Table S10. Summary statistics for the severity prediction performance (Severity based grading) of the deep learning system on slit-lamp images (NO).

	AUC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy
Normal vs Others	0.9990	96.37%	99.55%	98.90%
	(0.9982 – 0.9999)	(91.45%, 100.0%)	(98.84%, 100.0%)	(97.93%, 99.86%)
Mild vs Others	0.9727	90.97%	94.53%	93.23%
	(0.9634 – 0.9820)	(86.35%, 95.59%)	(91.66%, 97.41%)	(90.69%, 95.78%)
Moderate vs Others	0.9478	88.35%	92.02%	90.53%
	(0.9314 – 0.9642)	(83.3%, 93.4%)	(88.35%, 95.70%)	(87.32%, 93.74%)
Severe vs Others	0.9860	96.16%	98.01%	97.87%
	(0.9794 – 0.9926)	(92.55%, 99.76%)	(96.63%, 99.40%)	(96.52%, 99.22%)
Overall	0.9789	91.10%	94.69%	93.51%
	(0.9733 – 0.9845)	(88.18%, 94.02%)	(92.88%, 96.5%)	(91.39%, 95.63%)
Overall	0.9587	88.38%	92.73%	91.29%
(ResNet18)	(0.9516 – 0.9657)	(85.53%, 91.23%)	(91.0%, 94.45%)	(89.26%, 93.33%)
Overall	0.9749	90.22%	94.24%	92.85%
(WideResNet50-2)	(0.9686 – 0.9813)	(87.76%, 92.69%)	(92.68%, 95.81%)	(91.08%, 94.63%)
Overall	0.9698	89.2%	93.67%	92.34%
(ResNext50)	(0.9646 – 0.9751)	(85.79%, 92.6%)	(91.71%, 95.63%)	(90.04%, 94.64%)

Asymptotic two-sided 95% CIs, adjusted for clustering by patients, were calculated and presented as proportions (sensitivity, specificity, accuracy) and the AUC.

Abbreviations: NO = nuclear opalescence; CI = confidence interval; AUC = area under the receiver operating characteristic curve