

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

GLOBAL, REGIONAL, AND NATIONAL TRENDS IN STATIN UTILIZATION IN HIGH INCOME AND LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES, 2015-2020

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-061350
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Jan-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Guadamuz, Jenny; University of Southern California, School of Pharmacy Shooshtari, Andrew; University of Southern California School of Pharmacy, Titus Family Department of Clinical Pharmacy Qato, Dima; University of Southern California School of Pharmacy, Titus Family Department of Clinical Pharmacy; University of Southern California
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Ischaemic heart disease < CARDIOLOGY





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

GLOBAL, REGIONAL, AND NATIONAL TRENDS IN STATIN UTILIZATION IN HIGH INCOME AND LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES, 2015-2020

Jenny S. Guadamuz, PhD¹⁻² Andrew Shooshtari¹ Dima M Qato, PharmD, MPH, PhD¹⁻²

- 1. Program on Medicines and Public Health, Titus Family Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of Southern California School of Pharmacy, Los Angeles, California, USA
- 2. Leonard D. Schaeffer Center for Health Policy and Economics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA

Correspondence

Int. Dima M Qato, PharmD, MPH, PhD USC School of Pharmacy 1985 Zonal Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90089 Phone: (323) 442-1392 Email: <u>gato@usc.edu</u>

Length Text 2768; abstract 252; references 26, figures 3; tables 1; supplemental materials 2.

Abstract

Background

Prior studies have reported inequitable global access to essential medicines for cardiovascular disease (CVD) prevention, especially statins. We examine recent trends and disparities in statin utilization at the income group, regional, and country levels.

Methods

Pharmaceutical sales data was used to examine statin utilization in 41 high-income counties (HICs) and 50 low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) from 2015 to 2020. Utilization was measured using defined daily doses (DDD) per 1000 population \geq 40 years per day (TPD). Fixed-effects panel regression analysis was used to examine associations between statin utilization and country-level factors.

Findings

Globally, statin utilization increased $24 \cdot 7\%$ from $54 \cdot 7$ DDD/TPD in 2015 to 68.3 DDD/TPD in 2020. However, regional and income group disparities persisted during this period. In 2020, statin utilization was more than six-times higher in HICs than LMIC (192·4 vs. 28·4 DDD/TDP, p<0.01). Substantial disparities were also observed between LMICs, ranging from $3 \cdot 1$ DDDs/TDPs in West African nations to 225.0 DDD/TDP in Lebanon in 2020. While statin utilization increased in most LMIC between 2015 to 2020, several experienced declines in utilization, most notably Venezuela (-85·1%, from 92·3 to 14·0 DDD/TPD). In LMICs, every \$100 increase in per capita health spending was associated with a 17% increase in statin utilization, while every 10% increase in out-of-pocket health spending was associated with a 11% decline (both p<0.05).

Interpretation

Despite global increases in statin utilization, there are substantial regional and country-level disparities between HIC and LMICs. To address global CVD disparities, policymakers should promote increased and equitable access to statins in LMICs.

Strengths and Limitations

Strength: Pharmaceutical sales data was used to examine statin utilization in 41 high-income counties (HICs) and 50 low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). These countries represent approximately 90% of the global population older than 40 years of age.

Limitations:

- Comparisons between regions, income groups, and countries should be interpreted in the context of the available data and total market coverage of the included countries.
- IQVIA does not provide sales data for most low-income countries; therefore, this study may underestimate the magnitude of statin utilization disparities between HICs and LMICs.
- Relationships between changes in statin utilization and country-level characteristics are not casual.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD)—primarily ischemic heart disease (IHD)—causes approximately one-third of deaths worldwide.¹ While age-standardized CVD mortality rates have declined globally, the number of deaths due to CVD has increased from 12.1 million in 1990 to 18.6 million in 2019¹ and substantial regional, income group, and country level disparities exist.^{2,3} For example, age-standardized CVD mortality rates were lowest in high-income countries (HICs) in Asia-Pacific, Europe, and North America and highest in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in Eastern Europe, Middle East and North Africa, and South Asia.⁴ Moreover, the CVD burden has increased in nearly every LMIC during the past three decades.¹ Currently, LMICs account for approximately 80% of global CVD deaths.²

Medicines, alongside lifestyle changes such as diet, exercise, and smoking cessation, are a cornerstone of CVD prevention.⁵ Statins (HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors) are particularly important because they are widely recommended for primary and secondary prevention—that is, among adults with and without known CVD.^{5–7} Statins have been included in the World Health Organization (WHO) Model Essential Medicines List (EML)—used to develop national EMLs that guide public procurement—since 2007.⁸ Despite statins steadily losing patent protections throughout the world since 2006,⁸ only 60% of LMICs include these medicines in their EMLs as of 2017.⁹ As medicines included in EMLs have higher availability in the private and public sectors,¹⁰ these policies—as well as differences in income, health spending, and disease burden—may result in global disparities in statin utilization.

While utilization of preventative cardiovascular medicines, including statins, has increased globally in the past decade, large disparities exist.^{11,12} For example, a study using pharmaceutical sales data from 65 countries found that consumption of cardiovascular medicines was approximately six-times higher in HICs than in LMICs in 2018.¹¹ A separate study using sales data from 83 countries found that consumption of lipid-lowering medicines was at least three-times higher in HICs than in LMICs in 2018.¹² However, these studies do not focus on the population at greatest need, adults older than 40 years,^{6,7} nor do they evaluate country-level factors associated with statin utilization. Furthermore, an updated analysis of statin utilization is imperative considering the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic that has caused severe disruptions to the pharmaceutical supply chain and the provision of healthcare.^{13–15}

This study used global pharmaceutical sales data to estimate statin utilization per population aged 40 years and older in 91 countries from 2015 to 2020. Disparities across and within regions and income groups were examined over time, including in the six months prior to and following the start of the COVID-19 pandemic. To inform global efforts to improve access to essential medicines, we also examined the extent to which country-level factors, such as gross domestic product (GDP), health spending, and underlying IHD burden, are associated with statin utilization.

Methods

Design and Data Sources

We conducted a cross-sectional study examining trends and disparities in statin utilization in 91 countries using pharmaceutical sales data collected by IQVIA (Multinational Integrated Data

BMJ Open

Analysis System, MIDAS) from January 2015 to October 2020. These countries represent approximately 90% of the global population older than 40 years of age.¹⁶ IQVIA samples pharmaceutical sales from multiple distribution channels (*e.g.*, manufacturers, wholesalers, and medical facilities) to develop nationally representative estimates of retail and non-retail pharmaceutical sales in each country.¹⁷ If necessary, IQVIA projects its samples to represent 100% of the retail and non-retail sales in each country and reports >90% global precision in recent years.¹⁷ However, IQVIA does not publicly disclose detailed information on data collection, projection, and validation.

Several sources were used to further characterize these countries. Countries were grouped based on their income and geographical regions per the World Bank (2020).¹⁸ Population estimates and age-standardized IHD mortality rates were obtained from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) (2015-2019).¹⁶ Health expenditures (total, public, out-of-pocket) were also obtained from the World Bank (2015-2018).¹⁹ We projected the values of these estimates through 2020 by applying the county-specific growth rates observed from 2015 to 2018 or 2019 (depending on data availability). Finally, whether statins were included in national essential medicine lists was determined, for reference, using the Global Essential Medicines database (2017).⁹

Measuring Statin Utilization

We extracted country-level dispensing for WHO Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical codes relating to statins (C10AA). As IQVIA does not report country-specific data for six countries in Centra America (Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Panama) and twelve countries in West Africa (Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of Congo, Gabon, Guinea, Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo), we examined these countries in aggregate. We examined total market data, or retail and non-retail statin sales, for 52 countries (eTable A). In the 23 countries/groups that lack non-retail sector data, utilization was estimated by interpolation, using the ratio of statin consumption in the retail and non-retail sectors for other countries in their region for which data was available (85% of all statins were dispensed through the retail sector).

To enable international comparisons over time, we converted statin sales (expressed in milligrams) into defined daily doses (DDDs) using the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System developed by the WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology.²⁰ To account for differences in population size and age distribution, we report statin utilization as DDDs per 1000 population \geq 40 years per day (TPD) for each country. Global, regional, and income group statin utilizations in DDD/TPD were derived by aggregating statin sale and population estimates.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to examine trends and disparities in statin utilization from 2015 to 2020. Simple linear regressions were used to determine statistical significance in trends and disparities. Changes in statin utilization in the pre- (October 2019 to March 2020) and post-COVID-19 (April 2020 to October 2020) periods were also evaluated.

Fixed-effects panel regression analysis was used to quantify the association between economic and health indicators and the statin utilization from 2015 to 2020. Annual, country-level statin

utilization estimates were logged in these models to enable interpretation as percent change associated with each unit of the independent variables examined. The independent variables included time-varying health expenditure per capita, out-of-pocket health expenditure (as a percentage of total expenditure), and age-standardized IHD mortality rate. Errors were clustered by year and country to account for serial correlation.

All *p*-values are two-sided. STATA version 17.1 was used for all statistical analyses.

This study was considered exempt by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Southern California because this study was not considered human subjects research.

Patient and public involvement No patient involved.

RESULTS

As shown in **Figure 1**, global statin utilization increased $24 \cdot 7\%$ from $54 \cdot 7$ DDD/TPD in 2015 to $68 \cdot 3$ DDD/TPD ($p < 0 \cdot 01$). While statin utilization increased, to varying degrees, in all regions and income groups (all p < 0.05), disparities persisted. In 2020, statin utilization was highest in North America and Europe ($279 \cdot 7$ and $159 \cdot 9$ DDD/TPD, respectively) and substantially lower in Latin America, MENA, East Asia, South Asia, and sub-Saharan Africa ($66 \cdot 1$, $64 \cdot 1$, $29 \cdot 3$, $16 \cdot 1$, and $24 \cdot 7$ DDD/TPD, respectively). From 2015 to 2020, statin utilization increased substantially in HICs ($19 \cdot 3\%$ from $161 \cdot 3$ to $192 \cdot 4$ DDD/TPD) and LMICs ($57 \cdot 9\%$ from $18 \cdot 0$ to $28 \cdot 4$ DDD/TPD). However, disparities by income group remained throughout this period—by 2020, statin utilization was seven times greater in HICs than in LMICs.

Figure 2 depicts country-specific variation in statin utilization. From 2015 to 2020, statin utilization increased or remained stable in most HICs, except Singapore ($125 \cdot 2$ to $95 \cdot 0$, $-24 \cdot 1\%$, $p=0\cdot 27$), the United Arab Emirates ($104 \cdot 4$ to $83 \cdot 9$, $-19 \cdot 7\%$, $p=0 \cdot 02$), Luxembourg ($216 \cdot 6$ to $185 \cdot 3$, $-14 \cdot 4\%$, $p<0 \cdot 01$, and New Zealand ($295 \cdot 4$ to $256 \cdot 0$, $-13 \cdot 4\%$, $p=0 \cdot 12$). HICs located in North America and Europe have substantially higher statin utilization than comparable countries located in other regions. For example, in 2020, statin utilization in HICs ranged from over 300 DDD/TPD in Denmark, Canada, and United Kingdom to less than 50 DDD/TPD in Japan, Chile, and Kuwait.

From 2015 to 2020, statin utilization increased by more than 10% in nearly all LMICs. Exceptions included India (12·9 to 14·1, 9·1%, p=0.02), Malaysia (57·8 to 60·0, 3·9%, p=0.41), Ecuador (20·2 to 20·9, 3·6%, p=0.09), and Jordan (28·6 to 27·1, -5·4%, p=0.20), where utilization remained relatively stable, and Venezuela, where utilization sharply declined (92·3 to 13·8, -85·1%, p<0.01). Several LMICs had higher statin utilization than the global average in 2020, including Lebanon, Algeria, Brazil, Thailand, and South Africa (224·9, 111·8, 109·3, 96·8, and 85·4 DDD/TPD, respectively). Statin utilization is lower than 34 DDD/TPD (approximately half the global average) in 35 LMICs, including some of the most populous nations, such as China, India, Indonesia, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Mexico.

Table 1 presents factors associated with changes in statin utilization. In HICs, only health expenditure per capita was significantly and positively associated with statin utilization. In

LMICs, every \$100 increase in health expenditure per capita was associated with a 17% increase in utilization, while every 10% increase in out-of-pocket health expenditure (as percentage of total health expenditure) was associated with a 11% decline in utilization (both p < 0.05). Greater rates of IHD mortality were also positively associated with more statin utilization in LMICs.

Compared with the pre–COVID-19 period, statin utilization declined by more than $5 \cdot 0\%$ in 41 countries, including 19 HICs and 22 LMICs (**Figure 3**). Severe disruptions in statin utilization or $\geq 10\%$ decline—were found in 13 HICs, including Australia ($327 \cdot 5$ to $265 \cdot 2$ DDD/TPD, -19.0%), the United Arab Emirates ($92 \cdot 7$ to $77 \cdot 8$ DDD/TPD, $-16 \cdot 1\%$), and Germany ($180 \cdot 6$ to $160 \cdot 6$ DDD/TPD, $-11 \cdot 1\%$) (**eTable B**). Some of the most severe disruptions in statin utilization were observed among LMICs, including in Tunisia ($76 \cdot 5$ to $52 \cdot 7$ DDD/TPD, $-31 \cdot 1\%$), Vietnam ($23 \cdot 3$ to $17 \cdot 2$ DDD/TPD, $-26 \cdot 3\%$), Ukraine ($32 \cdot 1$ to $26 \cdot 1$ DDD/TPD, $-18 \cdot 7\%$), and Mexico ($28 \cdot 4$ to $23 \cdot 8$ DDD/TPD, $-16 \cdot 3\%$).

DISCUSSION

Using a global database, representing approximately 90% of the global population older than 40 years of age,¹⁶ we found persistent disparities across regions, income groups, and countries in statin utilization which may contribute to worsening disparities in CVD mortality. While global statin utilization has increased 25% from 2015 to 2020, statin utilization remains higher in the "global north" (*e.g.*, North America, Europe, and Oceania) and in HICs than countries in other regions and LMICs.⁴ In 2020, statin utilization was seventeen times higher in North America versus South Asia—the region with the highest age-adjusted CVD mortality rate in the world⁴—and seven times higher in HICs versus LMICs—that are experiencing a near universal increase in the number of CVD deaths.¹

Statin utilization is also substantially lower in countries with disproportionately high agestandardized CVD mortality rates, namely LMICs in South Asia, MENA, and sub-Saharan Africa.⁴ The WHO Global Non-Communicable Disease (NDC) Action Plan 2013-2020 aimed for a 25% reduction in premature deaths from NDC, especially CVD, from 2010 to 2025 by ensuring that at least half of adults at high CVD risk receive cardiovascular medicines and that 80% of public and private facilities have these essential medicines available on-site.²¹ Growth in statin utilization in LMICs was concentrated among those countries with worsening IHD mortality—suggesting reactionary policies for the management of CVD morbidity and mortality versus preventative strategies for the provision of essential medicines. Together, our findings suggest that global efforts to reduce the burden of CVD need to be strengthened—statin utilization remains inequitable and suboptimal in LMICs, including those with worsening rates of CVD mortality.

Importantly, there is a substantial gap between CVD burden and statin utilization between HIC and LMICs. For example, statin utilization is very low (less than half the global average) in 70% of the LMICs examined, yet account for 68% of the global population of middle aged and older adults and 55% CVD deaths worldwide.¹⁶ Forty-two percent of CVD deaths occur in China (25%), India (14%), and Indonesia (4%),¹⁶ all of whom have very low statin utilization and together account for less than 11% of statins dispensed in the world. From 2015 to 2019, the IHD mortality rate has declined or remained stable in most LMICs, with notable exceptions of Bangladesh (5%), Malaysia (5%), and Venezuela (10%) that have experienced substantial

BMJ Open

increases in recent years.¹⁶ These countries, with worsening IHD mortality, have comparatively low statin utilization in given their regional and income group averages. However, Venezuela has also experienced substantial declines in statin utilization in this period—aggravating the IHD burden experienced by its populace.

Among LMICs, we found that every \$100 increase in per capita health spending was associated with a 17% increase in statin utilization and that every 10% increase in the proportion of out-ofpocket health spending was associated with an 11% decline. These findings suggest that policy efforts to address global disparities in statin utilization, may need to increase health spending while shifting the burden of health spending from individuals to the public sector (either via the direct provision of healthcare and medicines or via insurance schemes). Unfortunately, public investment in health (as measured by government health spending as a percentage of total expenditures) has declined in LMICs during the last two decades.²² Only high-income and uppermiddle countries have seen moderate increases in government health spending,²² countries that consume a disproportionate share of statins. Out-of-pocket spending as a share of total health spending has remained stubbornly high in LMICs (above 40% and twice the percentage in HICs) during this period.²² International aid—a major source of health spending in LMICs—is disproportionately directed to communicable diseases,²² and these policies may aggravate global disparities in the use of essential medicines, including statins, and hinder efforts to reduce CVD mortality. International aid for health, which could alleviate costs of essential medicines for governments and the public, has also stagnated since 2013.²²

Economic or political crises, which are often followed by sharp declines in total health spending, may also impact access to essential medicines. The starkest example is Venezuela, where statin utilization declined 85% between 2015 to 2020. The ongoing sociopolitical crisis began in 2010 and has spiraled into a sustained period of hyperinflation, a 75% decline in health spending, as well as widespread and chronic shortages of essential medicines in the past decade.^{19,23}

Pharmaceutical supply chain disruptions have resulted from slowdowns in the production of medicines that impact domestic and international markets, transportation hurdles, and restrictions on movement (internationally and domestically and by providers and patients).²⁴ For example, early in the pandemic, active pharmaceutical ingredient production in China was severely curtailed—leading to shortages and delays in the production of medicines throughout the world, including in the United States, the European Union, and India.²⁵

During the first six months of COVID-19 pandemic, statin utilization declined by more than 5% in 41 countries. As a whole, HICs experienced greater declines in statin utilization during the post-COVID-19 period (-2% versus -4% observed worldwide). Perhaps, because HICs had more severe restrictions on movement to mitigate COVID-19 spread than LMICs early in the pandemic.²⁶ However, the most severe disruptions in individual countries occurred in LMICs, which may be more vulnerable to supply chain disruptions. Several countries in Eastern Europe (*e.g.*, Serbia, Bosnia, Belarus, and Ukraine), Southeast Asia (*e.g.*, Thailand, Malaysia, the Philippines, Vietnam, and Indonesia), and MENA (*e.g.*, Tunisia and Jordan) saw dramatic declines in statin utilization, as did West Africa as a region and Mexico. Global COVID-19 disparities, including inequitable access to vaccinations,²⁶ may result in persistent disruptions to statins access in LMICs, as countries prioritize acute health needs. If these trends continue, the

COVID-19 pandemic may halt or reverse gains in statin utilization and worsen regional and country level CVD disparities between HIC and LMICs.

Limitations

This study had several limitations. First, comparisons between regions, income groups, and countries should be interpreted in the context of the available data and total market coverage of the included countries. For example, IQVIA does not provide non-retail sales for 39 of the 91 countries examined. However, 85% of all statins were dispensed through retail pharmacies, and we account for missing non-retail sales through interpolation (using the ratio of statin consumption in the retail and non-retail sectors for other countries in their region for which data was available). Second, IQVIA does not provide sales data for most low-income countries; therefore, this study may underestimate the magnitude of statin utilization disparities between HICs and LMICs. Finally, relationships between changes in statin utilization described in this study help evaluate the global progress in ensuring equitable access to essential medicines and inform efforts to reduce the global burden of CVD.

Conclusion

Despite a 25% increase in global statin utilization from 2015 to 2020, there are substantial and persistent regional and country-level disparities between HIC and LMICs. To address worsening CVD disparities, global, regional, and national policymakers should promote increased and equitable access to statins in LMICs.

- Guadamuz JS: Conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, methodology, validation, visualisation, software, writing original draft, and writing review & editing.
- Shooshtari A: Project administration and writing original draft.
- Qato DM: Conceptualisation, funding acquisition, writing original draft, and writing review & editing

Competing interests

Dr. Guadamuz current reports employment with Flatiron Health, Inc, which is an independent subsidiary of the Roche Group. Flatiron Health, Inc., had no role in the design and conduct of the study, analysis or interpretation of the data, and preparation, or final approval of the article before publication.

Funding

Dr. Guadamuz was supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Health Policy Research Scholars program. Dr. Qato was supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Clinical Scholars program. Data obtained under license from IQVIA as part of IQVIA Institute's Human Data Science Research Collaborative in the Era of COVID-19. The funders, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, and IQVIA, had no role in the design and conduct of the study, analysis or interpretation of the data, and preparation, or final approval of the article before publication.

Data sharing statement

Data for this study will not be made available due to licensing agreements with IQVIA.

REFERENCES

- 1 Roth GA, Mensah GA, Johnson CO, *et al.* Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990–2019. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020; **76**: 2982–3021.
- 2 Bowry ADK, Lewey J, Dugani SB, Choudhry NK. The Burden of Cardiovascular Disease in Low- and Middle-Income Countries : Epidemiology and Management. *Can J Cardiol* 2020; **31**: 1151–9.
- Barquera S, Pedroza-Tobías A, Medina C, *et al.* Global Overview of the Epidemiology of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease. *Arch Med Res* 2015; **46**: 328–38.
- 4 Roth GA, Johnson C, Abajobir A, *et al.* Global, Regional, and National Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases for 10 Causes, 1990 to 2015. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017; **70**: 1–25.
- 5 World Health Organization. Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: Guidelines for assessment and management of cardiovascular risk. 2007. https://www.who.int/cardiovascular_diseases/guidelines (accessed Oct 24, 2021).
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL et al. 2018
 ACC/AHA/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/ APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA
 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018.
- 7 Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, *et al.* 2019 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2019; **140**: e596–646.
- 8 Kishore SP, Blank E, Heller DJ, *et al.* Modernizing the World Health Organization List of Essential Medicines for Preventing and Controlling Cardiovascular Diseases. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018; **71**: 564–74.
- 9 World Health Organization. Global Essential Medicine. 2019. https://global.essentialmeds.org/ (accessed July 25, 2021).
- 10 Bazargani YT, Ewen M, de Boer A, Leufkens HGM, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK. Essential medicines are more available than other medicines around the globe. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e87576–e87576.
- 11 Yan VKC, Blais JE, Li X, *et al.* Trends in Cardiovascular Medicine Use in 65 Middle- and High-Income Countries. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021; **77**: 1021–3.
- 12 Blais JE, Wei Y, Yap KKW, *et al.* Trends in lipid-modifying agent use in 83 countries. *Atherosclerosis* 2021; **328**: 44–51.
- 13 Alexander GC, Qato DM. Ensuring Access to Medications in the US During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA* 2020; **324**: 31–2.
- 14 Lakavage A. Covid-19 has exposed cracks in the global medicines supply chain. Stat. 2020. https://www.statnews.com/2020/06/02/covid-19-exposed-cracks-global-medicines-supply-chain/ (accessed Oct 24, 2021).
- 15 World Health Organization. COVID-19 continues to disrupt essential health services in 90% of countries. 2021. https://www.who.int/news/item/23-04-2021-covid-19-continues-to-disrupt-essential-health-services-in-90-of-countries (accessed Oct 24, 2021).
- 16 Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. 2021. http://www.healthdata.org/gbd (accessed Dec 12, 2021).
- 17 IQVIA. Accuracy and Timeliness Statistics Annual Report, 2020. 2021.

1		
2		
4	10	https://www.iqvia.com/library/publications/acts-2020 (accessed July 25, 2021).
5	18	world Bank Data. world Bank Country and Lending Groups. 2021.
6		and londing groups (accessed July 25, 2021)
7	10	World Pank World Pank Open Date 2021 https://date.worldbank.org/ (accessed July 25,
8 9	19	2021)
10	20	2021). WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology Purpose of the ATC/DDD
11	20	system https://www.whocc.no/atc.ddd_methodology/nurpose_of_the_atc_ddd_system/
12		(accessed April 6, 2020)
13	21	World Health Organization (WHO) Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of
14 15		Noncommunicable Diseases 2013-2020, 2013, https://www.who.int/publications-detail-
16		redirect/9789241506236.
17	22	World Health Organization (WHO). Global spending on health: Weathering the storm.
18		2020.
19 20	23	Raphelson S. Venezuela's Health Care System Ready To Collapse Amid Economic Crisis.
20 21		NPR. 2018. https://www.npr.org/2018/02/01/582469305/venezuelas-health-care-system-
22		ready-to-collapse-amid-economic-crisis (accessed Dec 26, 2021).
23	24	McDonell A, Chalkidou K, Yadav P, Rosen D. Understanding the Impact Of COVID-19
24		On Essential Medicine Supply Chains. Cent. Glob. Dev. 2020.
25 26		https://www.cgdev.org/blog/understanding-impact-covid-19-essential-medicine-supply-
20 27		chains (accessed Dec 26, 2021).
28	25	Mullin R. COVID-19 is reshaping the pharmaceutical supply chain. <i>Chem Eng News</i>
29	26	2020; 98 : 31–5.
30	26	Eyawo O, Viens AM, Ugoji UC. Lockdowns and low- and middle-income countries:
31		building a feasible, effective, and ethical COVID-19 response strategy. Global Health
33		2021, 17. 1–3.
34		
35		
36		
37 38		
39		
40		
41		
42 42		
43		
45		
46		
47		
48 49		
50		
51		
52		
53 54		
54 55		
56		
57		
58		
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
00		

	Exponentiated C	Coefficient (CI) ^a
	High-income	Low-and middle-
	countries	income countries
No. ^b	40	33
Health expenditure per capita	1.01 (1.01,	1.17 (1.12,
(\$) °	1.02)*	1.22)*
	,	0.89(0.82)
OOP health expenditure (%) d	0.99(0.91, 1.09)	0.96)*
1		1.02(1.01)
IHD mortality rate ^e	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)	1.03)*

Table 1. Factors Associated with Statin Utilization, 2015 to 2020

Notes: IHD=ischemic heart disease, OOP=out-of-pocket, No.=number.

a Statin utilization is defined as defined daily doses per 1000 population \geq 40 y per day. Here logged statin utilization is examined. Data for 2020 is based on statin utilization from January to September 2020. **b** Countries in Central America and West Africa were excluded because IQVIA does not report country-specific information for these regions. **c** Increments of 100. **d** Increments of 10. **e** Age-standardized IHD mortality rate, increments of 10. * p<0.05

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Statin Utilization by Geographical Region and Income, 2015 to 2020

Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; MENA=Middle East and North Africa; No.=number. **a** All trends in statin utilization were statistically significant (p<0.05), per simple linear regression. **b** We captured statin utilization for 91 countries. **c** Based on data from January to September 2020

Figure 2. Statin Utilization by Country, 2015 to 2020

Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number. Data for 2020 is based on statin utilization from January to September 2020. "Very low utilization" refers to utilization < ¹/₂ global statin utilization

Figure 3. Change in Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020

Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number. Pre-COVID-19 includes the period of October 2019 to March 2020 and post-COVID-19 includes the period of April 2020 to October 2020.







Figure 2. Statin Utilization by County, 2015 to 2020

a. Change in statin utilization, 2015 to 2020



Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number. Data for 2020 is based on statin utilization from January to September 2020. "Very low utilization" refers to utilization < 1/2 global statin utilization.

 BMJ Open

Figure 3. Change in Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020



Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number. Pre-COVID-19 includes the period of October 2019 to March 2020 and post-COVID-19 includes the period of April 2020 to October 2020.

ONLINE SUPPLEMENT

eTable A. Economic and Health Indicators of Countries Examined

4										
5		Drug				Health	% of h	ealth	Statins	IHD
6		Urug		Income	GDP per	expenditure	expend	iture -	in FMI	rate
7		data source ^a	Region ^b	(2020) ^b	(2020) ^b	(2018) ^b	Public	OOP	(2017) °	(2019) ^d
8	Australia	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$51,812	\$5,425	69	18	· - ′	56.1
9	Japan	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$40,113	\$4,267	84	13	-	29.9
10	New Zealand	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$41,792	\$4,037	75	13	-	75.0
10	Singapore	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$59,798	\$2,824	50	31	-	52.3
11	South Korea	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$31,489	\$2,543	58	33	-	35.0
12	l aiwan China	I otal market	East Asia & Pacific	High °	\$28,371 °	\$1,882 °	50	20	-	41.2
13	Unina	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Upper middle	\$10,500	\$501 ¢112	50 40	30	Yes	116-4
14	Malaysia	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Upper middle	\$10.402	\$427	43 51	35	Yes	140.3
15	Thailand	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Upper middle	\$7.189	\$276	76	11	Yes	52.6
15	Philippines	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Lower middle	\$3,299	\$137	33	54	Yes	148.1
16	Vietnam	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Lower middle	\$2,786	\$152	46	45	Yes	95-6
17	Austria	Total market	Europe	High	\$48,105	\$5,326	73	18	-	83.3
18	Belgium	Total market	Europe	High	\$44,594	\$4,913	76	19	-	54.8
19	Croatia	Total market	Europe	High	\$13,828	\$1,014	83	10	Yes	143-8
20	Czech Republic	Total market	Europe	High	\$22,762	\$1,766	83	14	Yes	149-0
20	Denmark	Total market	Europe	High	\$60,909	\$6,217	84	14	-	55.6
21	Estonia	Retail only	Europe	High	\$23,312	\$1,553	74	25	Yes	144-2
22	Finiand	Total market	Europe	⊓ign Lliab	\$49,041 \$29,625	\$4,510 \$4,600	79	10	-	100.0
23	Germany	Total market	Europe	High	\$30,023 \$45,724	φ4,090 \$5.472	73	9 13	-	30·4 81.4
24	Greece	Retail only	Europe	High	\$17 676	\$1,567	52	36	-	91.9
25	Hungary	Total market	Europe	High	\$15.899	\$1.082	69	27	-	174.6
25	Ireland	Total market	Europe	L High	\$83,813	\$5,489	74	12	-	74.7
26	Italy	Total market	Europe	High	\$31,676	\$2,989	74	24	-	55.3
27	Latvia	Total market	Europe	High	\$17,620	\$1,101	60	39	Yes	200.4
28	Lithuania	Total market	Europe	High	\$19,998	\$1,249	66	32	Yes	222.9
29	Luxembourg	Retail only	Europe	High	\$115,874	\$6,227	85	10	-	54-2
30	Netherlands	I otal market	Europe	High	\$52,304	\$5,307	65	11	-	47.4
21	Norway	Total market	Europe	High	\$67,294	\$8,239	85	14	-	55.5
31	Poland	Total market	Europe	High	\$10,000	\$979 \$2,215	61	21	Yes	130-3
32	Romania	Total market	Europe	High	\$12,440	\$687	80	19	Yes	177.1
33	Slovak Republic	Total market	Europe	High	\$19,157	\$1.300	79	19	Yes	198-9
34	Slovenia	Total market	Europe	High	\$25,180	\$2,170	72	12	Yes	59.0
35	Spain	Total market	Europe	High	\$27,057	\$2,736	70	22	-	45.0
26	Sweden	Total market	Europe	High	\$51,926	\$5,982	85	14	Yes	73.7
20	Switzerland	Total market	Europe	High	\$86,602	\$9,871	31	28	-	55.7
37	United Kingdom	Total market	Europe	High	\$40,285	\$4,315	79	17	-	66-8
38	Belarus	I otal market	Europe	Upper middle	\$6,411	\$356	70	25	Yes	334-2
39	Bosnia & Herzegovina Bulgaria	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$6,032	\$540	70 59	29 41	Yes	162.9
40	Russia	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$10 127	0000 800	50	38	Ves	240.6
41	Serbia	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$7,666	\$617	59	38	Yes	204.4
40	Ukraine	Total market	Europe	Lower middle	\$3,727	\$228	48	49	Yes	424.2
42	Chile	Retail only	Latin America	High	\$13,232	\$1,456	51	33	Yes	50-4
43	Uruguay	Retail only	Latin America	High	\$15,438	\$1,590	73	17	Yes	67.0
44	Argentina	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	\$8,442	\$1,128	61	28	Yes	82-1
45	Brazil	Total market	Latin America	Upper middle	\$6,797	\$848	42	28	Yes	74.9
46	Colombia	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	\$5,333	\$513	72	15	Yes	75.3
10	Dominican Republic	I otal market	Latin America	Upper middle	\$7,268 \$5,600	\$462	44	45	Yes	1/5.6
47	Ecuador Mexico	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	000,C¢ \$2,247	3010 \$520	52	40 40	res	01-0 100 0
48	Peru	Retail only	Laun America	Upper middle	90,341 \$6 197	\$320 \$360	50	4∠ 20	Vac	100•0 ⊿8.7
49	Venezuela	Retail only	Latin America	Lower middle f	\$1,739 ^f	\$257	48	38	Yes	130.0
50	Central America ^g	Retail only	East / shoriou	20100 1110010	ψ1,100	Ψ201	.0	00		
51	Panama		Latin America	High	\$12,269	\$1,132	64	29	Yes	58-2
52	Costa Rica		Latin America	Upper middle	\$12,077	\$910	72	22	Yes	71.5
52	Guatemala		Latin America	Upper middle	\$4,603	\$260	36	58	Yes	106-3
53	El Salvador		Latin America	Lower middle	\$3,799	\$289	64	29	Yes	100-4
54	Honduras		Latin America	Lower middle	\$2,406	\$176	40	51	Yes	154.8
55	Nicaragua		Latin America	Lower middle	\$1,905	\$174	60	33	Yes	148.3
56										

eTable A (continued). Economic and Health Indicators of Countries Examined

	Drug			GDP per	Health expenditure	% of h expend	ealth liture ^b	Statins included	IHD mortality
	utilization data source ^a	Region ^b	Income (2020) ^b	capita (2020) ^b	per capita (2018) ^b	Public	OOP	in EML (2017) °	rate (2019) ^d
Kuwait	Retail only	MENA	High	\$32,373	\$1,711	88	11	-	108-5
Saudi Arabia	Total market	MENA	High	\$20,110	\$1,485	62	14	-	205.6
United Arab Emirates	Retail only	MENA	High	\$43,103	\$1,817	52	13	-	175-4
Algeria	Retail only	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,310	\$256	66	33	Yes	237.3
Egypt	Retail only	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,548	\$126	29	62	Yes	359.3
Morocco	Retail only	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,009	\$175	40	47	Yes	278.5
Tunisia	Total market	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,320	\$252	57	39	Yes	193-5
Jordan	Retail only	MENA	Upper middle	\$4,283	\$330	49	31	Yes	121.9
Kazakhstan	Total market	MENA	Upper middle	\$9,056	\$276	61	33	-	251.4
Lebanon	Retail only	MENA	Upper middle	\$4,891	\$686	50	33	Yes	241.2
Turkey	Total market	MENA	Upper middle	\$8,538	\$390	77	17	-	121.0
Canada	Total market	North America	High	\$43,242	\$4,995	73	15	No	63-9
United States	Total market	North America	High	\$63,544	\$10,624	50	11	-	91.0
Bangladesh	Retail only	South Asia	Lower middle	\$1,969	\$42	17	74	No	111.2
ndia	Total market	South Asia	Lower middle	\$1,901	\$73	27	63	Yes	150-5
Pakistan	Retail only	South Asia	Lower middle	\$1,194	\$43	36	56	Yes	189-3
Sri Lanka	Retail only	South Asia	Lower middle	\$3,682	\$157	41	51	Yes	109-0
South Africa West Africa ^g	Total market Retail only	Sub-Saharan Africa	Upper middle	\$5,091	\$526	54	8	Yes	81.4
Gabon		Sub-Saharan Africa	Upper middle	\$7,006	\$218	59	23	-	117.4
Benin		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$1,291	\$31	20	45	-	113-1
Cameroon		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$1,499	\$54	6	76	-	115.5
Côte d'Ivoire		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$2,326	\$72	29	39	-	122.0
Senegal		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$1,488	\$59	24	56	-	117.6
Burkina Faso		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$831	\$40	43	36	-	130-2
Chad		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$614	\$29	17	62	-	120-1
Democratic Republic of the Congo		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$557	\$19	15	42	-	114.7
Guinea		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$1,194	\$38	16	61	-	123.7
Mali		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$859	\$35	28	34	-	116.0
Niger		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$565	\$30	33	49	-	118-1
Togo		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$915	\$42	17	56	-	134.9

Notes: EML=essential medicines list, IHD=ischemic heart disease, MENA=Middle East and North Africa, OOP=out-of-pocket. a Based on "sell-in" data or the volume purchased by retail (e.g., pharmacies) or non-retail (e.g., hospitals) sectors. As an exception, we used "sell-out" data, or the volume dispensed to patients, in the United Kingdom. We present data on the total market, or retail and non-retail drug sales, for 52 countries. In the 39 countries lacking non-retail sector data, utilization was estimated by interpolation, using the ratio of statin consumption in the retail and non-retail sectors for other countries in their region for which data was available. In 2020, 85% of statins were dispensed via retail sectors (based on countries with data for retail and non-retail sectors). **b** World Bank. c World Health Organization. d Global Burden Disease, age-standardized IHD mortality rate. e Republic of China (Taiwan), Statistical Bureau. f Australian Department of Foreign Affairs & Trade, Venezuela Fact Sheet. g IQVIA does not provide country specific utilization for this region.

eTable B. Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020

	Statin	utilization, No.		
		$\frac{0 \text{ population}}{2 \text{ Population}} \geq 40$	y) "	Orecut
Countring/Degianah	Pre-COVID-19	Post-COVID-19		Growth
Countines/Regions -			ρ	70
Denmark	331.7	326-1	0.73	-1.7
Canada	322.9	314.3	0.68	-2.7
United Kingdom	324.5	308-8	0.14	-4.8
Australia	327-5	265-2	0.26	-19-0
Norway	304-7	2/4-4	0.26	-9.9
United States	271.6	271.2	.98	-0-1
Ireland	280-3	257-8	0-38	-8-0
Sweden	265.0	255.5	0.61	-3.6
New Zealand	275-3	243-6	0.74	-11-5
Greece	250.5	253.7	0.33	1.3
Slovenia	250.8	256.1	0.55	2.1
Belgium	271-5	243-0	0-14	-10-5
Czech Republic	241.7	248.3	0.15	2.7
Finland	253.0	243.2	0.47	-3-9
Netherlands	248.8	237.9	0.22	-4-4
Lebanon	201.9	232.4	0.17	15.1
Poland	241-2	202-5	0-32	-16-0
Austria	223-5	190-8	0-18	-14-7
Portugal	205-4	184-8	0-43	-10-0
Spain	188-6	180-1	0.51	-4.5
Luxembourg	198-1	176-2	0-21	-11-0
Latvia	193-1	174-8	0-40	-9-5
Hungary	192-9	175-0	0-30	-9-3
Switzerland	202-8	170-1	0-15	-16-1
Germany	180-6	160-6	0-27	-11-1
Slovak Republic	175-5	155-2	0.09	-11-6
Croatia	156-5	158.3	0.67	1.2
Estonia	174-5	148-9	0-39	-14-7
France	145.8	141.8	0.17	-2.7
Italy	144-7	135-1	0-52	-6-6
Romania	141-6	125-4	0-34	-11-4
Argentina	108.7	130.2	0.03	19.8
Uruguay	116-1	118-1	0.35	1.8
Algeria	108-9	111.6	0.88	2.5
Lithuania	113-8	106-0	0-52	-6-8
Brazil	107.0	112.0	0.25	4.7
Thailand	102-6	92-9	0 -34	-9-4
Singapore	96.6	93.4	0.59	-3.3
Bulgaria	94.0	90.8	0.50	-3.4
South Korea	90.5	91.4	0.72	1.0
Taiwan	87.0	86.8	0.96	-0-2
South Africa	83.9	87.8	0.64	4.6
United Arab Emirates	92.7	77-8	0.60	-16-1
Serbia	85.1	78.7	0.25	-7.5
Saudi Arabia	72.1	81.1	0.26	12.4
Turkov	65.0	73.1	0.04	12.4
Bosnia	70.5	61 2	0.04	-12.4
Bussia	58.6	66.2	0.08	13.0
	30·0 76 5	50 Z	0.00	21 1
i ullisia Moleveie	70-3	52-7	0.03	-31-1
Roloruo	6 <u>5</u> -9	53-7	0.25	-10-0
Janan	50.0	51.0	0.00	-1-2
Japan Favot	JJ·∠ E1 /	04·1		1•/ 2 7
⊏gypt Chilo	51·4	53.3	0.50	3.1
	45.5	50.6	0.25	11.4
Sri Lanka	44.5	50.0	0.14	12.4
Dominican Republic	44.7	44.7	0.00	0.0
Kuwait	26.3	27.7	0.84	5.4
Ukraine	32-1	26-1	0.22	-18-7
Jordan	28.7	24-1	0.50	-16-1
Kazaknstan	22.8	22.9	0.97	0.8
Bangladesh	20.9	24.9	0.17	19.3

59 60

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	Statin	utilization, No.			
	(DDD per 100	(DDD per 1000 population ≥ 40 y) ^a			
	Pre-COVID-19	Post-COVID-19		Growth,	
Countries/Regions ^b	(10/19 to 03/20)	(04/20 to 09/20)	р	%	
Mexico	28-4	23-8	0-60	-16-3	
Philippines	23-2	21-3	0-54	-8-1	
Ecuador	18-1	22.3	0.30	23.6	
Pakistan	20.4	19.4	0.40	-4-8	
China	16.2	18.3	0.17	13.3	
Vietnam	23-3	17-2	0-38	-26-3	
Central America ^{c, d}	16.1	16.7	0.75	4.0	
Colombia	14.3	17.3	0.08	20.7	
Morocco	15-5	15-1	0.75	-3-1	
India	13.7	14.3	<0.01	4.3	
Venezuela	14-5	14-4	0-95	-0-9	
Peru	5.9	10.3	0.41	74.7	
Indonesia	10-9	8-9	0-32	-18-3	
West Africa ^{c, e}	4-0	2-8	0-04	-30-1	

eTable B (continued). Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020

Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number.

a Statistical significance was determined using simple linear regression. Countries in bold declined $\geq 5\%$. **b** Sorted based on statin

utilization in 2020, refer to Error! Reference source not found.. c IQVIA does not provide country specific utilization for this
 region. d Central American countries included Costa Rica, El Salvador, Honduras, Guatemala, Nicaragua, and Panama. e West

African countries included Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chad, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Gabon, Guinea, Ivory Coast,

23 Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

GLOBAL, REGIONAL, AND NATIONAL TRENDS IN STATIN UTILIZATION IN HIGH INCOME AND LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES, 2015-2020

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-061350.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Jun-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Guadamuz, Jenny; University of Southern California, School of Pharmacy Shooshtari, Andrew; University of Southern California School of Pharmacy, Titus Family Department of Clinical Pharmacy Qato, Dima; University of Southern California School of Pharmacy, Titus Family Department of Clinical Pharmacy; University of Southern California
Primary Subject Heading :	Cardiovascular medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine, Pharmacology and therapeutics, Public health, Health services research
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Ischaemic heart disease < CARDIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

GLOBAL, REGIONAL, AND NATIONAL TRENDS IN STATIN UTILIZATION IN HIGH INCOME AND LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES, 2015-2020

Jenny S. Guadamuz, PhD¹⁻² Andrew Shooshtari¹ Dima M Qato, PharmD, MPH, PhD¹⁻²

1. Program on Medicines and Public Health, Titus Family Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of Southern California School of Pharmacy, Los Angeles, California, USA

2. Leonard D. Schaeffer Center for Health Policyand Economics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA

17	13	
18	14	
19	14	
20	15	
21	16	
22	17	
23	18	
24	19	
25	20	
26	21	
27	22	
28	$\frac{-}{23}$	
29	23 24	
30 21	24	
ו כ כי	25	
32 33	20	
34	27	
35	28	
36	29	
37	30	
38	31	
39	32	
40	33	
41	34	
42	35	Correspondence
43	36	Dima M Oato PharmD MPH PhD
44	37	USC School of Pharmacy
45	38	1085 Zonal Avenue
40 47	20	Los Angeles CA 00080
47 48	39 40	Dhamay (222) 442 1202
40 49	40	Phone: $(323) 442-1392$
50	41	Email: <u>qato(<i>a</i>)usc.edu</u>
51	42	
52	43	
53	44	
54	45	
55	46	Length Text 2789; abstract 252; references 27, figures 3; tables 1; supplemental materials 2.
56		
57		

2 3	
4 5	
6 7	
8	
9 10	
11 12	
13 14	
15	
16 17	
18 19	
20 21	
22	
23 24	
25 26	
27 28	
29	
30 31	
32 33	
34 35	
36 37	
38	
39 40	
41 42	
43 44	
45 46	
47	
48 49	
50 51	
52 53	
54 55	
56	
57	
59 60	

72

47 Abstract 48

49 Background

50 Prior studies have reported inequitable global access to essential medicines for cardiovascular 51 disease (CVD) prevention, especially statins. We examine recent trends and disparities in statin

52 utilization at the income group, regional, and country levels.53

54 Methods

55 Pharmaceutical sales data was used to examine statin utilization in 41 high-income counties
 56 (HICs) and 50 low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) from 2015 to 2020. Utilization was
 57 measured using defined daily doses (DDD) per 1000 population ≥40 years per day (TPD). Fixed 58 effects panel regression analysis was used to examine associations between statin utilization and

7 59 country-level factors. 8 60

61 Findings

Globally, statin utilization increased 24.7% from 54.7 DDD/TPD in 2015 to 68.3 DDD/TPD in 62 63 2020. However, regional and income group disparities persisted during this period. In 2020, 64 statin utilization was more than six-times higher in HICs than LMIC (192.4 vs. 28.4 DDD/TDP, p < 0.01). Substantial disparities were also observed between LMICs, ranging from 3.1 65 66 DDDs/TDPs in West African nations to 225.0 DDD/TDP in Lebanon in 2020. While statin 67 utilization increased in most LMIC between 2015 to 2020, several experienced declines in utilization, most notably Venezuela (-85.1%, from 92.3 to 14.0 DDD/TPD). In LMICs, every 68 69 \$100 increase in per capita health spending was associated with a 17% increase in statin

70 utilization, while every 10% increase in out-of-pocket health spending was associated with a 11% decline (both p < 0.05).

73 Interpretation

Despite global increases in statin utilization, there are substantial regional and country-level
 disparities between HIC and LMICs. To address global CVD disparities, policymakers should

76 promote increased and equitable access to statins in LMICs.77

78 Strengths and Limitations

- Pharmaceutical sales data was used to examine statin utilization in 41 high-income counties (HICs) and 50 low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) representing approximately 90% of the global population older than 40 years of age.
 - Comparisons between regions, income groups, and countries should be interpreted in the context of the available data and total market coverage of the included countries.
- IQVIA does not provide sales data for most low-income countries; therefore, this study may underestimate the magnitude of statin utilization disparities between HICs and LMICs.
 - Relationships between changes in statin utilization and country-level characteristics are not casual.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD)—primarily ischemic heart disease (IHD)—causes approximately one-third of deaths worldwide.[1] While age-standardized CVD mortality rates have declined globally, the number of deaths due to CVD has increased from 12.1 million in 1990 to 18.6 million in 2019[1] and substantial regional, income group, and country level disparities exist.[2,3] For example, age-standardized CVD mortality rates were lowest in high-income countries (HICs) in Asia-Pacific, Europe, and North America and highest in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in Eastern Europe, Middle East and North Africa, and South Asia.[4] Moreover, the CVD burden has increased in nearly every LMIC during the past three decades.[1] Currently, LMICs account for approximately 80% of global CVD deaths.[2] Medicines, alongside lifestyle changes such as diet, exercise, and smoking cessation, are a cornerstone of CVD prevention.[5] Statins (HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors) are particularly important because they are widely recommended for primary and secondary prevention-that is, among adults with and without known CVD.[5-7] Statins have been included in the World Health Organization (WHO) Model Essential Medicines List (EML)-used to develop national EMLs that guide public procurement—since 2007.[8] Despite statins steadily losing patent

- protections throughout the world since 2006,[8] only 60% of LMICs include these medicines in their EMLs as of 2017.[9] As medicines included in EMLs have higher availability in the private and public sectors, [10] these policies—as well as differences in income, health spending, and disease burden—may result in global disparities in statin utilization.

While utilization of preventative cardiovascular medicines, including statins, has increased globally in the past decade, large disparities exist. [11,12] For example, a study using pharmaceutical sales data from 65 countries found that consumption of cardiovascular medicines was approximately six-times higher in HICs than in LMICs in 2018.[11] A separate study using sales data from 83 countries found that consumption of lipid-lowering medicines was at least three-times higher in HICs than in LMICs in 2018.[12] However, these studies do not focus on the population at greatest need, adults older than 40 years, [6,7] nor do they evaluate country-level factors associated with statin utilization. Furthermore, an updated analysis of statin utilization is imperative considering the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic that has caused severe disruptions to the pharmaceutical supply chain and the provision of healthcare.[13–15]

This study used global pharmaceutical sales data to estimate statin utilization per population aged 40 years and older in 91 countries from 2015 to 2020. Disparities across and within regions and income groups were examined over time, including in the six months prior to and following the start of the COVID-19 pandemic. To inform global efforts to improve access to essential medicines, we also examined the extent to which country-level factors, such as gross domestic product (GDP), health spending, and underlying IHD burden, are associated with statin utilization.

Methods

- Design and Data Sources
- We conducted a cross-sectional study examining trends and disparities in statin utilization in 91
- countries using pharmaceutical sales data collected by IQVIA (Multinational Integrated Data

BMJ Open

136 Analysis System, MIDAS) from January 2015 to October 2020. These countries represent

⁴ 137 approximately 90% of the global population older than 40 years of age.[16] IQVIA samples 138 pharmaceutical sales from multiple distribution channels (*e.g.*, manufacturers, wholesalers, and

138 pharmaceutical sales from multiple distribution channels (*e.g.*, manufacturers, wholesalers, and
 7 139 medical facilities) to develop nationally representative estimates of retail and non-retail

pharmaceutical sales in each country.[17] If necessary, IQVIA projects its samples to represent

9 141 100% of the retail and non-retail sales in each country and reports >90% global precision in

¹⁰ 142 recent years.[17] However, IQVIA does not publicly disclose detailed information on data

- $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 144 \end{array}$ collection, projection, and validation.
- 12 13

1 2 3

4

145 Several sources were used to further characterize these countries. Countries were grouped based 14 146 on their income and geographical regions per the World Bank (2020).[18] Population estimates 15 and age-standardized IHD mortality rates (GBD 2019 causes of death were mapped to 147 16 17 148 International Classification of Diseases [19] were obtained from the Global Burden of Disease 18 149 (GBD) (2015-2019).[16] Health expenditures (total, public, out-of-pocket) were also obtained 19 150 from the World Bank (2015-2018).[20] We projected the values of these estimates through 2020 20 151 by applying the county-specific growth rates observed from 2015 to 2018 or 2019 (depending on 21 152 data availability). Finally, whether stating were included in national essential medicine lists was 22 153 determined, for reference, using the Global Essential Medicines database (2017).[9] 23

24

154

25 155 Measuring Statin Utilization

26 156 We extracted country-level dispensing for WHO Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical codes relating 27 157 to statins (C10AA).[21] As IOVIA does not report country-specific data for six countries in 28 158 Centra America (Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Panama) and 29 159 twelve countries in West Africa (Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, 30 Democratic Republic of Congo, Gabon, Guinea, Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo), we examined 160 31 32 161 these countries in aggregate. We examined total market data, or retail and non-retail statin sales, 33 162 for 52 countries (eTable A). In the 23 countries/groups that lack non-retail sector data, utilization 34 163 was estimated by interpolation, using the ratio of statin consumption in the retail and non-retail 35 164 sectors for other countries in their region for which data was available (85% of all statins were 36 165 dispensed through the retail sector). 37

38 166

39 167 To enable international comparisons over time, we converted statin sales (expressed in

⁴⁰ 168 milligrams) into defined daily doses (DDDs) using the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical

⁴¹ 169 Classification System developed by the WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics
 ⁴² 170 Mathedalagy [21] To account for differences in negativity and and distribution was not statistical and account for differences in negativity.

⁴² 170 Methodology.[21] To account for differences in population size and age distribution, we report

171 statin utilization as DDDs per 1000 population \geq 40 years per day (TPD) for each country.

- 45 172 Global, regional, and income group statin utilizations in DDD/TPD were derived by aggregating
- 46 173 statin sale and population estimates.
- 47 174
- 48 175 Statistical Analysis

⁴⁹
¹⁷⁶
⁵⁰
⁵¹
⁵¹
⁵¹
⁵¹
⁵¹
⁵¹
⁵²
⁵³
⁵³
⁵³
⁵⁴
⁵⁵
⁵⁵
⁵⁶
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁸
⁵⁹
⁵⁹
⁵⁹
⁵⁹
⁵⁰
⁵⁰
⁵⁰
⁵¹
⁵²
⁵³
⁵³
⁵⁵
⁵⁵
⁵⁶
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁸
⁵⁹
⁵⁹
⁵⁹
⁵⁹
⁵⁰
⁵¹
⁵¹
⁵²
⁵³
⁵³
⁵³
⁵⁴
⁵⁵
⁵⁵
⁵⁵
⁵⁵
⁵⁶
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁸
⁵⁹
⁵⁹
⁵⁹
⁵⁹
⁵⁰
⁵¹
⁵¹
⁵²
⁵³
⁵³
⁵⁴
⁵⁵
⁵⁵
⁵⁵
⁵⁵
⁵⁶
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁷
⁵⁸
⁵⁹
⁵⁹</

- 54 180
- 55
- 56 57
- 58

Page 7 of 20

1

BMJ Open

2		
3	181	Fixed-effects panel regression analysis was used to quantify the association between economic
4	182	and health indicators and the statin utilization from 2015 to 2020. Annual, country-level statin
5	183	utilization estimates were logged in these models to enable interpretation as percent change
6 7	184	associated with each unit of the independent variables examined. The independent variables
/ 8	185	included time-varying health expenditure per capita out-of-pocket health expenditure (as a
9	186	percentage of total expenditure) and age standardized IHD mortality rate. Errors were clustered
10	100	become and account for account
11	18/	by year and country to account for serial correlation.
12	188	
13	189	All <i>p</i> -values are two-sided. STATA version 17.1 was used for all statistical analyses.
14	190	
15	191	This study was considered exempt by the Institutional Review Board at the University of
16	192	Southern California because this study was not considered human subjects research.
17	193	
18	194	No patients or members of the public were involved in the design of this study
19	195	
20	196	RESULTS
21	107	As shown in Figure 1, slobal statin utilization increased 24.7% from 54.7 DDD/TDD in 2015 to
22	197	As shown in Figure 1, global statin utilization increased to vorving degrees in all regions
23	198	(11 < 0.05) 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11 < 0.05)$ 1. $(11$
24 25	199	and income groups (all $p < 0.05$), disparities persisted. In 2020, statin utilization was nignest in
25	200	North America and Europe (279.7 and 159.9 DDD/TPD, respectively) and substantially lower in
20	201	Latin America, MENA, East Asia, South Asia, and sub-Saharan Africa (66.1, 64.1, 29.3, 16.1,
27	202	and 24.7 DDD/TPD, respectively). From 2015 to 2020, statin utilization increased substantially
20	203	in HICs (19·3% from 161·3 to 192·4 DDD/TPD) and LMICs (57·9% from 18·0 to 28·4
30	204	DDD/TPD). However, disparities by income group remained throughout this period—by 2020,
31	205	statin utilization was seven times greater in HICs than in LMICs.
32	206	J
33	207	Figure 2 depicts country-specific variation in statin utilization. From 2015 to 2020 statin
34	208	utilization increased or remained stable in most HICs, excent Singapore (125.2 to 95.0 , -24.1%
35	200	n=0.27) the United Arab Emirates (101.1 to 83.9 $-10.7%$ $n=0.02$) Luxembourg (216.6 to
36	207	p=0.27, the Office Arab Elimates (104 4 to 05), -1) 7/6, $p=0.27$, Euxembourg (210 0 to 185.2 14.49/ $n=0.12$) HICe logated in
37	210	185'5, -14'4%, $p < 0.01$, and New Zealand (295'4 to 250'0, -15'4%, $p = 0.12$). HICS located in North America and Economic base substantially high an etatin set list in them composed to compare the
38	211	North America and Europe have substantially higher statin utilization than comparable countries
39	212	located in other regions. For example, in 2020, statin utilization in HICs ranged from over 300
40	213	DDD/TPD in Denmark, Canada, and United Kingdom to less than 50 DDD/TPD in Japan, Chile,
41 42	214	and Kuwait.
42 //3	215	
45 44	216	From 2015 to 2020, statin utilization increased by more than 10% in nearly all LMICs.
45	217	Exceptions included India (12.9 to 14.1, 9.1%, $p=0.02$), Malaysia (57.8 to 60.0, 3.9%, $p=0.41$),
46	218	Ecuador (20.2 to 20.9, 3.6% , $p=0.09$), and Jordan (28.6 to 27.1, -5.4% , $p=0.20$), where
47	219	utilization remained relatively stable and Venezuela where utilization sharply declined (92.3 to
48	220	13:8 -85:1% $p < 0.01$) Several LMICs had higher statin utilization than the global average in
49	220	2020 including Lebanon Algeria Brazil Thailand and South Africa (224.9, 111.8, 109.3)
50	221 222	96.8 and 85.4 DDD/TPD respectively) Statin utilization is lower than 24 DDD/TPD
51	222	(approximately half the global average) in 25 I MICs, including some of the most normalized
52	223	(approximately namine group average) in 55 Livites, including some of the most populous
53	224	nations, such as China, India, Indonesia, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Mexico.
54	225	
55		
56		
57 50		
50 50		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
00		

BMJ Open

Table 1 presents factors associated with changes in statin utilization. In HICs, only health

expenditure per capita was significantly and positively associated with statin utilization. In

LMICs, every \$100 increase in health expenditure per capita was associated with a 17% increase

total health expenditure) was associated with a 11% decline in utilization (both p < 0.05). Greater

in utilization, while every 10% increase in out-of-pocket health expenditure (as percentage of

rates of IHD mortality were also positively associated with more statin utilization in LMICs.

Compared with the pre-COVID-19 period, statin utilization declined by more than 5.0% in 41

or >10% decline—were found in 13 HICs, including Australia (327.5 to 265.2 DDD/TPD, -

(23·3 to 17·2 DDD/TPD, -26·3%), Ukraine (32·1 to 26·1 DDD/TPD, -18·7%), and Mexico

19.0%), the United Arab Emirates (92.7 to 77.8 DDD/TPD, -16.1%), and Germany (180.6 to

160.6 DDD/TPD, -11.1%) (eTable B). Some of the most severe disruptions in statin utilization

were observed among LMICs, including in Tunisia (76.5 to 52.7 DDD/TPD, -31.1%), Vietnam

countries, including 19 HICs and 22 LMICs (Figure 3). Severe disruptions in statin utilization—

DISCUSSION

(28.4 to 23.8 DDD/TPD, -16.3%).

Using a global database, representing approximately 90% of the global population older than 40 years of age,[16] we found persistent disparities across regions, income groups, and countries in statin utilization which may contribute to worsening disparities in CVD mortality. While global statin utilization has increased 25% from 2015 to 2020, statin utilization remains higher in the "global north" (e.g., North America, Europe, and Oceania) and in HICs than countries in other regions and LMICs.[4] In 2020, statin utilization was seventeen times higher in North America versus South Asia-the region with the highest age-adjusted CVD mortality rate in the world[4]—and seven times higher in HICs versus LMICs—that are experiencing a near universal increase in the number of CVD deaths.[1]

Statin utilization is also substantially lower in countries with disproportionately high age-standardized CVD mortality rates, namely LMICs in South Asia, MENA, and sub-Saharan Africa.[4] The WHO Global Non-Communicable Disease (NDC) Action Plan 2013-2020 aimed for a 25% reduction in premature deaths from NDC, especially CVD, from 2010 to 2025 by ensuring that at least half of adults at high CVD risk receive cardiovascular medicines and that 80% of public and private facilities have these essential medicines available on-site.[22] Growth in statin utilization in LMICs was concentrated among those countries with worsening IHD mortality—suggesting reactionary policies for the management of CVD morbidity and mortality versus preventative strategies for the provision of essential medicines. Together, our findings suggest that global efforts to reduce the burden of CVD need to be strengthened-statin utilization remains inequitable and suboptimal in LMICs, including those with worsening rates of CVD mortality.

Importantly, there is a substantial gap between CVD burden and statin utilization between HIC and LMICs. For example, statin utilization is very low (less than half the global average) in 70% of the LMICs examined, yet account for 68% of the global population of middle aged and older adults and 55% CVD deaths worldwide.[16] Forty-two percent of CVD deaths occur in China (25%), India (14%), and Indonesia (4%),[16] all of whom have very low statin utilization and together account for less than 11% of statins dispensed in the world. From 2015 to 2019, the IHD

3	272	mortality rate has declined or remained stable in most LMICs, with notable exceptions of
4	273	Bangladesh (5%) Malaysia (5%) and Venezuela (10%) that have experienced substantial
5	275	increases in recent years [16] These countries with worsening IHD mortality have
6 7	275	comparatively low statin utilization in given their regional and income group averages. However
/ 8	276	Venezuela has also experienced substantial declines in statin utilization in this period.
9	270	aggravating the IHD burden experienced by its populace
10	277	aggravating the HTD burden experienced by its populace.
11	270	Among I MICs, we found that every \$100 increases in per capite health sponding was associated
12	219	with a 170/ increase in static willigation and that every 100/ increase in the properties of out of
13	200	with a 17% increase in statin utilization and that every 10% increase in the proportion of out-of-
14	281	pocket nearth spending was associated with an 11% decline. These findings suggest that policy
15	282	erforts to address global disparities in statin utilization, may need to increase health spending
16	283	while shifting the burden of health spending from individuals to the public sector (either via the
17 10	284	direct provision of healthcare and medicines or via insurance schemes). Unfortunately, public
10	285	investment in health (as measured by government health spending as a percentage of total
20	286	expenditures) has declined in LMICs during the last two decades.[23] Only high-income and
21	287	upper-middle countries have seen moderate increases in government health spending,[23]
22	288	countries that consume a disproportionate share of statins. Out-of-pocket spending as a share of
23	289	total health spending has remained stubbornly high in LMICs (above 40% and twice the
24	290	percentage in HICs) during this period.[23] International aid—a major source of health spending
25	291	in LMICs—is disproportionately directed to communicable diseases,[23] and these policies may
26	292	aggravate global disparities in the use of essential medicines, including statins, and hinder efforts
27	293	to reduce CVD mortality. International aid for health, which could alleviate costs of essential
20 29	294	medicines for governments and the public, has also stagnated since 2013.[23]
30	295	
31	296	Economic or political crises, which are often followed by sharp declines in total health spending,
32	297	may also impact access to essential medicines. The starkest example is Venezuela, where statin
33	298	utilization declined 85% between 2015 to 2020. The ongoing sociopolitical crisis began in 2010
34	299	and has spiraled into a sustained period of hyperinflation, a 75% decline in health spending, as
35	300	well as widespread and chronic shortages of essential medicines in the past decade. [20,24]
30 27	301	
38	302	Pharmaceutical supply chain disruptions have resulted from slowdowns in the production of
39	303	medicines that impact domestic and international markets, transportation hurdles, and restrictions
40	304	on movement (internationally and domestically and by providers and patients).[25] For example.
41	305	early in the pandemic active pharmaceutical ingredient production in China was severely
42	306	curtailed—leading to shortages and delays in the production of medicines throughout the world
43	307	including in the United States the European Union and India [26]
44 45	308	meruang in the entred states, the European enten, and mata.[20]
45 46	309	During the first six months of COVID-19 nandemic statin utilization declined by more than 5%
47	310	in 41 countries As a whole HICs experienced greater declines in statin utilization during the
48	311	nost-COVID-19 period (-2% versus -4% observed worldwide). Perhaps, because HICs had more
49	312	severe restrictions on movement to mitigate COVID-19 spread than I MICs early in the
50	312	pandemic [27] However, the most severe disruptions in individual countries occurred in I MICs
51	31/	which may be more vulnerable to supply chain disruptions. Several countries in Eastern Europe
52	314	$(a \alpha Serbia Bosnia Balarus and Ultraina) Southeast Asia (a \alpha Thailand Malaysia the$
53	216	Philippines Vietnem and Indenesia) and MENA (a.g. Tunisia and Iordan) saw dramatic
54 55	217	dealines in statin utilization, as did West A frien as a region and Maxiao. Clobal COVID 10
56	51/	uccinics in statili utilization, as the west Africa as a region and Mexico. Ofobal COVID-19
57		
58		
59		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

disparities, including inequitable access to vaccinations, [27] may result in persistent disruptions

- to statins access in LMICs, as countries prioritize acute health needs. If these trends continue, the COVID-19 pandemic may halt or reverse gains in statin utilization and worsen regional and
- country level CVD disparities between HIC and LMICs.

- Limitations
- This study had several limitations. First, comparisons between regions, income groups, and countries should be interpreted in the context of the available data and total market coverage of
- the included countries. For example, IQVIA does not provide non-retail sales for 39 of the 91
- countries examined. However, 85% of all statins were dispensed through retail pharmacies, and
- we account for missing non-retail sales through interpolation (using the ratio of statin
- consumption in the retail and non-retail sectors for other countries in their region for which data
- was available). Second, IQVIA does not provide sales data for most low-income countries;
- therefore, this study may underestimate the magnitude of statin utilization disparities between HICs and LMICs. Finally, relationships between changes in statin utilization and country-level
- characteristics are not casual. However, the trends and disparities in statin utilization described in
- this study help evaluate the global progress in ensuring equitable access to essential medicines
- and inform efforts to reduce the global burden of CVD.

Conclusion

Despite a 25% increase in global statin utilization from 2015 to 2020, there are substantial and persistent regional and country-level disparities between HIC and LMICs. To address worsening CVD disparities, global, regional, and national policymakers should promote increased and i'L'. Ryony

equitable access to statins in LMICs.

1		
2		
3 4	342	Contributorship statements
5	343	 Guadamuz JS: Conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, methodology,
6	344	validation, visualisation, software, writing – original draft, and writing – review &
7	345	editing.
8	346	 Shooshtari A: Project administration and writing – original draft.
9	347	• Qato DM: Conceptualisation, funding acquisition, writing – original draft, and writing –
10 11	348	review & editing
12	349	
13	350	Competing interests
14	351	Dr. Guadamuz current reports employment with Flatiron Health, Inc, which is an independent
15	352	subsidiary of the Roche Group. Flatiron Health, Inc., had no role in the design and conduct of the
16	353	study, analysis or interpretation of the data, and preparation, or final approval of the article
17	354	before publication.
18	355	
20	356	Funding
20	357	Dr. Guadamuz was supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Health Policy Research
22	358	Scholars program. Dr. Qato was supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Clinical
23	359	Scholars program. Data obtained under license from IQVIA as part of IQVIA Institute's Human
24	360	Data Science Research Collaborative in the Era of COVID-19. The funders, the Robert Wood
25	361	Johnson Foundation, and IOVIA, had no role in the design and conduct of the study, analysis or
26	362	interpretation of the data, and preparation, or final approval of the article before publication.
2/	363	
20 29	364	Data sharing statement
30	365	Data for this study will not be made available due to licensing agreements with IOVIA.
31	366	
32	367	
33	201	
34		
35		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43 44		
44		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		

1			
2			
3 1	368	REF	ERENCES
4 5	369		
6	370	1.	Roth GA, Mensah GA, Johnson CO, Addolorato G, Ammirati E, Baddour LM, et al.
7	371		Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990–2019. Journal of the
8	372		American College of Cardiology. 2020 Dec 10;76(25):2982–3021.
9	373	2.	Bowry ADK, Lewey J, Dugani SB, Choudhry NK. The Burden of Cardiovascular Disease
10	374		in Low- and Middle-Income Countries : Epidemiology and Management. Canadian
11	375		Journal of Cardiology. 2020;31(9):1151–9.
12	376	3.	Barquera S, Pedroza-Tobías A, Medina C, Hernández-Barrera L, Bibbins-Domingo K,
13 1/	377		Lozano R, et al. Global Overview of the Epidemiology of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular
14	378		Disease. Archives of Medical Research, 2015:46(5):328–38.
16	379	4	Roth GA Johnson C Abajobir A Abd-Allah F Abera SF Abyu G et al Global
17	380		Regional and National Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases for 10 Causes 1990 to 2015
18	381		Iournal of the American College of Cardiology 2017:70(1):1–25
19	382	5	World Health Organization Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: Guidelines for
20	383	5.	assessment and management of cardiovascular risk [Internet] 2007 [cited 2021 Oct 24]
21	38/		Available from: https://www.who.int/cardiovascular_diseases/guidelines
22	385	6	Grundy SM Stone NI Bailey AL et al 2018
23 24	386	0.	$\Delta CC/\Delta H \Delta / \Delta CVDP / \Delta A P \Delta / \Delta PC / \Delta CPM / \Delta D \Delta / \Delta CS / \Delta P b \Delta / \Delta SPC / NI A / PCN A$
25	387		Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Benort of the American College of
26	200		Cardialogy Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice
27	200		Cuidelines LAm Cell Cardiel 2019:
28	200	7	Armott DK, Diumonthal DS, Albort MA, Durakar AD, Caldhargar 7D, Hahn EL at al
29	390 201	1.	Ameti DK, Blumeninal RS, Albert MA, Buroker AB, Goldberger ZD, Hann EJ, et al.
30	202		2019 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease. A
31	392		Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on
3Z 22	393	0	Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2019 Sep 10;140(11):e596–646.
34	394	8.	Kishore SP, Blank E, Heller DJ, Patel A, Peters A, Price M, et al. Modernizing the World
35	395		Health Organization List of Essential Medicines for Preventing and Controlling
36	396		Cardiovascular Diseases. Journal of the American College of Cardiology.
37	397	_	2018;71(5):564–74.
38	398	9.	World Health Organization. Global Essential Medicine [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Jul
39	399		25]. Available from: https://global.essentialmeds.org/
40	400	10.	Bazargani YT, Ewen M, de Boer A, Leufkens HGM, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK. Essential
41 42	401		medicines are more available than other medicines around the globe. PloS one. 2014 Feb
42	402		12;9(2):e87576–e87576.
44	403	11.	Yan VKC, Blais JE, Li X, Chui CSL, Wei L, Yan BP, et al. Trends in Cardiovascular
45	404		Medicine Use in 65 Middle- and High-Income Countries. Journal of the American College
46	405		of Cardiology. 2021;77(7):1021–3.
47	406	12.	Blais JE, Wei Y, Yap KKW, Alwafi H, Ma TT, Brauer R, et al. Trends in lipid-modifying
48	407		agent use in 83 countries. Atherosclerosis. 2021;328:44-51.
49	408	13.	Alexander GC, Qato DM. Ensuring Access to Medications in the US During the COVID-
50 51	409		19 Pandemic. JAMA. 2020 Jul 7;324(1):31–2.
52	410	14.	Lakavage A. Covid-19 has exposed cracks in the global medicines supply chain [Internet].
53	411		STAT. 2020 [cited 2021 Oct 24]. Available from:
54	412		https://www.statnews.com/2020/06/02/covid-19-exposed-cracks-global-medicines-supply-
55	413		chain/
56			
57			
58			
60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

1			
2			
5 4	414	15.	World Health Organization. COVID-19 continues to disrupt essential health services in
5	415		90% of countries [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Oct 24]. Available from:
6	416		https://www.who.int/news/item/23-04-2021-covid-19-continues-to-disrupt-essential-
7	417		health-services-in-90-of-countries
8	418	16.	Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019
9	419		[Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Dec 12]. Available from: http://www.healthdata.org/gbd
10	420	17.	IQVIA. Accuracy and Timeliness Statistics Annual Report, 2020 [Internet]. 2021 [cited
11	421		2021 Jul 25]. Available from: https://www.iqvia.com/library/publications/acts-2020
12	422	18.	World Bank Data. World Bank Country and Lending Groups [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021
14	423		Jul 25]. Available from:
15	424		https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-
16	425		and-lending-groups
17	426	19.	Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD
18	427		2019) Cause List Mapped to ICD Codes [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 May 27]. Available
19	428		from https://ghdx healthdata org/record/ihme-data/gbd-2019-cause-icd-code-mappings
20	429	20	World Bank World Bank Open Data [Internet] 2021 [cited 2021 Jul 25] Available from:
21	430	20.	https://data worldbank.org/
22	431	21	WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology Purpose of the ATC/DDD
23	432	21.	system [Internet] [cited 2020 Apr 6] Available from:
25	433		https://www.whocc.no/atc.ddd_methodology/nurnose_of_the_atc_ddd_system/
26	434	22	World Health Organization (WHO) Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of
27	435	<i></i> .	Noncommunicable Diseases 2013-2020 2013
28	436	23	World Health Organization (WHO) Global spending on health: Weathering the storm
29	437	23.	2020
30 31	438	24	Ranhelson S. Venezuela's Health Care System Ready To Collanse Amid Economic Crisis
32	430 439	27.	[Internet] NPR 2018 [cited 2021 Dec 26] Available from:
33	437		https://www.ppr.org/2018/02/01/582469305/venezuelas_health_care_system_ready_to_
34	440 441		collanse-amid-economic-crisis
35	$\Delta \Delta 2$	25	McDonell A Chalkidou K Vaday P Rosen D Understanding the Impact Of COVID-19
36	1/2	25.	On Essential Medicine Supply Chains [Internet] Center For Global Development 2020
37	74J 111		[cited 2021 Dec 26] Available from: https://www.cgdev.org/blog/understanding.impact
38 20	444		covid 10 assential medicine supply chains
39 40	445	26	Mullin P. COVID 10 is respensing the pharmacoutical supply chain. Cham Eng News
41	440	20.	2020 Apr 27:08(16):21 5
42	447	27	2020 Api 27,96(10).51-5.
43	440	27.	building a fassible affastive and athias COVID 10 reasonage strategy. Clabalization and
44	449		Unding a leasible, effective, and effical COVID-19 response strategy. Globalization and
45	450		Health. 2021 Dec $1,1/(1).1-5$.
46	451		
47 10	452		
40 10			
50			
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
50 57			
58			
59			
60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

55 54	Table 1. 1 detors Associated with Sta	tin Otinzation, 2015 k	2020
		Exponentiated	Coefficient (CI) ^a
		High-income	Low-and middle-
		countries	income countries
	No. ^b	40	33
	Health expenditure per capita (\$) ^c	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)*	1.17 (1.12, 1.22)*
	OOP health expenditure $(\%)^{d}$	0.99(0.91, 1.09)	0.89(0.82, 0.96)*
	IHD mortality rate ^e	1.00(0.99, 1.02)	1.02(1.01, 1.03)*
55	Notes: IHD=ischemic heart disease (OOP = out of pocket N	$\frac{102(101, 105)}{10 = \text{number}}$
55 56	a Statin utilization is defined as defi	ined daily doses per 1	000 population > 40 x per day
50 57	logged statin utilization is examined	Data for 2020 is base	d on statin utilization from Ian
57 58	Soptember 2020 b Countries in Cont	rol Amorico and West	A frien were evoluded because
50 50	dees not report country specific infor	rai America and west	Affica were excluded because
39 60	does not report country-specific infor	mation for these region	ons. c increments of 100. d incr
60	of 10. e Age-standardized IHD morta	lity rate, increments of	t 10. * p < 0.05
61			
62	Figure Legends		
63			
64	Figure 1. Statin Utilization by Geogr	aphical Region and In	come, 2015 to 2020
65			
66	Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; M	ENA=Middle East and	d North Africa; No.=number.
67	a All trends in statin utilization were	statistically significan	t ($p < 0.05$), per simple linear
68	regression. b We captured statin utiliz	zation for 91 countries	. c Based on data from January
69	September 2020		-
70	L		
71	Figure 2. Statin Utilization by Count	ry, 2015 to 2020	
72		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
73	Notes: DDD=defined daily doses: No	n =number Data for 2	020 is based on statin utilization
74	January to September 2020 "Very lo	w utilization" refers to	utilization $< \frac{1}{2}$ global statin
75	utilization		
76			
77	Figure 3 Change in Statin Utilization	n in Pre- and Post-CO	VID-19 October 2019 to Septe
78 78	2020	a in the und tost co	ville 19, oetober 2019 to Septe
70	2020		
,) 80	Notes. DDD=defined daily doses. No	n=number Dre COVI	D_{-19} includes the period of O_{2}
Q1	2010 to March 2020 and post COVIE)-10 includes the perio	of April 2020 to October 202
01 07		-17 menues me pen	a of April 2020 to Octobel 202
0∠ 02			
0J 01			
04 05			
00			
	For peer review only - htt	tp://bmjopen.bmj.com/sit	e/about/guidelines.xhtml
			-

Table 1 Factors Associated with Statin Utilization 2015 to 2020 453







Figure 2. Statin Utilization by Country, 2015 to 2020

a. Change in statin utilization, 2015 to 2020



Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number. Data for 2020 is based on statin utilization from January to September 2020. "Very low utilization" refers to utilization < 1/2 global statin utilization.

 BMJ Open

Figure 3. Change in Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020



Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number. Pre-COVID-19 includes the period of October 2019 to March 2020 and post-COVID-19 includes the period of April 2020 to October 2020.

ONLINE SUPPLEMENT

eTable A. Economic and Health Indicators of Countries Examined

4										
5		Drug				Health	% of h	ealth	Statins	IHD
6		Urug		Income	GDP per	expenditure	expend	iture -	in FMI	rate
7		data source ^a	Region ^b	(2020) ^b	(2020) ^b	(2018) ^b	Public	OOP	(2017) °	(2019) ^d
8	Australia	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$51,812	\$5,425	69	18	· - ′	56.1
9	Japan	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$40,113	\$4,267	84	13	-	29.9
10	New Zealand	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$41,792	\$4,037	75	13	-	75.0
10	Singapore	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$59,798	\$2,824	50	31	-	52.3
11	South Korea	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$31,489	\$2,543	58	33	-	35.0
12	l aiwan China	I otal market	East Asia & Pacific	High °	\$28,371 °	\$1,882 °	50	20	-	41.2
13	Unina	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Upper middle	\$10,500	\$501 ¢112	50 40	30	Yes	116-4
14	Malaysia	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Upper middle	\$10.402	\$427	43 51	35	Yes	140.3
15	Thailand	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Upper middle	\$7.189	\$276	76	11	Yes	52.6
15	Philippines	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Lower middle	\$3,299	\$137	33	54	Yes	148.1
16	Vietnam	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Lower middle	\$2,786	\$152	46	45	Yes	95-6
17	Austria	Total market	Europe	High	\$48,105	\$5,326	73	18	-	83.3
18	Belgium	Total market	Europe	High	\$44,594	\$4,913	76	19	-	54.8
19	Croatia	Total market	Europe	High	\$13,828	\$1,014	83	10	Yes	143-8
20	Czech Republic	Total market	Europe	High	\$22,762	\$1,766	83	14	Yes	149-0
20	Denmark	Total market	Europe	High	\$60,909	\$6,217	84	14	-	55.6
21	Estonia	Retail only	Europe	High	\$23,312	\$1,553	74	25	Yes	144-2
22	Finiand	Total market	Europe	⊓ign Lliab	\$49,041 \$29,625	\$4,510 \$4,600	79	10	-	100.0
23	Germany	Total market	Europe	High	\$30,023 \$45,724	φ4,090 \$5.472	73	9 13	-	30·4 81.4
24	Greece	Retail only	Europe	High	\$17 676	\$1,567	52	36	-	91.9
25	Hungary	Total market	Europe	High	\$15.899	\$1.082	69	27	-	174.6
25	Ireland	Total market	Europe	L High	\$83,813	\$5,489	74	12	-	74.7
26	Italy	Total market	Europe	High	\$31,676	\$2,989	74	24	-	55.3
27	Latvia	Total market	Europe	High	\$17,620	\$1,101	60	39	Yes	200.4
28	Lithuania	Total market	Europe	High	\$19,998	\$1,249	66	32	Yes	222.9
29	Luxembourg	Retail only	Europe	High	\$115,874	\$6,227	85	10	-	54-2
30	Netherlands	I otal market	Europe	High	\$52,304	\$5,307	65	11	-	47.4
21	Norway	Total market	Europe	High	\$67,294	\$8,239	85	14	-	55.5
31	Poland	Total market	Europe	High	\$10,000	\$979 \$2,215	61	21	Yes	130-3
32	Romania	Total market	Europe	High	\$12,440	\$687	80	19	Yes	177.1
33	Slovak Republic	Total market	Europe	High	\$19,157	\$1.300	79	19	Yes	198-9
34	Slovenia	Total market	Europe	High	\$25,180	\$2,170	72	12	Yes	59.0
35	Spain	Total market	Europe	High	\$27,057	\$2,736	70	22	-	45.0
26	Sweden	Total market	Europe	High	\$51,926	\$5,982	85	14	Yes	73.7
20	Switzerland	Total market	Europe	High	\$86,602	\$9,871	31	28	-	55.7
37	United Kingdom	Total market	Europe	High	\$40,285	\$4,315	79	17	-	66-8
38	Belarus	I otal market	Europe	Upper middle	\$6,411	\$356	70	25	Yes	334-2
39	Bosnia & Herzegovina Bulgaria	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$6,032	\$540	70 59	29 41	Yes	162.9
40	Russia	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$10 127	0000 800	50	38	Ves	240.6
41	Serbia	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$7,666	\$617	59	38	Yes	204.4
40	Ukraine	Total market	Europe	Lower middle	\$3,727	\$228	48	49	Yes	424.2
42	Chile	Retail only	Latin America	High	\$13,232	\$1,456	51	33	Yes	50-4
43	Uruguay	Retail only	Latin America	High	\$15,438	\$1,590	73	17	Yes	67.0
44	Argentina	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	\$8,442	\$1,128	61	28	Yes	82-1
45	Brazil	Total market	Latin America	Upper middle	\$6,797	\$848	42	28	Yes	74.9
46	Colombia	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	\$5,333	\$513	72	15	Yes	75.3
10	Dominican Republic	I otal market	Latin America	Upper middle	\$7,268 \$5,600	\$462	44	45	Yes	1/5.6
47	Ecuador Mexico	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	000,C¢ \$2,247	3010 \$520	52	40 40	res	01-0 100 0
48	Peru	Retail only	Laun America	Upper middle	90,341 \$6 197	\$320 \$360	50	4∠ 20	Vac	100•0 ⊿8.7
49	Venezuela	Retail only	Latin America	Lower middle f	\$1,739 ^f	\$257	48	38	Yes	130.0
50	Central America ^g	Retail only	East / shoriou	20100 1110010	ψ1,100	Ψ201	.0	00		
51	Panama		Latin America	High	\$12,269	\$1,132	64	29	Yes	58-2
52	Costa Rica		Latin America	Upper middle	\$12,077	\$910	72	22	Yes	71.5
52	Guatemala		Latin America	Upper middle	\$4,603	\$260	36	58	Yes	106-3
53	El Salvador		Latin America	Lower middle	\$3,799	\$289	64	29	Yes	100-4
54	Honduras		Latin America	Lower middle	\$2,406	\$176	40	51	Yes	154.8
55	Nicaragua		Latin America	Lower middle	\$1,905	\$174	60	33	Yes	148.3
56										

eTable A (continued). Economic and Health Indicators of Countries Examined

	Drug			GDP per	Health expenditure	% of h expend	ealth liture ^b	Statins included	IHD mortality
	utilization data source ^a	Region ^b	lncome (2020) ^b	capita (2020) ^b	per capita (2018) ^b	Public	OOP	in EML (2017) °	rate (2019) ^d
Kuwait	Retail only	MENA	High	\$32,373	\$1,711	88	11	-	108-5
Saudi Arabia	Total market	MENA	High	\$20,110	\$1,485	62	14	-	205.6
United Arab Emirates	Retail only	MENA	High	\$43,103	\$1,817	52	13	-	175-4
Algeria	Retail only	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,310	\$256	66	33	Yes	237.3
Egypt	Retail only	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,548	\$126	29	62	Yes	359.3
Morocco	Retail only	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,009	\$175	40	47	Yes	278.5
Tunisia	Total market	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,320	\$252	57	39	Yes	193-5
Jordan	Retail only	MENA	Upper middle	\$4,283	\$330	49	31	Yes	121.9
Kazakhstan	Total market	MENA	Upper middle	\$9,056	\$276	61	33	-	251.4
Lebanon	Retail only	MENA	Upper middle	\$4,891	\$686	50	33	Yes	241.2
Turkey	Total market	MENA	Upper middle	\$8,538	\$390	77	17	-	121.0
Canada	Total market	North America	High	\$43,242	\$4,995	73	15	No	63-9
United States	Total market	North America	High	\$63,544	\$10,624	50	11	-	91.0
Bangladesh	Retail only	South Asia	Lower middle	\$1,969	\$42	17	74	No	111.2
ndia	Total market	South Asia	Lower middle	\$1,901	\$73	27	63	Yes	150-5
Pakistan	Retail only	South Asia	Lower middle	\$1,194	\$43	36	56	Yes	189-3
Sri Lanka	Retail only	South Asia	Lower middle	\$3,682	\$157	41	51	Yes	109-0
South Africa West Africa ^g	Total market Retail only	Sub-Saharan Africa	Upper middle	\$5,091	\$526	54	8	Yes	81.4
Gabon		Sub-Saharan Africa	Upper middle	\$7,006	\$218	59	23	-	117.4
Benin		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$1,291	\$31	20	45	-	113-1
Cameroon		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$1,499	\$54	6	76	-	115.5
Côte d'Ivoire		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$2,326	\$72	29	39	-	122.0
Senegal		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$1,488	\$59	24	56	-	117.6
Burkina Faso		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$831	\$40	43	36	-	130-2
Chad		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$614	\$29	17	62	-	120-1
Democratic Republic of the Congo		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$557	\$19	15	42	-	114.7
Guinea		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$1,194	\$38	16	61	-	123.7
Mali		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$859	\$35	28	34	-	116.0
Niger		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$565	\$30	33	49	-	118-1
Togo		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$915	\$42	17	56	-	134.9

Notes: EML=essential medicines list, IHD=ischemic heart disease, MENA=Middle East and North Africa, OOP=out-of-pocket. a Based on "sell-in" data or the volume purchased by retail (e.g., pharmacies) or non-retail (e.g., hospitals) sectors. As an exception, we used "sell-out" data, or the volume dispensed to patients, in the United Kingdom. We present data on the total market, or retail and non-retail drug sales, for 52 countries. In the 39 countries lacking non-retail sector data, utilization was estimated by interpolation, using the ratio of statin consumption in the retail and non-retail sectors for other countries in their region for which data was available. In 2020, 85% of statins were dispensed via retail sectors (based on countries with data for retail and non-retail sectors). **b** World Bank. c World Health Organization. d Global Burden Disease, age-standardized IHD mortality rate. e Republic of China (Taiwan), Statistical Bureau. f Australian Department of Foreign Affairs & Trade, Venezuela Fact Sheet. g IQVIA does not provide country specific utilization for this region.

eTable B. Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020

	Statin	utilization, No.		
		$\frac{0 \text{ population}}{2 \text{ Population}} \geq 40$	y) "	Orecut
Countring/Degianah	Pre-COVID-19	Post-COVID-19		Growth
Countines/Regions -		(04/20 to 09/20)	ρ	70
Denmark	331.7	326-1	0.73	-1.7
Canada	322.9	314.3	0.68	-2.7
United Kingdom	324.5	308-8	0.14	-4.8
Australia	327-5	265-2	0.26	-19-0
Norway	304-7	274-4	0.26	-9.9
United States	271.6	271.2	.98	-0-1
Ireland	280-3	257-8	0-38	-8-0
Sweden	265.0	255.5	0.61	-3.6
New Zealand	275-3	243-6	0.74	-11-5
Greece	250.5	253.7	0.33	1.3
Slovenia	250.8	256.1	0.55	2.1
Belgium	271-5	243-0	0-14	-10-5
Czech Republic	241.7	248.3	0.15	2.7
Finland	253.0	243.2	0.47	-3-9
Netherlands	248.8	237.9	0.22	-4-4
Lebanon	201.9	232.4	0.17	15.1
Poland	241-2	202-5	0-32	-16-0
Austria	223-5	190-8	0-18	-14-7
Portugal	205-4	184-8	0-43	-10-0
Spain	188-6	180-1	0.51	-4.5
Luxembourg	198-1	176-2	0-21	-11-0
Latvia	193-1	174-8	0-40	-9-5
Hungary	192-9	175-0	0-30	-9-3
Switzerland	202-8	170-1	0-15	-16-1
Germany	180-6	160-6	0-27	-11-1
Slovak Republic	175-5	155-2	0.09	-11-6
Croatia	156-5	158.3	0.67	1.2
Estonia	174-5	148-9	0-39	-14-7
France	145.8	141.8	0.17	-2.7
Italy	144-7	135-1	0-52	-6-6
Romania	141-6	125-4	0-34	-11-4
Argentina	108.7	130.2	0.03	19.8
Uruguay	116-1	118-1	0.35	1.8
Algeria	108-9	111.6	0.88	2.5
Lithuania	113-8	106-0	0-52	-6-8
Brazil	107.0	112.0	0.25	4.7
Thailand	102-6	92-9	0 -34	-9-4
Singapore	96.6	93.4	0.59	-3.3
Bulgaria	94.0	90.8	0.50	-3.4
South Korea	90.5	91.4	0.72	1.0
Taiwan	87.0	86.8	0.96	-0-2
South Africa	83.9	87.8	0.64	4.6
United Arab Emirates	92.7	77-8	0.60	-16-1
Serbia	85.1	78.7	0.25	-7.5
Saudi Arabia	72.1	81.1	0.26	12.4
Turkov	65.0	73.1	0.04	12.4
Bosnia	70.5	61 2	0.04	-12.4
Bussia	58.6	66.2	0.08	13.0
	30·0 76 5	50 Z	0.00	21 1
i ullisia Moleveie	70-3	52-7	0.03	-31-1
Roloruo	6 <u>5</u> -9	53-7	0.25	-10-0
Janan	50.0	51-0	0.00	-1-2
Japan Favot	JJ·∠ E1 /	04·1		1•/ 2 7
⊏gypt Chilo	51·4	53.3	0.50	3.1
	45.5	50.6	0.25	11.4
Sri Lanka	44.5	50.0	0.14	12.4
Dominican Republic	44.7	44.7	0.00	0.0
Kuwait	26.3	27.7	0.84	5.4
Ukraine	32-1	26-1	0.22	-18-7
Jordan	28.7	24-1	0.50	-16-1
Kazaknstan	22.8	22.9	0.97	0.8
Bangladesh	20.9	24.9	0.17	19.3

59 60

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	Statin	utilization, No.					
	(DDD per 1000 population ≥ 40 y) ^a						
	Pre-COVID-19	Post-COVID-19		Growth			
Countries/Regions ^b	(10/19 to 03/20)	(04/20 to 09/20)	р	%			
Mexico	28-4	23-8	0-60	-16-3			
Philippines	23-2	21-3	0-54	-8-1			
Ecuador	18-1	22.3	0.30	23.6			
Pakistan	20.4	19.4	0.40	-4.8			
China	16-2	18.3	0.17	13.3			
Vietnam	23-3	17-2	0-38	-26-3			
Central America ^{c, d}	16-1	16.7	0.75	4.0			
Colombia	14.3	17.3	0.08	20.7			
Morocco	15-5	15-1	0.75	-3-1			
India	13.7	14.3	<0.01	4.3			
Venezuela	14-5	14-4	0-95	-0-9			
Peru	5.9	10.3	0.41	74.7			
Indonesia	10-9	8-9	0-32	-18-3			
West Africa ^{c, e}	4-0	2-8	0.04	-30-1			

eTable B (continued). Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020

Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number.

a Statistical significance was determined using simple linear regression. Countries in bold declined $\geq 5\%$. **b** Sorted based on statin

utilization in 2020, refer to Error! Reference source not found.. c IQVIA does not provide country specific utilization for this
 region. d Central American countries included Costa Rica, El Salvador, Honduras, Guatemala, Nicaragua, and Panama. e West

African countries included Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chad, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Gabon, Guinea, Ivory Coast,

23 Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

GLOBAL, REGIONAL, AND NATIONAL TRENDS IN STATIN UTILIZATION IN HIGH INCOME AND LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES, 2015-2020

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-061350.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Aug-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Guadamuz, Jenny; University of Southern California, School of Pharmacy Shooshtari, Andrew; University of Southern California School of Pharmacy, Titus Family Department of Clinical Pharmacy Qato, Dima; University of Southern California School of Pharmacy, Program on Medicines and Public Health, Titus Family Department of Clinical Pharmacy; University of Southern California, Schaeffer Center for Health Policy and Economics
Primary Subject Heading :	Cardiovascular medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine, Pharmacology and therapeutics, Public health, Health services research
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Ischaemic heart disease < CARDIOLOGY





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

GLOBAL, REGIONAL, AND NATIONAL TRENDS IN STATIN UTILIZATION IN HIGH INCOME AND LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES, 2015-2020

Jenny S. Guadamuz, PhD¹⁻² Andrew Shooshtari¹ Dima M Qato, PharmD, MPH, PhD¹⁻²

1. Program on Medicines and Public Health, Titus Family Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of Southern California School of Pharmacy, Los Angeles, California, USA

2. Leonard D. Schaeffer Center for Health Policy and Economics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA

13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
$\frac{-}{23}$	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	Correspondence
36	Dima M Qato, PharmD, MPH, PhD
37	USC School of Pharmacy
38	1985 Zonal Avenue
39	Los Angeles, CA 90089
40	Phone: (323) 442-1392
41	Email: <u>qato@usc.edu</u>
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	Length Text 2789; abstract 292; references 27, figures 3; tables 1; supplemental materials 2.

2		
3	47	Abstract
4	48	
5	49	Objective : Prior studies have reported inequitable global access to essential medicines for
7	50	cardiovascular disease (CVD) prevention, especially statins. Here we examine recent trends and
, 8	51	disparities in statin utilization at the income group, regional, and country levels.
9	52	
10	53	Design : Ecologic study. Pharmaceutical sales data was used to examine statin utilization in high-
11	54	income counties (HICs) and low- and middle-income countries (I MICs) from 2015 to 2020
12	55	Population astimates were obtained from the Global Purden of Disease (GPD). Fixed affects
13	55	ropulation estimates were obtained from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD). Fixed-effects
14	50	parter regression analysis was used to examine associations between statin utilization and
15	57	country-level factors.
16 17	58 50	
17	59	Setting: Global, including 41 high-income counties (HICs) and 50 low- and middle-income
10	60	countries (LMICs).
20	61	
21	62	Participants: Population older than 40 years of age.
22	63	
23	64	Primary & secondary outcome measures: Statin utilization was measured using defined daily
24	65	doses (DDD) per 1000 population ≥ 40 years per day (TPD).
25	66	
26	67	Results : Globally, statin utilization increased 24.7% from 54.7 DDD/TPD in 2015 to 68.3
27	68	DDD/TPD in 2020. However, regional and income group disparities persisted during this period.
20	69	In 2020, statin utilization was more than six-times higher in HICs than LMIC (192.4 vs. 28.4
30	70	DDD/TDP, $p < 0.01$). Substantial disparities were also observed between LMICs, ranging from
31	71	3.1 DDDs/TDPs in West African nations to 225.0 DDD/TDP in Lebanon in 2020. While statin
32	72	utilization increased in most LMIC between 2015 to 2020, several experienced declines in
33	73	utilization, most notably Venezuela (-85.1%, from 92.3 to 14.0 DDD/TPD). In LMICs, every
34	74	\$100 increase in per capita health spending was associated with a 17% increase in statin
35	75	utilization, while every 10% increase in out-of-pocket health spending was associated with a
36 27	76	11% decline (both $p < 0.05$).
38	77	
39	78	Conclusions . Despite global increases in statin utilization there are substantial regional and
40	79	country-level disparities between HIC and LMICs. To address global CVD disparities
41	80	policymakers should promote increased and equitable access to stating in LMICs
42	81	ponegniakolo should promote increased and equilable access to such a millimes.
43	01	
44		
45		
40 47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54 57		
55 56		
57		
58		
59		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

82 Strengths and Limitations83

- Pharmaceutical sales data was used to examine statin utilization in 41 high-income counties (HICs) and 50 low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) representing approximately 90% of the global population older than 40 years of age.
 - Comparisons between regions, income groups, and countries should be interpreted in the context of the available data and total market coverage of the included countries.
- IQVIA does not provide sales data for most low-income countries; therefore, this study may underestimate the magnitude of statin utilization disparities between HICs and LMICs.
 - Relationships between changes in statin utilization and country-level characteristics are not casual.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD)—primarily ischemic heart disease (IHD)—causes approximately one-third of deaths worldwide.[1] While age-standardized CVD mortality rates have declined globally, the number of deaths due to CVD has increased from 12.1 million in 1990 to 18.6 million in 2019[1] and substantial regional, income group, and country level disparities exist.[2,3] For example, age-standardized CVD mortality rates were lowest in high-income countries (HICs) in Asia-Pacific, Europe, and North America and highest in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in Eastern Europe, Middle East and North Africa, and South Asia.[4] Moreover, the CVD burden has increased in nearly every LMIC during the past three decades.[1] Currently, LMICs account for approximately 80% of global CVD deaths.[2] Medicines, alongside lifestyle changes such as diet, exercise, and smoking cessation, are a cornerstone of CVD prevention.[5] Statins (HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors) are particularly important because they are widely recommended for primary and secondary prevention-that is, among adults with and without known CVD.[5-7] Statins have been included in the World

Health Organization (WHO) Model Essential Medicines List (EML)-used to develop national EMLs that guide public procurement—since 2007.[8] Despite statins steadily losing patent protections throughout the world since 2006,[8] only 60% of LMICs include these medicines in their EMLs as of 2017.[9] As medicines included in EMLs have higher availability in the private

- and public sectors, [10] these policies—as well as differences in income, health spending, and disease burden—may result in global disparities in statin utilization.
- While utilization of preventative cardiovascular medicines, including statins, has increased globally in the past decade, large disparities exist. [11,12] For example, a study using pharmaceutical sales data from 65 countries found that consumption of cardiovascular medicines was approximately six-times higher in HICs than in LMICs in 2018.[11] A separate study using sales data from 83 countries found that consumption of lipid-lowering medicines was at least three-times higher in HICs than in LMICs in 2018.[12] However, these studies do not focus on the population at greatest need, adults older than 40 years, [6,7] nor do they evaluate country-level factors associated with statin utilization. Furthermore, an updated analysis of statin utilization is imperative considering the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic that has caused severe disruptions to the pharmaceutical supply chain and the provision of healthcare.[13–15]

This study used global pharmaceutical sales data to estimate statin utilization per population aged 40 years and older in 91 countries from 2015 to 2020. Disparities across and within regions and income groups were examined over time, including in the six months prior to and following the start of the COVID-19 pandemic. To inform global efforts to improve access to essential medicines, we also examined the extent to which country-level factors, such as gross domestic product (GDP), health spending, and underlying IHD burden, are associated with statin utilization.

Methods

Design and Data Sources

We conducted a cross-sectional study examining trends and disparities in statin utilization in 91

countries using pharmaceutical sales data collected by IQVIA (Multinational Integrated Data

BMJ Open

Analysis System, MIDAS) from January 2015 to October 2020. These countries represent

approximately 90% of the global population older than 40 years of age.[16] IQVIA samples pharmaceutical sales from multiple distribution channels (e.g., manufacturers, wholesalers, and

medical facilities) to develop nationally representative estimates of retail and non-retail

pharmaceutical sales in each country.[17] If necessary, IQVIA projects its samples to represent

100% of the retail and non-retail sales in each country and reports >90% global precision in

recent years.[17] However, IQVIA does not publicly disclose detailed information on data

- collection, projection, and validation.

Several sources were used to further characterize these countries. Countries were grouped based on their income and geographical regions per the World Bank (2020).[18] Population estimates and age-standardized IHD mortality rates (GBD 2019 causes of death were mapped to International Classification of Diseases [19] were obtained from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) (2015-2019).[16] Health expenditures (total, public, out-of-pocket) were also obtained from the World Bank (2015-2018).[20] We projected the values of these estimates through 2020 by applying the county-specific growth rates observed from 2015 to 2018 or 2019 (depending on data availability). Finally, whether stating were included in national essential medicine lists was determined, for reference, using the Global Essential Medicines database (2017).[9]

Measuring Statin Utilization

We extracted country-level dispensing for WHO Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical codes relating to statins (C10AA).[21] As IOVIA does not report country-specific data for six countries in Centra America (Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Panama) and twelve countries in West Africa (Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of Congo, Gabon, Guinea, Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo), we examined these countries in aggregate. We examined total market data, or retail and non-retail statin sales, for 52 countries (eTable A). In the 23 countries/groups that lack non-retail sector data, utilization was estimated by interpolation, using the ratio of statin consumption in the retail and non-retail sectors for other countries in their region for which data was available (85% of all statins were dispensed through the retail sector).

To enable international comparisons over time, we converted statin sales (expressed in

milligrams) into defined daily doses (DDDs) using the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical

Classification System developed by the WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics

Methodology.[21] To account for differences in population size and age distribution, we report

statin utilization as DDDs per 1000 population \geq 40 years per day (TPD) for each country.

- Global, regional, and income group statin utilizations in DDD/TPD were derived by aggregating statin sale and population estimates.

- Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to examine trends and disparities in statin utilization from 2015 to 2020. Simple linear regressions were used to determine statistical significance in trends and disparities. Changes in statin utilization in the pre- (October 2019 to March 2020) and post-

- COVID-19 (April 2020 to October 2020) periods were also evaluated.

Page 7 of 20

1

BMJ Open

2		
3	185	Fixed-effects panel regression analysis was used to quantify the association between economic
4	186	and health indicators and the statin utilization from 2015 to 2020. Annual, country-level statin
5	187	utilization estimates were logged in these models to enable interpretation as percent change
0 7	188	associated with each unit of the independent variables examined. The independent variables
7 8	189	included time-varying health expenditure per capita, out-of-pocket health expenditure (as a
9	190	percentage of total expenditure) and age-standardized IHD mortality rate. Errors were clustered
10	101	by year and country to account for serial correlation
11	107	by year and country to account for serial correlation.
12	192	All n values are two sided STATA version 17.1 was used for all statistical analyses
13	195	All <i>p</i> -values are two-sided. STATA version 17-1 was used for all statistical analyses.
14	194	This state was a social and assessed by the Institutional Descises Descaled the University of
15	195	I his study was considered exempt by the institutional Review Board at the University of
16	196	Southern California because this study was not considered numan subjects research.
17	19/	
19	198	Patient and Public Involvement
20	199	No patients or members of the public were involved in the design of this study
21	200	
22	201	RESULTS
23	202	As shown in Figure 1 , global statin utilization increased 24.7% from 54.7 DDD/TPD in 2015 to
24	203	68.3 DDD/TPD ($p < 0.01$). While statin utilization increased, to varying degrees, in all regions
25	204	and income groups (all $p < 0.05$), disparities persisted. In 2020, statin utilization was highest in
26	205	North America and Europe (279.7 and 159.9 DDD/TPD, respectively) and substantially lower in
27	206	Latin America, MENA, East Asia, South Asia, and sub-Saharan Africa (66.1, 64.1, 29.3, 16.1,
20 20	207	and 24.7 DDD/TPD, respectively). From 2015 to 2020, statin utilization increased substantially
30	208	in HICs (19.3% from 161.3 to 192.4 DDD/TPD) and LMICs (57.9% from 18.0 to 28.4
31	209	DDD/TPD). However, disparities by income group remained throughout this period—by 2020,
32	210	statin utilization was seven times greater in HICs than in LMICs.
33	211	
34	212	Figure 2 depicts country-specific variation in statin utilization. From 2015 to 2020, statin
35	213	utilization increased or remained stable in most HICs, except Singapore (125.2 to 95.0 -24.1%
36	212	p=0.27) the United Arab Emirates (104.4 to 83.9 -19.7% $p=0.02$) Luxembourg (216.6 to
3/	215	$185.3 - 14.4\%$ $n \le 0.01$ and New Zealand (295.4 to 256.0 -13.4% $n = 0.12$) HICs located in
20 20	215	North America and Europe have substantially higher statin utilization than comparable countries
40	210	located in other regions. For example, in 2020, statin utilization in HICs ranged from over 300
41	217 218	DDD/TPD in Donmark, Conada, and United Kingdom to loss than 50 DDD/TPD in Japan, Chila
42	210	and Vuvvoit
43	219	alla Kuwalt.
44	220	From 2015 to 2020, static stilization in proceed by more than 10% in morely all LMICs
45	221	From 2015 to 2020, statin utilization increased by more than 10% in hearly all LMICs. Executions included India (12.0 to 14.1.0.10/ μ m=0.02). Molecupia (57.8 to (0.0.2.00/ μ m=0.41)
46	222	Exceptions included india (12.9 to 14.1, 9.1%, $p=0.02$), Malaysia (57.8 to 60.0, 3.9%, $p=0.41$),
4/	223	Ecuador (20.2 to 20.9, 3.6%, $p=0.09$), and Jordan (28.6 to 27.1, -5.4%, $p=0.20$), where
48 70	224	utilization remained relatively stable, and Venezuela, where utilization sharply declined (92.3 to
49 50	225	13.8, -85.1%, $p < 0.01$). Several LMICs had higher statin utilization than the global average in
51	226	2020, including Lebanon, Algeria, Brazil, Thailand, and South Africa (224.9, 111.8, 109.3,
52	227	96.8, and 85.4 DDD/TPD, respectively). Statin utilization is lower than 34 DDD/TPD
53	228	(approximately half the global average) in 35 LMICs, including some of the most populous
54	229	nations, such as China, India, Indonesia, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Mexico.
55	230	
56		
5/		
50 50		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Table 1 presents factors associated with changes in statin utilization. In HICs, only health

expenditure per capita was significantly and positively associated with statin utilization. In

LMICs, every \$100 increase in health expenditure per capita was associated with a 17% increase

total health expenditure) was associated with a 11% decline in utilization (both p < 0.05). Greater

in utilization, while every 10% increase in out-of-pocket health expenditure (as percentage of

rates of IHD mortality were also positively associated with more statin utilization in LMICs.

Compared with the pre-COVID-19 period, statin utilization declined by more than 5.0% in 41

or >10% decline—were found in 13 HICs, including Australia (327.5 to 265.2 DDD/TPD, -

(23·3 to 17·2 DDD/TPD, -26·3%), Ukraine (32·1 to 26·1 DDD/TPD, -18·7%), and Mexico

19.0%), the United Arab Emirates (92.7 to 77.8 DDD/TPD, -16.1%), and Germany (180.6 to

160.6 DDD/TPD, -11.1%) (eTable B). Some of the most severe disruptions in statin utilization

were observed among LMICs, including in Tunisia (76.5 to 52.7 DDD/TPD, -31.1%), Vietnam

countries, including 19 HICs and 22 LMICs (Figure 3). Severe disruptions in statin utilization—

DISCUSSION

(28.4 to 23.8 DDD/TPD, -16.3%).

Using a global database, representing approximately 90% of the global population older than 40 years of age,[16] we found persistent disparities across regions, income groups, and countries in statin utilization which may contribute to worsening disparities in CVD mortality. While global statin utilization has increased 25% from 2015 to 2020, statin utilization remains higher in the "global north" (e.g., North America, Europe, and Oceania) and in HICs than countries in other regions and LMICs.[4] In 2020, statin utilization was seventeen times higher in North America versus South Asia-the region with the highest age-adjusted CVD mortality rate in the world[4]—and seven times higher in HICs versus LMICs—that are experiencing a near universal increase in the number of CVD deaths.[1]

- Statin utilization is also substantially lower in countries with disproportionately high age-standardized CVD mortality rates, namely LMICs in South Asia, MENA, and sub-Saharan Africa.[4] The WHO Global Non-Communicable Disease (NDC) Action Plan 2013-2020 aimed for a 25% reduction in premature deaths from NDC, especially CVD, from 2010 to 2025 by ensuring that at least half of adults at high CVD risk receive cardiovascular medicines and that 80% of public and private facilities have these essential medicines available on-site.[22] Growth in statin utilization in LMICs was concentrated among those countries with worsening IHD mortality—suggesting reactionary policies for the management of CVD morbidity and mortality versus preventative strategies for the provision of essential medicines. Together, our findings suggest that global efforts to reduce the burden of CVD need to be strengthened-statin utilization remains inequitable and suboptimal in LMICs, including those with worsening rates of CVD mortality.
- 48 270

Importantly, there is a substantial gap between CVD burden and statin utilization between HIC and LMICs. For example, statin utilization is very low (less than half the global average) in 70% of the LMICs examined, yet account for 68% of the global population of middle aged and older adults and 55% CVD deaths worldwide.[16] Forty-two percent of CVD deaths occur in China (25%), India (14%), and Indonesia (4%),[16] all of whom have very low statin utilization and together account for less than 11% of statins dispensed in the world. From 2015 to 2019, the IHD

3	277	mortality rate has declined or remained stable in most LMICs, with notable exceptions of
4	277	Bangladesh (5%) Malaysia (5%) and Venezuela (10%) that have experienced substantial
5	270	increases in recent years [16] These countries, with worsening IHD mortality, have
6	275	comparatively low statin utilization in given their regional and income group averages. However
/	200	Vanaguala has also experienced substantial dealines in statin utilization in this period
8	201	venezueta nas also experienced substantial declines in statin utilization in this period—
9 10	282	aggravating the IHD burden experienced by its populace.
11	283	
12	284	Among LMICs, we found that every \$100 increase in per capita health spending was associated
13	285	with a 1/% increase in statin utilization and that every 10% increase in the proportion of out-of-
14	286	pocket health spending was associated with an 11% decline. These findings suggest that policy
15	287	efforts to address global disparities in statin utilization, may need to increase health spending
16	288	while shifting the burden of health spending from individuals to the public sector (either via the
17	289	direct provision of healthcare and medicines or via insurance schemes). Unfortunately, public
18	290	investment in health (as measured by government health spending as a percentage of total
19	291	expenditures) has declined in LMICs during the last two decades.[23] Only high-income and
20	292	upper-middle countries have seen moderate increases in government health spending,[23]
21	293	countries that consume a disproportionate share of stating. Out-of-pocket spending as a share of
22	294	total health spending has remained stubbornly high in LMICs (above 40% and twice the
24	295	percentage in HICs) during this period [23] International aid—a major source of health spending
25	296	in LMICs—is disproportionately directed to communicable diseases [23] and these policies may
26	297	aggravate global disparities in the use of essential medicines including stating and hinder efforts
27	298	to reduce CVD mortality. International aid for health, which could alleviate costs of essential
28	290	medicines for governments and the public has also stagnated since 2013 [23]
29	300	incuremes for governments and the public, has also stagnated since 2015.[25]
30	201	Economic or political origon, which are often followed by sharp declines in total health spanding.
31 22	202	Economic of political clises, which are often followed by sharp declines in total health spending,
32	302 202	may also impact access to essential medicines. The starkest example is venezuela, where stating utilization dealined 85% between 2015 to 2020. The superior access to essential between in 2010
34	303	utilization declined 85% between 2015 to 2020. The ongoing sociopolitical crisis began in 2010
35	304	and has spiraled into a sustained period of hyperinflation, a 75% decline in health spending, as
36	305	well as widespread and chronic shortages of essential medicines in the past decade.[20,24]
37	306	
38	307	Pharmaceutical supply chain disruptions have resulted from slowdowns in the production of
39	308	medicines that impact domestic and international markets, transportation hurdles, and restrictions
40	309	on movement (internationally and domestically and by providers and patients).[25] For example,
41	310	early in the pandemic, active pharmaceutical ingredient production in China was severely
4Z //3	311	curtailed—leading to shortages and delays in the production of medicines throughout the world,
44	312	including in the United States, the European Union, and India.[26]
45	313	
46	314	During the first six months of COVID-19 pandemic, statin utilization declined by more than 5%
47	315	in 41 countries. As a whole, HICs experienced greater declines in statin utilization during the
48	316	post-COVID-19 period (-2% versus -4% observed worldwide). Perhaps, because HICs had more
49	317	severe restrictions on movement to mitigate COVID-19 spread than LMICs early in the
50	318	pandemic.[27] However, the most severe disruptions in individual countries occurred in LMICs.
51	319	which may be more vulnerable to supply chain disruptions. Several countries in Eastern Europe
52	320	(e g Serbia Bosnia Belarus and Ukraine) Southeast Asia $(e g$ Thailand Malaysia the
55 54	321	Philippines Vietnam and Indonesia) and MENA ($\rho \sigma$ Tunisia and Iordan) saw dramatic
55	327	declines in statin utilization as did West Africa as a region and Mexico Global COVID-19
56	544	deennes in statin attization, as and west ranea as a region and wexter. Global CO VID-17
57		
58		
59		For some versions only the start of hand on a late the start of the start of the start
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

disparities, including inequitable access to vaccinations, [27] may result in persistent disruptions to statins access in LMICs, as countries prioritize acute health needs. If these trends continue, the

- COVID-19 pandemic may halt or reverse gains in statin utilization and worsen regional and
- country level CVD disparities between HIC and LMICs.
- Limitations

- This study had several limitations. First, comparisons between regions, income groups, and
- countries should be interpreted in the context of the available data and total market coverage of
- the included countries. For example, IQVIA does not provide non-retail sales for 39 of the 91 countries examined. However, 85% of all statins were dispensed through retail pharmacies, and
- we account for missing non-retail sales through interpolation (using the ratio of statin
- consumption in the retail and non-retail sectors for other countries in their region for which data
- was available). Second, IQVIA does not provide sales data for most low-income countries;
- therefore, this study may underestimate the magnitude of statin utilization disparities between
- HICs and LMICs. Finally, relationships between changes in statin utilization and country-level
- characteristics are not casual. However, the trends and disparities in statin utilization described in
- this study help evaluate the global progress in ensuring equitable access to essential medicines and inform efforts to reduce the global burden of CVD.

Conclusion

Despite a 25% increase in global statin utilization from 2015 to 2020, there are substantial and persistent regional and country-level disparities between HIC and LMICs. To address worsening CVD disparities, global, regional, and national policymakers should promote increased and iz. Rzonz

equitable access to statins in LMICs.

347 Contributorship Statement

348 Dr. Guadamuz developed the methodology, conducted the analyses, wrote the first draft, and 349 received funding for the study. Mr. Shooshtari provided administrative support and supplied 350 suggestions for the analyses, data interpretation, and article drafting. Dr. Qato provided critical 351 revisions to the article resulting in improvements to the design, analyses, and interpretations, and 352 received funding for the study. All authors reviewed, revised, and approved the final version of 353 the article.

12Funding Statement

Johnson Foundation, and IQVIA, had no role in the design and conduct of the study, analysis or
 interpretation of the data, and preparation, or final approval of the article before publication.

363 Competing Interests Statement

364 Dr. Guadamuz current reports employment with Flatiron Health, Inc, which is an independent
 365 subsidiary of the Roche Group. Flatiron Health, Inc., had no role in the design and conduct of the
 366 study, analysis or interpretation of the data, and preparation, or final approval of the article
 367 before publication.

369 Ethical Approval Statement

This study was considered exempt by the Institutional Review Board at the University of
Southern California because this study was not considered human subjects research.

373 Data Sharing Statement

374 Data for this study will not be made available due to licensing agreements with IQVIA.

1			
2			
3	377	REF	ERENCES
4 5	378		
6	379	1.	Roth GA, Mensah GA, Johnson CO, Addolorato G, Ammirati E, Baddour LM, et al.
7	380		Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990–2019. Journal of the
8	381		American College of Cardiology. 2020 Dec 10;76(25):2982–3021.
9	382	2.	Bowry ADK, Lewey J, Dugani SB, Choudhry NK. The Burden of Cardiovascular Disease
10	383		in Low- and Middle-Income Countries : Epidemiology and Management. Canadian
11	384		Journal of Cardiology. 2020;31(9):1151–9.
12	385	3.	Barquera S, Pedroza-Tobías A, Medina C, Hernández-Barrera L, Bibbins-Domingo K,
13 17	386		Lozano R, et al. Global Overview of the Epidemiology of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular
15	387		Disease. Archives of Medical Research. 2015;46(5):328–38.
16	388	4.	Roth GA, Johnson C, Abajobir A, Abd-Allah F, Abera SF, Abyu G, et al. Global.
17	389		Regional, and National Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases for 10 Causes, 1990 to 2015.
18	390		Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2017:70(1):1–25.
19	391	5	World Health Organization Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: Guidelines for
20	392	0.	assessment and management of cardiovascular risk [Internet] 2007 [cited 2021 Oct 24]
21	393		Available from: https://www.who.int/cardiovascular_diseases/guidelines
22	394	6	Grundy SM Stone NI Bailey AL et al 2018
23 24	395	0.	ACC/AHA/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/ APhA/ASPC/NI A/PCNA
25	396		Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of
26	397		Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice
27	398		Guidelines I Am Coll Cardiol 2018:
28	300	7	Arnett DK Blumenthal RS Albert MA Buroker AB Goldberger 7D Hahn EL et al
29	400	1.	2010 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: A
30	400		Penort of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on
31 32	401		Clinical Practice Guidelines Circulation 2010 Sep 10:140(11):0506 646
33	402	0	Kighers SD Dlank E. Holler DI Datel A. Daters A. Drice M. et al. Modernizing the World
34	403	0.	Hanth Organization List of Essential Medicines for Preventing and Controlling
35	404		Gendievesses Journal of the American Calless of Cardialasy
36	403		Caldiovascular Diseases. Journal of the American Conege of Cardiology.
37	406	0	2018;/1(5):504-74.
38	407	9.	World Health Organization. Global Essential Medicine [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Jul
39	408	10	25]. Available from: https://global.essentialmeds.org/
40 41	409	10.	Bazargani Y I, Ewen M, de Boer A, Leuikens HGM, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK. Essential
42	410		medicines are more available than other medicines around the globe. PloS one. 2014 Feb
43	411	1.1	12;9(2):e8/5/6-e8/5/6.
44	412	11.	Yan VKC, Blais JE, Li X, Chui CSL, Wei L, Yan BP, et al. Trends in Cardiovascular
45	413		Medicine Use in 65 Middle- and High-Income Countries. Journal of the American College
46	414		of Cardiology. 2021;77(7):1021–3.
47	415	12.	Blais JE, Wei Y, Yap KKW, Alwafi H, Ma TT, Brauer R, et al. Trends in lipid-modifying
48 40	416		agent use in 83 countries. Atherosclerosis. 2021;328:44–51.
49 50	417	13.	Alexander GC, Qato DM. Ensuring Access to Medications in the US During the COVID-
51	418		19 Pandemic. JAMA. 2020 Jul 7;324(1):31–2.
52	419	14.	Lakavage A. Covid-19 has exposed cracks in the global medicines supply chain [Internet].
53	420		STAT. 2020 [cited 2021 Oct 24]. Available from:
54	421		https://www.statnews.com/2020/06/02/covid-19-exposed-cracks-global-medicines-supply-
55	422		chain/
56 57			
58			
59			
60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

1			
2			
5 4	423	15.	World Health Organization. COVID-19 continues to disrupt essential health services in
5	424		90% of countries [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Oct 24]. Available from:
6	425		https://www.who.int/news/item/23-04-2021-covid-19-continues-to-disrupt-essential-
7	426		health-services-in-90-of-countries
8	427	16.	Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019
9	428		[Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Dec 12]. Available from: http://www.healthdata.org/gbd
10	429	17.	IQVIA. Accuracy and Timeliness Statistics Annual Report, 2020 [Internet]. 2021 [cited
11	430		2021 Jul 25]. Available from: https://www.iqvia.com/library/publications/acts-2020
12	431	18.	World Bank Data. World Bank Country and Lending Groups [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021
13 14	432		Jul 25]. Available from:
15	433		https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-
16	434		and-lending-groups
17	435	19.	Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD
18	436	- / ·	2019) Cause List Manned to ICD Codes [Internet] 2021 [cited 2022 May 27] Available
19	437		from: https://ghdx healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/ghd-2019-cause-icd-code-mannings
20	438	20	World Bank World Bank Open Data [Internet] 2021 [cited 2021 Jul 25] Available from:
21	/30	20.	https://data worldbank org/
22	437	21	WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology Purpose of the ATC/DDD
25 24	770 1/1	21.	system [Internet] [cited 2020 Apr 6] Available from:
24	441		https://www.whose po/eta_ddd_methodology/purpose_of_the_ata_ddd_system/
26	442	22	World Health Organization (WHO) Clobal Action Dian for the Drevention and Control of
27	445	22.	Non-communicable Diseases 2012, 2020, 2012
28	444	22	Noncommunicable Diseases 2015-2020, 2015.
29	445	23.	world Health Organization (WHO). Global spending on health: weathering the storm.
30	440	24	2020. Deukalaan S. Manamala's Haalik Care Statem Deads Ta Callance Amid Francusia Crisis
31	44 /	24.	Rapheison S. Venezueia's Health Care System Ready To Collapse Amid Economic Crisis
32 33	448		[Internet]. NPR. 2018 [cited 2021 Dec 26]. Available from:
34	449		https://www.npr.org/2018/02/01/582469305/venezuelas-health-care-system-ready-to-
35	450	~ ~	collapse-amid-economic-crisis
36	451	25.	McDonell A, Chalkidou K, Yadav P, Rosen D. Understanding the Impact Of COVID-19
37	452		On Essential Medicine Supply Chains [Internet]. Center For Global Development. 2020
38	453		[cited 2021 Dec 26]. Available from: https://www.cgdev.org/blog/understanding-impact-
39	454		covid-19-essential-medicine-supply-chains
40	455	26.	Mullin R. COVID-19 is reshaping the pharmaceutical supply chain. Chem Eng News.
41	456		2020 Apr 27;98(16):31–5.
4Z 13	457	27.	Eyawo O, Viens AM, Ugoji UC. Lockdowns and low- and middle-income countries:
44	458		building a feasible, effective, and ethical COVID-19 response strategy. Globalization and
45	459		Health. 2021 Dec 1;17(1):1–5.
46	460		
47	461		
48			
49			
50			
51			
5∠ 53			
55			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			Ear poor rovious only http://bmicnon.hmi.com/cita/about/auidalines.yhtml
60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

463		-	
		Exponentiated (Coefficient (CI) ^a
		High-income	Low-and middle-
		countries	income countries
	No. ^b	40	33
	Health expenditure per capita (\$) ^c	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)*	1.17 (1.12, 1.22)*
	OOP health expenditure $(\%)^{d}$	0.99(0.91, 1.09)	0.89(0.82, 0.96)*
	IHD mortality rate ^e	1.00(0.99, 1.02)	1.02(1.01, 1.03)*
64	Notes: IHD=ischemic heart disease.	OOP=out-of-pocket. N	lo.=number.
65	a Statin utilization is defined as defi	ined daily doses per 1	000 population > 40 v per day.
66	logged statin utilization is examined.	Data for 2020 is base	d on statin utilization from Janua
67	September 2020 b Countries in Cent	ral America and West	A frica were excluded because I
68	does not report country-specific infor	mation for these regio	ns c Increments of 100 d Incret
60 60	of 10 a Age-standardized IHD morta	lity rate increments of	10. * n < 0.05
70	of 10. CAge-standardized IIID morta	inty rate, increments of	10: p < 0.05
70	Figure Legende		
·/1 70	Figure Legends		
+/2		1' 1D ' 11	2015 / 2020
-/3	Figure 1. Statin Utilization by Geogr	aphical Region and In	come, 2015 to 2020
-/4			
-75	Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; M	ENA=Middle East and	l North Africa; No.=number.
76	a All trends in statin utilization were	statistically significant	t ($p < 0.05$), per simple linear
177	regression. b We captured statin utilized	zation for 91 countries	. c Based on data from January to
178	September 2020		
79			
80	Figure 2. Statin Utilization by Count	ry, 2015 to 2020	
81			
82	Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No	o.=number. Data for 20	020 is based on statin utilization
83	January to September 2020. "Very lo	w utilization" refers to	utilization $< \frac{1}{2}$ global statin
84	utilization		
85			
86	Figure 3. Change in Statin Utilization	n in Pre- and Post-CO	VID-19, October 2019 to Septem
87	2020		, i
188			
189	Notes: DDD=defined daily doses [.] No	=number Pre-COVI	D-19 includes the period of Octo
190	2019 to March 2020 and post-COVI)-19 includes the period	d of April 2020 to October 2020
191	2019 to him 2020 and poor 00 th	perio	
197			
03			
0/			
-74			
	For peer review only - htt	tp://bmjopen.bmj.com/sit	e/about/guidelines.xhtml







Figure 2. Statin Utilization by Country, 2015 to 2020

a. Change in statin utilization, 2015 to 2020



Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number. Data for 2020 is based on statin utilization from January to September 2020. "Very low utilization" refers to utilization < 1/2 global statin utilization.

 BMJ Open

Figure 3. Change in Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020



Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number. Pre-COVID-19 includes the period of October 2019 to March 2020 and post-COVID-19 includes the period of April 2020 to October 2020.

ONLINE SUPPLEMENT

eTable A. Economic and Health Indicators of Countries Examined

4 5						Health	% of h	ealth	Statins	IHD
5 6		Drug			GDP per	expenditure	expend	liture ^b	included	mortality
7		data source ^a	Region ^b	(2020) ^b	capita (2020) ^b	per capita (2018) ^b	Public	OOP	in EML (2017) °	rate (2019) ^d
8	Australia	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$51,812	\$5,425	69	18	-	56.1
0	Japan	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$40,113	\$4,267	84	13	-	29.9
10	New Zealand	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$41,792	\$4,037	75	13	-	75.0
10	Singapore	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$59,798	\$2,824	50	31	-	52.3
11	South Korea	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High	\$31,489	\$2,543	58	33	-	35.0
12	l aiwan	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	High °	\$28,371 °	\$1,882 °	50	20	-	41.2
13	Unina	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Upper middle	\$10,500	\$501 \$112	00 20	30	Yes	110.4
14	Malaysia	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Upper middle	\$3,870	\$427	49 51	35	Yes	140-3
15	Thailand	Total market	Fast Asia & Pacific	Upper middle	\$7,189	\$276	76	11	Yes	52.6
15	Philippines	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Lower middle	\$3,299	\$137	33	54	Yes	148-1
16	Vietnam	Total market	East Asia & Pacific	Lower middle	\$2,786	\$152	46	45	Yes	95.6
17	Austria	Total market	Europe	High	\$48,105	\$5,326	73	18	-	83.3
18	Belgium	Total market	Europe	High	\$44,594	\$4,913	76	19	-	54.8
19	Croatia	Total market	Europe	High	\$13,828	\$1,014	83	10	Yes	143-8
20	Czech Republic	Total market	Europe	High	\$22,762	\$1,766	83	14	Yes	149-0
20	Denmark	I otal market	Europe	High	\$60,909	\$6,217	84	14	-	55.6
21	Estonia	Retail only	Europe	High	\$23,312	\$1,553 \$4,516	74	25	Yes	144-2
22	Finiano	Total market	Europe	High	\$49,041 \$38,625	\$4,510 \$4,600	79	10 Q	-	38.4
23	Germany	Total market	Europe	High	\$45 724	\$5 472	78	13	-	81.4
24	Greece	Retail only	Europe	High	\$17.676	\$1.567	52	36	-	91.9
25	Hungary	Total market	Europe	High	\$15,899	\$1,082	69	27	-	174.6
25	Ireland	Total market	Europe	L High	\$83,813	\$5,489	74	12	-	74.7
26	Italy	Total market	Europe	High	\$31,676	\$2,989	74	24	-	55.3
27	Latvia	Total market	Europe	High	\$17,620	\$1,101	60	39	Yes	200-4
28	Lithuania	Total market	Europe	High	\$19,998	\$1,249	66	32	Yes	222.9
29	Luxembourg	Retail only	Europe	High	\$115,874	\$6,227	85	10	-	54.2
30	Netherlands	Total market	Europe	High	\$52,304 \$67,204	\$5,307	65 05	11	-	47.4
21	Poland	Total market	Europe	High	\$07,294 \$15,656	φο,239 \$979	00 71	21	- Vec	130.3
21	Portugal	Total market	Europe	High	\$22,440	\$2 215	61	30	Yes	45.8
32	Romania	Total market	Europe	High	\$12.896	\$687	80	19	Yes	177.1
33	Slovak Republic	Total market	Europe	High	\$19,157	\$1,300	79	19	Yes	198-9
34	Slovenia	Total market	Europe	High	\$25,180	\$2,170	72	12	Yes	59.0
35	Spain	Total market	Europe	High	\$27,057	\$2,736	70	22	-	45.0
36	Sweden	Total market	Europe	High	\$51,926	\$5,982	85	14	Yes	73.7
50	Switzerland	Total market	Europe	High	\$86,602	\$9,871	31	28	-	55.7
3/	United Kingdom	Total market	Europe	High	\$40,285	\$4,315	79	17	-	66.8
38	Belarus Bosnia & Horzogovina	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$6,411	\$350 \$540	70	25	Yes	334.2
39	Bulgaria	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$9,032	\$690	58	29 41	Yes	239.1
40	Russia	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$10,127	\$609	59	38	Yes	240.6
41	Serbia	Total market	Europe	Upper middle	\$7.666	\$617	59	38	Yes	204.4
17	Ukraine	Total market	Europe	Lower middle	\$3,727	\$228	48	49	Yes	424-2
42	Chile	Retail only	Latin America	High	\$13,232	\$1,456	51	33	Yes	50.4
43	Uruguay	Retail only	Latin America	High	\$15,438	\$1,590	73	17	Yes	67.0
44	Argentina	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	\$8,442	\$1,128	61	28	Yes	82.1
45	Brazil	Total market	Latin America	Upper middle	\$6,797	\$848	42	28	Yes	74.9
46	Colombia	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	\$5,333	\$513	72	15	Yes	75.3
17	Dominican Republic	l otal market	Latin America	Upper middle	\$7,268 \$5,600	\$462 \$516	44 52	45	Yes	175.6
40	Mexico	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	\$3,000 \$8,347	\$510 \$520	50	40 42	Ves	100.0
48	Peru	Retail only	Latin America	Upper middle	\$6 127	\$369	63	72 29	Yes	48.7
49	Venezuela	Retail only	Latin America	Lower middle f	\$1.739 ^f	\$257	48	38	Yes	130.0
50	Central America ⁹	Retail only		201101 1110010	<i></i>	<i><i><i>x</i>=01</i></i>	.0	00		
51	Panama	<i>-</i>	Latin America	High	\$12,269	\$1,132	64	29	Yes	58-2
52	Costa Rica		Latin America	Upper middle	\$12,077	\$910	72	22	Yes	71.5
52	Guatemala		Latin America	Upper middle	\$4,603	\$260	36	58	Yes	106-3
22	El Salvador		Latin America	Lower middle	\$3,799	\$289	64	29	Yes	100-4
54	Honduras		Latin America	Lower middle	\$2,406	\$176	40	51	Yes	154-8
55	Nicaragua		Latin America	Lower middle	\$1,905	\$174	60	33	Yes	148.3
F.C.										

eTable A (continued). Economic and Health Indicators of Countries Examined

	Drug			GDP per	Health expenditure	% of h expend	ealth liture ^b	Statins included	IHD mortality
	utilization data source ^a	Region ^b	Income (2020) ^b	capita (2020) ^b	per capita (2018) ^b	Public	OOP	in EML (2017) °	rate (2019) ^d
Kuwait	Retail only	MENA	High	\$32,373	\$1,711	88	11	-	108-5
Saudi Arabia	Total market	MENA	High	\$20,110	\$1,485	62	14	-	205.6
United Arab Emirates	Retail only	MENA	High	\$43,103	\$1,817	52	13	-	175-4
Algeria	Retail only	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,310	\$256	66	33	Yes	237.3
Egypt	Retail only	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,548	\$126	29	62	Yes	359.3
Morocco	Retail only	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,009	\$175	40	47	Yes	278.5
Tunisia	Total market	MENA	Lower middle	\$3,320	\$252	57	39	Yes	193-5
Jordan	Retail only	MENA	Upper middle	\$4,283	\$330	49	31	Yes	121.9
Kazakhstan	Total market	MENA	Upper middle	\$9,056	\$276	61	33	-	251.4
Lebanon	Retail only	MENA	Upper middle	\$4,891	\$686	50	33	Yes	241.2
Turkey	Total market	MENA	Upper middle	\$8,538	\$390	77	17	-	121.0
Canada	Total market	North America	High	\$43,242	\$4,995	73	15	No	63-9
United States	Total market	North America	High	\$63,544	\$10,624	50	11	-	91.0
Bangladesh	Retail only	South Asia	Lower middle	\$1,969	\$42	17	74	No	111.2
India	Total market	South Asia	Lower middle	\$1,901	\$73	27	63	Yes	150-5
Pakistan	Retail only	South Asia	Lower middle	\$1,194	\$43	36	56	Yes	189-3
Sri Lanka	Retail only	South Asia	Lower middle	\$3,682	\$157	41	51	Yes	109-0
South Africa West Africa ^g	Total market Retail only	Sub-Saharan Africa	Upper middle	\$5,091	\$526	54	8	Yes	81.4
Gabon		Sub-Saharan Africa	Upper middle	\$7,006	\$218	59	23	-	117.4
Benin		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$1,291	\$31	20	45	-	113-1
Cameroon		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$1,499	\$54	6	76	-	115.5
Côte d'Ivoire		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$2,326	\$72	29	39	-	122.0
Senegal		Sub-Saharan Africa	Lower middle	\$1,488	\$59	24	56	-	117.6
Burkina Faso		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$831	\$40	43	36	-	130-2
Chad		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$614	\$29	17	62	-	120-1
Democratic Republic of the Congo		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$557	\$19	15	42	-	114.7
Guinea		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$1,194	\$38	16	61	-	123.7
Mali		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$859	\$35	28	34	-	116.0
Niger		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$565	\$30	33	49	-	118-1
Togo		Sub-Saharan Africa	Low	\$915	\$42	17	56	-	134.9

Notes: EML=essential medicines list, IHD=ischemic heart disease, MENA=Middle East and North Africa, OOP=out-of-pocket. a Based on "sell-in" data or the volume purchased by retail (e.g., pharmacies) or non-retail (e.g., hospitals) sectors. As an exception, we used "sell-out" data, or the volume dispensed to patients, in the United Kingdom. We present data on the total market, or retail and non-retail drug sales, for 52 countries. In the 39 countries lacking non-retail sector data, utilization was estimated by interpolation, using the ratio of statin consumption in the retail and non-retail sectors for other countries in their region for which data was available. In 2020, 85% of statins were dispensed via retail sectors (based on countries with data for retail and non-retail sectors). **b** World Bank. c World Health Organization. d Global Burden Disease, age-standardized IHD mortality rate. e Republic of China (Taiwan), Statistical Bureau. f Australian Department of Foreign Affairs & Trade, Venezuela Fact Sheet. g IQVIA does not provide country specific utilization for this region.

eTable B. Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020

	Statin	Statin utilization, No.				
		$\frac{1}{2}$ population $\frac{2}{40}$	y) "	Oneverth		
Countring/Pagionab	Pre-COVID-19	Post-COVID-19	n	Growtr		
Countines/Regions -			ρ	70		
Denmark	331.7	326-1	0.73	-1.7		
Canada	322.9	314.3	0.68	-2.7		
United Kingdom	324.5	308.8	0.14	-4.8		
Australia	327-5	265-2	0-26	-19-0		
Norway	304-7	274-4	0-26	-9-9		
United States	271.6	271.2	•98	-0-1		
Ireland	280-3	257-8	0-38	-8-0		
Sweden	265.0	255.5	0.61	-3-6		
New Zealand	275-3	243-6	0.74	-11-5		
Greece	250.5	253.7	0.33	1.3		
Slovenia	250.8	256.1	0.55	2.1		
Belgium	271-5	243-0	0-14	-10-5		
Czech Republic	241.7	248.3	0.15	2.7		
Finland	253.0	243.2	0.47	-3.9		
Netherlands	248.8	237.9	0.22	-4.4		
Lebanon	201.0	237.4	0.17	15.1		
Poland	201.9	202.4	0.32	-16.0		
	241.2	100.8	0.32	-14.7		
Austria	223-5	190.0	0.10	-14-7		
Portugal	205-4	184-8	0.43	-10-0		
Spain	188.0	180-1	0.51	-4.5		
Luxembourg	198-1	176-2	0.21	-11-0		
Latvia	193-1	174-8	0-40	-9-5		
Hungary	192-9	175-0	0-30	-9-3		
Switzerland	202-8	170-1	0-15	-16-1		
Germany	180-6	160-6	0-27	-11-1		
Slovak Republic	175-5	155-2	0.09	-11-6		
Croatia	156-5	158-3	0.67	1.2		
Estonia	174-5	148-9	0.39	-14-7		
France	145.8	141.8	0.17	-2.7		
Italy	144-7	135-1	0-52	-6-6		
Romania	141-6	125-4	0-34	-11-4		
Argentina	108.7	130.2	0.03	19.8		
Uruguay	116-1	118-1	0.35	1.8		
Algeria	108.9	111.6	0.88	2.5		
Lithuania	113.8	106.0	0.52	-6.8		
Brazil	107.0	112.0	0.25	1.7		
Thailand	107-0	Q2.Q	0.20	-9. <i>1</i>		
Singanara	06.6	JZ-J	0.50	-3-4		
Singapore	90.0	93.4	0.59	-3-3		
Bulyana South Karoo	94·U	90.0	0.30	-3-4		
South Korea	90.5	91.4	0.72	1.0		
Taiwan	87.0	86.8	0.96	-0-2		
South Africa	83.9	87.8	0.64	4.6		
United Arab Emirates	92-7	77-8	0-60	-16-1		
Serbia	85-1	78-7	0.25	-7-5		
Saudi Arabia	72.1	81.1	0.26	12.4		
Turkey	65.0	73.1	0.04	12.4		
Bosnia	70-5	61-2	0.37	-13-2		
Russia	58.6	66.2	0.08	13.0		
Tunisia	76-5	52.7	0.05	-31-1		
Malavsia	65.9	53.7	0.21	-18.6		
Belarus	55.0	51.0	0.25	-7.2		
lanan	53.2	5/1.1	0.82	1.7		
Fount	51.1	52.2	0.50	2.7		
Chilo	01-4 AE E	50.0	0.00	11 1		
	40.0	0.00	0.25	11.4		
Sil Lanka	44.5	50.0	0.14	12.4		
	44.7	44.7	0.00	0.0		
Kuwait	26.3	27.7	0.84	5.4		
Ukraine	32-1	26-1	0-22	-18-7		
Jordan	28-7	24-1	0-50	-16-1		
Kazakhstan	22.8	22.9	0.97	0.8		
Bandladesh	20.9	24.9	0.17	19.3		

59 60

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Statin utilization, No.							
	(DDD per 100	(DDD per 1000 population ≥ 40 y) ^a					
	Pre-COVID-19	Post-COVID-19		Growth			
Countries/Regions ^b	(10/19 to 03/20)	(04/20 to 09/20)	р	%			
Mexico	28-4	23-8	0-60	-16-3			
Philippines	23-2	21-3	0-54	-8-1			
Ecuador	18-1	22.3	0.30	23.6			
Pakistan	20.4	19.4	0.40	-4.8			
China	16-2	18.3	0.17	13.3			
Vietnam	23-3	17-2	0-38	-26-3			
Central America ^{c, d}	16-1	16.7	0.75	4.0			
Colombia	14.3	17.3	0.08	20.7			
Morocco	15-5	15-1	0.75	-3-1			
India	13.7	14.3	<0.01	4.3			
Venezuela	14-5	14-4	0-95	-0-9			
Peru	5.9	10.3	0.41	74.7			
Indonesia	10-9	8-9	0-32	-18-3			
West Africa ^{c, e}	4-0	2-8	0-04	-30-1			

eTable B (continued). Statin Utilization in Pre- and Post-COVID-19, October 2019 to September 2020

Notes: DDD=defined daily doses; No.=number.

a Statistical significance was determined using simple linear regression. Countries in bold declined $\geq 5\%$. **b** Sorted based on statin

utilization in 2020, refer to Error! Reference source not found.. c IQVIA does not provide country specific utilization for this
 region. d Central American countries included Costa Rica, El Salvador, Honduras, Guatemala, Nicaragua, and Panama. e West

African countries included Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chad, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Gabon, Guinea, Ivory Coast,
 Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo.