Science Advances NAAAS

Supplementary Materials for

Comprehensive analysis and accurate quantification of unintended large gene modifications induced by CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing

So Hyun Park *et al.*

Corresponding author: Gang Bao, gang.bao@rice.edu

Sci. Adv. **8**, eabo7676 (2022) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abo7676

This PDF file includes:

Tables S1 to S7 Figs. S1 to S39

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table S1. List of gRNAs. R-66S and R-02 gRNAs target the first exon of *HBB* with varying proximity to the SCD mutation site. SD-02 gRNA introduces 13-nt HPFH deletion at high frequency in the *HBG1* and *HBG2* promoters for the reactivation of HbF. *BCL11A* gRNA targets the GATA1 binding site at the *BCL11A* erythroid enhancer for the reduction of *BCL11A* expression and induction of HbF.

Supplementary Table S2. Identification of colony genotype after *HBB* gene-editing in S-HUDEP2 by S-R NGS, L-R NGS with gel shift assay and ddPCR.

Supplementary Table S3. Identification of colony genotype after R-66S RNP delivery in SCD HSPCs by S-R NGS, L-R NGS with gel shift assay and ddPCR.

Supplementary Table S4. Identification of colony genotype after R-66S RNP and ssODN delivery in SCD HSPCs by S-R NGS, L-R NGS with gel shift assay and ddPCR.

Supplementary Table S5. List of primer sequences

Supplementary Table S6. Details of large insertions in SCD HSPCs treated by R-66S RNP

Supplementary Table S7. LongAmp-seq sequencing depth and read numbers

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1

Figure S1. Generation of S-HUDEP2 cell model. (**A**) Schematic of gRNA and ssODN design near the SCD locus (with the green or red box showing the location of sickle mutation). High-Fidelity (HiFi) *Sp*Cas9 and WT *HBB*-targeting R-66 gRNA as RNP was delivered along with ssODN to introduce the sickle mutation into WT HUDEP2. The R-66 gRNA sequence is shown in blue (with the WT base T in black), and the PAM sequence is shown in orange and underlined. The SCD ssODN (shown in orange with the SCD mutation in red) is symmetric with respect to the R-66 cut site and complementary to the gRNA non-target strand. (**B**) Visualization of the frequent allele changes (>1%) around the Cas9 cut site in HiFi *Sp*Cas9/R-66 gRNA and SCD ssODN treated WT HUDEP2. Substitutions are shown in bold font. Inserted sequences are highlighted with red rectangles, and deletions are indicated as horizontal dashed lines. A vertical dashed line indicates the predicted cleavage position of R-66 gRNA. Deep sequencing data were analyzed by CRISPResso2 (30). (**C**) Design of probe-based ddPCR assay and representative ddPCR event counts for different clonal genotypes. The probe-based ddPCR assay consists of a primer pair and three probes, a *HEX* reference (REF) probe binding distant from the target site but still within the amplicon, and a FAM SCD probe binding to modified sickle alleles (GtG). Droplets containing signals from both REF and SCD probes represent sickle alleles, and droplets containing only the REF probe signal represent WT or NHEJ allele. Homozygous SCD clones have the same number of HEX and FAM events. (**D**) Sanger sequencing chromatogram of the S-HUDEP2 clone. The SCD mutation is indicated with a red arrow. (**E**) Representative hemoglobin native PAGE gel and HPLC showing HbA production in WT HUDEP2 and HbS production in S-HUDEP2 clones. S-HUDEP2 clones with exclusive HbS production. Hemoglobin AFSC control contains mix of HbA, HbF, HbS and HbC (bottom-up).

Figure S2

Figure S2. Design and validation of ddPCR assay for the quantification of the *HBB* **copy number.** The EvaGreen-based ddPCR *HBB* copy number assay consists of two separate PCR reactions: a primer pair targeting *HBB* on chr11 and a primer pair targeting reference gene on chr12. Alleles containing large deletions or chromosomal rearrangements that remove primer binding site/s cannot produce *HBB* signals. Therefore, we checked the copy number of *HBB* relative to a reference gene (*CACNA1C*). (**A**) An *HBB* forward primer binds 68bp upstream of the cut-site, and a reverse primer binds 100bp downstream of the cut-site. (**B**) ddPCR amplitude plot for 3 representative clones showing droplets containing signals from *HBB* amplification. (**C**) ddPCR amplitude plot for 3 representative clones showing droplets containing signals from *CACNA1C* amplification. (**D**) The copy number of *HBB* was normalized by the copy number of *CACNA1C* to figure out the number of alleles (0, 1 or 2) carrying large deletion (LD) in each clone. For clone 1, the absence of the *HBB* copy number indicates two LD alleles. For clone 5, 100% of *HBB* copy number indicates the absence of LD. For clone 8, a 50% *HBB* copy number indicates one LD and one small INDEL (SI).

Figure S3. Size-shifted bands in 10kb L-R PCR. Alleles containing LD generate smaller longrange PCR (L-R PCR) products as shown in size shifted bands in 1. R-66S WT-Cas9 RNP, 2. R-66S HiFi-Cas9 RNP and 3. R-66S HiFi-Cas9 RNP + ssODN treated samples, compared to 4. Untreated.

Figure S4

Figure S4. Agarose gel image showing the S-R PCR and L-R PCR bands of 8 representative single-cell clones. The short-range PCR (S-R PCR) amplify the 300 bp region spanning the cutsite, and alleles containing LD would fail to generate PCR product. The L-R PCR amplify the 5.5 kb region spanning the cut-site, and alleles containing a LD within the long-range PCR primer binding sites generate smaller PCR products.

Figure S5. The schematic showing how the colony genotype was determined based on NGS, L-R PCR gel shift, and ddPCR copy number assay.

Figure S6

Figure S6. Three assays determined the clonal genotype of 8 clones shown in Fig. S4. For clone 1, the absence of S-R PCR band and two size-shifted L-R PCR bands suggest LD/LD genotype. For clones 2-4, one expected sized band and one downward shifted L-R band suggests SI/LD genotype. Although clone 8 does not have a size-shifted L-R PCR band, this clone has SI/LD genotype based on the ddPCR allelic drop-off assay.

Figure S7. The comparison of genotype results obtained using S-R NGS and combination of three assays respectively for 100 single-cell clones from R-66S RNP treated S-HUDEP2 cells. The genotype of each single-cell clone was identified by S-R NGS and two additional complementary detection methods to account for the drop-out of large deletion alleles: Long-Range (L-R) PCR followed by gel shift assay and ddPCR-based *HBB* copy number quantification. (**A**) With S-R NGS, 46 clones were found to have heterozygous small INDEL (SI) and 46 clones with homozygous SI (**Supplementary Table S2**). We previously showed that Cas9 cutting induced DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) in *HBB* could be repaired using the homologous sequences from the δ-globin gene (*HBD*) as an endogenous template, resulting in SCD mutation correction. We found that two clones had homozygous SCD mutation correction mediated by *HBD* gene conversion, and six clones failed to amplify S-R PCR products. As expected, no genotype with large deletion (LD) could be identified by S-R PCR. In contrast, the combination of three assays (S-R NGS, L-R PCR and ddPCR) gave different genotypes of the single-cell clones: out of the 46 clones identified as homozygous SI genotype by S-R NGS, only 4 clones were indeed homozygous SI while 42 clones carried LD. We found that the six clones that failed to amplify S-R PCR product all had LD/LD genotype, and the two clones with *HBD* conversion had LD. As shown in (A), 28% large deletion alleles (i.e., 56 alleles) occurred in 50% of clones (50 clones), which is consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg predictions (48%). Therefore, the use of S-R NGS significantly overestimated the percentage of SI alleles (97.8%) compared with that identified using the combination of three assays (71%). More significantly, our results showed that 50% of the single-cell clones had LD in at least one allele, which caused a significant reduction of *HBB* copy numbers in gene-edited S-HUDEP2 cells as quantified by ddPCR. (**B**) S-R NGS significantly overestimated the percentage of SI alleles; 97.8% SI and 2.1% *HBD* alleles were incorrectly identified by S-R NGS compared to 71% SI, 28% LD, and 1% *HBD* alleles identified by the combination of three essays.

66 bp insertion and the remaining 65% of reads the previously detected -26 bp deletion. While this clonal genotype of heterozygotes should yield a 50/50 representation of each allele, the +66 bp/-26 bp size differences between these alleles create a significant PCR bias towards smaller amplicons. The insertion alleles for clone 7 were similarly lost during the standard alignment step and regained upon reducing the reference alignment threshold. This clone was then genotyped at 45% large insertion (+90 bp) to 55% HDR (LI/HDR genotype). For clone 4, the absence of S-R PCR band and size-shifted L-R PCR bands suggest two large deletion events (LD/LD genotype). (**B**) Table of genotype for 8 clones based on (**A**). (**C**) Out of 8 clones carrying large insertion, 7 clones harbor partial ssODN insertion, suggesting incorporation of ssODN at the DSBs instead of HDR-mediated precise SCD mutation correction. (**D**) The combination of three assays showed the correct genotype results: out of 24 clones previously identified as homozygous HDR genotype by S-R NGS, 18 clones were homozygous HDR while 8 clones carried large deletion. Two clones that failed to amplify S-R PCR products have LD/LD genotype. Two clones with homozygous SI during S-R PCR were, in fact, carriers for large deletion. (**E**) 64% HDR incorrectly identified by S-R NGS compared to 54% HDR alleles identified by three assays.

Figure S9. R-66S RNP and ssODN treated S-HUDEP2 clonal genotype gel images. (**A**) 300 bp S-R PCR amplicons from 109 clones. (**B**) 5.5kb L-R PCR amplicons from 109 clones.

Figure S10. Schematics of SMRT-seq with UMI data processing. (A) longread umi pipeline (26). The demultiplexed HiFi CCS reads from SMRT-seq were trimmed and filtered with quality control (≤15% expected error) and length control. UMI pairs were extracted and filtered based on the designated UMI pattern (NNNYRNNNYRNNNYRNNN) and length (=18 bp) for each UMI. Identical and highly matched (with some tolerance for UMI PCR/sequencing error) UMI pairs were clustered, and CCS reads with one UMI (singleton) were discarded. Raw CCS reads were binned together based on alignment with each clustered UMI pair. After binning, UMI consensus sequences were generated with Racon polishing and Medaka polishing. (B) Large variants caller pipeline (LV caller). UMI consensus sequences were taken as the input data set, and the sequence of the target gene (e.g. *HBB*) was taken as the reference sequence (all the file formats are in fasta). Minimap2 was used to align UMI consensus sequences to the reference sequence. The UMI consensus sequences were then categorized into four groups: (i) Unmodified alleles and those with small INDELs, (ii) intermediate deletions of 50-200 bp, (iii) LDs of $>= 200$ bp, and (iv) large insertions of >= 50 bp (including those simultaneously have intermediate deletions or large deletions). Small INDELs were profiled with INDEL size and allele frequency distribution; large deletions were profiled based on their alignment breakpoint and complex local rearrangements; large insertions were aligned against hg19 using BLAT and annotated chromosome mapping. To further profile deletions patterns, large deletions with similar sizes (+/- 10 bp) and starting positions (+/- 10 bp) were clustered.

Figure S11. Benchmark the SMRT-seq with UMI using known mixtures of allelic variants. (**A**) We constructed a synthetic DNA standard consisting of a wildtype *HBB* sequence of 5490 bp (Template 9) and Templates 1-8 with artificial LDs of 8 different sizes (4416 bp, 3872 bp, 3408 bp, 3079 bp, 2415 bp, 1926 bp, 1408 bp and 921 bp, respectively). Each DNA template was assigned a 6 bp allele-specific barcode at the 5' end to verify the accuracy of LD variant calling. The nine plasmid templates were linearized and pooled with specific molar ratios, with 80% Template 9 and 20% of Templates 1-8 combined. (**B**) The relative percentages of Templates 1-9 in the pooled plasmid standard were quantified by duplex probe-based ddPCR using barcodespecific primers and reference primers. The synthetic DNA library was then used as the standard for a 3-step L-R PCR to generate UMI-tagged and barcoded PCR3 products, sequenced using SMRT-seq to quantify the percentages of Templates 1-9. Based on the aligned CCS reads, Template 9 in PCR3 product was 54.38%, significantly decreased from 79.9% quantified by ddPCR in the original sample as standard, largely due to PCR errors. (**C**) Using the aligned CCS reads, LDs of the same start position and size were clustered together to identify unique LD patterns, and each large deletion pattern was mapped relative to the Cas9 cut-site. The CCS reads contain false-positive LDs different from that in Templates 1-8. (**D**) LDs identified using UMI consensus reads were mapped relative to the Cas9 cut-site. Only Templates 1-8 were identified, demonstrating SMRT-seq with UMI can accurately quantify LDs without false positives.

Figure S12. SMRT-seq UMI consensus read alignment. IGV alignment of UMI consensus reads to *HBB* for (**A**) untreated, and (**B**) R-66S RNP treated SCD HSPCs from Donor#1 showing read coverage depletion pattern around the R-66S cut site only in RNP sample from reads containing small INDELs and LDs. Vertical colored lines indicate SCD mutation, and 11 additional common SNPs found within the amplicon in the individual patient donor compared to the reference genome. (**C**) The read coverage depletion pattern of the RNP-treated SCD HSPCs, normalized by that of the untreated sample showing asymmetric pattern.

Figure S13. UMI cluster size for each LD pattern. In R-66S RNP treated SCD HSPC from Donor#1, we found 35.4% of LDs (>=200 bp). From 3473 UMI consensus sequences, we identified 1229 LD-containing sequences that form 381 unique LD patterns, demonstrating a diverse range of LDs. Of the 381 unique LD patterns, 130 were captured by one UMI consensus sequence, 90 by two UMI consensus sequences, 46 by three UMI consensus sequences, *etc*. Note that 21 UMI consensus sequences have the LD of the same size (267bp) and start position, accounting for 0.6% of the total UMI consensus sequences.

Figure S14

Figure S14. SMRT-seq identified different types of LD. An example of read alignment to *HBB* showing different types of LDs including asymmetric LDs spanning the cut-site, LDs away from the cut-site, and multiple LDs on the same allele. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) was used for the visual exploration of genomic data.

Figure S15. SMRT-seq identified different types of local complex rearrangements. IGV visualization of aligned of UMI consensus sequences containing large insertions (>= 50 bp) at the on-target cut-site. Some of the insertions were accompanied by small INDELs, intermediate deletions or LDs. Most of the inserted sequences mapped to sequences within the *HBB* near the cut-site, demonstrating local complex chromosomal rearrangements. The rest of the inserted sequences are mapped to the other chromosomal location in the human genome (hg19).

Figure S16

Figure S16. Circos plot showing insertion donating site on Hg19 in R-66S RNP treated SCD HSPCs. About 66% of the inserted sequences are homologous to those at or close to the *HBB* cut-site, demonstrating local complex chromosomal rearrangements within the β-globin locus. The rest of the inserted sequences are mapped to the other chromosomal locations in the human genome without known association with the sequence at the on-target cut-site.

Figure S17. Pieplot showing broad spectrum of gene-editing outcomes. Of the 3478 UMI consensus sequences, a total of 536 unique mutations were identified, including 67 small INDELs, intermediate deletions, 381 LDs, and 44 large insertions. The SMRT-seq identified allelic diversity, including large modifications (536 unique gene modification patterns), is >8-fold higher than characterized based on small INDELs (67 small INDEL patterns).

Figure S18. Relative LD frequency in RNP compared to RNP and ssODN treated SCD HSPCs. In the presence of ssODN, the size of LD distribution is skewed, with lower rates for shorter LDs and higher rates for longer LDs.

Figure S19

Figure S19. High level of on-target small INDELs measured by S-R NGS. R-66S, R-02, SD-02 and BCL11A gRNAs were complexed with HiFi *Sp*Cas9 and delivered as RNP to SCD HSPCs from Donor #1. All gRNAs showed high on-target small INDEL rates measured by S-R NGS, similar to that previously reported.

Figure S20

Figure S20. Distribution of the most frequent allele modifications identified with CRISPResso2 around cleavage sites in SCD HSPCs. (**A**) R-66S, (**B**) R-02, (**C**) SD-02 and (**D**) BCL11A gRNAs were complexed with HiFi *Sp*Cas9 and delivered as RNP to SCD HSPCs from Donor #1. All distributions showed high rates of on-target small INDELs profile by S-R NGS as previously reported.

Figure S21. SMRT-seq with UMI read number after UMI processing. Number of Q20 CCS reads and UMI consensus sequences aligned to the reference for each sample. Samples from SCD HSPCs Donor#1 edited with R-66S±ssODN and R-02 at *HBB*, SD-02 at *HBG1* and R-66S gRNA complexed with WT Cas9 (R-66S WT Cas9) at *HBB* and *OT18*. SCD HSPCs Donor#2 edited with R-66S±ssODN, R-02, SD-02, BCL11A and analyzed on day-4 post-delivery (T1), and after 14 days erythroid differentiation (on day-17 post-delivery) (T2). T-cells edited with PD-1.

Figure S22. Mitigation of PCR bias in UMI consensus reads. We compared frequencies of LD, intermediate deletion, and large insertion quantified using UMI consensus reads compared to CCS reads and found that correction of PCR bias consistently led to decreased rates of LDs in SCD HSPCs from Donor#2 edited with R-66S, R-66S+ssODN, R-02, SD-02, BCL11A, and PD-1.

Figure S23. Schematics of *HBG* **locus.** Previously, considerable LD levels upon simultaneous cleavage have been reported removing the entire *HBG2* gene and part of the *HBG1* promoter creating *HBG-HBG2* fusion allele. *HBG1*-specific L-R PCR with 6.4 kb amplicon size could be misleading in the presence of another on-target cut site on *HBG2*, 4.9 kb upstream of the cut site on *HBG1*. To understand the types of large intergenic modification missed by *HBG1*-specific sequencing, we amplified and sequenced the 10 kbp region, including *HBG1* and *HBG2*. We observed intergenic LD extending further upstream of the cut site on *HBG2* and/or downstream of the cut site on *HBG1*, removing both *HBG1* and *HBG2*.

Figure S24. Pieplot showing broad spectrum of gene-editing outcomes in SCD HSPCs from Donor #2. The SMRT-seq results revealed a broad spectrum of unintended large modifications at or near the Cas9 cut-site in R-66S, R-02, SD-02 and BCL11A RNP treated SCD HSPCs. (**A**) In the R-66S_2_T2 sample, of the 4897 UMI consensus sequences, a total of 843 unique gene modification patterns were identified, including 86 small INDEL, 65 intermediate deletions, 567 LDs, and 125 large insertions. The SMRT-seq identified allelic diversity including large modifications (843 unique gene modification patterns) is >9.8-fold higher than characterized based on small INDELs (86 small INDEL patterns). (**B**) In the R-02_1_T2 sample, of the 7154 UMI consensus sequences, a total of 718 unique gene modification patterns were identified, including 83 small INDEL, 74 intermediate deletions, 495 LDs, and 86 large insertions. The SMRT-seq identified allelic diversity including large modifications (718 unique gene modification patterns) is >8.7-fold higher than characterized based on small INDELs (83 small INDEL patterns). (**C**) In the SD-02_1_T2 sample, of the 1130 UMI consensus sequences, a total of 236 unique gene modification patterns were identified, including 54 small INDEL, 18 intermediate deletions, 158 LDs, and 7 large insertions. The SMRT-seq identified allelic diversity including large modifications (236 unique gene modification patterns) is >4.4-fold higher than characterized based on small INDELs (54 small INDEL patterns). (**D**) In the BCL11A_1_T2 sample, of the 3375 UMI consensus sequences, a total of 528 unique gene modification patterns were identified, including 80 small INDEL, 49 intermediate deletions, 364 LDs, and 49 large insertions. The SMRT-seq identified allelic diversity including large modifications (528 unique gene modification patterns) is >6.6-fold higher than characterized based on small INDELs (80 small INDEL patterns).

Figure S25. Gene modifications induced by HiFi Cas9 and WT Cas9. We delivered R-66S gRNA complexed with HiFi Cas9 and WT Cas9, respectively, into SCD HSPCs from Donor#1 and compared gene modification rates at the HBB on-target site as well as the known off-target site OT18. HiFi Cas9 and WT Cas9 treated samples showed similar LD rates (30.3% vs. 31.5%) and intermediate deletion rates (6.2 vs. 6.3%) quantified by SMRT-seq with UMI. WT Cas9 showed a higher rate of large insertion than HiFi Cas9 (2.2% vs. 1.6%). The LD rate at the OT18 in the WT Cas9 treated sample was 3.9%.

Figure S26. Small INDELs and LDs induced by HiFi Cas9 and WT Cas9. We delivered R-66S gRNA complexed with HiFi Cas9 or WT Cas9 and quantified the small INDELs by S-R NGS and LD by ddPCR. (**A**) The HiFi Cas9 gave similar small INDELs rates compared with WT Cas9 at *HBB*, but significantly reduced the small INDELs rates at the most active off-target site (OT18). (**B**) HiFi Cas9 and WT Cas9 treated samples showed similar LD frequencies at *HBB* measured by ddPCR allelic drop-off assay similar to reported by SMRT-seq with UMI in **Figure S26**.

Figure S27

Figure S27. Large deletions in PD-1 targeted primary T-cells. We performed SMRT-seq with UMI for the gRNA targeting a PD-1 locus in T cells (**A**) Nucleotide distribution around the PD-1 gRNA generated by CRISPResso2 (30). At each base in the reference amplicon, the percentage of each base as observed in sequencing reads is shown $(A = green; C = orange; G = yellow; T =$ purple). Black bars show the percentage of reads for which that base was deleted. (**B**) LD patterns were mapped relative to the Cas9 cut-site to show deletion size and location.

Figure S28

Figure S28. LongAmp-seq bioinformatics pipeline for calling small INDELs and LDs. The raw sequencing data from Illumina MiSeq were demultiplexed by bcl2fastq from Illumina and merged using FLASH (52). Merged reads were aligned to reference genome hg19 using BWA-MEM (53), and the reads that were not spanning the cut site were filtered out with SAMtools (55). The split reads were identified using BEDtools (56) and further processed to break-point based variant calling, while the small INDEL patterns were generated by CRISPResso2 (30) using the unsplit reads.

Figure S29. Benchmark the LongAmp-seq using known mixtures of allelic variants. The same DNA template standard with pre-determined allele frequency and PCR3 product analyzed by SMRT-seq in Supplementary Figure S11 were processed by LongAmp-seq. (**A**) LongAmp-seq measured 70% Template 9 (corresponding to unmodified HBB) while the frequency of Template 9 in the original DNA standard used for the library prep was 79.9%, showing underestimate of the unmodified allele and overestimate of the LD-containing alleles by LongAmp-seq, largely due to having more PCR duplicates of Templates 1-8 compared to that of Template 9. (**B**) LongAmp-seq identified LDs (≥200 bp) were clustered based on their sizes and locations to identify unique LDs. Instead of UMI cluster size-based filtering used in SMRT-seq, LD patterns with below 0.01% read number of total aligned reads were considered background noise and filtered out, which removed the false-positive LDs. Each unique LD was mapped relative to the Cas9 on-target cut-site. LongAmp-seq was able to identify the correct alleles (Templates 1-9) presented in the original DNA standard.

Figure S30. Small INDEL profiles by SMRT-seq and LongAmp-seq. The small INDELs at the (A) R-66S, (B) R-02, (C) SD-02 and (D) BCL11A RNP treated SCD HSPCs from Donor #2 were detected by SMRT-seq and LongAmp-seq assays respectively. SMRT-seq and LongAmp-seq reads without large gene modifications were processed by CRISPResso2 and showed overlapping small INDEL signatures and excellent correlation of the small INDEL rates.

Figure S31. LongAmp-seq and SMRT-seq quantified LDs in SCD HSPCs. We sequenced the same set of samples by SMRT-seq and LongAmp-seq and compared SMRT-seq raw CCS (SMRT CCS), SMRT-seq with UMI filtered and consolidated (SMRT UMI) and LongAmp-seq. LD rates were consistently highest by SMRT-seq without filtering for UMI, followed by LongAmp-seq and SMRT-seq with UMI. The percentage of LDs obtained using LongAmp-seq (quantified as the number of reads containing unique LDs divided by the total reads) was compared to the LD allele frequency quantified by SMRT-seq using UMI consensus reads and showed excellent correlation, although without UMI-based correction of PCR bias and error, LongAmp-seq gave slightly higher LD rates.

Figure S32. Overlap between LongAmp-seq and SMRT-seq identified LD patterns in SCD HSPCs. The LD patterns identified by SMRT-seq and LongAmp-seq were plotted based on the location of the midpoint of LD (x-axis) and LD size (y-axis). There is a high level of overlap between LongAmp-seq identified LDs and that identified by SMRT-seq. (**A**) R-66S RNP with ssODN, (**B**) R-02 RNP, (**C**) SD-02 RNP and (**D**) BCL11A RNP treated SCD HSPCs from Donor#2.

Figure S33. SCD HSPC immunophenotyping and sorting. After R-66S RNP, R-66S RNP and ssODN or mock electroporation, treated SCD HSPCs were recovered in the expansion media for 2 hours before the staining using fluorescently labeled antibodies (CD34, CD38, CD45RA, CD90) for HSPC subset analysis and FACS. The percentage of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs, (CD34+CD38-CD45RA-CD90+) was 0.3% and the percentage of hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs , CD34+CD38+) was 38.3%. Sorted cells were cultured for additional days to expand and gDNA was harvested for analysis by S-R NGS and LongAmp-seq.

Figure S34. Small INDEL profile in HSC, HPC and bulk HSPCs. (A) R-66S RNP edited and sorted cells were analyzed by S-R NGS. (B) R-66S RNP and ssODN treated and sorted cells were analyzed by S-R NGS. We observed enrichment -1bp deletion produced by NHEJ accompanied by depletion MMEJ-repaired INDELs (notably, -26bp deletion with CCTGTG 5bp microhomologies) in HSCs.

Figure S35. Frequency of unmodified alleles in edited K562 at different time points. (A) R-66WT RNP was electroporated into K562, harvested gDNAs at different time points over 3 days post-delivery, and analyzed the rates of LDs, NHEJ-led small INDELs (**Figure 5D**), and unmodified alleles by LongAmp-seq. (B) R-66WT RNP and ssODN were electroporated into K562, harvested gDNAs at different time points over 3 days post-delivery, and analyzed the rates of LDs, NHEJ-led small INDELs, HDR-mediated ssODN (**Figure 5E**), and unmodified alleles by LongAmp-seq.

Figure S36. Sizes and distributions of LDs in K562 cells at different time points. The R-66WT RNP with sickle ssODN were delivered into the K562 erythroleukemia cell line and harvested gDNAs at different time points over 3 days post-delivery, and analyzed by LongAmpseq. We compared the size distribution of LDs over time and found that the repair of longer LDs was slower than shorter LDs.

Figure S37

Figure S37. Nanopore long-read sequencing bioinformatics pipeline for detecting structural variants. FASTQ sequence files were processed into FASTQ using Guppy Basecaller. We first used NGMLR (57) to map all long reads reference human genome hg19., and the reads that mapped to the *HBB* region were analyzed for deletions and insertions calling. The reads that could not be mapped by NGMLR were further aligned by BWA-MEM (53) and filtered by SAMtools to include the chimeric reads carrying potential large deletions. The insertion profile was from NGMLR calling, and the large deletion profile included both NGMLR called reads, and BWA-MEM identified reads.

Figure S38. Comparable read coverage depletion pattern of the RNP treated sample by LongAmp-Seq and Nanopore long-reads sequencing. We used gDNA from 10,000 unique input alleles for L-R PCR to enrich the 5.5kb amplicons surrounding the *HBB* cut-site from R-66S HiFi RNP treated or untreated samples. L-R PCR products were processed by LongAmp-seq and Nanopore long-read sequencer in parallel with the total aligned read number for an average coverage over 70x of each allele. We observed a comparable read coverage depletion pattern of the RNP treated sample in both short- and long-reads sequencing, demonstrating that LongAmpseq library preparation barely introduced extra bias.

Supplementary Table S3. Identification of colony genotype in SCD HSPCs with R-66S RNP delivery

Sample clone# read# | %SCD(GtG) | %SI | %HDR(Gaa) | %HDR(Gaa) | allele1 | allele2 | SRNGSgenotype | LRPCR | HBB | RRP30 | %HBB | Correctgenotype |
Sample clone# read# | %SCD(GtG) | %SI | %HDR(Gaa) | %HBIGaa) | allele1 | a clone1 4678 0 1 3 96 HBD/HBD 1974 1613 22 HBD/LD HBD clone2 43318 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | HDR | HDR/HDR | | 1117 | 634 | 76 | HDR/HDR HDR clone9 23978 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR 1153 611 89 HDR/HDR HDR -1 clone17 17484 0 100 0 0 homo SI SHIFT 1412 932 52 SI/LD clone18 18629 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 0 | -12 | homo SI | | | 845 | 459 | 84 | homo SI HDR¹ clone19 16592 0 52 48 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 1212 619 96 HDR/SI clone20 10831 0 100 0 0 -7 -12 hetero SI 1226 607 102 hetero SI clone21 13113 0 57 42 0 HDR -12 HDR/SI 962 468 106 HDR/SI clone22 13899 0 0 99 0 HDR/HDR SHIFT 962 650 48 HDR/LD HDR HDR clone23 15510 0 0 99 0 HDR/HDR 1079 758 42 HDR/LD clone24 13779 0 95 5 0 -8 -15 hetero SI 2175 1263 72 hetero SI HDR clone25 15957 0 0 0 99 0 0 HDR 1 HDR/HDR 1 1823 982 86 HDR/HDR clone26 9414 0 3 97 0 HDR/HDR 853 432 97 HDR/HDR HDR clone27 15743 0 52 48 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 1479 771 92 HDR/SI clone28 21795 0 0 100 0 HDR/HDR 3610 1872 93 HDR/HDR HDRHDR clone30 16217 0 0 100 0 HDR/HDR SHIFT 1154 783 47 HDR/LD $\overline{}$ clone31 14441 0 99 1 0 -52 42 hetero SI 1568 834 88 hetero SI clone32 17513 0 0 100 0 HDR/HDR 2134 1177 81 HDR/HDR clone33 20830 0 0 100 0 HDR/HDR 1428 738 93 HDR/HDR HDR clone34 20971 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR 2431 1283 89 HDR/HDR HDR clone35 17292 0 1 98 0 HDR/HDR 2342 1273 84 HDR/HDR HDR clone37 | 13459 | 0 | 1 | 98 | 0 | HDR | HDR/HDR | | 1049 | 530 | 98 | HDR/HDR HDR clone38 14719 0 99 1 0 -1 -19 hetero SI 661 329 101 hetero SI clone39 12416 0 0 99 0 HDR/HDR 581 382 52 HDR/LD HDR clone40 13418 0 54 46 0 HDR -9 HDR/SI 2639 1412 87 HDR/SI clone41 | 4389 | 0 | 2 | 98 | 0 | HDR | HDR/HDR | | | 1320 | 654 | 102 | HDR/HDR HDR clone42 16738 2 0 97 0 HDR/HDR 1746 915 91 HDR/HDR HDR clone44 12710 0 0 100 0 HDR/HDR SHIFT 774 522 48 HDR/LD HDR HDR clone45 7900 | 0 | 2 | 98 | 0 | HDR | HDR/HDR | | 196 126 | 56 | HDR/LD clone46 10436 0 5 95 0 HDR/HDR 839 432 94 HDR/HDR HDR -28 clone47 11672 0 93 6 0 homo SI 1330 916 45 SI/LD clone48 8387 0 68 32 0 HDR -61 HDR/SI 795 411 93 HDR/SI <u>H</u> clone49 13987 0 1 99 0 0 HDR 1 HDR/HDR 1 1076 546 97 HDR/HDR clone50 10612 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR SHIFT 535 378 42 HDR/LD HDR HDR clone51 7583 0 1 99 0 0 HDR DR/HDR 1 S17 246 110 HDR/HDR clone53 12049 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR 451 231 95 HDR/HDR HDR clone54 11111 0 53 47 0 HDR -2 HDR/SI 2426 1265 92 HDR/SI clone55 11541 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR 1713 936 83 HDR/HDR HDR Biological replicate1 Biological replicate2 Biological replicate1 Biological replicate2 Biological replicate2 -2 clone56 3099 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 0 | →2 | homo SI | | 1256 | 639 | 97 | homo SI clone57 10484 0 52 48 0 HDR 1 HDR/SI 794 407 95 HDR/SI 2 clone58 5366 0 98 2 0 homo SI 204 96 113 homo SI clone59 7093 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR SHIFT 775 514 51 HDR/LD HDR HDR clone60 13089 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR 983 470 109 HDR/HDR clone61 10092 0 98 1 0 -3 -5 hetero SI 294 144 104 hetero SI clone62 12408 0 1 98 0 HDR/HDR 2319 1131 105 HDR/HDR HDR clone63 7031 0 48 52 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 239 114 110 HDR/SI clone65 8278 0 99 1 0 -8 -62 hetero SI 1654 854 94 hetero SI clone66 8905 0 1 98 0 HDR/HDR 1540 777 98 HDR/HDR HDR clone68 12715 | 0 99 | 1 | 0 | -² | homo SI | 1025 | 517 | 98 | homo SI -2 clone69 12538 0 100 0 0 homo SI SHIFT 1172 801 46 SI/LD -4 clone70 9709 0 99 1 0 homo SI SHIFT 923 618 49 SI/LD -4 clone71 4519 0 55 45 0 HDR -21 HDR/SI HDR/SI clone72 6002 0 51 49 0 HDR -19 HDR/SI 738 370 99 HDR/SI -1 clone73 8647 0 99 1 0 homo SI 1086 560 94 homo SI clone74 6705 0 99 1 0 -1 -21 hetero SI 1524 772 97 hetero SI HDR clone75 8822 0 1 99 0 0 HDR HDR/HDR 1 627 318 97 HDR/HDR clone76 12438 0 100 0 0 -18 -1 hetero SI 1152 579 99 hetero SI clone77 9828 0 49 51 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 1497 711 111 HDR/SI clone78 7747 0 50 49 0 HDR -2 HDR/SI 419 205 104 HDR/SI clone79 10265 0 97 3 0 -21 -1 hetero SI 1263 624 102 hetero SI clone80 8690 0 99 1 0 homo SI SHIFT 1060 705 50 SI/LD -1 -2 clone81 | 4173 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 0 | -2 | homo SI | | | | 630 | 342 | 84 | homo SI clone82 25713 0 0 100 0 HDR/HDR 654 335 95 HDR/HDR HDR clone83 10676 39 59 2 0 WT -24 WT/SI 993 509 95 WT/SI clone84 7652 0 48 52 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 261 132 98 HDR/SI clone85 12217 0 52 48 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 765 381 101 HDR/SI clone86 7839 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR 236 110 115 HDR/HDR HDR HDR clone87 13074 0 0 99 0 HDR/HDR 566 293 93 HDR/HDR clone88 11531 0 100 0 0 -1 -20 hetero SI 467 240 95 hetero SI clone89 6844 0 99 1 0 homo SI SHIFT 647 422 53 SI/LD 2 clone90 10077 0 51 49 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 205 111 85 HDR/SI clone91 6232 0 52 48 0 HDR 1 HDR/SI 606 312 94 HDR/SI clone92 8967 0 4 96 0 HDR/HDR 407 210 94 HDR/HDR HDR clone93 8896 0 50 50 0 HDR -2 HDR/SI 174 84.8 105 HDR/SI clone95 6726 0 57 43 0 HDR -21 HDR/SI 235 122 93 HDR/SI clone96 1902 0 95 5 0 homo SI 299 189 58 SI/LD -25 clone97 12906 0 2 98 0 0 HDR 1 HDR/HDR 1 166 87 90 HDR/HDR HDR clone98 11782 | 0 93 | 7 | 0 | -2 | homo SI | | 615 | 332 | 85 | homo SI -2 HDR clone99 11906 0 3 97 0 HDR/HDR 314 161 95 HDR/HDR clone100 11592 0 45 55 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 417 200 109 HDR/SI -2 clone101 7816 0 98 2 0 2 homo SI 1783 958 86 homo SI clone102 8987 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 0 | -10 | -3 | hetero SI | | | | | 163 | 87.2 | 87 | hetero SI clone103 5321 0 98 2 0 -9 -2 hetero SI 195 105 86 hetero SI clone104 7530 0 3 97 0 HDR HDR/HDR 586 308 90 HDR/HDR clone1 11072 0 54 46 0 HDR -26 HDR/SI 477 262 82 HDR/SI clone2 9896 0 2 98 0 HDR/HDR SHIFT 161 96 68 HDR/LD HDR clone4 12325 | 0 | 1 | 99 | 0 | HDR | HDR/HDR | 585 | 396 | 48 | HDR/LD HDR clone5 17107 0 45 55 0 HDR -5 HDR/SI 451 241 87 HDR/SI clone6 21903 0 45 55 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 784 419 87 HDR/SI clone7 11926 0 47 52 1 HDR -1 HDR/SI 406 235 73 HDR/SI clone8 17301 | 0 | 2 | 98 | 0 | HDR | HDR/HDR | | 194 | 107 | 81 | HDR/HDR HDR clone9 5846 0 80 4 15 HDR -19 HDR/SI 324 182 78 HDR/SI clone10 5942 0 10 6 84 HBD/HBD SHIFT 348 268 30 HBD/LD HBD clone11 20263 0 97 2 0 -21 -21 homo SI 1576 836 89 homo SI HDR clone12 18744 0 2 98 0 0 HDR 970 510 90 HDR/HDR clone13 13626 0 0 99 0 HDR/HDR 218 116 88 HDR/HDR HDR clone14 22482 0 20 76 3 HDR -19 HDR/SI 652 349 87 HDR/SI clone16 17298 0 53 47 0 HDR -7 HDR/SI 844 457 85 HDR/SI clone17 14390 0 99 1 0 -12 -2 hetero SI 1310 691 90 hetero SI clone18 10952 | 0 | 92 | 1 | 6 | 6 | homo SI | | | 752 | 412 | 83 | homo SI -6 clone19 17471 0 99 1 0 -1 -2 hetero SI 1462 793 84 hetero SI clone20 16319 0 55 45 0 HDR -26 HDR/SI 2084 1142 82 HDR/SI HDR clone21 12192 0 1 93 6 HDR HDR HDR/HDR 1 3190 1627 96 HDR/HDR clone22 9107 0 2 97 0 HDR HDR HDR/HDR 1 2016 1102 83 HDR/HDR HDR HDR clone23 18434 0 1 99 0 0 HDR 1 HDR/HDR 1 1333 728 83 HDR/HDR clone24 18429 0 99 1 0 homo SI SHIFT 835 550 52 SI/LD 1 1 clone25 14567 0 98 2 0 homo SI SHIFT 613 400 53 SI/LD clone26 14684 0 1 99 0 0 HDR HDR/HDR 1 509 266 91 HDR/HDR H_{DR} clone27 12926 0 50 50 0 HDR -2 HDR/SI 782 408 92 HDR/SI clone29 14915 0 99 1 0 homo SI SHIFT 691 463 49 SI/LD -12 clone30 23070 | 97 | 1 | 1 | 0 | WT | WT/WT | | 1004 521 | 93 | WT/WT WT clone31 15924 0 50 50 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 686 370 85 HDR/SI clone32 12332 0 99 1 0 homo SI 394 270 46 SI/LD -18 clone33 10604 0 98 2 0 -18 -1 hetero SI 68.3 31.6 116 hetero SI clone34 14311 97 2 1 0 WT/WT 358 190 88 WT/WT WT clone35 13327 0 42 57 1 HDR 18 HDR/SI 797 422 89 HDR/SI clone36 7946 0 2 98 0 0 HDR HDR HDR/HDR 1 680 368 85 HDR/HDR HDR Biological replicate2 clone37 18761 0 0 0 99 0 0 HDR 1 HDR/HDR 1 881 468 88 HDR/HDR HDR clone38 16002 1 50 49 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 1220 642 90 HDR/SI clone39 14267 0 99 1 0 -19 -1 hetero SI 902 456 98 hetero SI clone40 16338 0 50 50 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 1745 885 97 HDR/SI clone41 12396 0 99 1 0 -1 -2 hetero SI 195 99 97 hetero SI clone42 17344 0 1 99 0 0 HDR DR/HDR 1 664 347 91 HDR/HDR HDR clone43 14808 0 50 49 0 HDR -2 HDR/SI 2151 1088 98 HDR/SI clone44 16073 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR 484 240 102 HDR/HDR HDR -21 clone45 20565 0 99 1 | 0 | -21 | homo SI | 1296 618 110 | homo SI clone46 13772 0 98 2 0 homo SI 1095 608 80 homo SI -1 clone47 12353 0 52 46 1 HDR -3 HDR/SI 799 426 88 HDR/SI clone48 10637 0 98 2 0 -1 -12 hetero SI 301 169 78 hetero SI clone50 | 11455 | 0 | 17 | 83 | 0 | HDR | 33 | HDR/SI | | | | | 482 | 249 | 94 | HDR/SI clone51 18042 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR NO BAND 713 357 100 HDR/HDR HDR clone52 11818 0 51 49 0 HDR -3 HDR/SI 433 233 86 HDR/SI clone53 16574 0 0 100 0 HDR/HDR 257 175 47 HDR/LD HDR WT clone54 14224 91 8 1 0 WT/WT 951 486 96 WT/WT clone55 14206 0 55 45 0 HDR -8 HDR/SI 1293 666 94 HDR/SI clone56 12857 0 96 4 0 homo SI 1168 620 88 homo SI HDR clone58 14047 0 52 48 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 251 131 92 HDR/SI clone59 14104 0 1 98 0 0 HDR DR/HDR 1 HDR/HDR 1 784 373 110 HDR/HDR HDR clone60 19411 0 99 1 0 homo SI 544 350 55 SI/LD -1 clone62 11498 0 51 49 0 HDR 1 HDR/SI 438 218 101 HDR/SI clone63 16758 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | -25 | homo SI | 1321 | 900 | 47 | SI/LD -25 clone64 13129 0 50 50 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 1898 957 98 HDR/SI clone65 9502 0 5 94 0 HDR/HDR 152.1 81.1 88 HDR/HDR HDR clone66 11975 | 0 | 1 | 99 | 0 | HDR | HDR/HDR | | 699 | 364 | 92 | HDR/HDR HDR WT clone67 11945 94 5 1 0 WT/WT 425 222 91 WT/WT clone68 12080 0 96 3 0 -19 -1 hetero SI 844 425 99 hetero SI clone69 14608 0 55 45 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 199 97 105 HDR/SI clone70 29444 0 52 47 0 HDR -1 HDR/SI 2228 1158 92 HDR/SI clone71 18735 0 52 48 0 HDR -2 HDR/SI 274 144 90 HDR/SI clone73 15227 0 50 50 0 HDR -4 HDR/SI 310 162 91 HDR/SI -2 clone74 9173 0 98 2 0 homo SI SHIFT 128.6 86 50 SI/LD clone75 20346 1 98 0 1 -19 -1 hetero SI 1827 962 90 hetero SI HDR clone76 15580 0 1 98 0 HDR/HDR 929 557 67 HDR/LD $\begin{array}{c} -36 \\ \hline HDR \\ \hline -11 \end{array}$ clone77 14963 0 99 1 0 -36 -10 hetero SI 904 448 102 hetero SI clone78 18455 | 0 | 52 | 48 | 0 | HDR | -1 | HDR/SI | | | | 656 | 339 | 94 | | HDR/SI clone79 19878 0 1 99 0 HDR/HDR 322 172 87 HDR/HDR

clone80 14052 0 99 1 0 -11 10 hetero SI 528 276 91 hetero SI

Supplementary Table S4. Identification of colony genotype in SCD HSPCs with R-66S RNP and ssODN delivery

Table S6: Details of large insertions in SCD HSPCs treated by R-66S RNP

Table S7: LongAmp-seq sequencing depth and read numbers

