1	Supplementary Information
2	
3	Heterogenous Humoral and Cellular Immune Responses with Distinct
4	Trajectories Post-SARS-CoV-2 Infection in a Population-Based Cohort
5	
6	Dominik Menges <sup>1†</sup> , Kyra D. Zens <sup>1,2†</sup> , Tala Ballouz <sup>1†</sup> , Nicole Caduff <sup>1,2</sup> , Daniel Llanas-Cornejo <sup>1</sup> , Hélène E.
7	Aschmann <sup>1,3</sup> , Anja Domenghino <sup>1,4</sup> , Céline Pellaton <sup>5</sup> , Matthieu Perreau <sup>5</sup> , Craig Fenwick <sup>5</sup> , Giuseppe Pantaleo <sup>5</sup> ,
8	Christian R. Kahlert <sup>6,7</sup> , Christian Münz <sup>2</sup> , Milo A. Puhan <sup>1</sup> *, Jan S. Fehr <sup>1</sup>
9	
10	Affiliations:
11	<sup>1</sup> Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute (EBPI), University of Zurich (UZH), Zurich, Switzerland.
12	<sup>2</sup> Institute for Experimental Immunology, University of Zurich (UZH), Zurich, Switzerland.
13	<sup>3</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, USA.
14 15	<sup>4</sup> Department of Visceral and Transplantation Surgery, University Hospital Zurich (USZ), University of Zurich (UZH), Zurich, Switzerland.
16	<sup>5</sup> Service of Immunology and Allergy, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), University of Lausanne (UNIL),
17	Lausanne, Switzerland
18	<sup>6</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, Cantonal Hospital St. Gallen, St. Gallen, Switzerland
19	<sup>7</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, Children's Hospital of Eastern Switzerland, St. Gallen,
20	Switzerland
21	
22	* Corresponding author. Email: miloalan.puhan@uzh.ch.

23 <sup>+</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

24	Supp	lementary	<b>Figures</b>

- Supplementary Figure 1. Antibody positivity in overall population and subsample over time and validation of
   Luminex assay.
- Supplementary Figure 2. Neutralizing antibody and T cell positivity over time and estimation of T cell decaykinetics.
- 29 Supplementary Figure 3. Concordance of antibody and T cell positivity or negativity over time.
- 30 Supplementary Figure 4. Antibody, neutralizing antibody, and T cell responses, and cellular subsets within clusters.
- 31 Supplementary Figure 5. Study participant flow chart.
- 32 Supplementary Figure 6. Gating strategy for flow cytometric analyses.
- 33

### 34 Supplementary Tables

- 35 Supplementary Table 1. Study population characteristics.
- 36 Supplementary Table 2. Anti-S IgA and IgG antibody responses in the overall study population over time.
- 37 Supplementary Table 3. Antibody, neutralizing antibody, and T cell responses in the subsample over time.
- 38 Supplementary Table 4. Sensitivity analysis regarding anti-S IgA and IgG antibody responses, weighted by age
- 39 group.
- 40 Supplementary Table 5. Detailed results for antibody, neutralizing antibody, and T cell decay estimation.
- Supplementary Table 6. Association between demographic and clinical factors and anti-S IgG antibody responses
   over time.
- Supplementary Table 7. Association between demographic and clinical factors and anti-S IgA antibody responses
  over time.
- 45 Supplementary Table 8. Association between demographic and clinical factors and pooled T cell responses over46 time.
- Supplementary Table 9. Association between demographic and clinical factors and anti-S IgG antibody positivity at
  two weeks and six months.
- Supplementary Table 10. Association between demographic and clinical factors and overall T cell positivity at two
   weeks and six months.
- 51 Supplementary Table 11. Reference table for converting anti-S IgG MFI ratios to U/ml.



54 Supplementary Figure 1. Antibody positivity in overall population and subsample over time and validation of 55 Luminex assay. (a) Seropositivity for anti-S IgA and anti-S IgG antibodies over time in the overall study population 56 (total n=431) based on Luminex assay mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratios (using MFI ratio cutoff values of 6.5 57 for IgA and 6.0 for IgG). Points and error bars in panels a-b and d represent estimated proportions with associated 58 95% Wilson confidence intervals. W2: two weeks, M1: one month, M3: three months, M6: six months after diagnosis. (b) Seropositivity for anti-S IgA, anti-S IgG, and anti-N IgG antibodies over time as in panel a, for the 59 60 subsample selected for detailed analyses (total n=64). (c) Validation of antibody test results comparing Luminex 61 and Roche Elecsys assays. For each individual, results were categorized as positive (detectable response) or

62 negative (no detectable response) based on cutoff values of an MFI ratio of 6.0 for Luminex anti-S and anti-N IgG assays, a concentration of >0.8U/ml for the Roche Elecsys anti-S Ig assay, or a cutoff index (COI) value of 1.0 for the 63 64 Roche Elecsys N Ig assay. Percent agreement and Cohen's Kappa for anti-S Ig, anti-N Ig, and combined anti-S Ig or 65 anti-N Ig between assays are shown. (d) Seropositivity for anti-S Ig and anti-N Ig antibodies over time in the 66 subsample based on Roche Elecsys assays based on cutoff values of a concentration of >0.8U/ml for S Ig and a COI 67 value of 1.0 for N Ig. (e) Correlation of anti-S Ig and anti-N Ig antibody levels based on Luminex (MFI ratio) and 68 Roche Elecsys (U/ml or COI) assays. Blue lines represent regression lines for respective comparisons based on 69 unadjusted linear regression models. (f) Correlation of anti-S Ig results based on Luminex (MFI ratio) and Roche 70 Elecsys (U/ml) assays based on external cross-validations using samples of SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals of the 71 Lausanne University Hospital, Switzerland (n=298; individuals were not part of the reported population-based 72 study). Red line represents regression equation estimated for conversion of Luminex anti-S IgG MFI ratios to Roche 73 Elecsys anti-S Ig concentration in U/ml. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



76 Supplementary Figure 2. Neutralizing antibody and T cell positivity over time and estimation of T cell decay 77 kinetics. (a) Positivity for anti-Wildtype, anti-Delta and anti-Omicron neutralizing antibodies over time in 78 subsample (total n=64). Results were categorized as positive (detectable response) or negative (no detectable 79 response) based on an IC<sub>50</sub> cutoff value of 50 or higher. Points and error bars in panels a-c represent estimated 80 proportions with associated 95% Wilson confidence intervals. W2: two weeks, M1: one month, M3: three months, 81 M6: six months after diagnosis. (b) Positivity for neutralizing antibodies over time among subsample participants 82 that were tested seropositive for anti-S IgA, anti-S IgG, or anti-N IgG. (c) Positivity for SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells 83 over time among individuals in the subsample as assessed by ELISpot. Positive (detectable) T cell responses to

84 individual peptide pools (M, N, S1, or S2) were defined as a spot-forming unit (SFU) value of greater than 0. For 85 overall T cell responses, individuals were considered positive if they were positive to one or more peptide pools. 86 (d) Decay estimation for pooled T cell responses (summed M, N, S1, and S2 pool-specific SFU per 1e6 peripheral 87 blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)) based on mixed linear regression model. Lines and error bands in panels d-h 88 represent regression lines with associated 95% confidence intervals estimated using bootstrap. Dotted lines in panels d-h represent limit of detection cutoff (SFU values greater than 0). Adj. t<sub>1/2</sub>: half-life based on model 89 90 adjusted for time since diagnosis, age group, sex and symptom count, using a random intercept for each individual 91 in the study, Inf.: Infinity. (e) Decay estimation for M-specific T cells based on mixed linear regression model 92 (adjustment as in panel d). (f) Decay estimation for N-specific T cells based on mixed linear regression model 93 (adjustment as in panel d). (g) Decay estimation for S1-specific T cells based on mixed linear regression model 94 (adjustment as in panel d). (h) Decay estimation for S2-specific T cells based on mixed linear regression model 95 (adjustment as in panel d). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.









109 Supplementary Figure 4. Antibody, neutralizing antibody, and T cell responses, and cellular subsets within

110 clusters. (a) Results from antibody testing, neutralizing antibody testing, ELISpot and flow cytometric analyses in

- 111 the detailed subsample (n=64), stratified by cluster. Plots demonstrate results for anti-S IgA, anti-S IgG, and anti-N
- 112 IgG mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratios, anti-Omicron neutralizing antibody half maximal inhibitory
- 113 concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>), total peripheral blood monocytic cells (PBMCs) per ml blood, pooled T cell responses
- 114 (summed M, N, S1, and S2 spot-forming units (SFU) per 1e6 PBMCs), and M, N, S1, and S2 epitope pool-specific T
- 115 cells (SFU per 1e6 PBMCs). Boxplots represent median and interquartile range (IQR; whiskers: 1.5\*IQR) at

- respective timepoints. Dotted lines indicate limit of detection cutoffs (6.5 for IgA MFI ratios, 6.0 for IgG MFI ratios,
- 117 50 for half maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC<sub>50</sub>) for neutralizing activity, and SFU values greater than 0 for T cell
- responses). W2: two weeks, M1: one month, M3: three months, M6: six months after diagnosis. (b) Results from
- flow cytometric analyses stratified by cluster. Heatmap demonstrates the total number of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells,
- 120 B cells, Natural Killer (NK) cells, CD56<sup>+</sup>CD16<sup>+</sup> mature NK cells, and CD19<sup>+</sup>CD27<sup>+</sup> memory B cells per 1e6 PBMCs.
- 121 Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



124 Supplementary Figure 5. Study participant flow chart. Figure depicting the enrolment, selection and follow-up of

study participants.



128 Supplementary Figure 6. Gating strategy for flow cytometric analyses. Figure depicting the gating strategy

- applied for flow cytometric analyses.

# 132 Supplementary Table 1. Study population characteristics. Characteristics of the overall study sample (n=431) and

- 133 of the subsample selected for detailed analyses (n=64). Study participants were recruited between the 06<sup>th</sup> of
- 134 August 2020 and the 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2021. IQR: Interquartile Range, SD: Standard Deviation. Source data are

# 135 provided as a Source Data file.

	<b>Overall Study Population</b>	Subsample Participants	Participants not in the
			Subsample
	(N=431)	(N=64)	(N=367)
Age			
Mean (SD)	51.7 (18.3)	52.1 (18.9)	51.6 (18.3)
Median (IQR)	52.0 (35.0 to 68.0)	53.5 (33.5 to 68.0)	52.0 (35.0 to 68.0)
Range	18 to 88	18 to 87	18 to 88
Age group			
18-39 years	135 (31.3%)	21 (32.8%)	114 (31.1%)
40-64 years	144 (33.4%)	21 (32.8%)	123 (33.5%)
65+ years	152 (35.3%)	22 (34.4%)	130 (35.4%)
Sex			
female	212 (49.2%)	36 (56.2%)	176 (48.0%)
male	219 (50.8%)	28 (43.8%)	191 (52.0%)
Symptom count at diagnosis			
Asymptomatic	76 (17.6%)	20 (31.2%)	56 (15.3%)
1-5 symptoms	163 (37.8%)	17 (26.6%)	146 (39.8%)
≥6 symptoms	192 (44.5%)	27 (42.2%)	165 (45.0%)
Symptom count at diagnosis			
Mean (SD)	5.1 (3.8)	5.2 (5.4)	5.1 (3.5)
Median (IQR)	5.0 (2.0 to 7.0)	4.0 (0.0 to 8.5)	5.0 (3.0 to 7.0)
Range	0 to 20	0 to 20	0 to 16
Self-reported symptom severity at diagnosis			
None	74 (17.3%)	20 (31.7%)	54 (14.8%)
Mild to moderate	281 (65.7%)	30 (47.6%)	251 (68.8%)
Severe to very severe	73 (17.1%)	13 (20.6%)	60 (16.4%)
Missing	3 (0.7%)	1 (1.6%)	2 (0.5%)
Hospitalization within first 2 weeks			
No	413 (95.8%)	53 (82.8%)	360 (98.1%)
Yes	18 (4.2%)	11 (17.2%)	7 (1.9%)
ICU admission within first 2 weeks			
No	429 (99.5%)	63 (98.4%)	366 (99.7%)
Yes	2 (0.5%)	1 (1.6%)	1 (0.3%)
Vaccinated at 6 months follow-up			
No	351 (81.4%)	57 (89.1%)	294 (80.1%)
Yes	80 (18.6%)	7 (10.9%)	73 (19.9%)

Reinfected at 6 months follow-up			
No	428 (99.3%)	64 (100.0%)	364 (99.2%)
Yes	3 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (0.8%)
Smoking status			
Non-smoker	261 (61.3%)	29 (46.0%)	232 (63.9%)
Ex-smoker	106 (24.9%)	20 (31.7%)	86 (23.7%)
Smoker	59 (13.8%)	14 (22.2%)	45 (12.4%)
Missing	5 (1.2%)	1 (1.6%)	4 (1.1%)
Body mass index			
Mean (SD)	24.5 (4.1)	25.4 (4.5)	24.3 (4.0)
Missing	5 (1.2%)	1 (1.6%)	4 (1.1%)
Comorbidities			
No	300 (69.6%)	44 (68.8%)	256 (69.8%)
Yes	131 (30.4%)	20 (31.2%)	111 (30.2%)
Immune suppression			
No	410 (97.4%)	61 (98.4%)	349 (97.2%)
Yes	11 (2.6%)	1 (1.6%)	10 (2.8%)
Missing	10 (2.3%)	2 (3.1%)	8 (2.2%)
Employment			
Employed	258 (59.9%)	37 (57.8%)	221 (60.2%)
Student	22 (5.1%)	4 (6.2%)	18 (4.9%)
Retired	124 (28.8%)	18 (28.1%)	106 (28.9%)
Unemployed or other	27 (6.3%)	5 (7.8%)	22 (6.0%)
Education			
None or mandatory school	18 (4.2%)	4 (6.2%)	14 (3.8%)
Vocational training or specialized baccalaureate	179 (41.5%)	27 (42.2%)	152 (41.4%)
Higher technical school or college	113 (26.2%)	14 (21.9%)	99 (27.0%)
University	121 (28.1%)	19 (29.7%)	102 (27.8%)
Nationality			
Swiss	376 (87.2%)	53 (82.8%)	323 (88.0%)
Non-Swiss	55 (12.8%)	11 (17.2%)	44 (12.0%)

### 137 Supplementary Table 2. Anti-S IgA and IgG antibody responses in the overall study population over time.

138 Detailed test results for anti-S IgA, anti-S IgG, or combined anti-S antibody responses in the full study population

139 (total n=431) at two weeks, one month, three months and six months after diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

140 Antibody responses were measured as mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratios compared to pre-pandemic

seronegative control samples using a Luminex-based assay. For each individual, results were positive (detectable

response) or negative (no detectable response) based on MFI ratio cutoff values of 6.5 for IgA and 6.0 for IgG. Data

143 measured after vaccination or known reinfection were omitted for immune trajectory analyses. As a reference for

144 other studies, anti-S IgG MFI ratios were converted into U/ml using a formula derived from cross-validation studies

- 145 using Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Ig testing (Supplementary Fig. 1f). IQR: Interquartile Range, SD: Standard
- 146 Deviation. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

		Overall Stud	y Population	
	2 Weeks	1 Month	3 Months	6 Months
	(N=403)	(N=421)	(N=418)	(N=334)
Anti-S IgA				
negative	70 (17.4%)	83 (19.7%)	130 (31.1%)	99 (29.6%)
positive	333 (82.6%)	338 (80.3%)	288 (68.9%)	235 (70.4%)
Anti-S IgA (MFI ratio)				
Mean (SD)	137.4 (242.4)	75.1 (132.0)	38.0 (90.1)	39.5 (149.2)
Median (IQR)	65.1 (18.8 to 154.8)	36.0 (9.9 to 77.7)	12.7 (5.1 to 35.8)	12.8 (4.8 to 31.1)
Range	1 to 3216	1 to 1179	1 to 1204	1 to 2058
Anti-S IgG				
negative	71 (17.6%)	69 (16.4%)	67 (16.0%)	62 (18.6%)
positive	332 (82.4%)	352 (83.6%)	351 (84.0%)	272 (81.4%)
Anti-S IgG (MFI ratio)				
Mean (SD)	38.7 (33.9)	44.0 (37.7)	41.5 (37.5)	27.6 (27.3)
Median (IQR)	32.2 (11.8 to 57.9)	37.1 (16.1 to 61.8)	30.4 (13.6 to 60.5)	21.1 (8.2 to 36.4)
Range	1 to 158	1 to 185	1 to 187	1 to 156
Anti-S IgG (MFI ratio converted				
to U/ml for Roche Elecsys anti-S				
lg)				
Mean (SD)	689.2 (1044.4)	873.1 (1352.3)	812.1 (1316.2)	394.7 (791.7)
Median (IQR)	277.0 (41.2 to 875.6)	365.2 (73.1 to 995.9)	248.5 (53.1 to 954.2)	121.8 (21.2 to 351.4)
Range	1 to 6452	1 to 8787	1 to 8978	1 to 6234
Anti-S IgA or IgG				
negative	61 (15.1%)	66 (15.7%)	64 (15.3%)	51 (15.3%)
positive	342 (84.9%)	355 (84.3%)	354 (84.7%)	283 (84.7%)

148 Supplementary Table 3. Antibody, neutralizing antibody, and T cell responses in subsample over time. Detailed 149 test results for anti-S IgA or IgG and anti-N IgG antibodies, anti-Wildtype, anti-Delta, and anti-Omicron neutralizing 150 antibodies, as well as M, N, S1, and S2 pool-specific T cell responses in the subsample selected for detailed 151 analyses (total n=64) at two weeks, one month, three months and six months after diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 152 infection. Antibody responses were measured using a Luminex-based assay (results expressed as mean 153 fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratios compared to pre-pandemic seronegative control samples) as well as Roche 154 Elecsys anti-S and anti-N Ig assays (results expressed as U/ml or cutoff index (COI), respectively). For each 155 individual, results were considered positive (detectable response) or negative (no detectable response) based on 156 cutoff values of an MFI ratio of 6.5 for IgA and 6.0 for IgG (Luminex), or on cutoff values of >0.8U/ml for anti-S Ig 157 and a COI value of 1.0 for anti-N Ig (Roche Elecsys). Neutralizing antibody responses were quantified as the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>), with positive or negative results defined by a cutoff value of 50 or higher. 158 159 Positive T cell responses to individual peptide pools (M, N, S1, or S2) were defined as a spot-forming unit (SFU) 160 value of greater than 0. For overall T cell responses, individuals were considered positive if they were positive to 161 one or more peptide pools. IQR: Interquartile Range, SD: Standard Deviation. Source data are provided as a Source 162 Data file.

		Subsample	Participants	
	2 Weeks	1 Month	3 Months	6 Months
	(N=59)	(N=64)	(N=64)	(N=56)
Luminex-based Assay				
Anti-S IgA				
negative	24 (40.7%)	25 (39.1%)	28 (43.8%)	22 (39.3%)
positive	35 (59.3%)	39 (60.9%)	36 (56.2%)	34 (60.7%)
Anti-S IgA (MFI ratio)				
Mean (SD)	201.9 (446.2)	97.5 (155.8)	44.0 (70.0)	70.5 (275.2)
Median (IQR)	82.1 (1.0 to 274.9)	41.0 (1.0 to 120.8)	12.4 (1.0 to 49.8)	16.2 (1.0 to 47.5)
Range	1 to 3216	1 to 646	1 to 407	1 to 2058
Anti-S IgG				
negative	24 (40.7%)	25 (39.1%)	22 (34.4%)	19 (33.9%)
positive	35 (59.3%)	39 (60.9%)	42 (65.6%)	37 (66.1%)
Anti-S IgG (MFI ratio)				
Mean (SD)	37.2 (39.4)	41.0 (43.6)	45.2 (49.2)	31.6 (33.2)
Median (IQR)	24.0 (1.0 to 73.5)	33.2 (1.0 to 72.6)	29.6 (1.0 to 64.8)	19.2 (1.0 to 47.0)
Range	1 to 129	1 to 159	1 to 187	1 to 120
Anti-N IgG				

negative	27 (45.8%)	26 (41.3%)	29 (45.3%)	33 (58.9%)
positive	32 (54.2%)	37 (58.7%)	35 (54.7%)	23 (41.1%)
Missing	0 (0%)	1 (1.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Anti-N IgG (MFI ratio)				
Mean (SD)	16.7 (17.1)	17.3 (16.4)	15.2 (16.7)	8.1 (8.8)
Median (IQR)	8.4 (1.0 to 31.4)	13.6 (1.0 to 31.4)	8.2 (1.0 to 23.8)	4.3 (1.0 to 12.9)
Range	1 to 48	1 to 46	1 to 57	1 to 39
Missing	0 (0%)	1 (1.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Anti-S IgA or IgG				
negative	24 (40.7%)	25 (39.1%)	22 (34.4%)	19 (33.9%)
positive	35 (59.3%)	39 (60.9%)	42 (65.6%)	37 (66.1%)
Anti-S IgG or anti-N IgG				
negative	23 (39.0%)	24 (37.5%)	22 (34.4%)	19 (33.9%)
positive	36 (61.0%)	40 (62.5%)	42 (65.6%)	37 (66.1%)
Anti-S IgA or IgG or anti-N IgG				
negative	23 (39.0%)	24 (37.5%)	22 (34.4%)	19 (33.9%)
positive	36 (61.0%)	40 (62.5%)	42 (65.6%)	37 (66.1%)
Roche Elecsys Assay				
Anti-S Ig				
negative	24 (42.1%)	24 (38.7%)	23 (35.9%)	18 (32.1%)
positive	33 (57.9%)	38 (61.3%)	41 (64.1%)	38 (67.9%)
Missing	2 (3.4%)	2 (3.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Anti-S lg (U/ml)				
Mean (SD)	49.2 (190.4)	128.3 (315.0)	272.6 (636.4)	320.1 (691.4)
Median (IQR)	2.6 (0.4 to 19.2)	12.2 (0.4 to 99.8)	28.9 (0.4 to 165.8)	33.7 (0.4 to 201.9
Range	0 to 1398	0 to 1764	0 to 3487	0 to 3712
Missing	2 (3.4%)	2 (3.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Anti-N Ig				
negative	26 (45.6%)	26 (41.9%)	25 (39.1%)	19 (33.9%)
positive	31 (54.4%)	36 (58.1%)	39 (60.9%)	37 (66.1%)
Missing	2 (3.4%)	2 (3.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Anti-N Ig (COI)				
Mean (SD)	16.7 (27.2)	33.4 (45.6)	50.2 (63.3)	34.1 (48.5)
Median (IQR)	2.1 (0.1 to 20.1)	7.3 (0.1 to 51.4)	16.8 (0.1 to 86.0)	8.4 (0.1 to 47.5)
Range	0 to 108	0 to 154	0 to 190	0 to 184
Missing	2 (3.4%)	2 (3.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Neutralization Assays				
Anti-Wildtype neutralizing activity				
negative	35 (60.3%)	33 (55.0%)	40 (69.0%)	38 (76.0%)
positive	23 (39.7%)	27 (45.0%)	18 (31.0%)	12 (24.0%)
Missing	1 (1.7%)	4 (6.3%)	6 (9.4%)	6 (10.7%)
Anti-Wildtype neutralizing activity (IC50)				
Mean (SD)	164.3 (422.7)	221.3 (692.5)	71.2 (135.0)	33.4 (45.6)
Median (IQR)	39.2 (1.1 to 126.7)	42.5 (1.0 to 113.8)	22.6 (2.4 to 64.2)	14.0 (1.9 to 47.5

Range	0 to 2550	0 to 4892	0 to 753	0 to 194
Missing	1 (1.7%)	4 (6.3%)	6 (9.4%)	6 (10.7%)
Anti-Delta neutralizing activity				
negative	50 (86.2%)	51 (85.0%)	53 (91.4%)	49 (98.0%)
positive	8 (13.8%)	9 (15.0%)	5 (8.6%)	1 (2.0%)
Missing	1 (1.7%)	4 (6.3%)	6 (9.4%)	6 (10.7%)
Anti-Delta neutralizing activity (IC50)				
Mean (SD)	41.8 (134.6)	62.9 (241.1)	20.0 (40.5)	10.4 (16.1)
Median (IQR)	5.1 (1.0 to 22.6)	5.1 (1.0 to 25.7)	4.9 (1.0 to 19.8)	2.9 (1.0 to 14.5)
Range	0 to 977	0 to 1813	0 to 211	0 to 83
Missing	1 (1.7%)	4 (6.3%)	6 (9.4%)	6 (10.7%)
Anti-Omicron neutralizing activity				
negative	57 (98.3%)	56 (98.2%)	48 (98.0%)	41 (100.0%)
positive	1 (1.7%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (2.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Missing	1 (1.7%)	7 (10.9%)	15 (23.4%)	15 (26.8%)
Anti-Omicron neutralizing activity (IC $_{50}$ )				
Mean (SD)	4.6 (20.3)	3.4 (8.3)	4.4 (10.0)	2.4 (3.4)
Median (IQR)	1.0 (0.9 to 1.2)	1.0 (1.0 to 2.1)	1.0 (1.0 to 3.1)	1.0 (1.0 to 2.8)
Range	0 to 152	0 to 56	0 to 64	0 to 17
Missing	1 (1.7%)	7 (10.9%)	15 (23.4%)	15 (26.8%)
ELISpot T Cell Assays				
M-specific T cells				
negative	11 (19.6%)	28 (43.8%)	28 (43.8%)	29 (52.7%)
positive	45 (80.4%)	36 (56.2%)	36 (56.2%)	26 (47.3%)
Missing	3 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.8%)
N-specific T cells				
negative	15 (26.8%)	28 (43.8%)	28 (43.8%)	27 (49.1%)
positive	41 (73.2%)	36 (56.2%)	36 (56.2%)	28 (50.9%)
Missing	3 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.8%)
S1-specific T cells				
negative	15 (26.8%)	27 (42.2%)	28 (43.8%)	30 (54.5%)
positive	41 (73.2%)	37 (57.8%)	36 (56.2%)	25 (45.5%)
Missing	3 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.8%)
S2-specific T cells				
negative	16 (28.6%)	24 (37.5%)	22 (34.4%)	28 (50.9%)
positive	40 (71.4%)	40 (62.5%)	42 (65.6%)	27 (49.1%)
Missing	3 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.8%)
Overall (M, N, S1, or S2 epitope pool-				
specific) T cells				
negative	9 (16.1%)	13 (20.3%)	12 (18.8%)	16 (29.1%)
positive	47 (83.9%)	51 (79.7%)	52 (81.2%)	39 (70.9%)
Missing	3 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.8%)

# 164 Supplementary Table 4. Sensitivity analysis regarding anti-S IgA and IgG antibody responses, weighted by age

165 group. Positivity for anti-S IgA, IgG, and either anti-S IgA or IgG (using MFI ratio cutoff values of 6.5 for IgA and 6.0

166 for IgG), based on the primary analysis (unweighted) and based on a sensitivity analysis applying weighting by age

167 groups based on the age group distribution among all identified cases in the Canton of Zurich with a diagnosed

168 SARS-CoV-2 infection during the study timeframe. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

		Assay Positivity			
	W2	M1	M3	M6	
	(N=404)	(N=421)	(N=418)	(N=334)	
Unweighted Analysis					
Anti-S IgA	82.6%	80.3%	68.9%	70.4%	
Anti-S IgG	82.4%	83.6%	84.0%	81.4%	
Anti-S IgA or IgG	84.9%	84.3%	84.7%	84.7%	
Analysis Weighted by Age Strata					
Anti-S IgA	81.3%	78.1%	66.6%	69.6%	
Anti-S IgG	80.9%	82.4%	82.9%	80.6%	
Anti-S IgA or IgG	83.4%	83.1%	83.6%	83.8%	

169

# 171 Supplementary Table 5. Detailed results for antibody, neutralizing antibody, and T cell decay estimation.

172 Unadjusted and adjusted estimated half-lives (in days) for all immune parameters evaluated in this study based on

173 repeated-measures mixed linear regression models. The adjusted half-life was estimated by adjusting for time

174 from diagnosis to maximum mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratio (for anti-S IgA, anti-S IgG, and anti-N IgG), time

175 from diagnosis to maximum half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) value (for neutralization assays) or time

since diagnosis (for T cell testing), as well as age group, sex and symptom count, using a random intercept for each

individual in the study. CI: Confidence Interval, Inf.: Infinity. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Measure	Unadjusted Half-Life	Adjusted Half-Life
	days (95% CI)	days (95% Cl)
Overall Study Population (total n=431)		
Anti-S IgA (Luminex)	70 (65–76)	71 (66–76)
Anti-S IgG (Luminex)	144 (134–155)	145 (135–156)
Subsample (total n=64)		
Anti-S IgA (Luminex)	70 (58–88)	71 (59–90)
Anti-S IgG (Luminex)	143 (112–198)	142 (111–197)
Anti-N lgG (Luminex)	86 (76–98)	86 (76–99)
Anti-S Ig (Roche Elecsys)	178 (91–3638)	186 (93–Inf.)
Anti-N lg (Roche Elecsys)	97 (69–164)	96 (68–160)
Anti-Wildtype SARS-CoV-2	70 (55–94)	70 (55–94)
Anti-Delta SARS-CoV-2	46 (33–76)	46 (33–77)
Anti-Omicron SARS-CoV-2	not estimable	not estimable
Pooled T Cells	163 (84–3318)	161 (83–2810)
M-specific T cells	138 (79–522)	138 (79–524)
N-specific T cells	258 (112–Inf.)	251 (110–Inf.)
S1-specific T cells	136 (80–447)	137 (81–448)
S2-specific T cells	379 (133–Inf.)	382 (134–Inf.)

178

### 180 Supplementary Table 6. Association between demographic and clinical factors and anti-S IgG antibody responses

181 over time. Results from univariable (unadjusted) and multivariable (adjusted) repeated-measures mixed linear

182 regression analyses assessing the association of demographic and clinical variables with natural logarithm-

183 transformed anti-S IgG mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratios in the overall study population (total n=431).

184 Random intercepts were used for each individual in the study. The multivariable model was adjusted for age

185 group, sex, symptom severity based on symptom count and time from diagnosis to testing. BMI: Body Mass Index,

100 CI. Commucifice interval, ref. Nerefence. Jource data are provided as a Jource Data	Juice data are provided as a source Data file
---	---

		Univariable Analys	sis	Multivariable Ana	lysis
Variable	_	Coefficient (95% CI)	P-value	Coefficient (95% CI)	P-value
Age group	)				
	18-39 years	ref		ref	
	40-64 years	0.04 (-0.29 to 0.37)	0.81	0.10 (-0.21 to 0.42)	0.53
	65+ years	0.70 (0.36 to 1.03)	<0.001	0.78 (0.47 to 1.10)	<0.001
Sex					
	Female	ref		ref	
	Male	0.25 (-0.02 to 0.53)	0.074	0.34 (0.08 to 0.60)	0.011
Symptom	severity (based on symptom count)				
	Asymptomatic	ref		ref	
	1-5 symptoms	0.62 (0.24 to 1.00)	0.002	0.66 (0.29 to 1.03)	<0.001
	≥6 symptoms	1.15 (0.77 to 1.52)	<0.001	1.28 (0.92 to 1.65)	<0.001
Hospitaliz	ation within first 2 weeks				
	Non-hospitalized	ref		ref	
	Hospitalized	1.46 (0.75 to 2.17)	<0.001	0.95 (0.27 to 1.62)	0.006
Smoking s	tatus				
	Non-Smoker	ref		ref	
	Ex-Smoker	0.09 (-0.24 to 0.41)	0.61	-0.04 (-0.35 to 0.27)	0.79
	Smoker	-0.53 (-0.93 to -0.12)	0.012	-0.50 (-0.88 to -0.12)	0.01
вмі					
	Per unit increase	0.03 (-0.01 to 0.06)	0.10	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.05)	0.37
Comorbid	ities				
	None	ref		ref	
	At least one	0.25 (-0.05 to 0.56)	0.10	-0.02 (-0.32 to 0.28)	0.92
Immune s	uppression				
	None	ref		ref	
	At least one	-0.03 (-0.89 to 0.84)	0.95	-0.28 (-1.07 to 0.52)	0.50

188 Supplementary Table 7. Association between demographic and clinical factors and anti-S IgA antibody responses

189 over time. Results from univariable (unadjusted) and multivariable (adjusted) repeated-measures mixed linear

190 regression analyses assessing the association of demographic and clinical variables with natural logarithm-

191 transformed anti-S IgA mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratios in the overall study population (total n=431).

192 Random intercepts were used for each individual in the study. The multivariable model was adjusted for age

193 group, sex, symptom severity based on symptom count and time from diagnosis to testing. BMI: Body Mass Index,

Variable		Univariable Analysis		Multivariable Analysis	
		Coefficient (95% CI)	P-value	Coefficient (95% CI)	P-value
Age group					
	18-39 years	ref		ref	
	40-64 years	0.30 (-0.07 to 0.66)	0.11	0.34 (-0.02 to 0.69)	0.063
	65+ years	0.82 (0.45 to 1.19)	<0.001	0.82 (0.46 to 1.17)	<0.001
Sex					
	Female	ref		ref	
	Male	0.44 (0.14 to 0.74)	0.005	0.52 (0.22 to 0.81)	<0.001
Symptom	severity (based on symptom count)				
	Asymptomatic	ref		ref	
	1-5 symptoms	0.39 (-0.04 to 0.82)	0.078	0.46 (0.04 to 0.87)	0.031
	≥6 symptoms	0.86 (0.44 to 1.28)	<0.001	1.05 (0.64 to 1.46)	<0.001
Hospitaliza	ation within first 2 weeks				
	Non-hospitalized	ref		ref	
	Hospitalized	1.36 (0.57 to 2.15)	<0.001	0.87 (0.10 to 1.63)	0.026
Smoking s	tatus				
	Non-Smoker	ref		ref	
	Ex-Smoker	0.13 (-0.23 to 0.49)	0.48	-0.06 (-0.41 to 0.30)	0.75
	Smoker	-0.47 (-0.92 to -0.02)	0.042	-0.43 (-0.85 to 0.00)	0.051
BMI					
	Per unit increase	0.04 (0.00 to 0.08)	0.041	0.01 (-0.02 to 0.05)	0.49
Comorbidi	ities				
	None	ref		ref	
	At least one	0.29 (-0.05 to 0.63)	0.093	-0.02 (-0.36 to 0.32)	0.91
Immune si	uppression				
	None	ref		ref	
	At least one	0.07 (-0.87 to 1.02)	0.88	-0.15 (-1.05 to 0.75)	0.75

#### 196 Supplementary Table 8. Association between demographic and clinical factors and pooled T cell responses over

197 time. Results from univariable (unadjusted) and multivariable (adjusted) repeated-measures mixed linear

198 regression analyses assessing the association of demographic and clinical variables with natural logarithm-

transformed pooled T cell responses (summed M, N, S1, and S2 spot-forming units (SFU) per 1e6 peripheral blood

200 mononuclear cells (PBMCs)) in the subsample selected for detailed analyses (total n=64). Random intercepts were

201 used for each individual in the study. The multivariable model was adjusted for age group, sex, symptom severity

based on symptom count and time from diagnosis to testing. BMI: Body Mass Index, CI: Confidence Interval, ref:

203 Reference. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

	Univariable Analysis		Multivariable Analysis	
Variable	Coefficient (95% CI)	P-value	Coefficient (95% CI)	P-value
Age group				
18-39 years	ref		ref	
40-64 years	0.27 (-0.63 to 1.18)	0.55	0.23 (-0.57 to 1.03)	0.57
65+ years	1.45 (0.50 to 2.41)	0.003	1.58 (0.70 to 2.46)	<0.001
Sex				
Female	ref		ref	
Male	0.04 (-0.79 to 0.87)	0.92	0.11 (-0.57 to 0.79)	0.75
Symptom severity (based on symptom co	unt)			
Asymptomatic	ref		ref	
1-5 symptoms	0.17 (-0.79 to 1.13)	0.72	0.73 (-0.19 to 1.64)	0.12
≥6 symptoms	1.45 (0.56 to 2.34)	0.002	1.76 (0.94 to 2.58)	<0.001
Hospitalization within first 2 weeks				
Non-hospitalized	ref		ref	
Hospitalized	1.24 (0.14 to 2.34)	0.028	-0.08 (-1.18 to 1.03)	0.89
Smoking status				
Non-Smoker	ref		ref	
Ex-Smoker	1.23 (0.33 to 2.12)	0.008	0.98 (0.20 to 1.77)	0.015
Smoker	0.49 (-0.51 to 1.50)	0.33	0.43 (-0.43 to 1.29)	0.32
ВМІ				
Per unit increase	0.00 (-0.09 to 0.09)	0.96	-0.01 (-0.09 to 0.07)	0.88
Comorbidities				
None	ref		ref	
At least one	0.99 (0.11 to 1.88)	0.029	0.42 (-0.41 to 1.25)	0.32
Immune suppression				
None	ref		ref	
At least one	-0.57 (-3.73 to 2.58)	0.72	-0.70 (-3.40 to 2.01)	0.61

205 Supplementary Table 9. Association between demographic and clinical factors and anti-S IgG antibody positivity 206 at two weeks and six months. Results from multivariable (adjusted) mixed logistic regression analyses assessing 207 the association of demographic and clinical variables with anti-S IgG antibody positivity (defined as a mean 208 fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratio above 6.0) in the overall study population (total n=431) at two weeks and six 209 months after diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Models were adjusted for age group, sex, symptom severity based on symptom count and time from diagnosis to testing. Odds ratios for hospitalization could not be meaningfully 210 211 estimated since all hospitalized participants were tested antibody positive. BMI: Body Mass Index, CI: Confidence 212 Interval, OR: Odds Ratio, n.e.: Not estimated, ref: Reference. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

		Anti-S IgG Positi	Anti-S IgG Positivity		Anti-S IgG Positivity		
		at 2 Weeks		at 6 Months			
Variable		OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value		
Age grou	p						
	18-39 years	ref		ref			
	40-64 years	0.85 (0.45 to 1.61)	0.62	0.89 (0.47 to 1.69)	0.72		
	65+ years	1.87 (0.91 to 3.95)	0.093	1.56 (0.70 to 3.68)	0.29		
Sex							
	Female	ref		ref			
	Male	1.98 (1.15 to 3.48)	0.015	1.62 (0.91 to 2.95)	0.11		
Sympton	n severity (based on sympto	om count)					
	Asymptomatic	ref		ref			
	1-5 symptoms	1.87 (0.97 to 3.62)	0.062	1.35 (0.63 to 2.83)	0.43		
	≥6 symptoms	6.25 (2.94 to 13.72)	<0.001	4.23 (1.88 to 9.67)	<0.001		
Hospitali	zation within first 2 weeks						
	Non-hospitalized	ref		ref			
	Hospitalized	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.		
Smoking	status						
	Non-Smoker	ref		ref			
	Ex-Smoker	0.62 (0.31 to 1.26)	0.18	0.76 (0.37 to 1.65)	0.48		
	Smoker	0.24 (0.12 to 0.51)	<0.001	0.34 (0.16 to 0.74)	0.006		
вмі							
	Per unit increase	0.99 (0.92 to 1.07)	0.72	0.97 (0.90 to 1.06)	0.51		
Comorbi	dities						
	None	ref		ref			
	At least one	0.82 (0.43 to 1.59)	0.56	1.15 (0.57 to 2.45)	0.70		
Immune	suppression						
	None	ref		ref			
	At least one	0.66 (0.15 to 4.72)	0.63	0.57 (0.12 to 4.17)	0.52		

214 Supplementary Table 10. Association between demographic and clinical factors and overall T cell positivity at 215 two weeks and six months. Results from multivariable (adjusted) mixed logistic regression analyses assessing the 216 association of demographic and clinical variables with overall T cell positivity (defined as as a spot-forming unit 217 (SFU) value of greater than 0 to one or more peptide pools (M, N, S1, or S2)). in the subsample selected for 218 detailed analyses (total n=64) at two weeks and six months after diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Models were adjusted for age group, sex, symptom severity based on symptom count and time from diagnosis to testing. Odds 219 220 ratios for immune suppression could not be meaningfully estimated. BMI: Body Mass Index, CI: Confidence 221 Interval, OR: Odds Ratio, n.e.: Not estimated, ref: Reference. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Variable		Overall T Cell Positivity at 2 Weeks		Overall T Cell Positivity at 6 Months	
		Age gro	oup		
	18-39 years	ref		ref	
	40-64 years	0.34 (0.03 to 2.58)	0.31	0.95 (0.22 to 4.12)	0.95
	65+ years	2.08 (0.16 to 28.91)	0.57	0.72 (0.13 to 4.18)	0.71
Sex					
	Female	ref		ref	
	Male	2.80 (0.54 to 18.86)	0.24	0.73 (0.19 to 2.77)	0.64
Sympto	om severity (based on symptom co	ount)			
	Asymptomatic	ref		ref	
	1-5 symptoms	5.92 (0.81 to 66.09)	0.10	1.30 (0.25 to 6.78)	0.75
	≥6 symptoms	11.08 (1.17 to 192.65)	0.057	4.22 (0.88 to 23.09)	0.079
Hospita	alization within first 2 weeks				
	Non-hospitalized	ref		ref	
	Hospitalized	n.e.	n.e.	5.13 (0.39 to 167.54)	0.27
Smokin	ng status				
	Non-Smoker	ref		ref	
	Ex-Smoker	0.73 (0.05 to 9.14)	0.80	27.89 (3.15 to 738.56)	0.011
	Smoker	0.03 (0.00 to 0.42)	0.029	3.00 (0.44 to 28.21)	0.28
BMI					
	Per unit increase	0.95 (0.80 to 1.14)	0.60	0.91 (0.77 to 1.06)	0.23
Comor	bidities				
	None	ref		ref	
	At least one	2.82 (0.40 to 28.35)	0.32	3.84 (0.59 to 38.59)	0.19
Immun	e suppression				
	None	ref		ref	
	At least one	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.

- 223 Supplementary Table 11. Reference table for converting anti-S IgG MFI ratios to U/ml. Equivalence values
- between the Luminex-based mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ratios and U/ml based on the Roche Elecsys Anti-
- 225 SARS-CoV-2 S Ig immunoassay, derived from validation studies (Supplementary Fig. 1f). Limit of detection cut-off

for IgG MFI ratios for Luminex assay is 6.0.

Luminex	Roche Elecsys Anti-S Ig (U/ml)		
Anti-S lgG			
(MFI Ratio)			
1	1		
4	6		
6	12		
10	30		
25	170		
50	656		
100	2585		
150	5795		
200	10289		