Controlling microbial co-culture populations based on substrate pulsing can lead to stability through differential fitness advantages.

J.Andres Martinez¹, Matheo Delvenne^{1,Y}, Lucas Henrion^{1,Y}, Fabian Moreno^{1,Y}, Samuel Telek¹, Christian Dusny², Frank $Delyingne^{1,*}$

1 TERRA Research and Teaching Centre, Microbial Processes and Interactions (MiPI), Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liége, Gembloux, Belgium.

2 Microbial Single Cell Analysis, Department of Solar Materials, Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research- UFZ Leipzig, Permoserstr. 15 04318 Leipzig, Germany.

* f.delvigne@uliege.be

Supplementary File 4: Continuous and Discontinuous culture supplementary figures

Fig 1. Measurement data set coverage for the Continuous, Low-frequency and High-frequency feed co-culture experiments from time 24 to 80.

Fig 2. Cybernetic variable v for E. coli and S. cerevisiae during the simulations made for Continuous culture (up), low frequency (middle) and high frequency (down) pulsing experiments.

Fig 3. Cybernetic variable ν for E. coli and S. cerevisiae during the simulations made for Continuous culture (up), low frequency (middle) and high frequency (down) pulsing experiments.

Fig 4. top row: FSCA Probability density function, mean, standard deviations and coefficient of variation for the axenic continuous cultures of S. cerevisiae at dilution rates of 0.12, 0.23 and 0.33 h^{-1} , respectively. bottom row: Flow citometry data for the triplicates at the different dilution rates and their GFP^+ and GFP^- percentual calculations along the threshold at 3.5.

Fig 5. Time evolution of FL1, approximated growth rate, $GFP⁺$ fraction, total events over liter and Fano factor across example cycles for the low-frequency feed regime experiments. Plotted values correspond for S. cerevisiae population in coculture and in single culture (duplicates)