Supplementary material

Table S 1: SUIT01 protocol.1Table S 2: SUIT02 protocol.1Table S 3: Reasons for discard of the non-transplanted livers.2Figure S 1: Machine perfusion parameters during NMP.2Table S 4: Histological assessment.3Table S 5: Immunohistochemistry.3Figure S 2: Immunohistochemistry of transplanted livers.4Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers.5Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis of IL-6 and TNF α .6Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides.6Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests).7Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – MEAF.7Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – EAD.7	Table of contents:	
Table S 2: SUIT02 protocol. 1 Table S 3: Reasons for discard of the non-transplanted livers. 2 Figure S 1: Machine perfusion parameters during NMP. 2 Table S 4: Histological assessment. 3 Table S 5: Immunohistochemistry. 3 Figure S 2: Immunohistochemistry of transplanted livers. 4 Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers. 5 Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers. 6 Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis of IL-6 and TNFα. 6 Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides. 6 Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests). 7 Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – MEAF. 7 Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – EAD. 7	Table S 1: SUIT01 protocol	.1
Table S 3: Reasons for discard of the non-transplanted livers 2 Figure S 1: Machine perfusion parameters during NMP 2 Table S 4: Histological assessment 3 Table S 5: Immunohistochemistry 3 Figure S 2: Immunohistochemistry of transplanted livers 4 Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers 5 Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers 6 Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis of IL-6 and TNFα 6 Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides 6 Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) 7 Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – MEAF 7 Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – EAD 7	Table S 2: SUIT02 protocol	.1
Figure S 1: Machine perfusion parameters during NMP. 2 Table S 4: Histological assessment. 3 Table S 5: Immunohistochemistry. 3 Figure S 2: Immunohistochemistry of transplanted livers. 4 Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers. 5 Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis of IL-6 and TNFα. 6 Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides. 6 Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests). 7 Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – MEAF. 7 Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – EAD. 7	Table S 3: Reasons for discard of the non-transplanted livers	.2
Table S 4: Histological assessment. .3 Table S 5: Immunohistochemistry. .3 Figure S 2: Immunohistochemistry of transplanted livers. .4 Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers. .5 Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis of IL-6 and TNFα. .6 Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides. .6 Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests). .7 Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – MEAF. .7 Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – EAD. .7	Figure S 1: Machine perfusion parameters during NMP	.2
Table S 5: Immunohistochemistry. 3 Figure S 2: Immunohistochemistry of transplanted livers. 4 Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers. 5 Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis of IL-6 and TNFα. 6 Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides. 6 Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests). 7 Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – MEAF. 7 Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – EAD. 7	Table S 4: Histological assessment	3
Figure S 2: Immunohistochemistry of transplanted livers .4 Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers .5 Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis of IL-6 and TNFα .6 Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides .6 Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) .7 Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – MEAF .7 Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – EAD .7	Table S 5: Immunohistochemistry	.3
Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers	Figure S 2: Immunohistochemistry of transplanted livers	.4
Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis of IL-6 and TNFα	Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of discarded livers	5
Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides	Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis of IL-6 and TNFα	6
Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests)	Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides	6
Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – MEAF	Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests)	.7
Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – EAD	Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – MEAF	7
	Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) – EAD	7

Table S 1: SUIT01 protocol

Step	Compound	Concentration	Coupling	ET-pathway state	Function
			control state		
1	Tissue homogenate (2 mL)	1 mg wet mass·mL ⁻¹		ROX	
2	ADP-Mg ²⁺ (Merck, 117105)	5 mM		ROX	Substrate of ATP synthase
3	Malate (Sigma, M1000)	0.1 mM			NADH-linked substrate
4	Octanoylcarnitine (APExBIO, B6371)	0.5 mM	OXPHOS (P)	FAO	Substrate for fatty acid oxidation (FAO)
5	Cytochrome <i>c</i> (Sigma, C7752)	10 µM	OXPHOS (P_c)	FAO	Evaluate outer mt- membrane integrity
6	Pyruvate (Sigma, P2256)	5 mM	OXPHOS (P_c)	FAO+NADH	Feeds TCA (via Acetyl- CoA)
7	Malate (Sigma, M1000)	2 mM	OXPHOS (P_c)	FAO+NADH	NADH-linked substrate
8	Glutamate (Sigma, G1626)	10 mM	OXPHOS (P_c)	FAO+NADH	NADH-linked substrate
9	Succinate (Sigma, S2378)	10 mM	OXPHOS (P _c)	FAO+NADH+S	Substrate of Complex II
10	Rotenone (Sigma, R8875)	0.5 μΜ	OXPHOS (P_c)	S	Inhibition of Complex I
11	Antimycin A (Sigma, A8674)	2.5 μM		ROX	Inhibition of Complex III

Table S 2: SUIT02 protocol

Step	Chemical	Concentration	Coupling control state	Function
1	Tissue homogenate (2 mL)	1 mg wet		
		mass·mL ⁻¹		
2	Rotenone (Sigma, R8875)	0.5 μΜ		Inhibition of Complex I
3	Succinate (Sigma, S2378)	10 mM	LEAK (L)	Substrate of Complex II
4	ADP Mg ²⁺ (Merck, 117105)	5 mM	OXPHOS (P)	Substrate of ATP synthase
5	Cytochrome c (Sigma, C7752)	10 µM	OXPHOS (P_c)	Evaluate outer mt-membrane
				integrity
6	CCCP (Sigma, C2759)	0.5 µM per step	ET (<i>E</i>)	Uncoupling of mitochondrial
				respiration
7	Antimycin A (Sigma, A8674)	2.5 µM		Inhibition of Complex III

Liver #	Reason for discard
1	Inadequate lactate clearance, high perfusate transaminases
2	Inadequate lactate clearance, high perfusate transaminases
3	Inadequate lactate clearance, high perfusate IL-6 levels
4	High perfusate transaminases
5	Inadequate lactate clearance
6	Technical problems related to NMP
7	Malignant tumor of the donor
8	Histology: fibrosis and steatosis; prolonged cold ischemia time
9	Histology: fibrosis
10	High DRI; Histology: fibrosis; high perfusate transaminases
11	High risk organ (DCD); high perfusate transaminases
12	Histology: macrosteatosis, physiological perfusate pH could not be maintained despite NaHCO ₃ addition, inadequate lactate
	clearance
13	Histology: fibrosis and steatosis
14	Histology: fibrosis and steatosis
15	Arteriosclerosis A. hepatica, inadequate lactate clearance

Table S 3: Reasons for discard of the non-transplanted livers



Figure S 1: Machine perfusion parameters during NMP. (a) Hepatic artery flow, (b) inferior vena cava flow, (c) portal vein flow, (d) hepatic artery pressure and (e) inferior vena cava pressure for livers transplanted (solid circles) and not transplanted (open boxes), expressed as median.

Transplanted	pre	1 h	6 – 9 h	9 – 15 h	15 – 24 h	post
Necrosis [median (IQR)]	0 (0 – 0)	0 (0 – 1)	0 (0 – 1)	0 (0 – 1)	0 (0 – 1)	0 (0 – 1)
Steatosis [median (IQR)]	0 (0 – 1)	0 (0 – 1)	0 (0 – 1)	0 (0 – 1)	0 (0-0.75)	0 (0 – 1)
Fibrosis [median (IQR)]	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)
Inflammation [median (IQR)]	1 (1 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)
Vascular changes [median (IQR)]	1 (0-1)	1 (0.75 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)
Discarded	pre	1 h	6 – 9 h	9 – 15 h	15 – 24 h	-
Necrosis [median (IQR)]	0 (0 – 0)	0 (0 – 1)	1 (0 – 1)	1.5 (1 – 3)	1.5 (0.75 – 3)	-
Steatosis [median (IQR)]	0.5 (0 – 1)	1 (0 – 1)	0.5 (0 – 1)	0.5 (0 – 1)	0.5 (0 – 1))	
Fibrosis [median (IQR)]	0 (0 – 0)	0 (0 – 0)	0 (0 – 0)	0 (0-0.75)	0 (0 – 0.25)	

Table S 4: Semiquantitative assessment of histological changes during normothermic machine perfusion

Table S 5: Semiquantitative assessment of immunohistochemistry during normothermic machine perfusion

1(0-1)

1(0.25-1)

Inflammation

[median (IQR)] Vascular changes

[median (IQR)]

1(0-1)

1(0-1)

1(0.5-1)

1(0.5-1)

1 (0 – 1)

1(1-1)

1 (0.25 – 1)

1(1-1)

		Transplanted			Discarded	
	pre	6 – 9 h	end	pre	6 – 9 h	end
CD3 [median	37.50 (15.00 -	37.50 (14.25 -	37.50 (14.25 -	150.00 (52.50 -	150.00 (45.00 -	150.00 (45.00 -
(IQR)]	127.50)	75.00)	127.50)	232.50)	225.00)	225.00)
CD20 [median	7.50 (3.00 –	4.50 (2.25 –	4.50 (2.25 –	15.00 (7.50 –	60.00 (3.00 –	60.00 (1.50 –
(IQR)]	19.50)	22.50)	19.50)	105.00)	120.00)	120.00)
CD68 [median	15.00 (3.00 –	15.00 (3.00 –	15.00 (3.00 –	30.00 (42.50 –	30.00 (22.50 –	30.00 (22.50 –
(IQR)]	30.00)	18.75)	30.00)	22.50)	42.50)	65.00)
TLR4 [median	8.00 (0.00 –	18.00 (0.00 –	10.50 (0.00 –	3.00 (0.00 –	3.00 (0.00 –	3.00 (0.00 –
(IQR)]	40.00)	40.00)	31.50)	15.00)	15.00)	15.00)
CCasp3 [median	0.00 (0.00 –	0.00 (0.00 –	0.00 (0.00 –	0.00 (0.00 –	4.00 (0.00 –	4.00 (2.00 –
(IQR)]	11.25)	7.50)	22.50)	32.00)	12.50)	10.00)



Figure S 2: Immunohistochemistry of livers with subsequent transplantation after NMP. Representative images of CD3, CD20, CD68, TLR4 and CCasp3 stainings in the course of NMP.



Figure S 3: Immunohistochemistry of livers discarded after NMP. Representative images of CD3, CD20, CD68, TLR4 and CCasp3 stainings in the course of NMP.



Figure S 4: Perfusate analysis during normothermic machine perfusion. (a) Interleukin-6 and (b) tumor necrosis factor alpha levels for transplanted (solid circles) and not transplanted (open boxes) livers, expressed as median and interquartile range. (* p < 0.05, transplanted vs. not transplanted group; # p < 0.05, ### p < 0.001, compared to 1 h values within groups)



Figure S 5: Analysis of adenine nucleotides in tissue biopsies during normothermic machine perfusion for not transplanted livers. (a) ATP concentration in liver biopsies; (b) Ratio of ATP to ADP; (c) Energy charge calculated as (ATP + 0.5*ADP)/(ATP + ADP + AMP). Results are shown as median, interquartile range, and min-max values. (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, ns = no significance; comparisons between time points)

Parameter	Sum Sq	Df	F	<i>p</i> -value
pre_P	0.85	1	3.39	0.317
h1_P	3.62	1	14.38	0.164
h6_P	0.07	1	0.28	0.692
pre_PL	0.64	1	2.56	0.356
pre_Jc	1.01	1	4.01	0.295
h1_Jc	1.77	1	7.06	0.229
h6_Jc	0.06	1	0.24	0.709
pre_L	0.89	1	3.53	0.311
h1_L	0.18	1	0.70	0.557
h6_L	0.32	1	1.26	0.463
pre_EP	0.19	1	0.77	0.541
h1_EP	0.18	1	0.72	0.551
h6_EP	0.35	1	1.40	0.447
pre_Pc	1.05	1	4.18	0.290
h1_Pc	0.81	1	3.20	0.324
h6_Pc	0.03	1	0.11	0.795
pre_E	1.56	1	6.20	0.243
h1_E	1.62	1	6.44	0.239
h6_E	0.20	1	0.81	0.533
Residuals	0.25	1		

 Table S 6: ANOVA Table (Type II tests)

Table S 7: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) - MEAF

Parameter	b	conf	beta	<i>p</i> -value
(Intercept)	-4.98	[9.3, -19.3]		0.470
PL.auc	1.38	[3.77, -1.02]	0.54	0.241
Jc.auc	3.17	[13.6, -7.31]	0.70	0.531
L.auc	0.0429	[0.176, -0.0906]	0.45	0.505
Jc.auc:L.auc	-0.001	[0.235, -0.237]	-0.01	0.993

Table S 8: ANOVA Table (Type II tests) - EAD

Parameter	b	conf	beta	<i>p</i> -value
(Intercept)	9.85	[36.9, -13.5]		0.422
PL.auc	-2.09	[1.66, -6.51]	0.12	0.290
Jc.auc	3.1	[21.5, -12.8]	>20	0.706
L.auc	-0.0344	[0.21, -0.263]	0.97	0.762
Jc.auc:L.auc	-0.0338	[0.325, -0.423]	0.97	0.851

 $r^2 = .21$, ad. $r^2 = 0.02$, $F_{(4, 16)} = 1.09$, p = 0.392