Supplementary Material

Reasons	Placebo N _{total} , N _{DOs} (%)	Oxycodone N _{total} , N _{DOs} (%)	Oxymorphone N _{total} , N _{DOs} (%)	Tramadol N _{total} , N _{DOs} (%)
AEs	2078, 121 (5.82)	1174, 315 (26.8)	674, 274 (40.7)	3175, 596 (18.8)
LoE	1959, 419 (21.4)	999, 79 (7.91)	674, 42 (6.23)	2814, 321 (11.4)
TDOs	2283, 752 (32.9)	1174, 457 (38.7)	674, 333 (49.4)	3990, 1494 (37.5)

Table S1 Reasons for patient DOs as reported in the opioid clinical trials

 N_{total} : the total number of patients involved in the clinical trials. N_{DOS} : the total number of patients left (dropped out) the clinical trials.

%: the percentage of DOs.

Model #	Models	OF values	Δ OF
1	Sigmoidal E _{max} model with proportional residual Error	212.103	-
2	Model #1 with adding proportional interindividual variability of E_{max} and ED_{50}	212.103	0
3	Model #1 with adding proportional interindividual variability of PLC	203.913	-8.19
4	Model #3 with adding additive interindividual variability of PLC instead of proportional	203.204	-0.709
5	Model #3 with \sqrt{N}	237.000	33.087
6	Model #3 with adding additive residual Error instead of proportional	202.049	-1.864
7	Model #3 with combined both additive and proportional residual Error	202.049	-1.864

N = number of patients.

Model #	Models	OF values	Δ OF
1	Basic model with proportional residual Error	531.558	-
2	Model #1 with adding proportional interindividual variability of PLC	448.427	-83.131
3	Model #2 with adding proportional interindividual variability of PLC ₅₀	432.990	-15.437
4	Model #3 with adding proportional interindividual variability of E_{max} and ED_{50}	446.187	13.197
5	Model #3 with \sqrt{N}	888.612	455.622
6	Model #3 with adding additive residual Error instead of proportional	446.076	12.086
7	Model #3 with combined both additive and proportional residual Error	432.991	0.001

Table S3 List of tested models with their OF values for efficacy-time course analysis

N = number of patients.

Parameter	Value	%RSE	95% CI
E _{max} (mm)	-31.7	48.0	(-43.4, -19.3)
PLC (mm)	-22.3	8.07	(-25.8, -18.8)
PLC ₅₀ (week)	1.47	25.7	(0.729, 2.21)
ω ² of PLC	0.0176	54.9	(-0.0013, 0.0366)
ω ² of PLC ₅₀	0.982	42.0	(0.174, 1.79)
σ^2	0.0225	26.4	(0.0109, 0.0341)

Table S4 Estimated parameters of efficacy-time course analysis

%RSE = relative standard error.

95% CI = 95% confidence interval estimated by PDx-Pop[®].

Table S5 List of tested models with their OF values for Safety analysis

a) Gastrointestinal system

		Gastrointestinal							
Model #	Models	constipatio n	∆OF	Nausea	∆OF	vomiting	∆OF		
1	Basic model	7237.132	-	8221.171	-	4476.468	-		
2	Model #1 + η of intercept	7044.276	-192.856	7919.521	-301.65	4347.7	-128.768		
3	Model #2 + η of slope	7044.277	0.001	7909.961	-9.56	4333.079	-14.621		

b) Central nervous system

		Central nervous							
Model #	Models	Dizziness	∆OF	headache	Δ OF	Somnolence	∆OF		
1	Basic model	6151.785	-	4621.389	-	5026.156	-		
2	Model #1 + η of intercept	6034.619	-117.166	4509.463	-111.926	4831.77	-194.386		
3	Model #2 + n of slope	6033.195	-1.424	4497.848	-11.615	4831.176	-0.594		

c) Locomotor, respiratory, and integumentary systems

		Locomotor		Respiratory		Integumentary	
Model #	Models	Fatigue	Δ OF	Xerostomia	Δ OF	Pruritus	Δ OF
1	Basic model	1773.264	-	2224.401	-	3244.812	-
2	Model #1 + η of intercept	1771.862	-1.402	2124.902	-99.499	3195.039	-49.773
3	Model #2 + n of slope	1771.352	-0.51	2124.903	0.001	3195.041	0.002

Model #	Models	AEs	$\Delta \mathbf{OF}$	LoE	$\Delta \mathbf{OF}$
1	Basic model	9172.11	-	4874.99	-
2	Model #1 + η of intercept	9094.207	-77.903	4598.854	-267.136
3	Model #2 + η of slope	9128.149	33.942	4595.126	-3.728
	<u> </u>				

Table S6 List of tested models with their OF values for tolerability analysis

DO reason	Intercept	ω ² of intercept —	Slope					
	Intercept		Oxycodone	Oxymorphone	Tramadol			
AEs	6.84	0.0241	0.0399	0.0328	0.00445			
%RSE	4.78%	27.1%	10.4%	14.5%	14.1%			
95% CI	(5.43, 8.58)	(0.0113, 0.0369)	(0.0317, 0.0481)	(0.0235, 0.0421)	(0.00322, 0.00568)			
LoE	11.7	0.705	-0.0319	-0.0226	-0.00487			
%RSE	26.4%	50.1%	20.4%	45.1%	17.5%			
95% CI	(4.41, 27.3)	(0.0131, 1.40)	(-0.04477, -0.0191)	(-0.0426, -0.00261)	(-0.00654, -0.00320)			

Table S7 Estimated model parameters of tolerability analysis

%RSE = relative standard error.

95% CI = 95% confidence interval estimated by PDx-Pop[®].

Table S8	Summary	of predicted	dropout rates	at drug recommende	d dose range
	<i>.</i>	1	1	0	U

DO reason –	Oxycodone		Oxymorphone		Tramadol	
	20–160 mg/day	ED ₅₀ (47.0)	10–80 mg/day	ED ₅₀ (83.9)	100–300 mg/day	ED ₅₀ (247)
AEs	14.0 - 97.8 %	32.3 %	9.24-50.3%	53.5 %	10.3–21.8 %	18.1%
LoE	6.53 - 0.0800 %	2.87 %	9.54-2.12%	1.94 %	7.51-2.97 %	3.81%

Fig. S1 Flowchart of the study selection process



Fig. S2 A schematic drawing of a network diagram for the treatments included in the MBMA. (a) Primary efficacy endpoint analysis; (b) Safety analysis. Each treatment is represented by a node. When direct trial evidence exists, treatments are joined by a line where the width of the line is proportional to number of comparisons. The figures on each line indicate the number of treatment arms for each comparison while n refers to the total number of clinical studies involved in the MBMA





Fig. S3 Visual goodness-of-fit plots of the selected model for primary efficacy endpoint analysis



Fig. S4 Visual goodness-of-fit plots of the selected model for efficacy-time course analysis

Fig. S5 Efficacy-time course analysis of tested doses in the clinical trials. Symbols represent the observed data over time course whilst the solid curves represent the fit at given doses (using population predicted values). PLC_{50} is represented by the dashed line



Fig. S6 Incidence of the dropouts (DOs) associated with opioid compounds. The black circles represent the observed data whilst the best fitting analysis is represented by the solid line (curve). The percentage of DOs at daily dose = 0 represents the placebo arm in each study

