

Supplementary Material

Table.1 Categorisation of NAC response based on conventional assessment

Response status		Pathological	Clinical (Endoscopic, and or EUA)	Radiological (CT, and or MRI)
Response	Complete	pCR (T0)	cCR	rCR
	Partial	pPR ($\leq T1$)	cPR	rPR
Poor response	Poor	$\geq T2$	No response, or evidence of clinical progression	PD, or persistent $\geq T2$

Abbreviations: pCR pathological complete response, pPR pathological partial response, cCR clinical complete response, rCR radiological complete response, rPR radiological partial response, PD disease progression

Supplementary Material

Table 2 . Summary of imaging protocol used

*Parameter			
1.5T MRI system	Intera; Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands	Avanto; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany	Aera; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany
Pulse sequence	single- shot, echo planar imaging	single- shot, echo planar imaging	single- shot, echo planar imaging
TE/TR (ms)	62/3637	72/3200	72/4400
Number of averages	3	4	3
FOV (mm)	400x400	219x178	219x184
FOV technique	fFOV	sFOV	sFOV
Acquisition matrix	112x110	138x112	138x116
Reconstruction matrix	256x256	276x224	138x116
Slice thickness (mm)	7	5	5
Inter slice thickness (distance factor)	0.7	0	0
Parallel imaging	SENSE	ISOTROPIC	GRAPPA
Orientation	Axial	Axial	Axial
Phase encode direction	AP	AP	AP
Receiver bandwidth (Hz per pixel)	1880	1725	1295
b values (s/mm ²)	0, 50, 100, 250, 500 and 750	0, 50, 100, 250, 500 and 750	0, 50, 100, 250, 500 and 750
Total number and directions of diffusion sensitising gradients	Trace-weighted images 3	Trace-weighted images 3	Trace-weighted images 3
Fat suppression	SPAIR	SPAIR	SPAIR

*The total MR acquisition protocol is 35 minutes of which the DWI acquisition time was approximately 7 minutes.

Abbreviations T, Tesla field strength; TE Time to echo; TR repetition time; FOV field of view

Supplementary Material

Table 3. ADC histogram parameters in responders and non-responders to neo-adjuvant chemotherapy

Parameter	Response (n=38)						p value*	Poor response (n=10)						p value*
	Baseline ADC			Post NAC ADC				Median	Min	Max	Median	Min	Max	
ADC_{all}														
Mean	1.14	0.85	2.04	1.74	1.07	3.18	<0.0001	1.22	1.07	1.56	1.40	0.93	1.83	0.037
10 th percentile	0.93	0.49	1.59	1.03	0.00	2.19	0.058	0.85	0.75	1.11	1.04	0.68	1.30	0.114
25 th percentile	1.11	0.62	1.85	1.23	0.00	2.42	0.001	0.98	0.84	1.29	1.15	0.78	1.40	0.074
50 th percentile	1.32	0.82	2.00	1.64	1.05	3.14	<0.0001	1.14	0.97	1.47	1.28	0.90	1.54	0.037
75 th percentile	1.60	0.92	2.28	2.19	1.26	4.01	<0.0001	1.35	1.15	1.75	1.52	1.04	2.24	0.028
90 th percentile	1.97	1.05	2.97	2.86	1.63	5.68	<0.0001	1.79	1.50	2.20	2.05	1.19	2.74	0.047
Skew	1.36	-0.25	11.80	0.93	-0.83	4.09	0.005	1.85	1.11	2.86	1.69	1.05	9.29	0.959
Kurtosis	3.20	-0.93	194.78	1.16	-1.39	21.61	0.002	5.21	1.77	13.52	4.71	0.84	1.45	0.575
ADC_{b100}														
Mean	1.23	0.78	1.86	1.67	0.93	2.91	<0.0001	1.09	1.00	1.40	1.25	0.78	1.60	0.059
10 th percentile	0.82	0.33	1.34	0.90	0.00	2.05	0.126	0.74	0.64	1.05	0.91	0.54	1.27	0.093
25 th percentile	0.98	0.56	1.58	1.11	0.00	2.32	0.001	0.88	0.79	1.20	1.04	0.65	1.35	0.059
50 th percentile	1.20	0.73	1.90	1.57	0.83	2.79	<0.0001	1.03	0.89	1.38	1.18	0.78	1.50	0.037
75 th percentile	1.43	0.86	2.29	2.12	1.27	3.33	<0.0001	1.24	1.05	1.57	1.40	0.91	1.79	0.022
90 th percentile	1.77	0.99	2.66	2.54	1.52	4.39	<0.0001	1.55	1.38	1.86	1.81	1.05	2.30	0.047
Skew	0.79	-0.60	4.77	0.81	0.05	2.12	0.744	1.40	0.85	3.0	1.31	0.52	2.85	0.721
Kurtosis	1.30	-0.93	54.8	0.56	-1.53	6.27	0.112	3.30	1.61	12.42	2.64	0.35	11.99	0.878

*Wilcoxon signed rank test, p values refer to comparison between baseline pre chemotherapy and post chemotherapy in responding and non-responding groups.

Mean and percentiles ADC are given in $\times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$. Skew and kurtosis are absolute values

Supplementary Material

Table 4. ROC analysis of ADC parameters

Parameter	Derived from ADC_{all} values				Derived from $\text{ADC}_{\text{b}100}$			
	AUC	*p value	95%CI		AUC	*p value	95%CI	
Baseline ADC								
Mean	0.56	0.57	0.39	0.74	0.55	0.66	0.37	0.73
10 th percentile	0.46	0.71	0.26	0.66	0.47	0.78	0.27	0.67
25 th percentile	0.48	0.85	0.29	0.67	0.49	0.93	0.30	0.68
50 th percentile	0.59	0.44	0.42	0.76	0.58	0.47	0.41	0.76
75 th percentile	0.61	0.35	0.44	0.77	0.59	0.45	0.41	0.77
90 th percentile	0.60	0.40	0.42	0.77	0.62	0.30	0.45	0.78
Skew	0.40	0.37	0.22	0.57	0.34	0.16	0.18	0.50
Kurtosis	0.37	0.25	0.20	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.16	0.46
Post NAC ADC								
Mean	0.74	0.04	0.58	0.90	0.76	0.02	0.61	0.90
10 th percentile	0.46	0.71	0.28	0.63	0.46	0.75	0.28	0.65
25 th percentile	0.60	0.38	0.43	0.77	0.58	0.49	0.40	0.76
50 th percentile	0.75	0.03	0.59	0.90	0.76	0.02	0.62	0.91
75 th percentile	0.80	0.01	0.65	0.96	0.80	0.01	0.66	0.94
90 th percentile	0.75	0.03	0.60	0.89	0.75	0.03	0.60	0.90
Skew	0.19	0.01	0.05	0.32	0.29	0.07	0.13	0.45
Kurtosis	0.26	0.03	0.08	0.43	0.29	0.06	0.11	0.46
Absolute ADC change								
Mean	0.74	0.04	0.58	0.90	0.78	0.02	0.62	0.93
10 th percentile	0.50	0.98	0.32	0.69	0.55	0.68	0.38	0.72
25 th percentile	0.67	0.13	0.51	0.84	0.62	0.29	0.45	0.80
50 th percentile	0.78	0.01	0.63	0.93	0.75	0.03	0.59	0.90
75 th percentile	0.79	0.01	0.65	0.94	0.82	0.01	0.69	0.95
90 th percentile	0.74	0.04	0.58	0.89	0.74	0.04	0.59	0.89
Skew	0.29	0.06	0.12	0.46	0.45	0.68	0.29	0.62
Kurtosis	0.33	0.13	0.10	0.56	0.42	0.49	0.23	0.62
Relative percentage ADC change								
Mean	0.73	0.04	0.57	0.89	0.75	0.03	0.58	0.92
10 th percentile	0.51	0.95	0.32	0.69	0.55	0.66	0.38	0.72
25 th percentile	0.67	0.14	0.50	0.84	0.63	0.26	0.45	0.80
50 th percentile	0.77	0.02	0.62	0.92	0.73	0.05	0.55	0.90
75 th percentile	0.79	0.01	0.64	0.94	0.79	0.01	0.65	0.94
90 th percentile	0.71	0.06	0.55	0.87	0.71	0.07	0.55	0.88
Skew	0.27	0.04	0.11	0.42	0.31	0.10	0.16	0.47
Kurtosis	0.29	0.07	0.11	0.47	0.30	0.09	0.15	0.46

*Significant results are those with AUC >0.6 p<0.05

Supplementary Material

Table 5. Time to event outcomes according to the derived imaging biomarkers

Imaging biomarker	Time to event analysis			
	Overall survival	Bladder cancer specific survival	Progression free survival	Time to cystectomy
$\Delta\text{ADC}_{\text{all}}$ mean $>0.18 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$	HR 0.71 (95% CI 0.34-1.49) p=0.37	HR 0.43 (95% CI 0.14-1.34) p=1.15	HR 0.58 (95% CI 0.20-1.69) p=0.32	HR 0.20 (95% CI 0.08-0.51) p=0.0008
$\Delta\text{ADC}_{\text{b}100}$ mean $>0.19 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$	HR 0.42 (95% CI 0.20-0.89) p= 0.02	HR 0.27 (95% CI 0.08-0.84) p=0.02	HR 0.26 (95% CI 0.09-0.79) p=0.017	HR 0.36 (95% CI 0.15-0.89) p=0.027
% $\Delta\text{ADC}_{\text{all}}$ mean $>18.0\%$	HR 0.62 (95% CI 0.31-1.23) p= 0.17	HR 0.35 (95% CI 0.12-1.02) p=0.05	HR 0.31 (95% CI 0.11-0.86) p=0.024	HR 0.35 (95% CI 0.15-0.83) p=0.017
% $\Delta\text{ADC}_{\text{b}100}$ mean $>18.1\%$	HR 0.53 (95% CI 0.27-1.08) p=0.08	HR 0.25 (95% CI 0.09-0.74) p=0.01	HR 0.21 (95% CI 0.07-0.60) p= 0.004	HR 0.28 (95% CI 0.12-0.67) p=0.004
$\Delta\text{ADC}_{\text{all}}$ at the 75 th percentile $>0.17 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$	HR 0.047 (95% CI 0.20-1.13) p=0.09	HR 0.46 (95% CI 0.12-1.84) p=0.27	HR 0.30 (95% CI 0.084-1.04) p=0.06	HR 0.25 (95% CI 0.09-0.72) p=0.010
$\Delta\text{ADC}_{\text{b}100}$ at the 75 th percentile $>0.22 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$	HR 0.31 (95% CI 0.14-0.69) p=0.004	HR 0.37 (95% CI 0.11-1.27) p=0.11	HR 0.13 (95% CI 0.04-0.40) p=0.0005	HR 0.29 (95% CI 0.11-0.76) p=0.012
% $\Delta\text{ADC}_{\text{all}}$ at the 75 th percentile $>13.4\%$	HR 0.54 (95% CI 0.24-1.19) p=0.12	HR 0.48 (95% CI 0.14-1.68) p=0.25	HR 0.28 (95% CI 0.08-0.91) p=0.035	HR 0.53 (95% CI 0.21-1.33) p=0.018
% $\Delta\text{ADC}_{\text{b}100}$ at the 75 th percentile $>15.5\%$	HR 0.40 (95% CI 0.19-0.86) p=0.017	HR 0.26 (95% CI 0.08-0.82) p=0.02	HR 0.16 (95% CI 0.05-0.48) p=0.0012	HR 0.19 (95% CI 0.07-0.47) p=0.0004

Supplementary Material

Comparison of ADC calculation by vendor specific MRI

In this study 54.2% (26/48) of baseline MRI scans were performed on the Intera system, 39.6% (19/48) on the Aera system and 6.3% (3/48) on the Avanto system. 93.8% (45/48) patients had post NAC MRI performed on the same scanner i.e only 3 patients had post NAC MRI acquired on a different scanner during the follow-up period.

The ADC median values and pair-wise comparison by MRI system at baseline, post NAC, and Δ ADC is given in supplementary material Table 6. The ADC_{all} mean and ADC_{b100} mean at baseline and post NAC were statistically significant between the Intera and Aera groups on Kruskal-Wallis Test, adjusted by Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. When Δ ADC distribution was reviewed, no significant difference was seen between the scanners. Pearson Chi squared analysis demonstrated the distribution of NAC response status was not significantly different between the scanners and is unlikely to be a confounding factor.

Scanner switching for individual patients was avoided wherever possible. Individual patients therefore provide internal control of this variable when Δ ADC is considered and that the changes seen with response are large enough to mitigate any scanner differences. However, when absolute Δ ADC is summarised between different machines an error is likely to be introduced, as the degree of change between machines is different although not significant.

Supplementary Material

Table 6. Comparison of ADC between scanners used in the study

MRI system-baseline (number of patients)	Baseline ADC					
	ADC _{all} mean $\times 10^{-3}$ mm ² /s		ADC _{b100} mean $\times 10^{-3}$ mm ² /s		median	range
	median	range	median	range		
Intera (26)	1.52	0.98 - 2.04	1.35	0.80 - 1.86		
Aera (19)	1.21	0.85 - 1.68	1.09	0.78 - 1.50		
Avanto (3)	1.04	1.01 - 1.43	0.97	0.79 - 1.24		
	Pair-wise comparison (adjusted significance)* (p value)					
Intera-aera	0.033		0.023			
Intera-avanto	0.121		0.113			
Aera-avanto	1.00		1.00			
MRI system- post NAC (number of patients)	Post NAC					
	ADC _{all} mean $\times 10^{-3}$ mm ² /s		ADC _{b100} mean $\times 10^{-3}$ mm ² /s		median	range
	median	range	median	range		
Intera (25)	1.52	0.98 - 2.04	1.35	0.80 - 1.86		
Aera (22)	1.21	0.85 - 1.68	1.09	0.78 - 1.50		
Avanto (1)	1.04	1.01 - 1.43	0.97	0.79 - 1.24		
	Pair-wise comparison (adjusted significance)* (p value)					
Intera-aera	0.028		0.022			
Intera-avanto	1.00		1.00			
Aera-avanto	1.00		1.00			
MRI baseline system (number of patients)	Absolute ADC change					
	ADC _{all} mean $\times 10^{-3}$ mm ² /s		ADC _{b100} mean $\times 10^{-3}$ mm ² /s		median	range
	median	range	median	range		
Intera (26)	0.36	-0.44 - 1.93	0.30	-0.21 - 1.41		
Aera (19)	0.17	-0.02 - 1.04	0.16	-0.02 - 1.00		
Avanto (3)	0.36	-0.12 - 0.84	0.47	-0.14 - 0.84		
	Significance** (p value)					
Intera-aera	0.157		0.311			
Intera-avanto						
Aera-avanto						

* Kruskal-Wallis Test, adjusted by Bonferroni correction for multiple testing

** multiple comparisons not performed because no significant difference across samples i.e. distribution of absolute ADC change is same across all categories