Supporting Information

Is polydopamine beneficial for cells on the modified surface?

Yue Yu, Xiuli Wang, Yi Zhu, Yingning He, Hongrui Xue, Jiandong Ding* State Key Laboratory of Molecular Engineering of Polymers, Department of Macromolecular Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China.

*Correspondence should be addressed to J.D. DING. E-mail: jdding1@fudan.edu.cn

This PDF file includes Supplementary Text, Figs. S1 to S13, Tables S1, which are shown in sequence mentioned in the main manuscript.



Fig. S1. Publication statistics about polydopamine for biomedical applications during the latest 30 years (15 years before 2007, and 15 years after 2007).

- Source: Web of Science
- Keywords: polydopamine. Output: 11977 publications. Only 1 publication appears during the 15 years (1992-2006) before 2007, one publication (Haeshin Lee, ..., Phillip B. Messersmith*, *Science* 2007, *318* (5849), 426-430) in 2007, but 11975 publications during the 15 years after 2007.
- **Keywords**: polydopamine AND (cell OR biomaterial OR surface modification OR coating OR biomedical). Output: 8024 publications. No publication before 2007, one publication (Haeshin Lee, ..., Phillip B. Messersmith*, *Science* **2007**, *318* (5849), 426-430) in 2007, but 8023 publications during the 15 years after 2007. Shown in the histogram are yearly publications till 2021, since the datum in 2022 is still incomplete and thus not shown. The summation of 2020, 2021, and the first half of 2022 reads 3518.



Fig. S2. The synthesis route of polydopamine (PDA) from dopamine, and the chemical structures of the oxidation or rearrangement products of PDA.



Fig. S3. Schematic diagram of the principle of CCK-8 test. The CCK-8 reagent contains WST-8, which is reduced to a highly water-soluble yellow formazan dye by dehydrogenase in cell mitochondria under the action of an electron carrier 1-methoxy PMS. The number of formazan dye produced is proportional to the number of living cells. This characteristic can be used to access cell proliferation and cytotoxicity of a biomaterial.

Table. S1. Verification strategies and results of possible factors that may be related to the decrease of total viability of hMSCs on PDA-coated TCPs compared with untreated TCP.

	Possible factors to				
	be confirmed or	Ver	rification strategies	Results	Conclusions
	ruled out				
	Abnormal results	1.	Repeat experiments (7 parallel	The same trend.	
Repeatability of	caused only by		samples for each group) for at		
the "abnormal"	experimental errors		least five times.		
phenomenon of		2.	CCK-8 tests and statistics.		
decreased cell	Abnormal results	1.	Use MTT test and CellTiter-	The same trend	
viability on	caused only by a		Lumi [™] Plus Luminescent Cell	as tested via	
PDA-modified	specific experimental		Viability Assay Kit instead of	CCK-8 test. [Fig.	
surface	method		CCK-8 test.	S4.]	
		2.	Statistics and comparison.		Cell viability
	Abnormal results	1.	Culture different cells	The same trend	
	caused only by a		parallelly on TCP and PDA-	for different cell	was surely
	specific cell type		coated surfaces.	types. [Fig. S5.]	decreased on
		2.	CCK-8 test and statistics.		PDA-coated
Universality of	Abnormal results	1.	Modify different materials	The same trend	surfaces at
the regulation	caused only by a		including Ti6Al4V, glass,	for different	surfaces at
	specific substrate		PDMS with PDA.	substrates.	normal
		2.	Culture cells on those	[Fig. S6.]	measurement
			materials.		time
		3.	CCK-8 tests and statistics.		time.
	Interaction between	1.	Culture cells on TCP for 24 h.	No significant	
	the <i>product</i> of the	2.	Change medium into 10%	difference	
	CCK-8 reaction and		CCK-8 (working solution) and	between groups.	
	PDA on the color		incubate with cells for 2 h.	[Fig. S7.]	

FI •	6	COOK 0	2			
Elimination	10	reaction of CCK-8	3.	Take the medium		
interference	in	test	4.	Incubate the medium with TCP		
test method				and PDA-coated TCPs (free of		
				cells) for 2 h		
			5.	Measure OD for each group.		
		Interaction between	1.	Incubate the medium	No significant	
		the substrate of the		containing 10% CCK-8	difference	
		CCK-8 reaction and		(working solution) with TCP	between groups.	
		PDA on the color		and PDA-coated TCPs for 2	[Fig. S7.]	
		reaction of CCK-8		hours.		
		test	2.	Measure OD for each group.		
		Free PDA particles in	1.	Prepare and freeze-dry PDA	No significant	
		culture medium		particles.	difference	
			2.	Culture cells in the presence of	between groups	
				PDA particles.	under normal	Decrease of
			3.	CCK-8 test.	concentrations,	
					although excess	cen viability
Exclusion of					particles causes	was related to
non-contact					cytotoxicity.	the direct
factors					[Fig. S8.]	contact
		Free PDA particles	1.	Prepare PDA-coated TCPs,	Cell viability	contact
		on		wash off potential PDA	decreased	between cells
		PDA-coated surfaces		particles in a decoloring shaker	similarly on	and PDA-
				overnight.	PDA-modified	coated
			2.	Culture cells on surfaces surely	surfaces without	
				without free PDA particles.	PDA particles.	surfaces.
			3.	CCK-8 test.	[Fig. S8.]	
		Extracts accumulated	1.	Prepare PDA coating, and	No significant	
		during culture		prepare extracts using	difference	

		complete culture medium.	between groups.	
	2.	Cell culture in the presence of	[Fig. S8.]	
		the extracts.		
	3.	CCK-8 test.		



Fig. S4. The total cell viability of hMSCs on TCPs or PDA-coated TCPs via the other two test methods different from the default method CCK-8. The left was from the MTT assay, and the right was given by the CellTiter-LumiTM Plus Luminescent Cell Viability Assay Kit. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. For each group, n = 5. Significant differences are marked with one asterisk (p < 0.05) and double asterisks (p < 0.01).





- HUVEC: human umbilical vein endothelial cells
- HFF: human foreskin fibroblast
- HeLa: a typical human cervical cancer cell line
- A549: lung cancer human alveolar basal epithelial cells

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. For each group, n = 5. Significant differences are marked with one asterisk (p < 0.05) and double asterisks (p < 0.01).



Fig. S6. Cell viability of hMSCs on the indicated other substrates detected via CCK-8 test. For each of the substrates, cells were cultured on both of the virgin surfaces and the modified surfaces. The concentration of PDA used for surface modification was 2 mg/mL.

We examined three typical biological substrates as follows:

- Glass representative of nonmetallic inorganics
- Plasma-treated polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) representative of polymers
- Ti6Al4V representative of metals.

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. For each group, n = 5. Significant differences are marked with one asterisk (p < 0.05) and double asterisks (p < 0.01).



Fig. S7. Examination of possible interactions between PDA coating and the products/substrates of CCK-8 color reaction. The left figure revealed that PDA did not affect the product of CCK-8 color reaction; the right figure revealed that PDA also did not affect the substrate of CCK-8 color reaction.

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. For each group, n = 5. In this figure, there is no significant difference between groups.



Fig. S8. Specifically designed experiments to examine the possible cytotoxicity of free PDA particles along with the PDA coating. (A) Cell viability of hMSCs cultured on repeatedly washed TCP and PDA-coated TCP substrates, which could be regarded as surfaces without free PDA particles. All the tests were carried after culturing the cells for 24 h. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 5) and analyzed by oneway ANOVA. Significant differences were marked with one asterisk (p < 0.05) and double asterisks (p < 0.01). PDA-1 and PDA-2 mean the concentrations of dopamine in Tris-HCl were 1 mg/mL and 2 mg/mL, respectively. The 300 μ L of the treatment solution was dripped on a well in a 48-well plate. Only a small fraction of dopamine was polymerized and adherent on the substrate. (B) Cell viability of hMSCs cultured with addition of pre-prepared PDA particles of the final concentrations of the particle suspensions 0.01 and 0.2 mg/mL. (C) Cell viability of hMSCs cultured with the extracts from TCP and PDA-coated (1 mg/mL and 2 mg/mL) TCP substrates. The results rule out the possibility that the decrease of cell viability on PDA-modified surfaces in other figures with respect to the PDA coating were caused from the free PDA particles. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. For each group, n = 5. Significant differences are marked with double asterisks (p < 0.01).



Fig. S9. Cell viability of hMSCs on TCP, PDA-modified TCP and PDA mediated collagen-modified TCP mediated by a PDA layer. The concentration of collagen was 1 mg/mL and the initial concentration of dopamine in Tris-HCl for modification was 2 mg/mL. Data detected via CCK-8 tests are presented as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. For each group, n = 5. Significant differences are marked with double asterisks (p < 0.01).





Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. For each group, n = 7. Significant differences between the virgin group (TCP) and one of the modified groups are marked with double asterisks (p < 0.01).



Fig. S11. Statistics of spreading areas and aspect ratios of cells on TCPs with or without PDA modification based on the fluorescence micrographs of hMSCs in Figure 4A. For each group, n = 5. There is no significant difference between groups.



Fig. S12. Schematic presentation of the principle of EdU cell proliferation assay.



Fig. S13. Illustration of cell proliferation. The upper left shows a fluorescence micrograph of a few hMSCs on TCP, where nuclei were stained in red, F-actin in red, and vinculin in green. The central cell was experiencing mitosis, which is reflected by the double nuclei. The upper right schematically illustrates the flow cytometry detection of cell cycle, where we used propidium iodide (PI) to label DNA. The lower left indicates the four stages in a mitosis cycle, and the lower right, DNA content in cells changes periodically with the process of cell cycle. For example, the DNA content in G0/G1 phase is 2N, while that in G2/M phase is 4N. Using the PI labeling method, the relative content of DNA in cells was measured by flow cytometry, and the percentage of each phase in a cell cycle could be analyzed based on statistics of distribution in the lower right.