

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Obesity and lower likelihood of achieving Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients: a cohort study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-061474
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Jan-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Vallejo-Yagüe, Enriqueta; ETH Zürich, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences Burkard, Theresa; ETH Zürich, D-CHAB, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences Burden, Andrea; ETH Zurich, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences
Keywords:	RHEUMATOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Psoriasis < DERMATOLOGY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Obesity and lower likelihood of achieving Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients: a cohort study

Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe¹, Theresa Burkard¹, Andrea M. Burden¹

¹ Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

ORCID IDs:

Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe - 🔟 0000-0002-5911-2037 Theresa Burkard - 0000-0003-1313-4473 Andrea M. Burden - 🕩 0000-0001-7082-8530

Correspondence to:

Prof. Dr. Andrea M. Burden Assistant Professor of Pharmacoepidemiology ETH Zürich, HCI H407 Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 1-5/10, CH 8093 Zürich Email address: andrea.burden@pharma.ethz.ch Telephone number +41 44 633 74 09

Keywords: psoriatic arthritis; minimal disease activity; remission; obesity; body mass index.

Abstract word count: 277

Table Strengths and limitations of this study: 159

Manuscript word count: 3114

BMJ Open

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the impact of elevated body mass index (BMI) in the achievement of Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) and several definitions of remission in PsA patients in Switzerland. Secondarily, to assess the accordance or overlapping across the study outcomes. **Methods:** This observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) registry included PsA patients starting their first biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (b/tsDMARD) from 1997 to 30.06.2018. Exposure was BMI category at b/tsDMARD start: overweight, obese, and normal weight (reference). Logistic regression was used to assess the achievement of MDA and remission at \leq 12-months, as well as treatment persistence at one-year, in overweight and obese patients compared to the normal weight group. Remission was defined by Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA), clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA), and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28). Additionally, accordance or overlapping across study outcomes was investigated.

Results: The study included 306 (39.5%) normal weight, 285 (36.8%) overweight, and 183 (23.6%) obese patients. Compared to the normal weight group, obese patients had lower odds of achieving MDA at ≤12-months (Adjusted odds ratio [ORadj] 0.45, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.24-0.82). This was consistent with the observed reduced odds of achieving DAPSA-remission (ORadj 0.42, 95%CI 0.21-0.85), cDAPSA-remission (ORadj 0.51, 95%CI 0.27-0.96), and DAS28-remission (ORadj 0.51, 95%CI 0.32-0.81) in obese vs normal weight patients. Among the 125 patients achieving MDA, the majority (81.8% normal weight, 80.0% overweight, 78.9% obese) achieved cDAPSA-remission. No differences were observed in treatment persistence across the BMI strata.

Conclusions: Obesity halved the likelihood of achieving MDA and remission in PsA patients with b/tsDMARDs compared to those with normal weight, while it did not impact treatment persistence.

Manuscript - Obesity and Lower Likelihood of Achieving Minimal Disease Activity and Remission in Psoriatic Arthritis Patients

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study uses an optimal data source, the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM), which is a nationwide rheumatology registry including data on patient characteristics, clinical features and medication. This enables to well capture the study exposure, outcome, and relevant covariates.
- However, while overweight and obesity are commonly defined by body mass index (BMI) thresholds, we acknowledge that using BMI without additional information on waist circumference or other measures of body composition may lead to a potential misclassification of patients.
- We used multiple imputation to complete baseline variables relevant for the statistical analyses.
- ► In cohort studies, different outcome definitions aiming to assess the same clinical feature (e.g., improvement) could lead to different results. This study includes several definitions of successful clinical outcome, which allows for a better understanding and discussion of the study findings. However, we did not investigate unidimensional outcomes (e.g., dactilytis).
- ► The study included sensitivity analyses to investigate methodological assumptions.

BMJ Open

INTRODUCTION

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is an immune-mediated rheumatic disease,¹ with an estimated prevalence of 0.05-0.42%,^{2–4} and 5-41% among patients with psoriasis.³ PsA is a complex and multifactorial disease,⁵ for which pathological features include musculoskeletal involvement, such as inflammation of the peripheral joints (arthritis), the entheses (enthesitis), the axial skeleton (spondylitis), and the finger and toe digits (dactylitis), as well as extra-articular manifestations involving skin and nails, and potentially other organs.⁶ Pharmacological treatments include conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (csDMARDs) and biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (b/tsDMARDs).³ Treatment of PsA aims to maximise health-related quality of life (QoL), through targeting symptoms and structural damage,⁷ and it is recommended to target low/minimal disease activity or remission.⁶

One of the most common comorbidities in PsA patients is obesity,^{1,8} and higher prevalence of obesity has been reported among PsA patients (23%-37%) compared to the general population.⁹⁻¹² Among PsA patients, obesity has been associated to lower probability of achieving Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) compared to patients with normal weight.^{10,13,14} Similarly, obese PsA patients treated with tumour necrosis factor alfa inhibitors (TNFi) showed higher risk of treatment discontinuation compared to non-obese patients,¹⁵ as well as lower odds of achieving treatment response compared to non-obese¹⁵ or normal weight patients.¹⁶ However, Iannone et al. found no statistically significant differences in remission rates among obese and normal weight PsA patients treated with TNFis.¹⁷ Thus, there seems to be controversy on the topic and it is unclear whether the findings would be always consistent depending on the used clinical outcome definition.

The rationale behind the association between obesity and PsA has been previously discussed.^{5,18,19} In short, obesity has been described as a low-grade inflammatory disease,¹⁹ and both obesity and PsA share pathological inflammatory pathways.^{5,19,20} Further evidence

supporting the association between obesity and a worse PsA clinical outcome is the association of weight loss with higher rate of achieving MDA.²¹ Additionally, obesity is a well-known contributor to the metabolic syndrome (MetS), and MetS was similarly associated to lower likelihood of achieving MDA in PsA patients.²²

These findings support the need to study PsA patients with elevated BMI. Thus, we seek to contribute to the growing body of evidence by performing an observational cohort study aiming to assess the impact of BMI in the achievement of MDA and remission in PsA patients. Additionally, by including several outcome definitions we aim to investigate the consistency of the findings when considering different aspects of the disease.

METHODS

Study design and data source

We performed an observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) registry from January 1st 1997 and July 31st 2019. The SCQM is a national longitudinal population-based cohort of rheumatic diseases in Switzerland, initiated in 1997.²³ SCQM data are recorded during routine clinical practice, and includes information on demographics, body height and weight, life-style habits, anti-rheumatic medication (with start and stop dates), clinical endpoints, patient-reported outcomes, and fragility and health standardized surveys.^{12,23}

Study population

PsA patients (\geq 18 years old) starting their first b/tsDMARD in the SCQM registry between January 1st 1997 and June 30th 2018 (inclusive) were included in the study. The first recorded start of b/tsDMARD in the SCQM was defined as the index date. Patients with a b/tsDMARD start date before their first registered visit at SCQM were excluded. Similarly, patients without a baseline record on height and weight were excluded.

BMJ Open

Exposure

The exposure of interest was BMI category at the start of the patients' first b/tsDMARD. Baseline BMI (kg/m²) was calculated using height and weight records (**Supplementary Equation 1**) at index date or as close as possible to this date within a 6-month look-back window. Patients were classified based on BMI as normal weight (BMI <25), overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9), and obese (BMI \geq 30). The normal weight group was the reference category.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was defined as achievement of MDA within the first year after the index date. MDA was achieved if at least five of the following seven criteria were met: number of tender joint counts (TJC) \leq 1; number of swollen joint counts (SJC) \leq 1; skin manifestation none or almost none; patient's joint pain by visual analogue scale (VAS, 0-100) \leq 15; patient's assessment on PsA activity by VAS \leq 20; Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) \leq 0.5; enthesis points \leq 1.²⁴

Secondary outcomes assessed within the first year were: achievement of Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission, defined as DAPSA≤4; DAPSA remission or low disease activity (DAPSA-remLDA), defined as DAPSA≤14; clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA) remission, defined as cDAPSA≤4; and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28) remission, defined as DAS28<2.6. DAPSA, cDAPSA, and DAS28 formulas are described in the **Supplementary Equations 2-5**. DAS28-remission was calculated using erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR; DAS28-ESR), however, in cases where follow-up data on DAS28-ESR was missing, DAS28 with C-reactive protein (CRP; DAS28-CRP) was used instead, if available.

As a tertiary outcome, persistence with the first b/tsDMARD at the end of month-12 was assessed. We allowed for a permissible gap of one-month between treatment courses of the same b/tsDMARD, as illustrated in the **Supplementary Figure S1**.

Manuscript - Obesity and Lower Likelihood of Achieving Minimal Disease Activity and Remission in Psoriatic Arthritis Patients

Patients with missing information on the study outcomes during the follow-up were categorized as not having achieved the corresponding outcome. In a sensitivity analysis, we re-ran our analyses excluding patients with missing information on outcome during follow-up.

Follow-up

For primary and secondary outcomes, patients were followed from index date until achievement of outcome or a maximum follow-up of 12-months. For the tertiary outcome (treatment persistence) patients were followed until the earliest of the following: treatment stop, start of a new b/tsDMARD, or end of observation period (12-months).

In a secondary analysis, all outcomes were assessed with a maximum follow-up of 9months and 15-months. This was done to investigate if the findings would differ across shorter and longer follow-up times.

Covariates

Baseline variables included demographics, BMI, high education, ever smoking, anti-rheumatic medication (i.e., b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid), inflammatory markers, physician's assessment on disease activity and skin, patient-reported disease activity and pain, joint counts, disease activity scores (i.e., DAPSA, cDAPSA, DAS28-ESR), disease-specific manifestations (i.e., musculoskeletal manifestations, dactylitis, enthesitis, sacroilitis, spinal involvement, coxitis, peripheral arthritis, nail manifestation), fragility and health standardized surveys (i.e., Health Assessment Questionnaire [HAQ], Short Form-12 [SF-12]), and comorbidities (i.e., cardiovascular event/disease, diabetes or other metabolic problems, depression/anxiety). Baseline variables were collected at index date, or as close as possible to that date within a 6-month look-back window, except for: disease activity scores, disease-specific manifestations, and fragility and health surveys, which were collected with a 3-months look-back window. Information on smoking, cardiovascular event/disease, and diabetes, which was included if ever reported prior or at index date. Anti-rheumatic medication which was collected on the index date.

BMJ Open

Data analysis

Patient baseline characteristics were described, and the overweight and obese categories were compared to the normal weight group using chi-squared test for categorical variables and t-test or Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables. For these tests, missing values did not function as a grouping variable. Statistical significance was defined as $p \le 0.05$.

Subsequently, missingness for key baseline variables was addressed with multiple imputation by chained equation (MICE) using the *mice* package²⁵ in the R Statistical Software.²⁶ MICE was performed for each study outcome separately, using 50 imputations with 15 interactions for each set. Variables included in the imputations, their original missingness, and corresponding applied imputation models are presented in the **Supplementary Table S1**. The 48.32% of the study population had complete information on every variable included in the MICE for the main analysis (**Supplementary Figure S2**). Convergence of imputations was assessed by visual inspection of density plots (**Supplementary Figure S3**).

To investigate the association between BMI categories and the study outcomes, multivariable logistic regression models were conducted (outcome specific) for individual imputed datasets, and the results were pooled to a single estimate according to Rubin's rules. These models were conducted first, including only sex and age as covariates, and second, adding clinical confounders (full-adjusted). Confounders were chosen based on clinical rational and direct acyclic graphs (DAGs) (**Supplementary figure S4**), and included: sex, age, high education, ever smoking, and anti-rheumatic medication (i.e., b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid). Additionally, a sensitivity analysis was performed whereby we added the respective disease activity or fragility measurement to the fully adjusted models for primary and secondary outcomes to assess their potential mediating impact on the analyses. Lastly, to compare accordance across study outcomes, the proportion of patients achieving each outcome (per BMI group) was summarised, and the overlapping of patients achieving individual primary and secondary outcomes during the first year was illustrated with a Venn Diagram.

Patient and Public Involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

RESULTS

The study included 774 adult PsA patients starting their first b/tsDMARD. **Supplementary Figure S5** illustrates the cohort selection process. Among included patients, 306 (39.53%) were normal weight, 285 (36.82%) were overweight, and 183 (23.64%) were obese. Baseline patient characteristics (prior to imputation) are presented in **Table 1**. Compared to the normal weight group, overweight patients had higher SJC, were less frequently women, and had older mean age. Both overweight and obese patients had lower frequency of high education, and higher patient-reported disease activity and joint pain, while only obese patients had higher CRP levels. Compared to the normal weight category, DAPSA and DAS28 were elevated in the overweight group, while cDAPSA was higher in both overweight and obese BMI categories. HAQ and SF-12 with physical components (SF-12pcs) were worse in the obese patients, and patients with obesity were more likely to have had a cardiovascular event/disease than the normal weight group.

Table 1. Patient characteristics at start of first biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying

anti-rheumatic drug (b/tsDMARD), prior imputation, stratified by body mass index (BMI).

	Normal weight	Overweight		Obese	
	(n=306)	(n=285)	p-value	(n=183)	p-value
Sex, women	172 (56.21)	126 (44.21)	0.01	101 (55.19)	0.90
Age, years (mean (SD))	47.59 (13.20)	50.60 (12.52)	0.01	49.50 (11.03)	0.10
High education (high technical school or	80 (26.14)	42 (14.74)	0.00	27 (14.75)	0.01
university)		51 (15 00)		(1, (22, 1)	
missing	54 (17.65)	51 (17.89)	0.00	41 (22.4)	0.05
Smoker (ever smoker)	77 (25.16)	84 (29.47)	0.28	54 (29.51)	0.35
Disease duration, years (mean (SD))	5.85 (8.07)	5.54 (6.98)	0.63	4.51 (6.02)	0.06
missing	6 (1.96)	6 (2.11)		5 (2.73)	
o/tsDMRAD			0.87		0.35
anti-TNF biologic	279 (91.18)	262 (91.93)		160 (87.43)	
other biologic	9 (2.94)	9 (3.16)		6 (3.28)	
tsDMRAD	18 (5.88)	14 (4.91)		17 (9.29)	
csDMARD at index	152 (49.67)	151 (52.98)	0.47	100 (54.64)	0.33
Corticosteroid (prednisone) at index	38 (12.42)	38 (13.33)	0.83	17 (9.29)	0.36
+ILA-B27+	39 (12.75)	28 (9.82)	0.30	20 (10.93)	0.88
missing	141 (46.08)	132 (46.32)		92 (50.27)	
ESR (mm/h) (median [IQR])	10.00 [5.00, 22.00]	12.00 [6.00, 22.00]	0.15	15.00 [6.00, 23.00]	0.10
missing	38 (12.42)	43 (15.09)		24 (13.11)	
CRP (mg/dL) (median [IQR])	0.52 [0.20, 0.90]	0.60 [0.30, 1.10]	0.18	0.80 [0.40, 1.20]	0.03
missing	48 (15.69)	52 (18.25)		27 (14.75)	
Swollen joint counts (0-66) (mean (SD))	4.70 (5.31)	5.78 (7.17)	0.05	4.88 (5.34)	0.73
missing	36 (11.76)	18 (6.32)		18 (9.84)	
Fender joint counts (0-68) (mean (SD))	8.20 (9.23)	9.18 (10.36)	0.25	8.72 (9.80)	0.58
missing	36 (11.76)	18 (6.32)		19 (10.38)	
Physician global disease activity (1-10)	4.42 (2.04)	4.58 (1.88)	0.32	4.41 (1.85)	0.96
mean (SD))					
missing	16 (5.23)	9 (3.16)		6 (3.28)	
Physician global skin manifestation			0.11		0.07
none	75 (24.51)	48 (16.84)		31 (16.94)	
almost none	55 (17.97)	55 (19.3)		34 (18.58)	
mild	56 (18.3)	66 (23.16)		36 (19.67)	
mild to moderate	35 (11.44)	30 (10.53)		18 (9.84)	
moderate	27 (8.82)	35 (12.28)		33 (18.03)	
moderate to severe	19 (6.21)	28 (9.82)		13 (7.10)	
severe	9 (2.94)	6 (2.11)		4 (2.19)	
missing	30 (9.80)	17 (5.96)		14 (7.65)	
Patient's assessment on PsA activity	5.08 (2.73)	5.57 (2.50)	0.05	6.05 (2.56)	0.00
(1-10) (mean (SD))					
missing	82 (26.8)	57 (20)		46 (25.14)	
Patient's joint pain (1-10) (mean (SD))	4.88 (2.65)	5.48 (2.39)	0.01	6.18 (2.36)	< 0.001
missing	76 (24.84)	54 (18.95)		44 (24.04)	
Musculoskeletal manifestations	232 (75.82)	213 (74.74)	0.84	140 (76.5)	0.95
Dactylitis	101 (33.01)	106 (37.19)	0.33	66 (36.07)	0.55
Enthesitis	116 (37.91)	103 (36.14)	0.72	67 (36.61)	0.85
Sacroilitis	72 (23.53)	64 (22,46)	0.83	27 (14.75)	0.03
Spinal involvement	81 (26.47)	70 (24.56)	0.66	40 (21.86)	0.30
Coxitis $n(\%)$	13 (4 25)	8 (2.81)	0.47	15 (8 2)	0.11
Perinheral arthritis	141 (46.08)	138 (48 42)	0.63	94 (51 37)	0.30
Nail manifestation	64 (20.02)	62 (21 75)	0.05	47 (25.68)	0.27
DAPSA (mean (SD))	22 14 (15 72)	27.04 (19.23)	0.00	26 56 (14 19)	0.27
missing	25.14 (15.75)	103(26.14)	0.01	20.30 (14.18)	0.07
aDADSA (maan (SD))	22 04 (15 21)	26 20 (17 57)	0.01	25 60 (12 70)	0.04
missing	22.04 (13.21)	20.37 (17.37)	0.01	23.00 (13.70)	0.04
	107 (34.97)	80 (28.07)	0.02	/1 (38.80)	0.42
DA528-ESK (mean (SD))	5.34 (1.26)	3.61 (1.33)	0.02	3.44 (1.22)	0.43
	51 (16.67)	49 (17.19)	0.40	34 (18.58)	0.11
SF-12 mcs (mean (SD))	45.87 (11.36)	45.11 (11.66)	0.49	43.85 (11.68)	0.11
missing	// (25.16)	/8 (27.37)	0.10	51 (27.87)	<u> </u>
SF-12 pcs (mean (SD))	38.95 (10.67)	37.63 (9.71)	0.18	35.79 (9.04)	0.01
missing	77 (25.16)	78 (27.37)		51 (27.87)	

Page 10 of 22

Manuscript - Obesity and Lower Likelihood of Achieving Minimal Disease Activity and Remission in Psoriatic Arthritis Patients

HAQ (mean (SD))	0.71 (0.66)	0.79 (0.58)	0.20	0.93 (0.61)	0.00
missing	60 (19.61)	59 (20.70)		48 (26.23)	
Cardiovascular event/disease	26 (8.50)	39 (13.68)	0.06	31 (16.94)	0.01
Diabetes or other metabolic problems	10 (3.27)	20 (7.02)	0.06	14 (7.65)	0.05
Depression/anxiety	13 (4 25)	17 (5 96)	0.45	10 (5 46)	0.69

Values are the number and column percentage, unless otherwise specified. Significance tests compare overweight or obese categories to the normal weight group using chi-squared test for categorical variables, and t-test for continuous variables, but Wilcoxon test for ESR and CRP. For these tests, missing values did not function as a grouping variable. Normal weight (BMI <25 kg/m²); Overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m²); Obese (BMI≥30 kg/m²). Abbreviations: BMI body mass index; p p-value; n sample size; SD Standard deviation; IQR Interquartile range; b/tsDMARD biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; anti-TNF anti-tumor necrosis factor; tsDMARD targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; csDMARD conventional synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; HLA-B27+ human leukocyte antigen B27 positive; ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate; mm/h millimetres per hour; CRP C-reactive protein; mg/dL milligrams per decilitre; PsA psoriasis arthritis; DAPSA Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis; DAS28 28-joint disease activity score; SF-12 Short-Form 12 health survey (SF-12); mcs mental component summary; pcs physical component summary; HAQ Health Assessment Questionnaire.

Results from the logistic regression for the primary analysis are presented in **Figure 1**. Compared to the normal weight group, obese patients had significantly lower odds of achieving MDA within the first year, with an adjusted Odds Ratio (ORadj) of 0.45 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.24-0.82). Similarly, both overweight and obese patients had >50% reduced odds of achieving DAPSA-remission (overweight ORadj 0.44 [95% CI 0.24-0.79] and obese ORadj 0.42 [95% CI 0.21-0.85]), compared to normal weight patients. Additionally, obese patients had reduced odds of achieving cDAPSA-remission (ORadj 0.51 [95% CI 0.27-0.96]) and DAS28-remission (ORadj 0.51 [95% CI 0.32-0.81]) within the first year. No differences were observed across BMI categories on achievement of DAPSA-remLDA or treatment persistence at the end of month-12.

The secondary analyses showed that extending the maximum follow-up to 15-months resulted in similar findings to those from the 12-months analyses (**Table 2**). However, in the 9-months analyses, the associations of obesity with DAPSA-remission and with cDAPSA-remission were no longer significant (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Result from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association between body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes, with maximum follow-up 9-months and 15-months.

	М	aximum follow-up 9	-months	Maximum follow-up 15-months		
	n events	OR	ORadj	n events	OR	ORadj
MDA						
Normal weight	45	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	86	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	21	0.47 (0.27-0.82)	0.52 (0.28-0.96)	61	0.67 (0.45-0.98)	0.75 (0.48-1.15)
Obese	12	0.41 (0.21-0.80)	0.44 (0.21-0.94)	30	0.50 (0.31-0.80)	0.57 (0.34-0.96)
DAPSA-remission						
Normal weight	31	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	67	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	11	0.35 (0.17-0.72)	0.40 (0.18-0.88)	31	0.42 (0.26-0.68)	0.50 (0.30-0.84)
Obese	8	0.41 (0.18-0.92)	0.49 (0.20-1.18)	17	0.37 (0.21-0.67)	0.47 (0.25-0.87)
DAPSA-remLDA						
Normal weight	47	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	117	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	37	0.81 (0.51-1.30)	0.88 (0.52-1.50)	104	0.91 (0.65-1.27)	0.90 (0.62-1.31)
Obese	22	0.75 (0.43-1.29)	0.75 (0.40-1.40)	52	0.64 (0.43-0.95)	0.66 (0.42-1.03)
cDAPSA-remission						
Normal weight	36	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	77	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	22	0.62 (0.35-1.09)	0.70 (0.38-1.30)	53	0.65 (0.43-0.98)	0.75 (0.48-1.16)
Obese	12	0.53 (0.27-1.06)	0.64 (0.31-1.35)	23	0.43 (0.26-0.72)	0.55 (0.32-0.95)
DAS28-remission						
Normal weight	68	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	153	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	64	1.01 (0.68-1.49)	0.91 (0.58-1.43)	140	0.91 (0.65-1.28)	0.89 (0.61-1.3)
Obese	29	0.67 (0.41-1.08)	0.50 (0.28-0.89)	70	0.62 (0.42-0.91)	0.57 (0.36-0.88)
Treatment persistence						
at the end of follow-up						
Normal weight	204	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	159	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	184	0.86 (0.60-1.21)	0.91 (0.60-1.36)	148	0.96 (0.69-1.34)	0.97 (0.67-1.42)
Obese	111	0.77 (0.52-1.12)	0.91 (0.57-1.44)	81	0.73 (0.51-1.07)	0.87 (0.57-1.33)

OR: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age;

ORadj: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid.

Abbreviations: n number; CI confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSA-remLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission.

In the sensitivity analysis in which the respective disease activity or fragility measurement was included in the model, the previously observed findings in the high BMI groups were attenuated, with the exception of obesity and achievement of MDA (**Supplementary Table S2**). The sensitivity analysis excluding patients with missing information on outcome during the one-year follow-up yielded stronger reduced odds of achieving MDA and remission among abnormal BMI categories vs the normal weight group (**Supplementary Table S3**).

The frequency of achieved outcomes (with 12-months follow-up) per BMI category are presented in **Figure 2**, with the corresponding numerical values provided in **Supplementary**

Table S4. Overall, 125 patients achieved MDA, 83 DAPSA-remission, 197 DAPSA-remLDA, 112 cDAPSA-remission, and 275 DAS28-remission within the first year. Across all outcomes, patients with obesity had a lower prevalence of achieved outcomes. DAS28-remission and treatment persistence had the highest prevalence in all groups, with 37.58% and 59.80% achieved among normal weight patients and 27.87% and 51.37% among obese, respectively.

The overlap of patients achieving the outcomes during the first year is illustrated in **Figure 3**, complemented with numerical values in **Supplementary Table S5**. Among the 125 patients achieving MDA (66 normal weight, 40 overweight, 19 obese), 80 also achieved DAPSA-remission, of which 48 (72.73%) were normal weight, 20 (50.00%) were overweight, and 12 (63.16%) were obese. Similarly, among patient with MDA, 54 (81.82%) normal weight, 32 (80.00%) overweight, and 15 (78.95%) obese patients also achieved cDAPSA-remission. Additionally, MDA overlapped with every remission outcome in 45 (68.18%) normal weight, 18 (45.00%) overweight, and 11 (57.89%) obese patients.

DISCUSSION

This observational cohort study found that obese patients had a significant 49% to 58% reduced odds of achieving MDA, DAPSA-remission, cDAPSA-remission, and DAS28-remission within the first year, when compared to normal weight patients. Conversely, being overweight was only associated with a reduced odds of achieving DAPSA remission. In both high BMI categories, the association with achievement of DAPSA-remLDA within the first year and with one-year treatment persistence, were not statistically significant. Among patients who achieved MDA, the majority also achieved cDAPSA-remission.

Our findings on the association between obesity and lower probability of reaching MDA and remission are consistent with other longitudinal observational studies.^{10,13,15} In the prospective study by Di Minno et al., obesity was associated with increased risk of not achieving MDA during a 12-months follow-up compared to patients with BMI<30 (hazard ratio 4.90, 95%CI 3.04–7.87).¹³ Eder et al. reported that, compared to normal weight patients Page 13 of 22

BMJ Open

(BMI<25), overweight and obese patients had 34% and 47% significantly reduced odds of achieving MDA, respectively.¹⁰ While we identified a similar OR in the overweight and obese patients, our results in the overweight group were not statistically significant. In the study by Højgaard et al., obesity was associated with 53% lower odds of achieving European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) good or moderate (EGOM) response.¹⁵ While we did not assess EGOM response, this is a DAS28-driven outcome, and the findings are in agreement with our observed association between obesity and 49% reduced odds for DAS28-remission. Conversely, lannone et al. suggested no significant differences in DAS28-remission rates across BMI categories.¹⁷ However, they had a small sample size (135 patients), and their observed lower remission rate in the obese vs normal weight patients was in line with our findings.

Additionally, results from Højgaard et al. showed that compared to non-obese patients (BMI<30), obese patients were associated with a 60% higher risk of TNFi discontinuation during their study period (median follow-up of 1.5 years).¹⁵ While our study did not yield an association between BMI and treatment persistence, these contrasting findings may be explained by the different methodologies. Højgaard et al. assessed the time to withdrawal using a survival model, which gives high attention to early outcomes, while we investigated persistence yes/no at a specific timepoint using logistic regression. Clinicians may be inclined to continue with therapy longer in obese than in normal weight patients, given the higher disease activity at baseline and knowing that obese patients may be less likely to achieve MDA or remission. While this could impact the time to treatment stop, it may not affect the persistence at a relatively advanced time-point.

In our study MDA was the main outcome as it covers several aspects from the disease presentation and consequences, and has been associated with patient's QoL and productivity.²⁷ Additionally, McGagh and Coates suggested that the 66/68 joint counts provides a more realistic picture of joint involvement in PsA, compared to the 28 joint counts, and highlighted

the benefits of including patient-reported outcomes.²⁸ Based on this, we identified DAPSAremission and cDAPSA-remission as optimal secondary outcomes. However, we expect that cDAPSA may be a better fit to study patients with abnormal BMI since obesity was associate with elevated CRP in the general population.^{29–31} This is further supported by the high overlap of patients achieving MDA and cDAPSA-remission in our study, which was similar across every BMI group.

Regarding the observed higher frequency of achievement of DAS28-remission compared to other remission endpoints, this may be explained by its narrow focus on peripheral manifestations, potentially underestimating residual disease activity. Nevertheless, the consistency of the observed results on MDA and remission outcomes in the obese group suggests that obesity affects peripheral joints, as well as disease-specific manifestations and the patient's perspective. However, we note that the different outcome definitions led to contrasting results in the overweight group, suggesting that the effect of overweight on the PsA may not be fully captured by every remission definition. Similarly, the impact of obesity on PsA clinical response was not consistent with the more clinically accessible outcome low disease activity (DAPSA-remLDA).

Strengths and limitations

In addition to the large sample size, the key strength of this study is the use of several relevant clinical outcome definitions. While multiple approaches to assess PsA disease activity exist, no single one has been identified as sufficient³² and the choice of the optimal measure remains challenging.²⁸ The consistency of the observed results on MDA and remission outcomes in the obese group reinforces the study findings. However, we did not look at unidimensional outcomes (e.g., dactylitis) and this remains of interest for future studies.

Our results were mainly consistent among various sensitivity analyses. Excluding patients missing information on the outcome during follow-up (instead of treating them as non-achievers of the respective outcome), supported the observed effect of obesity towards MDA

BMJ Open

and remission, which was even accentuated in this sensitivity analysis. Among secondary analyses varying the duration of follow-up, the 15-month analyses showed consistence with the main findings, and the reduced effect found in the 9-months analyses may be explained by higher missingness of outcome information at shorter follow-up, and therefore lower number of observed events overall.

Limitations to consider when interpreting the results include the potential misclassification of patients in the BMI categories. While overweight and obesity are commonly defined by BMI,^{33,34} this lacks information on body composition. Thus, although data on waist circumference, skinfold thickness, and bioelectrical impedance may provide a better patient classification, this information is extremely limited in real-world data. Additionally, we classified patients with BMI<25 as normal weight, including patients with BMI<18.5, who may be classified as underweight. This was done due to low prevalence of underweight PsA patients in SCQM¹² and is consistent with previous practice in PsA^{10,17} and other inflammatory rheumatic diseases research in which the majority of studies combine normal and underweight patients.³⁵

Finally, since weight loss in overweight and obese patients was identified as a predictor of MDA achievement,²¹ it remains of interest to perform a similar study to this one but stratifying the overweight and obese patients by those with and without weight loss.

CONCLUSION

This study suggests that obesity in PsA patients is associated with at least a 50% reduction in the likelihood of achieving MDA or remission within the first year after starting b/tsDMARD therapy, when compared to normal weight patients. The consistency of findings across definitions of remission suggests that obesity affects several factors of PsA disease. Conversely, obesity was neither associated with the likelihood of achieving low disease activity nor with treatment persistence. Finally, comparative analyses of b/tsDMARDs within BMI

groups is of interest and investigating the benefits of losing weight in this population remains of interest.

Author Contributions

E.V.-Y., T.B., and A.M.B. contributed to the study conceptualization and methodology; E.V.-Y. performed data curation, formal analysis, visualization, and investigation; E.V.-Y. wrote the original draft manuscript, and T.B., and A.M.B. contributed with revision and editing. All authors read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable. This research received no external funding.

Conflict of interests

None declared.

Ethics approval

This study was reviewed by the ethics commission of the Canton of Zurich (KEK: Req-2020-00045). Pseudonymized data, without access to the code key, was provided by the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) registry to the researchers. Therefore, the commission waived the need for a full ethics authorization.

Patient consent for publication

Not required. Prior enrolment at SCQM, signed Informed Consent is provided by the patients, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Additionally, withdrawal of participation is possible at any time. Additional patient consent for publication is not required.

Data Availability Statement

Data belong to the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) and are available only with the approval and permission from the license holder (SCQM).

e. Ad ty S Swi vith

Page 17 of 22

BMJ Open

Acknowledgments

We thank all patients and rheumatologists contributing to the SCQM registry, as well as the entire SCQM staff. A list of rheumatology offices and hospitals which contribute to the SCQM registry can be found at http://www.scqm.ch/institutions. A list of financial supporters of SCQM can be found at http://www.scqm.ch/sponsors. We would like to add a personal thank you to Axel Finckh (University Hospitals of Geneva) for his input regarding the database. AMB acknowledges that her professorship is partly endowed by the Swiss National Pharmacy Association (PharmaSuisse) and the ETH Foundation.

Supplementary Material

This content has been supplied by the authors. It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content.

ORCID ID

Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5911-2037

Theresa Burkard http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1313-4473

Andrea M. Burden http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7082-8530

REFERENCES

- 1 Kumthekar A, Ogdie A. Obesity and Psoriatic Arthritis: A Narrative Review. *Rheumatol Ther* 2020; 7: 447–56.
- 2 Salaffi F, De Angelis R, Grassi W, MArche Pain Prevalence, INvestigation Group (MAPPING) study. Prevalence of musculoskeletal conditions in an Italian population sample: results of a regional community-based study. I. The MAPPING study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005; **23**: 819–28.
- 3 Ogdie A, Weiss P. The Epidemiology Psoriatic Arthritis. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2015; **41**: 545–68.
- 4 Scotti L, Franchi M, Marchesoni A, Corrao G. Prevalence and incidence of psoriatic arthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2018; **48**: 28–34.

Manuscript - Obesity and Lower Likelihood of Achieving Minimal Disease Activity and Remission in Psoriatic Arthritis Patients

- 5 Porta S, Otero-Losada M, Kölliker Frers RA, Cosentino V, Kerzberg E, Capani F. Adipokines, Cardiovascular Risk, and Therapeutic Management in Obesity and Psoriatic Arthritis. *Front Immunol* 2021; **11**. DOI:10.3389/fimmu.2020.590749.
- 6 Gossec L, Smolen JS, Ramiro S, *et al.* European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis with pharmacological therapies: 2015 update. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2016; **75**: 499–510.
- 7 Gossec L, Baraliakos X, Kerschbaumer A, *et al.* EULAR recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis with pharmacological therapies: 2019 update. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2020; **79**: 700–12.
- 8 Gupta S, Syrimi Z, Hughes DM, Zhao SS. Comorbidities in psoriatic arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rheumatol Int* 2021; **41**: 275–84.
- 9 Bhole VM, Choi HK, Burns LC, *et al.* Differences in body mass index among individuals with PsA, psoriasis, RA and the general population. *Rheumatology* 2012; **51**: 552–6.
- 10 Eder L, Thavaneswaran A, Chandran V, Cook RJ, Gladman DD. Obesity is associated with a lower probability of achieving sustained minimal disease activity state among patients with psoriatic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015; **74**: 813–7.
- 11 Eder L, Abji F, Rosen CF, Chandran V, Gladman DD. The Association Between Obesity and Clinical Features of Psoriatic Arthritis: A Case-control Study. *J Rheumatol* 2017; 44: 437–43.
- 12 Vallejo-Yagüe E, Burkard T, Möller B, Finckh A, Burden AM. Comparison of Psoriatic Arthritis and Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients across Body Mass Index Categories in Switzerland. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 2021; 10: 3194.
- 13 di Minno MND, Peluso R, Iervolino S, *et al.* Obesity and the prediction of minimal disease activity: a prospective study in psoriatic arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2013; **65**: 141–7.
- 14 Lupoli R, Pizzicato P, Scalera A, *et al.* Impact of body weight on the achievement of minimal disease activity in patients with rheumatic diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2016; **18**: 297.
- 15 Højgaard P, Glintborg B, Kristensen LE, Gudbjornsson B, Love TJ, Dreyer L. The influence of obesity on response to tumour necrosis factor-α inhibitors in psoriatic arthritis: results from the DANBIO and ICEBIO registries. *Rheumatology* 2016; **55**: 2191–9.
- 16 Singh S, Facciorusso A, Singh AG, *et al.* Obesity and response to anti-tumor necrosis factorα agents in patients with select immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**: e0195123.
- 17 Iannone F, Fanizzi R, Scioscia C, Anelli MG, Lapadula G. Body mass does not affect the remission of psoriatic arthritis patients on anti-TNF-α therapy. *Scandinavian Journal of Rheumatology* 2013; **42**: 41–4.
- 18 Versini M, Jeandel P-Y, Rosenthal E, Shoenfeld Y. Obesity in autoimmune diseases: Not a passive bystander. *Autoimmunity Reviews* 2014; **13**: 981–1000.

 Manuscript - Obesity and Lower Likelihood of Achieving Minimal Disease Activity and Remission in Psoriatic Arthritis Patients

- 19 Russolillo A, Iervolino S, Peluso R, *et al.* Obesity and psoriatic arthritis: from pathogenesis to clinical outcome and management. *Rheumatology* 2013; **52**: 62–7.
- 20Neumann E, Hasseli R, Ohl S, Lange U, Frommer KW, Müller-Ladner U. Adipokines and Autoimmunity in Inflammatory Arthritis. *Cells* 2021; **10**. DOI:10.3390/cells10020216.
- 21 Minno MNDD, Peluso R, Iervolino S, *et al.* Weight loss and achievement of minimal disease activity in patients with psoriatic arthritis starting treatment with tumour necrosis factor α blockers. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2014; **73**: 1157–62.
- 22 Costa L, Caso F, Ramonda R, *et al.* Metabolic syndrome and its relationship with the achievement of minimal disease activity state in psoriatic arthritis patients: an observational study. *Immunol Res* 2015; **61**: 147–53.
- 23Die SCQM Foundation (Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases). https://www.scqm.ch/en/ueber-uns/ (accessed May 18, 2021).
- 24Coates LC, Strand V, Wilson H, *et al.* Measurement properties of the minimal disease activity criteria for psoriatic arthritis. *RMD Open* 2019; **5**. DOI:10.1136/rmdopen-2019-001002.
- 25 Buuren S van, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations in R. *Journal of Statistical Software* 2011; **45**: 1–67.
- 26R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environmental for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/ (accessed May 14, 2021).
- 27 Coates LC, Orbai A-M, Morita A, *et al.* Achieving minimal disease activity in psoriatic arthritis predicts meaningful improvements in patients' health-related quality of life and productivity. *BMC Rheumatology* 2018; **2**: 24.
- 28 McGagh D, Coates LC. Assessment of the many faces of PsA: single and composite measures in PsA clinical trials. *Rheumatology* 2020; **59**: i29–36.
- 29Yudkin JS, Stehouwer CDA, Emeis JJ, Coppack SW. C-Reactive Protein in Healthy Subjects: Associations With Obesity, Insulin Resistance, and Endothelial Dysfunction. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 1999; **19**: 972–8.
- 30Hak AE, Stehouwer CDA, Bots ML, *et al.* Associations of C-Reactive Protein With Measures of Obesity, Insulin Resistance, and Subclinical Atherosclerosis in Healthy, Middle-Aged Women. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 1999; **19**: 1986–91.
- 31 Visser M. Elevated C-Reactive Protein Levels in Overweight and Obese Adults. *JAMA* 1999; **282**: 2131.
- 32 Gulfe A, Geborek P, Saxne T. Response criteria for rheumatoid arthritis in clinical practice: how useful are they? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005; **64**: 1186–9.
- 33Body mass index BMI. https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-prevention/nutrition/a-healthy-lifestyle/body-mass-index-bmi (accessed June 23, 2021).

34Obesity and overweight. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight (accessed July 8, 2021).

35Lee Y, Kwan Y, Lim K, *et al.* A systematic review of the association of obesity with the outcomes of inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *smedj* 2019; **60**: 270–80.

for peer teriew only

BMJ Open

Manuscript - Obesity and Lower Likelihood of Achieving Minimal Disease Activity and Remission in Psoriatic Arthritis Patients

FIGURE LEGENDS

(Attached as JPG)

Figure 1. Results from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association between body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes. Maximum follow-up 12-months.

(Attached as JPG)

Figure 2. Distribution of patients achieving the study primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, and percentage of patients achieving treatment persistence at the end of month-12, stratified by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

(Attached as JPG)

Figure 3. Venn Diagram depicting the number of patients (counts) achieving the study individual primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, overall and stratifying by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

nless otherwise specified	n events	OR (95% CI)	ORadj (95% CI)	ORadj (95% CI)
/IDA				
Normal weight	66	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	÷
Overweight	40	0.59 (0.38-0.91)	0.63 (0.39-1.03)	
Obese	19	0.43 (0.25-0.74)	0.45 (0.24-0.82)	⊢ ∎ −−1 :
DAPSA-remission				:
Normal weight	51	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	÷
Overweight	20	0.38 (0.22-0.66)	0.44 (0.24-0.79)	
Obese	12	0.36 (0.19-0.70)	0.42 (0.21-0.85)	
DAPSA-remLDA				
Normal weight	84	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	÷
Overweight	76	0.95 (0.65-1.37)	0.98 (0.65-1.48)	
Obese	37	0.67 (0.43-1.04)	0.69 (0.42-1.14)	
cDAPSA-remission				
Normal weight	57	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	39	0.69 (0.44-1.08)	0.78 (0.48-1.28)	· · · ·
Obese	16	0.43 (0.24-0.77)	0.51 (0.27-0.96)	
DAS28-remission				
Normal weight	115	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	•
Overweight	109	0.99 (0.70-1.39)	0.88 (0.6-1.29)	⊢ ∎ ; · ·
Obese	51	0.64 (0.43-0.96)	0.51 (0.32-0.81)	
Treatment persistence at the	end of month	-12		
Normal weight	183	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	•
Overweight	161	0.84 (0.60-1.17)	0.87 (0.59-1.27)	⊢ ∎••••••
Obese	94	0.71 (0.49-1.03)	0.84 (0.54-1.29)	
				0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5
OR: odds ratio adjusting for: s	ex, age;			
ORadj: odds ratio adjusting fo	r: sex, age, hig	h educational level,	smoker, b/tsDMAR	D, csDMARD, corticosteroid.
n number; CI confidence inter for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission clinical DAPSA remi.	val; ref. referen remission; DAI ssion; DAS28-re	nce; MDA Minimal D PSA-remLDA DAPSA emission 28-joint dis	lisease Activity; DAI remission or low di sease activity score	PSA-remission Disease Activity sease activity; cDAPSA- remission

Figure 1. Results from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association between body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes. Maximum follow-up 12-months.

286x248mm (144 x 144 DPI)

50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Figure 2. Distribution of patients achieving the study primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, and percentage of patients achieving treatment persistence at the end of month-12, stratified by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

306x275mm (144 x 144 DPI)





Figure 3. Venn Diagram depicting the number of patients (counts) achieving the study individual primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, overall and stratifying by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

238x236mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Supplementary material

Obesity and the likelihood of achieving Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients: a cohort study

Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe¹, Theresa Burkard¹, Andrea M. Burden¹

¹ Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

Supplementary Equations

- (1) $BMI = \frac{weight Kg}{height m^2}$
- (2) DAPSA = sjc66 + tjc68 + PatActivity + PatPain + CRP
- (3) cDAPSA = sjc66 + tjc68 + PatActivity + PatPain
- (4) $DAS28ESR = (0.56 \times \sqrt{tjc28} + 0.28 \times \sqrt{sjc28} + 0.7 \times ln(ESR)) \times 1.08 + 0.16$
- (5) $DAS28CRP = (0.56 \times \sqrt{tjc28} + 0.28 \times \sqrt{sjc28} + 0.36 \times ln(CRP + 1)) \times 1.10 + 1.15$

Abbreviations used in the above equations: DAPSA disease activity in psoriasis arthritis score; cDAPSA clinical DAPSA; DAS28 disease activity score 28; sjc66 number of swollen joints, counting 66; sjc28 number of swollen joints, counting 28; tjc68 number of tender joints, counting 68; tjc28 number of tender joints, counting 28; CRP C-reactive protein (mg/dL); ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm/h); PatActivity patient's assessment of disease activity (0 very well - 10 very poor); PatPain patient's joint pain (0 very well - 10 very poor).



Supplementary Figure S1. Graphical representation of the assessment of treatment persistence at month-12 for an example patient who starts adalimumab as first biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (b/tsDMARD).

Supplementary material - Page 1 of 7

Supplementary Table S1. Variables included in the multiple imputation.

	rsion 1 luded	rsion 2 luded	dicted	dictor				
Variable	Vel Inc	Inc Ve	Pre	Pre	Method	Missingness	Levels	Range
Outcome ^a	yes	-	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
(MDA/DAPSAreIII/DAPSAreIIILDA/Persistence)		100		NOC			V051 P0	
Dationt ID	-	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes, 110.	1 774
BMI category	yes	yes	-	-	-		normal weight:	1-774
Bivil category	yes	yes					overweight; obese.	
BMI kg/m ²	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	-	16.56 - 51.42
Sex	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	female (women); male (men).	-
Age	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	-	18.37 - 84.65
Disease duration, years	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	17 (2.20)	-	0.04 - 47.31
High education	yes	yes	yes	yes	logreg	146 (18.86)	yes; no.	-
ESR mm/h	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	105 (13.57)	-	1 - 110
CRP mg/dL	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	127 (16.41)	-	0 - 11.10
Physician's global disease activity (0-10)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	31 (4.01)	-	0 - 9
Physician's global skin manifestation	yes	yes	yes	yes	polyreg	61 (7.88)	none;	-
							almost none;	
							mild;	
							mild to moderate;	
							moderate;	
							moderate to severe;	
Patient's assessment on disease activity	VAS	VAS	VAS	VAS	nmm	185 (23.90)	severe.	0 - 10
(0-10) (PatActy)	yes	yes	yes	yes	piiiii	105 (25.50)		0 10
Patient's joint pain (0-10) (PatPain)	ves	ves	ves	ves	pmm	174 (22.48)	-	0 - 10
Number of swollen joints 28 (sic28)	ves	ves	ves	ves	pmm	20 (2.58)	-	0 - 22
Number of swollen joints 66 (sic66)	ves	ves	ves	ves	pmm	72 (9.30)	-	0 - 48
Number of tender joints 28 (tjc28)	ves	ves	ves	ves	pmm	28 (3.62)	-	0 - 28
Number of tender joints 68 (tjc68)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	73 (9.43)	-	0 - 68
DAPSA	yes		yes	yes ^b	passive	298 (38.5)	-	0.10 - 121
					imputation ^d			
DAS28	-	yes	yes	yesc	passive	99 (12.79)	-	0.20 - 7.60
					imputation ^e			
HAQ (0-3)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	167 (21.58)	-	0 - 3
SF-12mcus (0-100)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	206 (26.61)		18.74-67.78
SF-12pcus (0-100)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	206 (26.61)		16.74-61.25
b/tsDMARD	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	anti-TNF;	-
							other biologic;	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							tsDMARD.	
csDMARD at index	yes	yes	-	yes	<u> </u>	-	yes; no.	-
Prednisone at index	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Dactylitis	yes	yes	-	yes		-	yes; no.	-
Sacroilitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Enthesitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-		yes; no.	-
Spinal involvement	yes	yes	-	yes	-	· ·	yes; no.	-
	yes	yes	-	yes	-		yes; no.	-
Dactylitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-		yes; no.	-

Abbreviations: BMI body mass index; ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP C-reactive protein; PsA psoriasis arthritis; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis; DAS28 28-joint disease activity score; HAQ Health Assessment Questionnaire; b/tsDMARD biologic or targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; csDMARD conventional synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; anti-TNF anti-tumor necrosis factor; tsDMARD targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; pmm predictive mean matching; logit logistic regression; polyreg polytomous logistic regression.

^a Multiple imputation was run distinctly for each outcome.

^b DAPSA not used as predictor for: sjc66, tjc68, PatActivity, PatPain, CRP.

^d DAS28 not used as predictor for: sjc28, tjc28, ESR.

^d DAPSA passive imputation: DAPSA = sjc66 + tjc68 + PatActivity + PatPain + CRP

^e DAS28 passive imputation: DAS28ESR = $(0.56 \times \sqrt{tjc28} + 0.28 \times \sqrt{sjc28} + 0.7 \times ln(ESR)) \times 1.08 + 0.16$

Page 29 of 34



Supplementary Figure S2. Graphical representation of the missingness among baseline variables included in the imputations for primary analysis (i.e., achievement of Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) within the first year after index date). The 48.32% of patients had complete information on all the included variables.



Supplementary Figure S3. Density plots for the imputed variables high educational level [A], Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) [B], and Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) [C] for the primary outcome, achievement of Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) within the first year after index date. Additionally, density plot for the imputed clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA) [D] and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28) [E] for the secondary outcomes cDAPSA-remission and DAS28-remission within the first year of treatment, respectively. The variable distribution in the original dataset is shown in blue, and the corresponding distribution in each imputed dataset is shown in red.



Supplementary Figure S4. Direct acyclic graph (DAG) displaying the clinical rational for selection of confounders. The nodes represent the exposure, outcome and covariates, and the lines or edges represent the assumed relationship between them.

Supplementary material - Page 4 of 7





Supplementary Figure S5. Flow chart reflecting the cohort selection based on inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Supplementary Table S2. Sensitivity analyses, including the respective disease activity or fragility assessment in the multivariable logistic regression of each study outcome

assessment in the multivariable logistic regression of each study outcome.								
	Maximum f	ollow-up 9-months	Maximum fo	llow-up 12-months	Maximum fo	llow-up 15-months		
	n events	ORadj ^c (95% CI)	n events	ORadj ^c (95% CI)	n events	ORadj ^c (95% CI)		
MDA								
Normal weight	45	1 (ref.)	66	1 (ref.)	86	1 (ref.)		
Overweight	21	0.67 (0.35-1.29)	40	0.69 (0.42-1.15)	61	0.85 (0.54-1.36)		
Obese	12	0.47 (0.19-1.14)	19	0.48 (0.25-0.96)	30	0.72 (0.4-1.27)		
DAPSA-remission								
Normal weight	31	1 (ref.)	51	1 (ref.)	67	1 (ref.)		
Overweight	11	0.7 (0.29-1.72)	20	0.56 (0.28-1.1)	31	0.6 (0.33-1.08)		
Obese	8	0.78 (0.28-2.17)	12	0.49 (0.22-1.1)	17	0.49 (0.24-1)		
DAPSA-remLDA								
Normal weight	47	1 (ref.)	84	1 (ref.)	117	1 (ref.)		
Overweight	37	0.91 (0.48-1.75)	76	1.03 (0.63-1.69)	104	0.79 (0.5-1.25)		
Obese	22	0.87 (0.41-1.85)	37	0.68 (0.38-1.22)	52	0.62 (0.36-1.04)		
cDAPSA-remission								
Normal weight	36	1 (ref.)	57	1 (ref.)	77	1 (ref.)		
Overweight	22	1.04 (0.51-2.13)	39	0.91 (0.52-1.6)	53	0.78 (0.47-1.29)		
Obese	12	0.72 (0.28-1.81)	16	0.53 (0.25-1.11)	23	0.57 (0.3-1.07)		
DAS28-remission								
Normal weight	68	1 (ref.)	115	1 (ref.)	153	1 (ref.)		
Overweight	64	1.13 (0.68-1.9)	109	0.93 (0.6-1.43)	140	0.93 (0.6-1.42)		
Obese	29	0.67 (0.36-1.27)	51	0.62 (0.37-1.04)	70	0.69 (0.42-1.13)		
Treatment persistence								
Normal weight	204	1 (ref.)	183	1 (ref.)	159	1 (ref.)		
Overweight	184	0.92 (0.61-1.4)	161	0.88 (0.59-1.3)	148	1.04 (0.71-1.54)		
Obese	111	0.92 (0.56-1.49)	94	0.92 (0.58-1.46)	81	1.04 (0.66-1.64)		

ORadj⁵: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid, and HAQ (for MDA) or DAPSA (for DAPSA-remission/DAPSA-remission/LDA) or DAPS28 (for DAPSA-remission).

Abbreviations: n number; Cl confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSA-remLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28-remission clinical Disease activity score remission

Supplementary Table S3. Sensitivity analysis, excluding patients without follow-up data on outcome. Multivariable logistic regression for each study outcome.

		Maximum follow-up 12-months, sensitivity analysis						
	n sample size	n events	OR ^a (95% CI)	Oradj ^b (95% CI)	Oradj ^c (95% CI)			
MDA			1					
Normal weight	130	66	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)			
Overweight	131	40	0.39 (0.23-0.66)	0.45 (0.25-0.80)	0.5 (0.26-0.93)			
Obese	81	19	0.28 (0.15-0.53)	0.33 (0.16-0.67)	0.37 (0.17-0.81)			
DAPSA-remission								
Normal weight	113	51	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)			
Overweight	113	20	0.23 (0.12-0.43)	0.25 (0.12-0.49)	0.37 (0.16-0.82)			
Obese	64	12	0.28 (0.13-0.59)	0.31 (0.14-0.71)	0.44 (0.17-1.13)			
DAPSA-remLDA								
Normal weight	113	84	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)			
Overweight	113	76	0.66 (0.37-1.19)	0.58 (0.3-1.12)	0.57 (0.26-1.29)			
Obese	64	37	0.48 (0.25-0.92)	0.44 (0.21-0.93)	0.42 (0.17-1.04)			
cDAPSA-remission								
Normal weight	124	57	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)			
Overweight	131	39	0.44 (0.26-0.75)	0.47 (0.26-0.85)	0.61 (0.31-1.21)			
Obese	74	16	0.32 (0.16-0.63)	0.36 (0.17-0.75)	0.44 (0.19-1.04)			
DAS28-remission								
Normal weight	159	115	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)			
Overweight	153	109	0.86 (0.51-1.46)	0.55 (0.3-1.01)	0.57 (0.28-1.14)			
Obese	89	51	0.48 (0.27-0.86)	0.3 (0.15-0.6)	0.37 (0.17-0.81)			

OR: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age;

ORadj: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid.

ORadj^c: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid, and HAQ (for MDA) or DAPSA (for DAPSA-remission/DAPSA-remission/LDA) or DAS28 (for DAS28-remission).

Abbreviations: n number; Cl confidence interval; ref. reference; Abbreviations: n number; Cl confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSA-remLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission

Supplementary material - Page 6 of 7

Supplementary Table S4. Counts and percentage of patients achieving the study outcomes (maximum follow-up 12-months), overall and stratifying by body mass index (BMI) category.

	1	Maximum follow-up 12-months						
	Overall	Normal weight	Overweight	Obese				
	(n=774)	(n=306)	(n=285)	(n=183)				
MDA	125 (16.15)	66 (21.57)	40 (14.04)	19 (10.38)				
DAPSA-remission	83 (10.72)	51 (16.67)	20 (7.02)	12 (6.56)				
DAPSA-remLDA	197 (25.45)	84 (27.45)	76 (26.67)	37 (20.22)				
cDAPSA-remission	112 (14.47)	57 (18.63)	39 (13.68)	16 (8.74)				
DAS28-remission	275 (35.53)	115 (37.58)	109 (38.25)	51 (27.87)				
Persistence	438 (56.59)	183 (59.80)	161 (56.49)	94 (51.37)				

Numbers as counts and percentages (per column). Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSA-remLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission.

Supplementary Table S5. Number of patients, overall and stratified by body mass index (BMI) category, for each corresponding set of achieved outcomes within the first year. These numerical values complement the Figure 4 Venn Diagram. Each patient may achieve none, one, or more outcomes. Each row includes patients with the same set of achieved outcomes. The symbol √ indicates that the corresponding outcome (column-wise) was achieved. Conversely, the symbol − indicates that the corresponding outcome was not achieved. To obtain the total number of patients achieving a specific outcome, every column with the corresponding outcome marked as achieved should be sum.

Achieved	outcomes
Acineveu	outcomes

	E	mLDA	E	E				
MDA	DAPSAre	DAPSAre DAPSAre cDAPSAri		DAS28rei	Overall (n=774) (counts)	Normal weight (n=306) (counts)	Overweight (n=285) (counts)	Obese (n=183) (counts)
٧	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	1
V	-	٧	-	-	4	2	2	0
V	-	-	-	V	4	2	0	2
V	-	٧	-	V	13	7	5	1
V	-	-	۷	-	12	2	8	2
V	-	٧	٧	-	1	0	1	0
V	-	-	۷	V	2	2	0	0
V	-	۷	۷	V	6	2	3	1
V	۷	۷	۷	-	6	3	2	1
۷	۷	۷	۷	۷	74	45	18	11
-	-	٧	-	-	15	5	6	4
-	-	-	-	٧	98	37	43	18
-	-	۷	-	V	68	17	33	18
-	-	۷	۷	-	1	0	0	1
-	-	-	۷	V	1	0	1	0
-	-	٧	٧	V	6	0	6	0
-	V	٧	٧	V	3	3	0	0

Abbreviations: MDA minimal disease activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSAremLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

Supplementary material - Page 7 of 7

STROBE Statement

Obesity and the likelihood of achieving Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients: a cohort study

Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe¹, Theresa Burkard¹, Andrea M. Burden¹

¹ Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1-2 (Title and abstract)
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4-5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Participants	6	(<i>a</i>) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	5 NA
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	5-7
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	5-9
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8-9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5; 9; Figure 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	8-9
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8-9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8-9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	8-9
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	7
		(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	7-9

Results
BMJ Open

Participants		13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	Figur				
			potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in					
			the study, completing follow-up, and analysed					
			(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Figur				
			(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figur				
Descriptive data		14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,	9				
			social) and information on exposures and potential confounders					
			(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of	Table				
			interest					
			(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	NA (spage				
Outcome data		15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Table				
Main results	16	(a) Giv	ve unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and	9-11;				
		their pr	their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were					
		adjuste	d for and why they were included					
		(b) Rep	port category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	6 (evnc				
				and				
				outed				
		(<i>c</i>) If re	elevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a	-				
		meanir	ngful time period					
Other analyses	17	Report	other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and	10				
		sensitiv	vity analyses					
Discussion								
Key results	18	Summa	arise key results with reference to study objectives	11				
Limitations	19	Discus	s limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or	13-14				
		imprec	ision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias					
Interpretation	20	Give a	cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,	11-13				
		multipl	licity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence					
Generalisability	21	Discus	s the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	-				
Other information	n							
Other mormation		Circo th	be source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and if	-				
Funding	22	Give u	is source of funding and the fole of the funders for the present study and, if					

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients with elevated body mass index: an observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management cohort

Journal:	BMJ Open					
Manuscript ID	omjopen-2022-061474.R1					
Article Type:	Original research					
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Jun-2022					
Complete List of Authors:	Vallejo-Yagüe, Enriqueta; ETH Zürich, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences Burkard, Theresa; ETH Zürich, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences Micheroli, Raphael; University Hospital of Zurich, University of Zurich, Department of Rheumatology Burden, Andrea; ETH Zurich, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences					
Primary Subject Heading :	Rheumatology					
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology					
Keywords:	RHEUMATOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Rheumatology < INTERNAL MEDICINE					

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1		
2		
3 4	1	Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis
5	2	patients with elevated body mass index: an observational cohort
7 8	3	study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management cohort
9 10	4	Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe ¹ , Theresa Burkard ¹ , Raphael Micheroli ² , Andrea M. Burden ¹
11	5	
12	6	¹ Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences. Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences. ETH Zurich.
14	7	Zurich, Switzerland.
15	8	² Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital of Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland,
16	9	
17	10	ORCID IDs:
18	11	Enriqueta Valleio-Vagije - 10 0000-0002-5911-2037
19	12	Theress Burkard (10,000,0002,1212,4472
20	12	
21	13	Raphael Micheroli - 10000002-8918-7304
22	14	Andrea M. Burden - 🙂 0000-0001-7082-8530
24	15	
25	16	Correspondence to:
26	17	Prof. Dr. Andrea M. Burden
27	18	Assistant Professor of Pharmacoepidemiology
28	19	ETH Zürich, HCI H407
29	20	Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 1-5/10, CH 8093 Zürich
30	21	Email address: andrea.burden@pharma.ethz.ch
31	22	Telephone number +41 44 633 74 09
32	23	
34		
35 36	24	Keywords: psoriatic arthritis; minimal disease activity; remission; obesity; body mass index.
37 38	25	
39 40	26	Abstract word count: 293
41 42 43	27	Manuscript word count: 3430
44 45	28	
46	29	
47		
48		
49 50		
50		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
5/		
20 50		
60		

30 ABSTRACT

31 Objective: To assess the impact of elevated body mass index (BMI) in the achievement of 32 Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) and several definitions of remission in PsA patients in 33 Switzerland. Secondarily, to assess the overlapping across the study outcomes.

Methods: This observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) registry included PsA patients starting their first biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (b/tsDMARD) from 1997 to 30.06.2018. Exposure was BMI category at b/tsDMARD start: overweight, obese, and normal weight (reference). Logistic regression was used to assess the achievement of MDA and remission at <12-months, as well as treatment persistence at one-year, in overweight and obese patients compared to the normal weight group. Remission was defined by Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA), clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA), and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28). Additionally, overlapping across study outcomes was investigated.

Results: The study included 306 (39.5%) normal weight, 285 (36.8%) overweight, and 183 (23.6%) obese patients. Compared to the normal weight group, obese patients had lower odds of achieving MDA at <12-months (Adjusted odds ratio [ORadj] 0.45, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.24-0.82). This was consistent with the observed reduced odds of achieving DAPSA-remission (ORadj 0.42, 95%CI 0.21-0.85), cDAPSA-remission (ORadj 0.51, 95%CI 0.27-0.96), and DAS28-remission (ORadj 0.51, 95%CI 0.32-0.81) in obese vs normal weight patients. Among the 125 patients achieving MDA, the majority (81.8% normal weight, 80.0% overweight, 78.9% obese) achieved cDAPSA-remission. No differences were observed in the odds to achieving treatment persistence between the BMI strata.

52 Conclusions: Obesity halved the likelihood of achieving MDA and remission in PsA patients
 53 with b/tsDMARDs compared to those with normal weight, while it did not impact treatment
 54 persistence. High overlapping of patients achieving the outcomes MDA and cDAPSA 55 remission was observed across every BMI group.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► The Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) is a nationwide rheumatology registry that represents one of the largest cohorts of patients with rheumatic diseases, including psoriatic arthritis (PsA).

► The availability of comprehensive patient information – including data on patient characteristics, clinical features and medication – captured the study exposure, outcome, and relevant confounders.

Multiple definitions of the outcome could be explored, leading to a wide picture of the study findings.

► Due to the observational nature of the data, missingness was an intrinsic limitation, however, we used multiple imputation to complete baseline variables relevant for the statistical analyses.

► The effect on axial involvement could not be studied because of the small number of patients with respective involvement.

INTRODUCTION

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is an immune-mediated rheumatic disease,¹ with an estimated prevalence of 0.05-0.42%,²⁻⁴ and 5-41% among patients with psoriasis.³ PsA is a complex and multifactorial disease,⁵ for which pathological features include musculoskeletal involvement, such as inflammation of the peripheral joints (arthritis), the entheses (enthesitis), the axial skeleton (spondylitis), and the finger and toe digits (dactylitis), as well as extra-articular manifestations involving skin and nails, and potentially other organs.⁶ Pharmacological treatments include conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (csDMARDs) and biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (b/tsDMARDs).³ Treatment of PsA aims to maximise health-related quality of life (OoL), through targeting symptoms and structural damage,⁷ and it is recommended to target low/minimal disease activity or remission.⁶

One of the most common comorbidities in PsA patients is obesity,^{1,8} and higher prevalence of obesity has been reported among PsA patients (23%-37%) compared to the general population.⁹⁻¹² Among PsA patients, obesity has been associated to lower probability of achieving Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) compared to patients with normal weight.^{10,13,14} Similarly, obese PsA patients treated with tumour necrosis factor alfa inhibitors (TNFi) showed higher risk of treatment discontinuation compared to non-obese patients,¹⁵ as well as lower odds of achieving treatment response compared to non-obese¹⁵ or normal weight patients.¹⁶

The rationale behind the association between obesity and PsA has been previously discussed.^{5,17,18} In short, obesity has been described as a low-grade inflammatory disease,¹⁸ and both obesity and PsA share pathological inflammatory pathways.^{5,18,19} Further evidence supporting the association between obesity and a worse PsA clinical outcome is the association of weight loss with higher rate of achieving MDA.²⁰ Additionally, obesity is a well-known contributor to the metabolic syndrome (MetS), and MetS was similarly associated to lower likelihood of achieving MDA in PsA patients.²¹

BMJ Open

Despite the growing evidence on the association between obesity and worse clinical response in PsA patients, most published observational cohort studies on this topic had relatively small sample size. For example, a systematic review investigating the association between obesity and response in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases identified one randomised clinical trial and eight observational cohort studies in PsA patients, but six of the included observational cohorts had a sample size $\leq 330.^{16}$ Thus, further investigating this effect, especially in a different and bigger population cohort, remains of interest. Additionally, it is unclear whether the findings would remain consistent across outcome definitions.

Thus, we seek to contribute to the growing body of evidence by performing an observational cohort study aiming to assess the impact of BMI in the achievement of MDA and remission in PsA patients. Additionally, by including several outcome definitions we aim to investigate the consistency of the findings when considering different aspects of the disease.

METHODS

98 Study design and data source

We performed an observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) registry from January 1st 1997 and July 31st 2019. The SCQM is a national longitudinal population-based cohort of rheumatic diseases in Switzerland, initiated in 1997.²² SCQM data are recorded during routine clinical practice, and includes information on demographics, body height and weight, life-style habits, anti-rheumatic medication (with start and stop dates), clinical endpoints, patient-reported outcomes, and health standardized surveys.^{12,22} Diagnosis of PsA is recorded in SCQM following the physician's criteria.

Study population

PsA patients (≥18 years old) starting their first b/tsDMARD in the SCQM registry between
June 1st 2020 and June 30th 2018 (inclusive) were included in the study. The first recorded start
of b/tsDMARD in the SCQM was defined as the index date. Patients with a b/tsDMARD start

BMJ Open

110 date before their first registered visit at SCQM were excluded. Similarly, patients without a

111 baseline record on height and weight were excluded.

Exposure

The exposure of interest was BMI category at the start of the patients' first b/tsDMARD. Baseline BMI (kg/m²) was calculated using height and weight records (**Supplementary Equation 1**) at index date or as close as possible to this date within a 6-month look-back window. Patients were classified based on BMI as normal weight (BMI <25), overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9), and obese (BMI \geq 30). The normal weight group was the reference category.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was defined as achievement of MDA within the first year after the index date. MDA was achieved if at least five of the following seven criteria were met: number of tender joint counts (TJC) ≤ 1 ; number of swollen joint counts (SJC) ≤ 1 ; skin manifestation none or almost none; patient's joint pain by visual analogue scale (VAS, 0-100) ≤ 15 ; patient's assessment on PsA activity by VAS ≤ 20 ; Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) ≤ 0.5 ; enthesis points $\leq 1.^{23}$

Secondary outcomes assessed within the first year were: achievement of Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission, defined as DAPSA 4; DAPSA remission or low disease activity (DAPSA-remLDA), defined as DAPSA 14; clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA) remission, defined as cDAPSA <4; and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28) remission, defined as DAS28<2.6. DAPSA, cDAPSA, and DAS28 formulas are described in the Supplementary Equations 2-5. DAS28-remission was calculated using erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR; DAS28-ESR), however, in cases where follow-up data on DAS28-ESR was missing, DAS28 with C-reactive protein (CRP; DAS28-CRP) was used instead, if available.

BMJ Open

As a tertiary outcome, persistence with the first b/tsDMARD at the end of month-12 was assessed. We allowed for a permissible gap of one-month between treatment courses of the same b/tsDMARD, as illustrated in the **Supplementary Figure S1**.

Patients with missing information on the study outcomes during the follow-up were
categorized as not having achieved the corresponding outcome. In a sensitivity analysis, we reran our analyses excluding patients with missing information on outcome during follow-up.

140 Follow-up

141 For primary and secondary outcomes, patients were followed from index date until
142 achievement of outcome or a maximum follow-up of 12-months. For the tertiary outcome
143 (treatment persistence) patients were followed until the earliest of the following: treatment stop,
144 start of a new b/tsDMARD, or end of observation period (12-months).

In a secondary analysis, all outcomes were assessed with a maximum follow-up of 9months and 15-months. This was done to investigate if the findings would differ across shorter
and longer follow-up times.

148 Covariates

Baseline variables included demographics, BMI, high education, ever smoking, anti-rheumatic medication (i.e., b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid), inflammatory markers or acute phase reactants (i.e., ESR, CRP), physician's assessment on disease activity and skin, patient-reported disease activity and pain, tender and swollen joint counts (counting 28 joints), composite disease activity scores (i.e., DAPSA, cDAPSA, DAS28-ESR), disease-specific manifestations (i.e., musculoskeletal manifestations, dactylitis, enthesitis, sacroilitis, spinal involvement, coxitis, peripheral arthritis, nail manifestation), health standardized surveys (i.e., Health Assessment Questionnaire [HAQ], Short Form-12 [SF-12]), and comorbidities (i.e., cardiovascular event/disease, diabetes or other metabolic problems, depression/anxiety). Baseline variables were collected at index date, or as close as possible to that date within a 6-month look-back window, except for: composite disease activity scores, disease-specific

BMJ Open

160 manifestations, and health standardised surveys, which were collected with a 3-months look-161 back window. Information on smoking, cardiovascular event/disease, and diabetes, which was 162 included if ever reported prior or at index date. Anti-rheumatic medication which was collected 163 on the index date.

164 Data analysis

Patient baseline characteristics were described, and the overweight and obese categories were compared to the normal weight group (reference group) using chi-squared test for categorical variables and t-test, ANOVA, or Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables. For these tests, missing values did not function as a grouping variable. Statistical significance was defined as $p \le 0.05$.

Subsequently, missingness for key baseline variables was addressed with multiple imputation by chained equation (MICE) using the mice package²⁴ in the R Statistical Software.²⁵ MICE was performed for each study outcome separately, using 50 imputations with 15 interactions for each set. Variables included in the imputations, their original missingness. and corresponding applied imputation models are presented in the Supplementary Table S1. The 48.32% of the study population had complete information on every variable included in the MICE for the main analysis (Supplementary Figure S2). Convergence of imputations was assessed by visual inspection of density plots (Supplementary Figure S3).

To investigate the association between BMI categories and the study outcomes, multivariable logistic regression models were conducted (outcome specific) for individual imputed datasets, and the results were pooled to a single estimate according to Rubin's rules. These models were conducted first, including only sex and age as covariates, and second, adding clinical confounders (full-adjusted). Confounders were chosen based on clinical rational and direct acyclic graphs (DAGs) (Supplementary Figure S4), and included: sex (male; female), age, high education (yes/no), ever smoking (yes/no), b/tsDMARD (TNFi; other biologic; tsDMARD), csDMARD at index date (yes/no), and corticosteroid use at index date

(yes/no). Additionally, sensitivity analyses were performed whereby we added the respective composite disease activity score or health standardized survey to the fully adjusted models for primary and secondary outcomes to assess their potential mediating impact on the analyses. Another sensitivity analysis addressed the one-year outcomes after excluding patients with underweight (BMI<18.5 kg/m²)

Lastly, to compare the overlapping across study outcomes, the proportion of patients achieving each outcome (per BMI group) was summarised, and the overlapping of patients achieving individual primary and secondary outcomes during the first year was illustrated with

194 a Venn Diagram.

195 Patient and Public Involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or disseminationplans of our research.

RESULTS

The study included 774 adult PsA patients starting their first b/tsDMARD. Supplementary Figure S5 illustrates the cohort selection process. Among included patients, 306 (39.53%) were normal weight, 285 (36.82%) were overweight, and 183 (23.64%) were obese. Baseline patient characteristics (prior to imputation) are presented in **Table 1**. Compared to the normal weight group, overweight patients had higher SJC, were less frequently women, and had older mean age. Both overweight and obese patients had lower frequency of high education, and higher patient-reported disease activity and joint pain, while only obese patients had higher CRP levels. Compared to the normal weight category, DAPSA and DAS28 were elevated in the overweight group, while cDAPSA was higher in both overweight and obese BMI categories. HAQ and SF-12 with physical components (SF-12pcs) were worse in the obese patients, and patients with obesity were more likely to have had a cardiovascular event/disease than the normal weight group.

Table 1. Patient characteristics at start of first biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying

anti-rheumatic drug (b/tsDMARD), prior imputation, stratified by body mass index (BMI).

	Normal weight	Overweight	_	Obese	
0	(n=306)	(n=285)	p-value	(n=183)	p-value
Sex, women	172 (56.21)	126 (44.21)	0.01	101 (55.19)	0.90
Age, years (mean (SD))	47.59 (13.20)	50.60 (12.52)	0.01	49.50 (11.03)	0.10
High education (high technical school or	80 (26.14)	42 (14.74)	0.00	27 (14.75)	0.01
university)	54 (17 (5)	51 (17.00)		41 (22.4)	
missing	54 (17.65)	51 (17.89)	0.00	41 (22.4)	0.25
Smoker (ever smoker)	// (25.16)	84 (29.47)	0.28	54 (29.51)	0.35
Disease duration, years (mean (SD))	5.85 (8.07)	5.54 (6.98)	0.63	4.51 (6.02)	0.06
missing	6 (1.96)	6 (2.11)	0.07	5 (2.73)	0.25
b/tsDMRAD	270 (01.10)	2(2(01.02)	0.87	1(0,07,42)	0.35
I NF1 biologic	2/9 (91.18)	262 (91.93)		160 (87.43)	
other biologic	9 (2.94)	9 (3.16)		6 (3.28) 17 (0.20)	
	18 (5.88)	14 (4.91)	0.47	1/(9.29)	0.22
Casting standid (and driver a) at index	152 (49.67)	151 (52.98)	0.47	100 (54.64)	0.33
Corticosteroid (prednisone) at index	38 (12.42)	38 (13.33)	0.83	1/(9.29)	0.36
HLA-B2/+	39 (12.75)	28 (9.82)	0.30	20 (10.93)	0.88
missing	141 (46.08)	132 (46.32)	0.15	92 (50.27)	0.10
ESK (mm/n) (median [IQK])	10.00 [5.00, 22.00]	12.00 [6.00, 22.00]	0.15	15.00 [6.00, 23.00]	0.10
	38 (12.42)	43 (15.09)	0.10	24 (13.11)	0.02
CKP (mg/dL) (median [IQR])	0.52 [0.20, 0.90]	0.60 [0.30, 1.10]	0.18	0.80 [0.40, 1.20]	0.03
missing	48 (15.69)	52 (18.25)	0.05	27 (14.75)	0.72
Swollen joint counts (0-66) (mean (SD))	4.70 (5.31)	5.78 (7.17)	0.05	4.88 (5.34)	0.73
missing	36 (11.76)	18 (6.32)	0.05	18 (9.84)	0.50
Tender joint counts (0-68) (mean (SD))	8.20 (9.23)	9.18 (10.36)	0.25	8.72 (9.80)	0.58
missing	36 (11.76)	18 (6.32)	0.00	19 (10.38)	
Physician global disease activity (1-10)	4.42 (2.04)	4.58 (1.88)	0.32	4.41 (1.85)	0.96
(mean (SD))	16 (5.22)	0(210)		((2.20))	
missing	16 (5.23)	9 (3.16)	0.11	6 (3.28)	0.07
Physician global skin manifestation	75 (24 51)	40 (16.04)	0.11	21 (1(04)	0.07
none	/5 (24.51)	48 (16.84)		31 (16.94)	
almost none	55(17.97)	55 (19.3) 66 (22.16)		34 (18.38) 26 (10.67)	
mild to moderate	30(18.3)	00(25.10) 20(10.52)		30 (19.07) 18 (0.84)	
mild to moderate	55 (11.44) 27 (8.82)	30(10.33)		10(9.04)	
moderate to severe	19 (6 21)	28 (9.82)		13(710)	
severe	9 (2.94)	6 (2.11)		13(7.10)	
missing	30 (9.80)	17 (5.96)		4(2.17)	
Patient's assessment on PsA activity	5 08 (2 73)	5 57 (2 50)	0.05	6.05 (2.56)	0.00
(1-10) (mean (SD))	5.00 (2.75)	5.57 (2.50)	0.05	0.05 (2.50)	0.00
missing	82 (26.8)	57 (20)		46 (25 14)	
Patient's joint pain (1-10) (mean (SD))	4 88 (2 65)	5 48 (2 30)	0.01	6 18 (2 36)	<0.001
missing	76 (24 84)	54 (18 95)	0.01	44 (24 04)	~0.001
Musculoskeletal manifestations	232 (75 82)	213 (74 74)	0.84	1/0 (76 5)	0.95
Dactylitis	101 (33 01)	106 (37 10)	0.04	66 (36 07)	0.95
Enthesitis	116 (37.01)	102 (26 14)	0.33	67 (26 61)	0.55
Sacroilitic	72 (22 52)	64 (22.46)	0.72	27 (14 75)	0.03
Spinal involvement	12 (23.33) 91 (26.47)	70 (24.56)	0.65	<u> </u>	0.03
Covition (V/)	01 (20.47)	/0 (24.30)	0.00	40 (21.80)	0.30
Coxilis II (%))	13 (4.25)	8 (2.81)	0.4/	15 (8.2)	0.11
Neil menifestation	141 (46.08)	138 (48.42)	0.03	94 (51.57)	0.30
Nall manifestation	64 (20.92)	62 (21.75)	0.88	47 (25.68)	0.27
DAPSA (mean (SD))	23.14 (15.73)	27.94 (18.23)	0.01	26.56 (14.18)	0.07
missing	118 (38.56)	103 (36.14)	0.01	77 (42.08)	0.04
cDAPSA (mean (SD))	22.04 (15.21)	26.39 (17.57)	0.01	25.60 (13.70)	0.04
missing	107 (34.97)	80 (28.07)		71 (38.80)	
DAS28-ESR (mean (SD))	3.34 (1.26)	3.61 (1.33)	0.02	3.44 (1.22)	0.43
missing	51 (16.67)	49 (17.19)		34 (18.58)	
SF-12 mcs (mean (SD))	45.87 (11.36)	45.11 (11.66)	0.49	43.85 (11.68)	0.11
missing	77 (25.16)	78 (27.37)		51 (27.87)	
SF-12 pcs (mean (SD))	38.95 (10.67)	37.63 (9.71)	0.18	35.79 (9.04)	0.01
missing	77 (25.16)	78 (27.37)		51 (27.87)	

Page 10 of 23

BMJ Open

HAQ (mean (SD))	0.71 (0.66)	0.79 (0.58)	0.20	0.93 (0.61)	0.00
missing	60 (19.61)	59 (20.70)		48 (26.23)	
Cardiovascular event/disease	26 (8.50)	39 (13.68)	0.06	31 (16.94)	0.01
Diabetes or other metabolic problems	10 (3.27)	20 (7.02)	0.06	14 (7.65)	0.05
Depression/anxiety	13 (4.25)	17 (5.96)	0.45	10 (5.46)	0.69

Values are the number and column percentage, unless otherwise specified. Significance tests compare overweight or obese categories to the normal weight group (reference) using chi-squared test for categorical variables, and t-test or ANOVA for continuous variables, but Kruskal-Wallis test for ESR and CRP. For these tests, missing values did not function as a grouping variable. Normal weight (BMI <25 kg/m²); Overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m²); Obese (BMI≥30 kg/m²). Abbreviations: BMI body mass index; p p-value; n sample size; SD Standard deviation; IQR Interquartile range; b/tsDMARD biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; TNFi tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibitor; tsDMARD targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; csDMARD conventional synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; HLA-B27+ human leukocyte antigen B27 positive; ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate; mm/h millimetres per hour; CRP C-reactive protein; mg/dL milligrams per decilitre; PsA psoriasis arthritis; DAPSA Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis; DAS28 28-joint disease activity score; SF-12 Short-Form 12 health survey (SF-12); mcs mental component summary; pcs physical component summary; HAQ Health Assessment Questionnaire.

Results from the logistic regression for the primary analysis are presented in **Figure 1**. Compared to the normal weight group, obese patients had significantly lower odds of achieving MDA within the first year, with an adjusted Odds Ratio (ORadj) of 0.45 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.24-0.82). Similarly, both overweight and obese patients had >50% reduced odds of achieving DAPSA-remission (overweight ORadi 0.44 [95% CI 0.24-0.79] and obese ORadi 0.42 [95% CI 0.21-0.85]), compared to normal weight patients. Additionally, obese patients had reduced odds of achieving cDAPSA-remission (ORadj 0.51 [95% CI 0.27-0.96]) and DAS28-remission (ORadj 0.51 [95% CI 0.32-0.81]) within the first year. No differences were observed across BMI categories on achievement of DAPSA-remLDA or treatment persistence at the end of month-12.

The secondary analyses showed that extending the maximum follow-up to 15-months resulted in similar findings to those from the 12-months analyses (Table 2). However, in the 9-months analyses, the associations of obesity with DAPSA-remission and with cDAPSA-remission were no longer significant (Table 2).

> Page 11 of 23 For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes, with maximum follow-up 9-

months and 15-months.

		Ma	ximum follow-up	9-months	Maximum follow-up 15-months			
	n sample size	n vents	OR	ORadj	n events	OR	ORadj	
MDA								
Normal weight	306	45 (14.7)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	86 (28.1)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	21 (7.4)	0.47 (0.27-0.82)	0.52 (0.28-0.96)	61 (21.4)	0.67 (0.45-0.98)	0.75 (0.48-1.15)	
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.41 (0.21-0.80)	0.44 (0.21-0.94)	30 (16.4)	0.50 (0.31-0.80)	0.57 (0.34-0.96)	
DAPSA-remission								
Normal weight	306	31 (10.1)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	67 (21.9)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	11 (3.9)	0.35 (0.17-0.72)	0.40 (0.18-0.88)	31 (10.9)	0.42 (0.26-0.68)	0.50 (0.30-0.84)	
Obese	183	8 (4.4)	0.41 (0.18-0.92)	0.49 (0.20-1.18)	17 (9.3)	0.37 (0.21-0.67)	0.47 (0.25-0.87)	
DAPSA-remLDA								
Normal weight	306	47 (15.4)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	117 (38.2)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	37 (13)	0.81 (0.51-1.30)	0.88 (0.52-1.50)	104 (36.5)	0.91 (0.65-1.27)	0.90 (0.62-1.31)	
Obese	183	22 (12)	0.75 (0.43-1.29)	0.75 (0.40-1.40)	52 (28.4)	0.64 (0.43-0.95)	0.66 (0.42-1.03)	
cDAPSA-remission								
Normal weight	306	36 (11.8)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	77 (25.2)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	22 (7.7)	0.62 (0.35-1.09)	0.70 (0.38-1.30)	53 (18.6)	0.65 (0.43-0.98)	0.75 (0.48-1.16)	
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.53 (0.27-1.06)	0.64 (0.31-1.35)	23 (12.6)	0.43 (0.26-0.72)	0.55 (0.32-0.95)	
DAS28-remission								
Normal weight	306	68 (22.2)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	153 (50)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	64 (22.5)	1.01 (0.68-1.49)	0.91 (0.58-1.43)	140 (49.1)	0.91 (0.65-1.28)	0.89 (0.61-1.3)	
Obese	183	29 (15.8)	0.67 (0.41-1.08)	0.50 (0.28-0.89)	70 (38.3)	0.62 (0.42-0.91)	0.57 (0.36-0.88)	
Treatment persisten	ce at the er	nd of follow-u	ıp					
Normal weight	306	204 (66.7)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	159 (52)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	184 (64.6)	0.86 (0.60-1.21)	0.91 (0.60-1.36)	148 (51.9)	0.96 (0.69-1.34)	0.97 (0.67-1.42)	
Obese	183	111 (60.7)	0.77 (0.52-1.12)	0.91 (0.57-1.44)	81 (44.3)	0.73 (0.51-1.07)	0.87 (0.57-1.33)	
OR: odds ratio adjusti	ng for: sev	age.						

ORadj: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid.

Abbreviations: n number; CI confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSA-remLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission.

 In the sensitivity analysis in which the respective composite disease activity score or health standardized survey was included in the model, the previously observed findings in the high BMI groups were attenuated, with the exception of obesity and achievement of MDA (Supplementary Table S2). The sensitivity analysis excluding patients with missing information on outcome during the one-year follow-up yielded stronger reduced odds of achieving MDA and remission among abnormal BMI categories vs the normal weight group (Supplementary Table S3). The sensitivity analysis excluding the 12 patients with BMI<18.5 yielded similar results to the main study findings (Supplementary Table S4).

BMJ Open

The frequency of achieved outcomes (with 12-months follow-up) per BMI category are presented in **Figure 2**. Overall, 125 patients achieved MDA, 83 DAPSA-remission, 197 DAPSA-remLDA, 112 cDAPSA-remission, and 275 DAS28-remission within the first year. Across all outcomes, patients with obesity had a lower prevalence of achieved outcomes. DAS28-remission and treatment persistence had the highest prevalence in all groups, with 37.58% and 59.80% achieved among normal weight patients and 27.87% and 51.37% among obese, respectively.

The overlap of patients achieving the outcomes during the first year is illustrated in Figure 3, complemented with numerical values in Supplementary Table S5. Among the 125 patients achieving MDA (66 normal weight, 40 overweight, 19 obese), 80 also achieved DAPSA-remission, of which 48 (72.73%) were normal weight, 20 (50.00%) were overweight, and 12 (63.16%) were obese. Similarly, among patient with MDA, 54 (81.82%) normal weight, 32 (80.00%) overweight, and 15 (78.95%) obese patients also achieved cDAPSA-remission. Additionally, MDA overlapped with every remission outcome in 45 (68.18%) normal weight, 18 (45.00%) overweight, and 11 (57.89%) obese patients.

DISCUSSION

This observational cohort study found that obese patients had a significant 49% to 58% reduced odds of achieving MDA, DAPSA-remission, cDAPSA-remission, and DAS28-remission within the first year, when compared to normal weight patients. Conversely, being overweight was only associated with a reduced odds of achieving DAPSA remission. In both high BMI categories, the association with achievement of DAPSA-remLDA within the first year and with one-year treatment persistence, were not statistically significant. Among patients who achieved MDA, the majority also achieved cDAPSA-remission.

268 Our findings on the association between obesity and lower probability of reaching MDA 269 and remission are consistent with other longitudinal observational studies.^{10,13,15} In the 270 prospective study by Di Minno et al., obesity was associated with increased risk of not Page 13 of 23

BMJ Open

achieving MDA during a 12-months follow-up compared to patients with BMI<30 (hazard ratio 4.90, 95%CI 3.04–7.87).¹³ Eder et al. reported that, compared to normal weight patients (BMI<25), overweight and obese patients had 34% and 47% significantly reduced odds of achieving MDA, respectively.¹⁰ While we identified a similar OR in the overweight and obese patients, our results in the overweight group were not statistically significant. In the study by Højgaard et al., obesity was associated with 53% lower odds of achieving European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) good or moderate (EGOM) response.¹⁵ While we did not assess EGOM response, this is a DAS28-driven outcome, and the findings are in agreement with our observed association between obesity and 49% reduced odds for DAS28-remission. Conversely, Iannone et al. suggested no significant differences in DAS28-remission rates across BMI categories.²⁶ However, they had a small sample size (135 patients), and their observed lower remission rate in the obese vs normal weight patients was in line with our findings. Additionally, results from Højgaard et al. showed that compared to non-obese patients (BMI<30), obese patients were associated with a 60% higher risk of TNFi discontinuation

during their study period (median follow-up of 1.5 years).¹⁵ While our study did not yield an association between BMI and treatment persistence, these contrasting findings may be explained by the different methodologies. Højgaard et al. assessed the time to withdrawal using a survival model, which gives high attention to early outcomes, while we investigated persistence yes/no at a specific timepoint using logistic regression.

In our study, MDA was the main outcome as it covers several aspects from the disease presentation and consequences, and has been associated with patient's QoL and productivity.²⁷ Additionally, McGagh and Coates suggested that the 66/68 joint counts provides a more realistic picture of joint involvement in PsA, compared to the 28 joint counts, and highlighted the benefits of including patient-reported outcomes.²⁸ Based on this, we identified DAPSA-remission and cDAPSA-remission as optimal secondary outcomes. However, we expect that

Page 14 of 23

297 cDAPSA may be a better fit to study patients with abnormal BMI since obesity was associate 298 with elevated CRP in the general population.^{29–31} This is further supported by the high overlap 299 of patients achieving MDA and cDAPSA-remission in our study, which was similar across 300 every BMI group.

Regarding the observed higher frequency of achievement of DAS28-remission compared to other remission endpoints, this may be explained by its narrow focus on peripheral manifestations, potentially underestimating residual disease activity. Nevertheless, the consistency of the observed results on MDA and remission outcomes in the obese group suggests that obesity affects peripheral joints, as well as disease-specific manifestations and the patient's perspective. However, we note that the different outcome definitions led to contrasting results in the overweight group, suggesting that the effect of overweight on the PsA may not be fully captured by every remission definition. Similarly, the impact of obesity on PsA clinical response was not consistent with the more clinically accessible outcome low disease activity (DAPSA-remLDA).

The reasons for the lower response rates in obese patients could be multiple. High body weight can affect the clearance and volume of distribution of b/tsDMARDs.^{32–34} Adipose tissue has a proinflammatory capacity,³⁵ which could negatively influence drug response. Finally, a relationship between mechanical stress and triggering of musculoskeletal inflammation (deep Köbner phenomenon) in psoriatic arthritis is discussed. Nevertheless, the observed lower odds of achieving MDA or remission in the obese group is of interest, and the consistency across the studied definitions of remission suggests that this effect may be reflected on several factors of the PsA disease.

Finally, as described elsewhere,¹² the prevalence of overweight and obesity were higher among PsA patients in comparison to the general population in Switzerland (Switzerland 2017, people >15 years old, 31% overweight and 11% obese).³⁶ Higher obesity prevalence among PsA patients in comparison to the reference population was in agreement with prior studies.¹²

323 Strengths and limitations

In addition to the large sample size and availability of BMI information (often lacking in real-world-data), the key strength of this study is the use of several relevant clinical outcome definitions. While multiple approaches to assess PsA disease activity exist, no single one has been identified as sufficient³⁷ and the choice of the optimal measure remains challenging.²⁸ The consistency of the observed results on MDA and remission outcomes in the obese group reinforces the study findings. However, we did not look at unidimensional outcomes (e.g., dactylitis) and this remains of interest for future studies. Additionally, while standard MDA definition includes Psoriasis Activity and Severity Index (PASI) ≤ 1 or body surface area (BSA) $\leq 3^{38}$ due to data restrictions our MDA definition included a skin manifestation of "none" or "almost none", as reported by the physician.

Intrinsic to real-world-data, missingness was a limitation. We addressed missingness at baseline with multiple imputation and missingness during follow-up with sensitivity analyses. Our results were mainly consistent among various sensitivity analyses. For example, in the secondary analysis excluding patients who missed information on the outcome during follow-up (instead of treating them as non-achievers of the respective outcome), supported the observed effect of obesity towards MDA and remission, which was even accentuated in this sensitivity analysis. Among secondary analyses varying the duration of follow-up, the 15month analyses showed consistence with the main findings, and the reduced effect found in the 9-months analyses may be explained by higher missingness of outcome information at shorter follow-up, and therefore lower number of observed events overall.

Limitations to consider when interpreting the results include the potential misclassification of patients in the BMI categories. While overweight and obesity are commonly defined by BMI,^{39,40} this lacks information on body composition. Thus, although data on waist circumference, skinfold thickness, and bioelectrical impedance may provide a better patient classification, this information is extremely limited in real-world data.

Additionally, we classified patients with BMI<25 as normal weight, including patients with BMI<18.5, who may be classified as underweight. This was done due to low prevalence of underweight PsA patients in SCQM¹² and is consistent with previous practice in PsA^{10,26} and other inflammatory rheumatic diseases research in which the majority of studies combine normal and underweight patients.⁴¹

It was suggested that obese patients may benefit from other non-TNFi b/tsDMARDs, however, the evidence is limited.⁴² Nevertheless, our results of a lower odds of achieving remission may be largely driven by the high TNFi use in our cohort.

Finally, since weight loss in overweight and obese patients was identified as a predictor of MDA achievement,²⁰ it remains of interest to perform a similar study to this one but stratifying the overweight and obese patients by those with and without weight loss.

360 CONCLUSION

This study suggests that obesity in PsA patients is associated with at least a 50% reduction in the likelihood of achieving MDA or remission within the first year after starting b/tsDMARD therapy, when compared to normal weight patients. The consistency of findings across definitions of remission suggests that obesity affects several factors of PsA disease. Conversely, obesity was neither associated with the likelihood of achieving low disease activity nor with treatment persistence. Finally, comparative analyses of b/tsDMARDs within BMI groups is of interest and investigating the benefits of losing weight in this population remains of interest.

⁰ 369 **Author Contributions**

E.V.-Y., T.B., and A.M.B. contributed to the study conceptualization and methodology; E.V.Y. performed data curation, formal analysis, visualization, and investigation; E.V.-Y. wrote the
original draft manuscript, and T.B., R.M., and A.M.B. contributed with revision and editing.
All authors read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

BMJ Open

1 2 3	374	Funding
4 5 6	375	Not applicable. This research received no external funding.
7 8 9	376	Conflict of interests
) 10 11 12	377	None declared.
13 14	378	Ethics approval
15 16 17	379	This study was reviewed by the ethics commission of the Canton of Zurich (KEK: Req-2020-
18 19	380	00045). Pseudonymized data, without access to the code key, was provided by the Swiss
20 21	381	Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) registry to the researchers.
22 23 24	382	Therefore, the commission waived the need for a full ethics authorization.
25 26 27	383	Patient consent for publication
28 29	384	Not required. Prior enrolment at SCQM, signed Informed Consent is provided by the patients,
30 31 32	385	in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Additionally, withdrawal of participation is
33 34 35	386	possible at any time. Additional patient consent for publication is not required.
36 37	387	Data Availability Statement
38 39 40	388	Data belong to the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) and
40 41 42 43	389	are available only with the approval and permission from the license holder (SCQM).
44 45	390	Acknowledgments
46 47 48	391	We thank all patients and rheumatologists contributing to the SCQM registry, as well as the
49 50	392	entire SCQM staff. A list of rheumatology offices and hospitals which contribute to the SCQM
51 52	393	registry can be found at http://www.scqm.ch/institutions. A list of financial supporters of
53 54 55	394	SCQM can be found at http://www.scqm.ch/sponsors. We would like to add a personal thank
55 56 57	395	you to Axel Finckh (University Hospitals of Geneva) for his input regarding the database. AMB
58 59	396	acknowledges that her professorship is partly endowed by the Swiss National Pharmacy
60	397	Association (PharmaSuisse) and the ETH Foundation.

Page 18 of 23

398 Supplementary Material

- 399 This content has been supplied by the authors. It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group
- 400 Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations
- 401 discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all
- 402 liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content.

403 ORCID ID

- 404 Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5911-2037</u>
- 405 Theresa Burkard <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1313-4473</u>
- 406 Raphael Micheroli https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8918-7304
- 407 Andrea M. Burden <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7082-8530</u>

REFERENCES

- 409 1 Kumthekar A, Ogdie A. Obesity and Psoriatic Arthritis: A Narrative Review. *Rheumatol* 410 *Ther* 2020; 7: 447–56.
- 411 2 Salaffi F, De Angelis R, Grassi W, MArche Pain Prevalence, INvestigation Group (MAPPING) study. Prevalence of musculoskeletal conditions in an Italian population sample: results of a regional community-based study. I. The MAPPING study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005; 23: 819–28.
- 415 3 Ogdie A, Weiss P. The Epidemiology Psoriatic Arthritis. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2015;
 416 41: 545–68.
- 417 41 417 4
 418 418 417 4
 418 418 418 418 418
 418 418 418 418
 418 418 418
 418 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 418 418
 <li
- 419
 419
 419
 419
 420
 420
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
- 49 422 6 Gossec L, Smolen JS, Ramiro S, *et al.* European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR)
 423 recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis with pharmacological therapies:
 51 424 2015 update. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2016; **75**: 499–510.
- 425
 426
 426
 427
 426
 427
 426
 427
 427
 428
 429
 429
 420
 420
 420
 421
 421
 422
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 426
 427
 427
 428
 429
 429
 420
 420
 420
 421
 421
 421
 422
 422
 423
 424
 424
 425
 427
 426
 427
 428
 429
 429
 429
 420
 420
 420
 420
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421
 421

1	120	
2 3 4	430 431	9 Bhole VM, Choi HK, Burns LC, <i>et al.</i> Differences in body mass index among individuals with PsA, psoriasis, RA and the general population. <i>Rheumatology</i> 2012; 51 : 552–6.
5	432	10 Eder L, Thavaneswaran A, Chandran V, Cook RJ, Gladman DD. Obesity is associated with
6 7	433	a lower probability of achieving sustained minimal disease activity state among patients with
8	434	psoriatic arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2015; 74: 813–7.
9 10	435	11 Eder L, Abji F, Rosen CF, Chandran V, Gladman DD. The Association Between Obesity
11	436	and Clinical Features of Psoriatic Arthritis: A Case-control Study. J Rheumatol 2017; 44:
12	437	437–43.
13 14	120	12 Vallaia Vagüa E. Durkard T. Mällar D. Einalth A. Durdan AM. Comparison of Description
15	430 130	Arthritis and Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients across Body Mass Index Categories in
16	439	Switzerland Journal of Clinical Medicine 2021: 10: 3194
17	110	Switzenand. Sournal of Canada Medicine 2021, 10. 5174.
18 19	441	13 di Minno MND, Peluso R, Iervolino S, et al. Obesity and the prediction of minimal disease
20	442	activity: a prospective study in psoriatic arthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2013; 65:
21	443	141–7.
22		
23	444	14 Lupoli R, Pizzicato P, Scalera A, et al. Impact of body weight on the achievement of minimal
24 25	445	disease activity in patients with rheumatic diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
26	446	Arthritis Res Ther 2016; 18: 297.
27	117	15 Haiggard P. Clintharg P. Kristansen I.F. Gudhiarnsson P. Lava TI. Dravar I. Tha influence
28	447 118	of obesity on response to tumour necrosis factor α inhibitors in provide arthritis: results
29	440 1/10	from the DANBIO and ICEBIO registries <i>Rheumatology</i> 2016: 55 : 2191–9
31	772	from the DATABIO and Tellbro Tegistites. Incumatology 2010, 35. 2191 9.
32	450	16 Singh S, Facciorusso A, Singh AG, et al. Obesity and response to anti-tumor necrosis factor-
33	451	α agents in patients with select immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: A systematic
34	452	review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0195123.
35 36		
37	453	17 Versini M, Jeandel P-Y, Rosenthal E, Shoenfeld Y. Obesity in autoimmune diseases: Not a
38	454	passive bystander. Autoimmunity Reviews 2014; 13: 981–1000.
39 40	455	18 Russolillo A Jervolino S Peluso R <i>et al</i> Obesity and psoriatic arthritis: from pathogenesis
40	456	to clinical outcome and management. <i>Rheumatology</i> 2013: 52 : 62–7.
42		
43	457	19 Neumann E, Hasseli R, Ohl S, Lange U, Frommer KW, Müller-Ladner U. Adipokines and
44	458	Autoimmunity in Inflammatory Arthritis. Cells 2021; 10. DOI:10.3390/cells10020216.
45 46	450	
47	459	20 Minno MNDD, Peluso R, Iervolino S, <i>et al.</i> Weight loss and achievement of minimal disease
48	460	activity in patients with psoriatic artifitis starting treatment with tumour necrosis factor α
49	401	blockels. Annuls of the Rheumatic Diseases 2014, 75. 1137–62.
50 51	462	21 Costa L Caso F Ramonda R <i>et al.</i> Metabolic syndrome and its relationship with the
52	463	achievement of minimal disease activity state in psoriatic arthritis patients: an observational
53	464	study. <i>Immunol Res</i> 2015; 61 : 147–53.
54		
55	465	22 Die SCQM Foundation (Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases).
50 57	466	https://www.scqm.ch/en/ueber-uns/ (accessed May 18, 2021).
58	107	22 Control I.C. Strond V. Wilson H. et al. Manuarta di Culturi di Li
59	40/ 160	25 Coales LC, Strand V, Wilson H, <i>et al.</i> Measurement properties of the minimal disease
60	40ð 460	activity chiena for psofiatic artifitis. KMD Open 2019; 5. DOI:10.1130/rmdopen-2019-
	409	VV1VV2.
		Page 20 of 23

- 470 24 Buuren S van, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained
 471 Equations in R. *Journal of Statistical Software* 2011; 45: 1–67.
- 472 472 25 R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environmental for statistical computing. R
 473 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/
 474 (accessed May 14, 2021).
- 475
 10
 476
 12
 477
 26 Iannone F, Fanizzi R, Scioscia C, Anelli MG, Lapadula G. Body mass does not affect the remission of psoriatic arthritis patients on anti-TNF-α therapy. *Scandinavian Journal of Rheumatology* 2013; 42: 41–4.
- 478
 478
 479
 479
 480
 27 Coates LC, Orbai A-M, Morita A, *et al.* Achieving minimal disease activity in psoriatic arthritis predicts meaningful improvements in patients' health-related quality of life and productivity. *BMC Rheumatology* 2018; 2: 24.
- 481
 481
 28 McGagh D, Coates LC. Assessment of the many faces of PsA: single and composite measures in PsA clinical trials. *Rheumatology* 2020; **59**: i29–36.
- 483
 29 Yudkin JS, Stehouwer CDA, Emeis JJ, Coppack SW. C-Reactive Protein in Healthy
 484
 485
 485
 485
 486
 485
 487
 485
 486
 485
 486
 485
 486
 485
 486
 486
 487
 487
 488
 488
 485
 488
 485
 485
 486
 486
 487
 487
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 488
 4
- 486
 486
 487
 487
 488
 488
 488
 488
 489
 489
 489
 30 Hak AE, Stehouwer CDA, Bots ML, *et al.* Associations of C-Reactive Protein With Measures of Obesity, Insulin Resistance, and Subclinical Atherosclerosis in Healthy, Middle-Aged Women. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 1999; 19: 1986–91.
- 490 31 Visser M. Elevated C-Reactive Protein Levels in Overweight and Obese Adults. *JAMA* 1999; 282: 2131.
- 492
 493
 493
 494
 32 Sharma S, Eckert D, Hyams JS, *et al.* Pharmacokinetics and exposure-efficacy relationship of adalimumab in pediatric patients with moderate to severe Crohn's disease: results from a randomized, multicenter, phase-3 study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2015; 21: 783–92.
- 39
 40
 495
 496
 33 Fasanmade AA, Adedokun OJ, Ford J, *et al.* Population pharmacokinetic analysis of infliximab in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2009; 65: 1211–28.
- 43 497 34 Ternant D, Aubourg A, Magdelaine-Beuzelin C, *et al.* Infliximab pharmacokinetics in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Ther Drug Monit* 2008; **30**: 523–9.
- 46 47 48
 499 500
 35 Versini M, Jeandel P-Y, Rosenthal E, Shoenfeld Y. Obesity in autoimmune diseases: not a passive bystander. *Autoimmun Rev* 2014; 13: 981–1000.
- 49 501 36 Statistik B für. Übergewicht und Adipositas - Schweizerische Gesundheitsbefragung 2017 50 502 Publikation. Bundesamt für Statistik. 2020; published online Sept 3. 51 503 https://www.bfs.admin.ch/asset/de/14147705 (accessed June 5, 2022). 52 53
- 54 504 504
 55 505 505 505 505 37 Gulfe A, Geborek P, Saxne T. Response criteria for rheumatoid arthritis in clinical practice: how useful are they? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005; 64: 1186–9.
- 57
 506
 507
 506
 507
 38 Coates LC, Fransen J, Helliwell PS. Defining minimal disease activity in psoriatic arthritis: a proposed objective target for treatment. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010; **69**: 48–53.

1

21

31

1 2 3 4	508 509	39Body mass index - BMI. https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease- prevention/nutrition/a-healthy-lifestyle/body-mass-index-bmi (accessed June 23, 2021).							
5 6 7	510 511	40Obesity and overweight. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight (accessed July 8, 2021).							
8 9 10	512 513	41 Lee Y, Kwan Y, Lim K, <i>et al.</i> A systematic review of the association of obesity with the outcomes of inflammatory rheumatic diseases. <i>smedj</i> 2019; 60 : 270–80.							
11 12 13 14	514 515	42 Queiro R. Cardiometabolic comorbidity in the selection of treatment in spondyloarthritis: one step closer to truly personalized medicine? <i>Expert Opin Biol Ther</i> 2021; 21 : 1539–41.							
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 42 52 62 72 82 93 01 22 33 43 53 63 73 83 94 14 23 44 54 64 78 95 152 53 455 67 859 60	516 517 518 519								

Page 22 of 23

² 520 ³ 521 ⁴ 521

FIGURE LEGENDS

522 (Attached as JPG)

Figure 1. Results from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association
between body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes. Maximum followup 12-months.

- 18 527
 - 528 (Attached as JPG)

Figure 2. Distribution of patients achieving the study primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, and percentage of patients achieving treatment persistence at the end of month-12, stratified by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

- 37 535

39 536

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 41 & 537 & (Attached as JPG) \\ 42 & \end{array}$

Figure 3. Venn Diagram depicting the number of patients (counts) achieving the study individual primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, overall and stratifying by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

57 544

Outcome at ≤12 months	sample	n (%)						
unless otherwise specified	size, n	events	OR (95% CI)	ORadj (95% CI)	ORa	udj (95%	CI)	
MDA								
Normal weight	306	66 (21.6)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)		÷.		
Overweight	285	40 (14.0)	0.59 (0.38-0.91)	0.63 (0.39-1.03)		÷		
Obese	183	19 (10.4)	0.43 (0.25-0.74)	0.45 (0.24-0.82)		4 <u>;</u>		
DAPSA-remission						:		
Normal weight	306	51 (16.7)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)		÷		
Overweight	285	20 (7.0)	0.38 (0.22-0.66)	0.44 (0.24-0.79)		:		
Obese Dansa and Da	183	12 (6.6)	0.36 (0.19-0.70)	0.42 (0.21-0.85)		1:		
Normal weight	206	94 (27 E)	1 (rof)	1 (rof)		:		
Overweight	200	04 (27.5) 76 (26.7)	0.05 (0.65 1.27)	0.08 (0.65 1.48)				
Obese	183	37 (20.2)	0.55(0.05-1.57) 0.67(0.43-1.04)	0.69 (0.42-1.14)		-	-	
cDAPSA-remission	105	57 (20.2)	0.07 (0.45 1.04)	0.05 (0.42 1.14)		:		
Normal weight	306	57 (18.6)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)		-		
Overweight	285	39 (13.7)	0.69 (0.44-1.08)	0.78 (0.48-1.28)	—			
Obese	183	16 (8.7)	0.43 (0.24-0.77)	0.51 (0.27-0.96)	—			
DAS28-remission						:		
Normal weight	306	115 (37.6)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)		÷		
Overweight	285	109 (38.2)	0.99 (0.70-1.39)	0.88 (0.6-1.29)		÷÷		
Obese	183	51 (27.9)	0.64 (0.43-0.96)	0.51 (0.32-0.81)		• :		
Treatment persistence at th	e end of mo	nth-12				-		
Normal weight	306	183 (59.8)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)				
Overweight	285	161 (56.5)	0.84 (0.60-1.17)	0.87 (0.59-1.27)	-	• : •		
Obese	183	94 (51.4)	0.71 (0.49-1.03)	0.84 (0.54-1.29)		<u> </u>		
						10	15	20
					0.0 0.0	1.0	1.5	2.0
OR: odds ratio adjusting for:	sex, age;							
ORadj: odds ratio adjusting f	or: sex, age,	high education	nal level, smoker, b/	tsDMARD, csDMA	RD, corticoster	oid.		
n number; CI confidence inte	rval; ref. ref	erence; MDA N	Ainimal Disease Acti	vity; DAPSA-remiss	sion Disease Ad	ctivity for	r Psori	atic
Arthritis (DAPSA) remission;	DAPSA-remL	DA DAPSA ren	nission or low diseas	e activity; cDAPSA-	remission clini	ical DAP	SA	
remission; DAS28-remission.	28-joint dise	ase activity sco	ore remission.					

Figure 1. Results from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association between body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes. Maximum follow-up 12-months.

301x249mm (144 x 144 DPI)





Figure 2. Distribution of patients achieving the study primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, and percentage of patients achieving treatment persistence at the end of month-12, stratified by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

306x275mm (144 x 144 DPI)



Figure 3. Venn Diagram depicting the number of patients (counts) achieving the study individual primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, overall and stratifying by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

238x236mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Supplementary material

Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients with elevated body mass index: an observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management cohort

Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe¹, Theresa Burkard¹, Raphael Micheroli², Andrea M. Burden¹

¹ Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

² Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital of Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

Supplementary Equations

- (1) $BMI = \frac{weight Kg}{height m^2}$
- (2) DAPSA = sjc66 + tjc68 + PatActivity + PatPain + CRP
- (3) cDAPSA = sjc66 + tjc68 + PatActivity + PatPain
- (4) $DAS28ESR = (0.56 \times \sqrt{tjc28} + 0.28 \times \sqrt{sjc28} + 0.7 \times ln(ESR)) \times 1.08 + 0.16$
- (5) $DAS28CRP = (0.56 \times \sqrt{tjc28} + 0.28 \times \sqrt{sjc28} + 0.36 \times ln(CRP + 1)) \times 1.10 + 1.15$

Abbreviations used in the above equations: DAPSA disease activity in psoriasis arthritis score; cDAPSA clinical DAPSA; DAS28 disease activity score 28; sjc66 number of swollen joints, counting 66; sjc28 number of swollen joints, counting 28; tjc68 number of tender joints, counting 68; tjc28 number of tender joints, counting 28; CRP C-reactive protein (mg/dL); ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm/h); PatActivity patient's assessment of disease activity (0 very well - 10 very poor); PatPain patient's joint pain (0 very well - 10 very poor).



Supplementary Figure S1. Graphical representation of the assessment of treatment persistence at month-12 for an example patient who starts adalimumab as first biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (b/tsDMARD).

Supplementary Table S1. Variables included in the multiple imputation.

Variable	Version 1 Included	Version 2 Included	Predicted	Predictor	Method	Missingness	Levels	Range
Outcome ^a	yes	-	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
(MDA/DAPSArem/DAPSAremLDA/Persistence)								
Outcome ^a (DAS28rem)	-	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Patient ID	yes	yes	-	-	-	-	-	1-774
BMI category	yes	yes	-	-	-	-	normal weight;	-
							overweight;	
							obese.	
BMI kg/m ²	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	-	16.56 - 51.42
Sex	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	female (women);	-
							male (men).	
Age	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	-	18.37 - 84.65
Disease duration, years	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	17 (2.20)	-	0.04 - 47.31
High education	yes	yes	yes	yes	logreg	146 (18.86)	yes; no.	-
ESR mm/h	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	105 (13.57)	-	1 - 110
CRP mg/dL	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	127 (16.41)	-	0 - 11.10
Physician's global disease activity (0-10)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	31 (4.01)	-	0 - 9
Physician's global skin manifestation	yes	yes	yes	yes	polyreg	61 (7.88)	none;	-
							almost none;	
							mild;	

mild to moderate; moderate; moderate to severe;

severe.

Patient's assessment on disease activity (0-10) (PatActy)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	185 (23.90)	-	0 - 10
Patient's joint pain (0-10) (PatPain)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	174 (22.48)	-	0 - 10
Number of swollen joints 28 (sjc28)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	20 (2.58)	-	0 - 22
Number of swollen joints 66 (sjc66)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	72 (9.30)	-	0 - 48
Number of tender joints 28 (tjc28)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	28 (3.62)	-	0 - 28
Number of tender joints 68 (tjc68)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	73 (9.43)	-	0 - 68
DAPSA	yes	-	yes	yes ^b	passive imputation ^d	298 (38.5)	-	0.10 - 121
DAS28	-	yes	yes	yes ^c	passive imputation ^e	99 (12.79)	-	0.20 - 7.60
HAQ (0-3)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	167 (21.58)	-	0 - 3
SF-12mcus (0-100)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	206 (26.61)		18.74-67.78
SF-12pcus (0-100)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	206 (26.61)		16.74-61.25
b/tsDMARD	yes	yes	-	yes	0	-	TNFi biologic; other biologic; tsDMARD.	-
csDMARD at index	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Prednisone at index	yes	yes	-	yes		-	yes; no.	-
Dactylitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Sacroilitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Enthesitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-		yes; no.	-
Spinal involvement	yes	yes	-	yes	-	- (yes; no.	-
Coxitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-		yes; no.	-
Dactylitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-

Abbreviations: BMI body mass index; ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP C-reactive protein; PsA psoriasis arthritis; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis; DAS28 28-joint disease activity score; HAQ Health Assessment Questionnaire; b/tsDMARD biologic or targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; csDMARD conventional synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; TNFi tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibitor; tsDMARD targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; pmm predictive mean matching; logit logistic regression; polyreg polytomous logistic regression.

^a Multiple imputation was run distinctly for each outcom e.

^b DAPSA not used as predictor for: sjc66, tjc68, PatActivity, PatPain, CRP.

^d DAS28 not used as predictor for: sjc28, tjc28, ESR.

^d DAPSA passive imputation: DAPSA = sjc66 + tjc68 + PatActivity + PatPain + CRP

^e DAS28 passive imputation: DAS28ESR = $(0.56 \times \sqrt{tjc28} + 0.28 \times \sqrt{sjc28} + 0.7 \times ln(ESR)) \times 1.08 + 0.16$

Supplementary material - Page 2 of 8



Supplementary Figure S2. Graphical representation of the missingness among baseline variables included in the imputations for primary analysis (i.e., achievement of Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) within the first year after index date). The 48.32% of patients had complete information on all the included variables. In the right figure, blue indicates availability of the data, and red missingness.

Supplementary material - Page 3 of 8



Supplementary Figure S3. Density plots for the imputed variables high educational level [A], Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) [B], and Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) [C] for the primary outcome, achievement of Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) within the first year after index date. Additionally, density plot for the imputed clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA) [D] and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28) [E] for the secondary outcomes cDAPSA-remission and DAS28-remission within the first year of treatment, respectively. The variable distribution in the original dataset is shown in blue, and the corresponding distribution in each imputed dataset is shown in red.



Supplementary Figure S4. Direct acyclic graph (DAG) displaying the clinical rational for selection of confounders. The nodes represent the exposure, outcome and covariates, and the lines or edges represent the assumed relationship between them. Grey nodes represent the exposure and the outcome. Blue nodes represent the confounders included in the study full adjusted model. White nodes represent other variables included in sensitivity analyses.

Supplementary material - Page 4 of 8



Supplementary Figure S5. Flow chart reflecting the cohort selection based on inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Supplementary Table S2. Sensitivity analyses, including the respective composite disease activity score or health standardised survey in the multivariable logistic regression of each study outcome.

		Maximum follow-up 9-months		Maximum follow-up 12-months		Maximum follow-up 15-months	
	n sample size	n events	ORadj ^c (95% CI)	n events	ORadj ^c (95% CI)	n events	ORadj ^c (95% CI)
MDA							
Normal weight	306	45 (14.7)	1 (ref.)	66 (21.6)	1 (ref.)	86 (28.1)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	21 (7.4)	0.67 (0.35-1.29)	40 (14.0)	0.69 (0.42-1.15)	61 (21.4)	0.85 (0.54-1.36)
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.47 (0.19-1.14)	19 (10.4)	0.48 (0.25-0.96)	30 (16.4)	0.72 (0.4-1.27)
DAPSA-remission							
Normal weight	306	31 (10.1)	1 (ref.)	51 (16.7)	1 (ref.)	67 (21.9)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	11 (3.9)	0.7 (0.29-1.72)	20 (7.0)	0.56 (0.28-1.1)	31 (10.9)	0.6 (0.33-1.08)
Obese	183	8 (4.4)	0.78 (0.28-2.17)	12 (6.6)	0.49 (0.22-1.1)	17 (9.3)	0.49 (0.24-1)
DAPSA-remLDA							
Normal weight	306	47 (15.4)	1 (ref.)	84 (27.5)	1 (ref.)	117 (38.2)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	37 (13.0)	0.91 (0.48-1.75)	76 (26.7)	1.03 (0.63-1.69)	104 (36.5)	0.79 (0.5-1.25)
Obese	183	22 (12.0)	0.87 (0.41-1.85)	37 (20.2)	0.68 (0.38-1.22)	52 (28.4)	0.62 (0.36-1.04)
cDAPSA-remission							
Normal weight	306	36 (11.8)	1 (ref.)	57 (18.6)	1 (ref.)	77 (25.2)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	22 (7.7)	1.04 (0.51-2.13)	39 (13.7)	0.91 (0.52-1.6)	53 (18.6)	0.78 (0.47-1.29)
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.72 (0.28-1.81)	16 (8.7)	0.53 (0.25-1.11)	23 (12.6)	0.57 (0.3-1.07)
DAS28-remission							
Normal weight	306	68 (22.2)	1 (ref.)	115 (37.6)	1 (ref.)	153 (50.0)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	64 (22.5)	1.13 (0.68-1.9)	109 (38.2)	0.93 (0.6-1.43)	140 (49.1)	0.93 (0.6-1.42)
Obese	183	29 (15.8)	0.67 (0.36-1.27)	51 (27.9)	0.62 (0.37-1.04)	70 (38.3)	0.69 (0.42-1.13)
Treatment persistence							
Normal weight	306	204 (66.7)	1 (ref.)	183 (59.8)	1 (ref.)	159 (52.0)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	184 (64.6)	0.92 (0.61-1.4)	161 (56.5)	0.88 (0.59-1.3)	148 (51.9)	1.04 (0.71-1.54)
Obese	183	111 (60.7)	0.92 (0.56-1.49)	94 (51.4)	0.92 (0.58-1.46)	81 (44.3)	1.04 (0.66-1.64)

ORadj^c: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid, and HAQ (for MDA) or DAPSA (for DAPSA-remission/DAPSA-remission/LDA) or DAS28 (for DAS28-remission).

Abbreviations: n number; Cl confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSA-remLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission.

Supplementary Table S3. Sensitivity analysis, excluding patients without follow-up data on outcome. Multivariable logistic regression for each study outcome.

	Maximum follow-up 12-months, sensitivity analysis					
	n sample size	n events	OR ^a (95% CI)	Oradj ^b (95% CI)	Oradj ^c (95% CI)	
MDA						
Normal weight	130	66 (50.8)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	131	40 (30.5)	0.39 (0.23-0.66)	0.45 (0.25-0.80)	0.5 (0.26-0.93)	
Obese	81	19 (23.5)	0.28 (0.15-0.53)	0.33 (0.16-0.67)	0.37 (0.17-0.81)	
DAPSA-remission						
Normal weight	113	51 (45.1)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	113	20 (17.7)	0.23 (0.12-0.43)	0.25 (0.12-0.49)	0.37 (0.16-0.82)	
Obese	64	12 (18.8)	0.28 (0.13-0.59)	0.31 (0.14-0.71)	0.44 (0.17-1.13)	
DAPSA-remLDA						
Normal weight	113	84 (74.3)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	113	76 (67.3)	0.66 (0.37-1.19)	0.58 (0.3-1.12)	0.57 (0.26-1.29)	
Obese	64	37 (57.8)	0.48 (0.25-0.92)	0.44 (0.21-0.93)	0.42 (0.17-1.04)	
cDAPSA-remission						
Normal weight	124	57 (46.0)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	131	39 (29.8)	0.44 (0.26-0.75)	0.47 (0.26-0.85)	0.61 (0.31-1.21)	
Obese	74	16 (21.6)	0.32 (0.16-0.63)	0.36 (0.17-0.75)	0.44 (0.19-1.04)	
DAS28-remission						
Normal weight	159	115 (72.3)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	153	109 (71.2)	0.86 (0.51-1.46)	0.55 (0.3-1.01)	0.57 (0.28-1.14)	
Obese	89	51 (57.3)	0.48 (0.27-0.86)	0.3 (0.15-0.6)	0.37 (0.17-0.81)	

OR: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age;

ORadj: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid.

ORadj^c: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid, and HAQ (for MDA) or DAPSA (for DAPSA-remission/DAPSA-remission/LDA) or DAS28 (for DAS28-remission).

Abbreviations: n number; CI confidence interval; ref. reference; Abbreviations: n number; CI confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSA-remLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission

Supplementary material - Page 6 of 8

Supplementary Table S4. Sensitivity analyses, excluding the 12 patients with body mass index (BMI) <18.5 kg/m². Result from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association between body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes, with maximum follow-up 12-months.

Sensitivity analyses		Maximum follow-up 12-months			
(Excluding BMI<18.5)	n sample size	n vents	OR	ORadj	
MDA					
Normal weight	294	62 (21.1)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	40 (14.0)	0.61 (0.39-0.95)	0.65 (0.40-1.06)	
Obese	183	19 (10.4)	0.44 (0.25-0.77)	0.45 (0.24-0.84)	
DAPSA-remission					
Normal weight	294	47 (16)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	20 (7.0)	0.40 (0.23-0.70)	0.46 (0.25-0.83)	
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.38 (0.20-0.75)	0.43 (0.21-0.88)	
DAPSA-remLDA					
Normal weight	294	80 (27.2)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	76 (26.7)	0.96 (0.66-1.40)	0.99 (0.65-1.50)	
Obese	183	37 (20.2)	0.68 (0.44-1.06)	0.70 (0.42-1.14)	
cDAPSA-remission					
Normal weight	294	294 (18)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	39 (13.7)	0.72 (0.46-1.14)	0.81 (0.49-1.33)	
Obese	183	16 (8.7)	0.45 (0.25-0.81)	0.53 (0.28-1.00)	
DAS28-remission					
Normal weight	294	110 (37.4)			
Overweight	285	109 (38.2)	1.00 (0.71-1.42)	0.89 (0.61-1.31)	
Obese	183	51 (27.9)	0.65 (0.44-0.98)	0.51 (0.32-0.82)	
Treatment persistence at the end of follow-up				. ,	
Normal weight	294	179 (60.9)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	161 (56.5)	0.81 (0.58-1.13)	0.83 (0.56-1.23)	
Obese	183	94 (51.4)	0.68 (0.47-0.99)	0.8 (0.52-1.24)	
OR: odds ratio adjusting for: sex_age:					

OR: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age;

ORadj: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid. Abbreviations: n number; CI confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSAremission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSA-remLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission.

Supplementary material - Page 7 of 8
Achieved outcomes

Supplementary Table S5. Number of patients, overall and stratified by body mass index (BMI) category, for each corresponding set of achieved outcomes within the first year. These numerical values complement the Figure 4 Venn Diagram. Each patient may achieve none, one, or more outcomes. Each row includes patients with the same set of achieved outcomes. The symbol ✓ indicates that the corresponding outcome (column-wise) was achieved. Conversely, the symbol − indicates that the corresponding outcome was not achieved. To obtain the total number of patients achieving a specific outcome, every column with the corresponding outcome marked as achieved should be sum.

	Ę	mLDA	em	ε				
۷	SAre	SAre	vPSAr	S28re	Overall (n=774)	Normal weight (n=306)	Overweight (n=285)	Obese (n=183)
Δb	DAI	DAI	cD∕	DA	(counts)	(counts)	(counts)	(counts)
٧	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	1
۷	-	V	-	-	4	2	2	0
۷	-	-	-	V	4	2	0	2
۷	-	V	-	V	13	7	5	1
۷	-	-	V	-	12	2	8	2
۷	-	V	V	-	1	0	1	0
۷	-	-	V	V	2	2	0	0
۷	-	۷	۷	V	6	2	3	1
۷	۷	۷	۷	-	6	3	2	1
۷	٧	۷	٧	۷	74	45	18	11
-	-	V	-	-	15	5	6	4
-	-	-	-	V	98	37	43	18
-	-	۷	-	V	68	17	33	18
-	-	۷	۷	-	1	0	0	1
-	-	-	۷	V	1	0	1	0
-	-	V	V	V	6	0	6	0
-	۷	V	V	V	3	3	0	0

Abbreviations: MDA minimal disease activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSAremLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.



STROBE Statement

Obesity and the likelihood of achieving Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients: a cohort study

Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe¹, Theresa Burkard¹, Andrea M. Burden¹

¹ Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title	1-2 (Title and abstract)
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	2
		(b) Hovide in the abstract an informative and baranced summary of	
T (what was tolle and what was found	
Introduction	2	Fundain the action tiffs has been und and entionals for the investigation	4-5
Background/rationale	Z	being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
	5	state specific objectives, including any prespectified hypotheses	-
Methods			5
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	5
		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5.6
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	5-6
		selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed	NA
		and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	6-8
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
		applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	5-9
measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	
		assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8-9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5-6; 9; Supplementary Figure S5
Ouantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	7-9
		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	8-9
~		confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8-9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	8-9
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	6-9
		(a) Describe any consistivity analyses	8-9
		(E) Deserve any sensitivity analyses	

Results					
Participants		 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, 	Supplemen Figure S5		
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Supplemen		
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Figure S5		
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Supplemen Figure S5		
Descriptive data		14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,	9		
		social) and information on exposures and potential confounders			
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1		
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	NA (see pa		
Outcome data		15* Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Figure 1; Table 2;		
		0	Figure 2; Figure 3		
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates	11-12; Figure 1:		
		and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which	Table 2		
		confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	14010 2		
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	6-7 (expos and outcor		
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk	-		
		for a meaningful time period			
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and	12-13		
		sensitivity analyses			
Discussion					
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	13		
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or	16-17		
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias			
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	13-17		
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other			
		relevant evidence			
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	-		
Other information	n				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and,	-		
		if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based			

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients with elevated body mass index: an observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management cohort

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-061474.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Aug-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Vallejo-Yagüe, Enriqueta; ETH Zürich, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences Burkard, Theresa; ETH Zürich, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences Micheroli, Raphael; University Hospital of Zurich, University of Zurich, Department of Rheumatology Burden, Andrea; ETH Zurich, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences
Primary Subject Heading :	Rheumatology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology
Keywords:	RHEUMATOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Rheumatology < INTERNAL MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2		
3	1	Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis
4 5	2	patients with elevated body mass index: an observational cohort
6 7	3	study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management cohort
/ 8	5	study in the swiss ennear quality management conort
9	1	Enriqueta Valleia Vagüel Therese Purkardl Penhael Micharoli ² Andrea M. Purdenl
10	4	Eniqueta vanejo-1 ague, Theresa Burkaru, Kapitaei Micheron, Andrea M. Burden
11	5	
12	5	
13	6	¹ Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zurich,
14	/	Zurich, Switzerland.
15 16	8	² Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital of Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.
10	9	
18	10	
19	11	Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe - 🙂 0000-0002-5911-2037
20	12	Theresa Burkard - ២ 0000-0003-1313-4473
21	13	Raphael Micheroli - ២ 0000-0002-8918-7304
22	14	Andrea M. Burden - 🕩 0000-0001-7082-8530
23	15	
24 25	16	Correspondence to:
25	17	Prof. Dr. Andrea M. Burden
27	18	Assistant Professor of Pharmacoepidemiology
28	19	ETH Zürich, HCI H407
29	20	Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 1-5/10, CH 8093 Zürich
30	21	Email address: andrea.burden@pharma.ethz.ch
31	22	Telephone number +41 44 633 74 09
32	23	
33		
35	24	Keywords: psoriatic arthritis; minimal disease activity; remission; obesity; body mass index.
36		
37	25	
38		
39	26	Abstract word count: 293
40 1		
41 42	27	Manuscript word count: 3530
43		
44	28	
45		
46	29	
47		
48 40		
49 50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
50 57		
58		
59		
60		

Page 1 of 23

30 ABSTRACT

31 Objective: To assess the impact of elevated body mass index (BMI) in the achievement of
32 Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) and several definitions of remission in PsA patients in
33 Switzerland. Secondarily, to assess the overlapping across the study outcomes.

Methods: This observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) registry included PsA patients starting their first biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (b/tsDMARD) from 1997 to 30.06.2018. Exposure was BMI category at b/tsDMARD start: overweight, obese, and normal weight (reference). Logistic regression was used to assess the achievement of MDA and remission at <12-months, as well as treatment persistence at one-year, in overweight and obese patients compared to the normal weight group. Remission was defined by Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA), clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA), and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28). Additionally, overlapping across study outcomes was investigated.

Results: The study included 306 (39.5%) normal weight, 285 (36.8%) overweight, and 183 (23.6%) obese patients. Compared to the normal weight group, obese patients had lower odds of achieving MDA at <12-months (Adjusted odds ratio [ORadj] 0.45, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.24-0.82). This was consistent with the observed reduced odds of achieving DAPSA-remission (ORadj 0.42, 95%CI 0.21-0.85), cDAPSA-remission (ORadj 0.51, 95%CI 0.27-0.96), and DAS28-remission (ORadj 0.51, 95%CI 0.32-0.81) in obese vs normal weight patients. Among the 125 patients achieving MDA, the majority (81.8% normal weight, 80.0% overweight, 78.9% obese) achieved cDAPSA-remission. No differences were observed in the odds to achieving treatment persistence between the BMI strata.

52 Conclusions: Obesity halved the likelihood of achieving MDA and remission in PsA patients
 53 with b/tsDMARDs compared to those with normal weight, while it did not impact treatment
 54 persistence. High overlapping of patients achieving the outcomes MDA and cDAPSA 55 remission was observed across every BMI group.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► The Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) is a nationwide rheumatology registry that represents one of the largest cohorts of patients with rheumatic diseases, including psoriatic arthritis (PsA).

► The availability of comprehensive patient information – including data on patient characteristics, clinical features and medication – captured the study exposure, outcome, and relevant confounders.

► Multiple outcomes of clinical success could be evaluated, including Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) and remission according to Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA), clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA), and 28-joint Disease Activity Score (DAS28), thereby increasing the robustness of our results.

► Due to the observational nature of the data, missingness was an intrinsic limitation, however, we used multiple imputation to complete baseline variables relevant for the statistical analyses.

► The effect on unidimensional outcomes (e.g., dactylitis, axial involvement) was not investigated due to the limited number of patients, however, this remains of interest for future studies.

INTRODUCTION

Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is an immune-mediated rheumatic disease,¹ with an estimated prevalence of 0.05-0.42%,²⁻⁴ and 5-41% among patients with psoriasis.³ PsA is a complex and multifactorial disease,⁵ for which pathological features include musculoskeletal involvement, such as inflammation of the peripheral joints (arthritis), the entheses (enthesitis), the axial skeleton (spondylitis), and the finger and toe digits (dactylitis), as well as extra-articular manifestations involving skin and nails, and potentially other organs.⁶ Pharmacological treatments include conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (csDMARDs) and biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (b/tsDMARDs).³ Treatment of PsA aims to maximise health-related quality of life (OoL), through targeting symptoms and structural damage,⁷ and it is recommended to target low/minimal disease activity or remission.⁶

One of the most common comorbidities in PsA patients is obesity,^{1,8} and higher prevalence of obesity has been reported among PsA patients (23%-37%) compared to the general population.⁹⁻¹² Among PsA patients, obesity has been associated to lower probability of achieving Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) compared to patients with normal weight.^{10,13,14} Similarly, obese PsA patients treated with tumour necrosis factor alfa inhibitors (TNFi) showed higher risk of treatment discontinuation compared to non-obese patients,¹⁵ as well as lower odds of achieving treatment response compared to non-obese¹⁵ or normal weight patients.¹⁶

The rationale behind the association between obesity and PsA has been previously discussed.^{5,17,18} In short, obesity has been described as a low-grade inflammatory disease,¹⁸ and both obesity and PsA share pathological inflammatory pathways.^{5,18,19} Further evidence supporting the association between obesity and a worse PsA clinical outcome is the association of weight loss with higher rate of achieving MDA.²⁰ Additionally, obesity is a well-known contributor to the metabolic syndrome (MetS), and MetS was similarly associated to lower likelihood of achieving MDA in PsA patients.²¹

Despite the growing evidence on the association between obesity and worse clinical response in PsA patients, most published observational cohort studies on this topic had relatively small sample size. For example, a systematic review investigating the association between obesity and response in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases identified one randomised clinical trial and eight observational cohort studies in PsA patients, but six of the included observational cohorts had a sample size $\leq 330.^{16}$ Thus, further investigating this effect, especially in a different and bigger population cohort, remains of interest. Additionally, it is unclear whether the findings would remain consistent across outcome definitions.

Thus, we seek to contribute to the growing body of evidence by performing an observational cohort study aiming to assess the impact of BMI in the achievement of MDA and remission in PsA patients. Additionally, by including several outcome definitions we aim to investigate the consistency of the findings when considering different aspects of the disease.

METHODS

98 Study design and data source

We performed an observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) registry from January 1st 1997 and July 31st 2019. The SCQM is a national longitudinal population-based cohort of rheumatic diseases in Switzerland, initiated in 1997.²² SCQM data are recorded during routine clinical practice, and includes information on demographics, body height and weight, life-style habits, anti-rheumatic medication (with start and stop dates), clinical endpoints, patient-reported outcomes, and health standardized surveys.^{12,22} Diagnosis of PsA is recorded in SCQM following the physician's criteria.

Study population

PsA patients (≥18 years old) starting their first b/tsDMARD in the SCQM registry between
June 1st 2020 and June 30th 2018 (inclusive) were included in the study. The first recorded start
of b/tsDMARD in the SCQM was defined as the index date. Patients with a b/tsDMARD start

BMJ Open

110 date before their first registered visit at SCQM were excluded. Similarly, patients without a

111 baseline record on height and weight were excluded.

112 Exposure

The exposure of interest was BMI category at the start of the patients' first b/tsDMARD. Baseline BMI (kg/m²) was calculated using height and weight records (**Supplementary Equation 1**) at index date or as close as possible to this date within a 6-month look-back window. Measures of height and weight are taken in the clinic, during routine visits to the rheumatologist. Patients were classified based on BMI as normal weight (BMI <25), overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9), and obese (BMI \geq 30). The normal weight group was the reference

- $\frac{1}{3}$ 119 category.
- 5 120 **Outcomes**

121 The primary outcome was defined as achievement of MDA within the first year after the index 122 date. MDA was achieved if at least five of the following seven criteria were met: number of 123 tender joint counts (TJC) \leq 1; number of swollen joint counts (SJC) \leq 1; skin manifestation none 124 or almost none; patient's joint pain by visual analogue scale (VAS, 0-100) \leq 15; patient's 125 assessment on PsA activity by VAS \leq 20; Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) \leq 0.5; 126 enthesis points \leq 1.²³

Secondary outcomes assessed within the first year were: achievement of Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission, defined as DAPSA 4; DAPSA remission or low disease activity (DAPSA-remLDA), defined as DAPSA 14; clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA) remission, defined as cDAPSA <4; and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28) remission, defined as DAS28<2.6. DAPSA, cDAPSA, and DAS28 formulas are described in the Supplementary Equations 2-5. DAS28-remission was calculated using erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR; DAS28-ESR), however, in cases where follow-up data on DAS28-ESR was missing, DAS28 with C-reactive protein (CRP; DAS28-CRP) was used instead, if available.

As a tertiary outcome, persistence with the first b/tsDMARD at the end of month-12 was
assessed. We allowed for a permissible gap of one-month between treatment courses of the
same b/tsDMARD, as illustrated in the Supplementary Figure S1.

Patients with missing information on the study outcomes during the follow-up were categorized as not having achieved the corresponding outcome. In a sensitivity analysis, we reran our analyses excluding patients with missing information on outcome during follow-up.

142 Follow-up

For primary and secondary outcomes, patients were followed from index date until
achievement of outcome or a maximum follow-up of 12-months. For the tertiary outcome
(treatment persistence) patients were followed until the earliest of the following: treatment stop,
start of a new b/tsDMARD, or end of observation period (12-months).

In a secondary analysis, all outcomes were assessed with a maximum follow-up of 9months and 15-months. This was done to investigate if the findings would differ across shorter
and longer follow-up times.

150 Covariates

Baseline variables included demographics, BMI, high education, ever smoking, anti-rheumatic medication (i.e., b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid), inflammatory markers or acute phase reactants (i.e., ESR, CRP), physician's assessment on disease activity and skin, patient-reported disease activity and pain, tender and swollen joint counts (counting 28 joints), composite disease activity scores (i.e., DAPSA, cDAPSA, DAS28-ESR), disease-specific manifestations (i.e., musculoskeletal manifestations, dactylitis, enthesitis, sacroilitis, spinal involvement, coxitis, peripheral arthritis, nail manifestation), health standardized surveys (i.e., Health Assessment Questionnaire [HAQ], Short Form-12 [SF-12]), and comorbidities (i.e., cardiovascular event/disease, diabetes or other metabolic problems, depression/anxiety). Baseline variables were collected at index date, or as close as possible to that date within a 6-month look-back window, except for: composite disease activity scores, disease-specific

Page 9 of 37

BMJ Open

162 manifestations, and health standardised surveys, which were collected with a 3-months look-163 back window; Information on smoking, cardiovascular event/disease, and diabetes, which was 164 included if ever reported prior or at index date; And anti-rheumatic medication, which was

165 collected on the index date.

166 Additional information on covariates is included in Supplementary Text S1.

167 Data analysis

Patient baseline characteristics were described, and the overweight and obese categories were compared to the normal weight group (reference group) using chi-squared test for categorical variables and t-test, ANOVA, or Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables. For these tests, missing values did not function as a grouping variable. Statistical significance was defined as $p \le 0.05$.

Subsequently, missingness for key baseline variables was addressed with multiple imputation by chained equation (MICE) using the *mice* package²⁴ in the R Statistical Software.²⁵ MICE was performed for each study outcome separately, using 50 imputations with 15 interactions for each set. Variables included in the imputations, their original missingness, and corresponding applied imputation models are presented in the Supplementary Table S1. The 48.32% of the study population had complete information on every variable included in the MICE for the main analysis (Supplementary Figure S2). Convergence of imputations was assessed by visual inspection of density plots (Supplementary Figure S3).

To investigate the association between BMI categories and the study outcomes, multivariable logistic regression models were conducted (outcome specific) for individual imputed datasets, and the results were pooled to a single estimate according to Rubin's rules. These models were conducted first, including only sex and age as covariates, and second, adding clinical confounders (full-adjusted). Confounders were chosen based on clinical rational and direct acyclic graphs (DAGs) (**Supplementary Figure S4**), and included: sex (male; female), age, high education (yes/no), ever smoking (yes/no), b/tsDMARD (TNFi; other

biologic; tsDMARD), csDMARD at index date (yes/no), and corticosteroid use at index date
(yes/no). Additionally, sensitivity analyses were performed whereby we added the respective
composite disease activity score or health standardized survey to the fully adjusted models for
primary and secondary outcomes to assess their potential mediating impact on the analyses.
Another sensitivity analysis addressed the one-year outcomes after excluding patients with
underweight (BMI<18.5 kg/m²)

Lastly, to compare the overlapping across study outcomes, the proportion of patients achieving each outcome (per BMI group) was summarised, and the overlapping of patients achieving individual primary and secondary outcomes during the first year was illustrated with

197 a Venn Diagram.

198 Patient and Public Involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or disseminationplans of our research.

RESULTS

The study included 774 adult PsA patients starting their first b/tsDMARD. Supplementary Figure S5 illustrates the cohort selection process. Among included patients, 306 (39.53%) were normal weight, 285 (36.82%) were overweight, and 183 (23.64%) were obese. Baseline patient characteristics (prior to imputation) are presented in **Table 1**. Compared to the normal weight group, overweight patients had higher SJC, were less frequently women, and had older mean age. Both overweight and obese patients had lower frequency of high education, and higher patient-reported disease activity and joint pain, while only obese patients had higher CRP levels. Compared to the normal weight category, DAPSA and DAS28 were elevated in the overweight group, while cDAPSA was higher in both overweight and obese BMI categories. HAQ and SF-12 with physical components (SF-12pcs) were worse in the obese patients, and patients with obesity were more likely to have had a cardiovascular event/disease than the normal weight group.

	014			
2	214			
3				
Λ		 	 	

Table 1. Patient characteristics at start of first biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying

anti-rheumatic drug (b/tsDMARD), prior imputation, stratified by body mass index (BMI).

	Normal weight	Overweight		Obese	_
~	(n=306)	(n=285)	p-value	(n=183)	p-value
Sex, women	172 (56.21)	126 (44.21)	0.01	101 (55.19)	0.90
Age, years (mean (SD))	47.59 (13.20)	50.60 (12.52)	0.01	49.50 (11.03)	0.10
High education (high technical school or	80 (26.14)	42 (14.74)	0.00	27 (14.75)	0.01
missing	54 (17 65)	51 (17.80)		41 (22.4)	
Smaker (over smaker)	77 (25.16)	<u> </u>	0.28	54 (20.51)	0.25
Disease duration years (mean (SD))	5 85 (8 07)	5 54 (6 08)	0.28	4 51 (6 02)	0.55
missing	5.65 (8.07)	5.54 (0.98)	0.03	4.31 (0.02)	0.00
h/tsDMRAD	0 (1.90)	0(2.11)	0.87	5 (2.75)	0.35
TNFi biologica	279 (91-18)	262 (91 93)	0.87	160 (87 43)	0.55
other biologic ^b	9 (2 94)	9 (3 16)		6 (3 28)	
tsDMARD ^c	18 (5 88)	14 (4 91)		17 (9 29)	
csDMARD at index	152 (49.67)	151 (52.98)	0.47	100 (54.64)	0.33
Corticosteroid (prednisone) at index	38 (12.42)	38 (13 33)	0.83	17 (9 29)	0.36
HI A-B27+	39 (12.75)	28 (9.82)	0.30	20 (10 93)	0.88
missing	141 (46.08)	132 (46.32)	0.00	92 (50.27)	0.00
ESR (mm/h) (median [IOR])	10.00 [5.00, 22.00]	12.00 [6.00, 22.00]	0.15	15.00 [6.00, 23.00]	0.10
missing	38 (12.42)	43 (15.09)		24 (13.11)	
CRP (mg/dL) (median [IOR])	0.52 [0.20, 0.90]	0.60 [0.30, 1.10]	0.18	0.80 [0.40, 1.20]	0.03
missing	48 (15.69)	52 (18.25)		27 (14.75)	
Swollen joint counts (0-66) (mean (SD))	4.70 (5.31)	5.78 (7.17)	0.05	4.88 (5.34)	0.73
missing	36 (11.76)	18 (6.32)		18 (9.84)	
Tender joint counts (0-68) (mean (SD))	8.20 (9.23)	9.18 (10.36)	0.25	8.72 (9.80)	0.58
missing	36 (11.76)	18 (6.32)		19 (10.38)	
Physician global disease activity (1-10)	4.42 (2.04)	4.58 (1.88)	0.32	4.41 (1.85)	0.96
(mean (SD))					
missing	16 (5.23)	9 (3.16)		6 (3.28)	
Physician global skin manifestation			0.11		0.07
none	75 (24.51)	48 (16.84)		31 (16.94)	
almost none	55 (17.97)	55 (19.3)		34 (18.58)	
mild	56 (18.3)	66 (23.16)		36 (19.67)	
mild to moderate	35 (11.44)	30 (10.53)		18 (9.84)	
moderate	27 (8.82)	35 (12.28)		33 (18.03)	
moderate to severe	19 (6.21)	28 (9.82)		13 (7.10)	
severe	9 (2.94)	6 (2.11)		4 (2.19)	
missing	30 (9.80)	17 (5.96)		14 (7.65)	
Patient's assessment on PsA activity	5.08 (2.73)	5.57 (2.50)	0.05	6.05 (2.56)	0.00
(1-10) (mean (SD))	92 (2(9)	57 (20)		4((25.14)	
$\frac{\text{missing}}{\text{missing}}$	82 (20.8)	57 (20)	0.01	40 (25.14)	<0.001
missing	4.88 (2.05)	5.48 (2.39)	0.01	6.18 (2.36)	<0.001
Museuleskalatel manifestations	70 (24.84)	212 (74 74)	0.94	140 (76.5)	0.05
Destulitie	232 (73.82)	215 (74.74)	0.84	66 (26 07)	0.93
Enthositis	101 (33.01)	100 (37.19)	0.33	67 (36.61)	0.55
Sacroilitis	72 (22 52)	64 (22.46)	0.72	27 (14 75)	0.03
Spinal involvement	81 (26.47)	70 (24.56)	0.65	40 (21.86)	0.03
Covitis	12 (4 25)	<u> </u>	0.00	40 (21.80)	0.30
Derinheral arthritis	13 (4.23)	0 (2.01)	0.47	04 (51 27)	0.11
Nail manifectation	64 (20.02)	<u>138 (48.42)</u> <u>62 (21.75)</u>	0.03	94 (31.37) 17 (35.60)	0.30
DADSA (mean (SD))	$\frac{04(20.92)}{22.14(15.72)}$	02 (21.75)	0.00	<u>4/ (23.08)</u> <u>26 56 (14 19)</u>	0.27
missing	23.14 (13.73) 118 (38.56)	27.74 (10.23) 103 (36 14)	0.01	20.30 (14.18) 77 (12.08)	0.07
cDAPSA (mean (SD))	22 04 (15 21)	26 30 (17 57)	0.01	25 60 (12 70)	0.04
missing	107 (34 07)	20.37 (17.37) 80 (28.07)	0.01	23.00 (13.70) 71 (38.80)	0.04
DAS28-ESR (mean (SD))	3 34 (1 26)	3 61 (1 33)	0.02	3 44 (1 22)	0.43
missing	51 (16 67)	49 (17 10)	0.02	34 (18 58)	0.73
SF-12 mcs (mean (SD))	45 87 (11 36)	45 11 (11 66)	0 49	43 85 (11 68)	0.11
missing	77 (25.16)	78 (27.37)	0.77	51 (27.87)	0.11
	// (25.10)	10 (21.51)		51 (27.07)	10.00

Page 10 of 23

SF-12 pcs (mean (SD))	38.95 (10.67)	37.63 (9.71)	0.18	35.79 (9.04)	0.01
missing	77 (25.16)	78 (27.37)		51 (27.87)	
HAQ (mean (SD))	0.71 (0.66)	0.79 (0.58)	0.20	0.93 (0.61)	0.00
missing	60 (19.61)	59 (20.70)		48 (26.23)	
Cardiovascular event/disease	26 (8.50)	39 (13.68)	0.06	31 (16.94)	0.01
Diabetes or other metabolic problems	10 (3.27)	20 (7.02)	0.06	14 (7.65)	0.05
Depression/anxiety	13 (4.25)	17 (5.96)	0.45	10 (5.46)	0.69

Values are the number and column percentage, unless otherwise specified. Significance tests compare overweight or obese categories to the normal weight group (reference) using chi-squared test for categorical variables, and t-test or ANOVA for continuous variables, but Kruskal-Wallis test for ESR and CRP. For these tests, missing values did not function as a grouping variable. Normal weight (BMI <25 kg/m²); Overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m²); Obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m²).

^a adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, certolizumab, golimumab; ^b abatacept, secukinumab, tocilizumab, ustekinumab; ^c apremilast. Abbreviations: BMI body mass index; p p-value; n sample size; SD Standard deviation; IQR Interquartile range; b/tsDMARD biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; TNFi tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibitor; tsDMARD targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; csDMARD conventional synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; HLA-B27+ human leukocyte antigen B27 positive; ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate; mm/h millimetres per hour; CRP C-reactive protein; mg/dL milligrams per decilitre; PsA psoriasis arthritis; DAPSA Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis; DAS28 28-joint disease activity score; SF-12 Short-Form 12 health survey (SF-12); mcs mental component summary; pcs physical component summary; HAQ Health Assessment Questionnaire.

Results from the logistic regression for the primary analysis are presented in Figure 1. Compared to the normal weight group, obese patients had significantly lower odds of achieving MDA within the first year, with an adjusted Odds Ratio (ORadj) of 0.45 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.24-0.82). Similarly, both overweight and obese patients had >50% reduced odds of achieving DAPSA-remission (overweight ORadi 0.44 [95% CI 0.24-0.79] and obese ORadi 0.42 [95% CI 0.21-0.85]), compared to normal weight patients. Additionally, obese patients had reduced odds of achieving cDAPSA-remission (ORadj 0.51 [95% CI 0.27-0.96]) and DAS28-remission (ORadj 0.51 [95% CI 0.32-0.81]) within the first year. No differences were observed across BMI categories on achievement of DAPSA-remLDA or treatment persistence at the end of month-12.

The secondary analyses showed that extending the maximum follow-up to 15-months resulted in similar findings to those from the 12-months analyses (**Table 2**). However, in the 9-months analyses, the associations of obesity with DAPSA-remission and with cDAPSAremission were no longer significant (**Table 2**).

⁵³ 232 ⁵⁵ 233 ⁵⁶ 233

- 57 234
- 59 235

Table 2. Result from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association between

body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes, with maximum follow-up 9-

months and 15-months.

		Maximum follow-up 9-months			Maximum follow-up 15-months		
	n sample size	n vents	OR	ORadj	n events	OR	ORadj
MDA							
Normal weight	306	45 (14.7)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	86 (28.1)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	21 (7.4)	0.47 (0.27-0.82)	0.52 (0.28-0.96)	61 (21.4)	0.67 (0.45-0.98)	0.75 (0.48-1.15)
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.41 (0.21-0.80)	0.44 (0.21-0.94)	30 (16.4)	0.50 (0.31-0.80)	0.57 (0.34-0.96)
DAPSA-remission							
Normal weight	306	31 (10.1)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	67 (21.9)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	11 (3.9)	0.35 (0.17-0.72)	0.40 (0.18-0.88)	31 (10.9)	0.42 (0.26-0.68)	0.50 (0.30-0.84)
Obese	183	8 (4.4)	0.41 (0.18-0.92)	0.49 (0.20-1.18)	17 (9.3)	0.37 (0.21-0.67)	0.47 (0.25-0.87)
DAPSA-remLDA							
Normal weight	306	47 (15.4)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	117 (38.2)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	37 (13)	0.81 (0.51-1.30)	0.88 (0.52-1.50)	104 (36.5)	0.91 (0.65-1.27)	0.90 (0.62-1.31)
Obese	183	22 (12)	0.75 (0.43-1.29)	0.75 (0.40-1.40)	52 (28.4)	0.64 (0.43-0.95)	0.66 (0.42-1.03)
cDAPSA-remission							
Normal weight	306	36 (11.8)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	77 (25.2)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	22 (7.7)	0.62 (0.35-1.09)	0.70 (0.38-1.30)	53 (18.6)	0.65 (0.43-0.98)	0.75 (0.48-1.16)
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.53 (0.27-1.06)	0.64 (0.31-1.35)	23 (12.6)	0.43 (0.26-0.72)	0.55 (0.32-0.95)
DAS28-remission							
Normal weight	306	68 (22.2)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	153 (50)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	64 (22.5)	1.01 (0.68-1.49)	0.91 (0.58-1.43)	140 (49.1)	0.91 (0.65-1.28)	0.89 (0.61-1.3)
Obese	183	29 (15.8)	0.67 (0.41-1.08)	0.50 (0.28-0.89)	70 (38.3)	0.62 (0.42-0.91)	0.57 (0.36-0.88)
Treatment persisten	ce at the er	nd of follow-u	ıp				
Normal weight	306	204 (66.7)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	159 (52)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	184 (64.6)	0.86 (0.60-1.21)	0.91 (0.60-1.36)	148 (51.9)	0.96 (0.69-1.34)	0.97 (0.67-1.42)
Obese	183	111 (60.7)	0.77 (0.52-1.12)	0.91 (0.57-1.44)	81 (44.3)	0.73 (0.51-1.07)	0.87 (0.57-1.33)
OR: odds ratio adjusti	ng for: sev	age.			1		

ORadj: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid.

Abbreviations: n number; CI confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSA-remLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission.

 In the sensitivity analysis in which the respective composite disease activity score or health standardized survey was included in the model, the previously observed findings in the high BMI groups were attenuated, with the exception of obesity and achievement of MDA (Supplementary Table S2). The sensitivity analysis excluding patients with missing information on outcome during the one-year follow-up yielded stronger reduced odds of achieving MDA and remission among abnormal BMI categories vs the normal weight group (Supplementary Table S3). The sensitivity analysis excluding the 12 patients with BMI<18.5 yielded similar results to the main study findings (Supplementary Table S4).

The frequency of achieved outcomes (with 12-months follow-up) per BMI category are presented in **Figure 2**. Overall, 125 patients achieved MDA, 83 DAPSA-remission, 197 DAPSA-remLDA, 112 cDAPSA-remission, and 275 DAS28-remission within the first year. Across all outcomes, patients with obesity had a lower prevalence of achieved outcomes. DAS28-remission and treatment persistence had the highest prevalence in all groups, with 37.58% and 59.80% achieved among normal weight patients and 27.87% and 51.37% among obese, respectively.

The overlap of patients achieving the outcomes during the first year is illustrated in Figure 3, complemented with numerical values in Supplementary Table S5. Among the 125 patients achieving MDA (66 normal weight, 40 overweight, 19 obese), 80 also achieved DAPSA-remission, of which 48 (72.73%) were normal weight, 20 (50.00%) were overweight, and 12 (63.16%) were obese. Similarly, among patient with MDA, 54 (81.82%) normal weight, 32 (80.00%) overweight, and 15 (78.95%) obese patients also achieved cDAPSA-remission. Additionally, MDA overlapped with every remission outcome in 45 (68.18%) normal weight, 18 (45.00%) overweight, and 11 (57.89%) obese patients.

DISCUSSION

This observational cohort study found that obese patients had a significant 49% to 58% reduced odds of achieving MDA, DAPSA-remission, cDAPSA-remission, and DAS28-remission within the first year, when compared to normal weight patients. Conversely, being overweight was only associated with a reduced odds of achieving DAPSA remission. In both high BMI categories, the association with achievement of DAPSA-remLDA within the first year and with one-year treatment persistence, were not statistically significant. Among patients who achieved MDA, the majority also achieved cDAPSA-remission.

Our findings on the association between obesity and lower probability of reaching MDA
 and remission are consistent with other longitudinal observational studies.^{10,13,15} In the
 prospective study by Di Minno et al., obesity was associated with increased risk of not
 Page 13 of 23

achieving MDA during a 12-months follow-up compared to patients with BMI<30 (hazard ratio 4.90, 95%CI 3.04–7.87).¹³ Eder et al. reported that, compared to normal weight patients (BMI<25), overweight and obese patients had 34% and 47% significantly reduced odds of achieving MDA, respectively.¹⁰ While we identified a similar OR in the overweight and obese patients, our results in the overweight group were not statistically significant. In the study by Højgaard et al., obesity was associated with 53% lower odds of achieving European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) good or moderate (EGOM) response.¹⁵ While we did not assess EGOM response, this is a DAS28-driven outcome, and the findings are in agreement with our observed association between obesity and 49% reduced odds for DAS28remission. Conversely, Iannone et al. suggested no significant differences in DAS28-remission rates across BMI categories.²⁶ However, they had a small sample size (135 patients), and their observed lower remission rate in the obese vs normal weight patients was in line with our 286 findings.

Additionally, results from Højgaard et al. showed that compared to non-obese patients (BMI<30), obese patients were associated with a 60% higher risk of TNFi discontinuation during their study period (median follow-up of 1.5 years).¹⁵ While our study did not yield an association between BMI and treatment persistence, these contrasting findings may be explained by the different methodologies. Højgaard et al. assessed the time to withdrawal using a survival model, which gives high attention to early outcomes, while we investigated persistence yes/no at a specific timepoint using logistic regression.

In our study, MDA was the main outcome as it covers several aspects from the disease presentation and consequences, and has been associated with patient's QoL and productivity.²⁷ Additionally, McGagh and Coates suggested that the 66/68 joint counts provides a more realistic picture of joint involvement in PsA, compared to the 28 joint counts, and highlighted the benefits of including patient-reported outcomes.²⁸ Based on this, we identified DAPSAremission and cDAPSA-remission as optimal secondary outcomes. However, we expect that

Page 14 of 23

cDAPSA may be a better fit to study patients with abnormal BMI since obesity was associate
with elevated CRP in the general population.²⁹⁻³¹ This is further supported by the high overlap
of patients achieving MDA and cDAPSA-remission in our study, which was similar across
every BMI group.

Regarding the observed higher frequency of achievement of DAS28-remission compared to other remission endpoints, this may be explained by its narrow focus on peripheral manifestations, potentially underestimating residual disease activity. Nevertheless, the consistency of the observed results on MDA and remission outcomes in the obese group suggests that obesity affects peripheral joints, as well as disease-specific manifestations and the patient's perspective. However, we note that the different outcome definitions led to contrasting results in the overweight group, suggesting that the effect of overweight on the PsA may not be fully captured by every remission definition. Similarly, the impact of obesity on PsA clinical response was not consistent with the more clinically accessible outcome low disease activity (DAPSA-remLDA).

The reasons for the lower response rates in obese patients could be multiple. High body weight can affect the clearance and volume of distribution of b/tsDMARDs.^{32–34} Adipose tissue has a proinflammatory capacity,³⁵ which could negatively influence drug response. Finally, a relationship between mechanical stress and triggering of musculoskeletal inflammation (deep Köbner phenomenon) in psoriatic arthritis is discussed. Nevertheless, the observed lower odds of achieving MDA or remission in the obese group is of interest, and the consistency across the studied definitions of remission suggests that this effect may be reflected on several factors of the PsA disease.

Finally, as described elsewhere,¹² the prevalence of overweight and obesity were higher among PsA patients in comparison to the general population in Switzerland (Switzerland 2017, people >15 years old, 31% overweight and 11% obese).³⁶ Higher obesity prevalence among PsA patients in comparison to the reference population was in agreement with prior studies.¹²

326 Strengths and limitations

In addition to the large sample size and availability of BMI information (often lacking in real-world-data), the key strength of this study is the use of several relevant clinical outcome definitions. While multiple approaches to assess PsA disease activity exist, no single one has been identified as sufficient³⁷ and the choice of the optimal measure remains challenging.²⁸ The consistency of the observed results on MDA and remission outcomes in the obese group reinforces the study findings. However, we did not look at unidimensional outcomes (e.g., dactylitis) and this remains of interest for future studies. Additionally, while standard MDA definition includes Psoriasis Activity and Severity Index (PASI) ≤ 1 or body surface area (BSA) $\leq 3^{38}$ due to data restrictions our MDA definition included a skin manifestation of "none" or "almost none", as reported by the physician.

We did not require a minimum time between treatment start and outcome record. In a post-hoc test, we identified that the median time to the record for MDA assessment was between 214 and 245 days, similar across the BMI groups. Additionally, patients could have records of the outcome variable(s) at more than one visit during follow-up. When more than one record was available, all were assessed to identify if successful outcome was achieved.

Intrinsic to real-world-data, missingness was a limitation. We addressed missingness at baseline with multiple imputation and missingness during follow-up with sensitivity analyses. Our results were mainly consistent among various sensitivity analyses. For example, in the secondary analysis excluding patients who missed information on the outcome during followup (instead of treating them as non-achievers of the respective outcome), supported the observed effect of obesity towards MDA and remission, which was even accentuated in this sensitivity analysis. Among secondary analyses varying the duration of follow-up, the 15-month analyses showed consistence with the main findings, and the reduced effect found in the 9-months analyses may be explained by higher missingness of outcome information at shorter follow-up, and therefore lower number of observed events overall.

Limitations to consider when interpreting the results include the potential misclassification of patients in the BMI categories. While overweight and obesity are commonly defined by BMI,^{39,40} this lacks information on body composition. Thus, although data on waist circumference, skinfold thickness, and bioelectrical impedance may provide a better patient classification, this information is extremely limited in real-world data. Additionally, we classified patients with BMI<25 as normal weight, including patients with BMI<18.5, who may be classified as underweight. This was done due to low prevalence of underweight PsA patients in SCQM¹² and is consistent with previous practice in PsA^{10,26} and other inflammatory rheumatic diseases research in which the majority of studies combine normal and underweight patients.⁴¹ It was suggested that obese patients may benefit from other non-TNFi b/tsDMARDs, however, the evidence is limited.⁴² Nevertheless, our results of a lower odds of achieving remission may be largely driven by the high TNFi use in our cohort. Finally, since weight loss in overweight and obese patients was identified as a predictor

of MDA achievement,²⁰ it remains of interest to perform a similar study to this one but stratifying the overweight and obese patients by those with and without weight loss.

CONCLUSION

 This study suggests that obesity in PsA patients is associated with at least a 50% reduction in the likelihood of achieving MDA or remission within the first year after starting b/tsDMARD therapy, when compared to normal weight patients. The consistency of findings across definitions of remission suggests that obesity affects several factors of PsA disease. Conversely, obesity was neither associated with the likelihood of achieving low disease activity nor with treatment persistence. Finally, comparative analyses of b/tsDMARDs within BMI groups is of interest and investigating the benefits of losing weight in this population remains of interest.

2	
J ∧	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
25	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
27	
54	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
40 1	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
<u>⊿</u> ∩	
77	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
50	
57	
28	
59	
60	

377 Author Contributions

E.V.-Y., T.B., and A.M.B. contributed to the study conceptualization and methodology; E.V.Y. performed data curation, formal analysis, visualization, and investigation; E.V.-Y. wrote the
original draft manuscript, and T.B., R.M., and A.M.B. contributed with revision and editing.
All authors read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

382 Funding

383 Not applicable. This research received no external funding.

384 **Conflict of interests**

385 None declared.

386 **Ethics approval**

This study was reviewed by the ethics commission of the Canton of Zurich (KEK: Req-202000045). Pseudonymized data, without access to the code key, was provided by the Swiss
Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) registry to the researchers.
Therefore, the commission waived the need for a full ethics authorization.

391 **Patient consent for publication**

Not required. Prior enrolment at SCQM, signed Informed Consent is provided by the patients,
in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Additionally, withdrawal of participation is
possible at any time. Additional patient consent for publication is not required.

395 Data Availability Statement

396 Data belong to the Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases (SCQM) and397 are available only with the approval and permission from the license holder (SCQM).

398 Acknowledgments

We thank all patients and rheumatologists contributing to the SCQM registry, as well as the entire SCQM staff. A list of rheumatology offices and hospitals which contribute to the SCQM registry can be found at http://www.scqm.ch/institutions. A list of financial supporters of SCQM can be found at http://www.scqm.ch/sponsors. We would like to add a personal thank you to Axel Finckh (University Hospitals of Geneva) for his input regarding the database. AMB acknowledges that her professorship is partly endowed by the Swiss National Pharmacy Association (PharmaSuisse) and the ETH Foundation. **Supplementary Material** This content has been supplied by the authors. It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. **ORCID ID** Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5911-2037 Theresa Burkard http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1313-4473 Raphael Micheroli https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8918-7304 Andrea M. Burden http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7082-8530

REFERENCES

- 1 Kumthekar A, Ogdie A. Obesity and Psoriatic Arthritis: A Narrative Review. *Rheumatol Ther* 2020; **7**: 447–56.
- 2 Salaffi F, De Angelis R, Grassi W, MArche Pain Prevalence, INvestigation Group (MAPPING) study. Prevalence of musculoskeletal conditions in an Italian population sample: results of a regional community-based study. I. The MAPPING study. Clin Exp *Rheumatol* 2005; 23: 819–28.
- 3 Ogdie A, Weiss P. The Epidemiology Psoriatic Arthritis. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2015; : 545–68.

4 Scotti L, Franchi M, Marchesoni A, Corrao G. Prevalence and incidence of psoriatic arthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2018; 48: 28-34.

1		
2	427	5 Porta S, Otero-Losada M, Kölliker Frers RA, Cosentino V, Kerzberg E, Capani F.
3	428	Adinokines Cardiovascular Risk and Therapeutic Management in Obesity and Psoriatic
4	420	Arthritia Event Lyman 2021: 11 DOI:10.2220/fimmy 2020.500740
5	429	Atunnus. Front Immunol 2021, 11. DOI.10.5389/11111110.2020.390749.
6	120	
7	430	6 Gossec L, Smolen JS, Ramiro S, <i>et al.</i> European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR)
8	431	recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis with pharmacological therapies:
9	432	2015 update. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2016: 75: 499–510.
10		1 J
11	433	7 Gossec L. Baraliakos X. Kerschhaumer A <i>et al</i> EULAR recommendations for the
12	121	management of reprinting with nharmonological therapies: 2010 under Annala of
12	434	management of psonauc arunnus with pharmacological therapies. 2019 update. Annuis of
14	435	the Rheumatic Diseases 2020; 79: 700–12.
14		
15	436	8 Gupta S, Syrimi Z, Hughes DM, Zhao SS. Comorbidities in psoriatic arthritis: a systematic
10	437	review and meta-analysis. <i>Rheumatol Int</i> 2021; 41 : 275–84.
17		
18	438	9 Bhole VM Choi HK Burns LC <i>et al</i> Differences in body mass index among individuals
19	420	with DaA mariagia DA and the general nonvilation <i>Dhaumatalamy</i> 2012: 51 : 552.6
20	439	with PSA, psofiasis, KA and the general population. <i>Kneumatology</i> 2012, 51 . 552–6.
21	140	10 Educ I. There are a Chardren W. C. I. D. C. I. D. O. V. S. S. J. M.
22	440	10Eder L, Thavaneswaran A, Chandran V, Cook RJ, Gladman DD. Obesity is associated with
23	441	a lower probability of achieving sustained minimal disease activity state among patients with
24	442	psoriatic arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2015; 74: 813–7.
25		
26	443	11Eder L. Abii F. Rosen CF. Chandran V. Gladman DD. The Association Between Obesity
27	111	and Clinical Eastures of Psoriatic Arthritis: A Case control Study I Phaumatol 2017: 14:
28	444	and Chinear reduces of I soliatic Artifitis. A Case-control Study. J Kneumatol 2017, 44.
29	445	437-43.
30		
31	446	12 Vallejo-Yagüe E, Burkard T, Möller B, Finckh A, Burden AM. Comparison of Psoriatic
32	447	Arthritis and Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients across Body Mass Index Categories in
33	448	Switzerland Journal of Clinical Medicine 2021 10. 3194
34		
35	449	13 di Minno MND Peluso R. Jervolino S. et al. Obesity and the prediction of minimal disease
36	450	activity a progracity study in provide arthritic Arthritic Care Des (Habeken) 2012: 65:
37	430	activity. a prospective study in psoriatic artifitis. Arthruis Care Res (Hoboken) 2015, 05.
38	451	141-7.
39		
40	452	14 Lupoli R, Pizzicato P, Scalera A, <i>et al.</i> Impact of body weight on the achievement of minimal
41	453	disease activity in patients with rheumatic diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
42	454	Arthritis Res Ther 2016: 18: 297.
43		
44	455	15 Høiggard P. Glinthorg B. Kristensen L.E. Gudbiornsson B. Love TI. Drever L. The influence
45	456	of abasity on reasons to tymour nearogia factor a inhibitary in nearistic arthritic: regulta
46	430	or obusity on response to tumour necrosis ractor-a minoriors in psoriatic artifitis. results
47	457	from the DANBIO and ICEBIO registries. <i>Rheumatology</i> 2016; 55: 2191–9.
48		
49	458	16 Singh S, Facciorusso A, Singh AG, et al. Obesity and response to anti-tumor necrosis factor-
50	459	α agents in patients with select immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: A systematic
51	460	review and meta-analysis <i>PLoS One</i> 2018: 13 : e0195123
52		10.10. 01.0 mon unuijolo. 1 200 000 2010, 10. 0 0170120.
53	/61	17 Versini M. Jeandel P.V. Rosenthal F. Shoenfald V. Obesity in autoimmuna disaasas: Not a
54	401	17 versini ivi, jeanueri 1-1, Kosenulai E, Shoemelu 1, Obesity III autoiniinuite uiseases. Not a
55	462	passive bystander. Autoimmunity Reviews 2014; 13: 981–1000.
56		
50	463	18 Russolillo A, Iervolino S, Peluso R, et al. Obesity and psoriatic arthritis: from pathogenesis
57	464	to clinical outcome and management. <i>Rheumatology</i> 2013; 52 : 62–7.
50 50		
22	465	19Neumann E. Hasseli R. Ohl S. Lange U. Frommer KW. Müller-Ladner U. Adipokines and
00	Δ66	Autoimmunity in Inflammatory Arthritic Calle 2021: 10 DOI:10.2300/calle10020216
	-100	$\frac{1}{2}$
		Page 20 of 23

467
 468
 468
 469
 469
 20 Minno MNDD, Peluso R, Iervolino S, *et al.* Weight loss and achievement of minimal disease activity in patients with psoriatic arthritis starting treatment with tumour necrosis factor α blockers. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2014; **73**: 1157–62.

1

10

21

30

42

- 470
 470
 471
 471
 471
 472
 472
 472
 473
 474
 474
 474
 475
 475
 475
 474
 474
 475
 475
 475
 476
 477
 477
 477
 478
 478
 479
 479
 470
 470
 470
 470
 471
 472
 472
 473
 474
 474
 474
 475
 475
 475
 476
 477
 477
 477
 478
 478
 478
 479
 479
 479
 470
 470
 470
 470
 470
 470
 471
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
 472
- 473 473 22 Die SCQM Foundation (Swiss Clinical Quality Management in Rheumatic Diseases).
 474 https://www.scqm.ch/en/ueber-uns/ (accessed May 18, 2021).
- 475
 475
 476
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 478
 478
 479
 479
 479
 470
 470
 470
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 471
 472
 473
 474
 474
 475
 474
 475
 475
 475
 475
 475
 475
 476
 477
 476
 477
- 478 24Buuren S van, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained
 479 Equations in R. *Journal of Statistical Software* 2011; 45: 1–67.
- 480
 25 R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environmental for statistical computing. R
 481
 482
 482
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/
 (accessed May 14, 2021).
- 483
 484
 484
 485
 26 Iannone F, Fanizzi R, Scioscia C, Anelli MG, Lapadula G. Body mass does not affect the remission of psoriatic arthritis patients on anti-TNF-α therapy. *Scandinavian Journal of Rheumatology* 2013; 42: 41–4.
- 486
 486
 27 Coates LC, Orbai A-M, Morita A, *et al.* Achieving minimal disease activity in psoriatic arthritis predicts meaningful improvements in patients' health-related quality of life and productivity. *BMC Rheumatology* 2018; 2: 24.
- 489
 489
 490
 28 McGagh D, Coates LC. Assessment of the many faces of PsA: single and composite measures in PsA clinical trials. *Rheumatology* 2020; **59**: i29–36.
- 491
 491
 492
 493
 493
 491
 493
 493
 494
 495
 495
 496
 496
 497
 497
 498
 498
 499
 499
 499
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
 490
- 43 494
 44 495
 45 496
 46 497
 497
 30 Hak AE, Stehouwer CDA, Bots ML, *et al.* Associations of C-Reactive Protein With Measures of Obesity, Insulin Resistance, and Subclinical Atherosclerosis in Healthy, Middle-Aged Women. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 1999; 19: 1986–91.
- 48
 498
 50
 499
 31 Visser M. Elevated C-Reactive Protein Levels in Overweight and Obese Adults. *JAMA* 1999; 282: 2131.
- 52 500
 53 501
 501
 502
 502
 503
 504
 502
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 509
 500
 500
 500
 500
 501
 501
 502
 502
 503
 504
 505
 505
 506
 507
 508
 508
 509
 509
 500
 500
 500
 500
 501
 501
 502
 502
 502
 503
 504
 505
 505
 506
 507
 508
 508
 509
 509
 509
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 501
 501
 502
 502
 502
 502
 502
 502
 503
 504
 504
 505
 505
 506
 507
 508
 508
 509
 508
 509
 509
 509
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500
 500<
- 56
 503
 504
 33 Fasanmade AA, Adedokun OJ, Ford J, *et al.* Population pharmacokinetic analysis of infliximab in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2009; 65: 1211–28.
- 59
 505 34 Ternant D, Aubourg A, Magdelaine-Beuzelin C, *et al.* Infliximab pharmacokinetics in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Ther Drug Monit* 2008; **30**: 523–9.

12

22

26

27

28

- 507 35 Versini M, Jeandel P-Y, Rosenthal E, Shoenfeld Y. Obesity in autoimmune diseases: not a passive bystander. *Autoimmun Rev* 2014; 13: 981–1000.
- 5 509 36 Statistik B für. Übergewicht und Adipositas - Schweizerische Gesundheitsbefragung 2017 6 Bundesamt für Statistik. 510 Publikation. 2020: published online Sept 3. 7 https://www.bfs.admin.ch/asset/de/14147705 (accessed June 5, 2022). 511 8
- 9
 10 512 37 Gulfe A, Geborek P, Saxne T. Response criteria for rheumatoid arthritis in clinical practice: 11 513 how useful are they? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005; 64: 1186–9.
- 514 515 38 Coates LC, Fransen J, Helliwell PS. Defining minimal disease activity in psoriatic arthritis: a proposed objective target for treatment. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010; **69**: 48–53.
- 16
17
1851639Body mass index BMI. https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-
prevention/nutrition/a-healthy-lifestyle/body-mass-index-bmi (accessed June 23, 2021).
- ¹⁹
 ²⁰ 518 40Obesity and overweight. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight (accessed July 8, 2021).
- 520
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521
 521

42 Queiro R. Cardiometabolic comorbidity in the selection of treatment in spondyloarthritis: one step closer to truly personalized medicine? *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2021; 21: 1539–41.

reliez oni

- ²⁹ 30 524
- 31 525 32 526

527

32 33

34 35 36

37 38

39 40 41

42 43

- 44 45
- 46
- 47 48
- 49 50
- 51
- 52 53

54 55 56

57 58

(Attached as JPG)

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Results from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association between body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes. Maximum followup 12-months.

(Attached as JPG)

Figure 2. Distribution of patients achieving the study primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, and percentage of patients achieving treatment persistence at the end of month-12, stratified by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

(Attached as JPG)

Figure 3. Venn Diagram depicting the number of patients (counts) achieving the study individual primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, overall and stratifying by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

Outcome at ≤12 months	sample	n (%) events	OR (95% CI)	OBadi (95% CI)	ORadi (95% C
MDA	5120,11	cvents	011(007/001)		011111 (55%)
Normal weight	306	66 (21.6)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	40 (14.0)	0.59 (0.38-0.91)	0.63 (0.39-1.03)	
Obese	183	19 (10.4)	0.43 (0.25-0.74)	0.45 (0.24-0.82)	- -
DAPSA-remission					
Normal weight	306	51 (16.7)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	÷
Overweight	285	20 (7.0)	0.38 (0.22-0.66)	0.44 (0.24-0.79)	H
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.36 (0.19-0.70)	0.42 (0.21-0.85)	
DAPSA-remLDA					:
Normal weight	306	84 (27.5)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	76 (26.7)	0.95 (0.65-1.37)	0.98 (0.65-1.48)	
Obese ODDESA remission	183	37 (20.2)	0.67 (0.43-1.04)	0.69 (0.42-1.14)	
Normal weight	206	E7 (19 C)	1 (rof)	1 (rof)	:
Overweight	285	30 (13.7)	0.69 (0.44-1.08)	0.78 (0.48-1.28)	
Ohese	183	16 (8 7)	0.43 (0.24-0.77)	0.51 (0.27-0.96)	
DAS28-remission	105	10 (0.7)	0.45 (0.24 0.77)	0.51 (0.27-0.50)	
Normal weight	306	115 (37.6)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	
Overweight	285	109 (38.2)	0.99 (0.70-1.39)	0.88 (0.6-1.29)	
Obese	183	51 (27.9)	0.64 (0.43-0.96)	0.51 (0.32-0.81)	H H
Treatment persistence at the	e end of mo	onth-12			
Normal weight	306	183 (59.8)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	i
Overweight	285	161 (56.5)	0.84 (0.60-1.17)	0.87 (0.59-1.27)	
Obese	183	94 (51.4)	0.71 (0.49-1.03)	0.84 (0.54-1.29)	
					0.0 0.5 1.0 1
OR: odds ratio adjusting for:	sex, age;				
ORadj: odds ratio adjusting fo	or: sex, age	, high educatio	nal level, smoker, b/	'tsDMARD, csDMAF	D, corticosteroid.
n number: CI confidence inter	rval: ref. ref	ference: MDA N	Ainimal Disease Acti	vity: DAPSA-remissi	ion Disease Activity for
Arthritis (DAPSA) remission: I	DAPSA-rem	LDA DAPSA ren	nission or low diseas	e activity: cDAPSA-	remission clinical DAPSA
remission: DAS28-remission	28-ioint dise	ease activity scr	ore remission	,,,,	

Figure 1. Results from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association between body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes. Maximum follow-up 12-months.

301x249mm (144 x 144 DPI)





Figure 2. Distribution of patients achieving the study primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, and percentage of patients achieving treatment persistence at the end of month-12, stratified by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

306x275mm (144 x 144 DPI)



Figure 3. Venn Diagram depicting the number of patients (counts) achieving the study individual primary and secondary outcomes within the first year, overall and stratifying by body mass index (BMI) category. Abbreviations: MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSAremLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.

238x236mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Supplementary material

Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients with elevated body mass index: an observational cohort study in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management cohort

Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe¹, Theresa Burkard¹, Raphael Micheroli², Andrea M. Burden¹

¹ Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

² Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital of Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

Supplementary Equations

- (1) $BMI = \frac{weight Kg}{height m^2}$
- (2) DAPSA = sjc66 + tjc68 + PatActivity + PatPain + CRP
- (3) cDAPSA = sjc66 + tjc68 + PatActivity + PatPain
- (4) $DAS28ESR = (0.56 \times \sqrt{tjc28} + 0.28 \times \sqrt{sjc28} + 0.7 \times ln(ESR)) \times 1.08 + 0.16$
- (5) $DAS28CRP = (0.56 \times \sqrt{tjc28} + 0.28 \times \sqrt{sjc28} + 0.36 \times ln(CRP + 1)) \times 1.10 + 1.15$

Abbreviations used in the above equations: DAPSA disease activity in psoriasis arthritis score; cDAPSA clinical DAPSA; DAS28 disease activity score 28; sjc66 number of swollen joints, counting 66; sjc28 number of swollen joints, counting 28; tjc68 number of tender joints, counting 68; tjc28 number of tender joints, counting 28; CRP C-reactive protein (mg/dL); ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm/h); PatActivity patient's assessment of disease activity (0 very well - 10 very poor); PatPain patient's joint pain (0 very well - 10 very poor).



Supplementary Figure S1. Graphical representation of the assessment of treatment persistence at month-12 for an example patient who starts adalimumab as first biologic or targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (b/tsDMARD).

Supplementary material - Page 1 of 9

Supplementary Text S1. Additional information on covariates.

High education was defined as 'höhere Fachschule' (university of applied sciences), or 'Universitätsstudium' (university study); and the no category for this variable was defined by 'obligatorische Schule' (compulsory school), 'Berufslehre' (apprenticeship), or 'Maturitätsschule' (3-4 year high school that enables direct admission to Universities school)'.

Smoker (ever smoker) was defined by at least one record of smoker prior index date.

Patient and physician assessments on disease activity, pain, or skin manifestations, as well as medication, disease specific manifestations (musculoskeletal manifestations, dactylitis, enthesitis, sacrolitis, spinal involvement, coxitis, peripheral arthritis, nail manifestations) and comorbidities are recorded as specific variables in SCQM.

Information on comorbidities was extracted from the SCQM health issues dataset or table, which contains patient reported information. Lack of disease or health issue was assumed unless otherwise stated. Cardiovascular event/disease included cerebrovascular disease, coronary heart disease, deep vein thrombosis, heart infarct, heart insufficiency, peripheral vascular disease, pulmonary embolism, hypertension, hypotension, other cardiovascular disease, and other heart disease, ever before the index date. Diabetes included type I and type II, ever before index date. Other metabolic problems included adrenal disease, thyroid disease, diseases of other endocrine glands, dysfunctions of water electrolyte balance or acid alkaline balance, hyperlipidaemia, and hyperuricemia, within the 6-months prior index date. Depression/anxiety includes depression and anxiety, within the 6-months prior index date.

Supplementary material - Page 2 of 9

Supplementary Table S1. Variables included in the multiple imputation.

Variable	Version 1 Included	Version 2 Included	Predicted	Predictor	Method	Missingness	Levels	Range
Outcome ^a	yes	-	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
(MDA/DAPSArem/DAPSAremLDA/Persistence)								
Outcome ^a (DAS28rem)	-	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Patient ID	yes	yes	-	-	-	-	-	1-774
BMI category	yes	yes	-	-	-	-	normal weight; overweight; obese.	-
BMI kg/m ²	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	-	16.56 - 51.42
Sex	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	female (women); male (men).	-
Age	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	-	18.37 - 84.65
Disease duration, years	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	17 (2.20)	-	0.04 - 47.31
High education	yes	yes	yes	yes	logreg	146 (18.86)	yes; no.	-
ESR mm/h	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	105 (13.57)	-	1 - 110
CRP mg/dL	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	127 (16.41)	-	0 - 11.10
Physician's global disease activity (0-10)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	31 (4.01)	-	0 - 9
Physician's global skin manifestation	yes	yes	yes	yes	polyreg	61 (7.88)	none; almost none; mild; mild to moderate; moderate; moderate to severe;	-
							severe.	
Patient's assessment on disease activity (0-10) (PatActv)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	185 (23.90)	-	0 - 10
Patient's joint pain (0-10) (PatPain)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	174 (22.48)	-	0 - 10
Number of swollen joints 28 (sjc28)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	20 (2.58)	-	0 - 22
Number of swollen joints 66 (sjc66)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	72 (9.30)	-	0 - 48
Number of tender joints 28 (tjc28)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	28 (3.62)	-	0 - 28
Number of tender joints 68 (tjc68)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	73 (9.43)	-	0 - 68
DAPSA	yes	-	yes	yes ^b	passive imputation ^d	298 (38.5)	-	0.10 - 121
DAS28	-	yes	yes	yesc	passive imputation ^e	99 (12.79)	-	0.20 - 7.60
HAQ (0-3)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	167 (21.58)	-	0 - 3
SF-12mcus (0-100)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	206 (26.61)		18.74-67.78
SF-12pcus (0-100)	yes	yes	yes	yes	pmm	206 (26.61)		16.74-61.25
b/tsDMARD	yes	yes	-	yes		-	TNFi biologic; other biologic; tsDMARD.	-
csDMARD at index	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Prednisone at index	yes	yes	-	yes		-	yes; no.	-
Dactylitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Sacroilitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Enthesitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Spinal involvement	yes	yes	-	yes	-	-	yes; no.	-
Coxitis	yes	yes	-	yes	-		yes; no.	-
Dactylitis	ves	ves	-	ves	-	-	ves: no	-

Abbreviations: BMI body mass index; ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP C-reactive protein; PsA psoriasis arthritis; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis; DAS28 28-joint disease activity score; HAQ Health Assessment Questionnaire; b/tsDMARD biologic or targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; csDMARD conventional synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; TNFi tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibitor; tsDMARD targeted synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug; pmm predictive mean matching; logit logistic regression; polyreg polytomous logistic regression.

^a Multiple imputation was run distinctly for each outcome.

^b DAPSA not used as predictor for: sjc66, tjc68, PatActivity, PatPain, CRP.

^d DAS28 not used as predictor for: sjc28, tjc28, ESR.

^d DAPSA passive imputation: DAPSA = sjc66 + tjc68 + PatActivity + PatPain + CRP

^e DAS28 passive imputation: DAS28ESR = $(0.56 \times \sqrt{tjc28} + 0.28 \times \sqrt{sjc28} + 0.7 \times ln(ESR)) \times 1.08 + 0.16$

Supplementary material - Page 3 of 9

Page 31 of 37



Supplementary Figure S2. Graphical representation of the missingness among baseline variables included in the imputations for primary analysis (i.e., achievement of Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) within the first year after index date). The 48.32% of patients had complete information on all the included variables. In the right figure, blue indicates availability of the data, and red missingness.

Supplementary material - Page 4 of 9



Supplementary Figure S3. Density plots for the imputed variables high educational level [A], Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) [B], and Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) [C] for the primary outcome, achievement of Minimal Disease Activity (MDA) within the first year after index date. Additionally, density plot for the imputed clinical DAPSA (cDAPSA) [D] and 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28) [E] for the secondary outcomes cDAPSA-remission and DAS28-remission within the first year of treatment, respectively. The variable distribution in the original dataset is shown in blue, and the corresponding distribution in each imputed dataset is shown in red.



Supplementary Figure S4. Direct acyclic graph (DAG) displaying the clinical rational for selection of confounders. The nodes represent the exposure, outcome and covariates, and the lines or edges represent the assumed relationship between them. Grey nodes represent the exposure and the outcome. Blue nodes represent the confounders included in the study full adjusted model. White nodes represent other variables included in sensitivity analyses.


Supplementary Figure S5. Flow chart reflecting the cohort selection based on inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Supplementary Table S2. Sensitivity analyses, including the respective composite disease activity score or health standardised survey in the multivariable logistic regression of each study outcome

score or nearth star	luaruise	a survey i	ii the multivar	lable logis	suc regression	or each st	uuy outcome.
		Maxim 9-	um follow-up months	Maximum follow-up 12-months		Maximum follow-up 15-months	
	n sample size	n events	ORadj ^c (95% CI)	n events	ORadj ^c (95% CI)	n events	ORadj ^c (95% CI)
MDA							
Normal weight	306	45 (14.7)	1 (ref.)	66 (21.6)	1 (ref.)	86 (28.1)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	21 (7.4)	0.67 (0.35-1.29)	40 (14.0)	0.69 (0.42-1.15)	61 (21.4)	0.85 (0.54-1.36)
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.47 (0.19-1.14)	19 (10.4)	0.48 (0.25-0.96)	30 (16.4)	0.72 (0.4-1.27)
DAPSA-remission							
Normal weight	306	31 (10.1)	1 (ref.)	51 (16.7)	1 (ref.)	67 (21.9)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	11 (3.9)	0.7 (0.29-1.72)	20 (7.0)	0.56 (0.28-1.1)	31 (10.9)	0.6 (0.33-1.08)
Obese	183	8 (4.4)	0.78 (0.28-2.17)	12 (6.6)	0.49 (0.22-1.1)	17 (9.3)	0.49 (0.24-1)
DAPSA-remLDA							
Normal weight	306	47 (15.4)	1 (ref.)	84 (27.5)	1 (ref.)	117 (38.2)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	37 (13.0)	0.91 (0.48-1.75)	76 (26.7)	1.03 (0.63-1.69)	104 (36.5)	0.79 (0.5-1.25)
Obese	183	22 (12.0)	0.87 (0.41-1.85)	37 (20.2)	0.68 (0.38-1.22)	52 (28.4)	0.62 (0.36-1.04)
cDAPSA-remission							
Normal weight	306	36 (11.8)	1 (ref.)	57 (18.6)	1 (ref.)	77 (25.2)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	22 (7.7)	1.04 (0.51-2.13)	39 (13.7)	0.91 (0.52-1.6)	53 (18.6)	0.78 (0.47-1.29)
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.72 (0.28-1.81)	16 (8.7)	0.53 (0.25-1.11)	23 (12.6)	0.57 (0.3-1.07)
DAS28-remission							
Normal weight	306	68 (22.2)	1 (ref.)	115 (37.6)	1 (ref.)	153 (50.0)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	64 (22.5)	1.13 (0.68-1.9)	109 (38.2)	0.93 (0.6-1.43)	140 (49.1)	0.93 (0.6-1.42)
Obese	183	29 (15.8)	0.67 (0.36-1.27)	51 (27.9)	0.62 (0.37-1.04)	70 (38.3)	0.69 (0.42-1.13)
Treatment persistence							
Normal weight	306	204 (66.7)	1 (ref.)	183 (59.8)	1 (ref.)	159 (52.0)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	184 (64.6)	0.92 (0.61-1.4)	161 (56.5)	0.88 (0.59-1.3)	148 (51.9)	1.04 (0.71-1.54)
Obese	183	111 (60.7)	0.92 (0.56-1.49)	94 (51.4)	0.92 (0.58-1.46)	81 (44.3)	1.04 (0.66-1.64)

ORadj^{*}: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid, and HAQ (for MDA) or DAPSA (for DAPSA-remission/DAPSA-remission/LDA) or DAS28 (for DAS28-remission).

Abbreviations: n number; Cl confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSA-remLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission.

Supplementary Table S3. Sensitivity analysis, excluding patients without follow-up data on outcome. Multivariable logistic regression for each study outcome.

	Maximum follow-up 12-months, sensitivity analysis				
	n sample size	n events	OR ^a (95% CI)	Oradj [♭] (95% CI)	Oradj ^c (95% CI)
MDA					
Normal weight	130	66 (50.8)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	131	40 (30.5)	0.39 (0.23-0.66)	0.45 (0.25-0.80)	0.5 (0.26-0.93)
Obese	81	19 (23.5)	0.28 (0.15-0.53)	0.33 (0.16-0.67)	0.37 (0.17-0.81)
DAPSA-remission					
Normal weight	113	51 (45.1)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	113	20 (17.7)	0.23 (0.12-0.43)	0.25 (0.12-0.49)	0.37 (0.16-0.82)
Obese	64	12 (18.8)	0.28 (0.13-0.59)	0.31 (0.14-0.71)	0.44 (0.17-1.13)
DAPSA-remLDA					
Normal weight	113	84 (74.3)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	113	76 (67.3)	0.66 (0.37-1.19)	0.58 (0.3-1.12)	0.57 (0.26-1.29)
Obese	64	37 (57.8)	0.48 (0.25-0.92)	0.44 (0.21-0.93)	0.42 (0.17-1.04)
cDAPSA-remission					
Normal weight	124	57 (46.0)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	131	39 (29.8)	0.44 (0.26-0.75)	0.47 (0.26-0.85)	0.61 (0.31-1.21)
Obese	74	16 (21.6)	0.32 (0.16-0.63)	0.36 (0.17-0.75)	0.44 (0.19-1.04)
DAS28-remission					
Normal weight	159	115 (72.3)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	153	109 (71.2)	0.86 (0.51-1.46)	0.55 (0.3-1.01)	0.57 (0.28-1.14)
Obese	89	51 (57.3)	0.48 (0.27-0.86)	0.3 (0.15-0.6)	0.37 (0.17-0.81)

OR: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age;

ORadj: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid.

ORadj^c: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid, and HAQ (for MDA) or DAPSA (for DAPSA-remission/DAPSA-remission/LDA) or DAS28 (for DAS28-remission).

Abbreviations: n number; Cl confidence interval; ref. reference; Abbreviations: n number; Cl confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSA-remission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSA-remLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission

Supplementary material - Page 7 of 9

Supplementary Table S4. Sensitivity analyses, excluding the 12 patients with body mass index (BMI) <18.5 kg/m². Result from the multivariable logistic regression investigating the association between body mass index (BMI) categories and various clinical outcomes, with maximum follow-up 12-months.

Sensitivity analyses		Ma	2-months	
(Excluding BMI<18.5)	n sample size	n vents	OR	ORadj
MDA				
Normal weight	294	62 (21.1)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	40 (14.0)	0.61 (0.39-0.95)	0.65 (0.40-1.06)
Obese	183	19 (10.4)	0.44 (0.25-0.77)	0.45 (0.24-0.84)
DAPSA-remission				
Normal weight	294	47 (16)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	20 (7.0)	0.40 (0.23-0.70)	0.46 (0.25-0.83)
Obese	183	12 (6.6)	0.38 (0.20-0.75)	0.43 (0.21-0.88)
DAPSA-remLDA				
Normal weight	294	80 (27.2)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	76 (26.7)	0.96 (0.66-1.40)	0.99 (0.65-1.50)
Obese	183	37 (20.2)	0.68 (0.44-1.06)	0.70 (0.42-1.14)
cDAPSA-remission				
Normal weight	294	294 (18)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	39 (13.7)	0.72 (0.46-1.14)	0.81 (0.49-1.33)
Obese	183	16 (8.7)	0.45 (0.25-0.81)	0.53 (0.28-1.00)
DAS28-remission				
Normal weight	294	110 (37.4)		
Overweight	285	109 (38.2)	1.00 (0.71-1.42)	0.89 (0.61-1.31)
Obese	183	51 (27.9)	0.65 (0.44-0.98)	0.51 (0.32-0.82)
Treatment persistence at the end of follow-up				
Normal weight	294	179 (60.9)	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Overweight	285	161 (56.5)	0.81 (0.58-1.13)	0.83 (0.56-1.23)
Obese	183	94 (51.4)	0.68 (0.47-0.99)	0.8 (0.52-1.24)
OR: odds ratio adjusting for: sex_age:				

OR: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age;

ORadj: odds ratio adjusting for: sex, age, high educational level, smoker, b/tsDMARD, csDMARD, corticosteroid. Abbreviations: n number; CI confidence interval; ref. reference; MDA Minimal Disease Activity; DAPSAremission Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) remission; DAPSA-remLDA DAPSA remission or low disease activity; cDAPSA-remission clinical DAPSA remission; DAS28-remission 28-joint disease activity score remission. in the second se

Supplementary material - Page 8 of 9

BMJ Open

Supplementary Table S5. Number of patients, overall and stratified by body mass index (BMI) category, for each corresponding set of achieved outcomes within the first year. These numerical values complement the Figure 4 Venn Diagram. Each patient may achieve none, one, or more outcomes. Each row includes patients with the same set of achieved outcomes. The symbol √ indicates that the corresponding outcome (column-wise) was achieved. Conversely, the symbol – indicates that the corresponding outcome was not achieved. To obtain the total number of patients achieving a specific outcome, every column with the corresponding outcome marked as achieved should be sum.

	Ę	mLDA	em	ε				
	Are	Are	SAr	8re	Overall	Normal weight	Overweight	Obese
Ad	PS	PS	AP	S2	(n=774)	(n=306)	(n=285)	(n=183)
Σ	DA	DA	Û	DA	(counts)	(counts)	(counts)	(counts)
٧	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	1
٧	-	V	-	-	4	2	2	0
٧	-	-	-	V	4	2	0	2
٧	-	V	-	V	13	7	5	1
٧	-	-	V	-	12	2	8	2
٧	-	V	V	-	1	0	1	0
٧	-	-	V	V	2	2	0	0
٧	-	V	V	V	6	2	3	1
٧	V	V	V	-	6	3	2	1
٧	V	V	V	V	74	45	18	11
-	-	۷	-	-	15	5	6	4
-	-	-	-	V	98	37	43	18
-	-	V	-	V	68	17	33	18
-	-	V	٧	-	1	0	0	1
-	-	-	V	V	1	0	1	0
-	-	V	٧	v	6	0	6	0
-	٧	۷	٧	٧	3	3	0	0

Achieved outcomes

Abbreviations: MDA minimal disease activity; DAPSArem Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAPSAremLDA Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission or low disease activity; cDAPSArem clinical Disease Activity for Psoriatic Arthritis remission; DAS28rem 28-joint disease activity score remission.



STROBE Statement

Obesity and the likelihood of achieving Minimal Disease Activity and remission in psoriatic arthritis patients: a cohort study

Enriqueta Vallejo-Yagüe¹, Theresa Burkard¹, Andrea M. Burden¹

¹ Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1-2 (Title and abstract)
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	2
		what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4-5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			I
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	5
-		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	5-6
		selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed	NA
		and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	6-8
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
		applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	5-9
measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	
		assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8-9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5-6; 9; Supplementary Figure S5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	7-9
		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	8-9
		confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8-9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	8-9
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	6-9
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	8-9

BMJ Open

Results			
Participants		13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,	Supplementary Figure S5
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Supplementary
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure S5 Supplementary Figure S5
Descriptive data		14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,	9
		social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	NA (see page 7)
Outcome data		15* Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Figure 1; Table 2; Figure 2; Figure 3
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates	11-12;
		and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which	Figure 1; Table 2
		confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	6-7 (exposure and outcome)
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk	-
		for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and	12-13
		sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	13
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or	16-17
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	13-17
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other	
		relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	-
Other informatio	n –		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and,	-
		if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.