Supplementary information

Mapping and targeted viral activation of pancreatic nerves in mice reveal their roles in the regulation of glucose metabolism

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

CONTENTS

Supplementary Methods

Supplementary Fig. 1 | AAVs do not elicit apoptosis in transduced neurons.

Supplementary Fig. 2 I Assessment of colocalization of pancreas and liver-projecting neurons in the CG.

Supplementary Fig. 3 I Assessment of AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry expression in ChAT-IRES-CRE mice in ganglia, gut, liver, spleen and CNS.

Supplementary Fig. 4 I Assessment of AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry expression in ChAT-IRES-CRE mice in pancreatic islets and with neural markers in intrapancreatic ganglia.

Supplementary Fig. 5 I Activation of pancreas-projecting neurons expressing chemogenetic constructs by CNO.

Supplementary Tables 1–3

Captions for Supplementary Videos 1–3

Supplementary Methods

Surgical Procedures: Dual Pancreas and Liver injection

Intrapancreatic injections were performed as described previously, $1*10^{11}$ (Dose 1) viral genomes of AAV8hSyn-mCherry were injected in WT mice. Three weeks later, $10 \ \mu$ l of Cholera Toxin B (CT β) at concentration $8 \ \mu$ g/ μ L were injected in 1μ L increments into all lobes of the liver. After 1 week, animals were sacrificed as described previously. CG were fixed, prepared for cryosection and stained for CT β and mCherry as described above. The quantification of mCherry+ and CT β + neurons overlap was done using the JaCOP plugin by FIJI.

Tissue Processing: Cryosections

Heart, kidneys, brain and muscles were immersed in 30% sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich, 50389) in PBS overnight, then embedding in O.C.T Compound (Thermofisher Scientific; 23-730-572), frozen at -80C, and sectioned at 10 µm thickness. Tissues were stained overnight for mCherry (Abcam; ab205402) + synapsin1 (Cell Signaling; 5297S) at 1:1000 dilution. GFP (AVES, Tigard, OR; GFP-1020), at 1:1000 dilution) Subsequent secondary antibodies used were Alexa Fluor 546 anti-rabbit (Thermofisher Scientific; A10040), Alexa Fluor 647 anti-chicken (Jackson ImmunoResearch; 703-605-155) and Alexa Fluor 647 anti-chicken (Jackson ImmunoResearch; 703-605-155) and Alexa Fluor 647 anti-chicken (Jackson ImmunoResearch; 703-605-155), Lot#138591). Tissues were stained for DAPI and coverslipped as stated previously. Samples were visualized using a fluorescent Zeiss Axio Observer Z.1 microscope.

Ex vivo Calcium Imaging

AAV-hSyn-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry was administered to Snap25-2A-GCaMP6s-D via intraductal infusion (5*1011 (Dose 2)). Four weeks post-injection animals were anesthetized, as described above and CG dissected and placed in glass bottom 35 μ m dish (Ibidi, # 81158) and incubated in HEPES-buffered solution (125 mM NaCl, 5.9 mMl KCl, 2.56 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 25 mM HEPES, 0.1% BSA [wt/vol.], 3mM D-glucose pH 7.4) at 37oC and 5% CO2, for 30 min. Imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope with a 10X (NA: 0.3). Briefly, Z-stacks of the whole CG was acquired at a temporal resolution of 10 s. CNO (20 μ M) was administered after 20 s and KCl stimulation (50mM) at 10 min was performed to confirm neuronal responsiveness. Image analysis was performed using FIJI. Briefly regions of interests (ROI) were selected for background, mCherry+ neurons and mCherry– neurons, and mean intensity was calculated for every ROI in each image. Calcium responses were quantified as fluorescence intensity normalized to baseline fluorescence.

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Fig. 1 | AAVs do not elicit apoptosis in transduced neurons.

Representative immunofluorescence images of cleaved caspase-3 (white), GFP (red) and DAPI (blue) in NG sections, from mice 3 days after intrapancreatic injection of PRV-GFP ($2*10^6$ vg) and 4 weeks after intrapancreatic injection of rAAV2retro-hSyn-GFP ($1*10^{11}$ vg). One study, 2 samples per group. Scale bars: 50 μ m.



Supplementary Fig. 2 I Assessment of colocalization of pancreas and liver-projecting neurons in the CG. Representative immunofluorescence images of CG from mice that received AAV8-hSyn-mCherry via intrapancreatic injection (mCherry+ pancreas-projecting neurons in red) and CT β via liver injection (CT β + liver-projecting neurons in white) N= 5 mice/group. Scale bars: 50 μ m. Right upper panel, quantification of percentage of pancreas-projecting neurons that colocalize with liver-projecting neurons and percentage of liver-projecting neurons that colocalize with pancreas-projecting neurons. Bottom right panel, number of pancreas-projecting neurons that colocalize with colocalize with liver-projecting neurons, liver-projecting neurons and liver-projecting neurons that colocalize with pancreas-projecting neurons. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.



Supplementary Fig. 3 | Assessment of AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry expression in ChAT-IRES-CRE mice in ganglia, gut, liver, spleen and CNS. a) Representative immunofluorescence images of CG, NG and DRG after intraductal infusion of AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gg)-mCherry (5*1011 vg) in ChAT-IRES-cre mice showing mCherry (red) and DAPI (blue). Scale bars: 50 µm. Lower panels: guantification of total mCherry+ cells (left) and as a percentage of DAPI+ cells (right). N= 3 mice/group. b) Maximum projection confocal images of iDISCO+ cleared pancreas demonstrating expression of mCherry+ pancreasinnervating neurons (red) within IP ganglia stained for NF200 (blue) Scale bars: 50 µm. Right panel: Quantification of mCherry+ expression as percentage of NF200+ intrapancreatic ganglia volume. N= 4 mice. c) mCherry+ expression (red) in duodenal and mesenteric innervation stained for Synapsin (blue) Scale bars: 50 μ m. Right panel: guantification of mCherry+ expression as percentage of total Synapsin+ volume. N = 3 mice. d) Representative images of mCherry (red) and DAPI (blue) in hindbrain, showing minimal viral expression of AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry in ChAT-IRES-cre mice. Scale bars: 100 µm. Right panel: guantification of mCherry+ cells as a percentage of DAPI+ cells (left) and as total number (right). N = 5 mice. e) Representative images of mCherry (red) and DAPI (blue) in spleen, showing minimal viral expression of AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry in ChAT-IRES-cre mice. Scale bars: 100 μ m. Right panel: guantification of expression of the mCherry+ cells as a percentage of DAPI+ cells (left) and as total number (right). N = 5 mice. f) Representative images of mCherry (red), synapsin (white) and DAPI (blue) in liver, showing minimal viral expression in liver cells (N= 4 mice) and minimal overlap with synapsin+ fibers of AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry in ChAT-IRES-cre mice (N= 5 mice). Scale bars: 100 µm. Right upper panel: guantification of expression of mCherry+ cells as a percentage of DAPI+ cells (left) and as total number (right). Lower right panel: quantification of overlap of mCherry+ and synapsin+ fibers. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.



Supplementary Fig. 4 I Assessment of AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry expression in ChAT-IRES-CRE mice in pancreatic islets and with neural markers in intrapancreatic ganglia. a) Representative confocal images of iDISCO+ cleared pancreas from ChAT-IRES-cre/AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry mice stained for insulin (INS, green), somatostatin (SST, blue), glucagon (white) showing no overlap with viral expression (mCherry, red). One study, 2 samples per group. Scale bars: 50 μ m. b) Representative confocal images of iDISCO+ cleared pancreas, stained for parasympathetic markers VAChT (magenta), Gastrin Release Peptide (GRP, blue) and Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide (VIP, white), showing co-expression with mCherry+ (red) neurons. Co-localization of neural markers with mCherry is indicated by asterisks. One study, 2 samples per group. Scale bars: 50 μ m.



Frame # (10s/frame)

Supplementary Fig. 5 I Activation of pancreas-projecting neurons expressing chemogenetic constructs by CNO. a) Representative confocal images of mCherry+ (red) intrapancreatic ganglia and cFOS (blue) in pancreas of CNO-treated ChAT-IRES-cre/AAV8-hSyn-DIO-mCherry mice (upper panel) and CNO-treated ChAT-IRES-cre/AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry mice (lower panel). Scale bar: 50 μ m. b) Quantification of cFOS+ expression in mCherry+ intrapancreatic ganglia of CNO-treated ChAT-IRES-cre/AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry (11 ganglia from 3 mice) and CNO-treated ChAT-IRES-cre/AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry (11 ganglia from 3 mice). cFOS volume expressed as percentage of mCherry+ volume of the ganglia. Two-tailed Mann-Whitney test, **p=0.005. c) Representative images of mCherry+ pancreas-projecting neurons in the CG from Snap25-2A-GCaMP6S mice, 4 weeks after intrapancreatic injection of AAV8-hSyn-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry, showing mCherry expression, basal fluorescence, response to CNO (20 μ M) and KCI (50 mM). 3 independent replicates Scale bar Scale bar: 50 μ m. d) Normalized Fluorescence Intensity (F/F₀) of mCherry+ neurons and mCherry- neurons in CG after CNO treatment (N=3 mice)

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1 I Quantification of total number of neurons per ganglia. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.

Ganglia	# Neurons
CG	1470.857 ± 556.654 (7)
L-NG	13332 ± 394.475 (9)
R-NG	994.8 ± 208.252 (8)
L-DRG10	1171.5 ± 187.044 (10)
L-DRG13	1269.286 ± 235.551 (10)
R-DRG10	1133.714 ± 231.999 (10)
R-DRG13	1365.143 ± 224.428 (9)
All NGs	1000.529 ± 319.882(17)
All DRGs	1042.769 ± 217.256 (39)

Supplementary Table 2 I Statistical details for Figs. 1–7.

Figure number and title	Statistical Details
Fig. 1 I Pancreas is innervated by neurons in coeliac, nodose, dorsal root and intrapancreatic ganglia.	One-way ANOVA was used for statistical analyses with multiple comparisons corrected by Tukey post-hoc test. e : left panel, $p = 0.6335$, $F = 0.725$; right panel, $p = 0.841$, $F = 0.4445$). p represents statistical significance and F represents the F-statistic. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM.
Fig. 2 I AAV serotypes selectively target pancreatic autonomic efferent and afferent nerves.	Panel c left: Kruskal-Wallis test between serotypes in CG, NG and DRG, $p = 0.001$, 0.002 and 0.003; $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 15.57$, 14.96 and 14.17 respectively. Multiple comparisons corrected by Dunn's multiple comparison test: CG: AAV9 vs AAV6 p=0.0033, AAV9 vs AAV6 p=0.024, AAV8 vs AAVrg p=0.031. NG: AAV9 vs AAV8 p=0.005, AAV8 vs AAVrg p=0.008. DRG: AAV9 vs AAV8 p=0.013, AAV8 vs AAVrg p=0.002. Panel c , right: Kruskal-Wallis test between serotypes in CG, NG and DRG, p = 0.001, 0.004 and 0.001, $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 17.04$, 13.29 and 15.66 respectively. Multiple comparisons corrected by Dunn's multiple comparison test: CG:AAV8 vs AAV6 **p=0.006 AAV8 vs AAVrg *p=0.012. NG: AAV9 vs AAV8 *p=0.01, AAV8 vs AAVrg *p=0.013. DRG: AAV9 vs AAV8 *p=0.01, AAV8 vs AAVrg *p=0.013. DRG: AAV9 vs AAVrg *p=0.021, AAV8 vs AAVrg *p=0.049, AAV6 vs AAVrg **p=0.009. Panel d : One-way ANOVA p = 0.468, F = 0.903 corrected using Tukey posthoc test. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.
Fig. 3 I Optimization of gene delivery	Statistical analyses between IP and ID delivery used two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test in: Panel b . For CG, L-NG, R-NG, L-DRG10, L-DRG13, R-DRG10, R-DRG13; P=0.057, 0.486, 0.487, 0.309, 0.885, 0.904, 0.334 respectively. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison test was used for dose response analyses. Panel d : p = 0.057 $\chi^{2}_{(3)}$ = 10.86, Panel f : p = 0.137, $\chi^{2}_{(3)}$ = 6.98, upper left, p = 0.117, $\chi^{2}_{(3)}$ = 7.39 upper right; p = 0.616, $\chi^{2}_{(3)}$ = 2.66 lower left, p = 0.628, $\chi^{2}_{(3)}$ = 2.60 lower right). Data are shown as mean ± SEM.

Fig.4 I Combined strategy for restricted gene expression in pancreatic innervation.	Kruskal-Wallis test was used for statistical analyses: c : left, n = 3 replicates/group, ***p<0.0001, $\chi^{2}_{(3)}$ = 248.5 using Dunn's multiple comparison test; right panel, n = 3 replicates/group ***p<0.0001, $\chi^{2}_{(3)}$ = 50.62 using Dunn's multiple comparison test). Two-tailed Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical analysis of mCherry+ cells in liver g : *p=0.029 (left), h : p=0.690 and i : p=0.184. Two-tailed unpaired t-test was used for mCherry+ cells in CG, p=0.5739 (g , right). Data are shown as mean ± SEM. Each <i>in vitro</i> study was performed 3 times with 3 technical replicates on each occasion.
Fig. 5 I Pancreas parasympathetic activation improves glucose control.	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test for panel b : p=0.007, F=2.825. **p=0.003(15'), **p=0.003(30'), **p=0.003(45'), *p=0.013(60'), **p=0.008(90'), **p=0.004(120'), panel c: p=0.134, F=1.631 panel d : p<0.0001, F=15.09. *p=0.018(0'), ***p=0.0004(15'), **** <p=0.0001(30'), (45'),="" ****<p="0.0001" ***p="0.0005(60'),<br">****p=0.0004(90'), *p=0.0432(120') panel e, left: p<0.0001, F=5.701. mCherry vs Gq: *p=0.0163(15'), **p=0.0072(30'), *p=0.0157(45'), *p=0.0168(90'), mCherry-Atropine vs Gq: *p=0.0175(15'), **p=0.0010(30'), **p=0.0027(45'), **p=0.0052(60'), *p=0.0208(90') panel f: p=0.216, F=1.417 and panel g: p=0.006, F=5.60. *p=0.045(0'), *p=0.013(15'). Mixed-Effect analysis with Sidak's multiple comparisons for: panel h: p=0.671, F=0.639. *p=0.028(15'). Panel i: p=0.419. Krustal- Wallis test for panel e, right; p=0.03, χ2(2) =13.64 with Dunn's multiple comparisons test. mCherry vs Gq **p=0.0026, mCherry-Atropine vs Gq *p=0.0253. Two-tailed Mann-Whitney test for AUC for panel b, right: ***p<0.0001, panel c, right: p=0.0653. Two-tailed unpaired t-test for panel d, right: ***p<0.0001. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.</p=0.0001(30'),>
Fig. 6 I Effects of ablation of parasympathetic pancreatic innervation.	Two-tailed Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical analyses in panel b : *p=0.016 and panel c : left, p= 0.904, center, p=0.167, right, p= 0.142. Two-way ANOVA was used for statistical analyses in panel d : p=0.753, F=0.568. panel g : p=0.917, F=0.330. panel h: p=0.241, F= 1.389. All analyses were corrected by Sidak's multiple comparisons test. Two-tailed Paired t-test was used for statistical analysis in panel e : left p= 0.112, right *p= 0.032. Mixed-Effect analysis was used for statistical analysis corrected by Sidak's multiple comparisons for panel i : p=0.917, F=0.166. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.
Fig. 7 I Pancreas sympathetic activation impairs glucose homeostasis	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison test was used for statistical analyses in panel c : $p=0.023$, $F=2.418$. panel d: $p=0.040$, $F=2.18$, *p=0.013 (30') and *p=0.030 (45'), panel e : , p=0.684, F=0.688. panel f: $p=0.475$, F=0.944. Mixed-Effect analysis with Sidak's multiple comparison test was used for panel g : $p=0.391$, F=1.021 and h : $p=0.506$, F= 0.713. Two-tailed unpaired t-test was used in panel c , right: $p=0.629$. panel d , right: *p=0.020, panel e , right: $p=0.263$ and panel f , right: $p=0.1833$ Data are shown as mean ± SEM.

Supplementary Table 3. Statistical details for Extended Data Figs. 1–4.

Figure number and title	Statistical Details
Extended Data Fig. 1 I Distribution of CTβ+ pancreas– innervating neurons across ganglia	Two-tailed Mann-Whitney test, n = 3 mice, 402 CT β + pancreas- innervating neurons for CG, 304 CT β + pancreas-innervating neurons for L-NG, 251 CT β + pancreas-innervating neurons for R- NG, 264 CT β + pancreas-innervating neurons for L-DRG, 141 CT β + pancreas-innervating neurons for R-DRG: L-NG vs. R-NG, *****p<0.0001, L-DRGs vs. R-DRGs, **p=0.001). Data are shown as mean ± SEM.
Extended Data Fig 2. I Off-target expression after intrapancreatic delivery of AAV	Kruskal-Wallis test, corrected using Dunn's multiple comparison test. b : lower panel, p = 0.521, $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 2.449$, c: lower panel, p = 0.475, $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 2.744$, d : upper panel, p = 0.534, $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 2.188$, lower panel, p = 0.511, $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 2.310$, e : upper panel p = 0.002, $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 14.45$ *p=0.020 AAV9 vs AAVrg, *p=0.033 AAV8 vs AAVrg; lower panel p = 0.002, $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 14.69$, f : upper panel p = 0.034, $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 8.67$; *p=0.050 AAV8 vs AAV6, lower panel p = 0.085, $\chi^{2}_{(3)} = 6.67$. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.
Extended Data Fig 3. I Neuronal specific promoters for gene delivery into pancreatic innervation.	One-way ANOVA corrected by Tukey's multiple comparison test used for panel c , left panel: HEK293T cells, p < 0.0001, F = 108.1. JeT vs hSyn ****p<0.0001, JeT vs NSE *****p<0.0001, N2A cells, p < 0.0001, F = 50.90. JeT vs hSyn ****p<0.0001, JeT vs NSE ****p<0.0001, hSyn vs NSE **p=0.009. Panel c , right: HEK293T cells, p < 0.0001, N2A cells, p < 0.0001, F = 38.86. JeT vs hSyn ****p<0.0001, hSyn vs NSE **p=0.002. Panel d , right: HEK293T cells, p < 0.0001, F = 95.12. JeT vs hSyn ****p<0.0001, JeT vs NSE ****p<0.0001, N2A cells, p < 0.0001, F = 50.90. JeT vs hSyn ****p<0.0001, N2A cells, p < 0.0001, F = 50.90. JeT vs hSyn ****p<0.0001, JeT vs NSE ****p<0.0001, hSyn vs NSE**p=0.002. Krustal-Wallis corrected by Dunn's multiple comparison test used for panel d , left: HEK293T cells, p = 0.0037, $\chi^2_{(2)}$ = 11.19. JeTvs.hSyn **p=0.003, JeTvs.NSE *p=0.044, N2A cells, p = 0.021, $\chi^2_{(2)}$ = 7.776. JeTvs.hSyn *p=0.019 Two-tailed Mann-Whitney test was used for panels e and f . Data are shown as mean ± SEM.
Extended Data Fig 4. I CNO does not affect GTT in wild-type (WT) mice and female ChAT-IRES- cre/AAV8-Syn-DIO-hM3D(Gq)- mCherry.	Two-way ANOVA corrected by Sidak's multiple comparisons tests a : p=0.811, F=0.530. b : p<0.001, F=4.552. (*p=0.022(15'), *p=0.010(30'), *p=0.0145(45'), *p=0.0132(90') c : p=0.130, F =1.667. d : p=0.351, F=1.137. e : p=0.155, F=1.464. f : p=0.674, F=0.698. g : p=0.025, F= 2.483. h : p=0.015, F=52.729. i : p=0.993, F=0.122. Mixed-Effect analysis with Sidak's multiple comparisons m : p=0.018, F=4.977. n : p=0.994, F=1.050. o : p=0.677, F=0.868. Two-tailed Mann-Whitney test (right b : **p=0.001, right d : p=0.104). Two-tailed Unpaired t-test (right a : p=0.573. c : p=0.913. j : p=0.407. k : p=0.114. l : p=0.375. Data are shown as mean ± SEM

Captions for the Supplementary Videos

Supplementary Video 1 I Lightsheet microscopy images of mouse pancreatic samples cleared with iDISCO+ and immunostained for insulin (blue) and vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VAChT, white) demonstrating dense parasympathetic innervation and intrapancreatic ganglia. (https://drive.google.com/file/d/117DauzJ95DiU0xp6eLpHt-SHo_1fpa2J/view?usp=sharing)

Supplementary Video 2 I Lightsheet microscopy images of mouse pancreatic samples cleared with iDISCO+ and stained for insulin (blue) and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH, white) demonstrating dense catecholaminergic innervation of the pancreas.

(https://drive.google.com/file/d/1KDvqx6sOnycew_FMH40SuqOBoateX491/view?usp=sharing)

Supplementary Video 3 I Confocal microscopy images of pancreas-innervating neurons in CG cleared with iDISCO+ demonstrating 3D distribution of pancreas-projecting neurons and the approach used for segmentation and assessment of neural volume using Imaris with neurons color-coded based on their volumes.