Table S1. Literature review of studies focusing on the effect of fixed orthodontic appliances on oral microbiota, which were not included in the meta-analysis by Guo et al., 2017

| Population | T* | Oral bacteria | Oral candida | Reference |
|---|--|---|---|-----------|
| N Mean/median age in years | (time of the sample collection) | | | |
| Type of sample | | | | |
| PI/GI | | | | |
| 30 patients Age: 12 – 18 years Stimulated saliva samples | T0 = 0 $T1 = 4$ weeks $T2 = 12$ weeks | All samples were positive for <i>Neisseria</i> sp. Following changes were observed, but they were NS. <i>S. mitis/oralis</i> increased at T1, but decrease almost to the initial levels at T2 <i>S. anginosus</i> – a slight decrease at T1 <i>S. mutans</i> – decrease at T1 <i>S. salivarius</i> increased a bit at T2 | All samples were negative for Candida albicans. | (28) |
| | | S. sanguinis decrease at both times, T1 and T2 S. parasanguinis decrease at T1 and increase at T2 Coagulase – negative staphylococci decrease at T1 but increase at T2 Staphylococcus aureus decrease at T1 and T2 | | |
| 17 patients Age: 11 – 30 years Oral rinses | T0 = 0 $T1 = 2$ weeks $T2 = 6$ weeks $T3 = 12$ weeks | NA | Increase of number of the colony forming units of the <i>Candida</i> sp. during orthodontic treatment (NS) Dominance of <i>C. albicans</i> | (11) |
| 124 patients Mean age 19.5 years | T0 = 0 $T1 = 1 month$ $T2 = 6 months$ | NA | NS difference in the presence of <i>C. albicans</i> during treatment by an orthodontic appliance. | (17) |

| | T3 = 1 year after treatment | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-----|
| | T4 = 6 months after completion of ortho. treatment | | | |
| 50 patients (case/control) Age: 14 – 23 years Oral swabs No statistically significant difference in PI in the group treated with fixed | T = NA | Isolation of various <i>Streptococci</i> and <i>Enterococci</i> in patients treated with fixed appliances Low prevalence of live protozoans: <i>Trichomonas tenax</i> and <i>Entamoeba gingivalis</i> Detection of <i>Acanthamoeba</i> sp. cyst in several patients treated with fixed appliances | Correlation between the duration of treatment by fixed appliances and frequency of <i>Candida</i> sp. Presence of <i>C. albicans</i> correlates with poor oral hygiene | (8) |
| appliances | | | | |
| 15 patients Age: 11 – 41 years | T0 = 0 $T1 = 30 days$ $T2 = 60 days$ | Purple complex was significantly decreasing from T2 Green complex was at the lowest level at T3 | Candida sp. decreased significantly at T2 and the lowest value was at T3 | (19 |
| Age: 11 – 41 years Nonstimulated saliva samples | | decreasing from T2 | significantly at T2 and the | (19 |
| Age: 11 – 41 years Nonstimulated saliva samples No statistically significant difference in PI and GI 90 patients | T1 = 30 days $T2 = 60 days$ | decreasing from T2 Green complex was at the lowest level at T3 Yellow and orange complexes decreased significantly only at T3 Red complex decreased significantly at T2 and the lowest | significantly at T2 and the | (19 |
| Age: 11 – 41 years Nonstimulated saliva samples No statistically significant difference in PI and GI 90 patients Mean age 20.6 ± 7.1 years | T1 = 30 days $T2 = 60 days$ $T3 = 90 days$ | decreasing from T2 Green complex was at the lowest level at T3 Yellow and orange complexes decreased significantly only at T3 Red complex decreased significantly at T2 and the lowest value was at T3 | significantly at T2 and the lowest value was at T3 Fixed orthodontic | |
| Age: 11 – 41 years Nonstimulated saliva samples No statistically significant difference in PI and GI 90 patients Mean age 20.6 | T1 = 30 days $T2 = 60 days$ $T3 = 90 days$ | decreasing from T2 Green complex was at the lowest level at T3 Yellow and orange complexes decreased significantly only at T3 Red complex decreased significantly at T2 and the lowest value was at T3 | significantly at T2 and the lowest value was at T3 Fixed orthodontic appliances did not enhance colonization of <i>Candida</i> | |

| hygiene – GOH, poor oral hygiene (POH, POH and white spot lesion – POH/WSL, 25 patients per group) Mean age 14.4 ±1.5 years Stimulated saliva and plaque samples | $T0\# ^{POH} = 13.4 \pm$ 6.7 months $T0\# ^{POH/WSL} =$ 19.6 ± 11.3 $months$ | Higher prevalence of <i>S. mutans</i> in patients with poor oral hygiene (NS) Higher prevalence of <i>Lactobacili</i> . A significant difference was observed between the GOH and POH/WSL groups | saliva and 60.9 % in dental plaque. Significantly lower prevalence of <i>Candida</i> sp. in GOH than in POH and in POH/WSL was observed. | |
|--|--|---|---|------|
| 30 patients Age: 12 – 18 years Saliva sample | T0 = 0 $T1 = 6$ weeks $T2 = 12$ weeks $T3 = 18$ weeks | Significant increase of <i>S. mutans</i> and <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> in T1 and continued up to T2 was observed | Total count of <i>Candida</i> sp. <i>in</i> creased significantly during treatment by orthodontic appliances (starting from T1). <i>C. albicans</i> was the most predominant. | (29) |
| 50 patients Age 10 - 18 years Samples via gargle method | T= 1,2,3,6 months before and after the beginning of treatment | NA | Significant increase of <i>Candida</i> sp. within 3 months after the installation of the orthodontic appliance | (18) |
| 60 patients Age: 13 – 18 years Plaque samples | T0 = 0 $T1 = 2 months$ $T2 = 3 months$ | A dramatic increase of <i>S. mutans</i> at T1 and T2 | Increase of <i>Candida</i> sp. at T1 and T2 | (5) |
| 60 patients (28 patients with fixed orthodontic appliance and 32 with removable appliance) Age: 4 – 10 years | T0 = 0 $T1 = 6 months$ | NA | Significant increase of <i>Candida</i> at T1in the group treated with fixed orthodontic appliance In the group treated with removable appliances, the increase of <i>Candida</i> was not significant At T0, the most frequently isolated species was <i>C. albicans</i> | (15) |

At T1, the most frequently found species was *C. tropicalis*

| 95 patients | T0 = 0 | Significant increase of <i>S. mutans</i> and <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. at T1 in | NA | (10) |
|--|---|---|----|------|
| (orthodontic group, 48 patients; control group, without appliances, 47 patients) Age: 12 - 16 | T1 = 6 months | orthodontic group | | |
| years | | | | |
| Stimulated saliva sample | | | | |
| 60 patients (orthodontic group, 30 patients; control group, without appliances, 30 patients) | $T1 = 12.4 \pm 6.2$ months $T2 = 3 \text{ years}$ | Statistically significant greater prevalence of <i>Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans</i> in the orthodontic group | NA | (13) |
| Age: 12 – 20 years | | | | |
| Plaque samples | | | | |
| Significant difference in PI in orthodontic and control subjects | | | | |

GI, gingival index; GOH, good oral hygiene; NA, not available; NS, non-significant; PI, plaque index; POH, poor oral hygiene; POH/WSL, poor oral hygiene and white spot lesion; ROA, removable orthodontic appliance; *T0, Before bonding of fixed orthodontic appliances; T1, T2, T3, After bonding of fixed orthodontic appliances

The mean wearing time at the time of samples collection; the orthodontic appliance was applied for a significantly longer time in the POH/WSL group than in other groups (p = 0.017)

Table S2. Correlations between the different taste preferences and changes in the oral environment of 30 patients

| Deterioration of | PI | GI | percentage of 7 periodontal bacteria | percentage of 3 cariogenic bacteria | Candida sp. | Candida sp. and 7 periodontal bacteria | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--|--|----------------|---|--|
| Favorite taste - | Favorite taste – sweet | | | | | | |
| yes (n=13) | 10 (77%) | 7 (54%) | 8 (62%) | 6 (46%) | 5 (39%) | 10 (77%) | |
| no (n=16) | 8 (50%) | 4 (25%) | 8 (50%) | 13 (81%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (50%) | |
| <i>p</i> -value | 0.249 | 0.143 | 0.711 | 0.064 | 0.011 | 0.249 | |
| Sweetening dri | nks | | | | | | |
| yes (n=13) | 8 (62%) | 5 (39%) | 6 (46%) | 10 (77%) | 3 (23%) | 8 (62%) | |
| no (n=17) | 10 (59%) | 6 (35%) | 11 (65%) | 10 (59%) | 2 (12%) | 11 (65%) | |
| <i>p</i> -value | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.460 | 0.440 | 0.628 | 1.000 | |
| Alcoholic | | | | | | | |
| drinks | | | | | | | |
| yes (n=9) | 5 (56%) | 5 (56%) | 5 (56%) | 5 (56%) | 1 (11%) | 5 (56%) | |
| no (n=21) | 13 (62%) | 6 (29%) | 12 (57%) | 15 (71%) | 4 (19%) | 14 (67%) | |
| <i>p</i> -value | 1.000 | 0.225 | 1.000 | 0.431 | 1.000 | 0.687 | |
| Energy | | | | | | | |
| drinks | | | | | | | |
| yes (n=9) | 4 (44%) | 1 (11%) | 3 (33%) | 8 (89%) | 1 (11%) | 4 (44%) | |
| no (n=21) | 14 (67%) | 10 (48%) | 14 (67%) | 12 (57%) | 4 (19%) | 15 (71%) | |
| <i>p</i> -value | 0.418 | 0.100 | 0.123 | 0.204 | 1.000 | 0.225 | |

GI, gingival index; PI, plaque index

Table S3. The percentages of 3 selected cariogenic and 7 periodontal bacteria relative to the total prokaryotic DNA at two time points - T0 (before bonding of fixed orthodontic appliances) and T1 (till the end of 7^{th} month after bonding of fixed orthodontic appliances) in 30 patients

| Selected bacteria | The difference in % of the total | <i>p</i> -value | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| | prokaryotic genome in GCF | | |
| | samples between T0 and T1 | | |
| | mean, median (5 th , 95 th PCTL) | | |
| Streptococcus mutans | 0, 0 (-0.02, 0.01) | 0.889 | |
| Lactobacillus sp. | 0, 0 (-0.02, 0.01) | 0.136 | |
| Actinomyces sp. | 0.85, 0.44 (-1.10, 5.52) | 0.027 | |
| All 3 cariogenic bacteria | 0.84, 0.44 (-1.10, 5.45) | 0.030 | |
| Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans | -0.02, 0 (-0.04, 0.01) | 0.866 | |
| Porphyromonas gingivalis | 0, 0 (0, 0) | 1.000 | |
| Tannarella forsythia | 0, 0 (0, 0) | 1.000 | |
| Treponema denticola | 0, 0 (0, 0) | 0.317 | |
| Parvimonas micra | 0, 0 (0, 0) | 0.317 | |
| Prevotella intermedia | 0, 0 (0, 0) | 0.314 | |
| Fusobacterium nucleatum | 0.95, 0.13 (-2.98, 8.98) | 0.339 | |
| All 7 periodontal bacteria | 0.94, 0.13 (-2.98, 8.98) | 0.329 | |
| All 10 bacteria | 1.78, 0.47 (-2.04, 11.75) | 0.086 | |

T0, before bonding of fixed orthodontic appliances; GCF, gingival crevicular fluid; please note that when sampling GCF, dental plaque is sampled as well

p-value: The difference between T1 and T0 measurements is evaluated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test.