SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

Study Setting

This study was conducted at Geisinger, an integrated health care system serving central and northeastern Pennsylvania. Geisinger has hospital and ambulatory clinics, known as Geisinger Clinic, and a health insurance plan, Geisinger Health Plan (GHP). Approximately 30% of Geisinger Clinic participants are also GHP members. In MyCode, participant-participants provide samples which undergo exome sequencing. The MyCode research protocol has been detailed in previous publications.³⁷⁻³⁹ Medically actionable variants associated with Mendelian disease are disclosed to both primary care clinicians (clinicians) and participants to inform future care. Results disclosure began in May 2015. Pharmacogenomic information (i.e., *SLCO1B1* variant status) is not currently returned to primary care clinicians and participants in MyCode.

Study cohort

The study population includes all adult MyCode participants from the 92,455 cohort, with variants in FH-associated genes, disclosed by the Genomic Screening and Counseling Program.³⁷ The study population had a significantly higher proportion of European ancestry and non-Hispanic ethnicity, older median age, and higher comorbidity index when compared to active Geisinger participants.³⁰ Multiple sources of health data, described in full below, were reviewed for a period beginning 2 years prior to the participant receiving a result and ending January 16, 2019. Individuals were excluded from data analysis if they: 1) withdrew from MyCode, 2) died, or 3) only had self-reported medication data available in the electronic health record (EHR).

Study Design

This is a retrospective observational study to compare clinician and participant behaviors and health outcomes before and after disclosure of a genomic risk variant in an FH-associated gene. The main outcomes are 1) clinician behavior, 2) participant behavior, and 3) impact of behavior on lipid levels, as defined below.

Data sources

The main data sources were the Geisinger EHR and GHP prescription claims data. Discrete EHR data were extracted through automated methods and included demographics, problem list diagnoses including hypercholesterolemia and FH (ICD-10 diagnostic code E78.01), lipid-lowering therapy prescriptions, lipid panels, and documentation of statin intolerance which is defined as statin allergy or documentation in a clinic note. Manual chart review was performed to validate and supplement non-discrete data including reasons for discontinuing lipid-lowering therapies. Prescription fill data from the EHR and claims data were used to supplement the EHR prescription information prescribed by non-Geisinger clinicians. Impact of *SLCO1B1* variant status obtained from MyCode exome sequencing data was evaluated.

To address possible missing health utilization data in the EHR, we evaluated and assessed the completeness of prescriptions and lipid panel laboratory data obtained from the EHR. We compared prescription and lipid panel utilization information in claims data, in a subset of the population who are GHP members and have at least 1 year of coverage, with what we obtained using the EHR supplemented with prescription adjudication data from community pharmacy among the same subset population. The analysis of the GHP subset showed that no missingness in prescription data was observed in the EHR supplemented with prescription fill data from community pharmacies; the discrepancy in lipid panel utilization was minimal (<5%). Given the high concordance, only EHR supplemented with prescription fill data were used for the remainder of the analyses.

2

Study Outcomes

Clinician behavior after learning about a FH-associated genomic risk variant for their participant Clinician behavior was assessed pre- and post-disclosure by measuring the change in prescriptions for lipid-lowering therapies and in laboratory orders for a lipid panel. Medication prescriptions were categorized as follows: 1) prescription change—which is sub-categorized into intensification, no change in intensity or switch within the same medication class (only applicable to statin medications), and decrease in intensity, 2) no prescription change, and 3) no prescription. Documented reasons for discontinuation or no prescription were captured. Intensification was defined as the addition of medication therapy or increase in dose of current medications. No change in intensity or switch within the same medication class was defined as an increase or decrease in the dose of a statin or change in statin prescribed but not change in intensity based on the 2018 cholesterol guideline list of statin intensity. Decrease in intensity was defined as a removal of medication therapy or decrease in dose of current medications. No prescription change was defined as no change in medications prescribed from pre to post disclosure. No prescription was defined as no lipid lowering medications data was available in the Geisinger EHR.

We examined several participant covariates' association with the clinicians' behavior of prescription change post-disclosure: sex, age (stratified as age≤45 and age >45), pre-disclosure LDL-C level (stratified as 3 groups: LDL-C < 100 mg/dL, 100 mg/dL \leq LDL-C < 190 mg/dL, and LDL-C \geq 190 mg/dL), prior myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke, and statin intolerance. The pre-disclosure LDL-C value was defined as the most recent LDL-C drawn prior to the disclosure of the FH-associated variant.

Participant behavior after learning about their genomic risk variant for FH

Participant behavior was measured by participants' utilization of healthcare services relevant to FH management including completed visit with a genetic counselor, having a lipid panel result, and medication adherence. Among the subset of participants with claims data available (i.e. had continuous GHP prescription coverage (with no more than a 60-day gap of membership coverage during the observation period) and at least one prescription refill in pre- and post-disclosure) participant behavior was measured by medication refills and adherence in the pre- and post-disclosure periods. Medication adherence was measured by proportion of days covered (PDC), which is calculated as the ratio between the number of days covered by the medication and the length of the observation period.⁴⁰ A PDC of 80% and above was considered adherent to lipid-lowering therapies.⁴¹

Impact of clinician and participant behavior on lipid levels

The impact of clinician and participant behaviors after disclosure of an FH risk variant on lipid levels was evaluated in participants who had both pre- and post-disclosure LDL-C values available for review. Post-disclosure LDL-C was defined as the last LDL-C drawn before the end of the study period. To reflect the impact of clinician and participant behavior on LDL-C levels, in this analysis, we further restricted post-disclosure LDL-C to include those that reflect the most recent prescription, i.e. LDL-C level had to be tested at least 4 weeks after changing the prescription. We categorized change from pre- to post- disclosure in LDL-C value into 2 categories: 1) at goal and 2) not at goal. LDL-C goal was either an LDL-C value <100 mg/dL for primary prevention or <70 mg/dL for secondary prevention.³ The decrease and increase of LDL-C level was considered a change when LDL-C level changed at least 8% between values.^{42, 43}

We examined the association between covariates including clinician prescribing behavior change, the participants' lipid levels, and participant characteristics including sex, age, predisclosure LDL-C (stratified as the 3 groups noted above), prior event of MI and stroke, and

4

statin intolerance (where we incorporated both clinically documented statin intolerance and pharmacogenomics, i.e. *SLCO1B1* variant status).

Sensitivity Analysis in Sub-cohort

For considerations of the potential influence on the validity of outcomes in case of short postdisclosure follow up period, we conducted a sensitivity analysis to measure the key outcomes in a subset of the study cohort who had "sufficient" post-disclosure period which is defined as at least 18 months. We measured the clinician behavior focusing on change of prescriptions for lipid-lowering therapies and the impact of behavior on lipid levels. We did not analyze the participant adherence in this sub-cohort due to too small a sample size.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive analyses were conducted to describe demographics of the study cohort and outcome measures. Outcome measures of continuous variables between pre- and post-disclosure were compared using Wilcoxon signed rank test, paired t-test or Wilcoxon exact signed rank test as appropriate. Categorical variables were compared between pre- and post-disclosure using McNemar exact test. Multinomial logistic regression was used to examine the association between patients' characteristics and clinician behavior of prescription change in post-disclosure. Fisher exact test was used to analyze associations between co-variates including clinician prescribing behavior and whether participants' lipid levels achieved goal.

Supplemental Table I. Multinomial Logistic Regression Models on Factors Associated with Clinician Prescription Behavior

Study cohort—participants who have pre-disclosure LDL-C value (N = 77)											
Relative risk ratio											
	100<= pre_LDL- C < 190 pre_LDL-C >= 190		Age >45	Male	Had MI/Stroke	Had statin intolerance					
Prescription change	4.894	14.556	0.933	2.363	3.261	2.492					
No Prescription	1.952	22.874	0.764	0.568	2.326	0.603					
p-value:											
	100<= pre_LDL- C < 190	pre_LDL-C >= 190	Age >45	Male	Had event	Had statin intolerance					
Prescription change	0.086	0.024	0.929	0.186	0.122	0.133					
No Prescription	0.578	0.023	0.769	0.570	0.433	0.564					

No Prescription Change is used as the comparison group, the results show statistics comparing Prescription Change and No Prescription Change, and comparing No Prescription with No Prescription Change group.

Supplemental Table II. Clinician behavior (sub-cohort of participants with at least 18m post-
disclosure follow-up)

	Sub-cohor	rt (n = 30)
Prescriptions	Pre-disclosure	Post- disclosure
Participants with lipid-lowering therapy prescription, n (%)	25 (83.3)	21 (70.0)
High intensity statins, n (%)	18 (60.0)	16 (53.3)
Low/moderate intensity statins, n (%)	7 (23.3)	3 (10.0)
Ezetimibe, n (%)	5 (16.7)	10 (33.3)
Niacin, n (%)	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)
Bile acid sequestrants, n (%)	3 (10.0)	2 (6.7)
PCSK9 inhibitor, n (%)	0 (0.0)	3 (10.0)
Fenofibrate	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)
Change in prescriptions after disclosure		
Among the entire sub-cohort		N=30
Prescription change, n (%)		12 (40.0)
Intensification, n (%)		10 (83.3)
No change in intensity or switch within medication class, n (%)	the same	0 (0.0)
Decrease in intensity, n (%)		2 (16.7)
No prescription change, n (%)		9 (30.0)
No prescription, n (%)		9 (30.0)
Among participants with no LDL-C value in pre-disclosure		N=4
Prescription change, n (%)		1 (25.0)
Intensification, n (%)		1 (100.0)

No change in intensity or switch within the same medication class, n (%)	0 (0.0)
Decrease in intensity, n (%)	0 (0.0)
No prescription change, n (%)	1 (25.0)
No prescription, n (%)	2 (50.0)
Among participants already achieved LDL-C goals in pre-disclosure	N=4
Prescription change, n (%)	0 (0.0)
Intensification, n (%)	0 (0.0)
No change in intensity or switch within the same medication class, n (%)	0 (0.0)
Decrease in intensity, n (%)	0 (0.0)
No prescription change, n (%)	4 (100.0)
No prescription, n (%)	0 (0.0)
Among participants had not yet met LDL-C goals in pre-disclosure	N=22
Prescription change, n (%)	11 (50.0)
Intensification, n (%)	9 (81.8)
No change in intensity or switch within the same medication class, n (%)	0 (0.0)
Decrease in intensity, n (%)	2 (18.2)
No prescription change, n (%)	4 (18.2)
No prescription, n (%)	7 (31.8)

Statin intensity was defined based on the 2020 ACC/AHA Cholesterol Guidelines.

Supplemental Table III. Lipid levels (sub-cohort of participants with at least 18m postdisclosure follow-up)

	n=2	20	
Lipid Panel Results	Pre-disclosure	Post-disclosure	P value
LDL-C level, mg/dL, mean (SD)	155 (73.1)	142 (78.4)	0.23*
LDL-C level < 130 mg/dL, n (%)	8 (40.0)	12 (60.0)	
LDL-C level < 100 mg/dL, n (%)	5 (25.0)	6 (30.0)	
LDL-C level < 70 mg/dL, n (%)	2 (10.0)	1 (5.0)	
HDL-C, mg/dL, mean (SD) †	58 (22.3)	56 (25.4)	
Triglycerides, mg/dL, mean (SD) †	125 (92.7)	107 (64.2)	
Total cholesterol, mg/dL, mean (SD) †	235 (86.6)	209 (65.1)	
Change in LDL-C after disclosure	I		I
LDL-C change, %, mean (SD)	-2.5 (36.7)		
Obtainment of target LDL-C levels ‡	I		
Pre-disclosure at goal, n (%)	N=4 (20.0)		
Post-disclosure at goal, n (%)	2 (10.0)		
Post-disclosure not at goal, n (%)	2 (10.0)		
decrease in Post §, n (%)	0 (0.0)		
increase in Post, n (%)	2 (100.0)		
no change in Post, n (%)	0 (0.0)		
Pre-disclosure not at goal, n (%)	N=16		
Post-disclosure at goal, n (%)	1 (6.3)		
Post-disclosure not at goal, n (%)	15 (93.8)		
decrease in Post §, n (%)	10 (66.7)		
increase in Post, n (%)	3 (20.0)		
no change in Post, n (%)	2 (13.3)		

* Paired t test to detect difference in LDL-C level between pre- and post-disclosure.

† Two participants did not have post-disclosure values (N=18).

‡ For those treatment for primary prevention the target LDL-C was < 100 mg/dL and for secondary prevention the target LDL-C was < 70 mg/dL

 $\$ Decrease and increase change in post-ROR is only counted as valid change when % of LDL-C level change is >=8%.

Case	Follow -up period at least 18 month s (Y/N)	Statin intoleran ce (Y/N)	History of MI or Stroke event (Y/N)	Pre-disclosure Prescription 1	Pre-disclosure Prescription 2	Pre- disclosure Prescription 3	Post-disclosure Prescription 1	Post- disclosure Prescription 2	Post-disclosure Prescription 3	Change in prescriptions after disclosure	LDL-C Goal status pre- disclosure	LDL-C Goal status post- disclosure
0030	3 (1/14)			ROSUVASTATIN	NIACIN ER 1000	0	ROSUVASTATI	NIACIN ER	EZETIMIBE 10	Prescription change:	Pre not on	Post not on goal, decrease
1	Y	Ν	Y	40 MG	MG		N 40 MG	1000 MG	MG	intensification	goal	in Post
2	Y	Y	N	ATORVASTATIN 40 MG	COLESEVELAM HCL 625 MG		EVOLOCUMAB 420MG			Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA
3	N	N	N	ROSUVASTATIN 40 MG	NIACIN 1000MG		ROSUVASTATI N 40 MG	NIACIN 1000MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG	Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA
4	N	N	N	ATORVASTATIN 20 MG			ATORVASTATI N 20 MG			No prescription change	Pre not on goal	Post on goal
5	N	Y	N	ATORVASTATIN 80MG			ROSUVASTATI N 40 MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA
6	N	N	Y	ROSUVASTATIN 40MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		ROSUVASTATI N 40MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		No prescription change	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
7	N	Y	N	ATORVASTATIN 80 MG			ATORVASTATI N 40 MG			Prescription change: No change in intensity	Pre on goal	Post on goal
8	Y	Y	Y	ATORVASTATIN 80MG			ATORVASTATI N 80MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		Prescription change: intensification	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
9	N	Y	N	ROSUVASTATIN 40MG			SIMVASTATIN 40MG			Prescription change: decrease in intensity	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, no change in Post
10	N	N	Y	ATORVASTATIN 80MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		ATORVASTATI N 80MG			Prescription change: decrease in intensity	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
11	Y	Y	N	ATORVASTATIN 10MG			ATORVASTATI N 10MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		Prescription change: intensification	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
12	N	N	N	ATORVASTATIN 20MG			ATORVASTATI N 20MG			No prescription change	NA	NA

Supplemental Table IV. Individual level data on pre- and post-disclosure prescription and LDL-C change

13	Y	Y	N	ROSUVASTATIN 40MG	FENOFIBRATE 54MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG	ROSUVASTATI N 40MG	FENOFIBRAT E 54MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG	No prescription change	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, no change in Post
14	N	Y	N	ATORVASTATIN 10MG			ATORVASTATI N 20MG			Prescription change: No change in intensity	Pre not on goal	Post on goal
15	Y	N	N	ATORVASTATIN 40MG			ATORVASTATI N 40MG			No prescription change	Pre on goal	Post not on goal
15	-			ROSUVASTATIN	EZETIMIBE						The on goal	0
16	Y	Y	N	10MG	10MG					No prescription	NA	NA
17	N	Y	Y	PRAVASTATIN 40MG			PRAVASTATIN 40MG			No prescription change	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, no change in Post
18	Y	N	Y	ATORVASTATIN 80MG			ATORVASTATI N 80MG			No prescription change	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, increase in Post
19	Y	Y	N	SIMVASTATIN 20MG			SIMVASTATIN 20MG			No prescription change	Pre on goal	Post not on goal
20	Y	Y	Y	ATORVASTATIN 80MG			ATORVASTATI N 80MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG	COLESEVELA M 625MG	Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA
21	N	Y	N	ROSUVASTATIN 40MG			ROSUVASTATI N 40MG			No prescription change	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
22	N	N	N	ATORVASTATIN 40 MG			ATORVASTATI N 80MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA
23	N	N	N	ATORVASTATIN 40MG			ATORVASTATI N 40MG			No prescription change	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
24	Y	Y	N	SIMVASTATIN 40MG			EZETIMIBE 10MG	PRAVASTATI N 20MG	EVOLOCUMAB 140MG	Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA
25	N	N	N	ROSUVASTATIN 40MG			ROSUVASTATI N 40MG			No prescription change	NA	NA
26	N	N	N	ATORVASTATIN 80MG			ATORVASTATI N 80MG			No prescription change	NA	NA
20				ROSUVASTATIN			ROSUVASTATI			No prescription		NA
27	N	Ν	N	40MG			N 40MG			change	Pre on goal	Post on goal Post not on
28	Y	Y	N	ATORVASTATIN 40MG						No prescription	Pre not on goal	goal, increase in Post
29	N	Y	N	ATORVASTATIN 20MG			ATORVASTATI N 80MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		Prescription change: intensification	Pre not on goal	Post on goal
30	N	N	N	ROSUVASTATIN 10MG			ROSUVASTATI N 20MG			Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA

										Prescription		
										change: No		
				ROSUVASTATIN			ATORVASTATI			change in		
31	Ν	Ν	Y	20MG			N 40MG			intensity	NA	NA
				ROSUVASTATIN			ROSUVASTATI			No prescription		
32	Ν	Ν	Ν	40MG			N 40MG			change	NA	NA
				ROSUVASTATIN			ROSUVASTATI			No prescription	Pre not on	
33	Ν	Ν	Ν	5MG			N 5MG			change	goal	Post on goal
												Post not on
				ATORVASTATIN							Pre not on	goal, decrease
34	Y	N	Ν	80MG						No prescription	goal	in Post
										Prescription		_
										change:	_	Post not on
			1	ROSUVASTATIN	COLESTIPOL	EZETIMIBE	ROSUVASTATI	COLESTIPOL	EZETIMIBE	decrease in	Pre not on	goal, increase
35	N	Y	Ν	10MG	HCL 1G	10MG	N 10MG	HCL 1G	10MG	intensity	goal	in Post
										No processinting	Dro not or	Post not on
36	N	Y	N	ATORVASTATIN 40MG			ATORVASTATI N 40MG			No prescription change	Pre not on goal	goal, decrease in Post
	IN	T	IN	40101G			N 40101G			change	guai	Post not on
				ATORVASTATIN			ATORVASTATI			No prescription	Pre not on	goal, no
37	N	Y	Y	80MG			N 80MG			change	goal	change in Post
- 57		1	-	00000			NUONIO			Prescription	goai	change in r ost
				ATORVASTATIN			ATORVASTATI	COLESTIPOL		change:		
38	Ν	Ν	N	40MG			N 40MG	1G		intensification	NA	NA
								_		Prescription		Post not on
				ROSUVASTATIN			ROSUVASTATI	EZETIMIBE		change:	Pre not on	goal, no
39	Ν	Ν	Y	40MG			N 40MG	10MG		intensification	goal	change in Post
										Prescription		
										change: No		
				ATORVASTATIN			ROSUVASTATI			change in		
40	Ν	Ν	N	40 MG			N 40MG			intensity	NA	NA
										Prescription	_	
				ATORVASTATIN			ATORVASTATI	EVOLOCUMA		change:	Pre not on	
41	Y	Y	Y	80 MG			N 80MG	B 140MG		intensification	goal	Post on goal
40	Y	N	N	ROSUVASTATIN 40MG			ROSUVASTATI N 40MG			No prescription	NIA	ΝΑ
42	T	IN	IN	401010						change Prescription	NA	NA
										change: No		
				ATORVASTATIN			ATORVASTATI			change in	Pre not on	
43	N	N	Ν	40MG			N 80MG			intensity	goal	Post on goal
											you	Post not on
				ROSUVASTATIN			ROSUVASTATI			No prescription	Pre not on	goal, increase
44	N	Ν	Ν	40MG			N 40MG			change	goal	in Post
				EZETIMIBE-			EZETIMIBE-					
				SIMVASTATIN 10-			SIMVASTATIN			No prescription		
45	Ν	Ν	Ν	40MG			10-40MG			change	Pre on goal	Post on goal
												Post not on
				ATORVASTATIN			ATORVASTATI			No prescription	Pre not on	goal, decrease
46	Ν	Ν	Ν	10MG			N 10MG			change	goal	in Post

										Post not on
				ROSUVASTATIN					Pre not on	goal, decrease
47	Ν	Y	Y	40MG				No prescription	goal	in Post
47	IN	T	T	401010					yoai	III FUSI
								Prescription		
				ROSUVASTATIN		ROSUVASTATI	EZETIMIBE	change:		
48	Ν	Ν	Ν	40MG		N 40MG	10MG	intensification	NA	NA
				ATORVASTATIN		ATORVASTATI		No prescription		
49	Ν	Ν	N	40MG		N 40MG		change	NA	NA
				ATORVASTATIN		ATORVASTATI		No prescription		
50	Ν	N	N	40MG		N 40MG		change	NA	NA
				ROSUVASTATIN		ROSUVASTATI		No prescription	Pre not on	
51	Ν	Y	N	40MG		N 40MG		change	goal	Post on goal
-				ATORVASTATIN		ATORVASTATI		No prescription	J	
52	Y	N	N	40MG		N 40MG		change	Pre on goal	Post on goal
02	•	1		louio				Prescription	i io on goai	i oot on goar
								change:		Post not on
				ROSUVASTATIN	EZETIMIBE	EZETIMIBE		decrease in	Pre not on	goal, no
53	Ν	Y	Y	10MG	10MG	10MG		intensity		change in Post
55	IN	T	T	TOMB	TOIMG	TOWIG		Prescription	goal	Post not on
									Description	
F 4	V	N		ATORVASTATIN		ATORVASTATI		change:	Pre not on	goal, decrease
54	Y	Y	Ν	20MG		N 80MG		intensification	goal	in Post
				ROSUVASTATIN		ROSUVASTATI		No prescription		
55	Ν	N	N	20MG		N 20MG		change	Pre on goal	Post on goal
										Post not on
				ATORVASTATIN					Pre not on	goal, increase
56	Y	Y	N	40MG				No prescription	goal	in Post
								Prescription		Post not on
				PRAVASTATIN	COLESEVELAM	COLESEVELAM	ATORVASTA	change:	Pre not on	goal, no
57	Y	Y	Y	80MG	625MG	625MG	TIN 80MG	intensification	goal	change in Post
								Prescription		Ŭ
								change:		
				SIMVASTATIN		EZETIMIBE		decrease in		
58	Y	Y	Ν	10MG		10MG		intensity	NA	NA
00		+ ·		ROSUVASTATIN		ROSUVASTATI		No prescription	Pre not on	
59	Ν	Ν	Ν	20MG		N 20MG		change	goal	Post on goal
55	11			20100	1 1	14 201010	+	Prescription	goai	i ust on guai
		1						change: No		Post not on
		1	1	ROSUVASTATIN		ROSUVASTATI			Pre not on	goal, no
~~	NI	N	N					change in		
60	Ν	Ν	N	20MG		N 40MG	<u> </u>	intensity	goal	change in Post
				ATORVASTATIN		ATORVASTATI		No prescription		
61	Ν	Y	Ν	10MG	ļ	N 10MG	ļ	change	NA	NA
		1	1	ATORVASTATIN		ATORVASTATI		No prescription		
	Ν	Y	N	80MG		N 80MG		change	NA	NA
62				ATORVASTATIN		ATORVASTATI		No prescription		
62										
62 63	N	N	N	80MG		N 80MG		change	NA	NA
	N	N	N			N 80MG		change	NA	NA Post not on
	N	N	N		EZETIMIBE	N 80MG ATORVASTATI	EZETIMIBE	change No prescription	NA Pre not on	

							Prescription		
					ATORVASTATI		change:	Pre not on	
65	Ν	N	Ν		N 80mg		intensification	goal	Post on goal
							Prescription		Post not on
66	Y	N	N		ATORVASTATI N 40MG		change: intensification	Pre not on goal	goal, decrease in Post
00		IN	IN		N 401VIG		Prescription	yuai	111 F 051
					ROSUVASTATI	EZETIMIBE	change:		
67	Ν	Y	Ν		N 40MG	10MG	intensification	NA	NA
68	Y	N	N				No prescription	NA	NA
				ATORVASTATIN					
69	Ν	N	Ν	80MG			No prescription	NA	NA
70	N	N	N	ATORVASTATIN 40MG			No prescription	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
									Post not on
74				ROSUVASTATIN			Newserstation	Pre not on	goal, decrease
71	N	Ν	N	40MG SIMVASTATIN			No prescription	goal	in Post
72	N	N	N	40MG			No prescription	NA	NA
				ATORVASTATIN					
73	N	Ν	Ν	80MG			No prescription	NA	NA
74	Ν	Ν	Ν				No prescription	NA	NA
				ATORVASTATIN					
75	Y	Ν	Ν	80MG ROSUVASTATIN	 ROSUVASTATI		No prescription	NA	NA
76	N	N	N	40MG	N 40MG		No prescription change	NA	NA
				40000					
77	N	N	Ν				No prescription	NA	NA
78	N	Ν	Ν				No prescription	NA	NA
79	N	N	Ν				No prescription	NA	NA
									Post not on
80	N	N	Y				No prescription	Pre on goal	goal
81	N	Y	N				No prescription	NA	NA
									Post not on
00	Y	N	N				No prescription	Pre not on	goal, decrease in Post
82		N						goal	
83		N	N				No prescription	NA	NA
84	N	N	N				No prescription	NA	NA
85	Ν	Ν	Ν				No prescription	NA	NA
			V	ROSUVASTATIN	ROSUVASTATI		No prescription		
86	Ν	Ν	Y	40MG	N 40MG	I	change	NA	NA

87	N	N	N	ROSUVASTATIN 20MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		ROSUVASTATI N 20MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG	EVOLOCUMAB 140MG/ML	Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA
88	Y	Y	N							No prescription	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
89	Y	Y	Y	MICRONIZED COLESTIPOL 1G	ROSUVASTATI N 40MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG	ROSUVASTATI N 40MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG		Prescription change: decrease in intensity	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
90	Y	N	N	EZETIMIBE 10MG	ATORVASTATI N 80MG		EZETIMIBE 10MG	ATORVASTA TIN 80MG		No prescription change	Pre on goal	Post on goal
91	N	N	Y	ROSUVASTATIN 20MG			ROSUVASTATI N 40MG	EZETIMIBE 10MG	EVOLOCUMAB 140MG/ML	Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA
92	Y	N	N	ATORVASTATIN 80MG			ATORVASTATI N 80MG			No prescription change	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, decrease in Post
93	N	N	N	ROSUVASTATIN 10MG	FENOFIBRATE 145MG		ROSUVASTATI N 10MG			Prescription change: decrease in intensity	Pre not on goal	Post not on goal, no change in Post
94	N	N	N	EZETIMIBE 10MG	ATORVASTATI N 20MG		ATORVASTATI N 80MG	PRALUENT 75ML/MG		Prescription change: intensification	NA	NA
95	N	N	N	SIMVASTATIN 10MG						No prescription	NA	NA
96	Y	N	N	EZETIMIBE 10MG	ROSUVASTATI N 40MG		EZETIMIBE 10MG	ROSUVASTA TIN 40MG		No prescription change	NA	NA

Only includes values for participants who had both pre- and post-disclosure LDL-C values available for review and the post-disclosure LDL-C reflects the most recent prescription, i.e. LDL-C level had to be tested at least 4 weeks after changing the prescription. Pre-disclosure LDL-C was defined as the most recent LDL-C drawn prior to the disclosure of the FHassociated variant. Post-disclosure LDL-C was defined as the last LDL-C drawn before the end of the study period. LDL-C on goal was targeted at < 100 mg/dL for those treatment for primary prevention and < 70 mg/dL for secondary prevention. Decrease and increase change in post-disclosure is only counted as valid change when % of LDL-C level change is >=8%.Y=Yes; N=No; NA=Not Available; MI=myocardial infarction; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.