Appendix

Evolutionary adaptation of the protein folding pathway for secretability

Dries Smets, Alexandra Tsirigotaki, Jochem H. Smit, Srinath Krishnamurthy, Athina G. Portaliou, Anastassia Vorobieva, Wim Vranken, Spyridoula Karamanou and Anastassios Economou

Table of contents

Appendix Figure S1 Structure and sequence alignment of PpiA and PpiB with homology comparison across bacteria (related to Figure 1)

A. Structural alignment of periplasmic PpiA (PDB 1V9T: chainB 1.8Å, orange) and cytoplasmic PpiB (PDB 1LOP 1.7Å, green) using PyMOL, yielding an RMSD of 0.37Å. Both structures consist of an orthogonal β-barrel with the anti-parallel β-strands in the following sequence β1- 10-3-4-6-5-7-2 (numbers indicated on the structure) with α-helices on either side of the barrel and two minor β-strands β8/9 located outside the β-barrel.

B. Similar residues between *E. coli* PpiA (P0AFL3) and PpiB (P23869). Identical, strongly similar physicochemical properties (scoring >0.5, Gonnet PAM 250 matrix) and weakly similar physico-chemical properties (scoring \leq 0.5, Gonnet PAM 250 matrix) are depicted on the 3D structure of PpiA (PDB 1V9T: chainB).

C. Structural position of the 'front-facing' N- and C-strap (ribbons, dark blue and grey, respectively) within the cradle formed by the saddle in the back and the α-helices on either side (surface, light grey) using PpiA (PDB 1V9T: chainB).

D. Sequence alignment of *E. coli* (pro)PpiA with PpiB using Clustal Omega [1].

Top: the linear secondary structure is displayed with the different structural elements coloured and annotated (based on RCSB PDB). The residues of the active site are underlined [2]. '*': identical residues; ':' strongly similar and '.' weakly similar physicochemical properties.

Bottom: Consensus derived from 150 (pro)PpiA and PpiB sequences from across γproteobacteria that contain both twins (all sequences in Dataset EV1).

Appendix Figure S2 Folding kinetics of PpiA and PpiB at 25 and 4°C analyzed with local HDX-MS displayed as a colour map (related to Figure 3)

Folding kinetics of PpiB (**A-D**) and PpiA (**E-H**) at 25°C or 4°C (as indicated), monitored by local HDX-MS.

A, B, E, F. The HDX-MS refolding kinetics data for PpiA and PpiB at 25 and 4°C (Dataset EV4; *n=3* biological repeats) were further analyzed by PyHDX in order to determine the folded fractions per residue (Dataset EV5). The pipeline of analysis is shown in Fig.EV3B. Folding, displayed per residue (x-axis) over time (y-axis) in a colour map in steps of 25% folded fraction (as indicated at the bottom), is shown up to 10min for 25°C and 30-60min for 4°C (as indicated, complete data set in Dataset EV5). The alignment index (x-axis) is based on PpiA (extended N-tail; missing loop between β6-β7; Appendix Fig. S1D). For each peptide, 100% folding was set to the D-uptake of the final folded protein. Grey areas: residues absent in one of the twins, prolines or no experimental coverage. Colour-boxes below the linear secondary structure map (top) indicate foldons; named in alphabetical order and subscript numbers (if formed in gradual steps) following the order of formation sequence. Grey bar: unstructured regions that acquired final states fast (Fig. EV3) and were omitted from the analysis.

C, D, G, H. Foldons, colour-coded as in the left panels, are indicated relative to formation time and temperature on the PpiB (1LOP) and PpiA (1V9T) 3D-structures. The indicated time points were: for PpiB, 25°C (t_{80%} of 0.29-0.33-0.42-0.47 min); for PpiB, 4°C (t_{80%} of 0.09-0.29-0.90-1.75 min); for PpiA, 25°C (t80% of 0.24-0.33-0.47-0.51 min); for PpiA, 4°C (t50% of 0.34-0.55-0.79- 0.99 min) (Dataset EV5).

Appendix Figure S3 Refolding kinetics of (pro)PpiA and (pro)PpiB at 25°C analyzed with local HDX-MS (Related to Figure 5)

Folding kinetics of the mature domains of proPpiA (**A**, **C**) and proPpiB, carrying the proPpiA signal peptide, (**B**, **D**) at 25°C (as indicated), monitored by local HDX-MS.

A, B. The HDX-MS refolding kinetics data for (pro)PpiA and (pro)PpiB, at 25°C (Dataset EV4; *n=3* biological repeats) were further analyzed by PyHDX in order to determine the folded fractions per residue (Dataset EV5). The pipeline of analysis is shown in Fig.EV3B. Folding, displayed per residue (x-axis) over time (y-axis) in a colour map in steps of 25% folded fraction (as indicated at the bottom), is shown for up to 30 min (complete data set in Dataset EV5). The alignment index (x-axis) is based on the proPpiA sequence (signal peptide, extended N-tail; missing loop between β6-β7; Appendix Fig. S1D). For each peptide, 100% folding was set to the D-uptake of the corresponding native mature domain peptide. Grey areas: residues absent in one of the twins, prolines or indicating no experimental coverage. Colour-boxes below the linear secondary structure map (top) indicate foldons; named in alphabetical order and subscript numbers (if formed gradually, step-wise) following the order of formation sequence. Grey bar: unstructured fast folding regions (Fig. EV5D) omitted from analysis.

C, D. Foldons, colour-coded as in the left panels, are indicated relative to formation time and temperature on the PpiB (1LOP) and PpiA (1V9T) 3D-structures. The indicated time points are: for proPpiA (t50% of 0.9-2.0-2.3-20.8 min) and for proPpiB (t50% of 0.06-0.08-0.44-1.2 min), both at 25°C (Dataset EV5).

Appendix Figure S4 Effect of signal peptide on the initial foldons of PpiA (Related to Figure 5)

Peptides (proPpiA numbering followed) spanning two initial foldons, B (left) and A (right), were selected as examples to demonstrate the effect of the signal peptide on the folding of PpiA. Spectra at selected timepoints are displayed with the corresponding centroid indicated. The unfolded (0%) and native (100%) state (HDX data in Dataset EV4, *n=3* biological repeats) were used to calculate the folded fractions in PyHDX (purple; Dataset EV5). The pipeline of analysis is shown in Fig. EV3B.

Supplemental tables:

Appendix Table S1 Plasmids

Appendix Table S2 Primers

Appendix Table S3 Strains

Appendix Table S4 Cloned genes

Appendix Table S5 Buffer list

References

- 1. Sievers, F., et al., *Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega.* Mol Syst Biol, 2011. **7**: p. 539.
- 2. Kallen, J. and M.D. Walkinshaw, *The X-ray structure of a tetrapeptide bound to the active site of human cyclophilin A.* FEBS Lett, 1992. **300**(3): p. 286-90.
- 3. Ashkenazy, H., et al., *ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2016. **44**(W1): p. W344-50.
- 4. Landau, M., et al., *ConSurf 2005: the projection of evolutionary conservation scores of residues on protein structures.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2005. **33**(Web Server issue): p. W299-302.
- 5. Mitchell, C. and D. Oliver, *Two distinct ATP-binding domains are needed to promote protein export by Escherichia coli SecA ATPase.* Mol Microbiol, 1993. **10**(3): p. 483-97.
- 6. Casadaban, M.J., *Transposition and fusion of the lac genes to selected promoters in Escherichia coli using bacteriophage lambda and Mu.* J Mol Biol, 1976. **104**(3): p. 541- 55.
- 7. Genevaux, P., et al., *Scanning mutagenesis identifies amino acid residues essential for the in vivo activity of the Escherichia coli DnaJ (Hsp40) J-domain.* Genetics, 2002. **162**(3): p. 1045-53.
- 8. Ullers, R.S., et al., *Trigger Factor can antagonize both SecB and DnaK/DnaJ chaperone functions in Escherichia coli.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2007. **104**(9): p. 3101-6.
- 9. Guzman, L.M., et al., *Tight regulation, modulation, and high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter.* J Bacteriol, 1995. **177**(14): p. 4121-30.
- 10. van der Does, C., et al., *SecA is an intrinsic subunit of the Escherichia coli preprotein translocase and exposes its carboxyl terminus to the periplasm.* Mol Microbiol, 1996. **22**(4): p. 619-29.
- 11. Gouridis, G., et al., *Signal peptides are allosteric activators of the protein translocase.* Nature, 2009. **462**(7271): p. 363-7.
- 12. Tsirigotaki, A., et al., *Long-Lived Folding Intermediates Predominate the Targeting-Competent Secretome.* Structure, 2018.