# **Supporting Information Appendix for**

# KidA, a multi-PAS domain protein, tunes the period of the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator

Soo Ji Kim, Chris Chi, Gopal Pattanayak, Aaron R. Dinner, and Michael J. Rust

# **Supplementary Materials and Methods**

# **Cyanobacterial strain construction**

For overexpression strains, the gene of interest was integrated into the Neutral Site 1 (NS1) of the *S. elongatus* genome. The coding sequence of the gene of interest + C-terminal HA tag

(TACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACGCT) was inserted into pAM2991 plasmid downstream of the IPTGinducible pTrc promoter and upstream of the spectinomycin resistance gene cassette, which are flanked by the NS1 sequences (1). Strains constructed this way include the KaiB<sup>G88A;D90R</sup>-HA (fsKaiB-HA) strain used in the co-IP/MS experiment, KidA-OX, and KidA domain truncation mutant overexpression strains. Wild type *S. elongatus* was used as the background for fsKaiB-HA. WT/pAM2195 reporter strain (MRC1005), which carries PpsbAI :: luxAB, PpsbAI :: luxCDE at NS 2.1, was used as the background for KidA-OX and KidA domain truncation mutant overexpression strains (2, 3). For the KidA knockout strain (∆*kidA*), two ~500 bp long stretches of *S. elongatus* genome sequence directly upstream and downstream of *kidA* coding sequence were inserted into the pMR0089 plasmid to flank the gentamicin resistance cassette. By homologous recombination, the native KidA gene in WT/pAM2195 strain was replaced with the gentamicin resistance gene. After propagation on selective media, all mutant alleles were verified by PCR to have fully segregated. The plasmids and strains used in this study are outlined in Supplementary Information Table 1.

# **Anti-HA co-IP from cyanobacterial lysate**

Cyanobacterial cells were harvested at OD750 = 0.7–1 by centrifugation at 25 °C at maximum speed and were stored in -80 °C after being snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells from ~45 ml of culture were used per co-IP experiment. Cells were thawed, pelleted, and resuspended in 250 µl of lysis buffer composed of 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.2% Triton X-100, 50mM NaCl, protease inhibitor, and phosphatase inhibitor. After a 20-minute incubation at 4 °C with gentle agitation, ~100 µl of 0.1 mm glass beads were added, followed by bead beating (10 reps of 30 seconds vortexing and 30 seconds to 1 minute cooling on ice). The lysed mixture was clarified by centrifugation for 3 minutes at 10000 g. The resulting supernatant was transferred to a new tube and further centrifugated for 1 hour at 9000 g at 4 °C. 50 µl of the supernatant from this second spin was saved as input, and the rest was mixed with Anti-HA magnetic beads (MBL Catalog # M132-11) that were isolated from 50 µl resuspended slurry and equilibrated with the same lysis buffer. The mixture was incubated at 4 °C for 30 minutes with gentle agitation. The beads were pelleted by magnet and the supernatant was removed. After washing with the lysis buffer three times, the samples were eluted by incubating the beads in 60 µl of 0.1 M Glycine (pH 2) for 10 minutes at room temperature. The beads were removed from the eluate by magnet, and the eluate was neutralized by the addition of  $~12$  µl of 1 M Tris (pH 8).

# **LC-MS/MS analysis of fsKaiB co-IP samples**

The eluate samples from the co-IP were submitted to the Harvard Center for Mass Spectrometry (Cambridge, MA) for LC-MS/MS analysis. The samples were first treated using 20mM TCEP/50mM TEAB (Sigma) (1/10 vol/vol ratio, 37 °C incubation for 1 hour, cooled to room temperature for 10 minutes, followed by vortex and spin). Next, 40 mM iodoacetamide (Sigma)/50mM TEAB was added to the sample (1/10 vol/vol ratio, 1 hour incubation at room temperature under tin foil), followed by a 16-hour, 37 °C incubation with Trypsin (Promega) in thermo mixer. The digested samples were vortexed and transferred to an HPLC and was dried to 30 μl to shoot 14/30 μl on Mass Spec. LC-MS/MS was performed on a Orbitrap Elite Hybrid Ion Trap-Orbitrap Mass

Spectrometer (Thermo Fischer, San Jose, CA) equipped with WATERS Acquity nano pump nanoLC (WATERS Corp. Milford, MA). Peptides were separated onto a 100 um inner diameter microcapillary trapping column packed first with approximately 5 cm of C18 Reprosil resin (5 µm, 100 Å, Dr. Maisch GmbH, Germany) followed by WATERS analytical column. Separation was achieved through applying a gradient from 5–27% ACN in 0.1% formic acid over 90 min at 200 nl min−1. Electrospray ionization was enabled through applying a voltage of 1.8 kV using a home-made electrode junction at the end of the microcapillary column. The Elite Orbitrap Velos was operated in data-dependent mode for the mass spectrometry methods. The mass spectrometry survey scan was performed in the Orbitrap in the range of 395–1,800 m/z at a resolution of 6 × 104, followed by the selection of the thirty most intense ions (TOP30) for CID-MS2 fragmentation in the Ion trap using a precursor isolation width window of 2 m/z, AGC setting of 10,000, and a maximum ion accumulation of 200 ms. Singly charged ion species were not subjected to CID fragmentation.

For the analysis, raw data were submitted in Proteome Discoverer 2.3 software (Thermo Scientific). Assignment of MS/MS spectra was performed using the Sequest HT algorithm by searching the data against a protein sequence database including all entries from the center's Uniprot Synechococcus ElongatusPCC7942.fasta database as well as other known contaminants such as human keratins and common lab contaminants. Sequest HT searches were performed using a 20-ppm precursor ion tolerance and requiring each peptides N-/C termini to adhere with Trypsin protease specificity, while allowing up to two missed cleavages. A MS2 spectra assignment false discovery rate (FDR) of 1% on both protein and peptide level was achieved by applying the target-decoy database search. Filtering was performed using a Percolator (64bit version) (4). Peptide N termini and lysine residues (+229.162932 Da) was set as static modifications while methionine oxidation (+15.99492 Da) was set as variable modification.

# **Anti-HA Western blot**

For the experiment shown in Supplementary Figure S2A, 75 ml of KidA-OX strain was grown in 30 °C shaker under constant light to the OD<sub>750</sub> of  $\sim$  0.6, which was divided into four 5 ml cultures in glass tubes. At this point, 0, 10, 30, or 70 μM IPTG was added to each culture, which was induced for 20.5 hours in 30 °C with shaking. 4 ml of culture was pelleted and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. The pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (8 M Urea, 20 mM HEPES pH 8, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) and lysed using bead beating as described above. Same amounts of lysate, adjusted based on the total protein levels measured by Bradford assay, were subjected to an SDS-PAGE electrophoresis using a 4-20% Criterion TGX gel (Bio-Rad). Proteins separated in the gel were transferred to PVDF membrane via wet transfer. The membrane was blotted using Anti-HA antibody (Biolegend Catalog # 901501) followed by HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. The membranes were processed with SuperSignal West Femto kit (Thermo Scientific) and visualized using ChemiDoc (Bio-Rad).

#### *In vivo* **clock rhythm measurement**

A black 96-well plate (Costar) was prepared by filling each well with 250 μl of a BG11M + 0.9% Gelzan (Sigma) pad mixture in off-the-boil liquid state, which then solidified at room temperature. For experiments involving IPTG induction, 10 μl of IPTG solution was added on top of the media pad. The concentration of the IPTG solution was chosen so that the equilibrium concentration in the pad matched the target concentration. E.g., a 0.25 mM IPTG solution was used for 10 μM IPTG induction, which was chosen as the default condition for characterizing clock phenotypes of KidA overexpression strain (condition used for Figures 2A, 2B, 2D, and 2E). At least 3 hours after IPTG addition, 30 μl of cells at OD<sub>750</sub> of 0.1–0.3 were added on top of the media pad. The plate was sealed with a transparent film (UniSeal, GE HealthCare Life Sciences) with holes punched by a 26G½ needle (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, Cataloog # 305111) above each well. The plate was placed under a red LED array described in (5) that was programmed to turn on and off accordingly during the entrainment or dark pulse applications, and to stay on for constant light. Every 30 minutes after the initiation of the LED light program, bioluminescence from each well was measured using a TopCount plate reader (PerkinElmer).

#### **Circadian rhythm data fitting and phase response curve analysis**

All experimental circadian rhythm data were fit to the following equation:

$$
Y = \text{Offset} + \text{Slope} \cdot X + \text{Amplitude} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{2\pi(X - \text{PhaseShift})}{\text{Period}}\right)
$$

Oscillatory traces from individual samples were fit separately, and the best-fit values for each parameter were averaged across replicates. GraphPad Prism was used for data shown in Figure 3D, 4A, and 4D, and a customwritten Matlab code optimized for generating initial guesses for the parameters was used for analyses in Figures 2C, 2D, and 2E. A time-window greater than 48 h was used to fit each data set. We excluded the initial transient in the data when cultures are rapidly growing (typically the first 12 h). For KidA-OX in Figure 2C, only data up to 60 hours in constant light were used to fit the period, because of the arrhythmicity outside of this window in >30 µM IPTG conditions (Supplementary Figure S2). To produce the phase response curves in Figure 2E, we first aligned the data for each strain and each condition by shifting the time axis to place the trough of the constant light reporter rhythm prior to the dark pulse at  $CT = 0$ . We then rescaled the time axis by a factor 24 h / (FRP), where FRP is the free-running period for strain, estimated by the fitting procedure described above.

# **Tertiary structure prediction by Phyre2**

The Phyre2 algorithm (6) was used to predict local structures in KidA's N-terminal region for domain prediction reported in Figure 3B. The N-terminal region was divided into two parts, a domain predicted to be a PAS domain due to high sequence homology (residues 360 to 485, corresponding to PAS-D) and the rest (residues 1 to 359). The outputted 3D structure files from the two submissions were visualized by VMD (7) and for each of the four local tertiary structures, the secondary structure information of each residue was mapped. Intensive mode was used for residues 1 to 359, which resulted in 351 residues (98%) modelled at >90% accuracy. Normal mode was used for residues 360 to 485, which resulted in 99.9% confidence in the model.

### *In vitro* **co-IP using FLAG-tagged proteins as bait**

Each co-IP sample (20 µl) was prepared in Kai reaction buffer (10% glycerol, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM EDTA pH 8, 1 mM ATP pH 8) and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen at the end of desired length of co-incubation. (*See below for details of sample preparation for each experiment.*) To isolate the bait protein and its interactors, Anti-FLAG M2 Magnetic beads (Sigma-Aldrich) were used. 10 µl of resuspended bead slurry was used for each co-IP reaction. Beads were washed with Kai reaction buffer by two cycles of pelleting on magnet, buffer removal, and resuspension, and then were resuspended with 10 µl of the reaction sample for binding reaction. After incubating for 10 minutes at 22 °C while shaking at 950 rpm, beads were pelleted on magnet. The supernatant was removed, and the beads were washed twice using Kai reaction buffer. Proteins bound to the beads were then eluted by incubation in 10 µl of 0.75 mg/ml 3x FLAG peptide (Sigma-Aldrich) solution for 40 minutes at 22 °C with 950 rpm shaking. The final supernatant after pelleting the beads was transferred into a fresh tube and centrifugated for 1 minute for complete exclusion of the beads. 7.5 µl of the supernatant was saved and stored in -20 °C. The co-IP samples were visualized by performing SDS-PAGE electrophoresis using precast 4-20% Criterion TGX gel (Bio-Rad), staining the gel using Sypro Ruby (Invitrogen), and imaging the gel using ChemiDoc (Bio-Rad). The band intensity was quantified using ImageJ software by manually defining the rectangular area of each band, along with the background intensity from the neighboring area that was deducted from the band intensity.

#### Sample preparation for co-IP:

For all co-incubation of purified proteins in this study, samples were incubated in 30 °C. For the experiment shown in Figure 3E and Supplementary Figure S4, 3.5 µM of wtKaiB-FLAG or fsKaiB-FLAG (KaiB-1-99-Y7A-I87A-Y93A-FLAG) was mixed with 3.5 µM of KidA PAS-ABC or KaiC-EA in Kai reaction buffer. The mixture was incubated for 30 minutes or 20 hours. For the experiment in Figure 3F, 3.5 µM wtKaiB-FLAG was pre-incubated with 0, 0.7, or 3.5 µM of KidA PAS-ABC for 1, 5, or 20 hours. For the experiment in Supplementary Figure S5, the following concentrations were used for each protein: 3.5 µM KaiB-FLAG, 3.5 µM KaiC-EA, 1.5 µM KaiA, and 3.5 µM KidA PAS-ABC. At the end of intended duration of co-incubation, the samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20 °C. After the samples were thawed, they were immediately subjected to the co-IP procedure, except for the experiment in Figure 3F where 3.5 µM KaiC-EA was added to the thawed reaction and incubated at 30 °C for 5 minutes prior to co-IP.

#### **Fluorescence Polarization**

Clock reactions were prepared by mixing Kai proteins at their standard concentrations (1.5 μM KaiA, 3.5 μM KaiB, 3.5 μM KaiC) along with 0.2 μM FITC-labeled KaiB<sup>K25C</sup> in the clock reaction buffer containing 1 mM ATP, similar to the procedure used previously (5). KidA PAS-ABC was added to the reaction at specified concentrations. 30 μl of the reaction mixture was added to a black 384-well plate, which was sealed with nonfluorescent film (Thermo Scientific, #232698). The plate was loaded into a plate reader (Tecan), which was used to measure fluorescence polarization with 485 nm excitation (20 nm bandpass) and 535 nm emission (25 nm bandpass) using a 510nm dichroic filter. Measurements were taken every 15 min. Reaction buffer-only wells were used as blank.

# **KaiA-induced KaiC phosphorylation and KaiC auto-dephosphorylation**

For KaiA-induced KaiC phosphorylation with or without KidA PAS-ABC, KaiC was first dephosphorylated by incubation at 30 °C for 27 hours. The master mix for each reaction was prepared as follows: 0.4 µM KaiA + 3.5 µM KaiC (negative control), 0.4 µM KaiA + 3.5 µM KaiC + 1.5 µM KidA PAS-ABC (low KidA condition), 0.4 µM KaiA + 3.5 µM KaiC + 3.5 µM KidA PAS-ABC (high KidA condition) in the Kai reaction buffer. KaiA was added last. The master mix reaction was divided into 20 µl aliquots, which were incubated in 30°C. One aliquot of each reaction condition was quenched every 30 minutes by adding 3x SDS-PAGE loading buffer to the sample and was stored in -20 °C. The samples for  $t = 0$  were harvested by having the 3X SDS-PAGE loading buffer preloaded in the tubes before aliquoting. KaiC auto-dephosphorylation sampling was done in a similar manner, except the KaiC aliquot that was taken out from the –80 °C was used immediately after thawing and no KaiA was added. For both experiments, KaiC phosphoform composition at each timepoint was resolved by SDS-PAGE analysis using 10% acrylamide gel (37.5:1 acrylamide:bis-acrylamide), run for 4.5 hours under 30 mA constant current at 12 °C. The gel was stained by SimplyBlue SafeStain (Invitrogen) and imaged using Odyssey imager (LI-COR) or ChemiDoc (Bio-Rad).

# **Modeling Background**

Here, we provide a brief description of the original model of Paijmans *et al*. (8), which we henceforth term the Paijmans model.

The fundamental unit in the Paijmans model is a KaiC hexamer, and exchange of subunits between hexamers is not considered. The CI domain has a nucleotide binding site that can hydrolyze ATP, a KaiB binding site, and (via associated KaiB) an inhibitory KaiA binding site; the CII domain of each subunit has a nucleotide binding site that can transfer phosphoryl groups to and from S431 and T432 and hydrolyze ATP, and there is a single shared KaiA binding site that acts on all the CII domains in a hexamer. ATP and ADP are assumed to be in excess, such that the nucleotide binding sites are always occupied. CII domains exchange nucleotides only when KaiA is bound to the shared site, with rates that are proportional to the solution concentration of the alternate nucleotide form; CI domains exchange ADP for ATP at a constant rate and become occupied by ADP only through hydrolysis. In the original Paijmans model, the solution concentration of fsKaiB is assumed to be constant, such that binding of KaiB to the CI domain occurs with kinetics that are first-order in the concentration of available CI sites.

In analogy to the Monod-Wyman-Changeux model of cooperativity, a KaiC hexamer can exist in two states, termed active and inactive. The free energy of the inactive state decreases relative to that of the active state proportionally to the number of phosphorylated serines in the CII domain, ADPs bound in the CI domain, KaiB molecules bound to the CI domain, and KaiA dimers bound to the CI domain. When in the inactive state, the CI domain has a higher affinity for KaiB, and with six KaiB monomers bound, the CI domain can sequester up to six KaiA dimers.

Enumerating all the possible combinations of states of each of the sites for a KaiC hexamer results in an intractably large number of ordinary differential equations, and instead trajectories of the model are generated by kinetic Monte Carlo simulations, which sample only a small fraction of the combinations. Parameters are

selected such that the model is thermodynamically consistent: detailed balance (microscopic reversibility) is enforced for all reactions that do not involve ATP hydrolysis.

#### **Modeling KidA**

To test the hypothesis that KidA shortens the period of the oscillator by selectively binding to and stabilizing fsKaiB, we modified the Paijmans model to treat KaiB explicitly as follows.

KaiB fold switching is modeled on the monomer level. The KaiB tetramer is not considered. Individual KaiB monomers interconvert between ground state (gs) and fold switched (fs) forms:

to treat KaiB explicitly as follow

\nnomer level. The KaiB tetramtate (gs) and fold switched (fs 
$$
k
$$
kswitchf

\nKaiB<sub>gs</sub>  $\overbrace{\phantom{kk}$ kaiB<sub>fs</sub>kswitchf

KidA only binds fsKaiB, and any KaiB in complex with KidA cannot convert to the ground state until after dissociation:

KaiB<sub>gs</sub> 
$$
\overbrace{\text{kBswitch}_r}^{\text{KaiB}_{\text{fs}}} \text{KaiB}_{\text{fs}}
$$
  
AB in complex with KidA cannot convert to  
KitidA<sub>on</sub>  
KitidA<sub>off</sub> 
$$
\overbrace{\text{kKidA}_{\text{off}}}^{\text{KaiB}_{\text{fs}}} \text{KidA} \cdot \text{KaiB}_{\text{fs}}
$$

Each of the KaiB species can bind to the CI domain with second-order kinetics. fsKaiB and KaiB·KidA complexes bind to CI at the same rate. KaiB in complex with CI is assumed to always be in the fold-switched state, but if not also bound to KidA, KaiB can dissociate from CI directly to the ground state (and the reverse reaction consisting of simultaneous gsKaiB binding and transition to the fold-switched form is included for detailed balance). Different rates are used for active and inactive CI (denoted by  $i \in \{A, I\}$  below): CI is assumed to<br>irectly to the gro<br>he fold-switched<br>(denoted by i  $\in$ <br> $kCI<sub>i</sub>B<sub>fs,on</sub>$ <br> $kCI<sub>i</sub>B<sub>fs,off</sub>$ 

We and inactive CI (denoted by 
$$
I \in \{A, I\}
$$
 below):

\n
$$
KL_{i}B_{fs, on}
$$
\n
$$
CI_{i} + KaiB_{fs}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i} + KidA \cdot KaiB_{fs}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i} + KidA \cdot KaiB_{fs}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i} + KaiB_{fs}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i} + KaiB_{fs}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i}B_{fs, off}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i} + KaiB_{gs}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i} + KaiB_{gs, off}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i}B_{gs, off}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i} \cdot KaiB
$$
\n
$$
KL_{i}B_{gs, off}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i} \cdot KaiB
$$
\n
$$
KL_{i}B_{ss, off}
$$
\n
$$
CL_{i} \cdot KaiB
$$

KidA does not interfere with KaiA binding to CI·KaiB complexes, so the reactions of the original model are sufficient to treat KaiA binding.

As in the original Paijmans model, detailed balance is enforced for each reversible reaction. This allows for the constraints

$$
kCIiBg,off = \frac{kCIiBGon kCIiBfs,off kBswitchr}{kBswitchf kCIiBfs,on}
$$

where  $i \in \{A, I\}$ , with i representing the same state in all rate constants within an equation (i.e., there are two such constraint equations in total, one for the active state rate constants and one for the inactive state rate constants).

#### **Parameter exploration**

We used Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling to explore the values of the parameters that we added to extend the model while keeping the parameters of the original Paijmans model at their published values. Specifically, we employed the Goodman-Weare affine invariant sampling scheme, in which an ensemble of parameter sets is simulated in parallel and used to guide the directions of MC moves (9). During sampling, we represented the parameter values by their natural logarithms; this guaranteed that the rate constants remained positive.

We used an ensemble size of 50 and walk moves with the move size parameter set to 1. For each parameter set, we performed two simulations. Both simulations were 430 hours long with 1.2 µM KaiA dimers, 1.2 µM KaiC hexamers, and 4.8 µM KaiB monomers. One simulation was performed with 0 µM KidA, and the other was performed with 0.7 µM KidA. Initial ensemble members were generated by drawing their parameter values uniformly from ranges of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  centered on the natural logarithms of the initial values listed in SI Table 4. To set the initial values of the KaiB binding rates, we determined the concentration of fsKaiB for the isolated fold switching equilibrium (i.e., [fsKaiB]<sub>iso</sub> = [KaiB]<sub>0</sub>/(1 + kBswitch<sub>r</sub>/kBswitch<sub>f</sub>)) and then set kICIB<sub>fs,on</sub> and kACIB<sub>fs,on</sub> such that kICIB $_{fs, on}$ [fsKaiB]<sub>iso</sub> and kACIB<sub>fs.on</sub>[fsKaiB]<sub>iso</sub> were equal to the corresponding first-order rates in the original Paijmans model. The initial KaiB unbinding rates were set to be the same as those in the original Paijmans model. Other parameters were chosen in an ad hoc fashion to reproduce experimental observations qualitatively. Two independent MCMC sampling runs for 200 sweeps over the ensemble were performed with different constraints; the acceptance rate was 40% in both cases.

For both sampling runs, proposed parameter sets that produced nonoscillatory trajectories were rejected. Parameter sets that supported oscillations at both 0 µM KidA and 0.7 µM KidA were subject to the following additional biases. To favor trajectories with periods close to 24 hours in the absence of KidA, the squared difference between the period of a trajectory and 24 hours was subtracted from the log likelihood. To ensure that KaiB binds faster to inactive KaiC than it does to active KaiC, if any KaiB binding rate to active KaiC is greater than the corresponding binding rate to inactive KaiC, the squared difference between logarithms of the two binding rates was subtracted from the log likelihood. Similarly, to ensure that fsKaiB binds to KaiC faster than gsKaiB does, if the difference between the natural logarithm of any fsKaiB binding rate and the natural logarithm of the corresponding gsKaiB binding rate is less than nine (i.e.,  $10<sup>4</sup>$  fold), the squared difference between nine and the aforementioned difference between the log rates is subtracted from the log likelihood. These contributions to the log likelihood are

$$
L_0 = (-(T_0 - 24)^2 - 1\{ \ln(\text{kCI}_A B_{fs,on}) - \ln(\text{kCI}_A B_{gs,on}) < 9 \} (9 - \ln(\text{kCI}_A B_{fs,on}) + \ln(\text{kCI}_A B_{gs,on}))^2 - 1\{ \ln(\text{kCI}_I B_{fs,on}) - \ln(\text{kCI}_I B_{gs,on}) < 9 \} (9 - \ln(\text{kCI}_I B_{fs,on}) + \ln(\text{kCI}_I B_{gs,on}))^2 - 1\{ \ln(\text{kCI}_A B_{fs,on}) > \ln(\text{kCI}_I B_{fs,on}) \} (\ln(\text{kCI}_A B_{fs,on}) - \ln(\text{kCI}_I B_{fs,on})^2)
$$

In the equation above,  $T_0$  is the period at 0 µM KidA and  $1\{\cdot\}$  is an indicator function equal to one if the condition is satisfied and zero otherwise. The biases on the binding rates to active and inactive KaiC are imposed to preserve the roles of those species in the original Paijmans model. The biases on the binding rates of gsKaiB and fsKaiB are imposed so that the effect of KidA on KaiB binding to KaiC is not overwhelmed by the baseline level of KaiB binding: as the gsKaiB binding rate increases, the increased solution concentration of fsKaiB has less impact. Additionally, if the gsKaiB binding rates are greater than the fsKaiB binding rates, the roles of gsKaiB and fsKaiB invert, making the model inconsistent with the established behavior of KaiB.

In the first sampling run, to encourage the sampling of biochemically reasonable values of the affinity of KidA for fsKaiB, a penalty equal to the square of the difference between  $-7.4$  and the natural logarithm of the K<sub>d</sub> in units of  $\mu$ m<sup>-3</sup> of the KidA-fsKaiB binding interaction was subtracted from the log likelihood whenever this quantity was less than  $-7.4$  (corresponding to  $K_d$  values smaller than 10<sup>-11</sup> M). A similar penalty was also subtracted from the log likelihood when the natural logarithm of the K<sub>d</sub> in units of  $\mu$ m<sup>-3</sup> was greater than 6.4 (corresponding to K<sub>d</sub> values greater than  $10^{-5}$  M). The total log likelihood for oscillatory trajectories was then

$$
L_1 = L_0 - 1\{\ln(k \text{KidA}_{off}) - \ln(k \text{KidA}_{on}) < -7.4\}(\ln(k \text{KidA}_{off}) - \ln(k \text{KidA}_{on}) + 7.4)^2 - 1\{\ln(k \text{KidA}_{off}) - \ln(k \text{KidA}_{on}) > 6.4\}(\ln(k \text{KidA}_{off}) - \ln(k \text{KidA}_{on}) - 6.4)^2
$$

In the second sampling run, the constraints on the  $K_d$  of the KidA-KaiB binding interaction were replaced with a penalty subtracted from the log likelihood equal to the squared difference between the period with 0.7 µM KidA and 20 hours (to constrain the slope to be  $-5.7$  hours /  $\mu$ M KidA), giving a total log likelihood of

$$
L_2 = L_0 - (T_{0.7} - 20)^2
$$

where  $T_{0.7}$  is the period in hours at 0.7 µM KidA.

We show the distributions of period and amplitude sensitivities to [KidA], as represented by the slopes in Figure 4D, in Figure S7. To estimate the periods, we computed the autocorrelation function of the average number of KaiB bound per KaiC hexamer and then averaged the times between peaks in the autocorrelation function. To determine peak times, the autocorrelation function was interpolated using quadratic splines near each peak. We estimated the amplitudes as the average peak prominence, normalized to 1 for 0 µM KidA. Because the period and amplitude as functions of KidA concentration were generally well fit by lines, we estimated the slopes for Figures S7 and S8 from only the periods and amplitudes of the oscillator with 0 µM KidA and 0.7 µM KidA. The parameter set used to generate Figure 4 was selected from the MCMC results obtained with log likelihood  $L_1$  to have period and amplitude slopes closest to the experimentally observed ones, while maintaining a near-24-hour period in the absence of KidA. The parameter values used to generate Figure 4 are listed in SI Table 4.

To determine which parameters contributed the most to the period slope, the nonparametric Spearman correlation coefficient was computed pairwise between all parameters, the period and amplitude slopes, and the dissociation constant of the KidA-fsKaiB binding interaction (KidA-fsKaiB  $K_d$ , calculated as kKidA<sub>off</sub>/kKidA<sub>on</sub>). The resulting correlation matrix for the simulation with log likelihood  $L_1$ , which permits larger variation in the period and amplitude slopes, is shown in Figure S8A. The two parameters that were most strongly correlated with the period slope are plotted in Figures S8B and S8C.

In Figure S10, we explore the hypothesis that the effect of KidA can be approximated by shifting the equilibrium between the ground state and fold switched forms of KaiB. To do so, we performed simulations in a model without KidA, where the interconversion of the gsKaiB and fsKaiB folds was directly altered by changing the fold switching rate constants (kBswitch, and kBswitch,), which we refer to as the effective KidA model. The simulations were run for 400 hours with a 5  $\mu$ L volume reaction, 1.2  $\mu$ M KaiA dimers, 1.2  $\mu$ M KaiC hexamers, 4.8 µM KaiB monomers, and 0 µM KidA. The fold switching rates constants were varied uniformly on a base 10 logarithmic scale from –5 to 5 in increments of 0.5; the values of other parameters were the same as those listed in Table 4.

# **Supplementary figures**

# A



#### **Figure S1: KidA is a putative diguanylate cyclase/phosphodiesterase**

(A) Multiple sequence alignment of KidA protein sequence, the consensus sequence of the GGDEF domain, and two representative GGDEF domain-containing proteins PleD from *Caulobacter vibrioides* and WspR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.*

(B) Multiple sequence alignment of KidA protein sequence, the consensus sequence of the EAL domain, and two representative EAL domain-containing proteins RocR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and TBD1265 from *Thiobacillus denitrificans*.

Alignments were created using Clustal Omega (10) and annotated using the same scheme in Figure 4 in Römling *et al.* (11): active site residues required for the enzymatic activity are shown in white on a colored background, and other conserved residues near the active site residues are boldened. Yellow background indicates allosteric I site residues.

KidA-HA Overexpression strain



#### **Figure S2: KidA overexpression strain has IPTG-dependent expression level of KidA protein, and high levels of IPTG induction cause arrhythmicity**

(A) KidA protein expression levels of KidA overexpression strain induced without IPTG (leaky expression) or with varying concentrations of IPTG analyzed by Anti-HA Western blot.

(B) Normalized bioluminescence traces of KidA-OX reporter strain induced with 0 to 70 µM IPTG.

# A



### **Figure S3: PAS-D alignment to LOV and MmoS/NifL domain sequences**

Multiple sequence alignment of KidA protein sequence and the flavin-binding region of (A) the LOV domain sequences and (B) the MmoS and NifL domain sequences. Alignments were created using Clustal Omega (10) and annotated using the same scheme in Figure 6 and Figure 7 in a review by Henry and Crosson (12). The numbered sites are highly conserved sites that coordinate the flavin mononucleotide (FMN) and the flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) ligands that are noted in (12) where the structures of the active sites are described in detail.



**Figure S4: Kinetics of KidA PAS-ABC binding to KaiB** 

*In vitro* co-IP of KidA PAS-ABC using wtKaiB-FLAG as bait after co-incubation in 30 °C for different lengths of time. 3.5 µM KidA PAS-ABC and 3.5 µM KaiB-FLAG were used. Band intensities were determined by densitometry after background subtraction. Mean normalized band intensity ratios are plotted. Error bars show the standard deviation (N=3 for each timepoint).



# **Figure S5: No obvious inhibition of Kai complex assembly caused by KidA PAS-ABC**

*In vitro* co-IP of KaiB binding partners KidA PAS-ABC, KaiC-EA, and KaiA after 20 h co-incubation of different combinations. Band intensities were determined by densitometry after background subtraction. Mean band intensity ratios are plotted. Error bars show the standard deviation (N=3 for each condition).



#### **Figure S6: KidA PAS-ABC does not noticeably affect KaiA-stimulated phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of KaiC** *in vitro*

(A) *Left:* The fraction of phosphorylated KaiC in KaiA-stimulated KaiC phosphorylation reactions containing 0, 1.5 µM, or 3.5 µM KidA PAS-ABC and 0.4 µM KaiA, starting from dephosphorylated KaiC at t = 0. *Right*: The fraction of phosphorylated KaiC in reactions containing 0, 1.5 µM, and 3.5 µM KidA PAS-ABC, starting from KaiC thawed from -80 °C storage at t = 0.

(B) Fraction of each phosphoform in the KaiA-stimulated KaiC phosphorylation reactions containing 0, 1.5 µM, or 3.5 µM KidA PAS-ABC, analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

(C) Fraction of each phosphoform in the KaiC dephosphorylation reactions, analyzed by SDS-PAGE.



### **Figure S7: Dependence of the period and the amplitude on KidA concentration for sampled parameter sets**

(A, D) Histogram of model slope of the period as a function of [KidA] using log likelihoods (A)  $L_1$  and (D)  $L_2$ . The experimental slope is –5.6 h/µM.

(B, E) Histogram of model slope of the normalized amplitude as a function of [KidA] using log likelihoods (B)  $L_1$ and (E)  $L_2$ . The experimental slope is –0.5 /µM.

(C, F) Histogram of model period without KidA present using log likelihoods (C)  $L_1$  and (F)  $L_2$ .



#### **Figure S8: Correlation of model parameters with the slopes of the period and amplitude**

(A) Spearman's correlation coefficient between pairs of parameters and the period and amplitude slopes.

(B) KidA-fsKaiB dissociation constant (KidA-fsKaiB Kd) and the slope of the period as a function of [KidA] for all sampled parameter sets.

(C) KidA-fsKaiB off-rate (kKidAoff) and the slope of the period as a function of [KidA] for all sampled parameter sets.

Results shown are obtained with log likelihood  $L_1$ . (B-C) The parameter set used for Figures 4C-D is marked with a yellow diamond. The horizontal line shows the experimental period slope value.



#### **Figure S9: KidA promotes KaiB re-binding to KaiC, which alters the dynamics of phosphorylation in the model.**

(A) The number of fsKaiB re-binding events for various concentrations of KidA. Binding events in which fsKaiB molecules that have previously unbound from the CI domain but have not reverted to gsKaiB bind to CI are counted every 0.1 hours. (B) Phosphorylation per hexamer in the full oscillator reaction for indicated concentrations of KidA. Curves shown are the average numbers of subunits per KaiC hexamer that have at least one of S431 or T432 phosphorylated.

(C) The copy numbers of sequestering KaiC for various concentrations of KidA. Sequestering KaiC hexamers are KaiC hexamers with the maximal amount (6) of KaiB bound to the CI domain.

(D) The fraction of KaiA that is free in solution for various concentrations of KidA.

Simulation parameters are the same as in Figures 4C-D (SI Table 4).



**Figure S10: Shifting the equilibrium between the ground state and fold switched forms of KaiB alters the period and amplitude**

(A-B) Period and amplitude of the oscillator as a function of KaiB fold switching rates in the effective KidA model (KidA is not explicitly present). Combinations of the fold switching rate that failed to produce oscillations were not plotted. That similar periods and amplitudes are obtained along the diagonal suggests that the ratio of the parameters on the axes (i.e., the equilibrium constant) is constrained but their absolute values are not.

(C-D) For comparison, two representative time courses of KaiC-bound KaiB in the effective KidA model with kBswitch<sub>r</sub> decreased from 43 h<sup>-1</sup> to 30 h<sup>-1</sup> (C) and with kBswitch, decreased from 43 h<sup>-1</sup> to 13.3 h<sup>-1</sup> (D) are shown together with time courses of KaiC-bound KaiB from the full model with 0.3 µM KidA and 0.7 µM KidA, respectively.

# **Supplementary Information Tables**



# **Supplementary Information Table 1: Strains information**

# **Supplementary Information Table 2**

List of proteins detected in co-IP/MS experiment performed using fsKaiB as bait in light (L) condition











# **Supplementary Information Table 3**

# List of proteins detected in co-IP/MS experiment performed using fsKaiB as bait in dark (D) condition







# **Supplementary Information Table 4**

Model parameters introduced in the modified model. The binding rate constants have units of  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup> \* (molecule<sup>2</sup> \* hour)<sup>-1</sup>, and all other rate constants have units of (molecule \* hour)<sup>-1</sup>. Rate constants converted to units of (hour)  $^{-1}$  and (µM  $*$  hour) $^{-1}$  are given in parentheses.



# **Supplementary References**

- 1. S. S. Golden, J. Brusslan, R. Haselkorn, Expression of a family of psbA genes encoding a photosystem II polypeptide in the cyanobacterium Anacystis nidulans R2. *The EMBO Journal* **5**, 2789-2798 (1986).
- 2. S. R. Mackey, J. L. Ditty, E. M. Clerico, S. S. Golden, Detection of rhythmic bioluminescence from luciferase reporters in cyanobacteria. *Methods Mol Biol* **362**, 115-129 (2007).
- 3. G. K. Pattanayak, G. Lambert, K. Bernat, M. J. Rust, Controlling the Cyanobacterial Clock by Synthetically Rewiring Metabolism. *Cell Rep* **13**, 2362-2367 (2015).
- 4. L. Kall, J. D. Storey, W. S. Noble, Non-parametric estimation of posterior error probabilities associated with peptides identified by tandem mass spectrometry. *Bioinformatics* **24**, i42-i48 (2008).
- 5. E. Leypunskiy *et al.*, The cyanobacterial circadian clock follows midday in vivo and in vitro. *Elife* **6** (2017).
- 6. L. A. Kelley, S. Mezulis, C. M. Yates, M. N. Wass, M. J. Sternberg, The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat Protoc* **10**, 845-858 (2015).
- 7. W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, K. Schulten, VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J Mol Graph* **14**, 33- 38, 27-38 (1996).
- 8. J. Paijmans, D. K. Lubensky, P. R. Ten Wolde, A thermodynamically consistent model of the post-translational Kai circadian clock. *PLoS Comput Biol* **13**, e1005415 (2017).
- 9. J. Goodman, J. Weare, Ensemble samplers with affine invariance. *Communications in Applied Mathematics and Computational Science* **5**, 65-80 (2010).
- 10. F. Madeira *et al.*, The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, W636-W641 (2019).
- 11. U. Römling, M. Y. Galperin, M. Gomelsky, Cyclic di-GMP: the First 25 Years of a Universal Bacterial Second Messenger. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **77**, 1-52 (2013).
- 12. J. T. Henry, S. Crosson, Ligand-binding PAS domains in a genomic, cellular, and structural context. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **65**, 261-286 (2011).