EPI-PERT-OA EU Revised manuscript Infectious Diseases and Therapy

Supplementary material

Descriptive overview of pertussis epidemiology among older adults in Europe

during 2010-2020

Enas Bahar^{1,*}, Daria Shamarina², Yan Sergerie², Piyali Mukherjee²

¹ Modis C/O GSK, Avenue Fleming 20, 1300 Wavre, Belgium; <u>enas.x.bahar@gsk.com</u> (ORCID: 0000-0003-

4827-8311)

²GSK, Avenue Fleming 20, 1300 Wavre, Belgium; <u>DARIA.X.SHAMARINA@GSK.COM</u> (ORCID: 0000-0002-

4622-4224), yan.x.sergerie@gsk.com (ORCID: 0000-0002-2248-3184), piyali.x.mukherjee@gsk.com

(ORCID: 0000-0002-9895-3795)

*Corresponding author, Modis C/O GSK, Avenue Fleming 20, 1300 Wavre, Belgium;

enas.x.bahar@gsk.com

Infectious Diseases and Therapy

Supplementary table S1. Data sources

Country	Type of data	Source	Reference
Denmark	Contextual epidemiological	Statens Serum Institut (SSI), literature, and personal	[1-7]
	information	communication	
	Number of cases and incidence rate	2010 & 2011: Literature	2010 & 2011: [1]
		2012–2019: Statens Serum Institut (SSI)	2012–2015: [8]
			2016–2019: [9]
	Outbreaks	Statens Serum Institut (SSI)	2012: [10]
			2016 & 2019: [9]
England	Contextual epidemiological	Public Health England (PHE), UK Government, World	[2, 11-23]
	information	Health Organization (WHO), literature, and personal	
		communication	
	Number of cases and incidence rate	Public Health England (PHE)	Written communication
	Outbreaks	Literature	2012: [24]

May 2022

Finland ^a	Contextual epidemiological	Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), Ministry of	[2, 25-28]
	information Social Affairs and Health, and literature		
	Number of cases	Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL)	[29]
	Population	Statistics Finland's PxWeb databases	[30]
	Incidence rate	OA non-stratified: Calculated by authors	OA non-stratified: NA
		Children and stratified OA: Finnish Institute for Health	Children and stratified OA: [29]
		and Welfare (THL)	
Germany	Contextual epidemiological	Robert Koch Institute (RKI), literature, and personal	[2, 12, 31-38]
Germany	Contextual epidemiological information	Robert Koch Institute (RKI), literature, and personal communication	[2, 12, 31-38]
Germany	Contextual epidemiological information Number of cases	Robert Koch Institute (RKI), literature, and personal communication Robert Koch Institute (RKI)	[2, 12, 31-38]
Germany	Contextual epidemiological information Number of cases Population	Robert Koch Institute (RKI), literature, and personal communication Robert Koch Institute (RKI) The database of the Federal Statistical Office	[2, 12, 31-38] [39] [40]
Germany	Contextual epidemiological information Number of cases Population Incidence rate	Robert Koch Institute (RKI), literature, and personal communication Robert Koch Institute (RKI) The database of the Federal Statistical Office Stratified OA: Robert Koch Institute (RKI)	[2, 12, 31-38] [39] [40] Stratified OA: [39]

May 2022

The Netherlands ^b	Contextual epidemiological	National Institute for Public Health and the Environment	[2, 12, 41-45]
	information	(RIVM), Dutch Government, and literature	
	Number of cases	National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM)	[42, 44]
	Population	Central Bureau of Statistics Statline	[46]
	Incidence rate	Calculated by authors	ΝΑ
	Outbreaks	National Institute for Public Health and the Environment	[42, 47]
Norway ^c	Contextual epidemiological	Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI), World Health	[2, 12, 48-53]
Norwayc	Contextual epidemiological information	Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI), World Health Organization (WHO), and literature	[2, 12, 48-53]
Norway ^c	Contextual epidemiological information Number of cases	Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI), World Health Organization (WHO), and literature Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable	[2, 12, 48-53]
Norway ^c	Contextual epidemiological information Number of cases	Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI), World Health Organization (WHO), and literature Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS)	[2, 12, 48-53]
Norwayc	Contextual epidemiological information Number of cases Population	Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI), World Health Organization (WHO), and literature Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) Norwegian Institute of Public Health – Statistics databank	[2, 12, 48-53] [54] [53]

May 2022

Scotland	Contextual epidemiological	Public Health England (PHE), UK Government, World	[2, 11-23]
	information	Health Organization (WHO), literature, and personal	
		communication	
	Number of cases and incidence rate	Public Health Scotland	Written communication
	Outbreaks	Health Protection Scotland (HPS) and Public Health	2012–2013: [55]
		Scotland	
Sweden ^d	Contextual epidemiological	The Public Health Agency of Sweden and personal	[2, 56, 57]
	information	communication	
	Number of cases	The Public Health Agency of Sweden	[56]
	Population	Statistics Sweden – The statistics database	[58]
	Incidence rate	Calculated by authors	ΝΑ
Other countries			
Austria	Number of cases and incidence rates	The Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety (AGES)	Written communication
	(age-stratified only)		

May 2022

Infectious Diseases and Therapy

Estonia ^e	Number of cases (OA only)	Health Board of Estonia	Written communication	
	Population	Estonian population statistics database	[59]	
	Incidence rate	Calculated by authors	ΝΑ	

NA, not applicable; OA, older adults (\geq 50 years of age).

^a population data were retrieved from reference [30] by selecting the period of interest (2010–2019), both sexes, and the applicable age groups in strata of 5 years – number of pertussis cases were retrieved from reference [29] by selecting the period of interest (2010–2019), both sexes, all regions, and the applicable age groups in strata of 5 years; ^b population data were retrieved from reference [46] by selecting the period of interest (2010–2019), all regions, and the applicable age groups in strata of 5 years; ^c population data were retrieved from reference [53] by selecting "population" and both genders, followed by a selecting of the period of interest (2010–2020), all regions, and the applicable age groups in strata of 5 years; ^d Population data were retrieved from reference [58] by selecting "population statistics" followed by "number of inhabitants" and "population by age and sex", lastly, the period of interest was selected (2010–2018), as well as both sexes and applicable age groups in strata of 5 years; ^e population data were retrieved from reference [59] by selection "Population" followed by "Population figure and composition" and "RV021: POPULATION BY SEX AND AGE GROUP, 1 JANUARY", lastly, both sexes were selected ("Males and females") as well as the period of interest (2010–2019) and all applicable age groups in strata of 5 years.

References

- 1. Dalby T, Andersen PH, Hoffmann S. Epidemiology of pertussis in Denmark, 1995 to 2013. Euro Surveill. 2016;21:30334.
- 2. He Q, Barkoff AM, Mertsola J, *et al.* High heterogeneity in methods used for the laboratory confirmation of pertussis diagnosis among European countries, 2010: integration of epidemiological and laboratory surveillance must include standardisation of methodologies and quality assurance. Euro Surveill. 2012;17:20239.
- 3. Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Diagnosis of pertussis choice of correct method. <u>https://www.ssi.dk/-/media/arkiv/dk/produkter-og-ydelser/diagnostik/diagnostik-haandbog/diagnostik-af-kighoste---valg-af-korrekt-metode.pdf?la=da</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.

May 2022

- 4. Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Temporary substitution of child vaccine to Infanrix hexa[®] and 5-year booster to dTap booster and separate polio vaccine (IPV). <u>https://en.ssi.dk/news/epi-news/2013/no-50---2013</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 5. Danish Ministry of Health. Pregnant women will still be able to get a free pertussis vaccination. <u>https://sum.dk/nyheder/2021/juni/gravide-vil-fortsat-kunne-faa-en-gratis-kighostevaccination-</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 6. Statens Serum Institut (SSI). The Danish Vaccination Program Annual report 2016 version 2. <u>https://en.ssi.dk/-/media/arkiv/indhold/dk-dansk/vaccination/boernevaccination/boernevaccinationsprogram 2016 v2 jan18</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 7. Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Annual reports on disease incidence. <u>https://en.ssi.dk/surveillance-and-preparedness/surveillance-in-denmark/annual-reports-on-disease-incidence</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Whooping cough 2015 EPI-NEWS No 16 2016. <u>https://en.ssi.dk/news/epi-news/2016/no-16---2016</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Whooping cough 2019 report on disease occurrence. <u>https://en.ssi.dk/surveillance-and-preparedness/surveillance-in-denmark/annual-reports-on-disease-incidence/whooping-cough---2019-report-on-disease-occurrence#</u>.
 Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 10. Statens Serum Institut (SSI). No 41 2012. Current whooping cough situation and updated recommendations for prophylaxis. https://en.ssi.dk/news/epi-news/2012/no-41---2012. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 11. United Kingdom Government. Pertussis: guidance, data and analysis. <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/pertussis-guidance-data-and-analysis</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 12. World Health Organization (WHO). Vaccine-Preventable Diseases Surveillance Standards: Pertussis. https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/vaccine-preventable-diseases-surveillance-standards-pertussis. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 13. Public Health England (PHE). Pertussis brief for healthcare professionals. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/762782/Pertussis_brief_for_health</u> <u>care_professionals.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 14. United Kingdom Government. Pertussis: background information on prevention and management. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/pertussis-clinical-and-public-health-management. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 15. Public Health England (PHE). Historical vaccine development and introduction of routine vaccine programmes in the UK. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/816174/Vaccine_Timeline_2019.p</u> <u>df</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.

May 2022

- Health Protection Agency. Health protection report weekly report volume 5 number 50. <u>https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140714091638/http://www.hpa.org.uk/hpr/archives/2011/hpr5011.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 17. World Health Organization (WHO). United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland: WHO and UNICEF estimates of immunization coverage: 2020 revision. <u>https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/country-profiles/immunization/immunization_gbr_2021.pdf?sfvrsn=353c63e3_9&download=true.</u> Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 18.
 Public Health England (PHE). Vaccine update.

 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/653401/VU_271_october_2017.pd

 f. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Public Health England (PHE). Vaccine update. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/805502/PHE_vaccineupdate_294</u> May19.pdf. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 20. United Kingdom Government. Pertussis Vaccination Programme for Pregnant Women: vaccine coverage estimates in England, October 2013 to March 2014. england-october-2013-to-march-2014/pertussis-vaccination-programme-for-pregnant-women-vaccine-coverage-estimates-in-england-october-2013-to-march-2014. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 21. Public Health England (PHE). Pertussis vaccination programme for pregnant women update: vaccine coverage in England, April to June 2020. <u>https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20220201225652/https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pertussis-immunisation-in-pregnancy-vaccine-coverage-estimates-in-england-october-2013-to-march-2014. Accessed on 12 May 2022.</u>
- 22. Public Health England (PHE). Guidelines for the public health management of pertussis in England. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/762766/Guidelines_for_the_Public</u> Health management of Pertussis in England.pdf. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 23. Public Health England (PHE). Pertussis: the green book, chapter 24. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/514363/Pertussis_Green_Book_Ch</u> <u>apter_24_Ap2016.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 24. Riolo MA, King AA, Rohani P. Can vaccine legacy explain the British pertussis resurgence? Vaccine. 2013;31:5903-8.
- 25. Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL). Procedure for cases of pertussis. <u>https://thl.fi/fi/web/infektiotaudit-ja-rokotukset/taudit-ja-torjunta/taudit-ja-taudinaiheuttajat-a-o/hinkuyska/toimenpideohje-hinkuyskatapauksiin. Accessed on 12 May 2022.</u>

May 2022

- 26. Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL). Notifiable diseases and microbes. <u>https://thl.fi/fi/web/infektiotaudit-ja-</u> rokotukset/seurantajarjestelmat-ja-rekisterit/tartuntatautirekisteri/ilmoitettavat-taudit-ja-mikrobit. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 27. Ministry of Social Affairs and Health. Health care payments. <u>https://stm.fi/terveydenhuollon-maksut</u>. Accessed on 12 May 20212.
- 28. Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL). Vaccination coverage. https://www.thl.fi/roko/rokotusrekisteri/atlas/public/atlas.html?show=infantbc. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 29. Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL). Statistical database of the Communicable Diseases Register. <u>https://sampo.thl.fi/pivot/prod/fi/ttr/shp/fact_shp?row=area-12260&column=time-12059&filter=reportgroup-12175</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 30. Statistics Finland. Statistics Finland's PxWeb databases. <u>https://pxnet2.stat.fi/PXWeb/pxweb/fi/StatFin/</u>. Accessed on 19 March 2021.
- 31. Hellenbrand W, Beier D, Jensen E, et al. The epidemiology of pertussis in Germany: past and present. BMC Infect Dis. 2009;9:22.
- 32. Robert Koch Institut (RKI). Whooping cough (pertussis). <u>https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Infekt/EpidBull/Merkblaetter/Ratgeber_Pertussis.html;jsessionid=43B103E0BB8908B877D43BBF36C69</u> <u>1E0.internet072#doc2374534bodyText9</u>. Accessed on 5 January 2021.
- 33. Robert Koch Institut (RKI). Falldefinitionen für die Gesundheitsbehörden der Länder, in denen zusätzlich zum IfSG eine Meldepflicht für weitere Krankheiten besteht (Ausgabe 2009). https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Infekt/IfSG/Falldefinition/laenderverordnungen falldefs.html. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 34. Sin MA, Zenke R, Ronckendorf R, Littmann M, Jorgensen P, Hellenbrand W. Pertussis outbreak in primary and secondary schools in Ludwigslust, Germany demonstrating the role of waning immunity. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2009;28:242-4.
- 35. Robert Koch Institut (RKI). Archive of the STIKO recommendations. <u>https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Kommissionen/STIKO/Empfehlungen/Archiv/seit_1972/archiv_tab.html</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Robert Koch Institut (RKI). Vaccination calendar (standard vaccinations). <u>https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Kommissionen/STIKO/Empfehlungen/Aktuelles/Impfkalender.pdf?__blob=publicationFile</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 37. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO-UNICEF estimates of national immunization coverage. <u>https://www.who.int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-biologicals/immunization-analysis-and-insights/global-</u> monitoring/immunization-coverage/who-unicef-estimates-of-national-immunization-coverage. Accessed on 12 May 2022.

May 2022

- 38. Rieck T, Matysiak-Klose D, Hellenbrand W, *et al.* [Compliance with adult measles and pertussis vaccination recommendations : Analysis of data from the national monitoring system KV-Impfsurveillance]. Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz. 2019;62:422-32.
- 39. Robert Koch Institut (RKI). SURVSTAT@RKI 2.0. <u>https://survstat.rki.de/Content/Query/Create.aspx</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 40. Federal Statistical Office (Destatis). The database of the Federal Statistical Office. <u>https://www-genesis.destatis.de/genesis/online</u>. Accessed on 19 March 2021.
- 41. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). Kinkhoest richtlijn. <u>https://lci.rivm.nl/richtlijnen/kinkhoest</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 42. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). The National Immunisation Programme in the Netherlands: Surveillance and developments in 2019-2020. <u>https://www.rivm.nl/publicaties/national-immunisation-programme-in-netherlands-surveillance-and-developments-in-2019</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 43. Dutch Government. Wanneer betaal ik een eigen risico voor mijn zorg? <u>https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/zorgverzekering/vraag-en-antwoord/eigen-risico-zorgverzekering</u>. Accessed on 24 April 2021.
- 44. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). The National Immunisation Programme in the Netherlands: Surveillance and developments in 2018-2019. <u>https://www.rivm.nl/publicaties/national-immunisation-programme-in-netherlands-surveillance-and-developments-in-2018</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 45. Heil J, Ter Waarbeek HLG, Hoebe C, *et al.* Pertussis surveillance and control: exploring variations and delays in testing, laboratory diagnostics and public health service notifications, the Netherlands, 2010 to 2013. Euro Surveill. 2017;22.
- 46. Central Bureau for Statistics (CBS) Statline. Bevolking; geslacht, leeftijd en burgerlijke staat, 1 januari. https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/dataset/7461BEV/table?ts=1616172184222. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 47. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). The State of infectious diseases in the Netherlands. https://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/150002002.pdf. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 48. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI). Barnevaksinasjonsprogrammet. Rapport for perioden 2001-2010. https://www.fhi.no/publ/2012/barnevaksinasjonsprogrammet.-rappor/. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 49. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI). Notification criteria for diseases in MSIS. <u>https://www.fhi.no/publ/2017/meldingskriterier-for-sykdommer-i-msis/</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.

May 2022

- 50. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI). Whooping cough (pertussis) supervisor for health professionals. https://www.fhi.no/nettpub/smittevernveilederen/sykdommer-a-a/kikhoste-pertussis---veileder-for-h/. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 51. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI). When will your child be offered vaccines? <u>https://www.fhi.no/en/id/vaccines/childhood-immunisation-programme/when-will-your-child-be-offered-vaccines/</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 52. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO vaccine-preventable diseases: monitoring system. 2020 global summary Coverage time series for Norway. <u>https://apps.who.int/immunization_monitoring/globalsummary/coverages?c=NOR</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 53. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (NIPH). Norhealth. <u>https://www.norgeshelsa.no/norgeshelsa/</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 54. Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Disaeses (MSIS). <u>http://www.msis.no/</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 55. Health Protection Scotland (HPS). Whooping cough. <u>https://www.hps.scot.nhs.uk/a-to-z-of-topics/whooping-cough/</u>. Accessed on 27 April 2021.
- 56. The Public Health Agency of Sweden. Pertussis surveillance in Sweden 21st annual report. <u>https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/contentassets/cd49fff196f44e6a8db234ffb9da8b80/pertussis-surveillance-sweden-twenty-first-report-19071.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 57. The Public Health Agency of Sweden. Pertussis surveillance in Sweden Eighteen-year report. <u>https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/contentassets/dbd8cd9e157c47189d72dd8ad9f6c94b/pertussis-eighteen-year-report-16109.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 58. Statistics Sweden. The statistics database. https://www.statistikdatabasen.scb.se/pxweb/en/ssd/START_BE_BE0101_BE0101A/BefolkningR1860N/. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 59. Statistics Estonia. Statistical database. <u>https://andmed.stat.ee/et/stat</u>. Accessed on 19 March 2021.

Supplementary table S2. Surveillance systems, case definitions, and recommended diagnostical methods for pertussis in the

countries included in the analysis from 2010 to 2020 as available at the time of analysis

Country	Surveillance system ^a	Case definition	Recommended diagnostical methods used for	References
			laboratory confirmation	
Donmark	Passiva population based	Diagnocis based on clinical symptoms (not	If <2 weaks of symptoms with course black based	[1]
Dennark	Passive, population-based	Diagnosis based on clinical symptoms (not	II <5 weeks of symptoms with cough. block-based	[1-4]
	surveillance with mandatory,	defined); Only laboratory-confirmed cases	PCR (culture) on an inoculum from the nasopharynx	
	case-based reporting	are registered	(initial PCR targeting gene IS481 and a confirmatory	
			PCR targeting gene <i>ptxP</i>)	
			If ≥2 weeks of symptoms (including cough)	
			• Children <8 YoA compliant with the	
			vaccination schedule and children/adults	
			who received a pertussis vaccine in the	
			preceding 2 years: PCR (culture)	
			Children/adults who did not receive a	
			pertussis vaccine in the preceding 2 years:	
			Serology (coating antigen: PT; standard	
			sera: WHO) At SSI, an in-house ELISA with	

			IgG anti-PT cut-off of 75 IU/mL was	
			introduced in 2010.	
			During the analytical period, most cases in older	
			adults were confirmed by PCR and serology (±50%–	
			60% PCR until 2015 and ±70%–80% PCR from 2016)	
England and Scotland	Enhanced passive (for	WHO criteria; Only laboratory-confirmed	All age groups with <3 weeks symptom duration: real- [2, 5-7]	
	laboratory-confirmed cases),	cases are registered	time PCR (targeting genes IS481 and ptxA–Pr) and	
	population-based surveillance		culture (if local laboratory facilities permit) (note,	
	with mandatory, case-based		culture is not in use as per reference [3])	
	reporting			
			Patients with >2 weeks of cough symptoms who were	
			not vaccinated in the preceding year: Serology (ELISA;	
			coating antigen: PT; cut-off anti-PT lgG >70 IU/mL;	
			standard sera: WHO)	
			Patients 2–16 YoA with >2 weeks of cough symptoms	
			who were not vaccinated in the preceding year: oral	
			fluid testing (anti-PT IgG >70 IU/mL; coating antigen:	
			PT; standard sera: WHO). Oral fluid testing is not used	
			for older adults.	

During the analytical period, most cases were confirmed using serology.

Finland	Passive, population-based	Diagnosis based on clinical symptoms	Patients with <3 weeks of cough symptoms: block-	[2, 8, 9]
	surveillance with mandatory,	(coughing); Only laboratory-confirmed	based PCR (targeting gene IS481) and, if possible,	
	case-based reporting for the	cases are registered.	culture	
	laboratories		Patients with >3 weeks of cough symptoms who were	
			not vaccinated in the preceding year: serology (ELISA;	
			coating antigen: whole-cell bacteria; standard sera	
			include in-house controls or not defined).	
			Based on recent information (2020), serology was the	
			most frequently used method for case confirmation.	
Germany	Passive, population-based	WHO criteria; Laboratory confirmation is	Laboratory-confirmed cases need at least one of the	[2, 5, 10-12]
	surveillance with mandatory,	not necessary if clinical and/or	following:	
	case-based reporting (since	epidemiological confirmation is available.	• Positive culture of smears/secretions of the	
	2013) ^b	Note: in this analysis, data reported are	nasopharynx	
		from clinically- and laboratory-confirmed	• Positive PCR (targeting genes <i>IS481</i> and	
		cases.	ptxA–Pr) from smears/secretions of the	
		A case is to be submitted to the responsible	nasopharynx	
		state authority in all of the following		

instances: (i) a clinically diagnosed disease (i.e., clinical picture of whooping cough without laboratory diagnostical evidence and without epidemiological confirmation), (ii) a clinically and epidemiologically confirmed disease (i.e., clinical picture of whooping cough without laboratory diagnostical evidence but with epidemiological confirmation), (iii) a disease confirmed by clinical laboratory diagnostics (i.e., clinical picture of whooping cough and laboratory diagnostical evidence), (iv) the infection is confirmed by laboratory diagnostics if the clinical picture is not fulfilled (i.e., laboratory diagnostical evidence if the clinical picture is known that does not meet the criteria for whooping cough), or (v) the infection is confirmed by laboratory diagnosis with an unknown clinical picture (i.e., laboratory diagnostical

Patients who did not receive an aP vaccine in the preceding 3 years: serology (ELISA; coating antigens PT and PRN; standard sera according to WHO; positive and negative control: in-house]) with significantly increased IgG antibody levels (anti-PT IgG antibodies ≥100 IU/mL for recent contact; anti-PT IgG antibodies <40 IU/mL for no evidence of recent contact. To ensure specificity, in case of anti-PT IgG antibody levels between 40 and 100 IU/mL, a second sample is tested or a significant increase in anti-PT IgA antibodies [>12 IU/mL] is needed).

•

- A clear change between two samples in IgG
 or IgA antibody preferably measured using
 ELISA
- No data were available on the method that was most frequently used for laboratory confirmation.

evidence in the absence of information on

the clinical picture)

The Netherlands	Passive, population-based	WHO criteria; Only laboratory-confirmed	Children <1 YoA and unvaccinated children <4 YoA: [[2, 5, 13-15]
	surveillance with mandatory,	cases are reported.	PCR and optional culture (note, culture is not in use	
	case-based reporting		as per reference [3])	
			Other groups:	
			• <3 weeks of cough symptoms: PCR	
			(targeting gene IS481) and optional culture	
			• >3 weeks of cough symptoms: serology (PT	
			antigen for IgG, whole bacteria for IgA; FDA	
			standard serum; recent infection if anti-PT	
			IgG >100 IU/mL or >125 IU/mL; in paired	
			sera, a recent infection is demonstrated by	
			a >3-fold rise in anti-PT IgG to a value >20	
			IU/mL in the second serum)	
			When in doubt: PCR, followed by serology if PCR is	
			negative	

			In 2010–2013, serology was most frequently used for	
			laboratory confirmation (93%), followed by PCR (6%)	
			and culture (1%). No data were available on the	
			method that was most frequently used since 2014.	
Norway	Passive, population-based	WHO criteria; Only laboratory-confirmed	If disease duration <2 weeks: culture (note, culture is	[2, 5, 16, 17],
	surveillance with case-based,	cases are registered.	not in use as per reference [3]), PCR (gene IS481), and	written
	mandatory reporting		0-sample for antibody "parsera" ("parsera" means	communication
			two paired samples taken with a sufficiently large	from the
			time interval in which an increasing antibody trend	Norwegian
			indicates infection))	Institute of
			If disease duration between 2 and 4 weeks: PCR and	Public Health
			serology (possibly culture)	
			If disease duration >4 weeks: Serology (in case of	
			seroconversion, significant increase of antibody	
			values or high, specific antibody values in absence of	
			recent vaccination) (ELISA; coating antigen from	
			commercially available or in-house developed kit;	
			standard sera: in-house controls or not defined; cut-	
			off for recent infection is >100 IU/mL)	

			used for laboratory confirmation, followed by serology. Culture is rarely used.	
Sweden	Enhanced passive (for 0–20- year-olds) or passive (for other	WHO criteria; Only laboratory-confirmed cases are reported.	Culture (note, culture is not in use as per reference [3]), PCR, and serology (seroconversion or significant	[2, 5, 18]
	age groups), population-based surveillance with mandatory, case-based reporting	Only positive samples that are taken more than 6 months after a previous positive sample are a new episode of pertussis.	increase in IgG against PT) are all in use. During the analytical period, case confirmation in \geq 11-year-olds (no older age groups provided) was primarily based on PCR and serology (same proportion until 2012 and +80% PCR from 2013)	

During the analytical period, PCR was most frequently

aP, acellular pertussis vaccine; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; FHA, filamentous hemagglutinin; IgA, immunoglobulin A; IgG, immunoglobulin G; IU, international units; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PT, pertussis toxin; PRN; pertactin; SSI, Statens Serum Institut (Denmark); WHO, World Health Organization; YoA, years of age.

^a a passive surveillance system is defined as a system by which a health jurisdiction receives reports submitted from hospitals, clinics, public health units, or other sources [19] while an enhanced passive surveillance system is defined as a system in which further details (immunization status, contacts, hospitalizations, and complications of the cases) are usually collected following notification of the responsible authorities [20]; ^b in five former East German states, pertussis is notifiable according to state-specific laws, permitting the identification of outbreaks. Pertussis is not statutorily notifiable in the former West Germany and Berlin.

References

- 1. Dalby T, Andersen PH, Hoffmann S. Epidemiology of pertussis in Denmark, 1995 to 2013. Euro Surveill. 2016;21:30334.
- 2. He Q, Barkoff AM, Mertsola J, *et al.* High heterogeneity in methods used for the laboratory confirmation of pertussis diagnosis among European countries, 2010: integration of epidemiological and laboratory surveillance must include standardisation of methodologies and quality assurance. Euro Surveill. 2012;17:20239.
- 3. Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Diagnosis of pertussis choice of correct method. <u>https://www.ssi.dk/-/media/arkiv/dk/produkter-og-ydelser/diagnostik/diagnostik-haandbog/diagnostik-af-kighoste---valg-af-korrekt-metode.pdf?la=da</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 4. Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Annual reports on disease incidence. <u>https://en.ssi.dk/surveillance-and-preparedness/surveillance-in-denmark/annual-reports-on-disease-incidence</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 5. World Health Organization (WHO). Vaccine-Preventable Diseases Surveillance Standards: Pertussis. <u>https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/vaccine-preventable-diseases-surveillance-standards-pertussis</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Public Health England (PHE). Guidelines for the public health management of pertussis in England.
 <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/762766/Guidelines_for_the_Public</u>
 <u>Health_management_of_Pertussis_in_England.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 7. United Kingdom Government. Pertussis: guidance, data and analysis. <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/pertussis-guidance-data-and-analysis</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 8. Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL). Procedure for cases of pertussis. <u>https://thl.fi/fi/web/infektiotaudit-ja-rokotukset/taudit-ja-torjunta/taudit-ja-taudinaiheuttajat-a-o/hinkuyska/toimenpideohje-hinkuyskatapauksiin</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL). Notifiable diseases and microbes. <u>https://thl.fi/fi/web/infektiotaudit-ja-</u> rokotukset/seurantajarjestelmat-ja-rekisterit/tartuntatautirekisteri/ilmoitettavat-taudit-ja-mikrobit. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 10. Hellenbrand W, Beier D, Jensen E, et al. The epidemiology of pertussis in Germany: past and present. BMC Infect Dis. 2009;9:22.
- 11. Robert Koch Institut (RKI). Falldefinitionen für die Gesundheitsbehörden der Länder, in denen zusätzlich zum IfSG eine Meldepflicht für weitere Krankheiten besteht (Ausgabe 2009). https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Infekt/IfSG/Falldefinition/laenderverordnungen_falldefs.html. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 12. Sin MA, Zenke R, Ronckendorf R, Littmann M, Jorgensen P, Hellenbrand W. Pertussis outbreak in primary and secondary schools in Ludwigslust, Germany demonstrating the role of waning immunity. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2009;28:242-4.
- 13. Heil J, Ter Waarbeek HLG, Hoebe C, *et al.* Pertussis surveillance and control: exploring variations and delays in testing, laboratory diagnostics and public health service notifications, the Netherlands, 2010 to 2013. Euro Surveill. 2017;22.
- 14. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). Kinkhoest richtlijn. <u>https://lci.rivm.nl/richtlijnen/kinkhoest</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.

- 15. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). The National Immunisation Programme in the Netherlands: Surveillance and developments in 2018-2019. <u>https://www.rivm.nl/publicaties/national-immunisation-programme-in-netherlands-surveillance-and-developments-in-2018</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 16. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI). Barnevaksinasjonsprogrammet. Rapport for perioden 2001-2010. https://www.fhi.no/publ/2012/barnevaksinasjonsprogrammet.-rappor/. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 17. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI). Notification criteria for diseases in MSIS. <u>https://www.fhi.no/publ/2017/meldingskriterier-for-sykdommer-i-msis/</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 18. The Public Health Agency of Sweden. Pertussis surveillance in Sweden 21st annual report. <u>https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/contentassets/cd49fff196f44e6a8db234ffb9da8b80/pertussis-surveillance-sweden-twenty-first-report-19071.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Introduction to Public Health Suveillance. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/training/publichealth101/documents/introduction-to-surveillance.pdf</u>. Accessed on 24 June 2021.
- 20. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Enhanced pertussis surveillance. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/abcs/methodology/pertussis-</u> surveillance.html. Accessed on 24 June 2021.

Supplementary table S3. Laboratory details per country as available at the time of analysis

Country	Type of laboratory that	Are GP visits and laboratory	Do all laboratories do these tests and in the	Number of	References
	performs confirmation of	tests reimbursed?	same way?	pertussis	
	pertussis infection			reference	
				laboratories	
				in 2010ª	
Denmark	The state laboratories usually	Both GP visits and laboratory	It is not clear if all laboratories in Denmark are	<10	Written
	test most of the suspected	tests required by GP or other	capable to conduct the pertussis tests across the		communication from
	samples for pertussis.	HCP are free of charge for	country; however, it is assumed that patients		local medical advisor.
	However, private laboratories	patients.	will be referred to the lab where the needed		
	can request to do the testing		test (according to recommendation from		
	as well.		Medical Authorities) can be done.		
England and	State laboratories.	GP visits and tests are free (the	 Culture testing is done by NHS laboratories. 	>100	[1, 2], written
Scotland		UK operates a free at the point	 PCR is done by regional PHE laboratories 		communication from
		of care health service, funded	since 2014 (in both hospital and primary		local scientific advisor.
		through National Insurance	care settings).		
		contributions). Some private	 Kit for oral fluid sampling sent to patient 		
		care will take place, but the	upon notification to PHE HPT (since Jan		
			2013).		

		majority is done through the	-	 Serology is usually charged for service at 		
		NHS.		Respiratory and Vaccine Preventable		
				Bacteria Reference Unit.		
			Т	he isolate that is confirmed as Bordetella		
			p	pertussis by either of these methods is sent to,		
			r	eferred to, or reported by the Respiratory and		
			٧	accine Preventable Bacteria Reference Unit.		
Finland	State or private laboratories,	The cost of the laboratory test	Т	he sample is sent for testing to a lab that can	<10	[3], written
	depending on the hospital	is reimbursed.	p	perform the test.		communication from
	district.	The GP visit is either partially or	or			local scientific advisor.
		fully covered by public				
		healthcare (depends on the				
		municipality).				
		For private healthcare clinics,				
		patients (or the patient's				
		employer, if they have an				
		occupational healthcare				
		contract) pay out of pocket.				

Germany	Private laboratories.	The GP or any specialist visits	Most of the laboratories perform PCR and	>100	Written
		and the diagnostical tests are	serology for samples from suspected cases of		communication from
		fully reimbursed by the	pertussis. Culture is predominantly performed		GSK local head of
		insurance companies.	by specialized laboratories, so called "reference		medical affairs.
			laboratories" as it requires a lot of knowledge		
			how to cultivate Bordetella pertussis.		
The	State or private laboratories,	Patients are usually reimbursed	There is no consistency in which tests the	30–100	[4], written
Netherlands	depending on the patient's	for GP costs. They are entitled	different laboratories perform in the		communication from
	place of residence.	to yearly "own risk" healthcare	Netherlands.		local medical scientific
		costs of at least 385 euro (in			expert.
		2020–2021), so besides GP			
		visits, patients need to pay the			
		first 385 euro of healthcare			
		costs by themselves. This			
		includes laboratory tests. If			
		their healthcare cost equals or			
		exceeds 385 euros (as stated in			
		the contract with the			
		healthcare insurance), the extra			

costs including for laboratory

tests are usually reimbursed.

Norway	State and private laboratories.	The GP visits and laboratory	Many laboratories test for pertussis as part of 10–30		[5], written
		test are reimbursed for	an "Airway-Panel" (Multiplex PCR).		communication from
		pertussis.	The HCP (most frequently a GP) orders a test for	The HCP (most frequently a GP) orders a test for	
			the patient and/or sends the samples to the		
			laboratory. The test that is conducted for		
			confirmation of a pertussis infection depends on		
			the local laboratory, but it is assumed that most		
			laboratories can conduct all types of		
			diagnostical tests.		
Sweden	State laboratories.	Patients are usually fully	State laboratories are not different in their	10–30	Written
		reimbursed, and healthcare is	capability in conducting the diagnostics that are		communication from
		covered by tax money in	stated in the guidelines for diagnosis pertussis.		local scientific advisor.
		Sweden.			

GP, general practitioner; HCP, healthcare professional; HPT, health protection team; NHS, National Health Service; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PHE, Public Health

England; UK, United Kingdom.

^a from reference [6].

References

- Public Health England (PHE). Pertussis brief for healthcare professionals. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/762782/Pertussis_brief_for_healthcare_professionals.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 2. United Kingdom Government. Pertussis: background information on prevention and management. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/pertussis-clinical-and-public-health-management. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 3. Ministry of Social Affairs and Health. Health care payments. <u>https://stm.fi/terveydenhuollon-maksut</u>. Accessed on 12 May 20212.
- The Dutch Government. Wanneer betaal ik een eigen risico voor mijn zorg?
 <u>https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/zorgverzekering/vraag-en-antwoord/eigen-risico-zorgverzekering</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 5. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI). Whooping cough (pertussis) supervisor for health professionals. <u>https://www.fhi.no/nettpub/smittevernveilederen/sykdommer-a-a/kikhoste-pertussis---veileder-for-h/</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 6. He Q, Barkoff AM, Mertsola J, *et al.* High heterogeneity in methods used for the laboratory confirmation of pertussis diagnosis among European countries, 2010: integration of epidemiological and laboratory surveillance must include standardisation of methodologies and quality assurance. Euro Surveill. 2012;17:20239.

Supplementary table S4. Recommended vaccination schedules and vaccination coverage for pertussis in the countries included in

the analysis from 2010 to 2020 as available at the time of analysis

Country	Vaccination schedule	Vaccination coverage	References
Denmark	Primary vaccination:	Primary vaccination:	[1-5]
	• From 2004: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA)	• 2006–2013 birth cohort: 85%–91%	
	• In 2014: temporary switch due to vaccine shortage – DTaP-IPV-HBV-Hib	(increasing trend)	
	(3, 5, and 12 MoA) for new vaccinees; children who already received one	• 2015 & 2016: >90%	
	vaccine as per the usual schedule would complete that schedule	Booster vaccination:	
	Booster vaccination:	• 2015 & 2016 (at 5 YoA): 80%	
	• From 2004: DTaP-IPV (5 YoA)	Vaccination in pregnancy: No data	
	• In 2014: temporary switch due to vaccine shortage – DTaP and IPV (5 YoA)		
	Vaccination in pregnancy:		
	• Nov 2019–Mar 2022: temporary offer for pregnant women in their 3rd		
	trimester; started due to pertussis outbreak		
England and Scotland	Primary vaccination	Primary vaccination	[6-13]

• 2004–2017: DTaP-IPV(-Hib) (2, 3, 4 MoA)	• 2008–2019 (dose 3; United Kingdom):	
• From 2017: DTaP-IPV-Hib-HBV	92%–93%	
Booster vaccination	Booster vaccination:	
• From 2004: DTaP-IPV (at 3.5–5 YoA and at 13 YoA)	• Jul-Sep 2011: 85.4% (England; at 5 YoA)	
Vaccination in pregnancy:	and 94.5% (Scotland; at 5 YoA)	
	Vaccination in pregnancy:	
• From 2012: Tdap(-IPV)	• Oct 2012–Q1 2020/2021: 43.7%–69.6%	
Primary vaccination:	Primary vaccination	[14]
• From 2005: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, 12 MoA)	• 2012–2017 (dose 1): 97.6%–98.4%	
Booster vaccination:	• 2012–2017 (dose 2): 96.9%–97.5%	
• From 2009: booster at 4 YoA (first introduced in 2003 at 6 YoA) + booster	• 2012–2017 (dose 3): 91%–93.3%	
14–15 YoA (first introduced in 2005 at 11–12 YoA)	Booster vaccination	
• From 2012: booster for military personnel and HCPs working with infants	• 2012 (at 4 YoA): 89.2%	
• From 2018: booster at 25 YoA		
Primary vaccination:	Primary vaccination:	[15-19]

Finland

Germany

• 2000–2019: four-dose aP schedule	• 2017 (dose 1 & dose 3): 96% & 93%	
• 2020: three-dose aP schedule	Booster vaccination:	
Booster vaccination:	• 2007–2016 (all adults): 32.4%	
• From 2000: booster at 9–17 YoA	Vaccination in pregnancy: no data	
• From 2003: booster for childcare workers and HCPs		
• From 2004: booster for adults with close contact to infants prior to birth		
of the infant (cocooning strategy)		
• From 2006: additional booster dose at 5–6 YoA		
• From 2007: one booster dose for all adults (10 years after the last dose)		
Vaccination in pregnancy:		
• From 2020		
Primary vaccination:	Primary vaccination:	[20, 21]
• 2005: DTaP-IPV-Hib (2, 3, 4, and 11 MoA)	• 2006 & 2019 (at 2 YoA): 94.3% & 92.4%	
• From 2006: DTaP-IPV-HBV-Hib (2, 3, 4, and 11 MoA)	• 2006 & 2019 (at 5 YoA; sufficiently	
Booster vaccination:	protected toddlers ^a): 93.9% & 92.5%	

The Netherlands

 From 2006: DTaP-polio/IPV (4 YoA) From 2015: recommended (to be paid out-of-pocket) From 2016: recommended (to be paid out-of-pocket) From 2019 (Dec): introduced in the NIP and offere of charge Q1 2020: catch-up campaign for pregnant women who were eligible for vaccination in pregnancy prior to its introduction in the NIP Q1 2020: catch-up campaign for pregnant women who were eligible for vaccination in pregnancy prior to its introduction in the NIP Q1 2020: catch-up campaign for pregnant women who were eligible for vaccination in pregnancy prior to its introduction in the NIP Q1019: 40% (after correction for bias) 2019: 40% (after correction for bias) 2019: 40% (after correction for bias) 2020 (Apr): ±70% Erom 1998: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA) Z010-2019 (dose 1): 99%-100% From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (TYOA) Booster vaccination: From 2016: booster DTaP-IPV (TYOA) From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years) Z010-2019 (dose 3): 93%-97% 		• From 2001: aP (4 YoA)	Booster vaccination:
Vaccination in pregnancy: 4'0A) • From 2016: recommended (to be paid out-of-pocket) Vaccination in pregnancy: • From 2019 (Dec): introduced in the NIP and offered free of charge 2016-2017: <2% • Q1 2020: catch-up campaign for pregnant women who were eligible for vaccination in pregnancy prior to its introduction in the NIP 2018: 20% • 2019: 40% (after correction for bias) 2020 (Apr): ±70% Norway Primary vaccination: 2010-2019 (dose 1): 99%-100% • From 1998: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA) 2010-2019 (dose 1): 99%-100% • From 2006: isoster DTaP-IPV (7 YA) Booster vaccination: • From 2016 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years) • 2010-2019 (dose 3): 93%-97%		• From 2006: DTaP-polio/IPV (4 YoA)	92% (standardized vaccination coverage
 From 2016: recommended (to be paid out-of-pocket) From 2019 (Dec): introduced in the NIP and offered free of charge 2016-2017: <2% Q1 2020: catch-up campaign for pregnant women who were eligible for vaccination in pregnancy prior to its introduction in the NIP 2019: 40% (after correction for bias) 2020 (Apr): ±70% 2020 (Apr): ±70% Primary vaccination: From 1998: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA) 2010-2019 (dose 1): 99%-100% 2010-2019 (dose 3): 93%-97% From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years 2010-2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against relation at 15 YoA): to hon poid out of eacher 3 for 15 YoA) 		Vaccination in pregnancy:	estimate before 2018 for the booster at 4 YoA)
 From 2019 (Dec): introduced in the NIP and offered free of charge 2016–2017: <2%. Q1 2020: catch-up campaign for pregnant women who were eligible for vaccination in pregnancy prior to its introduction in the NIP 2018: 20% 2019: 40% (after correction for bias) 2020 (Apr): ±70% Norway Primary vaccination: Primary vaccination: 2010-2019 (dose 1): 99%–100% Sooster vaccination: 2010-2019 (dose 1): 99%–100% From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) Booster vaccination: 2010-2019 (dose 3): 93%–97% From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years 2010-2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against vactination: 2010-2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against vactination:		• From 2016: recommended (to be paid out-of-pocket)	Vaccination in pregnancy:
 Q1 2020: catch-up campaign for pregnant women who were eligible for vaccination in pregnancy prior to its introduction in the NIP 2018: 20% 2019: 40% (after correction for bias) 2020 (Apr): ±70% 2020 (Apr): ±70% Primary vaccination: From 1998: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA) 2010-2019 (dose 1): 99%-100% Booster vaccination: 2010-2019 (dose 3): 93%-97% From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) Booster vaccination: From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years 2010-2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against 		• From 2019 (Dec): introduced in the NIP and offered free of charge	• 2016–2017: <2%
 2019: 40% (after correction for bias) 2020 (Apr): ±70% 2020 (Apr): ±70% 2020 (Apr): ±70% Primary vaccination: 2010-2019 (dose 1): 99%-100% 2010-2019 (dose 1): 99%-100% 2010-2019 (dose 3): 93%-97% From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) Booster vaccination: From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years 2010-2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against 		 Q1 2020: catch-up campaign for pregnant women who were eligible for vaccination in pregnancy prior to its introduction in the NIP 	• 2018: 20%
 2020 (Apr): ±70% Norway Primary vaccination: From 1998: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA) 2010–2019 (dose 1): 99%–100% Booster vaccination: 2010–2019 (dose 3): 93%–97% From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) Booster vaccination: From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years 2010–2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against rtarting at 15 KoA: to be paid out of packet after 15 KoA) 			• 2019: 40% (after correction for bias)
Norway Primary vaccination: Primary vaccination: [22-24] • From 1998: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA) • 2010–2019 (dose 1): 99%–100% • Booster vaccination: • 2010–2019 (dose 3): 93%–97% • • From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) Booster vaccination: • • From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years • 2010–2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against			• 2020 (Apr): ±70%
Norway Primary vaccination: Primary vaccination: [22-24] • From 1998: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA) • 2010–2019 (dose 1): 99%–100% • Booster vaccination: • 2010–2019 (dose 3): 93%–97% • • From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) Booster vaccination: • • From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years • 2010–2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against			
 From 1998: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA) 2010–2019 (dose 1): 99%–100% Booster vaccination: 2010–2019 (dose 3): 93%–97% From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) Booster vaccination: From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years 2010–2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against starting at 15 YoA: to be paid out of pecket after 15 YoA) 	Norway	Primary vaccination:	Primary vaccination: [22-24]
Booster vaccination: • 2010–2019 (dose 3): 93%–97% • From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) Booster vaccination: • From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years • 2010–2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against starting at 15 YoA: to be paid out of packet after 15 YoA) partursic): 92%–95 6%		• From 1998: DTaP-IPV-Hib (3, 5, and 12 MoA)	• 2010–2019 (dose 1): 99%–100%
 From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA) From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years 2010–2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against 		Booster vaccination:	• 2010–2019 (dose 3): 93%–97%
 From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years 2010–2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against 		• From 2006: booster DTaP-IPV (7 YoA)	Booster vaccination:
starting at 15 ToA, to be paid out-of-potchet after 15 ToA) pertussis. 55%-55.0%		 From 2014 (recommendation made in 2008): Tdap-IPV (every 10 years starting at 15 YoA; to be paid out-of-pocket after 15 YoA) 	 2010–2020 (9 YoA; vaccine against pertussis): 93%–95.6%

		• 2014–2020 (16 YoA; vaccine against		
			pertussis): 88.8%–94.2%	
Sweden	Primary vaccination:	Primary	vaccination:	[25, 26]
	• From 1996: DTaP (3,5, and 12 MoA)	•	2010–2016 (dose 3): 97%–98%	
	• From 2000: DTaP-Hib-IPV (3,5, and 12 MoA)	Booster vaccination:		
	Booster vaccination:	•	2016 (dose 4 at 5–6 YoA): 93.5%	
	• 2005 to 2011–2012: Tdap (10 YoA = dose 4)	•	Since 2016 (dose 5): ±90% (the actual	
	• From 2007: DTaP (5–6 YoA = dose 4)	percentage may be higher due to		
			underreporting)	
	• From 2016 (recommendation made in 2007): Tdap (14–16 YoA = dose 5)			

DTaP, diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccine (component); HBV, hepatitis B vaccine component; HCP, healthcare professional; Hib, *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine component; IPV, inactivated poliovirus vaccine (component); MoA, months of age; NIP, national immunization programme; Tdap, reduced-antigen-content tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis vaccine (component); YoA, years of age.

^a revaccinated toddlers and toddlers who reached basic immunity at age 2–5 years were therefore not eligible for revaccination at 5 YoA. Note: pertussis vaccination was not mandatory in any of the included countries. All vaccines were offered free of charge unless stated otherwise.

References

- 1. Dalby T, Andersen PH, Hoffmann S. Epidemiology of pertussis in Denmark, 1995 to 2013. Euro Surveill. 2016;21:30334.
- 2. Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Temporary substitution of child vaccine to Infanrix hexa[®] and 5-year booster to dTap booster and separate polio vaccine (IPV). <u>https://en.ssi.dk/news/epi-news/2013/no-50---2013</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Whooping cough 2019 report on disease occurrence. <u>https://en.ssi.dk/surveillance-and-preparedness/surveillance-in-denmark/annual-reports-on-disease-incidence/whooping-cough---2019-report-on-disease-occurrence#</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 4. Statens Serum Institut (SSI). The Danish Vaccination Program Annual report 2016 version 2. <u>https://en.ssi.dk/-/media/arkiv/indhold/dk-dansk/vaccination/boernevaccination/boernevaccinationsprogram_2016_v2_jan18</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Danish Ministry of Health. Pregnant women will still be able to get a free pertussis vaccination. <u>https://sum.dk/nyheder/2021/juni/gravide-vil-fortsat-kunne-faa-en-gratis-kighostevaccination-</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 6. Public Health England (PHE). Historical vaccine development and introduction of routine vaccine programmes in the UK. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/816174/Vaccine_Timeline_2019.p</u> <u>df</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 7. World Health Organization (WHO). United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland: WHO and UNICEF estimates of immunization coverage: 2020 revision. <u>https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/country-profiles/immunization/immunization_gbr_2021.pdf?sfvrsn=353c63e3_9&download=true</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Health Protection Agency. Health protection report weekly report volume 5 number 50. <u>https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140714091638/http://www.hpa.org.uk/hpr/archives/2011/hpr5011.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Public Health England (PHE). Vaccine update.
 <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/805502/PHE_vaccineupdate_294_</u>
 <u>May19.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 10.
 Public Health England (PHE). Vaccine update.

 <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/653401/VU_271_october_2017.pd</u>

 <u>f</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 11. United Kingdom Government. Pertussis Vaccination Programme for Pregnant Women: vaccine coverage estimates in England, October 2013 to March 2014. <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pertussis-immunisation-in-pregnancy-vaccine-coverage-estimates-</u>

in-england-october-2013-to-march-2014/pertussis-vaccination-programme-for-pregnant-women-vaccine-coverage-estimates-inengland-october-2013-to-march-2014. Accessed on 12 May 2022.

- 12. Public Health England (PHE). Pertussis vaccination programme for pregnant women update: vaccine coverage in England, April to June 2020. <u>https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20220201225652/https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pertussis-immunisation-in-pregnancy-vaccine-coverage-estimates-in-england-october-2013-to-march-2014. Accessed on 12 May 2022.</u>
- 13. Public Health England (PHE). Pertussis: the green book, chapter 24. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/514363/Pertussis_Green_Book_Chapter_24_Ap2016.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 14. Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL). Vaccination coverage. https://www.thl.fi/roko/rokotusrekisteri/atlas/public/atlas.html?show=infantbc. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 15. Robert Koch Institut (RKI). Archive of the STIKO recommendations. https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Kommissionen/STIKO/Empfehlungen/Archiv/seit 1972/archiv tab.html. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 16. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO-UNICEF estimates of national immunization coverage. <u>https://www.who.int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-biologicals/immunization-analysis-and-insights/global-</u> <u>monitoring/immunization-coverage/who-unicef-estimates-of-national-immunization-coverage</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 17. Robert Koch Institut (RKI). SURVSTAT@RKI 2.0. https://survstat.rki.de/Content/Query/Create.aspx. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- Robert Koch Institut (RKI). Vaccination calendar (standard vaccinations). <u>https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Kommissionen/STIKO/Empfehlungen/Aktuelles/Impfkalender.pdf?__blob=publicationFile</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 19. Rieck T, Matysiak-Klose D, Hellenbrand W, *et al.* [Compliance with adult measles and pertussis vaccination recommendations : Analysis of data from the national monitoring system KV-Impfsurveillance]. Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz. 2019;62:422-32.
- 20. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). The National Immunisation Programme in the Netherlands: Surveillance and developments in 2018-2019. <u>https://www.rivm.nl/publicaties/national-immunisation-programme-in-netherlands-surveillance-and-developments-in-2018</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 21. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). The National Immunisation Programme in the Netherlands: Surveillance and developments in 2019-2020. <u>https://www.rivm.nl/publicaties/national-immunisation-programme-in-netherlands-surveillance-and-developments-in-2019</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.

- 22. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (FHI). When will your child be offered vaccines? <u>https://www.fhi.no/en/id/vaccines/childhood-immunisation-programme/when-will-your-child-be-offered-vaccines/</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 23. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO vaccine-preventable diseases: monitoring system. 2020 global summary Coverage time series for Norway. https://apps.who.int/immunization_monitoring/globalsummary/coverages?c=NOR. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 24. Norwegian Institute of Public Health (NIPH). Norhealth. <u>https://www.norgeshelsa.no/norgeshelsa/</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 25. The Public Health Agency of Sweden. Pertussis surveillance in Sweden 21st annual report. <u>https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/contentassets/cd49fff196f44e6a8db234ffb9da8b80/pertussis-surveillance-sweden-twenty-first-report-19071.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.
- 26. The Public Health Agency of Sweden. Pertussis surveillance in Sweden Eighteen-year report. <u>https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/contentassets/dbd8cd9e157c47189d72dd8ad9f6c94b/pertussis-eighteen-year-report-16109.pdf</u>. Accessed on 12 May 2022.



Supplementary figure S1. Proportion of all cases that were reported in older adults from 2010 to 2020

For England, data from 2019 were provisional. For the Netherlands, data from 2018 and 2019 were provisional.

Supplementary figure S2. Number of pertussis cases and incidence rate per 100000 population in older adults in Estonia from 2010 to 2019



Bars represent number of cases (left y-axis) and the line represents the incidence rate per 100000 population (right y-axis).

In Estonia, the pertussis burden among older adults was highest in 2010 (incidence rate of 35.1 per 100000; 107 cases). From 2011 to 2013, the pertussis burden decreased, followed by an increase until 2016 and a plateau from 2017 onwards.

Supplementary figure S3. Number of pertussis cases among older adults in all countries from 2010 to 2020



The number of reported cases ranged from 22 (Scotland, 2010) to 4520 (Germany, 2017). The mean number of cases was highest in Germany (2464 cases), followed by the Netherlands (1468 cases), England (1115 cases), Norway (519 cases), Scotland (242 cases) Denmark (185 cases), Sweden (82 cases), and Finland (66 cases).

For Germany, data were available from 2013 to 2020. For Norway, data were available until November 2020. For Sweden, data were available until 2018. For other countries, data were available from 2010 to 2019 (included). For England, data from 2019 were provisional. For the Netherlands, data from 2018 and 2019 were provisional. Dots represent individual data points; geometric means are indicated with —.

Supplementary figure S4. Age-stratified number of pertussis cases and incidence rate per 100000 population in older adults in

Austria from 2010 to 2019

Number of cases										
Age group	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
50—54	24	15	24	44	24	44	78	65	114	110
55—59	9	18	32	52	27	33	55	63	112	98
60—64	18	20	26	20	23	28	55	57	83	94
65—69	22	21	18	29	21	28	59	56	68	74
70—74	15	17	37	35	30	33	48	45	64	60
75—79	15	14	16	12	10	22	37	50	40	54
80—84	4	10	12	16	5	16	23	25	20	26
85—89	4	4	8	13	4	10	15	13	8	7
90—94	2	1	3	6	1	0	8	9	5	9
95—99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
≥100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Incidence rate 2015 2013 2014 2016 Age group 2010 2011 2012 2017 2018 2019 50—54 2.38 3.69 6.54 3.47 10.95 15.93 15.37 3.92 6.24 9.09 55—59 1.80 3.46 9.32 4.65 8.83 9.77 16.79 14.70 5.94 5.47 5.50 4.25 4.85 5.78 10.89 10.89 15.28 17.30 60–64 3.81 4.18 65-69 5.21 5.21 4.36 6.90 4.94 6.26 13.03 12.49 15.25 16.59 70—74 7.89 12.94 3.92 4.10 8.57 7.03 8.49 11.84 16.52 15.49 75–79 5.67 5.35 6.14 4.50 3.31 6.48 10.05 13.04 10.19 13.76 7.37 9.23 80-84 1.84 4.59 5.49 2.33 7.57 10.92 11.88 12.00 85-89 9.24 7.01 2.86 2.83 5.70 2.82 10.44 9.00 5.54 4.85 90-94 4.99 2.10 5.56 10.13 1.59 0.00 12.30 13.87 7.70 13.85 95-99 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 6.45 6.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 ≥100 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

Data are formatted according to a graded colour scale with the lowest value in white and the highest value in darkest green.



Supplementary figure S5. Number of pertussis cases and incidence rate in older adults and children 0–4 years of age (YoA) in countries with data available from 2010 to 2020

Data for children 0–4 YoA were available for England, Finland, Germany, the Netherlands, and Sweden; for Denmark, data were available for children 1–4 YoA. The incidence rates for both populations (children and older adults) followed a similar trend in all countries.

For England, data from 2019 were provisional. For the Netherlands, data from 2018 and 2019 were provisional.

Supplementary text S1. Contextual epidemiological information across countries

Pertussis surveillance was passive, population-based with mandatory, case-based reporting of laboratory-confirmed cases throughout the 2010s in all countries except for Germany. In Germany, reporting became mandatory in 2013 but was not limited to laboratory-confirmed cases. In all other countries, only laboratory-confirmed cases were reported (**Table 1**; **Supplementary table S2**). Case definitions followed World Health Organization-defined criteria in England and Scotland, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway, and Sweden (**Supplementary table S2**) [1]. In Finland, clinical diagnosis (and consequently the need for laboratory confirmation) was based on presence of cough symptoms only. No details on the clinical case definition used were available for Denmark. In Sweden, positive samples taken <6 months after a previous positive sample were not considered a new pertussis episode.

Laboratory tests for case confirmation were primarily conducted by state laboratories in Denmark, England and Scotland, and Sweden, primarily by private laboratories in Germany, and by both (e.g., depending on the patient's place of residence) in Finland, the Netherlands, and Norway. Visits to the general practitioner (GP) and laboratory tests were fully reimbursed in Denmark, England and Scotland, Germany, Norway, and Sweden. In Finland and the Netherlands, GP visits may not be fully reimbursed (depending on the municipality) or patients have a yearly own risk healthcare cost (applicable for laboratory testing but not for GP visits), respectively (**Table 1**; **Supplementary table S3**). The diagnostical method that was primarily used during the analytical period was polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and serology in Denmark (±50%–60% PCR until 2015 and ±70%–80% PCR from 2016; in older adults) and in Sweden (same proportion PCR and serology until 2012 and ±80% PCR from 2013; from ≥11 years of age); serology in England and Scotland (adults), Finland (no data per age group), and the Netherlands (no data per age group); and PCR in Norway (no data per age group). In all countries, culture was rarely used for confirmation of a case. No such data were available for Germany. In Finland, the Netherlands, and Norway, PCR targeted the gene *IS481* alone (which is not specific to *B. pertussis*), while the gene *ptxA-Pr*

40

was additionally targeted in England and Scotland, and Germany. In Denmark, an initial PCR targeted the gene *IS481*, while a confirmatory PCR targeted gene *ptxP*. Serology cut-offs for recent infections were >70 anti-pertussis toxin (PT) immunoglobulin G (IgG) international units (IU) per mL in England and Scotland, 75 IU/mL at the Statens Serum Institut in Denmark, ≥100 anti-PT IgG IU/mL in Germany, and >100 (anti-PT IgG) IU/mL in the Netherlands and Norway. The kits, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) coating antigens, and standard sera used for serology also differed between countries (**Table 1**;

Supplementary table S2).

There was also heterogeneity in recommendations and coverage for booster doses, primarily those recommended in adulthood (**Supplementary table S4**). Only Finland, Germany, and Norway recommended booster doses for adults outside of pregnancy; this booster only covered older adults in Norway (decennial booster since 2014) (**Table 1**; **Supplementary table S4**). Coverage rates for booster doses administered to older adults were not known at the time of our analysis.

Reference

 World Health Organization (WHO). Vaccine-Preventable Diseases - Surveillance Standards: Pertussis. <u>https://www.who.int/immunization/monitoring_surveillance/burden/vpd/WHO_SurveillanceVa_ccinePreventable_16_Pertussis_R1.pdf?ua=1</u>. Accessed on 5 January 2021. Supplementary file S1. Summary of the pertussis epidemiology in older adults per country





England

The reported pertussis burden among older adults increased over time



Scotland

The reported pertussis burden among older adults increased over time







The Netherlands

The reported pertussis burden among older adults appeared constant over time



Norway

The reported pertussis burden among older adults **decreased over time**



