

Supporting Information for 'How percentage-protected targets can support positive biodiversity outcomes'.

Carlos Carroll¹, Reed F. Noss².

1. Klamath Center for Conservation Research, Orleans, CA 95556 USA.
2. Florida Institute for Conservation Science, Melrose, FL 32666 USA.

Supporting Information Table S1. Data and model-based approaches for monitoring achievement of biodiversity outcome goals in relation to percentage-protected targets. See UNEP-WCMC website “Indicators for the post-2020 global biodiversity framework” (<https://www.post-2020indicators.org/>) for further information on several of these metrics and others not listed here.

Scale of biodiversity	Data source	Model	Outcome targets by scale of biodiversity	Indicators relevant to percentage-protected targets	Challenges and limitations	
1. Ecosystem	Remote sensing of land and climate attributes	Ecosystem classification ¹ , intactness ² , connectivity ³ , ecosystem process ⁴ (e.g., disturbance)	Net gain in area of intact ecosystems	Representation of conservation features within ecoregions ⁵ , intactness	Heterogeneity within ecoregions, limitations on remotely sensed indicators of ecosystem intactness, ecosystem classification issues	
2. Species	a. Environmental attributes from remote sensing, ground observations, climate projections, etc.)	Species distribution models (SDM) ⁶	Red List and other expert-based thresholds ⁷ , species-area relationship ⁸ , spatially-explicit population models ⁹	Reduction in species extinction rate and risk	Hotspots of species richness and endemism, Species Protection Index ¹⁰	Limitations on explanatory power of SDMs and species-area models, limitations in expert-based extinction risk thresholds
	b. Monitoring data on species abundance and distribution		Red List and other expert-based thresholds ¹¹	Reduction in species extinction rate and risk	Representation of imperiled species ¹²	Limited availability of monitoring data
3. Intraspecific diversity	Monitoring of species distribution, genetic variation	Ecotype classification ¹³	Retention of existing diversity	Representation of ecotypes or other divisions within ranges ¹⁴	Limited correspondence between environmental attributes and genetic types	

4. Multi-scale site-based	KBA database ¹⁵ , species distribution data or models	Systematic conservation planning ¹⁶ , representation (gap) analysis ¹⁷	Multi-scale: No loss of irreplaceable sites	Representation of irreplaceable sites, KBA ¹⁸	KBA database limitations, inconsistencies among SCP processes
---------------------------	--	--	---	--	---

Footnotes. 1. Watson et al., 2013; 2. Watson et al., 2018; 3. Saura et al., 2018; Ward et al., 2020; 4. Leroux et al., 2007; 5. Dinerstein et al., 2017; 6. Warren et al., 2018; 7. Allan et al., 2019; 8. Wilson, 2016; 9. Carroll et al., 2006; 10. GEOBON, 2015; 11. Rodrigues et al., 2006; 12. Faith et al., 2008; 13. Hanson et al., 2020; 14. Rochat et al., 2021; 15. Eken et al., 2004; 16. Margules & Pressey, 2000; 17. Rodrigues et al., 2004; 18. Allan et al., 2019; Dinerstein et al., 2020.

REFERENCES

- Allan, J. R., Possingham, H. P., Atkinson, S. C., Waldron, A., Di Marco, M., Adams, V. M., Butchart, S. H. M., Venter, O., Maron, M., Williams, B. A., Jones, K. R., Visconti, P., Wintle, B. A., Reside, A. E., & Watson, J. E. M. (2019). Conservation attention necessary across at least 44% of Earth's terrestrial area to safeguard biodiversity. *bioRxiv*, 839977. <https://doi.org/10.1101/839977>
- Carroll, C., Phillips, M. K., Lopez-Gonzalez, C. A., & Schumaker, N. H. (2006). Defining Recovery Goals and Strategies for Endangered Species: The Wolf as a Case Study. *BioScience*, *56*(1), 25-37. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2006\)056\[0025:Drgasf\]2.0.Co;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2006)056[0025:Drgasf]2.0.Co;2)
- Dinerstein, E., Joshi, A. R., Vynne, C., Lee, A. T. L., Pharend-Deschênes, F., França, M., Fernando, S., Birch, T., Burkart, K., Asner, G. P., & Olson, D. (2020). A "Global Safety Net" to reverse biodiversity loss and stabilize Earth's climate. *Science Advances*, *6*(36), eabb2824. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb2824>
- Dinerstein, E., Olson, D., Joshi, A., Vynne, C., Burgess, N. D., Wikramanayake, E., Hahn, N., Palminteri, S., Hedao, P., Noss, R., Hansen, M., Locke, H., Ellis, E. C., Jones, B., Barber, C. V., Hayes, R., Kormos, C., Martin, V., Crist, E., Sechrest, W., Price, L., Baillie, J. E. M., Weeden, D., Suckling, K., Davis, C., Sizer, N., Moore, R., Thau, D., Birch, T., Potapov, P., Turubanova, S., Tyukavina, A., de Souza, N., Pintea, L., Brito, J. C., Llewellyn, O. A., Miller, A. G., Patzelt, A., Ghazanfar, S. A., Timberlake, J., Klöser, H., Shennan-Farpón, Y., Kindt, R., Lillesø, J.-P. B., van Breugel, P., Graudal, L., Vogé, M., Al-Shammari, K. F., & Saleem, M. (2017). An Ecoregion-Based Approach to Protecting Half the Terrestrial Realm. *BioScience*, *67*(6), 534-545. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix014>
- Eken, G., Bennun, L., Brooks, T. M., Darwall, W., Fishpool, L. D. C., Foster, M., Knox, D., Langhammer, P., Matiku, P., Radford, E., Salaman, P., Sechrest, W., Smith, M. L., Spector, S., & Tordoff, A. (2004). Key Biodiversity Areas as Site Conservation Targets. *BioScience*, *54*(12), 1110-1118. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2004\)054\[1110:Kbaasc\]2.0.Co;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[1110:Kbaasc]2.0.Co;2)
- Faith, D. P., Ferrier, S., & Williams, K. J. (2008). Getting biodiversity intactness indices right: ensuring that 'biodiversity' reflects 'diversity'. *Global Change Biology*, *14*(2), 207-217. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01500.x>
- GEOBON. (2015). Global Biodiversity Change Indicators. Version 1.2. *Leipzig: Group on Earth Observations Biodiversity Observation Network Secretariat.*
- Hanson, J. O., Rhodes, J. R., Butchart, S. H. M., Buchanan, G. M., Rondinini, C., Ficetola, G. F., & Fuller, R. A. (2020). Global conservation of species' niches. *Nature*, *580*(7802), 232-234. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2138-7>
- Leroux, S. J., Schmiegelow, F. K. A., Lessard, R. B., & Cumming, S. G. (2007). Minimum dynamic reserves: A framework for determining reserve size in ecosystems structured by large disturbances. *Biological Conservation*, *138*(3), 464-473. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2007.05.012>
- Margules, C. R., & Pressey, R. L. (2000). Systematic conservation planning [10.1038/35012251]. *Nature*, *405*(6783), 243-253. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35012251>

- Rochat, E., Selmoni, O., & Joost, S. (2021). Spatial areas of genotype probability: Predicting the spatial distribution of adaptive genetic variants under future climatic conditions. *Diversity and Distributions*, *n/a(n/a)*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13256>
- Rodrigues, A. S., Pilgrim, J. D., Lamoreux, J. F., Hoffmann, M., & Brooks, T. M. (2006). The value of the IUCN Red List for conservation. *Trends Ecol Evol*, *21*(2), 71-76.
- Rodrigues, A. S. L., Akçakaya, H. R., Andelman, S. J., Bakarr, M. I., Boitani, L., Brooks, T. M., Chanson, J. S., Fishpool, L. D. C., Da Fonseca, G. A. B., Gaston, K. J., Hoffmann, M., Marquet, P. A., Pilgrim, J. D., Pressey, R. L., Schipper, J., Sechrest, W., Stuart, S. N., Underhill, L. G., Waller, R. W., Watts, M. E. J., & Yan, X. (2004). Global Gap Analysis: Priority Regions for Expanding the Global Protected-Area Network. *BioScience*, *54*(12), 1092-1100. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2004\)054\[1092:Ggaprf\]2.0.Co;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[1092:Ggaprf]2.0.Co;2)
- Saura, S., Bertzky, B., Bastin, L., Battistella, L., Mandrici, A., & Dubois, G. (2018). Protected area connectivity: Shortfalls in global targets and country-level priorities. *Biological Conservation*, *219*, 53-67. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.12.020>
- Ward, M., Saura, S., Williams, B., Ramírez-Delgado, J. P., Arafeh-Dalmau, N., Allan, J. R., Venter, O., Dubois, G., & Watson, J. E. M. (2020). Just ten percent of the global terrestrial protected area network is structurally connected via intact land. *Nat Commun*, *11*(1), 4563.
- Warren, R., Price, J., Graham, E., Forstenhaeusler, N., & VanDerWal, J. (2018). The projected effect on insects, vertebrates, and plants of limiting global warming to 1.5°C rather than 2°C. *Science*, *360*(6390), 791-795. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3646>
- Watson, J. E. M., Evans, T., Venter, O., Williams, B., Tulloch, A., Stewart, C., Thompson, I., Ray, J. C., Murray, K., Salazar, A., McAlpine, C., Potapov, P., Walston, J., Robinson, J. G., Painter, M., Wilkie, D., Filardi, C., Laurance, W. F., Houghton, R. A., Maxwell, S., Grantham, H., Samper, C., Wang, S., Laestadius, L., Runting, R. K., Silva-Chávez, G. A., Ervin, J., & Lindenmayer, D. (2018). The exceptional value of intact forest ecosystems. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *2*(4), 599-610. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0490-x>
- Watson, J. E. M., Iwamura, T., & Butt, N. (2013). Mapping vulnerability and conservation adaptation strategies under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*(11), 989-994. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2007>
- Wilson, E. O. (2016). *Half-earth: our planet's fight for life*. WW Norton & Company.