Aquila_stLFR: diploid genome assembly based structural variant calling package for stLFR linked-reads

Supplemental Material

Hybrid assembly of stLFR and 10X linked-reads and SV detection from the hybrid mode of Aquila_stLFR

We calculated the parameters of the stLFR library as follows: C = 48, $C_R = 0.2X$, $C_F = 237.6X$, $\mu_{FL} = 30.1kb$ (Supplementary Table 2). *C* represents raw coverage, C_R represents average coverage of short reads per fragment, C_F represents the average physical coverage of the genome by long DNA fragments, and μ_{FL} represents mean unweighted DNA fragment length. By comparing the stLFR library parameters with those of the 10X ones in Supplementary Table 2 (C = 93, $C_R = 0.12X$, $C_F = 760.0X$, $\mu_{FL} = 44.8kb$), low μ_{FL} and raw coverage *C* from stLFR could be responsible for the lower contiguity of stLFR assemblies (N50 22.4kb for stLFR vs. N50 96.4kb for 10X linked-reads in Supplementary Table 2). Our recent studies (Zhang et al., 2019, 2020) also suggest that the optimal raw coverage of 10X linked-reads for diploid assembly and SV detection from assemblies is at least 56X and the quality of diploid assembly benefits from longer average fragment length. Furthermore, the stLFR library used a shorter, 100*bp* length of paired short reads, which was a disadvantage for local assembly compared to the 150*bp* length of paired short reads used by 10x linked-reads sequencing.

To increase the raw coverage C and μ_{FL} , we used the hybrid mode in Aquila_stLFR to assemble both stLFR and 10X linked-reads (GiAB NA24385 stLFR library + NA24385 10X linked-reads library 5 in Zhou et al., 2021). Both raw coverage C and C_F increased almost linearly by the combination of the stLFR and 10X libraries (Supplementary Table 2). Mean fragment length μ_{FI} also increased compared to the stLFR library. Hybrid assembly further improved the continuity of diploid contigs (N50) compared to both stLFR and 10X linked-reads libraries (Supplementary Table 2), and the improvement was more significant for the stLFR library. We also saw that for SV detection, the F1 score of the hybrid mode increased by 12.4%, 23.3%, and 27.7% respectively for three different sizes of deletions compared to the stLFR library (Supplementary Table 3), and 20.7% and 4.78% for two different sizes of insertions (Supplementary Table 4). Compared to the 10X library, the hybrid mode increased 1.7%, 0.1% and 4.3% respectively for three different sizes of deletions (Supplementary Table 3), and 0.8% and 0.5% for two different sizes of insertions (Supplementary Table 4). The hybrid mode could further remove more false positive SVs to improve the overall performance for 10X linked-reads. In conclusion, the hybrid mode outperformed both stLFR and 10X linked-reads libraries, and the improvement was most significant for stLFR linked-reads by increasing both recall and precision. The overall F1 score also improved by filtering more false positives relative to 10X linked-reads.

Our study provides a guideline for future stLFR library preparation to achieve significant improvement in diploid assembly and SV detection.



Variation Module

Supplemental Figure 1: Pipeline of Aquila_stLFR, a reference-assisted diploid-resolved genome assembly for stLFR. Input files: FASTQ file, BAM file and VCF file. a: Bwa-mem; b: FreeBayes; c: SPAdes; d: minimap2 and paftools.

@CL100066606L2C016R059_503217 BX:Z:540_839_548
ΑΑΑΑCACACTTTTATTTTATTTTATTTTATTTTATTTTA
+
A@;, <eeee<6b=eedafeeec@fece8de@bb=ecccafba-e?abd>BEF3EE=@332E@EB>E.EABE:D=EDAA6ECFEBE=AEEFFB@EBE?>E9</eeee<6b=eedafeeec@fece8de@bb=ecccafba-e?abd>
@CL100066606L2C016R059_503217 BX:Z:540_839_548
CCTGTAGTCCCAGCTACTCAGGAGGCTGAGGCAGGAGAATGGCGTGAACCCAGGAGACAGAGCTTGCAGTGAGCTGAGATAGCGTCACTGCACTCCAGCC
+
EEFFE <fedefffefeeef?eeaf8ffd:?efefead2d6<efeff@ffeefed?eaedf3dffffecffe,effafefdcfeffdcffcdfffd=<<a< td=""></fedefffefeeef?eeaf8ffd:?efefead2d6<efeff@ffeefed?eaedf3dffffecffe,effafefdcfeffdcffcdfffd=<<a<>
@CL100066606L2C016R091_430379 BX:Z:540_839_548
CTCACCCCTTCTACCATGTGAGGACGCAGCAAGAAGTCACCTTCTATAAATCAGGAAGAGATCGCTAACCATCCCCTGTATCTGCTAGTGCCTGGATCTT
+
F5F>EFFFD*FA <fed;f>DCFF==FFDEFFFA=F8FFFF:EFAE4E-0<7<?FA<FBF'@AFF3B/=FA@FFF<EF(ECF8FF5FFAFE8@FF?DF-=</td></fed;f>
@CL100066606L2C016R091_430379 BX:Z:540_839_548
CATATAACCTCACCCACATAGAGAAATAAAATAACTAGGATCCAGATGTTCCTGCATAAACCCTCTTGATTCTTACCCATTCACATTCTCCCAGAAATAAC
+
CFDEAB6FDFEEFDE <f>4AE4=+4@FBD;:BE>EE;EE29AF:F1DF5/EE8'E;6<5%CEECBB.F0>3EBBFB;F>A3E?F;C.8<bf2&&@9;e,e< td=""></bf2&&@9;e,e<></f>
@CL100066606L2C017R026_292022 BX:Z:540_839_548
CCTTCACTTTCAGGTTTTAATGTATTCAACTCATTTGGATAAATACCAAGGAGCACAGCCTCTTCTTTTTATTATTGAGCAATCTCAAATGCATCTCTG
+
FEEEFF.EF <fffdeef@e@@effcbfd?eeecedafbfcd@feff?f:e>?FEFD>3EFCCE455FDCE?F9DEE>D8:EF8DEFEEFF=6FEFDE</fffdeef@e@@effcbfd?eeecedafbfcd@feff?f:e>
@CL100066606L2C017R026_292022 BX:Z:540_839_548
CCCTCCTTTGGGAGATGCTGCTCTTGAAGTAGAAGAAAAGTGCTAACTGGAAATTCTTTGAAACCTCACTTTCTCATTGTCAGCTGGTCAAAAGAAAACA
+
FFFF>EFFFFDFFFFFFFFFFFFFEFEE:EFFFFCFFDFFFF@FFFEC56EFFFDDF>9=FFFFFFE@ <ff'e>FBFCFFDGFE,F/@@E=D4EFF</ff'e>

Supplemental Figure 2: A screen shot of stLFR fastq reads, it shows three pairs of short reads. Before performing reads alignment by bwa-mem with "-C" flag, add barcode "BX:Z:barcode" at the header of each read.

CL200047468L1C006R038_39389	147	chr1	9997	0	100M	=	10013	-84	CAGAT	AACCCT	AACCCT	TAACCCT	AACCCT	AACCCTA	ACCCT
AACCCTAACCCTAACCCTAACCCTAACCCTA	ACCCTAAC	CCTAACCCI	TAACCCTA	ACCC	9CFEFGF	AFG7FGFE	FEGFDFFG	6E@FFG?FF	FFGDG	FFFFFF	FFFGEF	FFFFGF	EFFGG@	FF@GGFF	FFGGF
FF?FGDFFGGFFFFFEGFG;FFFA <ff< td=""><td>NM:i:1</td><td>MD:Z:1C9</td><td>98</td><td>AS:i:98</td><td>XS:i:96</td><td>BX:Z:58</td><td>6_902_11</td><td>36</td><td>RG:Z:</td><td>12878:</td><td>Librar</td><td>yNotSp</td><td>ecifie</td><td>d:1:unk</td><td>nown_</td></ff<>	NM:i:1	MD:Z:1C9	98	AS:i:98	XS:i:96	BX:Z:58	6_902_11	36	RG:Z:	12878:	Librar	yNotSp	ecifie	d:1:unk	nown_
fc:0-43F6A2D2															
CL200047469L1C011R060_87815	147	chr1	9997	0	100M		10013	-84	CAGAT	AACCCT	AACCCT	TAACCCT	AACCCT	AACCCTA	ACCCT
AACCCTAACCCTAACCCTAACCCTAACCCTA	ACCCTAAC	CCTAACCCI	TAACCCTA	ACCC	FFDFFFC	GFGEFGFF	FFG1FFGF	CFFFFGFG	FGE <f< td=""><td>FFFFFG</td><td>FFFGFG</td><td>FFFEGG</td><td>>FFFGF</td><td>FFFFFFF</td><td>FFFFF</td></f<>	FFFFFG	FFFGFG	FFFEGG	>FFFGF	FFFFFFF	FFFFF
FFFEFF>FFGFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF	NM:i:1	MD:Z:1C9	98	AS:i:98	XS:i:96	BX:Z:35	3_1476_2	63	RG:Z:	12878:	Librar	yNotSp	ecifie	d:1:unk	nown_
fc:0-64378858															
CL100066606L2C006R022_551816	147	chr1	9997	0	100M		10007	-90	CAGAT	AACCCT	AACCCT	TAACCCT	AACCCT	ААСССТА	ACCCT
ΑΑСССТААСССТААСССТААСССТААСССТА	ACCCTAAC	CCTAACCCI	ГААСССТА	ACCC	FFFECDD	FFFFFDE	FE>FECFF	E6FEDFFG	EFF>F	DBFFCF	FFDFFF	FDFFFF	FFFFE6	FFFFFF	CEFFF
FDEFFFFFFFFFFDGFFEFFFFFEC	NM:i:1	MD:Z:109	98	AS:i:98	XS:i:96	BX:Z:0_	0_0	RG:Z:128	378:Li	braryN	lotSpec	ified:	1:unkn	nown_fc:	0-43F
6A2D2-5F69628															
CL200047469L1C009R005_5964	163	chr1	9998	0	100M	=	10005	108	CCATA	АСССТА	ACCCTA	ACCCT	ACCCTA	АСССТАА	CCCTA
ΑCCCTAACCCTAACCCTAACCCTAACCCTAA	CCCTAACCO	CTAGCCCT	ACCCTAA	ссст	5E&F=?.)<@E1BD2	DCF7*76F	E<2<.E)64	<-54<)	(4?<-7	D75F5E	BEED>>8	BEEF8	3;8?E4A	OC-AA
<pre>*8=E1A,ECB%:1AFFC7F@BC03EDB</pre>	NM:i:2	MD:Z:1G8	31A16	AS:i:93	XS:i:92	BX:Z:46	_1232_9	RG:Z:128	378:Li	braryN	lotSpec	ified:	1:unkn	iown_fc:	0-515
43204															
CL100066606L2C017R018_461042	99	chr1	9998	0	100M	=	10044	146	CCATA	ACCCTA	ACCCTA	ACCCT	ACCCTA	АСССТАА	CCCTA
ACCCTAACCCTAACCCTAACCCTAACCCTAA	CCCTAACCO	СТААСССТА	ACCCTAA	ссст	>:)GG@E	FFGFD0F?	EGFFGGEF	FGFGBFFC	GFBGCF	FFFFEE	C <gfb=< td=""><td>FFFFFF</td><td>7DFGGF</td><td>DBFGDFD</td><td>GEFFD</td></gfb=<>	FFFFFF	7DFGGF	DBFGDFD	GEFFD
GGBE@FFEDF5:FGFG@BFGB7EFGFF	NM:i:1	MD:Z:1GS	98	AS:i:98	XS:i:97	BX:Z:58	4_924_49	3	RG:Z:	12878:	Librar	yNotSp	ecifie	d:1:unk	nown_
faid (/71700															

Supplemental Figure 3: A screen shot of five reads from BAM file. Each read contains the barcode field "BX:Z:barcode".

insertior)	Aquila_stLFR
50-1k	Benchmark	
	Total call	1,311
	True Positive	1,099
	False Positive	212
	False Negative	3,591
	Precision	83.8%
	Recall	23.4%
	Benchmark	
	Total call	6
	True Positive	2
1k-10k	False Positive	4
	False Negative	726
	Precision	33.3%
	Recall	0.27%

Supplemental Table 1: Genome-wide insertion evaluation for GiAB NA24385 stLFR library against GIAB NA24385 benchmark.

Source	Raw Coverage <i>C</i> (<i>X</i>)	C_F (X)	C_R (X)	μ_{FL} (kb)	Contig N50(kb)	Contig NA50(<i>kb</i>)	Diploid Ratio
GiAB (stLFR)	48	238	0.20	30.1	23.3	22.4	2.03
<i>L5</i> from Zhou et al., 2021 (10X)	93	760	0.12	44.8	98.4	96.4	2.04
Hybrid library (stLFR +10X)	134	959	0.14	41.9	100.4	100.3	2.06

Supplemental Table 2: Parameters of stLFR, 10X linked-reads library, and the hybrid library for sample NA24385. C_F : physical (fragment) coverage; C_R : read coverage per fragment; C: raw coverage $C \ge C_F * C_R$, μ_{FL} : mean fragment length. All four parameters for three libraries were generated through their hg19 BAM files. Assembly metrics of contig N50/NA50 and diploid fraction were generated by Quast (Gurevich et al., 2013). Diploid ratio is the total number of aligned bases in the NA24385 assembly divided by the total number of aligned bases in the hg19 reference genome.

Deletion		Aquila_stLFR	Aquila	Aquila
		(stLFR)	(10X)	(stLFR + 10X)
	Benchmark			
	Total call	11,495	9,804	9,226
	True Positive	2,954	3,349	3,317
50-1k	False Positive	8,541	6,455	5,909
	False Negative	717	322	354
	Precision	25.7%	34.2%	36.0%
	Recall	80.5%	91.2%	90.4%
	F1	39.0%	49.7%	51.4%
	Benchmark		499	
	Total call	602	452	445
	True Positive	317	384	382
1k-10k	False Positive	285	68	63
	False Negative	182	115	117
	Precision	52.7%	85.0%	85.8%
	Recall	63.5%	77.0%	76.6%
	F1	57.6%	80.8%	80.9%
	Benchmark		29	
	Total call	105	45	31
>10k	True Positive	6	12	11
	False Positive	99	33	20
	False Negative	23	17	18
	Precision	5.7%	26.7%	35.5%
	Recall	20.7%	41.4%	37.9%
	F1	9.0%	32.4%	36.7%

Supplemental Table 3: Genome-wide deletion evaluation for the NA24385 stLFR library, NA24385 10X linked-reads library 5 (L5) from Zhou et al., 2021, and the hybrid library (stLFR + 10X linked-reads libraries) against GIAB NA24385 benchmark.

Insertion		Aquila_stLFR (stLFR)	Aquila (10X)	Aquila (stLFR + 10X)					
	Benchmark		4690						
	Total call	1,311	4,012	3,549					
	True Positive	1,099	2,457	2,359					
50-1k	False Positive	212	1,555	1,190					
	False Negative	3,591	2,233	2,331					
	Precision	83.8%	61.2%	66.5%					
	Recall	23.4%	52.4%	50.3%					
	F1	36.6%	56.5%	57.3%					
	Benchmark		728						
1k-10k	Total call	6	21	24					
	True Positive	2	18	20					
	False Positive	4	3	4					
	False Negative	726	710	708					
	Precision	33.3%	85.7%	83.3%					
	Recall	0.27%	2.47%	2.75%					
	F1	0.54%	4.81%	5.32%					

Supplemental Table 4: Genome-wide insertion evaluation for the NA24385 stLFR library, NA24385 10X linked-reads library 5 (L5) from Zhou et al., 2021, and the hybrid library (stLFR + 10X linked-reads libraries) against GIAB NA24385 benchmark.

References

- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G (2013) QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23422339.
- Zhang L, Zhou X, Weng Z, Sidow A (2019) Assessment of human diploid genome assembly with 10x Linked-Reads data. Gigascience 8:1–11.
- Zhang L, Zhou X, Weng Z, Sidow A (2020) De novo diploid genome assembly for genome-wide structural variant detection. NAR Genomics Bioinforma 2:1–10.
- Zhou X, Zhang L, Weng Z, Dill DL, Sidow A (2021) Aquila enables reference-assisted diploid personal genome assembly and comprehensive variant detection based on linked reads. Nat Commun 12 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21395-x.