SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Diversity of mechanisms to control bacterial GTP homeostasis by the mutually exclusive binding of adenine and guanine nucleotides to IMP dehydrogenase

David Fernández-Justel¹, Íñigo Marcos-Alcalde^{2,3}, Federico Abascal⁴, Nerea Vidaña¹, Paulino Gómez-Puertas², Alberto Jiménez¹, José L Revuelta¹ and Rubén M Buey^{1*}

¹Metabolic Engineering Group. Dept. Microbiology and Genetics. Universidad de Salamanca

² Molecular Modeling Group, Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, CBMSO (CSIC-UAM),
28049 Madrid, Spain

³ Biosciences Research Institute, School of Experimental Sciences, Universidad Francisco de Vitoria, 28223. Pozuelo de Alarcón, Madrid, Spain

⁴ Wellcome Sanger Institute. Hinxton, UK

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: <u>ruben.martinez@usal.es</u>

Supplemental Table 1. X-ray crystallography and data collection and refinement statistics.

	PalMPDH	StcIMPDH		
	ATP-GDP	ATP-ppGpp		
PDB ID	7PJI	7PMZ		
Wavelength (Å)	1.00	1.00		
Resolution (Å)	1.65	2.03		
Space group	14	P21		
	120.95 120.95 145.49	119.29 300.90 123.09		
Unit cell (A / °)	90.00 90.00 90.00	90.00 90.29 90.00		
Unique reflections	84861 (4228)	474239 (23712)		
Multiplicity	14.0 (13.9)	4.6 (4.5)		
Completeness	67.9 (17.9)	94.0 (27.1)		
spherical (%)	07.8 (17.8)	04.3 (27.1)		
Completeness	95 7 (69 7)	9/ 2 (58 1)		
ellipsoidal (%)	93.7 (09.7)	JT.2 (JU.1)		
Mean I/sigma(I)	16.8 (1.5)	9.4 (1.5)		
Wilson B-factor	25.18	30.62		
R-merge	0.09 (1.76)	0.10 (0.99)		
R-meas	0.09 (1.83)	0.11 (1.13)		
R-pim	0.03 (0.49)	0.055 (0.53)		
CC1/2	0.999 (0.620)	0.998 (0.589)		
Reflections used	84628 (880)	474175 (9428)		
in refinement	04020 (000)			
Reflections used	4286 (44)	23521 (454)		
for R-free	1200 (11)	20021(101)		
R-work	0.18 (0.32)	0.18 (0.26)		
R-free	0.20 (0.33)	0.21 (0.30)		
Non-H atoms:	7258	53302		
macromolecules	6476	48630		
ligands	182	1456		
Solvent	004	3009		
Protein residues	0.007	0042		
RIVIS(DONUS)	1.06	0.009		
RiviS(angles)	1.08	1.19		
favorod (%)	98.06	97.83		
Ramachandran				
allowed (%)	1.94	2.13		
Ramachandran				
outliers (%)	0.00	1.0		
Rotamer outliers	0 / 2			
(%)	0.16	0.93		
Clashscore	4.32	4.08		
Average B-factor:	35.28	39.31		
macromolecules	34.61	39.22		
ligands	35.88	38.80		
solvent	42.25	40.66		

Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.



Supplemental Figure 1. Effects of GDP (A) and pppGpp (B) on the catalytic activity of *IMPDH in vitro*. Graphs showing the normalized initial velocity values (V₀ values in the absence of GDP or pppGpp divided by the respective values in the presence of GDP or ppGpp. The V₀ values used for the normalization of the data are: EcIMPDH 18.5 ± 1.0, PaIMPDH 26.7 ± 0.9, BsIMPDH 12.9 ± 0.8, and StcIMPDH 12.6 ± 0.4 nM/sec (mean ± std. error). Estimated IC₅₀ values for GDP are 187.2 ± 8.8 μ M μ M and 81.3 ± 1.0 μ M (mean ± std. error) for BsIMPDH and StcIMPDH, respectively. Estimated IC₅₀ values for ppgpp are 11.4 ± 1.2 μ M and 1.4 ± 0.03 μ M (mean ± std. error) for BsIMPDH and StcIMPDH, respectively.



Supplemental Figure 2. *The compaction of octamers mediates the allosteric inhibition of PalMPDH.* **A.** Structural superimposition of the structures of PalMPDH-ATP/GDP (blue ribbons) and AgIMPDH-ATP/GDP/GDP (red ribbons; PDB ID 5TC3), showing the identical binding modes of the nucleotides on the canonical sites of the Bateman domain. **B.** Octameric structure of PalMPDH-ATP/GDP reconstructed from the crystallographic lattice contacts, showing a compacted octamer where the finger domains (orange ribbons) of opposing tetramers are forced to interact. The catalytic and the regulatory Bateman domains are colored in light and dark blue, respectively. Bound nucleotides are shown in red sticks.



Supplemental Figure 3. *Mutational analysis of the allosteric binding sites.* Normalized V_{max} values (V_{max} in the presence of ATP / V_{max} in the presence of ATP and guanine nucleotides; mean and standard deviations) for the WT and different mutants of EcIMPDH (**A**) and BsIMPDH (**B**). Nucleotide concentrations for EcIMPDH were: 0.25 mM ATP and 1 mM GTP, measured at 32°C, and for BsIMPDH: 1 mM ATP and 50 μ M ppGpp, measured at 28°C. The enzymatic reactions were performed in all cases using 50 nM enzyme in bufffer 100 mM TrisHCI, pH 8.0, 100 mM KCI, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM free MgCl₂, 0.5 mM NAD and IMP concentrations ranging from 4 μ M to 5mM. The V_{max} values used for normalization are shown in the tables.



Supplemental Figure 4. *The conformational switch of IMPDH observed in solution by SAXS.* SAXS profiles of PaIMPDH (**A**) and StcIMPDH (**B**) in the presence of different nucleotides. To facilitate visualization, the curves have been conveniently displaced along the y axis. At the SAXS resolution, the profiles for ATP-GTP and ATP-pppGpp are indistinguishable from ATP-GDP and ATP-ppGpp, respectively.



Supplemental Figure 5. *GTP/GDP and (p)ppGpp binding to the Bateman domain strongly stabilize the inhibited IMPDH conformation.* Accumulated work and forces exerted along the targeted molecular dynamics simulations of monomers of PaIMPDH (panels **A** and **B**) and StcIMPDH (panels **C** and **D**) induced to adopt a compact conformation, starting from the extended one, in the presence of ATP1/ATP2 (purple lines), ATP1/GDP2 or ATP1/ppGpp (green lines). Alternatively, IMPDH monomers were induced to adopt an extended conformation, starting from the compacted one, in the presence of ATP1/ATP2 (red lines), ATP1/GDP2 or ATP1/PpGpp (blue lines), monitoring also the ccumulated work and the forces applied during the process.



Supplemental Figure 6. Allosteric inhibition of GTP at physiological ATP concentration. GTP titration curves of EcIMPDH (**A**) and PaIMPDH (**B**) measured at 32°C in buffer 100 mM Tris-HCI, pH 8.0, 100 mM KCI, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM free MgCl₂, 20 nM enzyme, 3 mM ATP, 0.5 mM NAD and IMP concentrations ranging from 4 μ M to 5mM. The Km values in the absence of GTP were 23.45 ± 1.47 μ M for EcIMPDH and 36.96 ± 1.62 μ M for PaIMPDH.



Supplemental Figure 7. *Eukaryotic and bacterial IMPDHs adopt similar compact conformation upon allosteric inhibition.* **A**. Structural comparison of the conformation adopted by IMPDH monomers, upon allosteric inhibition. Blue ribbons: PaIMPDH-ATP/GDP; Green ribbons: StcIMPDH-ATP/ppGpp; Red ribbons: AgIMPDH-ATP/GDP/GDP (PDB ID 5TC3). **B**. Structural superimposition of the octamers formed by the monomers in panel A.

	₩	↓	↓	↓	↓	V V
Klebsiella_pneumoniae	AIALAQEGGIG	TLREVKEL	T E R N G F A G	VGIITGR	K R V E K A L	T V K D F Q K A E R K P
Escherichia_coli	AIALAQEGGIG	TLREVKEL	T E R N G F A <mark>G</mark>	VGIITGR	K R V <mark>E K</mark> A L	T V K D F Q K A E R K P
Yersinia_enterocolitica	AIALAQEGGLG	T L R Q V K E L	T A R N G F A <mark>G</mark>	VGIITGR	K R V <mark>E K</mark> A L	T V <mark>K D</mark> F Q <mark>K</mark> A E R K P
Pseudomonas_aeruginosa	AIAMAQEGGIG	KIIELLQM	A R E <mark>Y</mark> G F <mark>S G</mark>	VGIVTGR	NR I EK ML	T F R D I E K A K T Y P
Coxiella_burnetii	AIALAEAGGIG	TIGELKKI	T S E Y N I S G	IGIITSR	HR V <mark>E K</mark> L L	T V K D I L R S E R N P
Burkholderia_mallei	A I AMAQQGGVG	KVRDVIAL	S R Q H G I S G	VGIVTNR	HRLERVL	T V K D I T K Q T E H P
Nitrosomonas_europaea	AIAIAQEGGIG	T V R K V L E L	I RQHN <mark>I S G</mark>	VGIVTNR	HR L <mark>E K</mark> A L	T V K D I T R T T E H P
Neisseria_meningitidis	A I S MAQEGG I G	LIREVLEM	QRKRKM <mark>SG</mark>	VGIVTNR	HKVERVL	T V K D I L K T T E F P
Agrobacterium_tumefaciens	AIAMAQAGGIG	TLAEAQAL	MKTYSISG	VGILTNR	HRI <mark>EK</mark> LL	T V K D I E K S Q L N P
Sinorhizobium_meliloti	AIAMAQAGGIG	TLADALGL	MKAHG <mark>ISG</mark>	VGILTNR	HR I EK L L	T V K D I E K S Q L N P
Rhodobacter_sphaeroides	AIAMAQAGGIG	TLADAKIL	QDR <mark>Y</mark> NVT <mark>G</mark>	LGIVTNR	R R I E K L L	T L K D T E K A V L N P
Caulobacter_vibrioides	A I A MAQAGGMG	TLAEIREI	KARRKISG	VGILTNR	HKIERLI	<mark>Τ V K D I E K</mark> AQAH P
Bdellovibrio_bacteriovorus	ARVMAQYGGLG	LVEEAVAL	MEKYSISG	VGILTNR	HR I EK L P	T I K D I E K A K N Y P
Archangium_violaceum	A I A MAQEGGIG	P	MRQYNISG	VGIVTSR	HR I <mark>EK</mark> L L	T I K D M E K R R T R P
Desulfovibrio_gracilis	A I S MAR H G G V G	SLGKVLDI	MTEYRISG	VGIITNR	hr i <mark>ek</mark> l l	<mark>ΤΙΚΟΙΕΚ</mark> VΚΚΥ <mark>Ρ</mark>
Geobacter_sulfurreducens	A I CMAREGGLG	KIHEALAI	MEKYRISG	VGILTNR	TRVEKLL	T I K D I E K V R K Y P
Wolinella_succinogenes	AIAMARLGGIG	TLAQAKAL	T D N Y K I S G	IGILTNR	HK I <mark>EK</mark> L P	T I K D I Q K R I E Y P
Helicobacter_pylori	A I AMAR L G G I G	TLADAKVI	T DNYK I S G	IGILTNR	HK I <mark>EK</mark> L P	T I K D I Q K R I E Y P
Campylobacter_jejuni	A I MMAR L GG L G	SVAEALEI	MAEYRISG	IGILTNR	NK V <mark>E K L</mark> P	T I K D L K K R K E Y P
Streptococcus_mutans	ATATARAGGLG	K V S E A E E L	MQ R Y R I S G	IGIITNR	HRIEKLP	TIKDIEKVIEFP
Enterococcus_faecalis	AIAMARQGGLG	LVADAEEL	M S R Y R I <mark>S G</mark>	VGIITNR	HK I <mark>EK</mark> L P	T I K D I E K V I E F P
Listeria_monocytogenes	AIAIARQGGIG	QVFAAEHL	MGKYR I <mark>SG</mark>	VGILTNR	hr i <mark>ek</mark> l p	T I K D I E K V I E F P
Bacillus_subtilis	AIAMARQGGLG	QVFDAEHL	MGKYRISG	VGIITNR	HK I <mark>EK</mark> L P	T I K D I E K V I E F P
Staphylococcus_aureus	AIAMARQGGLG	SVYEAEAL	MGKYRISG	VGILTNR	HK I <mark>EK</mark> L P	T I K D I E K V I E F P
Clostridium_acetobutylicum	A I AMAR E GG I G	SVQEALDL	MKRYRISG	IGIITNR	HK I EK L P	T I K D I E K I R K F P
Mycobacterium_tuberculosis	AIAMARAGGMG	TLAQVDAL	C A R F R I S G	VGIITNR	NK I EK L P	T V K D F V K T E Q H P
Cellulomonas_gilvus	AIAMARQGGVG	TLAELDAL	CGTYRVSG	LGIITNR	HK I EK L P	T V K D F V K S E Q Y P
Streptomyces_coelicolor	A I SMARQGGVG	TLGEADAL	CAKFRISG	LGIVTNR	HKIEKLP	T V K D F V K A E Q Y P
Thermus_thermophilus	AIAMAREGGLG	TLEDAERL	MR E Y R I G G	LGLVTNR	HKVEKLP	TLKDIVKRRQYP
Deinococcus_radiodurans	A I AMAR E GG I G	TVRDADRL	MGEYRISG	LGIITNR	NRIEKLL	TIKDIEKSVKYP
Thermococcus_kodakarensis	AVAMAREGGLG	SLDYALFL	MERNGVDG	VGVITKK	HRIDRLP	TMSDLAKRRKYR
Methanocella_paludicola	AVA I AR E GG I G	TVGAVWKT	MT EQS ISG	VGIISRR	HKVERLP	SMQNIIERRQYP

Supplemental Figure 8. Sequence alignment of the Bateman domain of selected prokaryotic IMPDHs. Fragment of a multiple sequence alignment of the Bateman domain of selected bacterial IMPDHs. The red arrows indicate key nucleotide-interacting residues, exclusive of the (p)ppGpp binding site, numbered from left to right according to the *S. coelicolor* IMPDH sequence (highlighted): Arg71, Asp118, Arg125 and Asn144. The green arrows indicate the key nucleotide interacting residues shared by the second canonical and the (p)ppGpp sites: Glu 188, Lys206 and Lys210.



Supplemental Figure 9. *Evolutionary analysis of bacterial IMPDHs.* Rooted phylogenetic tree of bacterial IMPDHs (best model LG+G+I, (Le and Gascuel, 2008)). Branches are colored according to the taxonomical classification. Archaeal sequences serve as an outgroup. The length of the radius branches indicates the amount of change (substitutions/site) between a pair of nodes. The IMPDH enzymes that do and don't contain the (p)ppGpp binding site are indicated in light green and red boxes, respectively.



Supplemental Figure 10. Tree of life of selected organisms. Rooted phylogenetic tree of life constructed by the neighbor-joining method, showing bootstrap values (best model LG+G+F, (Le and Gascuel, 2008)), and branches colored as in Supplemental figure 6. Presence or absence of the (p)ppGpp binding site in IMPDH and RNAP sensitivity to (p)ppGpp is indicated on the right, according to our results and to the presence of the MAR motif in the w-subunit (Hauryliuk et al. 2015. Nat Rev Microb 13, 298-309). α , β , γ and δ -Proteobacteria do not contain the (p)ppGpp binding site, in contrast to ε -Proteobacteria. Nonetheless, some δ -Proteobacteria IMPDHs (marked with an asterisk: D. gracilis and G. sulfurreducens) contain the (p)ppGpp binding site. Although the pattern observed in δ -Proteobacteria is unexpected, reassuringly, the species tree confidently groups all delta proteobacteria in a monophyletic clade. Thereby, it is possible that there has been a horizontal gene transfer into δ -Proteobacteria, but the low resolution in the phylogenetic tree of IMPDH, and the ambiguous positioning of δ -Proteobacteria within this tree, prevents drawing reliable conclusions. Alternatively, convergent evolution may be at play, with loss of (p)ppGpp sensitivity happening twice, once in the ancestor of α , β and y-Proteobacteria and once within δ -Proteobacteria.



Supplemental Figure 11. Lysine acetylation fine-tune bacterial IMPDH allosteric regulation in vitro. Heatmap representation of the enzymatic percent activity. V_0 values normalized to the V_0 values in the absence of nucleotide of lysine acetylation mimetic mutants EcIMPDH-K203Q (**A**) and BsIMPDH-K206Q (**B**) at different ATP versus ppGpp or GTP concentrations. The V_0 values used for normalization are 7.8 and 9.3 nM/sec for EcIMPDH-K203Q and BsIMPDH-K206Q, respectively.



Supplemental Figure 12. Effects of guanine nucleotides on the catalytic activity of *HpIMPDH in vitro*. Normalized initial velocity (V_0) values calculated as the V_0 in the absence of nucleotides divided by the corresponding values in the presence of the indicated ones. The experiment was performed at 32°C in buffer 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM free MgCl₂, 50 nM enzyme, 0.5 mM NAD⁺ and 0.5 mM IMP. Nucleotide concentrations were (from left to right): 0.5 mM (p)ppGpp, 4 mM GTP, 2 mM ATP, 0.25 mM ATP + 0.5 mM (p)ppGpp, 0.25 mM ATP + 4 mM GTP. The V₀ value used for normalization was 6.83 ± 0.1 nM/sec.