

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Association between recovery/occurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid estimated glomerular filtration rate decline in middle-aged and older populations: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2021-059504 |
| Article Type: | Original research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 23-Nov-2021 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Liu, Peijia; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Tang, Leile; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Cardiology Fang, Jia; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology Liu, Xun; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology |
| Keywords: | Chronic renal failure < NEPHROLOGY, Lipid disorders < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH |
| | |





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Association between recovery/occurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid

estimated glomerular filtration rate decline in middle-aged and older

populations: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal

Study

Peijia Liu,¹ Leile Tang,² Jia fang,¹ Xun Liu¹

1.Department of Nephrology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China;

2. Department of Cardiology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Correspondence to Dr Xun Liu; <u>naturestyle@163.com</u>; zip code: 510630

Keywords: chronic renal failure; lipid disorders; public health

Word count: 2924

Abstract

Objectives Few studies have explored correlations between metabolic syndrome (MetS) alterations and renal deterioration in longitudinal cohorts. We sought to address this knowledge gap by investigating associations between MetS recovery/development and rapid estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline in the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS).

Design longitudinal cohort study.

Setting This study is a secondary analysis of CHARLS.

Participants We analyzed 4142 participants \geq 45 years old from the CHARLS cohort.

Results According to baseline MetS status and follow-up, participants were divided into four groups: (1) 2460 (59.4%) in the MetS-free group, (2) 361 (8.7%) in the MetS-developed group, (3) 499 (12.0%) in the MetS recovery-group, and (4) 822 (19.8%) in the MetS-chronic group. When compared with the MetS-chronic group, the multivariable adjusted odds ratio (OR) of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-recovery group was 0.64 (OR: 0.64; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.45–0.90, P= 0.01). In contrast, when compared with the MetS-free group, the multivariable adjusted OR of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-developed group was 1.00 (OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.73–1.38, P = 0.98). In addition, recovery from central obesity was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline (OR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.15–0.65, P < 0.01).

Conclusions Over a 4-year follow-up period, we found that MetS recovery, including central obesity recovery, was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older adults, while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. Recovery from MetS appeared to protect against a rapid decline in eGFR. Further follow-up studies are required to identify the relationship between MetS alterations and adverse renal events.

Strength and limitation of this study

This study investigated the association between altered metabolic syndrome

status and rapid glomerular filtration rate decline in a nationwide cohort.

Metabolic syndrome scores were applied to evaluate the metabolic syndrome severity.

Blood tests related to metabolic syndrome and serum creatinine were performed only once.

Introduction

Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of clinical characteristics related to abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, elevated blood glucose (BG), and elevated blood pressure (BP) (1-3). As of 2017, there were approximately 1 billion individuals with MetS around the world, of which China accounted for 21.7% (4). In China, MetS prevalence has been undergoing a steady increase, concomitant with an increasingly aged population, an obesity epidemic, and increased diabetes and hypertension levels, which collectively pose a considerable threat to people's health and impose a heavy burden on healthcare systems (4-6).

While investigations of causality relationships between MetS and cardiovascular events have gained considerable traction in recent years (7-9), MetS also impacts the kidneys. It is accepted that the pathological mechanisms underpinning MetS mainly include insulin resistance, increased oxidative stress, and a chronic inflammatory state, which may lead to kidney degeneration and chronic kidney disease (CKD) development (5, 6). Previously, it was confirmed that MetS and associated components (abdominal obesity, elevated BG, elevated BP, and lipid metabolic disorder) are strongly related to CKD and a decreased estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (10-14). Several longitudinal studies reported that MetS and its components were associated with incremental rapid eGFR decline and CKD incidence (15-18). However, these studies failed to articulate the relationship between MetS alterations and renal function changes. This dearth of information on this subject warrants further study, especially within a Chinese population context.

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) is a prospective cohort study conducted by the National School of Development, Peking University, China (19). The nationwide sample assesses the social, behavioral, and health status

of individuals aged 45 and older (19). The CHARLS baseline survey was implemented in 2011 (Wave 1), and the samples were followed up every two years. Blood samples from populations were only collected in 2011 (Wave1) and 2015 (Wave 3). In the current study, we explored the relationship between MetS recovery/occurrence and rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older populations in the 4-year follow-up cohort.

Methods

Study population

CHARLS is a nationally representative longitudinal survey on the social, economic, and health status of Chinese citizens aged ≥ 45 and their spouses in the community (19). In total, 17,708 participants were registered at baseline (Wave 1), of which 11,847 had blood sample tests.

In this study, our exclusion criteria excluded participants with the following: 1) missing values; 2) without fasting blood values; 3) baseline eGFR < 60 ml/min/ $1.73m^2$; 4) clinician-reported malignant tumor, heart disease, stroke or kidney disease; 5) < 45 years old; and 6) no follow-up records and related blood examinations in Wave 3. After applying these criteria, 4142 participants were finally included. The participant screening process is outlined (Fig. 1).

The Medical Ethics Review Committee of Peking University approved this study. All participants provided written informed consent before participating. This study is a secondary analysis of a public dataset and does not require ethics approval again.

Blood examinations

At baseline (Wave 1), blood measurements and hemoglobin were assayed by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention of the local county, whereas other biochemical indicators were analyzed by Youanmen Center for Clinical Laboratory of Capital Medical University, Beijing, China. Serum creatinine (Scr) was measured by the picric acid method; blood urea nitrogen (BUN) was determined by an enzymatic UV method with urease; blood glucose (BG), total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and triglyceride (TG) were assayed by enzymatic colormetric tests; glycosylated hemoglobin (GHbA1c) was determined by high performance liquid chromatography; high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) was examined by immunoturbidimetric assay; and uric acid (UA) was determined by the UA plus method (20). Blood specimen testing in 2015 (Wave 3) was completed by KingMed Diagnostics, the leading third-party institution in China, which has testing laboratories in 27 provincial-level cities nationwide. GHbA1c, Scr, HDL, TG and BG were the required blood biomarkers from Wave 3. GHbA1c and Scr levels were determined by the same methods as Wave 1, while HDL was determined by a direct method, TG by an oxidase method, and BG by a hexokinase method (21). The collection, storage, transport, processing, and other blood sample details are described elsewhere (20, 21). Of note, the models and manufacturer information of blood test instruments in Wave 1 and Wave 3 were not available. All inspections and calibrations were performed by trained personnel.

Definition and grouping of MetS

According to the 2018 Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension definition, MetS is diagnosed when three of the following four conditions are met: 1) Central obesity: waist circumference (WC) \geq 90 cm in men and \geq 85 cm in women; 2) Elevated BP: systolic blood pressure (SBP) \geq 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) \geq 85 mmHg, or diagnosed as hypertension and treated; 3) Dyslipidemia: fasting TG \geq 150 mg/dL, or HDL \leq 40 mg/dL, or diagnosed as dyslipidemia and treated; and 4) Elevated BG: Fasting BG (FBG) \geq 100 mg/dL, or 2 h postprandial BG \geq 100 mg/dL, or diagnosed as diabetes and treated (2). Diabetes was defined as fasting BG \geq 126 mg/dL, and/or HbA1c \geq 6.5%, and/or a self-reported history of diabetes (22). Of note, we did not have 2 h postprandial BG data.

According to MetS baseline status and follow-up, participants were categorized into 1) MetS-free, 2) MetS-developed, 3) MetS-recovery, and 4) MetS-chronic groups.

MetS scores

MetS severity potentially affects the recovery/occurrence of MetS. Thus, individuals with high MetS severity may be less liable to recover. Similarly, for those without MetS, it is not straightforward to progress to severe MetS. Therefore, MetS

BMJ Open

scores were introduced to assess MetS severity (23, 24). These scores were calculated using principal component (PC) analysis of WC, mean arterial pressure (MAP), FBG, fasting TG, and the inverse HDL values. All MetS related variables were normalized by 0–1. According to the PC analysis results, PC1 and PC2 explained 38.9% and 20.9% of the variance, respectively. MetS scores were calculated as follows:

$$PC1 = 0.369 \times WC + 0.378 \times FBG + 0.585 \times TG + 0.562 \times \left(\frac{1}{HDL}\right) + 0.252 \times MAP,$$

$$PC2 = 0.503 \times WC - 0.171 \times FBG - 0.268 \times TG - 0.274 \times \left(\frac{1}{HDL}\right) + 0.755 \times MAP,$$

$$MetS \text{ score} = 0.389 \times PC1 + 0.209 \times PC2$$

Study outcomes

We calculated eGFR values using the 2012 Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation based on creatinine levels (25). A rapid eGFR decline was defined as an average annual eGFR decline of > 3 ml/min/1.73m² (16, 26). In this study, we defined a rapid eGFR decline as the eGFR in Wave 3 minus the eGFR in Wave 1, > 12 ml/min/1.73m².

Covariate assessments

Covariates included gender (male vs. female), age, marital status (married with spouse vs. others), education (illiterate, middle school and below, or high school and above), household per capita income, smoking (yes vs. no), drinking (yes vs. no), eGFR, grip strength, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), WC, SBP, DBP, MAP, depressive symptom (yes vs. no), episodic memory scores, self-reporting disease (hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia), and corresponding medication. We categorized eGFR into two groups: 60-89 and ≥ 90 . Grip strength was divided into three groups (T1, T2, and T3) according to the one-third percentile. BMI was calculated by weight (kg)/height squared (m²). The BP of each participant was measured three times every 45-60 s with the OmronTM HEM-7112 sphygmomanometer (Omron Co. LTD, Dalian, China) at rest. Both SBP and DBP were averaged from three measurements. MAP was defined as MAP = $1/3 \times SBP + 2/3 \times DBP$. Previous study demonstrated that depressive symptom was association with baseline eGFR (27). Thus, we should not overlook this variable. The 10-item

Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CESD-10) was applied in the study (28). A CESD-10 score \geq 10 was grouped into the depressive symptom group, and < 10 into the non-depressive symptom group. Self-reporting disease was disease diagnosed by a doctor. Medical interventions included taking Chinese traditional and Western modern medicines.

Statistical methods

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of continuous variables. Continuous variables were expressed by the median (interquartile range) and categorical variables by frequency (%). The Mann-Whitney U test was performed on continuous variables, and categorical variables between the rapid eGFR decline group and the non-rapid eGFR decline group were tested by the Chi-square test. In preliminary analyses, variables with P values < 0.15 were used to calibrate the logistic model. Continuous variables not presenting a linear relationship with the logit conversion value of the dependent variable were converted to categorical variables. Tolerance and variance inflation factors (VIFs) were used to test for collinearity. This existed if the tolerance was < 0.1 or the VIF was > 10. Eventually, age, sex, BMI, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification and MetS scores were selected as confounding variables for model adjustments in this study. Most selected covariates have been reported to be related to renal events (23, 29-32). Logistic models were used to test the association between MetS recovery/occurrence and eGFR rapid decline after adjusting for multiple confounding factors. Furthermore, logistic models were used to explore the relationship between the recovery/occurrence of central obesity, elevated BP, elevated BG, dyslipidemia (elevated TG and decreased HDL), and the rapid decline of eGFR using different adjustments of confounding factors. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (two-sided test). Statistics were generated in IBM SPSS 20.0 software (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) and StataMP 16 software (StataCorp, Texas, USA).

Patient and public involvement

There were no participants involved in the development. The results of the survey are disseminated to the public through websites.

Results

Participant characteristics

As shown (Fig. 1), 4142 participants were selected, including 2460 (59.4%) in the MetS-free group, 361 (8.7%) in the MetS-developed group, 499 (12.0%) in the MetS recovery-group, and 822 (19.8%) in the MetS-chronic group.

Participant characteristics were grouped by the eGFR decline rate (Table 1). A rapid decline in eGFR developed in 711 (17.2%) participants during the 4-year follow-up. The median age was 58 (52~64) years and males accounted for 42.5% at baseline. In contrast to rapid eGFR decline group, the non-rapid eGFR decline group was significantly higher with respect to FBG, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR, weight, BMI, WC, MetS scores, central obesity, and elevated BG (all P < 0.05). Those in non-rapid eGFR decline group were more likely to be female and younger when compared with the eGFR decline group (both P < 0.05).

Rapid eGFR decline risk based on Mets recovery or occurrence

As shown (Table 2), after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS scores, the odds ratio (OR) of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-recovery group was 0.64 (OR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.45–0.90, P = 0.01) when compared with the MetS-chronic group. In contrast, after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, serum creatinine, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS score, the OR of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-developed group was 1.00 (OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.73–1.38, P = 0.98) when compared with the MetS-free group.

MetS components and rapid eGFR decline risk

The association of changes in the composition of MetS groups with rapid eGFR decline is shown (Table 3). In the baseline MetS population, after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, serum creatinine, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS score, the OR of rapid eGFR decline in the population recovered from central obesity was 0.31 (OR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.15–0.65, P < 0.01) when compared with chronic central obesity, whereas recovery from elevated BP, dyslipidemia, and elevated BG did not show statistically significant differences when

compared with the corresponding population (all P > 0.05). In the baseline population without MetS, we observed no statistical difference in the rapid decline of eGFR between the occurrence of all MetS component groups and corresponding contrast groups (all P > 0.05). This was consistent with the overall trend.

Discussion

We examined the relationship between MetS changes and rapid eGFR decline in a large nationwide cohort. At the 4-year follow-up, MetS recovery was significantly associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in the middle-aged and elderly, with only WC recovery consistent with the overall trend. The occurrence of MetS and its components did not significantly increase the risk of rapid eGFR decline. Further follow-up is required to elucidate the relationship between MetS dynamics and the rapid decline in eGFR.

Longitudinal cohort studies in several Asian countries concluded that MetS increased the risk of CKD, although follow-up times variedy from study to study (15-18, 33). However, the effect of MetS on the rapid decline of eGFR remains controversial. In a 3-year cohort, Cheng et al. found no significant correlations between MetS and eGFR rapid decline in the elderly (33). However, other studies reported that MetS was associated with a decline in eGFR and even acted as an independent predictor of eGFR decline (16-18). We noted that none of the aforementioned studies accounted for the MetS status of participants during follow-up periods. Park et al. explored the relationship between MetS status change and CKD events and concluded that MetS recovery was associated with a decreased risk of CKD incidence, but the occurrence of MetS increased the risk of CKD incidence (34). Park et al. did not discuss the association with the rapid eGFR decline. In this study, we concluded that MetS recovery was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline, while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. Studies exploring the relationship between MetS dynamic changes and the rapid decline of eGFR in the Chinese population are rare. Our investigation of the relationship between MetS recovery/occurrence and eGFR rapid decline in a large nationwide cohort may support renal function management in individuals with MetS.

BMJ Open

The effect of MetS on renal function is complex, thus, no definitive mechanisms can explain our study observations. The evidence suggests that every component of MetS is associated with adverse renal events (10-14). It is accepted that hypertension and diabetes play pivotal roles in CKD development and progression (35-37). Also, lipid metabolism dysregulation and abnormal lipid distribution can lead to lipotoxicity-related renal damage (38, 39). Thus, MetS may result from the combined effects of central obesity, increased BP, insulin resistance, and blood lipid disorder, leading to physiopathological lipotoxicity, oxidative stress increments, endothelial dysfunction, elevated inflammation, and apoptosis, which would contribute to kidney dysfunction (5, 38). However, the relationship between MetS components and the weight of each factor on kidney injury remain unclear.

Our study had some limitations. Firstly, MetS diagnoses were not comprehensively checked (using multiple tests). Secondly, CKD occurrence was not included as a study outcome. Also, urine test results were unavailable for this cohort, thus, we may have underestimated the CKD incidence. Thirdly, blood analyses from Wave 1 and Wave 3 were performed at a different testing center, with inconsistent HDL, TG, and BG measurement methods, therefore, measurement errors may have occurred. Fourthly, a large proportion of individuals with missing values were excluded and this may have biased some of our results.

Conclusions

Over a 4-year follow-up, we observed that MetS recovery, including recovery of central obesity, was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older adults, while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. Further follow-up studies are required to observe the relationship between MetS alterations and adverse renal events.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) team for providing the data.

Contributors PL, LT and JF—analysis and interpretation of data and preparation of the manuscript.XL—study concept and design, and preparation and critical review of

the manuscript. All authors have approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by Guangzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau (202002020047).

Competing interests All authors declared no competing interests.

Data availability statement CHARLS data of the study will be available to investigators at the CHARLS website (http://charls.pku.edu.cn/en).

References

1. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. The metabolic syndrome--a new worldwide definition. Lancet (London, England). 2005;366(9491):1059-62.

2. 2018 Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension-A report of the Revision Committee of Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension. Journal of geriatric cardiology : JGC. 2019;16(3):182-241.

3. Huang PL. A comprehensive definition for metabolic syndrome. Disease models & mechanisms. 2009;2(5-6):231-7.

4. Saklayen MG. The Global Epidemic of the Metabolic Syndrome. Current hypertension reports. 2018;20(2):12.

5. McCracken E, Monaghan M, Sreenivasan S. Pathophysiology of the metabolic syndrome. Clinics in dermatology. 2018;36(1):14-20.

6. Zhang X, Lerman LO. The metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease. Translational research : the journal of laboratory and clinical medicine. 2017;183:14-25.

7. Lee EY, Han K, Kim DH, Park YM, Kwon HS, Yoon KH, et al. Exposure-weighted scoring for metabolic syndrome and the risk of myocardial infarction and stroke: a nationwide population-based study. Cardiovascular diabetology. 2020;19(1):153.

8. Guembe MJ, Fernandez-Lazaro Cl, Sayon-Orea C, Toledo E, Moreno-Iribas C. Risk for cardiovascular disease associated with metabolic syndrome and its components: a 13-year prospective study in the RIVANA cohort. Cardiovascular diabetology. 2020;19(1):195.

9. Hoang K, Zhao Y, Gardin JM, Carnethon M, Mukamal K, Yanez D, et al. LV Mass as a Predictor of CVD Events in Older Adults With and Without Metabolic Syndrome and Diabetes. JACC Cardiovascular imaging. 2015;8(9):1007-15.

10. Xie K, Bao L, Jiang X, Ye Z, Bing J, Dong Y, et al. The association of metabolic syndrome components and chronic kidney disease in patients with hypertension. Lipids Health Dis. 2019;18(1):229.

11. Viazzi F, Piscitelli P, Giorda C, Ceriello A, Genovese S, Russo G, et al. Metabolic syndrome, serum uric acid and renal risk in patients with T2D. PloS one. 2017;12(4):e0176058.

12. Chen J, Kong X, Jia X, Li W, Wang Z, Cui M, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease in a Chinese urban population. Clin Chim Acta. 2017;470:103-8.

13. Thomas G, Sehgal AR, Kashyap SR, Srinivas TR, Kirwan JP, Navaneethan SD. Metabolic syndrome and kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology : CJASN. 2011;6(10):2364-73.

14. Chang IH, Han JH, Myung SC, Kwak KW, Kim TH, Park SW, et al. Association between metabolic

BMJ Open

| 2 | |
|----|--|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| / | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 10 | |
| 17 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 25 | |
| 20 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 22 | |
| 22 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 20 | |
| 29 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| Δ5 | |
| 75 | |
| 40 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 57 | |
| 52 | |
| 23 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 50 | |
| 29 | |
| 60 | |

syndrome and chronic kidney disease in the Korean population. Nephrology (Carlton, Vic). 2009;14(3):321-6.

15. Hu Y, Shi LX, Zhang Q, Peng NC. Increased Risk of Chronic Kidney Diseases in Patients with Metabolic Syndrome: A 3-year Prospective Cohort Study. Current medical science. 2019;39(2):204-10.

16. Huh JH, Yadav D, Kim JS, Son JW, Choi E, Kim SH, et al. An association of metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease from a 10-year prospective cohort study. Metabolism: clinical and experimental. 2017;67:54-61.

17. Hayashi K, Takayama M, Abe T, Kanda T, Hirose H, Shimizu-Hirota R, et al. Investigation of Metabolic Factors Associated with eGFR Decline Over 1 Year in a Japanese Population without CKD. Journal of atherosclerosis and thrombosis. 2017;24(8):863-75.

18. Kawamoto R, Akase T, Ninomiya D, Kumagi T, Kikuchi A. Metabolic syndrome is a predictor of decreased renal function among community-dwelling middle-aged and elderly Japanese. International urology and nephrology. 2019;51(12):2285-94.

19. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). Int J Epidemiol. 2014;43(1):61-8.

20. Zhao Y, Crimmins E, Hu P, Hu Y, Ge T, Kim J, et al. ChinaHealth and Retirement Longitudinal Study: 2011–2012 National BaselineUsers' Guide. Beijing, China: National School of Development, Peking University. 2013.

21. Chen X, Crimmins E, Hu PP, Kim JK, Meng Q, Strauss J, et al. Venous Blood-Based Biomarkers in the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study: Rationale, Design, and Results From the 2015 Wave. American journal of epidemiology. 2019;188(11):1871-7.

22. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(Suppl 1):S15-s33.

23. Wu M, Shu Y, Wang L, Song L, Chen S, Liu Y, et al. Metabolic syndrome severity score and the progression of CKD. European journal of clinical investigation. 2021:e13646.

24. Wijndaele K, Beunen G, Duvigneaud N, Matton L, Duquet W, Thomis M, et al. A continuous metabolic syndrome risk score: utility for epidemiological analyses. Diabetes Care. 2006;29(10):2329.

25. Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, Eckfeldt JH, Feldman HI, Greene T, et al. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. The New England journal of medicine. 2012;367(1):20-9.

26. Lindeman RD, Tobin J, Shock NW. Longitudinal studies on the rate of decline in renal function with age. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 1985;33(4):278-85.

27. Jia F, Li X, Liu F, Shi X, Liu H, Cao F. Association of renal function and depressive symptoms: Evidence from the China health and retirement longitudinal study. Journal of psychosomatic research. 2020;137:110224.

28. Irwin M, Artin KH, Oxman MN. Screening for depression in the older adult: criterion validity of the 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Archives of internal medicine. 1999;159(15):1701-4.

29. Ma X, Zhang C, Su H, Gong X, Kong X. Increasing Body Mass Index Predicts Rapid Decline in Renal Function: A 5 Year Retrospective Study. Hormone and metabolic research = Hormon- und Stoffwechselforschung = Hormones et metabolisme. 2018;50(7):556-61.

30. Meguro S, Tomita M, Kabeya Y, Katsuki T, Oikawa Y, Shimada A, et al. Factors Associated with the Decline of Kidney Function Differ among eGFR Strata in Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. International journal of endocrinology. 2012;2012:687867.

31. Deicher R, Hörl WH. Anaemia as a risk factor for the progression of chronic kidney disease. Current opinion in nephrology and hypertension. 2003;12(2):139-43.

32. Young BA, Katz R, Boulware LE, Kestenbaum B, de Boer IH, Wang W, et al. Risk Factors for Rapid Kidney Function Decline Among African Americans: The Jackson Heart Study (JHS). Am J Kidney Dis. 2016;68(2):229-39.

33. Cheng HT, Huang JW, Chiang CK, Yen CJ, Hung KY, Wu KD. Metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance as risk factors for development of chronic kidney disease and rapid decline in renal function in elderly. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2012;97(4):1268-76.

34. Park S, Lee S, Kim Y, Lee Y, Kang MW, Han K, et al. Reduced risk for chronic kidney disease after recovery from metabolic syndrome: A nationwide population-based study. Kidney research and clinical practice. 2020;39(2):180-91.

35. Webster AC, Nagler EV, Morton RL, Masson P. Chronic Kidney Disease. Lancet (London, England). 2017;389(10075):1238-52.

36. Tonneijck L, Muskiet MH, Smits MM, van Bommel EJ, Heerspink HJ, van Raalte DH, et al. Glomerular Hyperfiltration in Diabetes: Mechanisms, Clinical Significance, and Treatment. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017;28(4):1023-39.

37. Ruiz-Ortega M, Rayego-Mateos S, Lamas S, Ortiz A, Rodrigues-Diez RR. Targeting the progression of chronic kidney disease. Nature reviews Nephrology. 2020;16(5):269-88.

38. Kim Y, Park CW. Can management of the components of metabolic syndrome modify the course of chronic kidney disease? Kidney research and clinical practice. 2020;39(2):118-20.

39. D'Agati VD, Chagnac A, de Vries AP, Levi M, Porrini E, Herman-Edelstein M, et al. Obesity-related glomerulopathy: clinical and pathologic characteristics and pathogenesis. Nature reviews Nephrology. 2016;12(8):453-71.

| | Overall | Rapid eGFR decline | Non-rapid eGFR decline | D .1 . |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Characteristics | (n = 4142) | (<i>n</i> = 711) | (n = 3431) | P value |
| Male [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1874 (45.2) | 351 (49.4) | 1523 (44.4) | 0.02 |
| Age (years) | 58 (52~64) | 59 (52~66) | 58 (52~64) | 0.02 |
| Married with spouse $[n (\%)]$ | 3548 (87.5) | 610 (85.8) | 2938 (85.6) | 0.91 |
| Education | | | | |
| Illiterate [n (%)] | 1206 (29.1) | 191 (26.9) | 1015 (29.6) | |
| Middle school and below $[n (\%)]$ | 1770 (41.2) | 309 (43.5) | 1398 (40.7) | 0.28 |
| High school and above $[n (\%)]$ | 1229 (29.7) | 211 (29.7) | 1018 (29.7) | |
| Household per capita income (yuan) | 6461.0 | 6000.0 | 6560.0 | 0.20 |
| M (P25~P75) | (2336.7~13487.5) | (1866.7~13490.0) | (2450.0~13486.7) | |
| Drink [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1470 (32.2) | 234 (32.9) | 1173 (34.2) | 0.51 |
| Smoke [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1567 (37.8) | 272 (38.3) | 1295 (37.7) | 0.80 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 15.0 (12.5~17.8) | 15.1 (12.6~18.2) | 15.0 (12.5~17.7) | 0.18 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dl) | 102.4 (94.9~111.2) | 100.6 (93.4~109.8) | 102.4 (95.2~111.4) | 0.001 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)) | 0.7 (0.6~0.8) | 0.7 (0.6~0.8) | 0.8 (0.6~0.9) | < 0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 190.6 (168.6~215.8) | 189.8 (164.7~215.3) | 190.6 (169.3~216.1) | 0.20 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | 105.3 (74.3~148.7) | 101.8 (71.7~146) | 106.2 (74.3~148.7) | 0.23 |

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants between rapid eGFR decline group and non-rapid eGFR decline group

| BMJ | Oper |
|-----|------|
| | |

| † 17 | | BMJ | Open | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/ | dl) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 49.5 (41.0~59.9) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | | 1.0 (0.5~2.0) | 1.0 (0.6~2) | 1.0 (0.5~2.0) |
| GHbA1c (%) | | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) |
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) | | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.0 (12.8~15.1) | 14.3 (13.1~15.5) |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m | 2) | 95.9 (86.4~102.9) | 97.0 (88.3~106.1) | 95.6 (85.9~102.4) |
| eGFR group | | | | |
| 60~89 ml/min/1.73m ² | [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1368 (33.0) | 209 (29.4) | 1158 (33.8) |
| 90~ ml/min/1.73m ² | [n (%)] | 2774 (67.0) | 502 (70.6) | 2272 (66.2) |
| Grip strength (kg) | / . | 29.3 (23.8~36.5) | 29.5 (24.9~36.2) | 29.3 (23.5~36.7) |
| Grip strength group | | | | |
| T1 [<i>n</i> (%)] | | 1386 (33.5) | 209 (29.4) | 1177 (34.3) |
| T2 $[n (\%)]$ | | 1387 (33.5) | 268 (37.7) | 1199 (32.6) |
| T3 [n (%)] | | 1369 (33.1) | 234 (32.9) | 1135 (33.1) |
| Height (cm) | | 157.7 (152.0~163.8) | 157.9 (152.0~163.7) | 157.6 (152.0~163.9) |
| Weight (kg) | | 58 (51.3~65.5) | 57.1 (50.8~65.1) | 58.2 (51.4~65.7) |
| Body mass index (kg/ | m ²) | 23.2 (21~25.7) | 22.9 (20.8~25.4) | 23.3 (21.1~25.8) |
| Waist circumference | cm) | 84.4 (78.0~92.0) | 83.6 (77.0~90.2) | 84.8 (78.1~92.0) |
| Systolic blood pressu | e (mmHg) | 127 (114~141) | 128 (114~142) | 127 (114~141) |
| Diastolic blood pressu | re (mmHg) | 75 (67~83) | 74 (66~83) | 75 (67~83) |
| Mean arterial pressure | e (mmHg) | 92 (83~102) | 92 (83~103) | 92 (84~102) |
| Depression symptom | [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1904 (46.0) | 319 (44.9) | 1585 (46.2) |
| Self-report hypertensi | on [<i>n</i> (%)] | 887 (21.4) | 157 (22.1) | 730 (21.3) |
| Self-report dyslipiden | nia [n (%)] | 333 (8.0) | 58 (8.2) | 275 (8.0) |
| Self-report diabetes of | HBG [<i>n</i> (%)] | 191 (4.6) | 28 (3.9) | 163 (4.8) |
| Antihypertensive ther | apy [<i>n</i> (%)] | 664 (16.0) | 119 (16.7) | 545 (19.5) |
| Lipid-lowering therap | y [<i>n</i> (%)] | 187 (4.5) | 37 (5.2) | 150 (4.4) |
| Hypoglycemic therap | y [n (%)] | 127 (3.1) | 19 (2.7) | 108 (3.1) |
| Metabolic syndrome [| n (%)] | 1321 (31.9) | 207 (29.1) | 1114 (32.5) |
| MetS scores | | -0.1 (-0.4~0.3) | -0.1 (-0.5~0.3) | 0 (-0.4~0.3) |
| Metabolic syndrome | components | | | |
| Central obesity [n (| %)] | 1726 (41.7) | 264 (37.1) | 1462 (42.6) |
| Elevated blood pres | ssure [n (%)] | 2099 (50.7) | 368 (51.8) | 1731 (50.5) |
| Dyslipidemia [n (% |)] | 1595 (38.5) | 278 (39.1) | 1317 (38.4) |
| Elevated blood glue | cose [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2456 (59.3) | 383 (53.9) | 2073 (60.4) |
| Baseline non-MetS gr | oup | | | |
| MetS-free [<i>n</i> (%)] | | 2460 (59.4) | 444 (62.4) | 2016 (58.8) |
| MetS-developed [n | (%)] | 361 (8.7) | 60 (8.4) | 301 (8.8) |
| Baseline Mets group | | | | |
| MetS-recovery [n (| %)] | 499 (12.0) | 64 (9.0) | 435 (12.7) |
| MetS-chronic [n (% | b)] | 822 (19.8) | 143 (20.1) | 679 (19.8) |
| | | . / | . / | |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Data are n (%) or median (interquartile range); HDL: high density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; hs-CRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; HBG: high blood glucose; MetS: metabolic syndrome; grip strength is divided into T1, T2 and T3 groups by one-third percentile.

| | Model | 1 | Model 2 | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|--|
| | Adjusted OR | P value | Adjusted OR P value | | |
| | (95% CI) | | (95% CI) | | |
| Baseline MetS groups | | | | | |
| MetS-chronic | ref | | ref | | |
| MetS-recovery | 0.68 (0.50-0.95) | 0.68 (0.50-0.95) 0.02 | | 0.01 | |
| Baseline non-MetS groups | | | | | |
| MetS-free | ref | | ref | | |
| MetS-developed | 0.93 (0.69-1.25) | 0.64 | 1.00 (0.73-1.38) | 0.98 | |

Model 1: additional adjusted for age and sex.

Model 2: additional adjusted for age, sex, serum creatinine, eGFR classification, grip strength classification;

hemoglobin; MetS scores and body mass index.

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; MetS: metabolic syndrome.

| Table 3. M | ultivariate | logistic | regression | of rapi | d eGFR | decline | between | study | groups | according | the |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-------|--------|-----------|-----|
| changes of N | MetS compo | onents | | | | | | | | | |

| | Model 1 | | Model 2 | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Adjusted OR | P value | Adjusted OR | P value |
| | (95% CI) | | (95% CI) | |
| Recovered components in baseli | ne MetS groups (chronic | MetS compone | nts as reference) | |
| Central obesity | 0.29 (0.15-0.59) | 0.01 | 0.31 (0.15-0.65) | < 0.01 |
| Elevated blood pressure | 0.80 (0.50-1.26) | 0.33 | 0.79 (0.49-1.27) | 0.33 |
| Elevated SBP | 0.89 (0.61-1.31) | 0.56 | 0.88 (0.59-1.30) | 0.51 |
| Elevated DBP | 0.75 (0.46-1.23) | 0.26 | 0.68 (0.41-1.15) | 0.15 |
| Dyslipidemia | 1.09 (0.82-1.44) | 0.57 | 1.05 (0.78-1.40) | 0.77 |
| Elevated TG | 1.22 (0.87-1.72) | 0.26 | 1.14 (0.79-1.36) | 0.50 |
| Decreased HDL | 0.84 (0.59-1.12) | 0.32 | 0.85 (0.59-1.22) | 0.38 |
| Elevated blood glucose | 1.08 (0.87-1.34) | 0.49 | 1.08 (0.86-1.36) | 0.52 |
| Elevated fasting glucose | 1.14 (0.91-1.43) | 0.25 | 1.13 (0.89-1.43) | 0.32 |
| Developed components in baseli | ine non-MetS groups (free | e MetS compon | ents as reference) | |
| Central obesity | 1.21 (0.92-1.59) | 0.16 | 1.32 (0.97-1.77) | 0.74 |
| Elevated blood pressure | 0.84 (0.63-1.13) | 0.26 | 0.87 (0.64-1.18) | 0.37 |
| Elevated SBP | 0.88 (0.66-1.17) | 0.37 | 0.92 (0.68-1.23) | 0.56 |
| Elevated DBP | 0.88 (0.62-1.24) | 0.46 | 0.91 (0.63-1.30) | 0.59 |
| Dyslipidemia | 0.92 (0.69-1.22) | 0.54 | 0.96 (0.72-1.30) | 0.81 |
| Elevated TG | 0.93 (0.70-1.25) | 0.64 | 1.02 (0.75-1.37) | 0.91 |
| Decreased HDL | 1.02 (0.65-1.59) | 0.95 | 0.97 (0.61-1.55) | 0.91 |
| Elevated blood glucose | 1.07 (0.76-1.50) | 0.71 | 1.07 (0.75-1.52) | 0.71 |
| Elevated fasting glucose | 1.06 (0.74-1.51) | 0.76 | 1.09 (0.76-1.57) | 0.64 |

| Model | 1: additional adjusted for age and sex. |
|-------|---|
| Model | 2: additional adjusted for age, sex, serum creatinine, eGFR classification, grip strength classification; |
| hemog | obin; MetS score and body mass index. |
| eGFR: | estimated glomerular filtration rate; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; MetS: metabolic syndrom |
| P: s | ystolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high density lipoprotein |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



BMJ Open

Association between recovery/occurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid estimated glomerular filtration rate decline in middle-aged and older populations: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2021-059504.R1 |
| Article Type: | Original research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 20-Apr-2022 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Liu, Peijia; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Tang, Leile; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Cardiology Fang, Jia; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology Chen, Chaojin; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University Liu, Xun; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Nutrition and metabolism |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Urology, Diabetes and endocrinology, Public health |
| Keywords: | Chronic renal failure < NEPHROLOGY, Lipid disorders < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH |
| | |

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

review only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| 2 | | |
|----------------|----|--|
| 5 4 | 1 | Association between recovery/occurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid |
| 5 6 | 2 | estimated glomerular filtration rate decline in middle-aged and older |
| 7 | 2 | |
| 8 9 | 3 | populations: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal |
| 10 | 4 | Study |
| 11 12 | 5 | Peijia Liu, ¹ Leile Tang, ² Jia fang, ¹ Chaojin Chen, ^{3*} Xun Liu ^{1*} |
| 13 14 | 6 | ¹ Department of Nephrology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 15 16 | 7 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; |
| 17 18 | 8 | ² Department of Cardiology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 19 20 | 9 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China |
| 21 22 | 10 | ³ Department of Anesthesiology, Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, |
| 23 24 | 11 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China |
| 25 26 27 | 12 | |
| 27 28 20 | 13 | *Correspondence to |
| 29 30 21 | 14 | Dr Xun Liu; |
| 32 32 | 15 | naturestyle@163.com; |
| 33 34 35 | 16 | Department of Nephrology, |
| 36 37 | 17 | The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 38 39 | 18 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510630, China |
| 40 41 | 19 | & |
| 42 43 | 20 | Dr Chaojin Chen; |
| 44 45 | 21 | chenchj28@mail.sysu.edu.cn; |
| 46 47 | 22 | Department of Anesthesiology, |
| 48 49 | 23 | The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 50 51 | 24 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510630, China |
| 52 53 | | |
| 55 54 | | |
| 55 | | |
| 20 | | |

25 Abstract 26 Objectives We sought to address this knowledge gap by investigating associations 27 between metabolic syndrome (MetS) recovery/development and rapid estimated

between metabolic syndrome (MetS) recovery/development and rapid estimated
glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline in the China Health and Retirement
Longitudinal Study (CHARLS).

Design Longitudinal cohort study.

Setting This study is a secondary analysis of CHARLS.

32 Participants After excluding individuals with age < 45 years old, eGFR < 60
33 ml/min/1.73m² and clinician-reported malignant tumor, heart disease, stroke or kidney
34 disease at baseline, 4142 participants with complete data were selected from the
35 CHARLS during the 4-year follow-up.

Outcome measures MetS were measured at both the beginning and the end of the 37 4-year follow-up. A rapid eGFR decline was defined as an average annual eGFR 38 decline of > 3 ml/min/1.73m². The associations between rapid eGFR decline and 39 MetS recovery/development were analyzed using multivariable adjusted logistic 40 models.

Results According to MetS baseline status and follow-up, participants were divided into four groups: (1) 2460 (59.4%) in the MetS-free group, (2) 361 (8.7%) in the MetS-developed group, (3) 499 (12.0%) in the MetS recovery-group, and (4) 822 (19.8%) in the MetS-chronic group. When compared with the MetS-chronic group, the multivariable adjusted odds ratio (OR) of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-recovery group was 0.64 (OR: 0.64; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.45–0.90, P = 0.01). In contrast, when compared with the MetS-free group, the multivariable adjusted OR of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-developed group was 1.00 (OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.73–1.38, *P* = 0.98).

Conclusions Over a 4-year follow-up period, we found that MetS recovery was 51 associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older adults, 52 while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. Recovery from MetS

53 appeared to protect against a rapid decline in eGFR.

54 Keywords: chronic renal failure; lipid disorders; public health;

56 Strength and limitation of this study

57 This study investigated the association between altered metabolic syndrome 58 status and rapid glomerular filtration rate decline in a nationwide cohort.

59 Metabolic syndrome scores were applied to evaluate the metabolic syndrome60 severity.

Blood tests related to metabolic syndrome and serum creatinine were performed
only once.

63 Introduction

Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of clinical characteristics related to abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, elevated blood glucose (BG), and elevated blood pressure (BP) ¹⁻³. As of 2017, there were approximately 1 billion individuals with MetS around the world, of which China accounted for 21.7%⁴. In China, MetS prevalence has been undergoing a steady increase, concomitant with an increasingly aged population, an obesity epidemic, and increased diabetes and hypertension levels, which collectively pose a considerable threat to people's health and impose a heavy burden on healthcare systems ⁴⁻⁶.

While investigations of causality relationships between MetS and cardiovascular events have gained considerable traction in recent years ⁷⁻⁹, MetS also impacts the kidneys. It is accepted that the pathological mechanisms underpinning MetS mainly include insulin resistance, increased oxidative stress, and a chronic inflammatory state, which may lead to kidney degeneration and chronic kidney disease (CKD) development ⁵ ⁶. Previously, it was confirmed that MetS and associated components (abdominal obesity, elevated BG, elevated BP, and lipid metabolic disorder) are strongly related to CKD and a decreased estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ¹⁰⁻¹⁴. Several longitudinal studies reported that MetS and its components were associated with incremental rapid eGFR decline and CKD incidence ¹⁵⁻¹⁸. However, these studies failed to articulate the relationship between MetS alterations and renal function changes. This dearth of information on this subject warrants further study, especially within a Chinese population context.

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) is a prospective cohort study conducted by the National School of Development, Peking University, China ¹⁹. The nationwide sample assesses the social, behavioral, and health status of individuals aged 45 and older ¹⁹. The CHARLS baseline survey was implemented in 2011 (Wave 1), and the samples were followed up every two years. Blood samples from populations were only collected in 2011 (Wave1) and 2015 (Wave 3). In the current study, we explored the relationship between MetS recovery/occurrence and
rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older populations in the 4-year follow-up
cohort.

94 Methods

95 Study population

96 CHARLS is a nationally representative longitudinal survey on the social, 97 economic, and health status of Chinese citizens aged \geq 45 and their spouses in the 98 community ¹⁹. In total, 17,708 participants were registered at baseline (Wave 1), of 99 which 11,847 had blood sample tests.

In this study, our exclusion criteria excluded participants with the following: 1) missing values; 2) without fasting blood values; 3) baseline eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73m²; 4) clinician-reported malignant tumor, heart disease, stroke or kidney disease; 5) < 45 years old; and 6) no follow-up records and related blood examinations in Wave 3. After applying these criteria, 4142 participants were finally included. The participant screening process is outlined (Fig. 1).

106 The Medical Ethics Review Committee of Peking University approved this study.
107 All participants provided written informed consent before participating. This study is
108 a secondary analysis of a public dataset and does not require ethics approval again.

Blood examinations

At baseline (Wave 1), blood measurements and hemoglobin were assayed by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention of the local county, whereas other biochemical indicators were analyzed by Youanmen Center for Clinical Laboratory of Capital Medical University, Beijing, China. Serum creatinine (Scr) was measured by the picric acid method; blood urea nitrogen (BUN) was determined by an enzymatic UV method with urease; blood glucose (BG), total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and triglyceride (TG) were assaved by enzymatic colormetric tests; glycosylated hemoglobin (GHbA1c) was determined by high performance liquid chromatography; high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) was

examined by immunoturbidimetric assay; and uric acid (UA) was determined by the UA plus method ²⁰. Blood specimen testing in 2015 (Wave 3) was completed by KingMed Diagnostics, the leading third-party institution in China, which has testing laboratories in 27 provincial-level cities nationwide. GHbA1c, Scr, HDL, TG and BG were the required blood biomarkers from Wave 3. GHbA1c and Scr levels were determined by the same methods as Wave 1, while HDL was determined by a direct method, TG by an oxidase method, and BG by a hexokinase method ²¹. The collection, storage, transport, processing, and other blood sample details are described elsewhere ^{20 21}. Of note, the models and manufacturer information of blood test instruments in Wave 1 and Wave 3 were not available. All inspections and calibrations were performed by trained personnel.

130 D

Definition and grouping of MetS

Currently, there was no unified definition for MetS. The World Health Origination (WHO) diagnostic criteria proposed in 1999, the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Panel III (ATP III) diagnostic criteria proposed in 2005, and International Diabetes Federation (IDF) diagnostic criteria proposed in 2006 were commonly used for metabolic syndrome ²². These diagnostic criteria basically related to abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, glucose metabolism disorder, and elevated blood pressure. However, these diagnostic criteria had different views and cut-off values for some specific indicators. This study adopted the 2018 China Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension (CGPTH) definition for MetS, which was similar to the ATP III diagnostic criteria². Compared with ATP III diagnostic criteria, the cut points of waist circumference defined by CGPTH were smaller and more suitable for the Chinese population. According to the 2018 CGPTH definition, MetS was diagnosed when three of the following four conditions were met: 1) Central obesity: waist circumference (WC) \geq 90 cm in men and \geq 85 cm in women; 2) Elevated BP: systolic blood pressure (SBP) \geq 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure $(DBP) \ge 85$ mmHg, or diagnosed as hypertension and treated; 3) Dyslipidemia:

147fasting TG \geq 150 mg/dL, or HDL \leq 40 mg/dL, or diagnosed as dyslipidemia and148treated; and 4) Elevated BG: Fasting BG (FBG) \geq 100 mg/dL, or 2 h postprandial BG149 \geq 100 mg/dL, or diagnosed as diabetes and treated ². Diabetes was defined as fasting150BG \geq 126 mg/dL, and/or HbA1c \geq 6.5%, and/or a self-reported history of diabetes ²³.151Of note, we did not have 2 h postprandial BG data.

According to MetS baseline status and follow-up, participants were categorized into 1) MetS-free, 2) MetS-developed, 3) MetS-recovery, and 4) MetS-chronic groups.

155 Study outcomes

We calculated eGFR values using the 2012 Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation based on creatinine levels ²⁴. A rapid eGFR decline was defined as an average annual eGFR decline of > 3 ml/min/1.73m² ¹⁶ ²⁵. In this study, we defined a rapid eGFR decline as the eGFR in Wave 3 minus the eGFR in Wave 1, > 12 ml/min/1.73m².

161 MetS scores

MetS severity potentially affects the recovery or occurrence of MetS. For instance, individuals with high MetS severity may be less liable to recover. Similarly, for those without MetS, it is not straightforward to progress to severe MetS. Therefore, MetS scores were introduced to assess MetS severity in the study, which was thought to be more sufficient and accurate than other ways using the number of symptoms and complications to reflect MetS severity ²⁶ ²⁷. These scores were calculated using principal component (PC) analysis of WC, mean arterial pressure (MAP), FBG, fasting TG, and the inverse HDL values. All MetS related variables were normalized by 0-1. According to the PC analysis results, PC1 and PC2 explained 38.9% and 20.9% of the variance, respectively. MetS scores were calculated as follows:

 $PC1 = 0.369 \times WC + 0.378 \times FBG + 0.585 \times TG + 0.562 \times \left(\frac{1}{HDL}\right) + 0.252 \times MAP,$

BMJ Open

Other covariates

All potential covariates were all collected at baseline in Wave 1, including gender (male vs. female), age, marital status (married with spouse vs. others), education (illiterate, middle school and below, or high school and above), household per capita income, smoking (yes vs. no), drinking (yes vs. no), eGFR, grip strength, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), WC, SBP, DBP, MAP, depressive symptom (yes vs. no), self-reporting disease (hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia and), and corresponding medication. We categorized eGFR into two groups: 60-89 and ≥ 90 . Grip strength was divided into three groups (T1, T2, and T3) according to the one-third percentile. BMI was calculated by weight (kg)/height squared (m²). The BP of each participant was measured three times every 45-60 s with the OmronTM HEM-7112 sphygmomanometer (Omron Co. LTD, Dalian, China) at rest. Both SBP and DBP were averaged from three measurements. MAP was defined as MAP = $1/3 \times$ SBP + $2/3 \times$ DBP. Previous study demonstrated that depressive symptom was association with baseline eGFR²⁸. Thus, we should not overlook this variable. The 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CESD-10) was applied in the study ²⁹. A CESD-10 score \geq 10 was grouped into the depressive symptom group, and < 10 into the non-depressive symptom group. Self-reporting disease was disease diagnosed by a doctor. Medical interventions included taking Chinese traditional and Western modern medicines.

196 Statistical methods

197 The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of continuous 198 variables. Continuous variables were expressed by the median (interquartile range) 199 and categorical variables by frequency (%). The Mann-Whitney U test was performed 200 on continuous variables, and categorical variables between the rapid eGFR decline 201 group and the non-rapid eGFR decline group were tested by the Chi-square test. In

preliminary analyses, variables with P values < 0.15 were used to calibrate the logistic model. Continuous variables not presenting a linear relationship with the logit conversion value of the dependent variable were converted to categorical variables. Tolerance and variance inflation factors (VIFs) were used to test for collinearity. This existed if the tolerance was < 0.1 or the VIF was > 10. Eventually, age, sex, BMI, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification and MetS scores were selected as confounding variables for model adjustments in this study. Most selected covariates have been reported to be related to renal events ^{26 30-33}. Univariate analysis of variables between eGFR decline group and non-rapid eGFR decline group were carried out. Logistic models were used to test the association between MetS recovery/occurrence and eGFR rapid decline after adjusting for multiple confounding factors. Furthermore, alterations in MetS status were accompanied by changes of diagnostic conditions (elevated blood glucose, elevated blood pressure, central obesity and dyslipidemia). As a result, logistic models were used to explore the relationship between the recovery/occurrence of Mets components and the rapid decline of eGFR using different adjustments of confounding factors, respectively. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (two-sided test). Statistics were generated in IBM SPSS 20.0 software (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) and StataMP 16 software (StataCorp, Texas, USA).

42
43221Patient and public involvement

There were no participants involved in the development. The results of the survey are disseminated to the public through websites.

 Results

226 Participant characteristics

As shown (Fig. 1), 4142 participants were selected, including 2460 (59.4%) in the MetS-free group, 361 (8.7%) in the MetS-developed group, 499 (12.0%) in the MetS recovery-group, and 822 (19.8%) in the MetS-chronic group. Comparison of the basic Page 11 of 27

BMJ Open

characteristics between the 4142 enrolled participants and 2974 ones that excluded during follow-up were shown in Supplemental Table 1.

Participant characteristics were grouped by the eGFR decline rate (Table 1). A rapid decline in eGFR developed in 711 (17.2%) participants during the 4-year follow-up. The median age was 58 ($52 \sim 64$) years and males accounted for 42.5% at baseline. In contrast to rapid eGFR decline group, the non-rapid eGFR decline group was significantly higher with respect to FBG, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR, weight, BMI, WC, MetS scores, central obesity, and elevated BG (all P < 0.05). Those in non-rapid eGFR decline group were more likely to be female and younger when compared with the eGFR decline group (both P < 0.05).

Rapid eGFR decline odds based on Mets recovery or occurrence

Univariate analysis was conducted to select covariates for correction (Supplemental Table 2). As shown (Table 2), after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS scores, the odds ratio (OR) of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-recovery group was 0.64 (OR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.45–0.90, P = 0.01) when compared with the MetS-chronic group. In contrast, after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, serum creatinine, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS score, the OR of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-developed group was 1.00 (OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.73–1.38, P =0.98) when compared with the MetS-free group.

MetS components and rapid eGFR decline odds

The association of changes in the composition of MetS groups with rapid eGFR decline is shown (Table 3). In the baseline MetS population, after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, serum creatinine, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS score, the OR of rapid eGFR decline in the population recovered from central obesity was 0.31 (OR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.15–0.65, P < 0.01) when compared with chronic central obesity, whereas recovery from elevated BP,

dyslipidemia, and elevated BG did not show statistically significant differences when compared with the corresponding population (all P > 0.05). In the baseline population without MetS, we observed no statistical difference in the rapid decline of eGFR between the occurrence of all MetS component groups and corresponding contrast groups (all P > 0.05). This was consistent with the overall trend.

Discussion

 We examined the relationship between MetS changes and rapid eGFR decline in a large nationwide cohort. At the 4-year follow-up, MetS recovery was significantly associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in the middle-aged and elderly, with only WC recovery consistent with the overall trend. The occurrence of MetS and its components did not significantly increase the risk of rapid eGFR decline. Further follow-up is required to elucidate the relationship between MetS dynamics and the rapid decline in eGFR.

Longitudinal cohort studies in several Asian countries concluded that MetS increased the risk of CKD, although follow-up times varied from study to study ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ ³⁴. However, the effect of MetS on the rapid decline of eGFR remains controversial. In a 3-year cohort, Cheng et al. found no significant correlations between MetS and eGFR rapid decline in the elderly ³⁴. However, other studies reported that baseline MetS was associated with a decline in eGFR and even acted as an independent predictor of eGFR decline ¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Wu et al. investigated the association between the MetS severity score and kidney function, and found that the MetS severity score was an independent risk factor for the CKD development and progressive eGFR decline, although the definition of rapid eGFR decline was different from this study ²⁶. Here, the Mets severity score was a continuous variable that was primarily used to calibrate the MetS (yes vs. no). We noted that none of the aforementioned studies accounted for the MetS status of participants during follow-up periods. In a 4-year follow-up cohort, Park et al. explored the relationship between MetS status change and CKD events and concluded that MetS recovery was associated with a decreased risk of Page 13 of 27

BMJ Open

CKD incidence, but the occurrence of MetS increased the risk of CKD incidence ³⁵. One of the highlights of the article was to observe the status of MetS three times over a 4-year period, thereby making the MetS diagnosis more robust. However, Park et al. did not discuss the association with the rapid eGFR decline. In this study, we concluded that MetS recovery was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline, while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. It should be emphasized that we need to be cautious about the conclusion between the MetS occurrence and the rapid eGFR decline in this study. Because the follow-up time was short and the timing of MetS onset was unknown, the impairment of renal function caused by MetS may not have occurred in some populations. To sum up, studies exploring the relationship between MetS dynamic changes and the rapid decline of eGFR in the Chinese population are rare. Our investigation of the relationship between MetS recovery/occurrence and eGFR rapid decline in a large nationwide cohort may support renal function management in individuals with MetS.

The effect of MetS on renal function is complex, thus, no definitive mechanisms can explain our study observations. The evidence suggests that every component of MetS is associated with adverse renal events (10-14). It is accepted that hypertension and diabetes play pivotal roles in CKD development and progression ³⁶⁻³⁸. Also, lipid metabolism dysregulation and abnormal lipid distribution can lead to lipotoxicity-related renal damage ^{39 40}. Thus, MetS may result from the combined effects of central obesity, increased BP, insulin resistance, and blood lipid disorder, leading to physiopathological lipotoxicity, oxidative stress increments, endothelial dysfunction, elevated inflammation, and apoptosis, which would contribute to kidney dysfunction ^{5 39}. However, the relationship between MetS components and the weight of each factor on kidney injury remain unclear.

311 Our study had some limitations. Firstly, MetS diagnoses were not 312 comprehensively checked (using multiple tests), and the exact timing of the MetS 313 alteration is unknown. Secondly, renal stone disease, epiculopathy, epiculoepicardial

disease or acute urinary tract infection are related to the occurrence and development of renal disease. Unfortunately, urine or kidney ultrasound results were unavailable in CHARLS cohort. Thirdly, CKD occurrence was not included as a study outcome because of the lack of urine test results, which would underestimate the CKD incidence. Fourthly, blood analyses from Wave 1 and Wave 3 were performed at a different testing center, with inconsistent HDL, TG, and BG measurement methods, therefore, measurement errors may have occurred. Fifthly, a large proportion of individuals were excluded due to exclusion criteria or missing values and this may have biased some of our results. Sixthly, we did not establish a model with all 4 MetS change groups included in the study.

324 Conclusions

Over a 4-year follow-up, we observed that MetS recovery, including recovery of central obesity, was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older adults, while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. Reversing MetS, especially central obesity, might benefit the kidney function in MetS population. But, further follow-up studies are required to observe the relationship between MetS alterations and adverse renal events.

331 Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the China Health and Retirement
332 Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) team for providing the data.

Contributors PL and LT contributed equally to this paper. PL, LT and JF—analysis 334 and interpretation of data and preparation of the manuscript. XL—study concept and 335 design, and preparation and critical review of the manuscript. CC—critical review and 336 statistical guidance of the revised manuscript. All authors have approved the final 337 manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by Guangzhou Municipal Science and TechnologyBureau (202002020047).

⁵⁹ 340 **Competing interests** All authors declared no competing interests.

| 1 | | |
|-------------|------------|---|
| 2 3 | | |
| 4 | 341 | Data availability statement CHARLS data of the study will be available to |
| 5 6 7 | 342 | investigators at the CHARLS website (http://charls.pku.edu.cn/en). |
| 8 | 343 | References |
| 9 10 | 344 | 1. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. The metabolic syndromea new worldwide definition. Lancet |
| 10 | 345 | (London, England) 2005;366(9491):1059-62. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(05)67402-8 [published |
| 12 | 346 | Online First: 2005/09/27] |
| 13 14 | 347 | 2. 2018 Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension-A report of the Revision |
| 14 | 348 | Committee of Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension. Journal of |
| 16 | 349 | geriatric cardiology : JGC 2019;16(3):182-241. doi: 10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2019.03.014 |
| 17 | 350 | [published Online First: 2019/05/14] |
| 10 | 351 | 3. Huang PL. A comprehensive definition for metabolic syndrome. <i>Disease models & mechanisms</i> |
| 20 | 352 | 2009:2(5-6):231-7. doi: 10.1242/dmm.001180 [published Online First: 2009/05/02] |
| 21 | 353 | 4 Saklaven MG The Global Enidemic of the Metabolic Syndrome Current hypertension reports |
| 22 23 | 354 | 2018:20(2):12 doi: 10.1007/s11906-018-0812-z [nublished Online First: 2018/02/27] |
| 24 | 355 | 5 McCracken E. Monaghan M. Sreeniyasan S. Dathonhysiology of the metabolic syndrome. <i>Clinics in</i> |
| 25 | 356 | dermetalogy 2018;26(1):14.20. doi: 10.1016/i.clindermatol.2017.00.004. [nublished Online |
| 26 27 | 257 | Circle 2017/12/12/ |
| 28 | 259 259 | First: 2017/12/16] |
| 29 | 358 | 6. Zhang X, Lerman LO. The metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease. Translational research : |
| 30 21 | 359 | the journal of laboratory and clinical medicine 2017;183:14-25. doi: |
| 32 | 360 | 10.1016/j.trsl.2016.12.004 [published Online First: 2016/12/28] |
| 33 | 361 | 7. Lee EY, Han K, Kim DH, et al. Exposure-weighted scoring for metabolic syndrome and the risk of |
| 34 | 362 | myocardial infarction and stroke: a nationwide population-based study. Cardiovascular |
| 35 36 | 363 | diabetology 2020;19(1):153. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01129-x [published Online First: |
| 37 | 364 | 2020/10/01] |
| 38 | 365 | 8. Guembe MJ, Fernandez-Lazaro CI, Sayon-Orea C, et al. Risk for cardiovascular disease associated |
| 39 40 | 366 | with metabolic syndrome and its components: a 13-year prospective study in the RIVANA |
| 40 41 | 367 | cohort. Cardiovascular diabetology 2020;19(1):195. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01166-6 |
| 42 | 368 | [published Online First: 2020/11/24] |
| 43 | 369 | 9. Hoang K, Zhao Y, Gardin JM, et al. LV Mass as a Predictor of CVD Events in Older Adults With and |
| 44 45 | 370 | Without Metabolic Syndrome and Diabetes. JACC Cardiovascular imaging 2015;8(9):1007-15. |
| 46 | 371 | doi: 10.1016/i.icmg.2015.04.019 [published Online First: 2015/09/01] |
| 47 | 372 | 10. Xie K. Bao L. Jiang X. et al. The association of metabolic syndrome components and chronic kidney |
| 48 49 | 373 | disease in natients with hypertension <i>Linids Health</i> Dis 2019:18(1):229 doi: |
| 49 50 | 374 | 10 1186/s12944-019-1121-5 [nublished Online First: 2019/12/29] |
| 51 | 375 | 10.1180/312944-019-1121-5 [published Online First. 2019/12/29] |
| 52 | 276 | 11. Viazzi F, Piscitelli F, Giorda C, et al. Metabolic syndrome, serum unc acid and renarrisk in patients |
| 53 54 | 270 | with 12D. Plos one 2017;12(4):e0176058. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0176058 [published |
| 55 | 5// | Unline First: 201//04/20j |
| 56 | 378 | 12. Chen J, Kong X, Jia X, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease in |
| 57 58 | 379 | a Chinese urban population. Clin Chim Acta 2017;470:103-08. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2017.05.012 |
| 59 | 380 | [published Online First: 2017/05/16] |
| 60 | 381 | 13. Thomas G, Sehgal AR, Kashyap SR, et al. Metabolic syndrome and kidney disease: a systematic |
| | | 14 |
Page 16 of 27

BMJ Open

| 2 | | |
|----------|------------|--|
| 3 | 382 | review and meta-analysis. Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology : CJASN |
| 4 5 | 383 | 2011;6(10):2364-73. doi: 10.2215/cjn.02180311 [published Online First: 2011/08/20] |
| 6 | 384 | 14. Chang IH, Han JH, Myung SC, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney |
| 7 | 385 | disease in the Korean population. Nephrology (Carlton, Vic) 2009;14(3):321-6. doi: |
| 8 9 | 386 | 10.1111/i.1440-1797.2009.01091.x [published Online First: 2009/05/16] |
| 10 | 387 | 15. Hu Y. Shi LX. Zhang O. et al. Increased Risk of Chronic Kidney Diseases in Patients with Metabolic |
| 11 | 388 | Syndrome: A 3-year Prospective Cohort Study Current medical science 2019:39(2):204-10 |
| 12 | 389 | doi: 10.1007/c11596-019-2020-8 [nublished Online Eirst: 2019/04/25] |
| 13 14 | 390 | 16 Huh IH, Vaday D, Kim IS, et al. An association of metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease |
| 15 | 201 | from a 10 year processing schort study. Metabolicmy clinical and experimental |
| 16 | 202 | norm a to-year prospective conort study. <i>Metabolism: clinical and experimental</i> |
| 17 18 | 392 202 | 2017;67:54-61. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2016.11.003 [published Online First: 2017/01/14] |
| 19 | 393 | 17. Hayashi K, Takayama M, Abe T, et al. Investigation of Metabolic Factors Associated with eGFR |
| 20 | 394 | Decline Over 1 Year in a Japanese Population without CKD. Journal of atherosclerosis and |
| 21 22 | 395 | thrombosis 2017;24(8):863-75. doi: 10.5551/jat.38612 [published Online First: 2017/01/27] |
| 22 | 396 | 18. Kawamoto R, Akase T, Ninomiya D, et al. Metabolic syndrome is a predictor of decreased renal |
| 24 | 397 | function among community-dwelling middle-aged and elderly Japanese. International |
| 25 | 398 | urology and nephrology 2019;51(12):2285-94. doi: 10.1007/s11255-019-02320-0 [published |
| 26 27 | 399 | Online First: 2019/10/24] |
| 28 | 400 | 19. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, et al. Cohort profile: the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study |
| 29 | 401 | (CHARLS). Int J Epidemiol 2014;43(1):61-8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dys203 [published Online First: |
| 30 31 | 402 | 2012/12/18] |
| 32 | 403 | 20. Zhao Y, Crimmins E, Hu P, et al. ChinaHealth and Retirement Longitudinal Study: 2011–2012 |
| 33 | 404 | National BaselineUsers' Guide. Beijing, China: National School of Development, Peking |
| 34 | 405 | University 2013 |
| 36 | 406 | 21. Chen X. Crimmins E. Hu PP. et al. Venous Blood-Based Biomarkers in the China Health and |
| 37 | 407 | Retirement Longitudinal Study: Rationale, Design, and Results From the 2015 Wave. |
| 38 | 408 | American journal of enidemiology 2019.188(11).1871-77 doi: 10.1093/aje/kwz170 |
| 39 40 | 409 | [nublished Online First: 2019/08/01] |
| 41 | 410 | 22 Alberti KG Eckel BH Grundy SM et al Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim |
| 42 | 410 /11 | statement of the International Diabates Enderation Task Force on Endemiology and |
| 43 44 | 411 /12 | Brovention: National Heart Lung and Plead Institute: American Heart Association: World |
| 45 | 412 | Heart Enderations International Atherosclerosis Society and International Association, world |
| 46 | 413 | Reart Federation, international Atheroscierosis Society, and international Association for the |
| 47 48 | 414 | Study of Obesity. <i>Circulation</i> 2009;120(16):1640-5. doi: 10.1161/circulationana.109.192644 |
| 40 | 415 | [published Online First: 2009/10/07] |
| 50 | 416 | 23. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021. Diabetes |
| 51 | 417 | Care 2021;44(Suppl 1):S15-s33. doi: 10.2337/dc21-S002 [published Online First: 2020/12/11] |
| 52 53 | 418 | 24. Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, et al. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum |
| 54 | 419 | creatinine and cystatin C. The New England journal of medicine 2012;367(1):20-9. doi: |
| 55 | 420 | 10.1056/NEJMoa1114248 |
| 56 57 | 421 | 25. Lindeman RD, Tobin J, Shock NW. Longitudinal studies on the rate of decline in renal function with |
| 58 | 422 | age. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 1985;33(4):278-85. doi: |
| 59 | 423 | 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1985.tb07117.x [published Online First: 1985/04/01] |
| 60 | | |

Page 17 of 27

| 1 2 | | |
|----------|-----|---|
| 2 3 | 424 | 26 Min M. Chu V. Mangel, et al. Matchelia and desure associate and the associate of CKD |
| 4 | 424 | 26. Wu M, Shu Y, Wang L, et al. Metabolic syndrome severity score and the progression of CKD. |
| 5 | 425 | European journal of clinical investigation 2021:e13646. doi: 10.1111/eci.13646 [published |
| 6 7 | 426 | Online First: 2021/07/02] |
| 8 | 427 | 27. Wijndaele K, Beunen G, Duvigneaud N, et al. A continuous metabolic syndrome risk score: utility |
| 9 | 428 | for epidemiological analyses. Diabetes Care 2006;29(10):2329. doi: 10.2337/dc06-1341 |
| 10 | 429 | [published Online First: 2006/09/28] |
| 12 | 430 | 28. Jia F, Li X, Liu F, et al. Association of renal function and depressive symptoms: Evidence from the |
| 13 | 431 | China health and retirement longitudinal study. Journal of psychosomatic research |
| 14 | 432 | 2020;137:110224. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2020.110224 [published Online First: |
| 15 16 | 433 | 2020/08/31] |
| 17 | 434 | 29. Irwin M, Artin KH, Oxman MN. Screening for depression in the older adult: criterion validity of the |
| 18 | 435 | 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Archives of internal |
| 19 20 | 436 | medicine 1999.159(15):1701-4 doi: 10.1001/archinte 159.15.1701 [nublished Online First: |
| 20 21 | 437 | 1999/08/17] |
| 22 | /38 | 20 Ma X Zhang C Su H et al. Increasing Pedy Mass Index Predicts Panid Decline in Penal Eurotion: A |
| 23 | 430 | 50. Wa X, Zhang C, Su H, et al. Increasing Body Wass index Predicts Rapid Decline in Rehai Function. A |
| 24 25 | 439 | 5 Year Retrospective Study. Hormone and metabolic research = Hormon- and |
| 26 | 440 | Stoffwechselforschung = Hormones et metabolisme 2018;50(7):556-61. doi: |
| 27 | 441 | 10.1055/a-0599-6360 [published Online First: 2018/05/03] |
| 28 | 442 | 31. Meguro S, Tomita M, Kabeya Y, et al. Factors Associated with the Decline of Kidney Function Differ |
| 29 30 | 443 | among eGFR Strata in Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. International journal of |
| 31 | 444 | endocrinology 2012;2012:687867. doi: 10.1155/2012/687867 [published Online First: |
| 32 | 445 | 2013/01/15] |
| 33 | 446 | 32. Deicher R, Hörl WH. Anaemia as a risk factor for the progression of chronic kidney disease. Current |
| 34 35 | 447 | opinion in nephrology and hypertension 2003;12(2):139-43. doi: |
| 36 | 448 | 10.1097/00041552-200303000-00003 [published Online First: 2003/02/18] |
| 37 | 449 | 33. Young BA, Katz R, Boulware LE, et al. Risk Factors for Rapid Kidney Function Decline Among African |
| 38 30 | 450 | Americans: The Jackson Heart Study (JHS). Am J Kidney Dis 2016;68(2):229-39. doi: |
| 40 | 451 | 10.1053/j.aikd.2016.02.046 [published Online First: 2016/04/14] |
| 41 | 452 | 34. Cheng HT, Huang JW, Chiang CK, et al. Metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance as risk factors |
| 42 | 453 | for development of chronic kidney disease and rapid decline in renal function in elderly. <i>The</i> |
| 45 44 | 454 | lournal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2012;97(4):1268-76 doi: |
| 45 | 455 | 10 1210/ic 2011-2658 [nublished Online Eirst: 2012/02/18] |
| 46 | 455 | 25. Dark S. Loo S. Kim V. et al. Deduced rick for chronic kidnou disease after recovery from metabolic |
| 4/ 48 | 450 | 35. Park S, Lee S, Kim Y, et al. Reduced risk for chronic kidney disease after recovery from metabolic |
| 49 | 457 | syndrome: A nationwide population-based study. <i>Kidney research and clinical practice</i> |
| 50 | 458 | 2020;39(2):180-91. doi: 10.23876/j.krcp.20.016 [published Online First: 2020/04/30] |
| 51 | 459 | 36. Webster AC, Nagler EV, Morton RL, et al. Chronic Kidney Disease. Lancet (London, England) |
| 52 53 | 460 | 2017;389(10075):1238-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)32064-5 [published Online First: |
| 54 | 461 | 2016/11/27] |
| 55 | 462 | 37. Tonneijck L, Muskiet MH, Smits MM, et al. Glomerular Hyperfiltration in Diabetes: Mechanisms, |
| 56 57 | 463 | Clinical Significance, and Treatment. J Am Soc Nephrol 2017;28(4):1023-39. doi: |
| 58 | 464 | 10.1681/asn.2016060666 [published Online First: 2017/02/02] |
| 59 | 465 | 38. Ruiz-Ortega M, Rayego-Mateos S, Lamas S, et al. Targeting the progression of chronic kidney |
| 60 | | |

| 3 | |
|----|--|
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 52 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |

| 466 | disease. Nature reviews Nephrology 2020;16(5):269-88. doi: 10.1038/s41581-019-0248-y |
|-----|--|
| 467 | [published Online First: 2020/02/16] |
| 468 | 39. Kim Y, Park CW. Can management of the components of metabolic syndrome modify the course |
| 469 | of chronic kidney disease? Kidney research and clinical practice 2020;39(2):118-20. doi: |
| 470 | 10.23876/j.krcp.20.066 [published Online First: 2020/06/12] |
| 471 | 40. D'Agati VD, Chagnac A, de Vries AP, et al. Obesity-related glomerulopathy: clinical and pathologic |
| 472 | characteristics and pathogenesis. Nature reviews Nephrology 2016;12(8):453-71. doi: |
| 473 | 10.1038/nrneph.2016.75 [published Online First: 2016/06/07] |

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics of | participants between | rapid eGFR decline | group and non-rapid | eGFR decline group |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | par de panto sective | rupiu cor it accine g | Broup and non rapid | eor it accime group |

| | Overall | Overall Rapid eGFR decline | | Dyalua | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Characteristics | (<i>n</i> = 4142) | (n = 711) | (<i>n</i> = 3431) | <i>r</i> value | |
| Male [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1874 (45.2) | 351 (49.4) | 1523 (44.4) | 0.02 | |
| Age (years) | 58 (52~64) | 59 (52~66) | 58 (52~64) | 0.02 | |
| Married with spouse $[n (\%)]$ | 3548 (87.5) | 610 (85.8) | 2938 (85.6) | 0.91 | |
| Education | | | | | |
| Illiterate [n (%)] | 1206 (29.1) | 191 (26.9) | 1015 (29.6) | | |
| Middle school and below $[n (\%)]$ | 1770 (41.2) | 309 (43.5) | 1398 (40.7) | 0.28 | |
| High school and above $[n (\%)]$ | 1229 (29.7) | 211 (29.7) | 1018 (29.7) | | |
| Household per capita income (yuan) | 6461.0 | 6000.0 | 6560.0 | 0.20 | |
| M (P25~P75) | (2336.7~13487.5) | (1866.7~13490.0) | (2450.0~13486.7) | | |
| Drink [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1470 (32.2) | 234 (32.9) | 1173 (34.2) | 0.51 | |
| Smoke [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1567 (37.8) | 272 (38.3) | 1295 (37.7) | 0.80 | |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 15.0 (12.5~17.8) | 15.1 (12.6~18.2) | 15.0 (12.5~17.7) | 0.18 | |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dl) | 102.4 (94.9~111.2) | 100.6 (93.4~109.8) | 102.4 (95.2~111.4) | 0.001 | |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.75 (0.64~0.84) | 0.71 (0.60~0.84) | 0.76 (0.64~0.86) | < 0.001 | |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 190.6 (168.6~215.8) | 189.8 (164.7~215.3) | 190.6 (169.3~216.1) | 0.20 | |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | 105.3 (74.3~148.7) | 101.8 (71.7~146) | 106.2 (74.3~148.7) | 0.23 | |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 49.5 (41.0~59.9) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 0.81 | |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | 1.0 (0.5~2.0) | 1.0 (0.6~2) | 1.0 (0.5~2.0) | 0.43 | |
| GHbA1c (%) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 0.36 | |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 0.83 | |
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.0 (12.8~15.1) | 14.3 (13.1~15.5) | < 0.001 | |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) | 95.9 (86.4~102.9) | 97.0 (88.3~106.1) | 95.6 (85.9~102.4) | < 0.001 | |
| eGFR group | | | | | |
| 60~89 ml/min/1.73m ² [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1368 (33.0) | 209 (29.4) | 1158 (33.8) | 0.02 | |
| 90~ ml/min/1.73m ² [n (%)] | 2774 (67.0) | 502 (70.6) | 2272 (66.2) | | |
| Grip strength (kg) | 29.3 (23.8~36.5) | 29.5 (24.9~36.2) | 29.3 (23.5~36.7) | 0.13 | |
| Grip strength group | | | | | |
| T1 [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1386 (33.5) | 209 (29.4) | 1177 (34.3) | 0.01 | |
| T2 [n (%)] | 1387 (33.5) | 268 (37.7) | 1199 (32.6) | 0.01 | |
| T3 [n (%)] | 1369 (33.1) | 234 (32.9) | 1135 (33.1) | | |
| | | | | | |

| Height (cm) | 157.7 (152.0~163.8) | 157.9 (152.0~163.7) | 157.6 (152.0~163.9) | 0.64 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Weight (kg) | 58 (51.3~65.5) | 57.1 (50.8~65.1) | 58.2 (51.4~65.7) | 0.08 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.2 (21~25.7) | 22.9 (20.8~25.4) | 23.3 (21.1~25.8) | 0.01 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 84.4 (78.0~92.0) | 83.6 (77.0~90.2) | 84.8 (78.1~92.0) | < 0.01 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 127 (114~141) | 128 (114~142) | 127 (114~141) | 0.72 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 75 (67~83) | 74 (66~83) | 75 (67~83) | 0.41 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 92 (83~102) | 92 (83~103) | 92 (84~102) | 0.76 |
| Depression symptom $[n (\%)]$ | 1904 (46.0) | 319 (44.9) | 1585 (46.2) | 0.52 |
| Self-report hypertension $[n (\%)]$ | 887 (21.4) | 157 (22.1) | 730 (21.3) | 0.63 |
| Self-report dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 333 (8.0) | 58 (8.2) | 275 (8.0) | 0.90 |
| Self-report diabetes or HBG [n (%)] | 191 (4.6) | 28 (3.9) | 163 (4.8) | 0.35 |
| Self-report arthritis or rheumatism [n (%)] | 1345 (32.5) | 235 (33.1) | 1110 (32.4) | 0.71 |
| Antihypertensive therapy [n (%)] | 664 (16.0) | 119 (16.7) | 545 (19.5) | 0.57 |
| Lipid-lowering therapy [n (%)] | 187 (4.5) | 37 (5.2) | 150 (4.4) | 0.33 |
| Hypoglycemic therapy [n (%)] | 127 (3.1) | 19 (2.7) | 108 (3.1) | 0.50 |
| Therapy for arthritis or rheumatism $[n (\%)]$ | 643 (15.5) | 116 (16.3) | 527 (15.4) | 0.52 |
| Metabolic syndrome [n (%)] | 1321 (31.9) | 207 (29.1) | 1114 (32.5) | 0.08 |
| MetS scores | -0.1 (-0.4~0.3) | -0.1 (-0.5~0.3) | 0 (-0.4~0.3) | 0.02 |
| Metabolic syndrome components | | | | |
| Central obesity $[n (\%)]$ | 1726 (41.7) | 264 (37.1) | 1462 (42.6) | < 0.01 |
| Elevated blood pressure $[n (\%)]$ | 2099 (50.7) | 368 (51.8) | 1731 (50.5) | 0.52 |
| Dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 1595 (38.5) | 278 (39.1) | 1317 (38.4) | 0.72 |
| Elevated blood glucose $[n (\%)]$ | 2456 (59.3) | 383 (53.9) | 2073 (60.4) | < 0.01 |
| Baseline non-MetS group | | | | |
| MetS-free [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2460 (59.4) | 444 (62.4) | 2016 (58.8) | |
| MetS-developed [n (%)] | 361 (8.7) | 60 (8.4) | 301 (8.8) | |
| Baseline Mets group | | | | |
| MetS-recovery [n (%)] | 499 (12.0) | 64 (9.0) | 435 (12.7) | |
| MetS-chronic $[n (\%)]$ | 822 (19.8) | 143 (20.1) | 679 (19.8) | |

Data are *n* (%) or median (interquartile range); HDL: high density lipoprotein; hs-CRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome.

grip strength is divided into T1, T2 and T3 groups by one-third percentile.

Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression of rapid eGFR decline between study groups

| | Model 1 | Model 1 | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|--|
| | Adjusted OR | P value | Adjusted OR | P value | |
| | (95% CI) | | (95% CI) | | |
| Baseline MetS groups | | | | | |
| MetS-chronic | ref | | ref | | |
| MetS-recovery | 0.68 (0.50-0.95) | 0.02 | 0.64 (0.45-0.90) | 0.01 | |
| Baseline non-MetS groups | | | | | |
| MetS-free | ref | | ref | | |

| MetS-developed | 0.93 (0.69-1.25) | 0.64 | 1.00 (0.73-1.38) | 0.98 |
|----------------|------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | | | | |

Model 1: additional adjusted for age and sex.

Model 2: additional adjusted for age, sex, serum creatinine, eGFR classification, grip strength classification; hemoglobin; MetS scores and body mass index.

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; MetS: metabolic syndrome. 476

| Table 3. Multivariate | logistic | regression | of rapid | eGFR | decline | between | study | groups | according | the |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|----------|------|---------|---------|-------|--------|-----------|-----|
| changes of MetS compo | onents | | | | | | | | | |

| | Model 1 | | Model 2 | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|--|
| | Adjusted OR | P value | Adjusted OR | P value | |
| | (95% CI) | | (95% CI) | | |
| Recovered components in baseli | ne MetS groups (chronic | MetS compone | ents as reference) | | |
| Central obesity | 0.29 (0.15-0.59) | 0.01 | 0.31 (0.15-0.65) | < 0.01 | |
| Elevated blood pressure | 0.80 (0.50-1.26) | 0.33 | 0.79 (0.49-1.27) | 0.33 | |
| Elevated SBP | 0.89 (0.61-1.31) | 0.56 | 0.88 (0.59-1.30) | 0.51 | |
| Elevated DBP | 0.75 (0.46-1.23) | 0.26 | 0.68 (0.41-1.15) | 0.15 | |
| Dyslipidemia | 1.09 (0.82-1.44) | 0.57 | 1.05 (0.78-1.40) | 0.77 | |
| Elevated TG | 1.22 (0.87-1.72) | 0.26 | 1.14 (0.79-1.36) | 0.50 | |
| Decreased HDL | 0.84 (0.59-1.12) | 0.32 | 0.85 (0.59-1.22) | 0.38 | |
| Elevated blood glucose | 1.08 (0.87-1.34) | 0.49 | 1.08 (0.86-1.36) | 0.52 | |
| Elevated fasting glucose | 1.14 (0.91-1.43) | 0.25 | 1.13 (0.89-1.43) | 0.32 | |
| Developed components in baseli | ne non-MetS groups (fre | e MetS compor | nents as reference) | | |
| Central obesity | 1.21 (0.92-1.59) | 0.16 | 1.32 (0.97-1.77) | 0.74 | |
| Elevated blood pressure | 0.84 (0.63-1.13) | 0.26 | 0.87 (0.64-1.18) | 0.37 | |
| Elevated SBP | 0.88 (0.66-1.17) | 0.37 | 0.92 (0.68-1.23) | 0.56 | |
| Elevated DBP | 0.88 (0.62-1.24) | 0.46 | 0.91 (0.63-1.30) | 0.59 | |
| Dyslipidemia | 0.92 (0.69-1.22) | 0.54 | 0.96 (0.72-1.30) | 0.81 | |
| Elevated TG | 0.93 (0.70-1.25) | 0.64 | 1.02 (0.75-1.37) | 0.91 | |
| Decreased HDL | 1.02 (0.65-1.59) | 0.95 | 0.97 (0.61-1.55) | 0.91 | |
| Elevated blood glucose | 1.07 (0.76-1.50) | 0.71 | 1.07 (0.75-1.52) | 0.71 | |
| Elevated fasting glucose | 1.06 (0.74-1.51) | 0.76 | 1.09 (0.76-1.57) | 0.64 | |

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; MetS: metabolic syndrome; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high density lipoprotein. Model 1: additional adjusted for age and sex.

Model 2: additional adjusted for age, sex, serum creatinine, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, hemoglobin, MetS score and body mass index.

Each Mets components run in their own model to predict rapid eGFR decline



Individuals with

MetS in 2015, n =

2460

Following participants were excluded:

Without blood test, n = 5861Having missing values, n = 1716

Without fasting blood, n = 848 eGFR less than 60 mL/min/1.73m²

Self report malignant tumor, n = 91

Self report heart disease, n = 1023

Excluding participants who were not followed

Excluding participants without fasting blood in

Self report stroke, n = 152Self report kidney disease, n = 438

up in 2015, n = 2277

2015, n = 679

•

• •





BMJ Open

| 2 | |
|----------|---|
| 3 | Association between receivery/accurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid estimated |
| 4 | Association between recovery/occurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid estimated |
| 5 | glomerular filtration rate decline in middle-aged and older populations: evidence from |
| 7 | |
| 8 | the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study |
| 9 | Dettie Lin 1 Lette Tang 2 Lie fang 1 Chaetin Chan 3* Vun Lin 1* |
| 10 | Peljia Liu, Lene Tang, Jia lang, Chaojin Chen, Xun Liu |
| 11 | ¹ Department of Nephrology. The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University. |
| 12 | |
| 15 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; |
| 15 | |
| 16 | ² Department of Cardiology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 17 | Guangzhou Guangdong China |
| 18 | Suungzhou, Suunguong, Emnu |
| 19 | ³ Department of Anesthesiology, Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | *Correspondence to |
| 26 | |
| 27 | Dr Xun Liu; |
| 28 | noture of 162 come |
| 30 | naturestyre(<i>a</i>)105.com, |
| 31 | Department of Nephrology, |
| 32 | |
| 33 | The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 34 | |
| 35 | Guangznou, Guangdong, 510630, China |
| 37 | & |
| 38 | |
| 39 | Dr Chaojin Chen; |
| 40 | |
| 41 | <u>chenchj28(a)mail.sysu.edu.cn;</u> |
| 42 | Department of Anesthesiology |
| 43 44 | 2 spectrum of r meson concerning, |
| 45 | The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 46 | |
| 47 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510630, China |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 20 | |

Supplemental Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants included and excluded in the

study

| | participants included | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| | in baseline | group 1 | group 2 | |
| Characteristics | (n=7116) | (n=2974) | (n=4142) | P-valu |
| Age (years) | 58 (52~65) | 58 (52~64) | 58 (51~66) | 0.98 |
| Male [<i>n</i> (%)] | 3332 (46.8) | 1458 (49.0) | 1874 (45.2) | 0.00 |
| Married with spouse $[n (\%)]$ | 5977 (84.0) | 2974 (81.7) | 3548 (87.5) | < 0.0 |
| Education | | | | 0.07 |
| Illiterate [n (%)] | 2106 (29.6) | 900 (30.3) | 1206 (29.1) | |
| Middle school and below $[n (\%)]$ | 2853 (40.1) | 1146 (38.5) | 1770 (41.2) | |
| High school and above $[n (\%)]$ | 2157 (30.3) | 928 (31.2) | 1229 (29.7) | |
| Household per capita income (yuan) | 6748 (2417~14298) | 6461 (2337~13487) | 7276 (2500~15600) | 0.02 |
| Drink [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2461 (34.6) | 1054 (35.4) | 1470 (32.2) | 0.2 |
| Smoke [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2775 (39.0) | 1208 (40.6) | 1567 (37.8) | 0.02 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 15.1 (12.52~17.90) | 15.0 (12.5~17.8) | 15.1 (12.8~18.0) | 0.1 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dl) | 102.4 (94.5~111.6) | 102.4 (94.9~111.2) | 102.1 (94.1~112.5) | 0.7 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.76 (0.64~0.86) | 0.75 (0.64~0.85) | 0.76 (0.66~0.88) | < 0.0 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 190.2 (168.2~215.3) | 190.6 (168.6~215.7) | 189.8 (167.4~214.9) | 0.3 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | 104.4 (74.34~147.8) | 105.3 (74.34~148.7) | 104.4 (73.46~147.8) | 0.7 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 49.5 (41.0~59.9) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 49.9 (41.4~60.3) | 0.0 |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | 1.03 (0.54~2.04) | 1.02 (0.54~1.97) | 1.04 (0.55~2.17) | 0.6 |
| GHbA1c (%) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 0.6 |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 4.3 (3.6~5.1) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.4 (3.6~5.1) | < 0.0 |
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 0.7 |
| Height (cm) | 157.9 (152.0~164.1) | 157.7 (152.0~163.8) | 157.9 (152~164.5) | 0.7 |
| Weight (kg) | 57.7 (51.0~65.4) | 58.0 (51.3~65.5) | 57.5 (50.3~65.2) | 0.0 |
| Waist (cm) | 84.3 (77.6~91.4) | 84.4 (78.0~92.0) | 84.0 (77.0~91.0) | 0.0 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.1 (20.8~25.6) | 23.2 (21.0~25.7) | 22.9 (20.6~25.5) | 0.0 |
| hand grip strength (kg) | 29.3 (23.5~36.5) | 29.1 (23.0~36.5) | 29.3 (23.8~36.5) | 0.5 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 127.3 (114.7~141.3) | 127.0 (114.3~141.0) | 127.7 (115.3~142.0) | 0.3 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 74.7 (67.3~83.0) | 74.7 (67.0~82.7) | 75.0 (67.3~83.0) | 0.3 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 92.6 (83.7~102.0) | 92.2 (83.4~101.7) | 92.6 (84.0~102.6) | 0.2 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) | 95.2 (85.5~102.7) | 95.9 (86.4~102.9) | 94.0 (84.3~102.3) | < 0.0 |
| Depression symptom $[n (\%)]$ | 3172 (44.6) | 1268 (42.6) | 1904 (46.0) | 0.00 |
| Metabolic syndrome [n (%)] | 2228 (31.3) | 907 (30.5) | 1321 (31.9) | 0.2 |
| Metabolic syndrome components | | | | |
| Elevated blood pressure $[n (\%)]$ | 3622 (50.9) | 1523 (51.2) | 2099 (50.7) | 0.6 |
| Elevated blood glucose [n (%)] | 4179 (58.7) | 1723 (57.9) | 2456 (59.3) | 0.2 |
| Dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 2673 (37.6) | 1078 (36.2) | 1595 (38.5) | 0.05 |
| Central obesity [n (%)] | 2862 (40.2) | 1136 (38.2) | 1726 (41.7) | 0.00 |

Data are n (%) or median (interquartile range); HDL: high density lipoprotein; hs-CRP: highsensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR:estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic

syndrome. Group 1: participants included in the baseline and exclueded after follow-up; Group 2: participants included in the study.

Supplemental Table 2. Univariate analysis of variables between eGFR decline group and non-

rapid eGFR decline group

| Characteristics | Coef. | 95% Conf. | <i>P</i> -value |
|--|---------|---------------|-----------------|
| Sex (female as ref) | 0.200 | 0.038~0.362 | 0.02 |
| Age (years) | 0.011 | 0.002~0.021 | 0.02 |
| Married status [n (%)] | | | |
| Other married status | ref | ref | |
| Married with spouse | 0.013 | -0.218~0.245 | 0.91 |
| Education | | | |
| Illiterate | ref | ref | |
| Middle school and below | 0.160 | 0.037~0.359 | 0.11 |
| High school and above | 0.097 | -0.118~0.311 | 0.34 |
| Household per capita income (per 10000 yuan) | 0.005 | -0.053~0.062 | 0.88 |
| | | | |
| Drink [<i>n</i> (%)] | | | |
| Smoke [<i>n</i> (%)] | 0.022 | -0.145~0.188 | 0.80 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 0.019 | -0.001~0.038 | 0.06 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dl) | -0.002 | -0.005~0.001 | 0.28 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)) | -1.818 | -2.368~-1.268 | < 0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | -0.001 | -0.004~0.001 | 0.21 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | -0.0004 | - | 0.39 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 0.007 | -0.005~0.006 | 0.80 |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | 0.004 | -0.013~0.020 | 0.66 |
| GHbA1c (%) | -0.051 | -0.167~0.066 | 0.40 |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 0.003 | -0.066~0.072 | 0.93 |
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) | -0.102 | -0.144~-0.060 | < 0.001 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) | 0.023 | 0.017~0.030 | < 0.001 |
| eGFR group | | | |
| 60~89 ml/min/1.73m ² [n (%)] | ref | ref | |
| 90~ ml/min/1.73m ² [n (%)] | 0.203 | 0.026~0.379 | 0.02 |
| Grip strength (kg) | 0.004 | -0.005~0.012 | 0.38 |
| Grip strength group | | | |
| T1 [<i>n</i> (%)] | ref | ref | |
| T2 [n (%)] | 0.311 | 0.112~0.511 | < 0.01 |
| T3 [n (%)] | 0.148 | -0.056~0.353 | 0.16 |
| Height (cm) | 0.003 | -0.007~0.013 | 0.58 |
| Weight (kg) | -0.008 | -0.015~0.000 | 0.048 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | -0.032 | -0.056~-0.009 | 0.01 |

| Waist circumference (cm) | -0.012 | -0.018~-0.005 | < 0.001 |
|--|--------|---------------|---------|
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 0.002 | -0.002~0.006 | 0.33 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | -0.001 | -0.008~0.005 | 0.76 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 0.001 | -0.005~0.007 | 0.75 |
| Depression symptom [n (%)] | -0.054 | -0.216~0.109 | 0.52 |
| Self-report hypertension $[n (\%)]$ | 0.047 | -0.148~0.243 | 0.63 |
| Self-report dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 0.019 | -0.276~0.315 | 0.90 |
| Self-report diabetes or HBG $[n (\%)]$ | -0.196 | -0.065~0.213 | 0.35 |
| Self-report arthritis or rheumatism $[n (\%)]$ | -0.032 | -0.204~0.140 | 0.71 |
| Antihypertensive therapy $[n (\%)]$ | 0.062 | -0.155~0.280 | 0.57 |
| Lipid-lowering therapy $[n (\%)]$ | 0.183 | -0.186~0.552 | 0.33 |
| Hypoglycemic therapy [n (%)] | -0.169 | -0.663~0.326 | 0.50 |
| Therapy for arthritis or rheumatism $[n \ (\%)]$ | 0.072 | -0.148~0.291 | 0.52 |
| Metabolic syndrome $[n (\%)]$ | -0.158 | -0.334~0.019 | 0.08 |
| MetS scores | -0.138 | -0.279~0.003 | 0.055 |
| Metabolic syndrome components | | | |
| Central obesity [n (%)] | -0.229 | -0.395~-0.062 | 0.01 |
| Elevated blood pressure [n (%)] | 0.052 | -0.109~0.214 | 0.53 |
| Dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 0.030 | -0.135~0.196 | 0.72 |
| Elevated blood glucose [n (%)] | -0.268 | -0.431~0.105 | 0.001 |
| | | | |

Data are n (%) or median (interquartile range); HDL: high density lipoprotein; hs-CRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive ...re protein; eGFR:

estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome.

grip strength is divided into T1, T2 and T3 groups by one-third percentile.

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

| | Item No | Recommendation |
|------------------------|------------|--|
| Title and abstract | 1-3 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done |
| | | and what was found |
| Introduction | | |
| Background/rationale | 4 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported |
| Objectives | 4-5 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses |
| Methods | | |
| Study design | | Present key elements of study design early in the paper |
| Setting | | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, |
| | | exposure, follow-up, and data collection |
| Participants | 5 | (a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of |
| | | selection of participants. |
| Data sources/ | 5-6* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of |
| measurement | | assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is |
| | | more than one group |
| Variables | 6-8 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect |
| | | modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable |
| Bias | | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias |
| Study size | | Explain how the study size was arrived at |
| Quantitative variables | | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, |
| | | describe which groupings were chosen and why |
| Statistical methods | 8-9 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed |
| | | (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed |
| | | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses |
| Results | | |
| Participants | 9* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially |
| | | eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, |
| | | completing follow-up, and analysed |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram |
| Descriptive data | 10* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and |
| | | information on exposures and potential confounders |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest |
| | | (c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) |
| Outcome data | 10* | Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time |
| | | Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary |
| | | measures of exposure |
| | | Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures |
| Main results | 10 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and |
| | | their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were |
| | | adjusted for and why they were included |

| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized |
|-------------------|-------|---|
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a |
| | | meaningful time period |
| Other analyses | 10-11 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and |
| | | sensitivity analyses |
| Discussion | | |
| Key results | 11 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives |
| Limitations | 12-13 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or |
| | | imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias |
| Interpretation | 11-13 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, |
| | | multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence |
| Generalisability | 13 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results |
| Other information | | |
| Funding | 13 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if |
| | | applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based |

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Association between recovery/occurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid estimated glomerular filtration rate decline in middle-aged and older populations: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2021-059504.R2 |
| Article Type: | Original research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 06-Aug-2022 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Liu, Peijia; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology; Guangzhou Eighth People's Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Department of Nephrology Tang, Leile; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Cardiology Fang, Jia; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology Chen, Chaojin; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University Liu, Xun; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Nutrition and metabolism |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Urology, Diabetes and endocrinology, Public health |
| Keywords: | Chronic renal failure < NEPHROLOGY, Lipid disorders < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH |
| | |

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

review only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| 3 4 | 1 | Association between recovery/occurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid |
|----------------------------|----|--|
| 5 6 | 2 | estimated glomerular filtration rate decline in middle-aged and older |
| 7 8 | 3 | populations: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal |
| 9 10 | 4 | Study |
| 11 12 | 5 | Peijia Liu. ^{1,2} Leile Tang. ³ Jia fang. ¹ Chaojin Chen. ^{4*} Xun Liu ^{1*} |
| 13 | 6 | Department of Nonbrology. The Third Affiliated Hagnital of Sup Vat San University. |
| 14 15 | 0 | Department of Nephrology, The Third Affinated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen Oniversity, |
| 16 17 | 7 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; |
| 18 19 | 8 | ² Department of Nephrology, The Eighth People's Hospital of Guangzhou, |
| 20 | 9 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; |
| 21 22 22 | 10 | ³ Department of Cardiology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 23 24 25 | 11 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China |
| 25 26 27 | 12 | ⁴ Department of Anesthesiology, Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, |
| 27 28 20 | 13 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China |
| 29 30 31 | 14 | |
| 32 33 | 15 | *Correspondence to |
| 34 35 | 16 | Dr Xun Liu; |
| 36 37 | 17 | naturestyle@163.com; |
| 38 39 | 18 | Department of Nephrology, |
| 40 41 | 19 | The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 42 43 | 20 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510630, China |
| 44 45 | 21 | & |
| 46 47 | 22 | Dr Chaojin Chen; |
| 48 49 | 23 | chenchj28@mail.sysu.edu.cn; |
| 50 51 | 24 | Department of Anesthesiology, |
| 52 53 | 25 | The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 54 55 56 57 58 | 26 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510630, China |

| 2 |
|----------|
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 20 |
| 27 |
| 20 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 77 15 |
| л5 Л6 |
| 40 |
| 4/ |
| 4ð |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 56 |
| 57 |
| 58 |
| 59 |

60

27 Abstract

28 **Objectives** Few studies have explored correlations between metabolic syndrome 29 (MetS) alterations and renal deterioration in longitudinal cohorts. We aim to 30 investigate associations between MetS recovery/development and rapid estimated 31 glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline in the China Health and Retirement 32 Longitudinal Study (CHARLS).

- 33 **Design** Longitudinal cohort study.
- 34 **Setting** This study is a secondary analysis of CHARLS.

35 Participants After excluding individuals with age < 45 years old, eGFR < 60
36 ml/min/1.73m² and clinician-reported malignant tumor, heart disease, stroke or kidney
37 disease at baseline, 4142 participants with complete data were selected from the
38 CHARLS during the 4-year follow-up period (2011-2015).

Outcome measures MetS were measured at 2011 and 2015 in CHARLS. A rapid
eGFR decline was defined as an average annual eGFR decline of > 3 ml/min/1.73m².
The associations between rapid eGFR decline and MetS recovery/development were
analyzed using multivariable adjusted logistic models.

43 **Results** According to MetS baseline status and follow-up, participants were divided 44 into four groups: (1) 2460 (59.4%) in the MetS-free group, (2) 361 (8.7%) in the 45 MetS-developed group, (3) 499 (12.0%) in the MetS recovery-group, and (4) 822 46 (19.8%) in the MetS-chronic group. When compared with the MetS-chronic group, 47 the multivariable adjusted odds ratio (OR) of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-recovery group was 0.64 (OR: 0.64; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.45–0.90, P 48 49 = 0.01). In contrast, when compared with the MetS-free group, the multivariable 50 adjusted OR of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-developed group was 1.00 (OR: 1.00; 51 95% CI: 0.73–1.38, *P* = 0.98).

52 **Conclusions** Over the 4-year follow-up period, we found that MetS recovery was 53 associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older adults, 54 while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. Recovery from MetS

55 appeared to protect against a rapid decline in eGFR.

56 Keywords: chronic renal failure; lipid disorders; public health;

58 Strength and limitation of this study

59 This study investigated the association between altered metabolic syndrome 60 status and rapid glomerular filtration rate decline in a nationwide cohort.

61 Metabolic syndrome scores were applied to evaluate the metabolic syndrome62 severity.

Blood tests related to metabolic syndrome and serum creatinine were performed
only once.

65 Introduction

Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of clinical characteristics related to abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, elevated blood glucose (BG), and elevated blood pressure (BP) ¹⁻³. As of 2017, there were approximately 1 billion individuals with MetS around the world, of which China accounted for 21.7%⁴. In China, MetS prevalence has been undergoing a steady increase, concomitant with an increasingly aged population, an obesity epidemic, and increased diabetes and hypertension levels, which collectively pose a considerable threat to people's health and impose a heavy burden on healthcare systems ⁴⁻⁶.

While investigations of causality relationships between MetS and cardiovascular events have gained considerable traction in recent years ⁷⁻⁹, MetS also impacts the kidneys. It is accepted that the pathological mechanisms underpinning MetS mainly include insulin resistance, increased oxidative stress, and a chronic inflammatory state, which may lead to kidney degeneration and chronic kidney disease (CKD) development ⁵ ⁶. Previously, it was confirmed that MetS and associated components (abdominal obesity, elevated BG, elevated BP, and lipid metabolic disorder) are strongly related to CKD and a decreased estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ¹⁰⁻¹⁴. Several longitudinal studies reported that MetS and its components were associated with incremental rapid eGFR decline and CKD incidence ¹⁵⁻¹⁸. However, these studies failed to articulate the relationship between MetS alterations and renal function changes. This dearth of information on this subject warrants further study, especially within a Chinese population context.

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) is a prospective cohort study conducted by the National School of Development, Peking University, China ¹⁹. The nationwide sample assesses the social, behavioral, and health status of individuals aged 45 and older ¹⁹. The CHARLS baseline survey was implemented in 2011 (Wave 1), and the samples were followed up every two years. Blood samples from populations were only collected in 2011 (Wave1) and 2015 (Wave 3). In the current study, we explored the relationship between MetS recovery/occurrence and
rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older populations in the 4-year follow-up
cohort.

96 Methods

97 Study population

98 CHARLS is a nationally representative longitudinal survey on the social, 99 economic, and health status of Chinese citizens aged \geq 45 and their spouses in the 100 community ¹⁹. In total, 17,708 participants were registered at baseline (Wave 1 at 101 2011), of which 11,847 had blood sample tests.

In this study, our exclusion criteria excluded participants with the following: 1) missing values; 2) without fasting blood values; 3) baseline eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73m²; 4) clinician-reported malignant tumor, heart disease, stroke or kidney disease; 5) < 45 years old; and 6) no follow-up records and related blood examinations in Wave 3 at 2015. After applying these criteria, 4142 participants were finally included. The participant screening process is outlined (Fig. 1).

108 The Medical Ethics Review Committee of Peking University approved this study.
109 All participants provided written informed consent before participating. This study is
110 a secondary analysis of a public dataset and does not require ethics approval again.

111 Blood examinations

At baseline (Wave 1), blood measurements and hemoglobin were assayed by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention of the local county, whereas other biochemical indicators were analyzed by Youanmen Center for Clinical Laboratory of Capital Medical University, Beijing, China. Serum creatinine (Scr) was measured by the picric acid method; blood urea nitrogen (BUN) was determined by an enzymatic UV method with urease; blood glucose (BG), total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and triglyceride (TG) were assayed by enzymatic colormetric tests; glycosylated hemoglobin (GHbA1c) was determined by high performance liquid chromatography; high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) was

examined by immunoturbidimetric assay; and uric acid (UA) was determined by the UA plus method ²⁰. Blood specimen testing in 2015 (Wave 3) was completed by KingMed Diagnostics, the leading third-party institution in China, which has testing laboratories in 27 provincial-level cities nationwide. GHbA1c, Scr, HDL, TG and BG were the required blood biomarkers from Wave 3. GHbA1c and Scr levels were determined by the same methods as Wave 1, while HDL was determined by a direct method, TG by an oxidase method, and BG by a hexokinase method ²¹. The collection, storage, transport, processing, and other blood sample details are described elsewhere ^{20 21}. Of note, the models and manufacturer information of blood test instruments in Wave 1 and Wave 3 were not available. All inspections and calibrations were performed by trained personnel.

Definition and grouping of MetS

Currently, there was no unified definition for MetS. The World Health Origination (WHO) diagnostic criteria proposed in 1999, the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Panel III (ATP III) diagnostic criteria proposed in 2005, and International Diabetes Federation (IDF) diagnostic criteria proposed in 2006 were commonly used for metabolic syndrome ²². These diagnostic criteria basically related to abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, glucose metabolism disorder, and elevated blood pressure. However, these diagnostic criteria had different views and cut-off values for some specific indicators. This study adopted the 2018 China Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension (CGPTH) definition for MetS, which was similar to the ATP III diagnostic criteria². Compared with ATP III diagnostic criteria, the cut points of waist circumference defined by CGPTH were smaller and more suitable for the Chinese population. According to the 2018 CGPTH definition, MetS was diagnosed when three of the following four conditions were met: 1) Central obesity: waist circumference (WC) \geq 90 cm in men and \geq 85 cm in women; 2) Elevated BP: systolic blood pressure (SBP) \geq 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure $(DBP) \ge 85 \text{ mmHg}$, or diagnosed as hypertension and treated; 3) Dyslipidemia:

fasting TG \geq 150 mg/dL, or HDL \leq 40 mg/dL, or diagnosed as dyslipidemia and treated; and 4) Elevated BG: Fasting BG (FBG) \geq 100 mg/dL, or 2 h postprandial BG \geq 100 mg/dL, or diagnosed as diabetes and treated ². Diabetes was defined as fasting BG \geq 126 mg/dL, and/or HbA1c \geq 6.5%, and/or a self-reported history of diabetes ²³. Of note, we did not have 2 h postprandial BG data. According to MetS baseline status and follow-up, participants were categorized into 1) MetS-free, 2) MetS-developed, 3) MetS-recovery, and 4) MetS-chronic groups. **Study outcomes** We calculated eGFR values using the 2012 Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation based on creatinine levels ²⁴. A rapid eGFR decline was defined as an average annual eGFR decline of $> 3 \text{ ml/min}/1.73\text{m}^{2 \text{ 16 25}}$. In this study, we defined a rapid eGFR decline as the eGFR in Wave 3 minus the eGFR in Wave $1, > 12 \text{ ml/min}/1.73\text{m}^2$. **MetS scores** MetS severity potentially affects the recovery or occurrence of MetS. For instance, individuals with high MetS severity may be less liable to recover. Similarly, for those without MetS, it is not straightforward to progress to severe MetS. Therefore, MetS scores were introduced to assess MetS severity in the study, which was thought to be more sufficient and accurate than other ways using the number of symptoms and complications to reflect MetS severity ²⁶ ²⁷. These scores were calculated using principal component (PC) analysis of WC, mean arterial pressure (MAP), FBG, fasting TG, and the inverse HDL values. All MetS related variables were normalized by 0-1. According to the PC analysis results, PC1 and PC2 explained 38.9% and 20.9% of the variance, respectively. MetS scores were calculated as follows:

 $PC1 = 0.369 \times WC + 0.378 \times FBG + 0.585 \times TG + 0.562 \times \left(\frac{1}{HDL}\right) + 0.252 \times MAP$

BMJ Open

Other covariates

All potential covariates were all collected at baseline in Wave 1, including gender (male vs. female), age, marital status (married with spouse vs. others), education (illiterate, middle school and below, or high school and above), household per capita income, smoking (yes vs. no), drinking (yes vs. no), eGFR, grip strength, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), WC, SBP, DBP, MAP, depressive symptom (yes vs. no), self-reporting disease (hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia and), and corresponding medication. We categorized eGFR into two groups: 60-89 and ≥ 90 . Grip strength was divided into three groups (T1, T2, and T3) according to the one-third percentile. BMI was calculated by weight (kg)/height squared (m²). The BP of each participant was measured three times every 45-60 s with the OmronTM HEM-7112 sphygmomanometer (Omron Co. LTD, Dalian, China) at rest. Both SBP and DBP were averaged from three measurements. MAP was defined as MAP = $1/3 \times$ SBP + $2/3 \times$ DBP. Previous study demonstrated that depressive symptom was association with baseline eGFR²⁸. Thus, we should not overlook this variable. The 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CESD-10) was applied in the study ²⁹. A CESD-10 score \geq 10 was grouped into the depressive symptom group, and < 10 into the non-depressive symptom group. Self-reporting disease was disease diagnosed by a doctor. Medical interventions included taking Chinese traditional and Western modern medicines.

198 Statistical methods

199 The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of continuous 200 variables. Continuous variables were expressed by the median (interquartile range) 201 and categorical variables by frequency (%). The Mann-Whitney U test was performed 202 on continuous variables, and categorical variables between the rapid eGFR decline 203 group and the non-rapid eGFR decline group were tested by the Chi-square test. In

preliminary analyses, variables with P values < 0.15 were used to calibrate the logistic model. Continuous variables not presenting a linear relationship with the logit conversion value of the dependent variable were converted to categorical variables. Tolerance and variance inflation factors (VIFs) were used to test for collinearity. This existed if the tolerance was < 0.1 or the VIF was > 10. Eventually, age, sex, BMI, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification and MetS scores were selected as confounding variables for model adjustments in this study. Most selected covariates have been reported to be related to renal events ^{26 30-33}. Univariate analysis of variables between eGFR decline group and non-rapid eGFR decline group were carried out. Logistic models were used to test the association between MetS recovery/occurrence and eGFR rapid decline after adjusting for multiple confounding factors. Furthermore, alterations in MetS status were accompanied by changes of diagnostic conditions (elevated blood glucose, elevated blood pressure, central obesity and dyslipidemia). As a result, logistic models were used to explore the relationship between the recovery/occurrence of Mets components and the rapid decline of eGFR using different adjustments of confounding factors, respectively. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (two-sided test). Statistics were generated in IBM SPSS 20.0 software (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) and StataMP 16 software (StataCorp, Texas, USA).

Patient and public involvement

> There were no participants involved in the development. The results of the survey are disseminated to the public through websites.

Results

> **Participant characteristics**

As shown (Fig. 1), 4142 participants were selected, including 2460 (59.4%) in the MetS-free group, 361 (8.7%) in the MetS-developed group, 499 (12.0%) in the MetS recovery-group, and 822 (19.8%) in the MetS-chronic group. Comparison of the basic

Page 11 of 27

BMJ Open

characteristics between the 4142 enrolled participants and 2974 ones that excluded during follow-up were shown in Supplemental Table 1.

Participant characteristics were grouped by the eGFR decline rate (Table 1). A rapid decline in eGFR developed in 711 (17.2%) participants during the 4-year follow-up. The median age was 58 ($52 \sim 64$) years and males accounted for 42.5% at baseline. In contrast to rapid eGFR decline group, the non-rapid eGFR decline group was significantly higher with respect to FBG, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR, weight, BMI, WC, MetS scores, central obesity, and elevated BG (all P < 0.05). Those in non-rapid eGFR decline group were more likely to be female and younger when compared with the eGFR decline group (both P < 0.05).

Rapid eGFR decline odds based on Mets recovery or occurrence

Univariate analysis was conducted to select covariates for correction (Supplemental Table 2). As shown (Table 2), after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS scores, the odds ratio (OR) of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-recovery group was 0.64 (OR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.45–0.90, P = 0.01) when compared with the MetS-chronic group. In contrast, after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, serum creatinine, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS score, the OR of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-developed group was 1.00 (OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.73–1.38, P =0.98) when compared with the MetS-free group.

MetS components and rapid eGFR decline odds

The association of changes in the composition of MetS groups with rapid eGFR decline is shown (Table 3). In the baseline MetS population, after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, serum creatinine, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS score, the OR of rapid eGFR decline in the population recovered from central obesity was 0.31 (OR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.15–0.65, P < 0.01) when compared with chronic central obesity, whereas recovery from elevated BP,

260 dyslipidemia, and elevated BG did not show statistically significant differences when 261 compared with the corresponding population (all P > 0.05). In the baseline population 262 without MetS, we observed no statistical difference in the rapid decline of eGFR 263 between the occurrence of all MetS component groups and corresponding contrast 264 groups (all P > 0.05). This was consistent with the overall trend.

Discussion

We examined the relationship between MetS changes and rapid eGFR decline in a large nationwide cohort. At the 4-year follow-up, MetS recovery was significantly associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in the middle-aged and elderly, with only WC recovery consistent with the overall trend. The occurrence of MetS and its components did not significantly increase the risk of rapid eGFR decline. Further follow-up is required to elucidate the relationship between MetS dynamics and the rapid decline in eGFR.

Longitudinal cohort studies in several Asian countries concluded that MetS increased the risk of CKD, although follow-up times varied from study to study ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ ³⁴. However, the effect of MetS on the rapid decline of eGFR remains controversial. In a 3-year cohort, Cheng et al. found no significant correlations between MetS and eGFR rapid decline in the elderly ³⁴. However, other studies reported that baseline MetS was associated with a decline in eGFR and even acted as an independent predictor of eGFR decline ¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Wu et al. investigated the association between the MetS severity score and kidney function, and found that the MetS severity score was an independent risk factor for the CKD development and progressive eGFR decline, although the definition of rapid eGFR decline was different from this study ²⁶. Here, the Mets severity score was a continuous variable that was primarily used to calibrate the MetS (yes vs. no). We noted that none of the aforementioned studies accounted for the MetS status of participants during follow-up periods. In a 4-year follow-up cohort, Park et al. explored the relationship between MetS status change and CKD events and concluded that MetS recovery was associated with a decreased risk of Page 13 of 27

BMJ Open

CKD incidence, but the occurrence of MetS increased the risk of CKD incidence ³⁵. One of the highlights of the article was to observe the status of MetS three times over a 4-year period, thereby making the MetS diagnosis more robust. However, Park et al. did not discuss the association with the rapid eGFR decline. In this study, we concluded that MetS recovery was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline, while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. It should be emphasized that we need to be cautious about the conclusion between the MetS occurrence and the rapid eGFR decline in this study. Because the follow-up time was short and the timing of MetS onset was unknown, the impairment of renal function caused by MetS may not have occurred in some populations. To sum up, studies exploring the relationship between MetS dynamic changes and the rapid decline of eGFR in the Chinese population are rare. Our investigation of the relationship between MetS recovery/occurrence and eGFR rapid decline in a large nationwide cohort may support renal function management in individuals with MetS.

The effect of MetS on renal function is complex, thus, no definitive mechanisms can explain our study observations. The evidence suggests that every component of MetS is associated with adverse renal events (10-14). It is accepted that hypertension and diabetes play pivotal roles in CKD development and progression ³⁶⁻³⁸. Also, lipid metabolism dysregulation and abnormal lipid distribution can lead to lipotoxicity-related renal damage ^{39 40}. Thus, MetS may result from the combined effects of central obesity, increased BP, insulin resistance, and blood lipid disorder, leading to physiopathological lipotoxicity, oxidative stress increments, endothelial dysfunction, elevated inflammation, and apoptosis, which would contribute to kidney dysfunction ^{5 39}. However, the relationship between MetS components and the weight of each factor on kidney injury remain unclear.

313 Our study had some limitations. Firstly, MetS diagnoses were not
314 comprehensively checked (using multiple tests), and the exact timing of the MetS
315 alteration is unknown. Secondly, renal stone disease, epiculopathy, epiculoepicardial

disease or acute urinary tract infection are related to the occurrence and development of renal disease. Unfortunately, urine or kidney ultrasound results were unavailable in CHARLS cohort. Thirdly, CKD occurrence was not included as a study outcome because of the lack of urine test results, which would underestimate the CKD incidence. Fourthly, blood analyses from Wave 1 and Wave 3 were performed at a different testing center, with inconsistent HDL, TG, and BG measurement methods, therefore, measurement errors may have occurred. Fifthly, a large proportion of individuals were excluded due to exclusion criteria or missing values, and the basic characteristics between the 4142 enrolled participants and 2974 ones that excluded during follow-up might have biased some of our results. Sixthly, we did not establish a model with all 4 MetS change groups included in the study.

327 Conclusions

Over a 4-year follow-up, we observed that MetS recovery, including recovery of central obesity, was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older adults, while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. Reversing MetS, especially central obesity, might benefit the kidney function in MetS population. But, further follow-up studies are required to observe the relationship between MetS alterations and adverse renal events.

Contributors PL and LT contributed equally to this paper. PL, LT and JF—analysis
and interpretation of data and preparation of the manuscript. XL—study concept and
design, and preparation and critical review of the manuscript. CC—critical review and
statistical guidance of the revised manuscript. All authors have approved the final
manuscript.

Competing interests All authors declared no competing interests.

Funding This work was supported by Guangzhou Municipal Science and Technology
Bureau (202002020047) and Legal and Ethical Compliance Standards for Data Use in
Clinical Research on Major Chronic Diseases Based on Data Security
(2018YFC1315403).

| 1 2 | | |
|----------------|------------|----------|
| 3 4 | 344 | Data |
| 5 6 | 345 | invest |
| 7 8 | 346 | Ethics |
| 9 10 | 347 | approv |
| 11 12 | 348 | partici |
| 13 14 15 | 349 | requir |
| 16 17 | 350 | Ackno |
| 18 19 | 351 | Longi |
| 20 21 | 352 | Refer |
| 22 23 | 353 | 1 Albe |
| 24 | 354 | 1. Abe |
| 25 | 355 | |
| 26 27 | 356 | 2 2019 |
| 28 | 357 | 2. 2010 |
| 29 | 250 | |
| 30 31 | 250 | |
| 32 | 260 | 2 |
| 33 | 300 261 | 3. Huar |
| 34 35 | 2(2 | |
| 36 | 362 | 4. Sakla |
| 37 | 363 | |
| 38 39 | 364 | 5. McC |
| 40 | 365 | |
| 41 | 366 | |
| 42 | 367 | 6. Zhan |
| 43 44 | 368 | |
| 45 | 369 | |
| 46 | 370 | 7. Lee l |
| 47 48 | 371 | |
| 49 | 372 | |
| 50 | 373 | |
| 51 52 | 374 | 8. Guer |
| 53 | 375 | |
| 54 | 376 | |
| 55 56 | 377 | |
| 57 | 378 | 9. Hoar |
| 58 | 379 | 2 |
| 59 | 200 | |

availability statement CHARLS data of the study will be available to igators at the CHARLS website (http://charls.pku.edu.cn/en).

s statement The Medical Ethics Review Committee of Peking University ved this study and all participants provided written informed consent before ipating. This study is a secondary analysis of a public dataset and does not e ethics approval again.

- owledgements The authors are grateful to the China Health and Retirement
- tudinal Study (CHARLS) team for providing the data.

ences

- rti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. The metabolic syndrome--a new worldwide definition. Lancet (London, England) 2005;366(9491):1059-62. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(05)67402-8 [published Online First: 2005/09/27]
- Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension-A report of the Revision Committee of Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension. Journal of geriatric cardiology : JGC 2019;16(3):182-241. doi: 10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2019.03.014 [published Online First: 2019/05/14]
- ng PL. A comprehensive definition for metabolic syndrome. Disease models & mechanisms 2009;2(5-6):231-7. doi: 10.1242/dmm.001180 [published Online First: 2009/05/02]
- ayen MG. The Global Epidemic of the Metabolic Syndrome. Current hypertension reports 2018;20(2):12. doi: 10.1007/s11906-018-0812-z [published Online First: 2018/02/27]
- racken E, Monaghan M, Sreenivasan S. Pathophysiology of the metabolic syndrome. Clinics in dermatology 2018;36(1):14-20. doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2017.09.004 [published Online First: 2017/12/16]
 - g X, Lerman LO. The metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease. Translational research : the journal of laboratory and clinical medicine 2017;183:14-25. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2016.12.004 [published Online First: 2016/12/28]
- EY, Han K, Kim DH, et al. Exposure-weighted scoring for metabolic syndrome and the risk of myocardial infarction and stroke: a nationwide population-based study. Cardiovascular diabetology 2020;19(1):153. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01129-x [published Online First: 2020/10/01]
- mbe MJ, Fernandez-Lazaro CI, Sayon-Orea C, et al. Risk for cardiovascular disease associated with metabolic syndrome and its components: a 13-year prospective study in the RIVANA cohort. Cardiovascular diabetology 2020;19(1):195. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01166-6 [published Online First: 2020/11/24]
- ng K, Zhao Y, Gardin JM, et al. LV Mass as a Predictor of CVD Events in Older Adults With and Without Metabolic Syndrome and Diabetes. JACC Cardiovascular imaging 2015;8(9):1007-15. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.04.019 [published Online First: 2015/09/01] 380 60

10. Xie K, Bao L, Jiang X, et al. The association of metabolic syndrome components and chronic kidney

disease in patients with hypertension. Lipids Health Dis 2019;18(1):229. doi: 10.1186/s12944-019-1121-5 [published Online First: 2019/12/29] 11. Viazzi F, Piscitelli P, Giorda C, et al. Metabolic syndrome, serum uric acid and renal risk in patients with T2D. PloS one 2017;12(4):e0176058. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0176058 [published Online First: 2017/04/20] 12. Chen J, Kong X, Jia X, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease in a Chinese urban population. Clin Chim Acta 2017;470:103-08. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2017.05.012 [published Online First: 2017/05/16] 13. Thomas G, Sehgal AR, Kashyap SR, et al. Metabolic syndrome and kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology : CJASN 2011;6(10):2364-73. doi: 10.2215/cjn.02180311 [published Online First: 2011/08/20] 14. Chang IH, Han JH, Myung SC, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease in the Korean population. Nephrology (Carlton, Vic) 2009;14(3):321-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1797.2009.01091.x [published Online First: 2009/05/16] 15. Hu Y, Shi LX, Zhang Q, et al. Increased Risk of Chronic Kidney Diseases in Patients with Metabolic Syndrome: A 3-year Prospective Cohort Study. Current medical science 2019;39(2):204-10. doi: 10.1007/s11596-019-2020-8 [published Online First: 2019/04/25] 16. Huh JH, Yadav D, Kim JS, et al. An association of metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease from a 10-year prospective cohort study. Metabolism: clinical and experimental 2017;67:54-61. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2016.11.003 [published Online First: 2017/01/14] 17. Hayashi K, Takayama M, Abe T, et al. Investigation of Metabolic Factors Associated with eGFR Decline Over 1 Year in a Japanese Population without CKD. Journal of atherosclerosis and thrombosis 2017;24(8):863-75. doi: 10.5551/jat.38612 [published Online First: 2017/01/27] 18. Kawamoto R, Akase T, Ninomiya D, et al. Metabolic syndrome is a predictor of decreased renal function among community-dwelling middle-aged and elderly Japanese. International urology and nephrology 2019;51(12):2285-94. doi: 10.1007/s11255-019-02320-0 [published Online First: 2019/10/24] 19. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, et al. Cohort profile: the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). Int J Epidemiol 2014;43(1):61-8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dys203 [published Online First: 2012/12/18] 20. Zhao Y, Crimmins E, Hu P, et al. ChinaHealth and Retirement Longitudinal Study: 2011–2012 National BaselineUsers' Guide. Beijing, China: National School of Development, Peking University 2013 21. Chen X, Crimmins E, Hu PP, et al. Venous Blood-Based Biomarkers in the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study: Rationale, Design, and Results From the 2015 Wave. American journal of epidemiology 2019;188(11):1871-77. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwz170 [published Online First: 2019/08/01] 22. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the

Page 17 of 27

BMJ Open

| 1 2 | | |
|----------|-----|---|
| 3 | 423 | Study of Obesity <i>Circulation</i> 2009:120(16):1640-5 doi: 10.1161/circulationaba.109.192644 |
| 4 | 424 | [published Online First: 2009/10/07] |
| 5 6 | 425 | 23 Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021 Diabetes |
| 7 | 426 | Care 2021:44(Suppl 1):S15-S33 doi: 10.2337/dc21-S002 [published Online First: 2020/12/11] |
| 8 | 427 | 24 Inker LA Schmid CH Tighiouart H et al Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum |
| 9 10 | 428 | creatining and cystatin C. The New England journal of medicine 2012;367(1):20-9 doi: |
| 11 | 429 | 10 1056/NEIMo21114248 |
| 12 | 430 | 25 Lindoman PD. Tabia I. Shack NW. Longitudinal studies on the rate of decline in repal function with |
| 13 14 | 431 | 25. Endeman KD, Tobin J, Shock KW. Longitudinal studies on the rate of decline in rehar function with |
| 15 | 431 | age. Journal of the American Genatics Society 1963, $35(4).27663$. (01. |
| 16 17 | 432 | 10.1111/J.1552-5415.1965.tb0/11/.x [published Online First. 1965/04/01] |
| 17 | 433 | 26. Wu M, Shu Y, Wang L, et al. Metabolic syndrome severity score and the progression of CKD. |
| 19 | 434 | European journal of clinical investigation 2021:e13646. doi: 10.1111/eci.13646 [published |
| 20 | 435 | |
| 21 | 430 | 27. Wijndaele K, Beunen G, Duvigneaud N, et al. A continuous metabolic syndrome risk score: utility |
| 23 | 43/ | for epidemiological analyses. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2006;29(10):2329. doi: 10.2337/dc06-1341 |
| 24 | 438 | [published Online First: 2006/09/28] |
| 25 26 | 439 | 28. Jia F, Li X, Liu F, et al. Association of renal function and depressive symptoms: Evidence from the |
| 27 | 440 | China health and retirement longitudinal study. Journal of psychosomatic research |
| 28 | 441 | 2020;137:110224. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2020.110224 [published Online First: |
| 29 30 | 442 | 2020/08/31] |
| 31 | 443 | 29. Irwin M, Artin KH, Oxman MN. Screening for depression in the older adult: criterion validity of the |
| 32 | 444 | 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Archives of internal |
| 33 34 | 445 | medicine 1999;159(15):1701-4. doi: 10.1001/archinte.159.15.1701 [published Online First: |
| 35 | 446 | 1999/08/17] |
| 36 | 447 | 30. Ma X, Zhang C, Su H, et al. Increasing Body Mass Index Predicts Rapid Decline in Renal Function: A |
| 37 38 | 448 | 5 Year Retrospective Study. Hormone and metabolic research = Hormon- und |
| 39 | 449 | Stoffwechselforschung = Hormones et metabolisme 2018;50(7):556-61. doi: |
| 40 | 450 | 10.1055/a-0599-6360 [published Online First: 2018/05/03] |
| 41 42 | 451 | 31. Meguro S, Tomita M, Kabeya Y, et al. Factors Associated with the Decline of Kidney Function Differ |
| 43 | 452 | among eGFR Strata in Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. International journal of |
| 44 | 453 | endocrinology 2012;2012:687867. doi: 10.1155/2012/687867 [published Online First: |
| 45 46 | 454 | 2013/01/15] |
| 40 | 455 | 32. Deicher R, Hörl WH. Anaemia as a risk factor for the progression of chronic kidney disease. Current |
| 48 | 456 | opinion in nephrology and hypertension 2003;12(2):139-43. doi: |
| 49 50 | 457 | 10.1097/00041552-200303000-00003 [published Online First: 2003/02/18] |
| 51 | 458 | 33. Young BA, Katz R, Boulware LE, et al. Risk Factors for Rapid Kidney Function Decline Among African |
| 52 | 459 | Americans: The Jackson Heart Study (JHS). Am J Kidney Dis 2016;68(2):229-39. doi: |
| 53 54 | 460 | 10.1053/j.ajkd.2016.02.046 [published Online First: 2016/04/14] |
| 55 | 461 | 34. Cheng HT, Huang JW, Chiang CK, et al. Metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance as risk factors |
| 56 | 462 | for development of chronic kidney disease and rapid decline in renal function in elderly. <i>The</i> |
| 57 58 | 463 | Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2012:97(4):1268-76. doi: |
| 59 | 464 | 10.1210/ic.2011-2658 [published Online First: 2012/02/18] |
| 60 | | |

Page 18 of 27

BMJ Open

| 3 | 465 | 35. Park S, Lee S, Kim Y, et al. | Reduced risk for chro | nic kidney disease afte | er recovery from metabo | olic | | |
|----------|---|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--|--|
| 4 5 | 466 | syndrome: A nationwide population-based study. <i>Kidney research and clinical practice</i> 2020;39(2):180-91. doi: 10.23876/j.krcp.20.016 [published Online First: 2020/04/30] | | | | | | |
| 6 | 467 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 468 36. Webster AC, Nagler EV, Morton RL, et al. Chronic Kidney Disease. Lancet (London, England) | | | | | | | |
| o 9 | 469 | 469 2017;389(10075):1238-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)32064-5 [published Online First: | | | | | | |
| 10 | 470 | 2016/11/27] | | | | | | |
| 11 12 | 471 | 37. Tonneijck L, Muskiet MH, S | Smits MM, et al. Glor | nerular Hyperfiltration | in Diabetes: Mechanisr | ms, | | |
| 13 | 472 | Clinical Significance, | and Treatment. J | Am Soc Nephrol | 2017;28(4):1023-39. c | loi: | | |
| 14 | 473 | 10.1681/asn.2016060 | 566 [published Online | First: 2017/02/02] | | | | |
| 15 16 | 474 | 38. Ruiz-Ortega M, Rayego-Ma | ateos S, Lamas S, et | al. Targeting the pro- | gression of chronic kidr | ney | | |
| 17 | 475 | disease. Nature revie | ws Nephrology 2020 |);16(5):269-88. doi: 1 | 0.1038/s41581-019-024 | 8-y | | |
| 18 | 476 | [published Online First | :: 2020/02/16] | | | | | |
| 19 20 | 477 | 39. Kim Y, Park CW. Can mana | gement of the compo | onents of metabolic sy | ndrome modify the cou | rse | | |
| 21 | 478 | of chronic kidney dis | ease? Kidney researd | ch and clinical praction | ce 2020;39(2):118-20. c | loi: | | |
| 22 | 479 | 10.23876/j.krcp.20.06 | 6 [published Online Fi | rst: 2020/06/12] | | | | |
| 23 24 | 480 | 40. D'Agati VD, Chagnac A, de | Vries AP, et al. Obesit | y-related glomerulopa | thy: clinical and patholo | ogic | | |
| 25 | 481 | characteristics and p | oathogenesis. Nature | e reviews Nephrology | / 2016;12(8):453-71. c | loi: | | |
| 26 27 | 482 | 10.1038/nrneph.2016 | .75 [published Online | First: 2016/06/07] | | | | |
| 27 | 483 | | | | | | | |
| 29 | Table | e 1. Baseline characteristics of partic | cipants between rapid e | eGFR decline group and | non-rapid eGFR decline | group | | |
| 30 31 | | | Overall | Rapid eGFR decline | Non-rapid eGFR decline | e | | |
| 32 | Char | acteristics | (<i>n</i> = 4142) | (<i>n</i> = 711) | (<i>n</i> = 3431) | P value | | |
| 33 34 | Male | [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1874 (45.2) | 351 (49.4) | 1523 (44.4) | 0.02 | | |
| 35 | Age (| years) | 58 (52~64) | 59 (52~66) | 58 (52~64) | 0.02 | | |
| 36 | Marri | ied with spouse $[n(\%)]$ | 3548 (87 5) | 610 (85 8) | 2938 (85.6) | 0.91 | | |

| | (n = 4142) | (n = 711) | (n = 3431) | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Male [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1874 (45.2) | 351 (49.4) | 1523 (44.4) | 0.02 |
| Age (years) | 58 (52~64) | 59 (52~66) | 58 (52~64) | 0.02 |
| Married with spouse $[n (\%)]$ | 3548 (87.5) | 610 (85.8) | 2938 (85.6) | 0.91 |
| Education | | | | |
| Illiterate [n (%)] | 1206 (29.1) | 191 (26.9) | 1015 (29.6) | |
| Middle school and below $[n (\%)]$ | 1770 (41.2) | 309 (43.5) | 1398 (40.7) | 0.28 |
| High school and above $[n (\%)]$ | 1229 (29.7) | 211 (29.7) | 1018 (29.7) | |
| Household per capita income (yuan) | 6461.0 | 6000.0 | 6560.0 | 0.20 |
| M (P25~P75) | (2336.7~13487.5) | (1866.7~13490.0) | (2450.0~13486.7) | |
| Drink [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1470 (32.2) | 234 (32.9) | 1173 (34.2) | 0.51 |
| Smoke [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1567 (37.8) | 272 (38.3) | 1295 (37.7) | 0.80 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 15.0 (12.5~17.8) | 15.1 (12.6~18.2) | 15.0 (12.5~17.7) | 0.18 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dl) | 102.4 (94.9~111.2) | 100.6 (93.4~109.8) | 102.4 (95.2~111.4) | 0.001 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.75 (0.64~0.84) | 0.71 (0.60~0.84) | 0.76 (0.64~0.86) | < 0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 190.6 (168.6~215.8) | 189.8 (164.7~215.3) | 190.6 (169.3~216.1) | 0.20 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | 105.3 (74.3~148.7) | 101.8 (71.7~146) | 106.2 (74.3~148.7) | 0.23 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 49.5 (41.0~59.9) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 0.81 |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | 1.0 (0.5~2.0) | 1.0 (0.6~2) | 1.0 (0.5~2.0) | 0.43 |
| GHbA1c (%) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 0.36 |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 0.83 |

| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.0 (12.8~15.1) | 14.3 (13.1~15.5) | < 0.001 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| $eGFR (ml/min/1.73m^2)$ | 95.9 (86.4~102.9) | 97.0 (88.3~106.1) | 95.6 (85.9~102.4) | < 0.001 |
| eGFR group | | | | |
| 60~89 ml/min/1.73m ² [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1368 (33.0) | 209 (29.4) | 1158 (33.8) | 0.02 |
| 90~ ml/min/1.73m ² [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2774 (67.0) | 502 (70.6) | 2272 (66.2) | |
| Grip strength (kg) | 29.3 (23.8~36.5) | 29.5 (24.9~36.2) | 29.3 (23.5~36.7) | 0.13 |
| Grip strength group | | | | |
| T1 [n (%)] | 1386 (33.5) | 209 (29.4) | 1177 (34.3) | 0.01 |
| T2 [n (%)] | 1387 (33.5) | 268 (37.7) | 1199 (32.6) | 0.01 |
| T3 [n (%)] | 1369 (33.1) | 234 (32.9) | 1135 (33.1) | |
| Height (cm) | 157.7 (152.0~163.8) | 157.9 (152.0~163.7) | 157.6 (152.0~163.9) | 0.64 |
| Weight (kg) | 58 (51.3~65.5) | 57.1 (50.8~65.1) | 58.2 (51.4~65.7) | 0.08 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.2 (21~25.7) | 22.9 (20.8~25.4) | 23.3 (21.1~25.8) | 0.01 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 84.4 (78.0~92.0) | 83.6 (77.0~90.2) | 84.8 (78.1~92.0) | < 0.01 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 127 (114~141) | 128 (114~142) | 127 (114~141) | 0.72 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 75 (67~83) | 74 (66~83) | 75 (67~83) | 0.41 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 92 (83~102) | 92 (83~103) | 92 (84~102) | 0.76 |
| Depression symptom [n (%)] | 1904 (46.0) | 319 (44.9) | 1585 (46.2) | 0.52 |
| Self-report hypertension [n (%)] | 887 (21.4) | 157 (22.1) | 730 (21.3) | 0.63 |
| Self-report dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 333 (8.0) | 58 (8.2) | 275 (8.0) | 0.90 |
| Self-report diabetes or HBG [n (%)] | 191 (4.6) | 28 (3.9) | 163 (4.8) | 0.35 |
| Self-report arthritis or rheumatism $[n (\%)]$ | 1345 (32.5) | 235 (33.1) | 1110 (32.4) | 0.71 |
| Antihypertensive therapy $[n (\%)]$ | 664 (16.0) | 119 (16.7) | 545 (19.5) | 0.57 |
| Lipid-lowering therapy [n (%)] | 187 (4.5) | 37 (5.2) | 150 (4.4) | 0.33 |
| Hypoglycemic therapy $[n \ (\%)]$ | 127 (3.1) | 19 (2.7) | 108 (3.1) | 0.50 |
| Therapy for arthritis or rheumatism [n (%)] | 643 (15.5) | 116 (16.3) | 527 (15.4) | 0.52 |
| Metabolic syndrome [n (%)] | 1321 (31.9) | 207 (29.1) | 1114 (32.5) | 0.08 |
| MetS scores | -0.1 (-0.4~0.3) | -0.1 (-0.5~0.3) | 0 (-0.4~0.3) | 0.02 |
| Metabolic syndrome components | | | | |
| Central obesity $[n (\%)]$ | 1726 (41.7) | 264 (37.1) | 1462 (42.6) | < 0.01 |
| Elevated blood pressure $[n (\%)]$ | 2099 (50.7) | 368 (51.8) | 1731 (50.5) | 0.52 |
| Dyslipidemia $[n (\%)]$ | 1595 (38.5) | 278 (39.1) | 1317 (38.4) | 0.72 |
| Elevated blood glucose $[n (\%)]$ | 2456 (59.3) | 383 (53.9) | 2073 (60.4) | < 0.01 |
| Baseline non-MetS group | 2.00 (07.0) | | 20/0 (00.1) | 0.01 |
| MetS-free [n (%)] | 2460 (59.4) | 444 (62 4) | 2016 (58.8) | |
| MetS-developed $[n (%)]$ | 361 (8 7) | 60 (8 4) | 301 (8.8) | |
| Baseline Mets group | 501 (0.7) | ד.ט) טט | 501 (0.0) | |
| MetS_recovery [# (%)] | 400 (12 0) | 64 (0 0) | 435 (12 7) | |
| $M = \{0, 1\} = \{0, 1\}$ | ч77 (12.0) | 142 (20.1) | -733(12.7) | |

estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome.

grip strength is divided into T1, T2 and T3 groups by one-third percentile.

Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression of rapid eGFR decline between study groups

| 8 8 | 1 | | 18 I | |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | Model 1 | | Model 2 | |
| | Adjusted OR | P value | Adjusted OR | P value |
| | (95% CI) | | (95% CI) | |
| Baseline MetS groups | | | | |
| MetS-chronic | ref | | ref | |
| MetS-recovery | 0.68 (0.50-0.95) | 0.02 | 0.64 (0.45-0.90) | 0.01 |
| Baseline non-MetS groups | | | | |
| MetS-free | ref | | ref | |
| MetS-developed | 0.93 (0.69-1.25) | 0.64 | 1.00 (0.73-1.38) | 0.98 |

Model 1: additional adjusted for age and sex.

Model 2: additional adjusted for age, sex, serum creatinine, eGFR classification, grip strength classification; hemoglobin; MetS scores and body mass index.

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; MetS: metabolic syndrome. 485

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression of rapid eGFR decline between study groups according the changes of MetS components

| | Model 1 | Model 1 | | Model 2 | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|--|
| | Adjusted OR | P value | Adjusted OR | P value | |
| | (95% CI) | | (95% CI) | | |
| Recovered components in baseli | ine MetS groups (chronic | MetS compon | ents as reference) | | |
| Central obesity | 0.29 (0.15-0.59) | 0.01 | 0.31 (0.15-0.65) | < 0.01 | |
| Elevated blood pressure | 0.80 (0.50-1.26) | 0.33 | 0.79 (0.49-1.27) | 0.33 | |
| Elevated SBP | 0.89 (0.61-1.31) | 0.56 | 0.88 (0.59-1.30) | 0.51 | |
| Elevated DBP | 0.75 (0.46-1.23) | 0.26 | 0.68 (0.41-1.15) | 0.15 | |
| Dyslipidemia | 1.09 (0.82-1.44) | 0.57 | 1.05 (0.78-1.40) | 0.77 | |
| Elevated TG | 1.22 (0.87-1.72) | 0.26 | 1.14 (0.79-1.36) | 0.50 | |
| Decreased HDL | 0.84 (0.59-1.12) | 0.32 | 0.85 (0.59-1.22) | 0.38 | |
| Elevated blood glucose | 1.08 (0.87-1.34) | 0.49 | 1.08 (0.86-1.36) | 0.52 | |
| Elevated fasting glucose | 1.14 (0.91-1.43) | 0.25 | 1.13 (0.89-1.43) | 0.32 | |
| Developed components in baseline non-MetS groups (free MetS components as reference) | | | | | |
| Central obesity | 1.21 (0.92-1.59) | 0.16 | 1.32 (0.97-1.77) | 0.74 | |
| Elevated blood pressure | 0.84 (0.63-1.13) | 0.26 | 0.87 (0.64-1.18) | 0.37 | |
| Elevated SBP | 0.88 (0.66-1.17) | 0.37 | 0.92 (0.68-1.23) | 0.56 | |
| Elevated DBP | 0.88 (0.62-1.24) | 0.46 | 0.91 (0.63-1.30) | 0.59 | |
| Dyslipidemia | 0.92 (0.69-1.22) | 0.54 | 0.96 (0.72-1.30) | 0.81 | |
| Elevated TG | 0.93 (0.70-1.25) | 0.64 | 1.02 (0.75-1.37) | 0.91 | |
| Decreased HDL | 1.02 (0.65-1.59) | 0.95 | 0.97 (0.61-1.55) | 0.91 | |
| Elevated blood glucose | 1.07 (0.76-1.50) | 0.71 | 1.07 (0.75-1.52) | 0.71 | |
| Elevated fasting glucose | 1.06 (0.74-1.51) | 0.76 | 1.09 (0.76-1.57) | 0.64 | |

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; MetS: metabolic syndrome;

| 3 | |
|-----|--|
| 1 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 10 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 25 | |
| 20 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 22 | |
| 22 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 10 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| /\Q | |
| 40 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 55 | |
| 50 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |

59 60

- SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high density lipoprotein. Model 1: additional adjusted for age and sex. Model 2: additional adjusted for age, sex, serum creatinine, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, hemoglobin, MetS score and body mass index. Each Mets components run in their own model to predict rapid eGFR decline 486 Figure 1. Flowchart of participants selection. eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration 487 rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome 488 Supplementary file

 ucs ot

 s of variabi

 489 Table S1. Baseline characteristics of participants included and excluded in the study 490 Table S2. Univariate analysis of variables between eGFR decline group and non-rapid
 - 491 eGFR decline group





Flowchart of participants selection. eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome

193x135mm (96 x 96 DPI)
| | the Chine Health and Detinement Longitudinal Stude |
|---|--|
| | the Unina Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study |
| | Peijia Liu, ^{1,2} Leile Tang, ³ Jia fang, ¹ Chaojin Chen, ⁴ " Xun Liu ¹ " |
| Department | of Nephrology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Gu |
| Guangdong, | China; |
| ² Department | of Nephrology, The Eighth People's Hospital of Guangzhou, Guangzhou, Gu |
| China; | |
| ³ Department | of Cardiology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Gu |
| Guangdong, | China |
| ⁴ Department | of Anesthesiology, Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Gu |
| Guangdong, | China |
| | |
| *Correspon | idence to |
| Dr Xun Liu; | |
| naturestyle@ | <u>0163.com;</u> |
| Department | of Nephrology, |
| The Third A | ffiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| Guangzhou, | Guangdong, 510630, China |
| & | |
| Dr Chaojin (| Chen; |
| | mail.sysu.edu.cn; |
| chenchj28@ | |
| chenchj28@ Department | of Anesthesiology, |
| chenchj28@ Department The Third A | of Anesthesiology, ffiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |

| T 11 01 D 1 | 1 4 • 4• 0 | | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 4 41 4 1 |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Lahla VI Racalina | charactaristics of | norticinonte incli | udad and avelu | dad in tha study |
| I ADIC DI. DASCHIE | unai actul istius ui | participants men | ицси анц слен | ucu m inc siuuy |
| | | | | •/ |

| | participants included | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| | in baseline | group 1 | group 2 | |
| Characteristics | (n=7116) | (n=2974) | (n=4142) | P-valu |
| Age (years) | 58 (52~65) | 58 (52~64) | 58 (51~66) | 0.98 |
| Male [<i>n</i> (%)] | 3332 (46.8) | 1458 (49.0) | 1874 (45.2) | 0.002 |
| Married with spouse $[n (\%)]$ | 5977 (84.0) | 2974 (81.7) | 3548 (87.5) | < 0.00 |
| Education | | | | 0.07 |
| Illiterate $[n (\%)]$ | 2106 (29.6) | 900 (30.3) | 1206 (29.1) | |
| Middle school and below $[n (\%)]$ | 2853 (40.1) | 1146 (38.5) | 1770 (41.2) | |
| High school and above $[n (\%)]$ | 2157 (30.3) | 928 (31.2) | 1229 (29.7) | |
| Household per capita income (yuan) | 6748 (2417~14298) | 6461 (2337~13487) | 7276 (2500~15600) | 0.02 |
| Drink [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2461 (34.6) | 1054 (35.4) | 1470 (32.2) | 0.20 |
| Smoke [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2775 (39.0) | 1208 (40.6) | 1567 (37.8) | 0.02 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 15.1 (12.52~17.90) | 15.0 (12.5~17.8) | 15.1 (12.8~18.0) | 0.16 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dl) | 102.4 (94.5~111.6) | 102.4 (94.9~111.2) | 102.1 (94.1~112.5) | 0.72 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.76 (0.64~0.86) | 0.75 (0.64~0.85) | 0.76 (0.66~0.88) | < 0.00 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 190.2 (168.2~215.3) | 190.6 (168.6~215.7) | 189.8 (167.4~214.9) | 0.36 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | 104.4 (74.34~147.8) | 105.3 (74.34~148.7) | 104.4 (73.46~147.8) | 0.77 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 49.5 (41.0~59.9) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 49.9 (41.4~60.3) | 0.02 |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | 1.03 (0.54~2.04) | 1.02 (0.54~1.97) | 1.04 (0.55~2.17) | 0.62 |
| GHbA1c (%) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 0.65 |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 4.3 (3.6~5.1) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.4 (3.6~5.1) | < 0.00 |
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 0.75 |
| Height (cm) | 157.9 (152.0~164.1) | 157.7 (152.0~163.8) | 157.9 (152~164.5) | 0.74 |
| Weight (kg) | 57.7 (51.0~65.4) | 58.0 (51.3~65.5) | 57.5 (50.3~65.2) | 0.01 |
| Waist (cm) | 84.3 (77.6~91.4) | 84.4 (78.0~92.0) | 84.0 (77.0~91.0) | 0.08 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.1 (20.8~25.6) | 23.2 (21.0~25.7) | 22.9 (20.6~25.5) | 0.01 |
| Hand grip strength (kg) | 29.3 (23.5~36.5) | 29.1 (23.0~36.5) | 29.3 (23.8~36.5) | 0.59 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 127.3 (114.7~141.3) | 127.0 (114.3~141.0) | 127.7 (115.3~142.0) | 0.32 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 74.7 (67.3~83.0) | 74.7 (67.0~82.7) | 75.0 (67.3~83.0) | 0.34 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 92.6 (83.7~102.0) | 92.2 (83.4~101.7) | 92.6 (84.0~102.6) | 0.28 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) | 95.2 (85.5~102.7) | 95.9 (86.4~102.9) | 94.0 (84.3~102.3) | < 0.00 |
| Depression symptom $[n (\%)]$ | 3172 (44.6) | 1268 (42.6) | 1904 (46.0) | 0.005 |
| Metabolic syndrome $[n (\%)]$ | 2228 (31.3) | 907 (30.5) | 1321 (31.9) | 0.21 |
| Metabolic syndrome components | | | | |
| Elevated blood pressure $[n (\%)]$ | 3622 (50.9) | 1523 (51.2) | 2099 (50.7) | 0.66 |
| Elevated blood glucose $[n (\%)]$ | 4179 (58.7) | 1723 (57.9) | 2456 (59.3) | 0.25 |
| Dyslipidemia $[n (\%)]$ | 2673 (37.6) | 1078 (36.2) | 1595 (38.5) | 0.052 |
| Central obesity $[n (\%)]$ | 2862 (40.2) | 1136 (38.2) | 1726 (41.7) | 0.00° |

sensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR:estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome. Group 1: participants included in the baseline and excluded after follow-up; Group 2: participants included in the study.

| Table S2. Univariate analysis of variables between eGFR decline group and non-rapid eGFR |
|--|
| |

| Fable S2. Univariate analysis of variables betw | een eGFR d | ecline group and no | on-rapid e |
|---|------------|---------------------|----------------|
| lecline group | | | |
| Characteristics | Coef. | 95% Conf. | <i>P</i> -valu |
| Sex (female as ref) | 0.200 | 0.038~0.362 | 0.02 |
| Age (years) | 0.011 | 0.002~0.021 | 0.02 |
| Married status [n (%)] | | | |
| Other married status | ref | ref | |
| Married with spouse | 0.013 | -0.218~0.245 | 0.91 |
| Education | | | |
| Illiterate | ref | ref | |
| Middle school and below | 0.160 | 0.037~0.359 | 0.11 |
| High school and above | 0.097 | -0.118~0.311 | 0.34 |
| Household per capita income (per 10000 yuan) | 0.005 | -0.053~0.062 | 0.88 |
| Drink [<i>n</i> (%)] | | | |
| Smoke [<i>n</i> (%)] | 0.022 | -0.145~0.188 | 0.80 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 0.019 | -0.001~0.038 | 0.06 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dl) | -0.002 | -0.005~0.001 | 0.28 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)) | -1.818 | -2.368~-1.268 | < 0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | -0.001 | -0.004~0.001 | 0.21 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | -0.0004 | - | 0.39 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 0.007 | -0.005~0.006 | 0.80 |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | 0.004 | -0.013~0.020 | 0.66 |
| GHbA1c (%) | -0.051 | -0.167~0.066 | 0.40 |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 0.003 | -0.066~0.072 | 0.93 |
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) | -0.102 | -0.144~-0.060 | < 0.001 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) | 0.023 | 0.017~0.030 | < 0.001 |
| eGFR group | | | |
| 60~89 ml/min/1.73m ² [n (%)] | ref | ref | |
| 90~ ml/min/1.73m ² [n (%)] | 0.203 | 0.026~0.379 | 0.02 |
| Grip strength (kg) | 0.004 | -0.005~0.012 | 0.38 |
| Grip strength group | | | |
| T1 [<i>n</i> (%)] | ref | ref | |
| T2 [n (%)] | 0.311 | 0.112~0.511 | < 0.01 |
| T3 [n (%)] | 0.148 | -0.056~0.353 | 0.16 |
| Height (cm) | 0.003 | -0.007~0.013 | 0.58 |
| Weight (kg) | -0.008 | -0.015~0.000 | 0.048 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | -0.032 | -0.056~-0.009 | 0.01 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | -0.012 | -0.018~-0.005 | < 0.00 |

| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | -0.001 | -0.008~0.005 | 0.76 |
|--|--------|---------------|-------|
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 0.001 | -0.005~0.007 | 0.75 |
| Depression symptom $[n (\%)]$ | -0.054 | -0.216~0.109 | 0.52 |
| Self-report hypertension $[n (\%)]$ | 0.047 | -0.148~0.243 | 0.63 |
| Self-report dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 0.019 | -0.276~0.315 | 0.90 |
| Self-report diabetes or HBG [n (%)] | -0.196 | -0.065~0.213 | 0.35 |
| Self-report arthritis or rheumatism $[n (\%)]$ | -0.032 | -0.204~0.140 | 0.71 |
| Antihypertensive therapy [n (%)] | 0.062 | -0.155~0.280 | 0.57 |
| Lipid-lowering therapy [n (%)] | 0.183 | -0.186~0.552 | 0.33 |
| Hypoglycemic therapy [n (%)] | -0.169 | -0.663~0.326 | 0.50 |
| Therapy for arthritis or rheumatism $[n (\%)]$ | 0.072 | -0.148~0.291 | 0.52 |
| Metabolic syndrome [n (%)] | -0.158 | -0.334~0.019 | 0.08 |
| MetS scores | -0.138 | -0.279~0.003 | 0.055 |
| Metabolic syndrome components | | | |
| Central obesity $[n (\%)]$ | -0.229 | -0.395~-0.062 | 0.01 |
| Elevated blood pressure $[n (\%)]$ | 0.052 | -0.109~0.214 | 0.53 |
| Dyslipidemia [<i>n</i> (%)] | 0.030 | -0.135~0.196 | 0.72 |
| Elevated blood glucose [<i>n</i> (%)] | -0.268 | -0.431~0.105 | 0.001 |
| | | | |

Data are n (%) or median (interquartile range); HDL: high density lipoprotein; hs-CRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR:

estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome.

grip strength is divided into T1, T2 and T3 groups by one-third percentile.

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

| | Item No | Recommendation |
|------------------------|------------|--|
| Title and abstract | 1-3 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract |
| | 10 | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done |
| | | and what was found |
| Introduction | | |
| Background/rationale | 4 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported |
| Objectives | 4-5 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses |
| Methods | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper |
| Setting | 4-5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, |
| | | exposure, follow-up, and data collection |
| Participants | 5 | (a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of |
| | | selection of participants. |
| Data sources/ | 5-6* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of |
| measurement | | assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is |
| | | more than one group |
| Variables | 6-8 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect |
| | | modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable |
| Bias | 5 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias |
| Study size | 5 | Explain how the study size was arrived at |
| Quantitative variables | 8-9 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, |
| | | describe which groupings were chosen and why |
| Statistical methods | 8-9 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed |
| | | (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed |
| | | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses |
| Results | | |
| Participants | 9* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially |
| | | eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, |
| | | completing follow-up, and analysed |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram |
| Descriptive data | 10* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and |
| | | information on exposures and potential confounders |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest |
| | | (c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) |
| Outcome data | 10* | Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time |
| | | Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary |
| | | measures of exposure |
| | | Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures |
| Main results | 10 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and |
| | | their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were |
| | | adjusted for and why they were included |

| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized |
|-------------------|-------|---|
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a |
| | | meaningful time period |
| Other analyses | 10-11 | Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and |
| | | sensitivity analyses |
| Discussion | | |
| Key results | 11 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives |
| Limitations | 12-13 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or |
| | | imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias |
| Interpretation | 11-13 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations |
| | | multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence |
| Generalisability | 13 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results |
| Other information | | |
| Funding | 13 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if |
| | | applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based |

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Association between recovery/occurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid estimated glomerular filtration rate decline in middle-aged and older populations: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2021-059504.R3 |
| Article Type: | Original research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 07-Sep-2022 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Liu, Peijia; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology; Guangzhou Eighth People's Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Department of Nephrology Tang, Leile; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Cardiology Fang, Jia; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology Chen, Chaojin; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University Liu, Xun; Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Department of Nephrology |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Nutrition and metabolism |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Urology, Diabetes and endocrinology, Public health |
| Keywords: | Chronic renal failure < NEPHROLOGY, Lipid disorders < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH |
| | |

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

review only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| 3 4 | 1 | Association between recovery/occurrence of metabolic syndrome and rapid |
|----------------------------|----|--|
| 5 6 | 2 | estimated glomerular filtration rate decline in middle-aged and older |
| 7 8 | 3 | populations: evidence from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal |
| 9 10 | 4 | Study |
| 11 12 | 5 | Peijia Liu. ^{1,2} Leile Tang. ³ Jia fang. ¹ Chaojin Chen. ^{4*} Xun Liu ^{1*} |
| 13 | 6 | Department of Nonbrology. The Third Affiliated Hagnital of Sup Vat San University. |
| 14 15 | 0 | Department of Nephrology, The Third Affinated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen Oniversity, |
| 16 17 | 7 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; |
| 18 19 | 8 | ² Department of Nephrology, The Eighth People's Hospital of Guangzhou, |
| 20 | 9 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; |
| 21 22 22 | 10 | ³ Department of Cardiology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 23 24 25 | 11 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China |
| 25 26 27 | 12 | ⁴ Department of Anesthesiology, Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, |
| 27 28 20 | 13 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, China |
| 29 30 31 | 14 | |
| 32 33 | 15 | *Correspondence to |
| 34 35 | 16 | Dr Xun Liu; |
| 36 37 | 17 | naturestyle@163.com; |
| 38 39 | 18 | Department of Nephrology, |
| 40 41 | 19 | The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 42 43 | 20 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510630, China |
| 44 45 | 21 | & |
| 46 47 | 22 | Dr Chaojin Chen; |
| 48 49 | 23 | chenchj28@mail.sysu.edu.cn; |
| 50 51 | 24 | Department of Anesthesiology, |
| 52 53 | 25 | The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| 54 55 56 57 58 | 26 | Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510630, China |

| 2 |
|----------|
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 20 |
| 27 |
| 20 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 77 15 |
| л5 Л6 |
| 40 |
| 4/ |
| 4ð |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 56 |
| 57 |
| 58 |
| 59 |

60

27 Abstract

28 **Objectives** Few studies have explored correlations between metabolic syndrome 29 (MetS) alterations and renal deterioration in longitudinal cohorts. We aim to 30 investigate associations between MetS recovery/development and rapid estimated 31 glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline in the China Health and Retirement 32 Longitudinal Study (CHARLS).

- 33 **Design** Longitudinal cohort study.
- 34 **Setting** This study is a secondary analysis of CHARLS.

35 Participants After excluding individuals with age < 45 years old, eGFR < 60
36 ml/min/1.73m² and clinician-reported malignant tumor, heart disease, stroke or kidney
37 disease at baseline, 4142 participants with complete data were selected from the
38 CHARLS during the 4-year follow-up period (2011-2015).

Outcome measures MetS were measured at 2011 and 2015 in CHARLS. A rapid
eGFR decline was defined as an average annual eGFR decline of > 3 ml/min/1.73m².
The associations between rapid eGFR decline and MetS recovery/development were
analyzed using multivariable adjusted logistic models.

43 **Results** According to MetS baseline status and follow-up, participants were divided 44 into four groups: (1) 2460 (59.4%) in the MetS-free group, (2) 361 (8.7%) in the 45 MetS-developed group, (3) 499 (12.0%) in the MetS recovery-group, and (4) 822 46 (19.8%) in the MetS-chronic group. When compared with the MetS-chronic group, 47 the multivariable adjusted odds ratio (OR) of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-recovery group was 0.64 (OR: 0.64; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.45–0.90, P 48 49 = 0.01). In contrast, when compared with the MetS-free group, the multivariable 50 adjusted OR of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-developed group was 1.00 (OR: 1.00; 51 95% CI: 0.73–1.38, *P* = 0.98).

52 **Conclusions** Over the 4-year follow-up period, we found that MetS recovery was 53 associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older adults, 54 while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. Recovery from MetS

| 55 | appeared to protect against a rapid decline in eGFR. |
|----|--|
| 56 | Keywords: chronic renal failure; lipid disorders; public health; |
| 57 | |
| 58 | Strength |
| 59 | A high-quality data from a nationally representative longitudinal cohort was applied |
| 60 | to confirm the association between altered metabolic syndrome status and rapid |
| 61 | glomerular filtration rate decline. |
| 62 | The metabolic syndrome scores calculated by principal component analysis was |
| 63 | applied for model calibration in the study. |
| 64 | Limitation |
| 65 | Some participants were missing during the follow-up, which biased the results of the |
| 66 | study. |
| 67 | Blood tests related to metabolic syndrome and serum creatinine were performed only |
| 68 | once, resulting in data inaccuracy. |
| 69 | The unavailability of urine tests and kidney imaging prevented the analysis of the |
| 70 | association between metabolic syndrome status and chronic kidney disease. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

71 Introduction

Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of clinical characteristics related to abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, elevated blood glucose (BG), and elevated blood pressure (BP) ¹⁻³. As of 2017, there were approximately 1 billion individuals with MetS around the world, of which China accounted for 21.7%⁴. In China, MetS prevalence has been undergoing a steady increase, concomitant with an increasingly aged population, an obesity epidemic, and increased diabetes and hypertension levels, which collectively pose a considerable threat to people's health and impose a heavy burden on healthcare systems ⁴⁻⁶.

While investigations of causality relationships between MetS and cardiovascular events have gained considerable traction in recent years ⁷⁻⁹, MetS also impacts the kidneys. It is accepted that the pathological mechanisms underpinning MetS mainly include insulin resistance, increased oxidative stress, and a chronic inflammatory state, which may lead to kidney degeneration and chronic kidney disease (CKD) development ⁵ ⁶. Previously, it was confirmed that MetS and associated components (abdominal obesity, elevated BG, elevated BP, and lipid metabolic disorder) are strongly related to CKD and a decreased estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ¹⁰⁻¹⁴. Several longitudinal studies reported that MetS and its components were associated with incremental rapid eGFR decline and CKD incidence ¹⁵⁻¹⁸. However, these studies failed to articulate the relationship between MetS alterations and renal function changes. This dearth of information on this subject warrants further study, especially within a Chinese population context.

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) is a prospective cohort study conducted by the National School of Development, Peking University, China ¹⁹. The nationwide sample assesses the social, behavioral, and health status of individuals aged 45 and older ¹⁹. The CHARLS baseline survey was implemented in 2011 (Wave 1), and the samples were followed up every two years. Blood samples from populations were only collected in 2011 (Wave1) and 2015 (Wave 3). In the current study, we explored the relationship between MetS recovery/occurrence and

100 rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older populations in the 4-year follow-up101 cohort.

102 Methods

103 Study population

104 CHARLS is a nationally representative longitudinal survey on the social, 105 economic, and health status of Chinese citizens aged \geq 45 and their spouses in the 106 community ¹⁹. In total, 17,708 participants were registered at baseline (Wave 1 at 107 2011), of which 11,847 had blood sample tests.

In this study, our exclusion criteria excluded participants with the following: 1) missing values; 2) without fasting blood values; 3) baseline eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73m²; 4) clinician-reported malignant tumor, heart disease, stroke or kidney disease; 5) < 45 years old; and 6) no follow-up records and related blood examinations in Wave 3 at 2015. After applying these criteria, 4142 participants were finally included. The participant screening process is outlined (Fig. 1).

The Medical Ethics Review Committee of Peking University approved this study.
All participants provided written informed consent before participating. This study is
a secondary analysis of a public dataset and does not require ethics approval again.

117 Blood examinations

At baseline (Wave 1), blood measurements and hemoglobin were assayed by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention of the local county, whereas other biochemical indicators were analyzed by Youanmen Center for Clinical Laboratory of Capital Medical University, Beijing, China. Serum creatinine (Scr) was measured by the picric acid method; blood urea nitrogen (BUN) was determined by an enzymatic UV method with urease; blood glucose (BG), total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and triglyceride (TG) were assayed by enzymatic colormetric tests; glycosylated hemoglobin (GHbA1c) was determined by high performance liquid chromatography; high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) was examined by immunoturbidimetric assay; and uric acid (UA) was determined by the

UA plus method ²⁰. Blood specimen testing in 2015 (Wave 3) was completed by KingMed Diagnostics, the leading third-party institution in China, which has testing laboratories in 27 provincial-level cities nationwide. GHbA1c, Scr, HDL, TG and BG were the required blood biomarkers from Wave 3. GHbA1c and Scr levels were determined by the same methods as Wave 1, while HDL was determined by a direct method, TG by an oxidase method, and BG by a hexokinase method ²¹. The collection, storage, transport, processing, and other blood sample details are described elsewhere ²⁰ ²¹. Of note, the models and manufacturer information of blood test instruments in Wave 1 and Wave 3 were not available. All inspections and calibrations were performed by trained personnel.

Definition and grouping of MetS

Currently, there was no unified definition for MetS. The World Health Origination (WHO) diagnostic criteria proposed in 1999, the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Panel III (ATP III) diagnostic criteria proposed in 2005, and International Diabetes Federation (IDF) diagnostic criteria proposed in 2006 were commonly used for metabolic syndrome ²². These diagnostic criteria basically related to abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, glucose metabolism disorder, and elevated blood pressure. However, these diagnostic criteria had different views and cut-off values for some specific indicators. This study adopted the 2018 China Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension (CGPTH) definition for MetS, which was similar to the ATP III diagnostic criteria². Compared with ATP III diagnostic criteria, the cut points of waist circumference defined by CGPTH were smaller and more suitable for the Chinese population. According to the 2018 CGPTH definition, MetS was diagnosed when three of the following four conditions were met: 1) Central obesity: waist circumference (WC) \ge 90 cm in men and \ge 85 cm in women; 2) Elevated BP: systolic blood pressure (SBP) \geq 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure $(DBP) \ge 85$ mmHg, or diagnosed as hypertension and treated; 3) Dyslipidemia: fasting TG \geq 150 mg/dL, or HDL \leq 40 mg/dL, or diagnosed as dyslipidemia and

156treated; and 4) Elevated BG: Fasting BG (FBG) $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dL}$, or 2 h postprandial BG157 $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dL}$, or diagnosed as diabetes and treated 2. Diabetes was defined as fasting158BG $\geq 126 \text{ mg/dL}$, and/or HbA1c $\geq 6.5\%$, and/or a self-reported history of diabetes 23.159Of note, we did not have 2 h postprandial BG data.

According to MetS baseline status and follow-up, participants were categorized into 1) MetS-free, 2) MetS-developed, 3) MetS-recovery, and 4) MetS-chronic groups.

163 Study outcomes

We calculated eGFR values using the 2012 Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation based on creatinine levels ²⁴. A rapid eGFR decline was defined as an average annual eGFR decline of > 3 ml/min/1.73m² ¹⁶ ²⁵. In this study, we defined a rapid eGFR decline as the eGFR in Wave 3 minus the eGFR in Wave 1, > 12 ml/min/1.73m².

169 MetS scores

MetS severity potentially affects the recovery or occurrence of MetS. For instance, individuals with high MetS severity may be less liable to recover. Similarly, for those without MetS, it is not straightforward to progress to severe MetS. Therefore, MetS scores were introduced to assess MetS severity in the study, which was thought to be more sufficient and accurate than other ways using the number of symptoms and complications to reflect MetS severity ²⁶ ²⁷. These scores were calculated using principal component (PC) analysis of WC, mean arterial pressure (MAP), FBG, fasting TG, and the inverse HDL values. All MetS related variables were normalized by 0-1. According to the PC analysis results, PC1 and PC2 explained 38.9% and 20.9% of the variance, respectively. MetS scores were calculated as follows:

54
55
56
57
58
60
181
PC1 =
$$0.369 \times WC + 0.378 \times FBG + 0.585 \times TG + 0.562 \times \left(\frac{1}{HDL}\right) + 0.252 \times MAP,$$

57
58
60
182
PC2 = $0.503 \times WC - 0.171 \times FBG - 0.268 \times TG - 0.274 \times \left(\frac{1}{HDL}\right) + 0.755 \times MAP,$
MetS score = $0.389 \times PC1 + 0.209 \times PC2$

Other covariates

All potential covariates were all collected at baseline in Wave 1, including gender (male vs. female), age, marital status (married with spouse vs. others), education (illiterate, middle school and below, or high school and above), household per capita income, smoking (ves vs. no), drinking (ves vs. no), eGFR, grip strength, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), WC, SBP, DBP, MAP, depressive symptom (yes vs. no), self-reporting disease (hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia and), and corresponding medication. We categorized eGFR into two groups: 60-89 and ≥ 90 . Grip strength was divided into three groups (T1, T2, and T3) according to the one-third percentile. BMI was calculated by weight (kg)/height squared (m²). The BP of each participant was measured three times every 45-60 s with the OmronTM HEM-7112 sphygmomanometer (Omron Co. LTD, Dalian, China) at rest. Both SBP and DBP were averaged from three measurements. MAP was defined as MAP = $1/3 \times$ SBP + $2/3 \times$ DBP. Previous study demonstrated that depressive symptom was association with baseline eGFR ²⁸. Thus, we should not overlook this variable. The 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CESD-10) was applied in the study ²⁹. A CESD-10 score \geq 10 was grouped into the depressive symptom group, and < 10 into the non-depressive symptom group. Self-reporting disease was disease diagnosed by a doctor. Medical interventions included taking Chinese traditional and Western modern medicines.

204 Statistical methods

205 The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of continuous 206 variables. Continuous variables were expressed by the median (interquartile range) 207 and categorical variables by frequency (%). The Mann-Whitney U test was performed 208 on continuous variables, and categorical variables between the rapid eGFR decline 209 group and the non-rapid eGFR decline group were tested by the Chi-square test. In 210 preliminary analyses, variables with P values < 0.15 were used to calibrate the logistic 211 model. Continuous variables not presenting a linear relationship with the logit

conversion value of the dependent variable were converted to categorical variables. Tolerance and variance inflation factors (VIFs) were used to test for collinearity. This existed if the tolerance was < 0.1 or the VIF was > 10. Eventually, age, sex, BMI, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification and MetS scores were selected as confounding variables for model adjustments in this study. Most selected covariates have been reported to be related to renal events ^{26 30-33}. Univariate analysis of variables between eGFR decline group and non-rapid eGFR decline group were carried out. Logistic models were used to test the association between MetS recovery/occurrence and eGFR rapid decline after adjusting for multiple confounding factors. Furthermore, alterations in MetS status were accompanied by changes of diagnostic conditions (elevated blood glucose, elevated blood pressure, central obesity and dyslipidemia). As a result, logistic models were used to explore the relationship between the recovery/occurrence of Mets components and the rapid decline of eGFR using different adjustments of confounding factors, respectively. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (two-sided test). Statistics were generated in IBM SPSS 20.0 software (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) and StataMP 16 software (StataCorp, Texas, USA).

229 Patient and public involvement

230 There were no participants involved in the development. The results of the231 survey are disseminated to the public through websites.

233 Results

234 Participant characteristics

As shown (Fig. 1), 4142 participants were selected, including 2460 (59.4%) in the MetS-free group, 361 (8.7%) in the MetS-developed group, 499 (12.0%) in the MetS recovery-group, and 822 (19.8%) in the MetS-chronic group. Comparison of the basic characteristics between the 4142 enrolled participants and 2974 ones that excluded during follow-up were shown in Supplemental Table 1. Page 11 of 27

BMJ Open

Participant characteristics were grouped by the eGFR decline rate (Table 1). A rapid decline in eGFR developed in 711 (17.2%) participants during the 4-year follow-up. The median age was 58 (52~64) years and males accounted for 42.5% at baseline. In contrast to rapid eGFR decline group, the non-rapid eGFR decline group was significantly higher with respect to FBG, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR, weight, BMI, WC, MetS scores, central obesity, and elevated BG (all P < 0.05). Those in non-rapid eGFR decline group were more likely to be female and younger when compared with the eGFR decline group (both P < 0.05).

248 Rapid eGFR decline odds based on Mets recovery or occurrence

Univariate analysis was conducted to select covariates for correction (Supplemental Table 2). As shown (Table 2), after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, Scr, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS scores, the odds ratio (OR) of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-recovery group was 0.64 (OR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.45–0.90, P = 0.01) when compared with the MetS-chronic group. In contrast, after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, serum creatinine, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS score, the OR of rapid eGFR decline in the MetS-developed group was 1.00 (OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.73–1.38, P =0.98) when compared with the MetS-free group.

258 MetS components and rapid eGFR decline odds

The association of changes in the composition of MetS groups with rapid eGFR decline is shown (Table 3). In the baseline MetS population, after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, serum creatinine, hemoglobin, eGFR classification, grip strength classification, and MetS score, the OR of rapid eGFR decline in the population recovered from central obesity was 0.31 (OR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.15–0.65, P < 0.01) when compared with chronic central obesity, whereas recovery from elevated BP, dyslipidemia, and elevated BG did not show statistically significant differences when compared with the corresponding population (all P > 0.05). In the baseline population without MetS, we observed no statistical difference in the rapid decline of eGFR

268 between the occurrence of all MetS component groups and corresponding contrast 269 groups (all P > 0.05). This was consistent with the overall trend.

Discussion

 We examined the relationship between MetS changes and rapid eGFR decline in a large nationwide cohort. At the 4-year follow-up, MetS recovery was significantly associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in the middle-aged and elderly, with only WC recovery consistent with the overall trend. The occurrence of MetS and its components did not significantly increase the risk of rapid eGFR decline. Further follow-up is required to elucidate the relationship between MetS dynamics and the rapid decline in eGFR.

Longitudinal cohort studies in several Asian countries concluded that MetS increased the risk of CKD, although follow-up times varied from study to study ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ ³⁴. However, the effect of MetS on the rapid decline of eGFR remains controversial. In a 3-year cohort, Cheng et al. found no significant correlations between MetS and eGFR rapid decline in the elderly ³⁴. However, other studies reported that baseline MetS was associated with a decline in eGFR and even acted as an independent predictor of eGFR decline ¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Wu et al. investigated the association between the MetS severity score and kidney function, and found that the MetS severity score was an independent risk factor for the CKD development and progressive eGFR decline, although the definition of rapid eGFR decline was different from this study ²⁶. Here, the Mets severity score was a continuous variable that was primarily used to calibrate the MetS (yes vs. no). We noted that none of the aforementioned studies accounted for the MetS status of participants during follow-up periods. In a 4-year follow-up cohort, Park et al. explored the relationship between MetS status change and CKD events and concluded that MetS recovery was associated with a decreased risk of CKD incidence, but the occurrence of MetS increased the risk of CKD incidence ³⁵. One of the highlights of the article was to observe the status of MetS three times over a 4-year period, thereby making the MetS diagnosis more robust. However, Park et al.

Page 13 of 27

BMJ Open

did not discuss the association with the rapid eGFR decline. In this study, we concluded that MetS recovery was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline, while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. It should be emphasized that we need to be cautious about the conclusion between the MetS occurrence and the rapid eGFR decline in this study. Because the follow-up time was short and the timing of MetS onset was unknown, the impairment of renal function caused by MetS may not have occurred in some populations. To sum up, studies exploring the relationship between MetS dynamic changes and the rapid decline of eGFR in the Chinese population are rare. Our investigation of the relationship between MetS recovery/occurrence and eGFR rapid decline in a large nationwide cohort may support renal function management in individuals with MetS.

The effect of MetS on renal function is complex, thus, no definitive mechanisms can explain our study observations. The evidence suggests that every component of MetS is associated with adverse renal events (10-14). It is accepted that hypertension and diabetes play pivotal roles in CKD development and progression ³⁶⁻³⁸. Also, lipid dysregulation and metabolism abnormal lipid distribution can lead to lipotoxicity-related renal damage ^{39 40}. Thus, MetS may result from the combined effects of central obesity, increased BP, insulin resistance, and blood lipid disorder, leading to physiopathological lipotoxicity, oxidative stress increments, endothelial dysfunction, elevated inflammation, and apoptosis, which would contribute to kidney dysfunction ^{5 39}. However, the relationship between MetS components and the weight of each factor on kidney injury remain unclear.

Our study had some limitations. Firstly, MetS diagnoses were not comprehensively checked (using multiple tests), and the exact timing of the MetS alteration is unknown. Secondly, renal stone disease, epiculopathy, epiculoepicardial disease or acute urinary tract infection are related to the occurrence and development of renal disease. Unfortunately, urine or kidney ultrasound results were unavailable in CHARLS cohort. Thirdly, CKD occurrence was not included as a study outcome

because of the lack of urine test results, which would underestimate the CKD incidence. Fourthly, blood analyses from Wave 1 and Wave 3 were performed at a different testing center, with inconsistent HDL, TG, and BG measurement methods, therefore, measurement errors may have occurred. Fifthly, a large proportion of individuals were excluded due to exclusion criteria or missing values, and the basic characteristics between the 4142 enrolled participants and 2974 ones that excluded during follow-up might have biased some of our results. Sixthly, we did not establish a model with all 4 MetS change groups included in the study.

332 Conclusions

 Over a 4-year follow-up, we observed that MetS recovery, including recovery of central obesity, was associated with a reduced risk of rapid eGFR decline in middle-aged and older adults, while MetS occurrence was not related to rapid eGFR decline. Reversing MetS, especially central obesity, might benefit the kidney function in MetS population. But, further follow-up studies are required to observe the relationship between MetS alterations and adverse renal events.

339 Contributors PL and LT contributed equally to this paper. PL, LT and JF—analysis 340 and interpretation of data and preparation of the manuscript. XL—study concept and 341 design, and preparation and critical review of the manuscript. CC—critical review and 342 statistical guidance of the revised manuscript. All authors have approved the final 343 manuscript.

Competing interests All authors declared no competing interests.

Funding This work was supported by Guangzhou Municipal Science and Technology
Bureau (202002020047) and Legal and Ethical Compliance Standards for Data Use in
Clinical Research on Major Chronic Diseases Based on Data Security
(2018YFC1315403).

Data availability statement CHARLS data of the study will be available to 350 investigators at the CHARLS website (http://charls.pku.edu.cn/en).

| 3 4 351 Ethics statement The Medical Ethics Review Committee of Peking University 352 approved this study and all participants provided written informed consent before 353 participating. This study is a secondary analysis of a public dataset and does not 9 10 354 require ethics approval again. | / ; t |
|--|-------------|
| approved this study and all participants provided written informed consent before participating. This study is a secondary analysis of a public dataset and does not require ethics approval again. | t |
| 353 participating. This study is a secondary analysis of a public dataset and does not 354 require ethics approval again. | t |
| 10 354 require ethics approval again. | <u>.</u> |
| | • |
| Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the China Health and Retirement | <u>.</u> |
| 15 356 Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) team for providing the data. 16 | L |
| 17357References18 | ŀ |
| 19 358 1. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. The metabolic syndromea new worldwide definition. Lances | |
| 20 359 (London, England) 2005;366(9491):1059-62. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(05)67402-8 [published] 21 360 Online First: 2005/09/27] | 1 |
| 22 360 Online First. 2003/03/27] | _ |
| 24 2(2) 2(2) 2(2) 2(2) 2(2) 2(2) 2(2) 2(| |
| 25 362 Committee of Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension. Journal of | F |
| 26 363 geriatric cardiology : JGC 2019;16(3):182-241. doi: 10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2019.03.014 | ł |
| 27 364 [published Online First: 2019/05/14] | |
| 365 3. Huang PL. A comprehensive definition for metabolic syndrome. Disease models & mechanisms | ; |
| 30 366 2009;2(5-6):231-7. doi: 10.1242/dmm.001180 [published Online First: 2009/05/02] | |
| 31 367 4. Saklayen MG. The Global Epidemic of the Metabolic Syndrome. Current hypertension reports | 5 |
| 32 368 2018;20(2):12. doi: 10.1007/s11906-018-0812-z [published Online First: 2018/02/27] | |
| 34 369 5. McCracken F. Monaghan M. Sreeniyasan S. Pathophysiology of the metabolic syndrome. <i>Clinics ir</i> | , |
| 35 370 dermatology 2019:36(1):14-20 doi: 10.1016/i.clindermatol.2017.09.004 [publiched Opling] | ` |
| 36 371 First: 2017/12/12 | • |
| 37 371 FIISt: 2017/12/10] | |
| 38 3/2 6. Zhang X, Lerman LO. The metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease. Translational research . | |
| 40 3/3 the journal of laboratory and clinical medicine 2017;183:14-25. doi | |
| 41 374 10.1016/j.trsl.2016.12.004 [published Online First: 2016/12/28] | |
| 42 375 7. Lee EY, Han K, Kim DH, et al. Exposure-weighted scoring for metabolic syndrome and the risk of | f |
| 43 376 myocardial infarction and stroke: a nationwide population-based study. <i>Cardiovascular</i> | ~ |
| 45 377 <i>diabetology</i> 2020;19(1):153. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01129-x [published Online First | : |
| 46 378 2020/10/01] | |
| 47 379 8. Guembe MJ, Fernandez-Lazaro CI, Sayon-Orea C, et al. Risk for cardiovascular disease associated | I |
| $\frac{48}{49}$ $\frac{380}{380}$ with metabolic syndrome and its components: a 13-year prospective study in the RIVANA | |
| 50 381 cobort Cardiovascular diabetology 2020;19(1);195 doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01166-f | ; |
| 51 282 [aubliched Online First: 2020/11/24] | , |
| $52 \qquad 382 \qquad [published Offine First: 2020/11/24]$ | |
| 53 585 9. Hoang K, Zhao Y, Gardin JIVI, et al. LV Mass as a Predictor of CVD Events in Older Adults with and | 1 |
| 55 384 Without Metabolic Syndrome and Diabetes. <i>JACC Cardiovascular imaging</i> 2015;8(9):1007-15 | • |
| 56 385 doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.04.019 [published Online First: 2015/09/01] | |
| 57 386 10. Xie K, Bao L, Jiang X, et al. The association of metabolic syndrome components and chronic kidney | / |
| 387 disease in patients with hypertension. Lipids Health Dis 2019;18(1):229. doi | : |
| 38810.1186/s12944-019-1121-5 [published Online First: 2019/12/29] | |

11. Viazzi F, Piscitelli P, Giorda C, et al. Metabolic syndrome, serum uric acid and renal risk in patients

with T2D. PloS one 2017;12(4):e0176058. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0176058 [published

Online First: 2017/04/20] 12. Chen J, Kong X, Jia X, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease in a Chinese urban population. Clin Chim Acta 2017;470:103-08. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2017.05.012 [published Online First: 2017/05/16] 13. Thomas G, Sehgal AR, Kashyap SR, et al. Metabolic syndrome and kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology : CJASN 2011;6(10):2364-73. doi: 10.2215/cjn.02180311 [published Online First: 2011/08/20] 14. Chang IH, Han JH, Myung SC, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease in the Korean population. Nephrology (Carlton, Vic) 2009;14(3):321-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1797.2009.01091.x [published Online First: 2009/05/16] 15. Hu Y, Shi LX, Zhang Q, et al. Increased Risk of Chronic Kidney Diseases in Patients with Metabolic Syndrome: A 3-year Prospective Cohort Study. Current medical science 2019;39(2):204-10. doi: 10.1007/s11596-019-2020-8 [published Online First: 2019/04/25] 16. Huh JH, Yadav D, Kim JS, et al. An association of metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease from a 10-year prospective cohort study. Metabolism: clinical and experimental 2017;67:54-61. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2016.11.003 [published Online First: 2017/01/14] 17. Hayashi K, Takayama M, Abe T, et al. Investigation of Metabolic Factors Associated with eGFR Decline Over 1 Year in a Japanese Population without CKD. Journal of atherosclerosis and thrombosis 2017;24(8):863-75. doi: 10.5551/jat.38612 [published Online First: 2017/01/27] 18. Kawamoto R, Akase T, Ninomiya D, et al. Metabolic syndrome is a predictor of decreased renal function among community-dwelling middle-aged and elderly Japanese. International urology and nephrology 2019;51(12):2285-94. doi: 10.1007/s11255-019-02320-0 [published Online First: 2019/10/24] 19. Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, et al. Cohort profile: the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). Int J Epidemiol 2014;43(1):61-8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dys203 [published Online First: 2012/12/18] 20. Zhao Y, Crimmins E, Hu P, et al. ChinaHealth and Retirement Longitudinal Study: 2011–2012 National BaselineUsers' Guide. Beijing, China: National School of Development, Peking University 2013 21. Chen X, Crimmins E, Hu PP, et al. Venous Blood-Based Biomarkers in the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study: Rationale, Design, and Results From the 2015 Wave. American journal of epidemiology 2019;188(11):1871-77. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwz170 [published Online First: 2019/08/01] 22. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation 2009;120(16):1640-5. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.109.192644 [published Online First: 2009/10/07] 23. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021. Diabetes

| 1 ว | | |
|----------|-----|---|
| 2 3 | /31 | Care 2021:44/Suppl 1):515 c22 doi: 10.2227/dc21.5002 [publiched Opling First: 2020/12/11] |
| 4 | 431 | Care 2021;44(Suppl 1):S15-S35. doi: 10.2337/dc21-S002 [published Online First: 2020/12/11] |
| 5 | 432 | 24. Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighlouart H, et al. Estimating giomerular intration rate from serum |
| 7 | 433 | creatinine and cystatin C. The New England Journal of medicine 2012;367(1):20-9. doi: |
| 8 | 434 | 10.1056/NEJMoa1114248 |
| 9 10 | 435 | 25. Lindeman RD, Tobin J, Shock NW. Longitudinal studies on the rate of decline in renal function with |
| 10 | 436 | age. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 1985;33(4):278-85. doi: |
| 12 | 437 | 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1985.tb07117.x [published Online First: 1985/04/01] |
| 13 | 438 | 26. Wu M, Shu Y, Wang L, et al. Metabolic syndrome severity score and the progression of CKD. |
| 14 15 | 439 | European journal of clinical investigation 2021:e13646. doi: 10.1111/eci.13646 [published |
| 16 | 440 | Online First: 2021/07/02] |
| 17 | 441 | 27. Wijndaele K, Beunen G, Duvigneaud N, et al. A continuous metabolic syndrome risk score: utility |
| 18 19 | 442 | for epidemiological analyses. Diabetes Care 2006;29(10):2329. doi: 10.2337/dc06-1341 |
| 20 | 443 | [published Online First: 2006/09/28] |
| 21 | 444 | 28. Jia F, Li X, Liu F, et al. Association of renal function and depressive symptoms: Evidence from the |
| 22 23 | 445 | China health and retirement longitudinal study. Journal of psychosomatic research |
| 24 | 446 | 2020;137:110224. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2020.110224 [published Online First: |
| 25 | 447 | 2020/08/31] |
| 26 27 | 448 | 29. Irwin M, Artin KH, Oxman MN. Screening for depression in the older adult: criterion validity of the |
| 27 28 | 449 | 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Archives of internal |
| 29 | 450 | medicine 1999;159(15):1701-4. doi: 10.1001/archinte.159.15.1701 [published Online First: |
| 30 21 | 451 | 1999/08/17] |
| 32 | 452 | 30. Ma X, Zhang C, Su H, et al. Increasing Body Mass Index Predicts Rapid Decline in Renal Function: A |
| 33 | 453 | 5 Year Retrospective Study. Hormone and metabolic research = Hormon- und |
| 34 25 | 454 | Stoffwechselforschung = Hormones et metabolisme 2018:50(7):556-61. doi: |
| 35 36 | 455 | 10.1055/a-0599-6360 [published Online First: 2018/05/03] |
| 37 | 456 | 31. Meguro S. Tomita M. Kabeva Y. et al. Factors Associated with the Decline of Kidney Function Differ |
| 38 | 457 | among eGER Strata in Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus International journal of |
| 39 40 | 458 | endocrinology 2012:2012:687867 doi: 10.1155/2012/687867 [published Online First: |
| 41 | 459 | 2012/01/15] |
| 42 | 460 | 2015/01/15] |
| 43 44 | 461 | oninion in nonbrology and hypertancion 2002:12(2):120.42 doi: |
| 45 | 462 | 10 1007/00041EE2 200202000 00002 [published Opling First: 2002/02/12] |
| 46 | 402 | 10.1097/00041552-200505000-00005 [published Offinite First. 2005/02/16] |
| 47 48 | 403 | 33. Young BA, Katz R, Boulware LE, et al. Risk Factors for Rapid Kidney Function Decline Antong Arrican |
| 49 | 464 | Americans: The Jackson Heart Study (JHS). Am J Kidney Dis 2016;68(2):229-39. doi: |
| 50 | 465 | 10.1053/j.ajkd.2016.02.046 [published Online First: 2016/04/14] |
| 51 52 | 466 | 34. Cheng HT, Huang JW, Chiang CK, et al. Metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance as risk factors |
| 52 53 | 467 | tor development of chronic kidney disease and rapid decline in renal function in elderly. <i>The</i> |
| 54 | 468 | Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2012;97(4):1268-76. doi: |
| 55 56 | 469 | 10.1210/jc.2011-2658 [published Online First: 2012/02/18] |
| 50 57 | 470 | 35. Park S, Lee S, Kim Y, et al. Reduced risk for chronic kidney disease after recovery from metabolic |
| 58 | 471 | syndrome: A nationwide population-based study. Kidney research and clinical practice |
| 59 | 472 | 2020;39(2):180-91. doi: 10.23876/j.krcp.20.016 [published Online First: 2020/04/30] |
| 60 | | |

| 3 | |
|---------|--|
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 0 | |
| / | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 27 | |
| 22 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 30 | |
| 10 | |
| 40 1 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 10 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 57 | |
| 28 | |
| 59 | |

1 2

473 36. Webster AC, Nagler EV, Morton RL, et al. Chronic Kidney Disease. *Lancet (London, England)*474 2017;389(10075):1238-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)32064-5 [published Online First:
475 2016/11/27]

- 476 37. Tonneijck L, Muskiet MH, Smits MM, et al. Glomerular Hyperfiltration in Diabetes: Mechanisms,
 477 Clinical Significance, and Treatment. J Am Soc Nephrol 2017;28(4):1023-39. doi:
 478 10.1681/asn.2016060666 [published Online First: 2017/02/02]
- 479 38. Ruiz-Ortega M, Rayego-Mateos S, Lamas S, et al. Targeting the progression of chronic kidney
 480 disease. *Nature reviews Nephrology* 2020;16(5):269-88. doi: 10.1038/s41581-019-0248-y
 481 [published Online First: 2020/02/16]
 - 482 39. Kim Y, Park CW. Can management of the components of metabolic syndrome modify the course
 483 of chronic kidney disease? *Kidney research and clinical practice* 2020;39(2):118-20. doi:
 484 10.23876/j.krcp.20.066 [published Online First: 2020/06/12]
- 485 40. D'Agati VD, Chagnac A, de Vries AP, et al. Obesity-related glomerulopathy: clinical and pathologic
 486 characteristics and pathogenesis. *Nature reviews Nephrology* 2016;12(8):453-71. doi:
 487 10.1038/nrneph.2016.75 [published Online First: 2016/06/07]
 - 488

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants between rapid eGFR decline group and non-rapid eGFR decline group

| | Overall | Rapid eGFR decline | Non-rapid eGFR decline | P value | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|--|
| Characteristics | (n = 4142) | (<i>n</i> = 711) | (n = 3431) | | |
| Male [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1874 (45.2) | 351 (49.4) | 1523 (44.4) | 0.02 | |
| Age (years) | 58 (52~64) | 59 (52~66) | 58 (52~64) | 0.02 | |
| Married with spouse [n (%)] | 3548 (87.5) | 610 (85.8) | 2938 (85.6) | 0.91 | |
| Education | | | | | |
| Illiterate [n (%)] | 1206 (29.1) | 191 (26.9) | 1015 (29.6) | | |
| Middle school and below $[n (\%)]$ | 1770 (41.2) | 309 (43.5) | 1398 (40.7) | 0.28 | |
| High school and above $[n (\%)]$ | 1229 (29.7) | 211 (29.7) | 1018 (29.7) | | |
| Household per capita income (yuan) | 6461.0 | 6000.0 | 6560.0 | 0.20 | |
| M (P25~P75) | (2336.7~13487.5) | (1866.7~13490.0) | (2450.0~13486.7) | | |
| Drink [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1470 (32.2) | 234 (32.9) | 1173 (34.2) | 0.51 | |
| Smoke [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1567 (37.8) | 272 (38.3) | 1295 (37.7) | 0.80 | |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 15.0 (12.5~17.8) | 15.1 (12.6~18.2) | 15.0 (12.5~17.7) | 0.18 | |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dl) | 102.4 (94.9~111.2) | 100.6 (93.4~109.8) | 102.4 (95.2~111.4) | 0.001 | |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.75 (0.64~0.84) | 0.71 (0.60~0.84) | 0.76 (0.64~0.86) | < 0.001 | |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 190.6 (168.6~215.8) | 189.8 (164.7~215.3) | 190.6 (169.3~216.1) | 0.20 | |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | 105.3 (74.3~148.7) | 101.8 (71.7~146) | 106.2 (74.3~148.7) | 0.23 | |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 49.5 (41.0~59.9) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 0.81 | |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | 1.0 (0.5~2.0) | 1.0 (0.6~2) | 1.0 (0.5~2.0) | 0.43 | |
| GHbA1c (%) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 0.36 | |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 0.83 | |
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.0 (12.8~15.1) | 14.3 (13.1~15.5) | < 0.001 | |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) | 95.9 (86.4~102.9) | 97.0 (88.3~106.1) | 95.6 (85.9~102.4) | < 0.001 | |
| eGFR group | | | | 0.02 | |

| 60~89 ml/min/1.73m ² [n (%)] | 1368 (33.0) | 209 (29.4) | 1158 (33.8) | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| 90~ ml/min/1.73m ² [n (%)] | 2774 (67.0) | 502 (70.6) | 2272 (66.2) | |
| Grip strength (kg) | 29.3 (23.8~36.5) | 29.5 (24.9~36.2) | 29.3 (23.5~36.7) | 0.13 |
| Grip strength group | | | | |
| T1 [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1386 (33.5) | 209 (29.4) | 1177 (34.3) | 0.01 |
| T2 [n (%)] | 1387 (33.5) | 268 (37.7) | 1199 (32.6) | 0.01 |
| T3 [n (%)] | 1369 (33.1) | 234 (32.9) | 1135 (33.1) | |
| Height (cm) | 157.7 (152.0~163.8) | 157.9 (152.0~163.7) | 157.6 (152.0~163.9) | 0.64 |
| Weight (kg) | 58 (51.3~65.5) | 57.1 (50.8~65.1) | 58.2 (51.4~65.7) | 0.08 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.2 (21~25.7) | 22.9 (20.8~25.4) | 23.3 (21.1~25.8) | 0.01 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 84.4 (78.0~92.0) | 83.6 (77.0~90.2) | 84.8 (78.1~92.0) | < 0.0 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 127 (114~141) | 128 (114~142) | 127 (114~141) | 0.72 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 75 (67~83) | 74 (66~83) | 75 (67~83) | 0.41 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 92 (83~102) | 92 (83~103) | 92 (84~102) | 0.76 |
| Depression symptom [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1904 (46.0) | 319 (44.9) | 1585 (46.2) | 0.52 |
| Self-report hypertension [n (%)] | 887 (21.4) | 157 (22.1) | 730 (21.3) | 0.63 |
| Self-report dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 333 (8.0) | 58 (8.2) | 275 (8.0) | 0.90 |
| Self-report diabetes or HBG $[n (\%)]$ | 191 (4.6) | 28 (3.9) | 163 (4.8) | 0.35 |
| Self-report arthritis or rheumatism $[n (\%)]$ | 1345 (32.5) | 235 (33.1) | 1110 (32.4) | 0.71 |
| Antihypertensive therapy $[n (\%)]$ | 664 (16.0) | 119 (16.7) | 545 (19.5) | 0.57 |
| Lipid-lowering therapy [<i>n</i> (%)] | 187 (4.5) | 37 (5.2) | 150 (4.4) | 0.33 |
| Hypoglycemic therapy [n (%)] | 127 (3.1) | 19 (2.7) | 108 (3.1) | 0.50 |
| Therapy for arthritis or rheumatism $[n (\%)]$ | 643 (15.5) | 116 (16.3) | 527 (15.4) | 0.52 |
| Metabolic syndrome [<i>n</i> (%)] | 1321 (31.9) | 207 (29.1) | 1114 (32.5) | 0.08 |
| MetS scores | -0.1 (-0.4~0.3) | -0.1 (-0.5~0.3) | 0 (-0.4~0.3) | 0.02 |
| Metabolic syndrome components | | | | |
| Central obesity $[n (\%)]$ | 1726 (41.7) | 264 (37.1) | 1462 (42.6) | < 0.0 |
| Elevated blood pressure $[n (\%)]$ | 2099 (50.7) | 368 (51.8) | 1731 (50.5) | 0.52 |
| Dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 1595 (38.5) | 278 (39.1) | 1317 (38.4) | 0.72 |
| Elevated blood glucose [n (%)] | 2456 (59.3) | 383 (53.9) | 2073 (60.4) | < 0.0 |
| Baseline non-MetS group | | | | |
| MetS-free [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2460 (59.4) | 444 (62.4) | 2016 (58.8) | |
| MetS-developed [n (%)] | 361 (8.7) | 60 (8.4) | 301 (8.8) | |
| Baseline Mets group | | | | |
| MetS-recovery $[n (\%)]$ | 499 (12.0) | 64 (9.0) | 435 (12.7) | |
| MetS-chronic [<i>n</i> (%)] | 822 (19.8) | 143 (20.1) | 679 (19.8) | |

estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome.

grip strength is divided into T1, T2 and T3 groups by one-third percentile.

Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression of rapid eGFR decline between study groups

| Model 1 | Model 2 | |
|---------|---------|--|
| | | |

| | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| Baseline MetS groups | | | | |
| MetS-chronic | ref | | ref | |
| MetS-recovery | 0.68 (0.50-0.95) | 0.02 | 0.64 (0.45-0.90) | 0.01 |
| Baseline non-MetS groups | | | | |
| MetS-free | ref | | ref | |
| MetS-developed | 0.93 (0.69-1.25) | 0.64 | 1.00 (0.73-1.38) | 0.98 |

Model 1: additional adjusted for age and sex.

Model 2: additional adjusted for age, sex, serum creatinine, eGFR classification, grip strength classification;

hemoglobin; MetS scores and body mass index.

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; MetS: metabolic syndrome. 490

| Table 3. Multivariate log | gistic regressio | n of rapid | eGFR | decline | between | study | groups | according | the |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------|------|---------|---------|-------|--------|-----------|-----|
| changes of MetS compone | ents | | | | | | | | |

| | Model 1 | | Model 2 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Adjusted OR | P value | Adjusted OR | P value | | | |
| | (95% CI) | | (95% CI) | | | | |
| Recovered components in baseline MetS groups (chronic MetS components as reference) | | | | | | | |
| Central obesity | 0.29 (0.15-0.59) | 0.01 | 0.31 (0.15-0.65) | < 0.01 | | | |
| Elevated blood pressure | 0.80 (0.50-1.26) | 0.33 | 0.79 (0.49-1.27) | 0.33 | | | |
| Elevated SBP | 0.89 (0.61-1.31) | 0.56 | 0.88 (0.59-1.30) | 0.51 | | | |
| Elevated DBP | 0.75 (0.46-1.23) | 0.26 | 0.68 (0.41-1.15) | 0.15 | | | |
| Dyslipidemia | 1.09 (0.82-1.44) | 0.57 | 1.05 (0.78-1.40) | 0.77 | | | |
| Elevated TG | 1.22 (0.87-1.72) | 0.26 | 1.14 (0.79-1.36) | 0.50 | | | |
| Decreased HDL | 0.84 (0.59-1.12) | 0.32 | 0.85 (0.59-1.22) | 0.38 | | | |
| Elevated blood glucose | 1.08 (0.87-1.34) | 0.49 | 1.08 (0.86-1.36) | 0.52 | | | |
| Elevated fasting glucose | 1.14 (0.91-1.43) | 0.25 | 1.13 (0.89-1.43) | 0.32 | | | |
| Developed components in basel | ine non-MetS groups (fre | e MetS compo | nents as reference) | | | | |
| Central obesity | 1.21 (0.92-1.59) | 0.16 | 1.32 (0.97-1.77) | 0.74 | | | |
| Elevated blood pressure | 0.84 (0.63-1.13) | 0.26 | 0.87 (0.64-1.18) | 0.37 | | | |
| Elevated SBP | 0.88 (0.66-1.17) | 0.37 | 0.92 (0.68-1.23) | 0.56 | | | |
| Elevated DBP | 0.88 (0.62-1.24) | 0.46 | 0.91 (0.63-1.30) | 0.59 | | | |
| Dyslipidemia | 0.92 (0.69-1.22) | 0.54 | 0.96 (0.72-1.30) | 0.81 | | | |
| Elevated TG | 0.93 (0.70-1.25) | 0.64 | 1.02 (0.75-1.37) | 0.91 | | | |
| Decreased HDL | 1.02 (0.65-1.59) | 0.95 | 0.97 (0.61-1.55) | 0.91 | | | |
| Elevated blood glucose | 1.07 (0.76-1.50) | 0.71 | 1.07 (0.75-1.52) | 0.71 | | | |
| Elevated fasting glucose | 1.06 (0.74-1.51) | 0.76 | 1.09 (0.76-1.57) | 0.64 | | | |

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; MetS: metabolic syndrome; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high density lipoprotein. Model 1: additional adjusted for age and sex.

Model 2: additional adjusted for age, sex, serum creatinine, eGFR classification, grip strength classification,

| hem | oglobin, MetS score and body mass index. |
|------|---|
| Eacl | n Mets components run in their own model to predict rapid eGFR decline |
| 491 | Figure 1. Flowchart of participants selection. eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration |
| 492 | rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome |
| 493 | Supplementary file |
| 494 | Table S1. Baseline characteristics of participants included and excluded in the study |
| 495 | Table S2. Univariate analysis of variables between eGFR decline group and non-rapid |
| 496 | eGFR decline group |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 20 |





Flowchart of participants selection. eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome

193x135mm (96 x 96 DPI)

| alamamilar | filtration rate dealing in middle aged and older nonulations, suidenes from |
|---|--|
| giomerular | |
| | the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study |
| | Peijia Liu, ^{1,2} Leile Tang, ³ Jia fang, ¹ Chaojin Chen, ^{4°} Xun Liu ^{1°} |
| ¹ Department o | f Nephrology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzho |
| Guangdong, C | hina; |
| ² Department o | f Nephrology, The Eighth People's Hospital of Guangzhou, Guangzhou, Guangdor |
| China; | |
| ³ Department o | f Cardiology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzho |
| Guangdong, C | hina |
| ⁴ Department o | of Anesthesiology, Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzho |
| Guangdong, C | hina |
| | |
| *Correspond | lence to |
| Dr Xun Liu; | |
| naturestyle@] | <u>163.com;</u> |
| Department of | f Nephrology, |
| The Third Aff | iliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |
| Guangzhou, C | Guangdong, 510630, China |
| 0 | |
| & | |
| & Dr Chaojin Cl | hen; |
| & Dr Chaojin Cl <u>chenchj28@n</u> | hen; <u>nail.sysu.edu.cn;</u> |
| & Dr Chaojin Cl <u>chenchj28@n</u> Department o | hen; <u>nail.sysu.edu.en;</u> f Anesthesiology, |
| & Dr Chaojin Cl <u>chenchj28@n</u> Department o The Third Aff | hen; nail.sysu.edu.en; f Anesthesiology, iliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, |

| Table S1 Baseline | charactoristics of | [,] nortici | nants included | and av | aludad in | the study |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Table S1. Dasenne | characteristics of | partici | pants included | and ex | ciuded m | the study |

| | participants included | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
| | in baseline | group 1 | group 2 | |
| Characteristics | (n=7116) | (n=2974) | (n=4142) | P-va |
| Age (years) | 58 (52~65) | 58 (52~64) | 58 (51~66) | 0.9 |
| Male [<i>n</i> (%)] | 3332 (46.8) | 1458 (49.0) | 1874 (45.2) | 0.0 |
| Married with spouse $[n (\%)]$ | 5977 (84.0) | 2974 (81.7) | 3548 (87.5) | <0. |
| Education | | | | 0.0 |
| Illiterate [n (%)] | 2106 (29.6) | 900 (30.3) | 1206 (29.1) | |
| Middle school and below $[n (\%)]$ | 2853 (40.1) | 1146 (38.5) | 1770 (41.2) | |
| High school and above [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2157 (30.3) | 928 (31.2) | 1229 (29.7) | |
| Household per capita income (yuan) | 6748 (2417~14298) | 6461 (2337~13487) | 7276 (2500~15600) | 0.0 |
| Drink [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2461 (34.6) | 1054 (35.4) | 1470 (32.2) | 0.2 |
| Smoke [<i>n</i> (%)] | 2775 (39.0) | 1208 (40.6) | 1567 (37.8) | 0.0 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 15.1 (12.52~17.90) | 15.0 (12.5~17.8) | 15.1 (12.8~18.0) | 0. |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dl) | 102.4 (94.5~111.6) | 102.4 (94.9~111.2) | 102.1 (94.1~112.5) | 0.′ |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.76 (0.64~0.86) | 0.75 (0.64~0.85) | 0.76 (0.66~0.88) | <0.0 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 190.2 (168.2~215.3) | 190.6 (168.6~215.7) | 189.8 (167.4~214.9) | 0.2 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | 104.4 (74.34~147.8) | 105.3 (74.34~148.7) | 104.4 (73.46~147.8) | 0.′ |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 49.5 (41.0~59.9) | 49.1 (41.0~59.5) | 49.9 (41.4~60.3) | 0.0 |
| hs-CRP (mg/l) | 1.03 (0.54~2.04) | 1.02 (0.54~1.97) | 1.04 (0.55~2.17) | 0. |
| GHbA1c (%) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 5.1 (4.9~5.4) | 0. |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 4.3 (3.6~5.1) | 4.2 (3.5~5.0) | 4.4 (3.6~5.1) | <0. |
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 14.2 (13.1~15.5) | 0. |
| Height (cm) | 157.9 (152.0~164.1) | 157.7 (152.0~163.8) | 157.9 (152~164.5) | 0. |
| Weight (kg) | 57.7 (51.0~65.4) | 58.0 (51.3~65.5) | 57.5 (50.3~65.2) | 0. |
| Waist (cm) | 84.3 (77.6~91.4) | 84.4 (78.0~92.0) | 84.0 (77.0~91.0) | 0. |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.1 (20.8~25.6) | 23.2 (21.0~25.7) | 22.9 (20.6~25.5) | 0.0 |
| Hand grip strength (kg) | 29.3 (23.5~36.5) | 29.1 (23.0~36.5) | 29.3 (23.8~36.5) | 0. |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 127.3 (114.7~141.3) | 127.0 (114.3~141.0) | 127.7 (115.3~142.0) | 0. |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 74.7 (67.3~83.0) | 74.7 (67.0~82.7) | 75.0 (67.3~83.0) | 0. |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) | 92.6 (83.7~102.0) | 92.2 (83.4~101.7) | 92.6 (84.0~102.6) | 0.2 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²) | 95.2 (85.5~102.7) | 95.9 (86.4~102.9) | 94.0 (84.3~102.3) | <0. |
| Depression symptom $[n (\%)]$ | 3172 (44.6) | 1268 (42.6) | 1904 (46.0) | 0.0 |
| Metabolic syndrome [n (%)] | 2228 (31.3) | 907 (30.5) | 1321 (31.9) | 0. |
| Metabolic syndrome components | | | | |
| Elevated blood pressure $[n (\%)]$ | 3622 (50.9) | 1523 (51.2) | 2099 (50.7) | 0. |
| Elevated blood glucose $[n (\%)]$ | 4179 (58.7) | 1723 (57.9) | 2456 (59.3) | 0. |
| Dyslipidemia [n (%)] | 2673 (37.6) | 1078 (36.2) | 1595 (38.5) | 0.0 |
| Central obesity [n (%)] | 2862 (40.2) | 1136 (38.2) | 1726 (41.7) | 0.0 |

high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR:estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome. Group 1: participants included in the baseline and excluded after follow-up; Group 2: participants included in the study.

| Table S2. Univariate analysis of variables between eGFR decline group and non-rapid eGFR |
|--|
| |

| 95% Conf. 0.038~0.362 0.002~0.021 ref -0.218~0.245 ref 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | P-value 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.91 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
|---|---|
| 95% Conf. 0.038~0.362 0.002~0.021 ref -0.218~0.245 ref 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | P-value 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.91 0.91 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| 0.038~0.362 0.002~0.021 ref -0.218~0.245 ref 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.02 0.02 0.91 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| 0.002~0.021 ref -0.218~0.245 ref 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.02 0.91 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| ref -0.218~0.245 ref 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.91 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| ref -0.218~0.245 ref 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.91 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| -0.218~0.245 ref 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.91 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 0.21 |
| ref 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| ref 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| 0.037~0.359 -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.11 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| -0.118~0.311 -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.34 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| -0.053~0.062 -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.88 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| -0.145~0.188 -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.80 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| -0.001~0.038 -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.06 0.28 <0.001 |
| -0.005~0.001 -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | 0.28 <0.001 |
| -2.368~-1.268 -0.004~0.001 | < 0.001 |
| -0.004~0.001 | 0.21 |
| | 0.21 |
| -0.0014~0.0050 | 0.39 |
| -0.005~0.006 | 0.80 |
| -0.013~0.020 | 0.66 |
| -0.167~0.066 | 0.40 |
| -0.066~0.072 | 0.93 |
| -0.144~-0.060 | < 0.001 |
| 0.017~0.030 | < 0.001 |
| | |
| ref | |
| 0.026~0.379 | 0.02 |
| -0.005~0.012 | 0.38 |
| | |
| ref | |
| 0.112~0.511 | < 0.01 |
| -0.056~0.353 | 0.16 |
| -0.007~0.013 | 0.58 |
| -0.015~0.000 | 0.048 |
| | 0.01 |
| -0.056~-0.009 | < 0.001 |
| | 0.112~0.511 -0.056~0.353 -0.007~0.013 -0.015~0.000 -0.056~-0.009 -0.018~-0.005 |

| -0.001 0.001 -0.054 | -0.008~0.005 -0.005~0.007 | 0.76 0.75 |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| 0.001 -0.054 | -0.005~0.007 | 0.75 |
| -0.054 | | |
| | -0.216~0.109 | 0.52 |
| 0.047 | -0.148~0.243 | 0.63 |
| 0.019 | -0.276~0.315 | 0.90 |
| -0.196 | -0.065~0.213 | 0.35 |
| -0.032 | -0.204~0.140 | 0.71 |
| 0.062 | -0.155~0.280 | 0.57 |
| 0.183 | -0.186~0.552 | 0.33 |
| -0.169 | -0.663~0.326 | 0.50 |
| 0.072 | -0.148~0.291 | 0.52 |
| -0.158 | -0.334~0.019 | 0.08 |
| -0.138 | -0.279~0.003 | 0.055 |
| | | |
| -0.229 | -0.395~-0.062 | 0.01 |
| 0.052 | -0.109~0.214 | 0.53 |
| 0.030 | -0.135~0.196 | 0.72 |
| -0.268 | -0.431~0.105 | 0.001 |
| | 0.047 0.019 -0.196 -0.032 0.062 0.183 -0.169 0.072 -0.158 -0.138 -0.229 0.052 0.030 -0.268 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

Data are n (%) or median (interquartile range); HDL: high density lipoprotein; hs-CRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR:

estimated glomerular filtration rate; MetS: metabolic syndrome.

TRU OSL grip strength is divided into T1, T2 and T3 groups by one-third percentile.

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

28

29

30

31

32

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42 43

44

BMJ Open

P1L1-3

P5L112

1

STROBE Statement Checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies Item Reported Section/Topic Recommendation on Page No No (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract **Title and abstract** 1 (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found P2-3L27-54 Introduction Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Background/rationale 2 P4L71-91 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Objectives P4-5L97-100 Methods 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Study design Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 5 P5L103-106 Setting (a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the P5L107-112 rationale for the choice of cases and controls Participants 6 Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed *Case-control study*—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if 27 Variables 7 P6-8L138-201 applicable For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of 8* Data sources/measurement P5-6L117-136 assessment methods if there is more than one group 9 Bias Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 33 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 34 Quantitative variables 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding P8-9L203-219 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions P9L219-223 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed Statistical methods (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed 12 Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed *Cross-sectional study*—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

- 45 46
- 47

1

| 2 3 4 | Section/Topic | Item No | Recommendation | Reported on Page No |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|
| 5 | Results | | | |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11- 12 13 | Participants | | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed | P9L233-237 |
| | | 13* | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | - P9I 233 |
| | Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders | P10L240-245 |
| 14 | | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest | - |
| 15 | | | (c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) | P9-10L238-240 |
| 17 | | | Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time | P9-10L238-240 |
| 18 | Outcome data | 15* | Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure | |
| 19 20 | | | Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | |
| 21 22 | | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included | P10L247-255 |
| 23 | Main results | 16 | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | - |
| 24 | | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period | - |
| 26 | Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | P10-11L257-267 |
| 27 | Discussion | | | |
| 28 29 | Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | P11L269-275 |
| 30 31 | Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | P12-13L316-329 |
| 32 33 34 | Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | P11-12L276-315 |
| 35 | Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | P12L331-336 |
| 36 | Other Information | | | |
| 38 39 | Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based | P13L343-346 |
| 40 | *Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies. | | | |
| 41 42 43 | Note: An Explanation and Elabest used in conjunction with t | boration his artic | article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBI le (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals om/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www strobe-statement org | E checklist is s.org/, and |
| 44 45 46 | -r | r | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml | 2 |