## Supplemental Table 1. Comparison of the NDB Offering Options

	Offering Option			
	Sampling Data	Summary Table	Special Extraction	Onsite Research Center
Data provided	Single month sampling of claims data (10% of the total inpatient data and 1% of the total outpatient data)	A summary table	Raw claims data extracted according to the researcher's request	All data stored in the NDB
Pros		be accessed is limited, a summary table prepared in response to a request from the researchers will be provided,	researcher are provided, this	researchers can directly access all data stored in the NDB. From data extraction to tabulation and analysis, all
Cons	used for longitudinal studies, and only for cross-sectional studies, because the data are for	results is provided, not the raw claims data. Since it is the provider, not the researcher,	It takes a very long time from application to provision of data. Additionally, if the data provided are not what was intended or is insufficient, it	There are currently only two onsite research centers in Japan, and the period of use is limited. Researchers are also required to

the protection of privacy, NDB	and tabulation, complex	will take the same amount of	analyze from vast amounts of
diagnostic codes and NDB drug	extractions are not supported.	time and effort to obtain	data.
codes with less than 0.1% of the		additional data.	
total numbers will be provided			
in anonymized form. Therefore,			
it is difficult to accurately			
evaluate very rare diseases and			
treatments using sampling data.			

This table is based on information published by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/12400000/000678470.pdf).