Supplementary figure 4: Mobilization by ambulation and walking distance.

	ACB			FNB			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.17.1 24h.									
Elkassabany et al 2016	24.33	17.8	31	15.83	5.82	31	8.6%	8.50 [1.91, 15.09]	+
Klement et al 2019	138.3	150.1	118	46.67	70.38	146	8.1%	91.63 [62.24, 121.02]	
Kukreja 2019	70.2	66.94	43	48.44	45.76	41	8.2%	21.76 [-2.66, 46.18]	 • •
Li et al 2016	21.63	16.6	24	19.36	17.02	27	8.6%	2.27 [-6.97, 11.51]	+
Ludwigson et al 2015	173.33	116	148	108	128	149	8.1%	65.33 [37.55, 93.11]	
Machi et al 2015	75.64	76.76	39	11.53	21.89	41	8.2%	64.11 [39.10, 89.12]	_
Mudumbani 2016	45.7	21.3	48	6.1	10.68	46	8.6%	39.60 [32.83, 46.37]	+
Patterson et al 2014	29.6	43.29	35	10.33	19.21	41	8.5%	19.27 [3.77, 34.77]	
Rassmussen et al 2014	80.38	71.19	23	39.04	47.9	22	7.9%	41.34 [6.03, 76.65]	
Shah et al 2014	302.1	35.59	48	122.6	18.18	50	8.6%	179.50 [168.24, 190.76])
Thacher et al 2017	53.8	87.88	150	57.97	87.22	129	8.4%	-4.17 [-24.77, 16.43]	 -
thobhani et al 2017	44.88	58.92	22	17.11	19.17	23	8.2%	27.77 [1.93, 53.61]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			729			746	100.0%	46.32 [13.77, 78.87]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 3187.73; Chi² = 786.09, df = 11 (P < 0.00001); l² = 99%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.79	9 (P = 0.005)	·							
1.17.2 48h.									
Elkassabany et al 2016 6	9.16666667	48.57	31	48	14.77	31	15.1%	11.17 [-6.70, 29.04]	+-
Klement et al 2019	126.7	105.1	118	108.3	131	146	11.3%	18.40 [-10.08, 46.88]	+•-
Kukreja 2019	129	92.31	43	106.4	83.39	41	8.6%	22.60 [-14.99, 60.19]	+
Li et al 2016	55.84	16.21	24	51.84	13.85	27	18.1%	4.00 [-4.33, 12.33]	+
Ludwigson et al 2015	263.7	137	148	218.8	156.8	149	9.7%	44.90 [11.42, 78.38]	
Machi et al 2015	194.7	69.71	39	144.2	87.56	41	9.4%	50.50 [15.90, 85.10]	
Rassmussen et al 2014	147.3	86.28	23	72.18	72.83	22	6.7%	75.12 [28.54, 121.70]	
Thacher et al 2017	85.26	105.1	150	102.3	105.2	129	12.6%	-17.04 [-41.79, 7.71]	
thobhani et al 2017	78.22	72.38	22	83.89	57.61	23	8.5%	-5.67 [-44.00, 32.66]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			598			609	100.0%	17.97 [3.08, 32.86]	*
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 299.99; Chi² = 24.86, df = 8 (P = 0.002); l² = 68%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.37 (P = 0.02)									
1.17.3 Day 3 (72 Hours)									
Li et al 2016	78.77297	16.99475	24	67.25722	14.23885	27	53.1%	11.52 [2.85, 20.18]	=
Machi et al 2015	144.5035	107.3017	39	54.95203	63.32462	41	46.9%	89.55 [50.70, 128.41]	_
Subtotal (95% CI)			63			68	100.0%	48.14 [-28.19, 124.47]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2838.50; Chi ² = 14.76, df= 1 (P = 0.0001); l ² = 93%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.24 (P = 0.22)									
								-	100 50 100
									-100 -50 0 50 100
									Favours [FNB] Favours [ACB]