Supplementary Information



Supplementary Figure 1. Gross appearance of grafts at 4 weeks post-transplantation.

The gross appearance of GFP⁺ grafts is shown for six individual animals. The left column shows three different subjects from the female host/female graft group, exhibiting typical graft morphology similar to that observed in previous NPC transplantation studies. The right column shows three different subjects from the female host/male graft group; grafts exhibit atypical morphology with large GFP-negative regions resembling vascular structures (arrows). Scale bar = 250 µm. These experiments were performed twice with similar results.



Supplementary Figure 2. Macrophage/microglial immunoreactivity in a neural progenitor cell graft. This image is of a female NPC graft in a female host at 4 weeks post-transplantation. Dotted lines depict the GFP⁺ graft border. CD68 and Iba1 are phagocytic cell markers that label cells within the graft and outside the graft, particularly in the degenerating white matter tracts anterior to the lesion/graft. Scale bar = 100 μ m. These experiments were performed twice with similar results.



Supplementary Figure 3. T lymphocyte distribution in a neural progenitor cell graft.

This image is of a male NPC graft in a female host at 4 weeks post-transplantation. Dotted lines depict the GFP⁺ graft border. CD3 antigen is a common marker of T lymphocytes; here T cells are seen throughout the graft and concentrated in some perivascular regions (arrows). Scale bar = $250 \mu m$. These experiments were performed twice with similar results.

Normalized GFP intensity



Supplementary Figure 4. Quantification of GFP immunoreactivity in male and female neural progenitor cell grafts. The total intensity of GFP immunoreactivity for male and female grafts within female hosts were quantified. GFP intensity is normalized to graft area. FF (n=6 mice); FM (n=8 mice). All data are mean ± SEM. Significance was determined by an unpaired, two-tailed t test.



Supplementary Figure 5. Quantification of phagocytic, astroglial, and immune cell markers after spinal cord injury in male and female mice. Data are from male (n=6 mice) and female (n=6 mice) mice at 4 weeks after cervical dorsal column SCI; the lesion site and a 500-µm perilesional area were quantified. Quantifications are of (a) the density of CD68⁺ cells, (b) Iba1 immunoreactivity, (c) GFAP immunoreactivity, (d) CD3⁺ cell density, and (e) CD8⁺ cell density in and around the lesion site. All data are mean ± SEM. Significance was determined by unpaired, two-tailed t test.

Figure	Parameter	Group size (N)	Statistical test	Significance level
2b	Graft neuron density	GM (n=5); MM (n=9); MX (n=6); MF (n=9); FF (n=7); FX (n=5); FM (n=10)	Ordinary one- way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test	F (6, 44) = 1.901, P=0.1021
2d	GFAP in graft	MM (n=9); MX (n=8); MF (n=8); FF (n=8); FX (n=6); FM (n=10)	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test	Interaction: F $(2, 43) = 0.1007$, P=0.9044 Graft type: F $(2, 43) = 1.226$, P=0.3035 Host sex: F $(1, 43) = 0.7088$, P=0.4045 No significant differences between groups
2e	GFAP around graft	MM (n=9); MX (n=8); MF (n=8); FF (n=8); FX (n=6); FM (n=10)	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test	Interaction: F $(2, 43) = 0.4227$, P=0.6580 Graft type: F $(2, 43) = 0.6165$, P=0.5446 Host sex: F $(1, 43) = 1.484$, P=0.2298 No significant differences between groups
2f	Axon outgrowth	MM (n=9); MX (n=5); MF (n=9); FF (n=8); FX (n=6); FM (n=10)	Two-way repeated measures ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Distance x Group main effect: F (95, 779) = 1.981, P<0.0001 Distance main effect: F (2.119, 86.89) = 151.7, P<0.0001 Group main effect: F (5, 41) = 2.606, P=0.0389 Subject main effect: F (41, 779) = 1.93, P<0.0001 -3500, MM vs. FM: P=0.0271; -3000, MM vs. FM: P=0.0101; -3000, MM vs. FM: P=0.0185; -2500, MM vs. FM: P=0.0329; -2500, MM vs. FM: P=0.0314; -2000, MX vs. FF: P=0.0363

Supplementary Table 1. Detailed description of statistical analyses used in this study.

3c	Vascular footprint	MM (n=9); MX (n=4); MF (n=9); FF (n=5); FX (n=8); FM (n=7)	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test	Interaction: F (2, 36) = 13.45, P<0.0001 Graft type: F (2, 36) = 12.52, P<0.0001 Host sex: F (1, 36) = 5.472, P=0.0250 MM vs. FM: P<0.0001; MX vs. FM: P<0.0001; FF vs. FM: P<0.0001; FX vs. FM: P<0.0001
3d	Vascular diameter	MM (n=6); MX (n=4); MF (n=7); FF (n=5); FX (n=8); FM (n=7)	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test	Interaction: F (2, 31) = 4.036, P=0.0277 Graft type: F (2, 31) = 9.431, P=0.0006 Host sex: F (1, 31) = 1.342, P=0.2554 MX vs. FM: P=0.0030; FF vs. FM: P=0.0228; FX vs. FM: P=0.0003
3g	Perivascular cell density	MM (n=9); MX (n=4); MF (n=9); FF (n=5); FX (n=6); FM (n=9)	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test	Interaction: F (2, 36) = 4.089, P=0.0251 Graft type: F (2, 36) = 7.164, P=0.0024 Host sex: F (1, 36) = 3.799, P=0.0591 MM vs. FM: P=0.0058; MX vs. FM: P=0.0094; MF vs. FM: P=0.0022; FF vs. FM: P=0.0326; FX vs. FM: P=0.0011
4b	Graft CD68⁺ cell density	MM (n=9); MX (n=7); MF (n=10); FF (n=6); FX (n=6); FM (n=10)	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test	Interaction: F $(2, 42) = 0.1519$, P=0.8596 Graft type: F $(2, 42) = 1.601$, P=0.2138 Host sex: F $(1, 42) = 0.1302$, P=0.7200 No significant differences between groups
4c	Graft Iba1 staining intensity	MM (n=8); MX (n=7); MF (n=10); FF (n=6); FX (n=6); FM (n=9)	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test	Interaction: F (2, 40) = 1.054 , P= 0.3579 Graft type: F (2, 40) = 4.136 , P= 0.0233 Host sex: F (1, 40) = 2.354 , P= 0.1329

				MF vs. FM: P=0.0230
4e	Graft CD3 ⁺	MM (n=9); MX	Two-way	Interaction: F $(2, 45) = 0.5943$,
	cell density	(n=0); NF (n=10); FF (n=7);	Sidak's multiple	P=0.5502 Graft type: E (2, 45) = 0, 1624
		FX (n=8): FM	comparisons	P=0.8506
		(n=9)	test	Host sex: F (1, 45) = 0.0005950,
				P=0.9806
				No significant difforences
				between groups
4f	Graft CD8⁺	MM (n=8); MX	Two-way	Interaction: F (2, 39) = 5.904,
	cell density	(n=7); MF (n=9);	ANOVA with	P=0.0058
		FF (n=7); FX	Sidak's multiple	Graft type: F (2, 39) = 7.905,
		(11-0), 1 101 (11-0)	test	Host sex: F (1, 39) = 17.65.
				P=0.0001
				MM VS. FM: P=0.0001; MX vs. FM: P<0.0001;
				MF vs. FM: P<0.0001;
				FF vs. FM: P=0.0002
4g	Perivascular	MM (n=9); MX	Two-way	Interaction: F (2, 36) = 4.542,
	CD3 ⁺ cell	(n=4); MF (n=9);	ANOVA with	P=0.01/4 Graft type: E (2, 36) = 1.011
	density	(n=6): FM (n=9)	comparisons	P=0.3739
			test	Host sex: F (1, 36) = 5.727,
				P=0.0220
				MM vs. FM: P=0.0016.
				MF vs. FM: P=0.0199
4h	Perivascular	MM (n=9); MX	Two-way	Interaction: F (2, 37) = 4.515,
	CD8 ⁺ cell	(n=4); MF (n=9);	ANOVA with	P=0.0176
	density	(n=6), $FA(n=6) FM (n=9)$	comparisons	P=0.0022
			test	Host sex: F (1, 37) = 6.230,
				P=0.0171
				MM vs. FM· P=0.0031.
				MF vs. FM: P=0.0006;
				FF vs. FM: P=0.0011;
4:				MX vs. FM: P=0.0127
41	% Cytotoxic	MM (n=8); MX (n=7): MF (n=9):	I WO-WAY	Interaction: ⊢ (2, 38) = 3.127, P=0.0553
		FF (n=7); FX	Sidak's multiple	Graft type: F (2, 38) = 6.155.
		(n=ô); FM (n=8)	comparisons	P=0.0048
			test	Host sex: F (1, 38) = 13.88,
				P=0.0006

				MM vs. FM: P=0.0268; MX vs. FM: P=0.0030; MF vs. FM: P=0.0016; FF vs. FM: P=0.0056
S4	Graft GFP intensity	FF (n=6); FM (n=8)	Unpaired t test, two-tailed	t=1.268, df=12 P=0.2287
S5a	CD68⁺ cell density	Female (n=6); Male (n=6)	Unpaired t test, two-tailed	t=2.105, df=10 P=0.0615
S5b	Iba1 staining intensity	Female (n=6); Male (n=6)	Unpaired t test, two-tailed	t=0.6622, df=10 P=0.5228
S5c	GFAP staining intensity	Female (n=6); Male (n=6)	Unpaired t test, two-tailed	t=1.227, df=10 P=0.2479
S5d	CD3 ⁺ cell density	Female (n=6); Male (n=6)	Unpaired t test, two-tailed	t=0.4964, df=10 P=0.6303
S5e	CD8⁺ cell density	Female (n=6); Male (n=6)	Unpaired t test, two-tailed	t=0.9409, df=10 P=0.3689

Supplementary T	Table 2. Prim	ary and seconda	ary antibodies use	ed in this study.

			Dilution		
Antibody	Catalog #	RRID	(working		
			concentration)		
Primary antibodies					
Rat anti-CD3, clone 17A2	BioLegend #100202	AB_312659	1:100 (5 µg/mL)		
Hamster anti-CD31, clone 2H8	Millipore #MAB1398Z	AB_94207	1:500 (1 µg/mL)		
Rat anti-CD8a, clone 53-6.7	BioLegend #100702	AB_312741	1:500 (1 µg/mL)		
Rat anti-CD68, clone FA-11	BioLegend #137002	AB_2044004	1:100 (5 µg/mL)		
Chicken anti-GFAP	Encor Bio #CPCA- GFAP	AB_2109953	1:2000 (5 µg/mL)		
Rabbit anti-GFP	Thermo Fisher #A- 6455	AB_221570	1:1500 (5 µg/mL)		
Rabbit anti-Iba1	Wako #019-19741	AB_839504	1:1000 (5 µg/mL)		
Guinea pig anti-NeuN	Millipore #ABN90	AB_11205592	1:3000 (5 µg/mL)		
Secondary antibodies			I		
AlexaFluor 488-AffiniPure	Jackson	AB_2313584	1:1000 (1 µg/mL)		
Donkey anti-rabbit IgG	ImmunoResearch				
	#711-545-152				
AlexaFluor 647-AffiniPure	Jackson	AB_2492288	1:1000 (1 µg/mL)		
Donkey anti-rabbit IgG	ImmunoResearch				
	#711-605-152				
AlexaFluor 647-AffiniPure	Jackson	AB_2340379	1:1000 (1 µg/mL)		
Donkey anti-chicken IgY	ImmunoResearch				
	#703-605-155				
AlexaFluor 488-AffiniPure	Jackson	AB_2340472	1:1000 (1 µg/mL)		
Donkey anti-guinea pig IgG	ImmunoResearch				
	#706-545-148				
AlexaFluor 594-AffiniPure	Jackson	AB_2340474	1:1000 (1 µg/mL)		
Donkey anti-guinea pig IgG	ImmunoResearch				
	#706-585-148				
AlexaFluor 594-AffiniPure	Jackson	AB_2338377	1:1000 (1 µg/mL)		
Goat anti-rat IgG	ImmunoResearch				
	#112-585-062				
AlexaFluor 647-AffiniPure	Jackson	AB_2338398	1:1000 (1 µg/mL)		
Goat anti-rat IgG	ImmunoResearch				
	#112-605-062	4.5.0000004			
AlexaFluor 647-AffiniPure	Jackson	AB_2339001	1:1000 (1 µg/mL)		
Goat anti-Armenian hamster					
IgG	#127-605-160				