

#### Supplementary Figure 1: Generation of a lung epithelial-specific CAR knockout mouse

(A). Schematic illustrating breeding scheme and Tamoxifen treatment to generate a lung epithelial KO mouse. CAR<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice were crossed with a Scgb1a1-CreERT to enable recombination and CAR depletion specifically in bronchiolar Club following intra-peritoneal administration of Tamoxifen (Ep-CAR KO) (**B**) Representative confocal images of lungs from a CAR<sup>fl/fl</sup> mouse crossed with a Scgb1a1-CreERT in the absence (Mock) or presence of Tamoxifen (Ep-CAR KO) stained for a club cell marker, CAR and F-actin. Scale bars: 100  $\mu$ m. (**C**) CAR levels quantified by qPCR from total lungs. Levels of 18S were used to normalised values. Graph of data from lungs of 5 mice from each group; representative of 3 independent experiments. (**D**) Representative images of H&E sections from lungs of C57Bl/6 mice treated with corn oil or Tamoxifen for 2 weeks. Scale bars: 100  $\mu$ m. (**E**) Total CD45<sup>+</sup> cells, % of neutrophils and  $\gamma\delta$ Tcells in digested lung tissue from C57Bl/6 mice treated with corn oil or Tamoxifen for 1 week, harvested 3 weeks after initial dosing; 6 mice per group. All values in graphs show median (line) with 25/75 percentiles (box) and min/max values. two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test was used to test significance in (e). P values: \*\*=p<0.01, \*\*\*= p<0.0005.



#### Supplementary Figure 2: Immune profiling lungs in CAR knockout mice

(A) Total cell counts in BAL fluid and (B) % of neutrophils and (C)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells within BAL. (D,E) % of eosinophils, macrophages, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in (D) BAL and (E) digested lung tissue from mice from the four different experimental groups used. Graphs show pooled data from 3 independent experiments with 5 mice per group per experiment. (F) Representative images of Ly6G staining (brown) of lung sections from indicated conditions. Scale bar: 100 µm. (G) Western blot showing endogenous CAR levels in parental 16HBE cells, two CAR CRISPR cell cultures and two CRISPR cultures rescued with GFP-CAR lentivirus. All values in graphs show median (line) with 25/75 percentiles (box) and min/max values. Unpaired 2-tail student's T-tests were performed. P values \* =p<0.05, \*\*=p<0.01, \*\*\*= p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*= p<0.0001.



Supplementary Figure 3: Validation of cytokine array data

**(A,B)** LIF1 **(A)** and IL19 **(B)** transcript levels measured by qPCR in 16HBE cells treated with control or CAR siRNA +/- HDM for 24h. 18S was used to normalise values. Graph shows pooled date from 5 independent experiments. **(C)** Analysis of secreted IL-4 levels from supernatants of control or CAR siRNA treated 16HBE cells +/- HDM for 24h as measured by ELISA. Graph shows date from 3 replicates from one experiments; 3 independent experiments were performed. **(D)** MMP10 and MMP13 transcript levels in parental 16HBE and CAR CRISPR cells +/- HDM for 24h measured by qPCR. 18S was used to normalise values. Graph shows pooled date from 4 independent experiments. All values in graphs show median (line) with 25/75 percentiles (box) and min/max values. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test was used to test significance in (a-c); . Unpaired 2-tail student's T-tests were performed within datasets for (d). P values \* =p<0.05, \*\*=p<0.01, \*\*\*\*= p<0.0001.



#### Supplementary Figure 4: CAR contributes to lung epithelial cell morphology and integrity

(A) Representative H&E images of lungs from Mock and Ep-CAR KO mice treated with PBS or HDM over 5 weeks. Arrows show examples of measured epithelial cell heights. Scale bars: 100  $\mu$ m. (B) Quantification of epithelial height from images as in (A). Data shown is from 5 airways, from 3 independent experiments with 5 mice per group. (C) Western blot of lysates from 16HBE parental and CAR CRISPR cells probed for ZO-1 and E-cadherin. (D) Representative confocal images of 16HBE parental and CAR CRISPR cells stained for E-cadherin (magenta), CAR (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale bars: 50  $\mu$ m. (E) Representative confocal Z-projections of PLCS from Mock and Ep-CAR KO mice treated with PBS, fixed and stained for E-cadherin (green),  $\alpha$ SMA (magenta) and DAPI (blue). Scale bars: 10  $\mu$ m. Values in graph show median (line) with 25/75 percentiles (box) and min/max values. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test was used to test significance . P values,\*\*=p<0.01,\*\*\*\*= p<0.0001.



#### Supplementary Figure 5: Novel CAR binding partners revealed by BioID

(A) Overview of IBAQ intensity data from BioID analysis of 16HBE CAR CRISPR cells expressing CAR-BirA. (B) ClueGO analysis of top 100 enriched proteins from CAR BioID analysis. The area of the wedges is proportional to the percentages of GO terms; functions reported in the pie chart are those with the highest percentages of functional categories. (C) Representative confocal images of 16HBE parental cells +/-HDM for 24h, fixed and stained for caveolin-1 (magenta), F-actin (cyan) and DAPI (blue). Scale bars: 50 μm.



Supplementary Figure 6: CAR-dependent intracellular signalling pathways in the lung epithelium

(A) Results from the human phospho-kinase array in parental and CAR CRISPR 16HBE cells. Means of 3 replicates per sample are shown. (B) Representative western blot of pSTAT1 in lysates from cells as in (A). (C) Representative western blot of ppERK1/2 in cells as in (A) treated with HDM for 1h or 24h. (D) Representative western blot of  $\beta$ -catenin and pSMAD2/3 in parental 16HBE cells treated with GSK3 $\beta$  inhibitor CHIR-99021 at 1 $\mu$ m or 5 $\mu$ m for 2h or 16h. All blots shown are representative of at least 3 independent experiments.



## Supplementary Fig 7: FACS sequential gating/sorting strategy.

Representative contour flow cytometry plots demonstrating the gating strategy employed to identify immune cell content in BAL and lung homogenates. Single, live, CD45<sup>+</sup> leucocytes were identified by cellular size/scatter and viability dye exclusion as shown. Live leukocyte populations of interest were identified based on differential expressions of the indicated markers:  $\gamma\delta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>-</sup>CD8 $\alpha$ <sup>-</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>-</sup>CD8 $\alpha$ <sup>-</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>-</sup>CD8 $\alpha$ <sup>-</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>-</sup>CD8 $\alpha$ <sup>-</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>-</sup>CD8 $\alpha$ <sup>-</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>-</sup>CD8 $\alpha$ <sup>-</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>-</sup>CD8 $\alpha$ <sup>-</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>-</sup>CD8 $\alpha$ <sup>-</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>  $\alpha\beta T$  cells = CD3<sup>+</sup>  $\gamma\delta$  TCR<sup>-</sup>CD8 $\alpha$ <sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>-</sup>, Neutrophils = CD3<sup>-</sup>CD11b<sup>hi</sup>Siglec-F<sup>-</sup>Ly6G<sup>+</sup>, Eosinophils = CD3<sup>-</sup>CD11b<sup>hi</sup>Siglec-F<sup>+</sup>Ly6G<sup>low/-</sup>F4/80<sup>Low/+</sup> Alveolar (Alv) Macrophages = CD3<sup>-</sup>CD11b<sup>low</sup>Siglec-F<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>Hi</sup>F4/80<sup>+/Hi</sup>

# Supplementary data source data – full western blots



Fig S4C HSC70
Fig S4C ZO-1
Fig S4C Ecad

70 kDa 200 kDa 100 kDa 100 kDa





70 kDa -