nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Julia Stowe
Last updated by author(s):	2022/09/09

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

$\overline{}$					
Ç.	tっ	ıtı	ıct	Т	\sim
- 1	_			- 11	

Sta	atistics		
For	all statistical ar	nalyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.	
n/a	Confirmed		
	The exact	sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement	
\boxtimes	A stateme	ent on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly	
	The statis Only comm	tical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided non tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.	
	A description of all covariates tested		
\boxtimes	A descrip	tion of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons	
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)		
For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.			
For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings			
\boxtimes	For hierar	chical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes	
\boxtimes	Estimates	of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated	
	•	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.	
So	ftware an	d code	
Poli	cy information	about <u>availability of computer code</u>	
D	ata collection	Custom SQL scripts	
Di	ata analysis	Stata scripts- All analyses were conducted in STATA 17 ™.	
	'	g custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.	

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Datasets used in this analysis are Secondary Uses Service https://digital.nhs.uk/services/secondary-uses-service-sus, the Emergency Care Dataset https:// digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/data-collections-and-data-sets/data-sets/emergency-care-data-set-ecds, the National Immunisation Management System https://digital.nhs.uk/coronavirus/vaccinations/training-and-onboarding/point-of-care/national-immunisation-management-service-nims-app and personal identifiable COVID-19 testing data (postive and negative tests) from UKHSA.

This work is carried out under Regulation 3 of The Health Service (Control of Patient Information) (Secretary of State for Health, 2002))(3) using patient identification

	al patient consent. Data cannot be made publicly available for ethical and legal reasons, i.e. public availability would compromise a tables list single counts of individuals rather than aggregated data		
,			
-ield-specifi	c reporting		
Please select the one below	w that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.		
Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences		
or a reference copy of the docum	nent with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>		
Behavioural	& social sciences study design		
All studies must disclose or	n these points even when the disclosure is negative.		
Study description	Test negative case control design		
Research sample	English resident population		
Sampling strategy	There was no sampling of the data as data from the whole population of England was used		
Data collection	National COVID-19 vaccine register and national COVID-19 testing data and the Emergency Care Dataset (ECDS) or the Secondary Users Service (SUS). These are routinely collected data for healthcare administration not for the purposes of the study so there was no need for researchers to be blinded to the study hypothesis.		
Timing	November 2021 to March 2022		
Data exclusions	A test negative case control design was used to estimate vaccine effectiveness in those aged 18 years and over against hospitalisation following a PCR test for SARS-CoV-2. Any negative tests taken within 7 days of a previous negative test, or where symptoms were recorded, with symptoms within 10 days of symptoms for a previous negative test were dropped as these likely represent the same episode. Negative tests taken within 21 days before a positive test were also excluded as these are likely to be false negatives. Positive and negative tests within 90 days of a previous positive test were also excluded. A maximum of one negative test per person within each of the following approximate 3 month periods was selected at random: 26 April to 1 August 2021, 2 August 2021 to 21 November 2021, 22 November 2021 to 23 February 2022. For analyses that involved hospitalised controls any negative tests that led to a hospitalisation within 21 days of a previous hospital negative test were excluded. Data were restricted to persons who had reported symptoms and gave an onset date. Only persons who had undergone testing within 10 days after symptom onset were included in order to account for reduced sensitivity of PCR testing beyond this period.		
Non-participation	There was no non-participation or opt out available in this study		
Randomization	This is an observational epidemiological study using routinely collected data so randomization is not applicable		
We require information from a			
Eukaryotic cell lines			

Materials & experimental systems	Methods	
n/a Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study	
Antibodies	ChIP-seq	
Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry	
Palaeontology and archaeology	MRI-based neuroimaging	
Animals and other organisms	·	
Human research participants		
Clinical data		
Dual use research of concern		

Human research participants

Policy information about <u>studies involving human research participants</u>

Population characteristics

COVID-19 vaccination status, hospitalisation status, testing status (positive /negative)Age (5-year bands), sex, index of multiple deprivation (quintile), ethnic group, care home residence status (for age 65+), geographic region (NHS region), period (calendar week of test), health and social care worker status (for age <65), clinical risk group status (for age<65), clinically extremely vulnerable, severely immunosuppressed and previously testing positive

Recruitment

There was no recruitment to the study. The study uses routinely collected health data for healthcare administration not for the purposes of the study so there was no need for researchers to be blinded to the study hypothesis.

Ethics oversight

This research complies with all relevant ethical regulations as surveillance of coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) testing and vaccination is undertaken under Regulation 3 of the Health Service (Control of Patient Information) Regulations 2002 to collect confidential patient information (www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2002/1438/regulation/3/made. opens in new tab) under Sections 3(i) (a) to (c), 3(i)(d) (i) and (ii), and 3. The study protocol was subject to an internal review by the Public Health England Research Ethics and Governance Group and was found to be fully compliant with all regulatory requirements ref:CAP-2021-07-UPDATE. Given that no regulatory issues were identified, and that ethics review is not a requirement for this type of work, it was decided that a full ethics review would not be necessary.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.