Symptoms	Model 1				Ν	Nodel 2			Model 3				
Symptoms	Effects	Estimate (SE)	95%CI	p	Effects	Estimate (SE)	95%CI	p	Effects	Estimate (SE)	95%Cl	p	
	Baseline	36.32 (1.82)	32.75,39.89		Baseline	36.32 (1.82)	32.76, 39.89						
	Time post- intervention	-3.15 (1.87)	-6.82, 0.52	0.093	Time post-intervention (both groups)	-3.29 (1.39)	-6.01, -0.58	0.018					
Overall	Time follow-up	-3.86 (2.07)	-7.91, 0.20	0.062	Time follow-up	-4.16 (1.52)	-7.14, -1.18	0.006					
	Group×time post- intervention	-0.30 (2.61)	-5.42, 4.82	0.909	-								
	Group×time follow-up	-0.62 (2.90)	-6.29, 5.05	0.831	-								
	Baseline	5.64 (0.45)	4.76, 6.52		Baseline	5.64 (0.45)	4.76, 6.52						
	Time post- intervention	-0.80 (0.47)	-1.72, 0.12	0.088	Time post-intervention (both groups)	-0.51 (0.35)	-1.20, 0.19	0.153					
Positive factor [†]	Time follow-up	-1.05 (0.53)	-2.08, -0.02	0.046	Time follow-up (both groups) (both groups)	-1.09 (0.39)	-1.85, -0.34	0.005					
	Group×time post- intervention	0.63 (0.66)	-0.67, 1.93	0.340	-								
	Group×time follow-up	-0.07 (0.73)	-1.50, 1.36	0.925	-								
	Baseline	9.31 (0.75)	7.84, 10.78		Baseline	9.30 (0.75)	7.84- 10.77						
	Time post- intervention	0.11 (0.72)	-1.53, 1.31	0.877	Time post-intervention (both groups)	-0.49 (0.54)	-1.55- 0.57	0.365					
Negative factor	Time follow-up	-0.07 (0.80)	-1.63, 1.50	0.931	Time follow-up (both groups)	-0.37 (0.59)	-1.53- 0.79	0.532	Baseline, post-intervention & follow-up (stable scores in both groups)	9.05 (0.70)	7.68,10.43		
	Group×time post- intervention	-0.80 (1.02)	-2.79, 1.21	0.437	-				(3				
	Group×time follow-up	-0.63 (1.13)	-2.85, 1.58	0.576	-								

Table S1 Efficiency analyses, mixed effects models, intention-to-treat: The main models that were tested for each factor, taking non-significant factors out of the model until the best fit models in bold.

	Baseline	4.42 (0.35)	3.74, 5.10		Baseline	4.42 (0.35)	3.74, 5.09					
Disorganized factor	Time post- intervention	0.33 (0.45)	-0.55, 1.20	0.464	Time post-intervention (both groups)	0.13 (0.34)	-0.54, 0.81	0.700	Baseline,			
	Time follow-up	0.27 (0.50)	-0.71, 1.24	0.596	Time follow-up (both groups)	0.21 (0.37)	-0.53, 0.94	0.582	post-intervention & follow-up (stable scores in both groups)	4.51 (0.30)	3.93, 5.09	
	Group×time post- intervention	-0.41 (0.61)	-1.60, 0.78	0.502	-							
	Group×time follow-up	-0.13 (0.67)	-1.45, 1.19	0.851	-							
	Baseline	1.97 (0.23)	1.52, 2.41		Baseline	1.97 (0.23)	1.52, 2.42					
	Time post- intervention	-1.15 (0.27)	-0.68, 0.39	0.591	Time post-intervention	-0.01 (0.21)	-0.42, 0.40	0.952	Baseline, post-intervention &			
Excited	Time follow-up	-0.68 (0.31)	-1.28,-0.09	0.025	Time follow-up	-0.41 (0.23)	-0.85, 0.04	0.074		1.87 (0.20)	1.47, 2.26	
factor	Group×time post- intervention	0.29 (0.38)	-0.45, 1.03	0.446					follow-up (stable scores in both groups)			
	Group×time follow-up	0.57 (0.42)	-0.25, 1.39	0.173								
	Baseline	3.92 (0.31)	3.32, 4.52		Baseline	3.97 (0.28)	3.41, 4.52		Baseline	3.97 (0.28)	3.41, 4.52	
	Time post- intervention	0.08 (0.38)	-0.67, 0.82	0.844								
Depressed factor	Time follow-up	0.23 (0.42)	-0.60, 1.06	0.585								
	Group×time post- intervention	-1.23 (0.53)	-2.26, -0.20	0.019	Group×time post-intervention	-1.18 (0.40)	-1.97, -0.39	0.003	Group×time post intervention & follow-up [‡]		4 74 0 05	0.000
	Group×time follow-up	-1.05 (0.58)	-2.18, 0.08	0.069	Group×time follow-up	-0.84 (0.43)	-1.68, -0.00	0.050	Significantly larger reduction in HIIT compared to AVG).	-1.03 (0.35)	-1.71, -0.35	0.003
	Baseline	1.12 (0.09)	0.94, 1.30		Baseline	1.12 (0.08)	0.95, 1.28		Baseline	1.12 (0.08)	0.95, 1.28	
	Time post- intervention	0.06 (0.13)	-0.19, 0.32	0.630								
CDSS [§] (In)	Time follow-up	0.09 (0.14)	-0.37, 0.19	0.534								
	Group×time post- intervention	-0.20 (0.17)	-0.54, 0.15	0.262	Group×time post-intervention	-0.13 (0.13)	-0.39, 0.13	0.320	Group×time post intervention & follow-up [‡]	-0.21 (0.12)	-0.43, 0.02	0.067
	Group×time follow-up	-0.22 (0.19)	-0.60, 0.16	0.256	Group×time follow-up	-0.31 (0.14)	-0.59, 0.03	0.032				
	l											

		Baseline	29.74 (1.18)	27.42,32.05		Baseline	29.74 (1.17)	27.45,32.03					
VO _{2max} ¶		Time post- intervention	-0.32 (0.70)	-1.69, 1.06	0.652					Baseline &	29.91 (1.16)	27.64,32.19	
	VO _{2max} ¶	Time follow-up	0.54 (0.80)	-1.03, 2.12	0.501					post-intervention			
		Group×time post- intervention	1.22 (1.02)	-0.79, 3.22	0.233	Group×time post-intervention	0.90 (0.76)	-0.59, 2.39	0.235				
		Group×time follow-up	-2.50 (-1.13)	-4.72, -0.27	0.028	Group×time follow-up	-1.96 (0.82)	-3.55,-0.36	0.016	Group×time follow-up	-2.37 (0.74)	-3.82, -0.92	0.001

Note. Estimated coefficient. SE= Standard error. Baseline was accepted as equal for both groups .Time (baseline=0, post-intervention=1, follow-up=2). Group (AVG=0/ HIIT=1). Group x time post-intervention (time=1, group=HIIT), group x time follow-up (time=2/ group=HIIT)

Non-significant variables were taken out of the model, and the models were compared by using Likelihood ratio test or AIC as suited, until reaching the best fit model for each variable. Overall symptoms (total PANSS): Model 1 vs model 2, likelihood ratio test *p*=0.98

[†]Five factor model of PANSS (Wallwork)

Positive factor: Model 1 vs model 2 likelihood ratio test p=0.56

Negative factor: Model 1 vs model 2 likelihood ratio test p=0.30 Disorganized factor: Model 1 vs model 2 likelihood ratio test p=0.72, model 2 vs model 3 likelihood ratio test p=0.65 Disorganized factor: Model 1 vs model 2 likelihood ratio test p=0.80, model 2 vs model 3 likelihood ratio test p=0.85 Expressed factor: Model 1 vs model 2 likelihood ratio test p=0.38, model 2 vs model 3 likelihood ratio test p=0.15

Depressed factor: Model 1 vs model 2 likelihood ratio test p=0.86, model 2 AIC=940 vs model 3 AIC=938.

[‡] post-intervention and follow up were merged into a single time point (time after baseline) as no changes were detected in either group between these two time points

[§]CDSS: Calgary Depressive Scale for Schizophrenia

[¶]VO2max: Maximum oxygen uptake