Article title: How do Tobacco Control Policies Work? Facilitators of and Barriers to Implementing Tobacco Control Policies in Low- And Middle-Income Countries: A Realist Synthesis

Journal name: BMJ Global Health

Authors' information: Pragati B Hebbar*, Vivek Dsouza, Upendra Bhojani, Prashanth Nuggehalli Srinivas, Onno C P van Schayck, Giridhara R Babu, Gera E Nagelhout.

- 1. Institute of Public Health, Bengaluru. pragati@iphindia.org
- 2. Institute of Public Health, Bengaluru
- 3. Institute of Public Health, Bengaluru.
- 4. Institute of Public Health, Bengaluru.
- 5. Department of Family Medicine, Maastricht University (CAPHRI)
- 6. Indian Institute of Public Health, Public Health Foundation of India (PHFI)
- 7. Department of Health Promotion, Maastricht University (CAPHRI)

Supplementary file 1: RAMESES checklist items

Table S1: List of items included when reporting a realist synthesis.

Reporting Item		Description of item	Reported on page(s)*				
		T'./1	page(s)				
	Title						
1		In the title, identify the document as a realist synthesis	1				
		or review.					
		Abstract					
2		While acknowledging publication requirements and	1 - 2				
		house style, abstracts should ideally contain brief					
		details of: the study's background, review question or					
		objectives; search strategy; methods of selection,					
		appraisal, analysis and synthesis of sources; main					
		results; and implications for practice.					
	•	Introduction					
3	Rationale for	Explain why the review is needed and what it is likely	3				
	review	to contribute to existing understanding of the topic					
		area.					
4	Objectives and	State the objective(s) of the review and/or the review	4				
	focus of review	question(s). Define and provide a rationale for the					
		focus of the review.					
	,	Methods	•				

5	Changes in the	Any changes made to the review process that was	None made			
	review process	initially planned should be briefly described and				
	-	justified.				
6	Rationale for	Explain why realist synthesis was considered the most	3 – 4			
	using realist	appropriate method to use.				
	synthesis					
7	Scoping the	Describe and justify the initial process of exploratory	4			
	literature	scoping of the literature.				
8	Searching	While considering specific requirements of the journal	5 - 6			
	processes	or other publication outlet, state and provide a				
		rationale for how the iterative searching was done.				
		Provide details on all of the sources accessed for				
		information in the review. Where searching in				
		electronic databases has taken place, the details should				
		include, for example, name of database, search terms,				
		dates of coverage and date last searched. If individuals				
		familiar with the relevant literature and/or topic area				
		were contacted, indicate how they were identified and				
0	Selection and	selected.	(7			
9		Explain how judgements were made about including	6 – 7			
	appraisal of documents	and excluding data from documents and justify these.				
10	Data extraction	Describe and avaloin which date or information were	8 – 9			
10	Data extraction	Describe and explain which data or information were extracted from the included documents and justify this	0 – 9			
		selection.				
11	Analysis and	Describe the analysis and synthesis processes in detail.	8 – 9			
11	synthesis	This section should include information on the	0)			
	processes	constructs analyzed and describe the analytic process.				
	processes	Results				
12	Document flow	Provide details on the number of documents assessed	8			
	diagram	for eligibility and included in the review, with reasons	, and the second			
	8	for exclusion at each stage, as well as an indication of				
		their source of origin (e.g. from searching databases,				
		reference lists and so on). You may consider using the				
		example templates (which are likely to need				
		modification to suit the data) that are provided.				
13	Document	Provide information on the characteristics of the	9 – 12			
	characteristics	documents included in the review.				
14	Main findings	Present the key findings with a specific focus on	13 - 17			
		theory building and testing.				
<u> </u>	Discussion					
15	Summary of	Summarize the main findings, taking into account the	18			
	findings	reviews objective(s), research question(s), focus and				
		intended audience(s).				
16	Strengths,	Discuss both the strengths of the review and its	19			
	limitations and	limitations. These should include (but need not be				

	future research	restricted to) (a) consideration of all the steps in the	
	directions	review process and (b) comment on the overall	
		strength of evidence supporting the explanatory	
		insights which emerged.	
		The limitations identified may point to areas where	
		further work is needed	
17	Comparison with	Where applicable, compare and contrast the reviews	18 - 19
	existing literature	findings with the existing literature (e.g., other	
		reviews) on the same topic.	
18	Conclusion and	List the main implications of the findings and place	19
	recommendations	these in the context of other relevant literature. If	
		appropriate, offer recommendations for policy and	
		practice.	
19	Funding	Provide details of funding source (if any) for the	Yes
	-	review, the role played by the funder (if any) and any	
		conflicts of interests of the reviewers.	

^{*}Page no(s) subject to change based on acceptance and publication.