.
- 127. Mukhtar A, Afishawy M, Alkhatib E, Hosny M, Yousef M, Amal Elsayed A, et al. Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers in a non-COVID-19 Teaching University Hospital. J Public Health Res. 2021. Epub 2021/04/03. doi: 10.4081/jphr.2021.2102. PubMed PMID: 33794599.
- 128. Murongazvombo AS, Jones RS, Rayment M, Mughal N, Azadian B, Donaldson H, et al. Association between SARS-CoV-2 exposure and antibody status among healthcare workers in two London hospitals: a cross-sectional study. Infect Prev Pract. 2021;3(3):100157. Epub 2021/07/29. doi: 10.1016/j.infpip.2021.100157. PubMed PMID: 34316587; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8217738.
- 129. Naesens R, Mertes H, Clukers J, Herzog S, Brands C, Vets P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence survey among health care providers in a Belgian public multiple-site hospital. Epidemiol Infect. 2021;149:e172. Epub 2021/08/11. doi: 10.1017/s0950268821001497. PubMed PMID: 34372955; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8365049.
- 130. Newberry JA, Gautreau M, Staats K, Carrillo E, Mulkerin W, Yang S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositivity and acute asymptomatic infection rate among firefighter first responders in an early outbreak county in California. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2021:1-10. Epub 2021/04/06. doi: 10.1080/10903127.2021.1912227. PubMed PMID: 33819128.
- 131. Nopsopon T, Pongpirul K, Chotirosniramit K, Jakaew W, Kaewwijit C, Kanchana S, et al. Seroprevalence of hospital staff in a province with zero COVID-19 cases. PLoS One. 2021;16(4):e0238088. Epub 2021/04/02. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238088. PubMed PMID: 33793556; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8016267.
- 132. Nygren D, Norén J, De Marinis Y, Holmberg A, Fraenkel CJ, Rasmussen M. Association between SARS-CoV-2 and exposure risks in health care workers and university employees a cross-sectional study. Infect Dis (Lond). 2021:1-9. Epub 2021/03/11. doi: 10.1080/23744235.2021.1892819. PubMed PMID: 33689558.
- 133. Olalla J, Correa AM, Martín-Escalante MD, Hortas ML, Martín-Sendarrubias MJ, Fuentes V, et al. Search for asymptomatic carriers of SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare workers during the pandemic: a Spanish experience. QJM. 2020. Epub 2020/08/11. doi: 10.1093/qjmed/hcaa238. PubMed PMID: 32777050.

- 134. Pereckaitė L, Dambrauskienė A, Urbonienė D, Sadauskas S, Petrikonis K, Naudžiūnas A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence in Healthcare Workers of Kaunas Hospitals during the First Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Medicina (Kaunas). 2021;57(2). Epub 2021/02/11. doi: 10.3390/medicina57020148. PubMed PMID: 33562085.
- 135. Pınarlık F, Genç Z, Kapmaz M, Tekin S, Ergönül Ö. Risk Groups for SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers: community versus hospital transmission. Infect Dis Rep. 2021;13(3):724-9. Epub 2021/08/28. doi: 10.3390/idr13030067. PubMed PMID: 34449648; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8395820.
- 136. Robles-Pérez E, González-Díaz B, Miranda-García M, Borja-Aburto VH. Infection and death by COVID-19 in a cohort of healthcare workers in Mexico. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2021;47(5):349-55. Epub 2021/06/01. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.3970. PubMed PMID: 34057188.
- 137. Rodriguez A, Arrizabalaga M, Fernandez-Baca V, Lainez MP, Al Nakeeb Z, Garcia JD, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibody among healthcare workers in a university hospital in Mallorca, Spain, during the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Infect Dis. 2021. Epub 2021/03/02. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2021.02.104. PubMed PMID: 33647507; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7910131.
- 138. Sandri MT, Azzolini E, Torri V, Carloni S, Pozzi C, Salvatici M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 serology in 4000 health care and administrative staff across seven sites in Lombardy, Italy. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):12312. Epub 2021/06/12. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-91773-4. PubMed PMID: 34112899; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8192543.
- 139. Schmitz D, Vos M, Stolmeijer R, Lameijer H, Schönberger T, Gaakeer MI, et al. Association between personal protective equipment and SARS-CoV-2 infection risk in emergency department healthcare workers. Eur J Emerg Med. 2020. Epub 2020/10/27. doi: 10.1097/mej.00000000000000766. PubMed PMID: 33105329.
- 140. Scohy A, Gruson D, Simon A, Kabamba-Mukadi B, De Greef J, Belkhir L, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in health care workers of a teaching hospital in Belgium: self-reported occupational and household risk factors for seropositivity. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2021;100(4):115414. Epub 2021/06/04. doi:
- 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2021.115414. PubMed PMID: 34082266; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8098032.
- 141. Scozzari G, Costa C, Migliore E, Coggiola M, Ciccone G, Savio L, et al. Prevalence, persistence, and factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositivity in a large cohort of healthcare workers in a tertiary care university hospital in northern Italy. Viruses. 2021;13(6). Epub 2021/07/03. doi: 10.3390/v13061064. PubMed PMID: 34205134; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8229066.
- 142. Shields AM, Faustini SE, Perez-Toledo M, Jossi S, Aldera EL, Allen JD, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in health care workers. medRxiv. 2020:2020.05.18.20105197. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.18.20105197.
- 143. Shields A, Faustini SE, Perez-Toledo M, Jossi S, Aldera E, Allen JD, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and asymptomatic viral carriage in healthcare workers: a cross-sectional study. Thorax. 2020;75(12):1089-94. Epub 2020/09/13. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-215414. PubMed PMID: 32917840; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7462045.
- 144. Stubblefield WB, Talbot HK, Feldstein L, Tenforde MW, Rasheed MAU, Mills L, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 among frontline healthcare personnel during the first month of caring for COVID-19 patients Nashville, Tennessee. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. Epub 2020/07/07. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa936. PubMed PMID: 32628750.

- 145. Talbot LR, Romeiser JL, Spitzer ED, Gan TJ, Singh SM, Fries BC, et al. Prevalence of IgM and IgG antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in health care workers at a tertiary care New York hospital during the Spring COVID-19 surge. Perioper Med (Lond). 2021;10(1):7. Epub 2021/03/03. doi: 10.1186/s13741-021-00177-5. PubMed PMID: 33648573; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7920632.
- 146. Varona JF, Madurga R, Peñalver F, Abarca E, Almirall C, Cruz M, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in over 6000 healthcare workers in Spain. Int J Epidemiol. 2021. Epub 2021/01/13. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyaa277. PubMed PMID: 33434269.
- 147. Venugopal U, Jilani N, Rabah S, Shariff MA, Jawed M, Mendez Batres A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among health care workers in a New York City hospital: A cross-sectional analysis during the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Infect Dis. 2021;102:63-9. Epub 2020/10/20. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.036. PubMed PMID: 33075539; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7566823.
- 148. von Freyburg A, Hagedorn H, Brucher B, Schmidt A, Scherer MA. [COVID-19 cluster study at a teaching hospital]. MMW Fortschritte der Medizin. 2020;162(9):64-7. Epub 2020/05/15. doi: 10.1007/s15006-020-0482-z. PubMed PMID: 32405834.
- 149. Wan KS, Tok PSK, Yoga Ratnam KK, Aziz N, Isahak M, Ahmad Zaki R, et al. Implementation of a COVID-19 surveillance programme for healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in an upper-middle-income country. PLoS One. 2021;16(4):e0249394. Epub 2021/04/15. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0249394. PubMed PMID: 33852588.
- 150. Yogo N, Greenwood KL, Thompson L, Wells P, Munday S, Smith T, et al. Point prevalence survey to evaluate the seropositivity for COVID-19 among high-risk healthcare workers. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2020:1-17. Epub 2020/12/16. doi: 10.1017/ice.2020.1370. PubMed PMID: 33317655.
- 151. Zheng L, Wang X, Zhou C, Liu Q, Li S, Sun Q, et al. Analysis of the infection status of the health care workers in Wuhan during the COVID-19 outbreak: A cross-sectional study. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. Epub 2020/05/16. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa588. PubMed PMID: 32409825.
- 152. Zhou F, Li J, Lu M, Ma L, Pan Y, Liu X, et al. Tracing asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 carriers among 3674 hospital staff:a cross-sectional survey. EClinicalMedicine. 2020:100510. Epub 2020/09/22. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100510. PubMed PMID: 32954232; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7490283.
- 153. Alishaq M, Nafady-Hego H, Jeremijenko A, Al Ajmi JA, Elgendy M, Vinoy S, et al. Risk factors for breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infection in vaccinated healthcare workers. PLoS One. 2021;16(10):e0258820. Epub 2021/10/16. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0258820. PubMed PMID: 34653228; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8519462 to this paper.
- 154. Çelebi G, Pişkin N, Bekleviç A, Altunay Y, Keleş AS, Tüz MA, et al. Specific risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 transmission among health care workers in a university hospital. Am J Infect Control. 2020. Epub 2020/08/11. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2020.07.039. PubMed PMID: 32771498; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7409872.
- 155. Chatterjee P, Anand T, Singh KJ, Rasaily R, Singh R, Das S, et al. Healthcare workers & SARS-CoV-2 infection in India: a case-control investigation in the time of COVID-19. Indian J Med Res. 2020;151(5):459-67. Epub 2020/07/03. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_2234_20. PubMed PMID: 32611916.
- 156. Haller S, Güsewell S, Egger T, Scanferla G, Thoma R, Leal-Neto OB, et al. Use of respirator vs. surgical masks in healthcare personnel and its impact on SARS-CoV-2 acquisition

- a prospective multicentre cohort study. medRxiv. 2021:2021.05.30.21258080. doi: 10.1101/2021.05.30.21258080.
- 157. Khalil MM, Alam MM, Arefin MK, Chowdhury MR, Huq MR, Chowdhury JA, et al. Role of personal protective measures in prevention of COVID-19 spread among physicians in Bangladesh: a multicenter cross-sectional comparative study. SN Compr Clin Med. 2020:1-7. Epub 2020/09/10. doi: 10.1007/s42399-020-00471-1. PubMed PMID: 32904377; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7454131.
- 158. Ganz-Lord FA, Segal KR. Job type, neighborhood prevalence, and risk of COVID-19 among healthcare workers in New York City. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2021:1-12. Epub 2021/04/16. doi: 10.1017/ice.2021.163. PubMed PMID: 33853700.
- 159. Wang M, Nie X, Huang S, Pi W, Wang D, Zhou M, et al. Epidemiological characteristics and transmission dynamics of paediatric cases with coronavirus disease 2019 in Hubei province, China. J Paediatr Child Health. 2020. Epub 2020/12/09. doi: 10.1111/jpc.15287. PubMed PMID: 33289923.