## **Supporting Information**

Article title: Sunflecks in the upper canopy: dynamics of light-use efficiency in sun and shade leaves of *Fagus sylvatica*.

Authors: Maxime Durand, Zsofia R. Stangl, Yann Salmon, Alexandra J. Burgess, Erik H. Murchie and T. Matthew Robson

Article acceptance date: 7 May 2022.

The following Supporting Information is available for this article:

**Table S1:** Information on the four beech provenances in the trial plot.

Table S2: Dataset used for statistical analysis (see separate Excel file).

**Table S3:** Leaf-mass per area and chlorophyll content per leaf area with regard to canopy depth in three provenances of beech trees grown in Helsinki, Finland.

Fig. S1: Map of the provenance trial plot.

Fig. S2: Example curve fitting for photosynthesis and stomatal conductance.

**Fig. S3:** Photosynthetic light response curves from gas exchange on beech leaves at the top and bottom of the canopy.

**Table S1:** Information on the four beech provenances in the trial plot.

Provenance	Country	Elevation (m)	Coordinates	Mean annual temperature (°C)	Mean Annual () Precipitation (mm)		
Blaviksliarna	Sweden	75	57° 90' N, 13° 13' W	6.5	860		
Eichelberg	Germany	525	48° 55' N, 11° 26' W	7.8	692		
Rindelpholz	Germany	1175	47° 29' N, 10° 8' W	4.8	2535		
Montejo de la Sierra	Spain	1400	41° 01' N, 3° 5' W	9.5	1100		

**Table S3:** Leaf-mass per area and chlorophyll content per leaf area with regard to canopy depth in three provenances of beech trees grown in Helsinki, Finland. Leaves were sampled at 2, 3, and 4 m depth in the canopy, representing the top, middle and bottom layer of the canopy. Leaf area of the fresh leaves was measured using ImageJ (Schneider *et al.*, 2012), and leaf mass was recorded after drying for three days at 60°C. The leaf mass per area was calculated as leaf mass (g) divided by leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>). Chlorophyll content was assessed with the Dualex optical leaf clip (Force-A, Paris-Sud University, Orsay, France) by measuring the adaxial side of ten fully expanded mature leaves from five randomly selected trees per canopy layer and provenance, between the 10<sup>th</sup> and the 22<sup>nd</sup> of June 2021. Values are means  $\pm$  1 standard error. Different letters represent statistically significant differences between groups tested by *post-hoc* pairwise comparisons (p < 0.05).

Provenance	Canopy depth	Chlorophyll content (a.u.)	Leaf mass per area (g cm <sup>-2</sup> )				
Blaviksliarna, Sweden (SE)	Bottom	$24.0 \pm 0.2$ a	$2.79 \pm 0.28$ a				
	Middle	$28.7 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.4 \hspace{0.2cm} b$	$4.00 \pm 0.19$ bd				
	Тор	$33.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ c}$	$5.51$ $\pm$ 0.23 d				
Eichelberg, Germany (DE)	Bottom	$25.0 \pm 0.4$ a	$2.87 \pm 0.14$ a				
	Middle	$29.7 \hspace{0.1in} \pm \hspace{0.1in} 0.5 \hspace{0.1in} b$	$4.30$ $\pm$ 0.27 bc				
	Тор	$35.9 \pm 0.5$ d	$6.56 \pm 0.52$ e				
Montejo, Spain (ES)	Bottom	$29.1 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.7 \hspace{0.2cm} b$	$3.70~\pm~0.44~ab$				
	Middle	$32.9$ $\pm$ 0.9 c	$4.71 \pm 0.41 \text{ cd}$				
	Тор	$39.1 \pm 0.7$ e	$6.62 \pm 0.31 e$				

Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods* **9**(7): 671-675. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2089

E227	R216	E242									
R38	E236		E225	R224		R256		R222	E246	R257	E224
E72		R17		B70		B78		R96		E56	R214
R269	M8		E1		E212	MP4	M24		E138		E229
E250	B67		R37	M7		M2		B40		B51	R258
R205		M11		E90			R135			R253	E207
E206	M18		E58	R16		R99		B26		R29	R221
R264		R90		E231		B89			R118		E234
E248			B37		B8		R40	M14		R30	R262
E88	B42		R53			E57	MP3		E167		E215
E211		M5		E12	E25		R84	M21		R34	R213
R273		E24				M6			E203		E238
E228	E170		R123	B45	E44		R113	E105		B77	R271
R201		B9		R101		R4			B6		E213
E202	E125		M12			E46		R119		B14	
R250		R223		E86	B71		E221		M20		E82
E249	M9		MP2	R51	E51		R148	B63		M4	R263
R206		R266		B97		B33			R282		E218
E99/	E31		B85		M16		R93	B18		B47	R212
R255		R116		R42		B81	MP1		R11	E91	E243
E245	R73		B98		B43		E63		E154		R268
R202		R112		B48		R274		E30		R254	E223
E216	R260		R203	E222	R270	E244	R211	E217	R265	E247	R216

**Figure S1.** Map of the beech provenance trial plot. Letters B, E, R and M refer to Swedish (Blaviksliarna), central German (from Eichelberg), alpine German (from Rindelpholz), and Spanish (Montejo de la Sierra) provenance, shown as different colours (blue, green, purple and yellow, respectively). Numbers identify a unique tree. Trees marked in italics are border trees, and those marked in bold were among the trees sampled during our experiment. MP1-4 are locations at which light measurements were performed (red boxes).



**Figure S2:** Example curve fitting for photosynthesis and stomatal conductance. (**a**) Stomatal closure, (**b**) stomatal opening, (**c**) photosynthesis induction, (**d**) photosynthesis recovery. Gray points show measured data and the red line show the fitted data for a leaf from the Swedish provenance at the top of the canopy. The vertical black line shows the change of irradiance from 20  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to 1200  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (or the reverse). No black line is shown in (**d**) because the increase in  $A_n$  occurs after a larger and sudden decrease in  $A_n$  following the decrease in irradiance. The median R<sup>2</sup> of the curve fitting over the whole data set is shown.



**Figure S3:** Photosynthetic light response curves from gas exchange on beech leaves at the top (2 m depth, in red) and bottom (4 m depth, in blue) of the canopy. The lines show the fitted curves, with the parameters of the non-rectangular hyperbola ( $\alpha$ ,  $\theta$ , A<sub>sat</sub> and R<sub>d</sub>) given. Beech trees were 12 years-old and grown in Helsinki.