Supporting Information

Microfluidic-assisted silk nanoparticle tuning

Thidarat Wongpinyochit¹, John D. Totten¹, Blair F. Johnston¹, F. Philipp Seib^{1,2,*}

¹ Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, 161 Cathedral Street, Glasgow, G4 0RE, UK. E-mail: philipp.seib@strath.ac.uk

² Leibniz Institute of Polymer Research Dresden, Max Bergmann Center of Biomaterials Dresden, Hohe Strasse 6, 01069 Dresden, Germany

* Corresponding author: Tel. +44 (0) 141 548 2510, E-mail: philipp.seib@strath.ac.uk or philipp.seib@SeibLab.com



Figure S1 Stability of silk nanoparticles manufactured with a microfluidic-based method by varying solvents, the total flow rate and the total flow rate ratio. Polydispersity (PDI) of silk nanoparticles in water at 4°C and 37°C was measured at day 0, 14, 28, 35 and 42. One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison post hoc test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Error bars are hidden in the plot -symbol when not visible, \pm SD, n = 3.



Figure S2 Stability of silk nanoparticles manufactured with a microfluidic-based method by varying solvents, the total flow rate and the total flow rate ratio. Zeta potential of silk nanoparticles in water at 4°C and 37°C was measured at day 0, 14, 28, 35 and 42. One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison post hoc test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Error bars are hidden in the plot -symbol when not visible, \pm SD, n = 3.