Constru	ct	CFIR Description	Pa	rtici	pan	ts								Frequency of codes
I. INTERV	/ENTION TERISTICS		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
A	Intervention Source	Perception of key stakeholders about whether the intervention is externally or internally developed.												
В	Evidence Strength & Quality	Stakeholders' perceptions of the quality and validity of evidence supporting the belief that the intervention will have desired outcomes.												
С	Relative Advantage	Stakeholders' perception of the advantage of implementing the intervention versus an alternative solution.												
D	Adaptability	The degree to which an intervention can be adapted, tailored, refined, or reinvented to meet local needs.												
Е	Trialability	The ability to test the intervention on a small scale in the organization, and to be able to reverse course (undo implementation) if warranted.												

F	Complexity	Perceived difficulty of implementation, reflected by duration, scope, radicalness, disruptiveness, centrality, and intricacy and number of steps required to implement. Perceived excellence in how	x	x		х	х		x	x	6
G	Design Quality & Packaging	the intervention is bundled, presented, and assembled.									
Н	Cost	Costs of the intervention and costs associated with implementing the intervention including investment, supply, and opportunity costs.									
II. OUTER	RSETTING										
A	Patient Needs & Resources	The extent to which patient needs, as well as barriers and facilitators to meet those needs, are accurately known and prioritized by the organization.									
В	Cosmopolitanism	The degree to which an organization is networked with other external organizations.									
С	Peer Pressure	Mimetic or competitive pressure to implement an intervention; typically because most or other key peer or competing									

		organizations have already implemented or are in a bid for a competitive edge.								
D	External Policy & Incentives	A broad construct that includes external strategies to spread interventions, including policy and regulations (governmental or other central entity), external mandates, recommendations and guidelines, pay-forperformance, collaboratives, and public or benchmark reporting.								
III. INNEF	R SETTING									
A	Structural Characteristics	The social architecture, age, maturity, and size of an organization.								
В	Networks & Communications	The nature and quality of webs of social networks and the nature and quality of formal and informal communications within an organization.								
С	Culture	Norms, values, and basic assumptions of a given organization.	X		х	X	х	х	х	6

D	Implementation	The absorptive capacity for								
	Climate	change, shared receptivity of								
		involved individuals to an								
		intervention, and the extent								
		to which use of that								
		intervention will be								
		rewarded, supported, and								
		expected within their								
		organization.								
1	Tension for	The degree to which								
	Change	stakeholders perceive the								
		current situation as								
		intolerable or needing								
		change.								
2	Compatibility	The degree of tangible fit					Х	х		2
		between meaning and values								
		attached to the intervention								
		by involved individuals, how								
		those align with individuals'								
		own norms, values, and								
		perceived risks and needs,								
		and how the intervention fits								
		with existing workflows and								
		systems.								
3+25:41	Relative Priority	Individuals' shared	х	х						2
		perception of the importance								
		of the implementation within								
		the organization.								
4	Organizational	Extrinsic incentives such as								
	Incentives &	goal-sharing awards,								
	Rewards	performance reviews,								
		promotions, and raises in								
		salary, and less tangible								

		incentives such as increased stature or respect.						
5	Goals and Feedback	The degree to which goals are clearly communicated, acted upon, and fed back to staff, and alignment of that						
6	Learning Climate	feedback with goals. A climate in which: a) leaders express their own fallibility and need for team members' assistance and input; b) team members feel that they are essential, valued, and knowledgeable partners in the change process; c) individuals feel psychologically safe to try new methods; and d) there is sufficient time and space for reflective thinking and evaluation.						
Е	Readiness for Implementation	Tangible and immediate indicators of organizational commitment to its decision to implement an intervention.						

1	Leadership Engagement	Commitment, involvement, and accountability of leaders and managers with the implementation.	x	x	x			х	х	х		x	х	8
					х			х	X		x	х		5
2	Available Resources	The level of resources dedicated for implementation and on-going operations, including money, training, education, physical space, and time.	x	x	х	х	X	x	х	х		х	x	9
			x		x	х	X			х			х	6
3	Access to Knowledge & Information	Ease of access to digestible information and knowledge about the intervention and how to incorporate it into work tasks.												
IV. CHAR	ACTERISTICS OF JALS													

	Beliefs about the	and value placed on the						
	Intervention	intervention as well as						
		familiarity with facts, truths,						
		and principles related to the						
		intervention.						
В	Self-efficacy	Individual belief in their own						
		capabilities to execute						
		courses of action to achieve						
		implementation goals.						
	Individual Stage of	Characterization of the phase						
	Change	an individual is in, as he or						
		she progresses toward						
		skilled, enthusiastic, and						
		sustained use of the						
		intervention.						
	Individual	A broad construct related to						
	Identification with	how individuals perceive the						
	Organization	organization, and their						
		relationship and degree of						
		commitment with that						
_	0.1 0 1	organization.		-				
	Other Personal	A broad construct to include						
	Attributes	other personal traits such as						
		tolerance of ambiguity,						
		intellectual ability,						
		motivation, values,						
		competence, capacity, and						
V DDOCE	· · ·	learning style.		-				
V. PROCES	•>							

A	Planning	The degree to which a scheme or method of behavior and tasks for implementing an intervention are developed in advance, and the quality of those schemes or methods.	x	x	x	x	x		x		X	7
В	Engaging	Attracting and involving appropriate individuals in the implementation and use of the intervention through a combined strategy of social marketing, education, role modeling, training, and other similar activities.		x		x	x	х			х	5
1	Opinion Leaders	Individuals in an organization who have formal or informal influence on the attitudes and beliefs of their colleagues with respect to implementing the intervention.										
2	Formally Appointed Internal Implementation Leaders	Individuals from within the organization who have been formally appointed with responsibility for implementing an intervention as coordinator, project manager, team leader, or other similar role.										

3	Champions	"Individuals who dedicate themselves to supporting, marketing, and 'driving through' an [implementation]" [101] (p. 182), overcoming indifference or resistance that the intervention may provoke in an organization.									
4	External Change Agents	Individuals who are affiliated with an outside entity who formally influence or facilitate intervention decisions in a desirable direction.									
С	Executing	Carrying out or accomplishing the implementation according to plan.	х	x	х		х	х	х		6
D	Reflecting & Evaluating	Quantitative and qualitative feedback about the progress and quality of implementation accompanied with regular personal and team debriefing about progress and experience.	х	x	х		x			x	5

Perceived impact	Х	Х		5					3
Equity	х	x				х		х	4
Strategy and future	Х		х		Х	х			4