Article

Evolutionary adaptation of the protein folding pathway for secretability

Dries Smets^{[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5492-4450)} **D**, Alexandra Tsirigotaki¹ **D**, Jochem H Smit¹ **D**, Srinath Krishnamurthy¹ **D**, Athina G Portaliou¹ D, Anastassia Vorobieva^{2,[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5554-1398)} D, Wim Vranken^{2,3,[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7470-4324)} D, Spyridoula Karamanou^{1,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8803-1404)} D & Anastassios Economou^{1,**}

Abstract

Secretory preproteins of the Sec pathway are targeted posttranslationally and cross cellular membranes through translocases. During cytoplasmic transit, mature domains remain non-folded for translocase recognition/translocation. After translocation and signal peptide cleavage, mature domains fold to native states in the bacterial periplasm or traffic further. We sought the structural basis for delayed mature domain folding and how signal peptides regulate it. We compared how evolution diversified a periplasmic peptidyl-prolyl isomerase PpiA mature domain from its structural cytoplasmic PpiB twin. Global and local hydrogen–deuterium exchange mass spectrometry showed that PpiA is a slower folder. We defined at near-residue resolution hierarchical folding initiated by similar foldons in the twins, at different order and rates. PpiA folding is delayed by less hydrophobic native contacts, frustrated residues and a β-turn in the earliest foldon and by signal peptidemediated disruption of foldon hierarchy. When selected PpiA residues and/or its signal peptide were grafted onto PpiB, they converted it into a slow folder with enhanced in vivo secretion. These structural adaptations in a secretory protein facilitate trafficking.

Keywords folding; HDX-MS; mature domain; secretion; signal peptide Subject Categories Translation & Protein Quality DOI 10.15252/embj.2022111344 | Received 3 April 2022 | Revised 14 July 2022 | Accepted 2 August 2022 | Published online 29 August 2022 The EMBO Journal (2022) 41: e111344 See also: [N McCaul & I Braakman](https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2022112787) (December 2022)

Introduction

All proteins are synthesized on ribosomes as unstructured polymers. While cytoplasmic proteins fold immediately and become functional (Anfinsen, [1972](#page-18-0)), most exported proteins delay their folding to insert into or translocate across the membrane bilayer until they reach their final destination (Tsirigotaki et al, [2017a](#page-20-0)).

The exportome, comprising a third of the bacterial proteome, mainly uses the essential and ubiquitous secretory (Sec) pathway (Tsirigotaki et al, [2017a\)](#page-20-0). In post-translational export, fully synthesized secretory nascent proteins are released from the ribosome, transit the cytoplasm, reach the Sec translocase while remaining unfolded/soluble and avoiding misfolding/aggregation (Tsirigotaki et al, [2017a](#page-20-0); Van Puyenbroeck & Vermeire, [2018\)](#page-20-0). This route is taken by 505 secretory preproteins bearing N-terminal signal peptides in the Escherichia coli model cell (De Geyter et al, [2016;](#page-18-0) Tsirigotaki et al, [2017a](#page-20-0)). Signal peptides and mature domain targeting signals (MTS) are recognized by the SecA translocase subunit and allosterically modulate it to initiate secretion (Gouridis et al, [2009](#page-19-0); Chatzi et al, [2017;](#page-18-0) Krishnamurthy et al, [2021;](#page-19-0) preprint: Krishnamurthy et al, [2022](#page-19-0)). Once translocated, signal peptides get cleaved (Auclair et al, [2011](#page-18-0)), while mature domains fold in functional native states in the cell envelope or beyond (De Geyter et al, [2016\)](#page-18-0).

Intrinsic protein features (Dill, [1999\)](#page-18-0) and their interactions with extrinsic factors (chaperones; Smets et al, [2019\)](#page-20-0) dictate folding in the cytoplasm, ranging from fast folding (micro to low seconds time scale; Mayor et al, [2003](#page-19-0)) to remaining stably unfolded (i.e. Intrinsically Disordered Proteins (IDPs; Oldfield & Dunker, [2014](#page-20-0))). Polar residues, reduced overall hydrophobicity and enhanced backbone dynamics promote disorder in IDPs (Uversky, [2013;](#page-20-0) Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0); Loos et al, [2019\)](#page-19-0). Secretory preproteins display folding behaviours intermediate to those of fast folders and IDPs, by retaining kinetically trapped, loosely folded states due to unique structural/sequence characteristics of their mature domains (Zhou & Dunker, [2018](#page-21-0); Tsirigotaki et al, [2018;](#page-20-0) Loos et al, [2019\)](#page-19-0). They contain fewer, smaller/weaker hydrophobic patches than cytoplasmic proteins but more than IDPs (Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0)) and smaller, more polar, soluble and disorder-prone residues (Loos et al, [2019](#page-19-0)). These differences suffice for the MatureP algorithm to predict secretory proteins with 95% confidence (Orfanoudaki et al, [2017;](#page-20-0) Loos et al, [2019](#page-19-0)).

¹ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Institute for Medical Research, Laboratory of Molecular Bacteriology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

² Structural Biology Brussels, Vrije Universiteit Brussel and Center for Structural Biology, Brussels, Belgium

³ VIB-VUB Center for Structural Biology, VIB, Brussels, Belgium

⁴ Interuniversity Institute of Bioinformatics in Brussels, Free University of Brussels, Brussels, Belgium *Corresponding author. Tel: +32 16379208; E-mail: lily.karamanou@kuleuven.be

^{**}Corresponding author (Lead contact). Tel: +32 16379273; E-mail: tassos.economou@kuleuven.be

In addition to mature domain features, signal peptides slow down folding (e.g. of Maltose Binding Protein; Park et al, [1988](#page-20-0)). Fusing various signal peptides to the disordered N terminus of a mature domain differentially modulated disorder across the whole protein (Sardis et al, [2017](#page-20-0)). In some (but not all) secretory proteins, signal peptides delayed mature domain folding by apparently stabilizing loosely folded intermediates (Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0)). How this signal peptide effect has co-evolved with a mature domain's folding properties remains unclear. However, slow folding of secretory chains correlates with their translocation competence and thereby underlies secretability (Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0)). Secretion-related chaperones, SecB (Huang et al, [2016\)](#page-19-0) and Trigger Factor (TF; Saio et al, [2014;](#page-20-0) De Geyter et al, [2020\)](#page-18-0), may stabilize non-folded states, prevent aggregation and promote translocase targeting but specialize on a small subset of secretory clients (De Geyter et al, [2020](#page-18-0)) and, therefore, cannot explain the global intrinsic properties of the secretome.

Folding is a complex process, involving multiple topologies and motifs. Two competing models predominate. "Multiple pathways" proposes that proteins fold along multiple, stochastic, microscopic landscapes where the speed of the process is driven by a folding funnel in search of the energetically minimal native state (Onuchic et al, [1997\)](#page-20-0). The "Defined pathway" postulates fixed sequential folding steps with defined intermediates (Gianni et al, [2007;](#page-19-0) Englander & Mayne, [2017](#page-18-0)). Here, polypeptide chains fold according to a "stepwise plan", starting with the gradual assembly of "foldons" through native-like intermediates (Panchenko et al, [1996;](#page-20-0) Englander & Mayne, [2014](#page-18-0)). Foldons, short cooperative folding units (∼15–35 residues), acquire native-like local structure and mutually stabilize each other hierarchically (Englander & Mayne, [2014,](#page-18-0) [2017\)](#page-18-0). These "initial" stabilized foldons are extended further to complete folding. Sequences of 5–10 residues (hereafter "early folding regions") appear structurally primed to intrinsically nucleate foldon formation (Raimondi et al, [2019\)](#page-20-0). Prediction of these linear motifs is unrelated to their 3D context in the protein. They are commonly detected in energetically stable regions of the native structure (Bittrich et al, [2018](#page-18-0)) and may provide the stepping stones to rapidly trigger the most efficient pathway towards native structure and lead to residue-residue side chain interactions seen in the native state (Nymeyer et al, [1998\)](#page-19-0). Such early interactions of native residue side chains may bias the formation of native structural elements, thereby making folding efficient and fast (Englander & Mayne, [2017](#page-18-0)) as seen in small proteins by Molecular Dynamics simulations (Best et al, [2013\)](#page-18-0). In contrast, regions with "frustrated" residues (i.e. with suboptimal stability/interactions in the native structure; Ferreiro et al, [2007;](#page-19-0) Wolynes, [2015\)](#page-21-0) or inability to create critical β-turns (Marcelino & Gierasch, [2008](#page-19-0); Fuller et al, [2009\)](#page-19-0) could delay folding.

Folding is mainly studied using orthogonal biophysical techniques (circular dichroism, fluorescence, single-molecule studies; (Schuler & Eaton, [2008;](#page-20-0) Bornschlogl & Rief, [2011\)](#page-18-0), faster time series (Munoz & Cerminara, [2016\)](#page-19-0) and computer simulations (Chen et al, [2018\)](#page-18-0) etc.) that provide information about the 2D or 3D structure of the whole protein in kinetics and equilibrium studies (Dill & MacCallum, [2012;](#page-18-0) Braselmann et al, [2013;](#page-18-0) Hu et al, [2013](#page-19-0); Englander & Mayne, [2014;](#page-18-0) Englander et al, [2016](#page-18-0); Munoz & Cerminara, [2016\)](#page-19-0). A powerful tool is Hydrogen (1 H) Deuterium (D, 2 H) exchange Mass Spectrometry (HDX-MS). "Global" HDX-MS detects the different species within the folding population of an intact protein (unfolded, intermediate and folded; Tsirigotaki et al, [2017b,](#page-20-0) [2018](#page-20-0)), while "local" HDX-MS monitors folding of short protein segments at nearresidue resolution (Maity et al, [2005](#page-19-0); Walters et al, [2013](#page-20-0); Englander & Mayne, [2014;](#page-18-0) Pancsa et al, [2016](#page-20-0)). The latter exploits HDX kinetics to observe the transition between the unfolded (i.e. non or weakly H-bonded) and folded (completely H-bonded) populations of a single peptide (EX1 kinetics; Ferraro et al, [2004](#page-19-0); Englander et al, [2007](#page-18-0); Marcsisin & Engen, [2010\)](#page-19-0). H-bonded regions are "protected" from taking up D and are readily identified.

Delayed folding in most secretory mature domains (Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0); Loos et al, [2019](#page-19-0)) contrasts the fast folding of most cytoplasmic domains. Structural twin pairs (i.e. structural homologues with high sequence identity/similarity and same enzymatic function) display minimal evolutionary "noise" and may allow definition of the structural adaptations needed for each folding behaviour. Such pairs are rare; the one selected here is the secreted peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase PpiA and the cytoplasmic PpiB (Fig 1A; Appendix Fig S1A; Hayano et al, [1991](#page-19-0); Ikura et al, [2000](#page-19-0)). From in vitro refolding (using global/local HDX-MS; Tsirigotaki et al, [2017b](#page-20-0)), we identified the folding pathways, foldons and specific residues that promote slow- and fast-folding kinetics. Using structural bioinformatics, we defined native contacts, frustrated regions, early folding regions, suboptimal β-turns and residues contributing to stability. Both proteins displayed three-state folding with only modestly different folding pathways and foldons, while PpiA folded more slowly. Folding commenced by the sequential formation of "initial" foldons, located near or interacting with the N-termini. While foldons were largely shared across the twins, they formed in different order. Moreover, the signal peptide stalled folding of PpiA at an early, little folded intermediate. Few native residues grafted between PpiA and PpiB reciprocally interchanged folding behaviours and in vivo secretability and grafting the PpiA signal peptide to PpiB delayed folding. The signal peptide acted by introducing N-terminal disorder and disrupted the twins' foldon hierarchy. We propose that delayed-folding adaptations in secretory mature domains alone leading to altered folding pathways or combined with signal peptide-driven delayed folding, are universal mechanisms of Sec-dependent protein secretion.

Results

Properties of the PpiB and PpiA structures

To define the structural adaptations needed for translocation competence, we studied two twins: the cytoplasmic and the periplasmic peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerases PpiB and PpiA. They have practically identical structures (RMSD: 0.37 Å , Appendix Fig S1A) and share 55.6% sequence identity with a further 25.3% high similarity (Appendix Fig S1B).

Both proteins are composed of distinct sub-structures (Fig 1A): N- and C-terminal straps (β1-2/β10; dark blue/grey, respectively) assemble from opposite directions to form a β-sheet on the Nterminal-facing half of the structure. The straps perpendicularly overlay a 5-stranded β-sheet "saddle" (β3-7; light orange), which is H-bonded to each other (via N-strap/saddle β2/β7 and C-strap/ saddle β10/β3; mainly visible in PpiB; Fig 1A) to complete a quasiorthogonal 8-stranded β-barrel. On the concave surface of the saddle, opposite the straps, lies the prolyl isomerase catalytic site (Scholz et al, [1997\)](#page-20-0). The N-/C-strap β-sheet docks along a groove on the upper surface of the saddle, while α 1 and 2 on either side act as "banisters" (Fig 1A, violet; Appendix Fig S1C). Minor dissimilarities are present; an extra flexible N-terminal extension in PpiA $(^1$ AKGDPH⁶) and a 3-residue loop insertion between β6-β7 in PpiB (Appendix Fig S1D).

Sequence comparison of PpiB/A across 150 bacterial homologues (Dataset EV1A–C; Ashkenazy et al, [2016](#page-18-0)) revealed a highly conserved saddle/catalytic site (Appendix Fig S1D) with variation in the N-termini, surface-exposed residues, connecting loops and the β8-9 hairpin (Appendix Fig S1B and D). Buried residues retain

Figure 1.

- ◀ Figure ¹. Structural features of PpiB and PpiA. A Structural features are colour-indicated on 3D structures (top) or linear map of secondary structure (bottom; from Appendix Fig S1D). β-strands that connect the sheets to form the straps and quasi β-barrel and $α$ -helices as annotated.
	- B Dynamics of native PpiA/B. Top left y-axis (reversed) displayed as ΔG/residue (from PyHDX analysis of HDX-MS data at 30°C) colour-indicated across the linear sequence (top; x-axis) or on 3D structures (bottom). The apparent rigidity at the extreme N-tail of PpiA was attributed to high back exchange of this peptide and, therefore, ignored. Dots: grey (stable); orange (flexible); red (unstructured). Grey error bars: variation between subsequent residues (see Fig EV1E for %D-uptake values; HDX-MS data in Dataset EV4). n = 3 technical repeats. Top, right y-axis: normal mode analysis; total displacement of normal modes 7-13 (unweighted sum; magenta) (see [Materials and Methods\)](#page-12-0).
	- C Direct frustrated interactions (red lines) and water-mediated ones (purple, dashed) are indicated on 3D structures.
	- D Suboptimal residue/structure compatibility determined by Rosetta scoring analysis coloured using a gradient (see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0)) on the 3D structures.

Data information: The PDB entries used are as follows: 1[LOP](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP) for PpiB and 1[V](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T)9T for PpiA. Source data are available online for this figure.

similar physicochemical properties or form similar hydrophobic cores (Dataset EV1D).

Stability and intrinsic dynamics of native PpiB and PpiA

The stability of the native proteins was compared upon thermal or chaotrope denaturation, by monitoring their secondary/tertiary structure using circular dichroism (CD)/intrinsic fluorescence, respectively (Fig EV1A–C). PpiA displayed higher thermal stability (Fig EV1A) and equilibrium unfolding transition point (Fig EV1B) and unfolded > 30 times more slowly in 8 M urea than did PpiB (Fig EV1C).

The intrinsic dynamics of the native protein state were analysed by local HDX-MS (Fig 1B, conditions and data in Dataset EV4; Wales & Engen, [2006\)](#page-20-0). Flexible regions are mainly present in "open" states (i.e. high solvent accessibility and D-uptake; red/orange), while rigid ones remain longer in "closed" states (i.e. low solvent accessibility and D-uptake; grey). D-uptake is experimentally determined per peptide, and these differ between structural twins. To allow sequence-wide comparisons, we used PyHDX to first convert D-uptake per peptide to D-uptake per residue (see pipeline in Fig EV1D, Smit et al, [2021\)](#page-20-0) and then to process D-uptake over multiple HDX times to a single Gibbs free energy (ΔG) value (Fig EV1D and E; Smit et al, [2021\)](#page-20-0) that defines the energy difference between the closed and open state (low for flexible/high for rigid regions). The twins displayed a similar overall dynamics pattern (inversed ΔG y-axis, Fig 1B): rigid N-strap, α1 and β7 (grey), flexible saddle (particularly in PpiB; orange) and highly dynamic linker regions (red). Small distinct dynamic islands were detected in the first protein halves, mainly in linkers (one in PpiB; three in PpiA) and the Cstraps were more flexible, particularly in PpiA.

The dynamics of the native states were further probed using normal mode analysis (NMA) that calculates the vibrational movement of atoms by applying harmonic potentials between neighbouring atoms (Fig 1B, magenta; Bahar et al, [2010;](#page-18-0) Tiwari et al, [2014\)](#page-20-0). The displacements of the lowest frequency normal modes were summed to identify residues with elevated dynamics in the structures. The twins displayed similar patterns, in good agreement with local HDX-MS (high displacement in flexible regions and low in ordered Ntermini and β7).

The native structures were also screened in silico for frustrated interactions (energetically suboptimal local sequences; Ferreiro et al, [2014;](#page-19-0) Parra et al, [2016](#page-20-0)). In both twins, multiple frustrated interactions occurred in loops, the $β8-β9$ hairpin and the α-helices (particularly α1). Distinct differences were observed in the β-sheet that

encompasses the N-strap and the end of the saddle: Only two frustrated interactions are seen in PpiB (β7 with β1/2) in contrast to the multiple ones in PpiA (e.g. Gly126 and Leu127 of β7 with β5, β2 and the N-tail, and surface residues like Glu19 and Asp21) that could lead to a suboptimal fit of $β1/2$ with $β5/7$ (Fig 1C). Moreover, to evaluate the effect of substitutions on the twin's stability, each residue was examined by in silico deep mutational scanning, using Rosetta (see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0); Leman et al, [2020](#page-19-0)). In both proteins, substitutions highly affected residues located within secondary structure elements, due to their tertiary environment (e.g. in $β8$), while loops tolerated more mutations (Fig EV1F).

Some suboptimal surface-exposed polar residues were identified in the first β-hairpin of PpiA but not in PpiB. The side chains of surface residues typically form less intramolecular contacts than the residues pointing to the core, suggesting that some residue frustrations may arise from intra-residue energetic contributions rather than suboptimal inter-residue contacts. Therefore, we probed the local residue/structure compatibility at each position of the PpiA/B structures as a function of the local torsion angles (Rosetta p_aa_pp score per residue; Fig 1D; Dataset EV1E; Alford et al, [2017](#page-18-0)). Multiple suboptimal residues (Thr10; Ala13; Asn15) were centred around the N-strap's β-turn in PpiA, corroborating high flexibility (Fig $1B$). To confirm these observations, the conformational energy landscape of this β-turn was examined in the twins using the Rosetta KIC protocol (Stein & Kortemme, [2013\)](#page-20-0). PpiB's β-turn produced a funnelled conformation/energy landscape converging to the native structure, indicating good compatibility between the local sequence and structure (Fig EV1G). In contrast, PpiA's β-turn did not show the same convergence of low-energy models to the native conformation, consistent with low sequence/structure compatibility (Fig 1D) and higher flexibility (Fig 1B).

The twins have similar overall dynamics, with local differences. Secretory PpiA contains more frustrated and suboptimal residues that may influence its folding pattern.

PpiA displays delayed folding compared with fast-folding PpiB

The folding kinetics of PpiB and PpiA were probed by global HDX-MS, at 25 and 4°C (Figs 2A and EV2A; see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0)). Folding initiated by diluting denatured proteins (in 6 M urea) into aqueous buffer (0.2 M urea, Fig EV2A.i). At distinct refolding timepoints (Fig EV2B, Dataset EV2), protein aliquots were pulse-labelled in D2O (100 s). Flexible/unfolded proteins (i.e. with no or weak Hbonds, solvent-accessible/exchangeable backbone amides) have higher D-uptake than folded proteins (i.e. H-bonded secondary

- A Cartoon representation of in vitro refolding protein over time, upon dilution from chaotrope into aqueous buffer.
- B Folding kinetics of PpiB (left) and PpiA (right), at 25°C (1 min, top) or 4°C (1 and 20 min, bottom). Folding populations are displayed as a continuous colour map of their %D-uptake (y-axis) across time (x-axis). For m/z spectra, see Fig EV2B and C; Dataset EV3. $n = 2-6$ (biological repeats). Left thin panels: unfolded state (U; 6 M urea); Right main panels: refolding data (0.2 M urea); I, Intermediate; F, Folded populations; o, modifications/adducts, not part of the folding pathway.

structure; Fig EV2A.ii; Wales & Engen, [2006\)](#page-20-0). Pulse-labelling was quenched at pH 2.5 (Bai et al, [1993\)](#page-18-0), and the polypeptides were analysed with electrospray ionisation MS (see [Materials and Meth](#page-12-0)[ods](#page-12-0); Fig EV2A.iii; Ho et al, [2003\)](#page-19-0). Protein folding is visualized as the progressive shift over time of one charged peak, from the high m/z value of the unfolded state (U) towards the lower m/z value of the natively folded state (F; Fig EV2A.iii; reflecting high-to-low Duptake as D is heavier than H by 1 Da, Dataset EV2). The degree of non-foldedness (D-uptake) of the unfolded protein is set as 100%; all other values were expressed relative to this.

Both twins displayed three-state folding (unfolded-intermediatefolded; U, I, F) through a single recurring kinetic folding intermediate (Fig EV2B and C). Intermediates were characterized by their % D-uptake (e.g. I_{73} for PpiB folding at 25°C). Folding populations were quantified over time by fitting linear combinations of the three folding states, with the intermediate state modelled as a Lorentzian curve of variable position (Fig EV2D). Kinetic parameters were obtained by fitting the interconverting populations to rate equations derived from a model where the unfolded and intermediate states are assumed to be in equilibrium (k_1 , k_{-1} , equilibrium constant K_1) and the folded state is irreversibly formed from the intermediate with a rate constant k_2 (see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0); Dataset EV3A; Fig EV2E).

We visualized the kinetics of the folding reactions in colour maps (Figs 2B and EV2A.iv), using the experimental timepoints and linearly interpolating the fractions in between (brighter colour indicates more prominent populations; see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0); Dataset EV3B and C). Distinct folding populations have different % D-uptake values (Fig $2B$; y-axis). The starting unfolded state is displayed (U; Fig 2B, thin left panel; 6 M urea) beside the folding reaction (main panel; 0.2 M urea). At 25°C, folding kinetics were fast for both twins (Figs 2B top, and EV2B and D). PpiB immediately formed an I73 intermediate that quickly folded (in ∼1 min). PpiA converted more slowly to an intermediate that folded similarly fast, in agreement with CD analysis (Fig EV2F). At 4°C the folding pathways were similar, occurring via single intermediates, but slower, better resolving the different states (Figs 2B bottom, and EV2C and D). PpiB still folded fast (in ~5 min). In contrast, unfolded PpiA persisted for 15–20 min in the aqueous solution (sevenfold lower K_1 than PpiB, Fig EV2E) and folded slowly (> 30 min to completion; full spectrum in Dataset EV3C; Figs 2B bottom, and EV2C and D).

PpiB and PpiA display similar yet distinct, differently ordered hierarchical foldon pathways

We resolved the folding processes of the twins at near-residue level using local HDX-MS. At distinct refolding timepoints (see conditions in Dataset EV4A), proteins were pulse-labelled in D_2O (10 s), quenched, digested and peptides analysed using MS (Fig EV3A; see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0)). Here, folding of a protein region is seen as bimodal isotope distributions of unfolded (no or weak H-bonds; high D-uptake and m/z) and folded derivative peptides (H-bonded; lower D-uptake and m/z; EX1 kinetics; Fig EV3A.iii; Englander et al, [2007](#page-18-0); Marcsisin & Engen, [2010\)](#page-19-0). The degree of foldedness is described as the folded fraction of each peptide that is equally well determined either by Gaussian fitting of the two distributions and defining the ratio of the folded state or by calculating the centroid of the complete distribution (Fig EV3C; Hodge et al, [2020](#page-19-0)). In the latter case (used here), the centroid of the unfolded distribution (U; reflecting maximum D-uptake) and that of the natively folded protein (F; minimum D-uptake) are set as 0 and 100% folded fraction, respectively (Fig EV3C, left), for all of the generated peptides (> 95% of each twin's sequence; Dataset EV5). Similarly, the centroid masses of all peptides were converted to folded fractions and finally to per-residue using weighted averaging (per-residue RFU function of PyHDX, version 0.4.1.; see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0);

Figure 3. Initial foldons in PpiB and PpiA using $t_{50\%}$ from local HDX-MS analysis.

- A, B Folding kinetics of PpiB (A) and PpiA (B) at 25 or 4°C, monitored by local HDX-MS (Dataset EV4; $n = 3$ biological repeats), were analysed by PyHDX to determine the folded fractions per residue (Dataset EV5); see pipeline of analysis in Fig EV3B and folding times in Fig EV3E. For each peptide, 100% folding was set to the Duptake of the native protein peptide and 0% folding to the D-uptake of the same peptide under fully deuterated conditions. Initial foldons were assigned by plotting the time needed to reach 50% of folded fraction (t_{50%}; y-axis; Dataset EV5) along the linear sequence (x-axis), at both temperatures (as indicated). Only up to 1 min data are shown here (see extended dataset colour map in Appendix Fig S2; raw data in Dataset EV4). The alignment index is based on the sequence of PpiA (extended N-tail; missing loop between β6-β7; Appendix Fig S1D). Gaps: residues absent in one of the twins, prolines or no experimental coverage. Colour boxes below the linear secondary structure map (top) indicate foldons, named in alphabetical order. Grey bar: unstructured fast folding regions (Fig EV3D) omitted from analysis.
- C, D Foldons, colour-coded as in the left panels, are indicated relative to their time of formation on the PpiB (1[LOP](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP); C) and PpiA ([1](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T)V9T; D) 3D structures. The indicated time points were as follows: for PpiB, 25°C (t_{80%} of 0.29-0.33-0.42-0.47 min); for PpiB, 4°C (t_{80%} of 0.09-0.29-0.90-1.75 min); for PpiA, 25°C (t_{80%} of 0.24-0.33-0.47-0.51 min); for PpiA, 4° C ($t_{50\%}$ of 0.34-0.55-0.79-0.99 min; Fig EV3E, Dataset EV5).

pipeline in Fig EV3B, data in Dataset EV5; Smit et al, [2021](#page-20-0)). Peptides with minor D-uptake differences between unfolded/folded states and high standard deviations corresponding to unstructured/ loosely folded protein regions (Fig EV3C, Dataset EV5), prolines and residues appearing only in a peptide's N terminus were omitted from analysis.

The complete folding pathways were visualized as colour maps, with fractions in between experimental timepoints being linearly interpolated (Appendix Fig S2; Dataset EV5). The dynamic range of folding was captured using both high and low temperature (25°C; 4°C). To simplify foldon definition in the twins, the time required (y-axis) to reach 50% of folded population ($t_{50\%}$ values) was plotted against the aligned linear sequence (x -axis; Fig $3A$ and B ; colour maps in Appendix Fig S2; Dataset EV5; see [Materials and Methods\)](#page-12-0). Both temperatures were considered when assigning foldons, as some resolved better at low temperature, others at high. Foldons were coded in alphabet order as they appear in PpiB (code maintained in PpiA) and are colour-indicated below a linear secondary map (Fig 3A and B, top) and on 3D structures (Fig 3C). When foldons were formed in distinct segments, numeric subscripts were

used (folding times displayed in Fig EV3E, colour maps in Appendix Fig S2).

At either temperature, PpiB started folding with foldon A (β7-α2; red; Fig 3A and C; Appendix Fig S2A–D) followed by foldon B (Nstrap; orange). The last turn of α 1 (that gets extended into β 3; foldon C; yellow) formed before the first part of α 1 (foldon D; green). The four initial foldons completed the front face of PpiB (Fig 3C) together foldon F (only at 25°C; Appendix Fig S2A) and were followed by foldon E (mauve; $β5/6$) at the back face.

In PpiA, folding started with foldon B (Fig 3B and D, orange), followed by sequential formation of foldons C (yellow), A (red) and D (green). Some PpiA foldons formed stepwise compared with PpiB (e.g. A, B and C) or were very delayed (E and F; Fig 3; Appendix Fig S2E–H). Here also, the first foldons that were formed completed most of the front protein face (Fig 3D). Corroborating global HDX-MS analysis, the folding of PpiA at 4°C was significantly delayed; ∼10-fold slower than at 25°C (Fig 3B).

In summary, the twins each folded via distinct well-defined consecutive initial foldons (Fig 3) followed by less separable, collective, presumably cooperative, "late" foldons (Appendix Fig S2). The initial foldons may be the main folded components of the intermediates observed with global HDX-MS (Fig 2B). Foldon location in the primary sequence may be similar in the twins, yet their formation kinetics and hierarchy is distinct (Fig 3, compare C with D).

Hydrophobic islands, considered as main elements of a folding process (Onuchic et al, [1997\)](#page-20-0), are located on the initial foldons but not uniquely; charged and polar residues facing the solvent on the surface of the protein are also included (mainly in foldons D and E; Dataset EV7A). The foldons determined above overlapped well with predicted early folding regions (Raimondi et al, [2019](#page-20-0)) and similarly aligned islands of minimally frustrated residues (Dataset EV7A, see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0); Parra et al, [2016\)](#page-20-0). The latter may guide folding along the energy landscape (Parra et al, [2016](#page-20-0); Gianni et al, [2021\)](#page-19-0) forming local stable elements of the folding core (Jenik et al, [2012\)](#page-19-0). Highly frustrated/suboptimal residues in foldons A and B of PpiA (Fig 1C and D) may slow down folding (Figs 2 and 3) by hindering stable interactions (Nymeyer et al, [1998](#page-19-0); Gianni et al, [2021](#page-19-0)).

Grafted residues interconvert PpiB/A folding kinetics

Using the Frustratometer (Parra et al, [2016](#page-20-0)), we identified the 23 lowest energy native contacts in the two structures (native energy ≤ −5.0 kJ/mol; Fig 4A; Dataset EV7B). Eight of them are dissimilar between PpiB and PpiA (Fig 4B, top), of which six are at the same location in the two 3D structures. Almost all of them are situated on or next to initial foldons (Fig EV4A, top) with invariably bulkier and more branched/hydrophobic side chains in PpiB (Fig 4 B, top). Rosetta analysis (see [Materials and Methods;](#page-12-0) Leman et al, [2020\)](#page-19-0) indicated the dissimilar residues to be in the immediate vicinity of residues that are highly optimized or suboptimal in PpiA or PpiB (Figs 4B, bottom and EV4C). Multiple dissimilar native contacts were energetically more optimal in PpiB and incorporating these contacts to the equivalent positions in PpiA was predicted to stabilize the latter (Dataset EV7D). Assuming that the six dissimilar residues underlie foldon formation and/or 3D associations (Fig 4C), it would be anticipated that strengthening or weakening their interactions might modulate folding speed.

To test this, we reciprocally grafted the corresponding residues between the two proteins, leaving the rest of the sequences unchanged (Fig 4D). We focused on residues located in or next to foldons A and B, in either twin (Fig EV4B). We generated single, double, triple or multiple mutant derivatives and determined their individual or combined effect on the twins' folding at 4°C, using global HDX-MS (as in Fig 2B).

First, PpiA residues were grafted onto PpiB (hereafter $PpiB_{>A}$) to generate slower-folding derivatives mimicking PpiA that remained longer unfolded before forming an intermediate (Fig 2B, bottom). Only 3plet and 6plet grafts are shown (Fig EV4B); fewer mutations had no discernible effect (all mutants in Dataset EV8). The PpiB>A,3plet1 carried mutations in highly stabilized native contacts (I13L/L83I/V160A). Ile13 is part of foldon B (β2), Val160 (C-strap) sits between foldons B and D and Leu83 ($β5$) connects foldon A ($β7$) to the saddle. The $PpiB_{>A,3plet2}$ carried mutated native contacts (F4L/ L28V/V133A) on foldons B (β 1), D (α 1) and A (α 2), respectively. These residues, belonging to three discontinuous foldons, participate in long-range hydrophobic contacts and are suspected to be less efficient in PpiA due to their smaller side chains. Neither 3plet derivative slowed down folding significantly but yielded less folded

intermediates (higher D-uptake) compared with the I_{75} of PpiB (Fig 4 E top and middle left; Dataset EV3A). Combining the two 3plets in one derivative delayed folding (> 10 min; Fig $4E$, bottom left). The $PpiB_{>A,6plet}$ remained in a broad I_{85} population and reached the folded state slightly faster than PpiA. Adding more grafted residues blocked PpiB folding at early stages (PpiB_{>A,Multiplet}, Dataset EV8).

Next, PpiB residues were grafted onto PpiA aiming to speed up the latter's folding (hereafter PpiA_{>B}, Fig EV4B). Although single/double grafted residues sped up folding kinetics (Dataset EV8), 3plets and 6plets thoroughly accelerated folding (Fig 4E right). The PpiA_{>B,3plet1} (E17V/L18I/G126A) carries grafted residues on foldon B₁ (β2) and A₁ (β7) that are more branched/hydrophobic and in PpiB could promote β-hairpin formation. While Leu18 is a highly stabilized native PpiA contact in foldon B_1 , Gly126 has multiple frustrated interactions that are not present in the corresponding PpiB residue (Ala124; Fig 1C) and E17 has a suboptimal sequence/ structure compatibility (Fig 1D). The Ppi $A_{>B,3plet1}$ exhibited two modestly sped up intermediates that formed and disappeared simultaneously $(I_{82}; I_{62};$ Fig $4E$ top right) but folding still resembled that of PpiA (Fig EV4C). On the contrary, the PpiA_{>B,3plet2} (L9F/V33L/ A135V; the reverse of PpiB_{>A,3plet2}) quickly formed an I_{76} (Figs 4E middle right; EV4C) with folding kinetics resembling those of PpiB (∼5 min). Either one or two from the 3plet2 mutations increased PpiA's folding (Dataset EV8). The PpiA_{>B,6plet}, (combined 3plets) formed an I_{76} even faster than PpiA $_{>B,3plet2}$ (Fig EV4D) and folded slightly faster than PpiB ($<$ 5 min; Fig 4E, bottom right).

We concluded that highly stabilized native contacts on foldons were involved in early folding events and were sufficient to interconvert intermediates and folding behaviours between PpiB and A.

Delayed in vitro folding correlates with improved in vivo secretion

To test whether in vitro slow folding correlated with improved in vivo secretion efficiency, PpiA/B and derivatives were fused Nterminally to PhoA (alkaline phosphatase; San Millan et al, [1989](#page-20-0); Akiyama & Ito, [1993\)](#page-18-0). The PhoA reporter becomes enzymatically active once secreted to the periplasm through the Sec translocase; its secretion now being dependent on the fused N-terminal PpiXpartner. Fusions were tested using cells expressing SecY_{prlA4}EG (Fig EV4D), a translocase derivative that allows secretion of signal peptide-less mature domains (Gouridis et al, [2009\)](#page-19-0). Secretion efficiency was determined from PhoA activity units and normalized on protein amounts (Fig 4F; see [Materials and Methods;](#page-12-0) full analysis in Dataset EV9B; expression levels in Fig EV4E).

The fast-folding PpiB fusion (Fig 4F) had ∼threefold lower secretion than the slower-folding PpiA fusion. Accelerating folding reduced secretion by half (compare PpiA_{>B,6plet} with PpiA), while delaying folding significantly enhanced secretion (compare PpiB>A,6plet with PpiB).

These experiments suggested that slow/fast folding correlates with high/low secretion efficiency, respectively.

The signal peptide stalls folding at early intermediates

Mature PpiA is only present in the periplasm. Its pre-form (signal peptide-bearing proPpiA; Fig 5A) is cytoplasmic. As the translocase recognizes only unfolded proteins, we anticipated that the signal

Figure 4. Grafting stable native contacts between PpiB and PpiA interconverted folding behaviours.

- A Pipeline for selecting residues that affect folding behaviour using the Frustratometer and 3D structures of PpiB (PDB 2[NUL](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=2NUL); 1[LOP\)](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP) and PpiA (PDB 1[V](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T)9T; 1[VAI;](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1VAI) 1J2[A\)](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1J2A) to test with grafting (details in Dataset EV7).
- B Highly stabilized, dissimilar native contacts indicated on a linear map with the secondary structural elements on top.
- C The side chains of native contact residues (green: PpiB; orange: PpiA) indicated on their 3D structure.
- D The native contact grafting scheme between PpiB and PpiA to test their role on folding behaviour.
- E Folding kinetics of PpiB and PpiA grafted mutants, at 4°C, as in Fig 2 (see also Dataset EV3). $n = 2-4$, biological repeats.
- F In vivo secretion of the indicated PpiX-PhoA fusion proteins in MC4100 cells carrying SecY_{prlA4}EG. Secretion is expressed as pmol fusion protein secreted from PhoA activity calculations after removing background (uninduced cells) per pmol protein expressed from western blot analysis in 10^8 cells (Fig. EV4E, Dataset EV9). $n = 6$ (biological triplicates with 3 technical replicates each, s.d.).

Source data are available online for this figure.

peptide might have a profound effect on the folding of PpiA as seen for other proteins (Park et al, [1988;](#page-20-0) Singh et al, [2013](#page-20-0); Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0)).

Folding of PpiA was compared to that of proPpiA using global HDX-MS. As slow-folding kinetics dominated at 4°C and muted the effect of the signal peptide (Fig EV5A), we focused on 25°C. Here, the 3-state folding behaviour of PpiA (folded in 1 min, Fig 2B) was drastically altered by its signal peptide (Fig 5B). proPpiA remained kinetically trapped for > 20 min in the highly unfolded I_{87} . Folding continued through a second intermediate $(I_{69};$ Fig EV5B) to an apparent "folded" state (F') that retained higher D-uptake compared with the corresponding PpiA state (F; Figs 5B vs. 2B, 43 vs. 33% Duptake). Within 20 min, only 25% of proPpiA reached an apparent "folded" state (> 250 times more slowly than PpiA based on $t_{\text{Folded},25\%}$ between proPpiA and PpiA; Dataset EV3A).

Interestingly, the signal peptide of proPpiA fused to PpiB (hereafter proPpiB) delayed its folding as well. ProPpiB was kinetically trapped in an I_{76} intermediate, displayed marginal folding in 20 min and reached an apparent folded state (F'; higher %D-uptake than corresponding PpiB folded state, Fig $2B$) that was about > 400-fold slower than PpiB (based on t_{Folded}, 25% between proPpiB and PpiB; Dataset EV3A).

The signal peptide delays folding, not only in a secretory protein but also slows the folding of a protein optimized for cytoplasmic fast folding.

The signal peptide disturbs the initial foldons of the mature domain

To determine the exact effect that the signal peptide had on the folding landscape of the twins, we employed local HDX-MS (Fig 5C and D, Dataset EV5, colour map in Appendix Fig S3A and C). Foldon formation in proPpiA was significantly slower and altered compared to that in PpiA (Figs 5C compared with 3B and D, and EV5E; foldon spectra in Appendix Fig S4; non-folding region was removed from analysis; Fig EV5D). In proPpiA, folding started with the slow, partial formation of foldon A (∼11-times slower than in PpiA; Dataset EV5), followed by partial formation of C (β3), extension of A and partial formation of B (only β1 formed; Fig $5E$). These partial initial

Figure 5. Effect of signal peptide on folding of the twins.

A Linear map of the signal peptide/early mature domain region of proPpiA.

B Folding kinetics of proPpiA and proPpiB (the signal peptide plus N-terminal tail of PpiA fused to PpiB), at 25°C (as in Fig 2; rates in Dataset EV3A). $n = 2$ biological repeats.

C, D Folding kinetics of proPpiA and proPpiB, at 25°C, monitored by local HDX-MS (Dataset EV4; $n = 3$ biological repeats), were analysed by PyHDX to determine the folded fractions per residue (Dataset EV5). The time needed to reach 50% of folded fraction ($t_{50\%}$ values; only for the mature domains shown here) was plotted as in Fig 3; see extended dataset colour map in Appendix Fig S3.

E, F Foldons, coloured (as in C, D) on the PpiA (1V9[T;](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T) E) and PpiB (1[LOP;](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP) F) 3D structures. The indicated time points are as follows: for proPpiA (t_{50%} of 0.9-2.0-2.3-20.8 min) and for proPpiB ($t_{50\%}$ of 0.06-0.08-0.44-1.2 min; Dataset EV5).

Source data are available online for this figure.

foldons only formed a limited loose structure presumably corresponding to I_{87} seen in global HDX-MS (Fig $5B$). At 24 h of incubation, proPpiA reached ∼77% foldedness compared with the native PpiA (Dataset EV5).

Similar effects, albeit less prominent were seen in proPpiB (Fig 5D; colour map in Appendix Fig S3B and D). Some foldons still formed very quickly such as A_1 (slightly slower in proPpiB compared with PpiB; Fig EV5E), followed by more extended foldons C_{1+2} , B and F (Fig 5F; Appendix Fig S3B and D) and missing the majority of α 1 similar to proPpiA. At 24 h, proPpiB reached ∼89% foldedness compared with native PpiB (Dataset EV5).

The signal peptide modulated the protein folding pathway by obstructing or delaying the formation of critical initial foldons.

Figure 6. Dynamics of the signal peptide and early mature domain and their effect on in vivo secretion.

- A Folding kinetics of proPpiA and proPpiB, monitored by local HDX-MS (Dataset EV4; $n = 3$ biological repeats), were analysed by PyHDX to determine the degree of unfoldedness per residue (Dataset EV6; Fig EV5C). %D-uptake for the 5-s folding time, at 25°C (y-axis) for the indicated N-terminal regions (PpiA N-tail included in proPpiB, predicted signal peptide helix in bold) were plotted along the aligned sequences (x-axis). Reduced %D-uptake relative to the U state (red) indicates gain of secondary structure. Top; signal peptide, foldons (B and D) (Appendix Fig S1D; see also Dataset EV6; Fig EV5C). Red: unfolded pre-forms, purple: native proteins. Gaps: No coverage.
- B In vivo secretion of the indicated PpiX-PhoA fusions by the wildtype SecYEG (as in Fig 4F, data in Dataset EV9B). Expression levels in Fig EV5F. n = 6 (biological triplicates with 3 technical replicates each, s.d.).

Flexibility and stability of the signal peptide during preprotein refolding

Preproteins and primarily signal peptides lack a defined native folded state and cannot be expressed as folded fractions as done above for mature domains. To follow the conformational dynamics of the signal peptide as it disturbs mature domain folding, we examined its degree of unfoldedness per residue (%D-uptake) over time (defined using the per-residue RFU function of PyHDX, see pipeline in Fig EV3B). Here, the D-uptake of the unfolded state for each residue (protein in 6 M urea) was set as 100% (obtained as weighted average of peptides), the non-deuterated as 0% and all other values of every folding timepoint were expressed relative to this. Hence, any secondary structure acquisition by the signal peptide is seen as a reduction in D-uptake (Fig 6A; Dataset EV6).

In proPpiA, part of the signal peptide core, specifically the beginning and middle of the predicted α-helical region, became stabilized within 5 s of folding (48–65% D-uptake; Fig 6A, top). In contrast, the rest of the helix and the signal peptide's N- and C-regions remained highly flexible. The elevated dynamics continued into the mature domain, destabilizing foldons B and D (Fig 3B; rest of protein in Fig EV5C). This would delay folding of the whole mature domain (Fig 5C).

In proPpiB, the signal peptide displayed similar dynamics but became more rigidified (39–67% D-uptake), forming a more extensive, stabilized helical structure (Fig 6A, bottom). The rest of signal peptide sequence and early mature domain were flexible but less so than in proPpiA (Fig 6A, top, full protein in Fig EV5C). In proPpiB, segments of foldon B started acquiring stability (particularly β2) similarly to what was seen in PpiB (Fig 6A, bottom, blue dashed line).

The signal peptide allows high secretion efficiency for both PpiA and PpiB

The signal peptide blocked the folding pathway of the twins in vitro. To test whether this is reflected on export, we examined the secretion of the twins' pre-forms in vivo, using the PhoA reporter system described above (full analysis in Dataset EV9B, expression levels in Fig EV5F).

Signal peptide-bearing and signal-less fusions were tested in parallel in cells carrying wildtype SecYEG (Fig 6B). While secretion of signal-less PpiA and PpiB by the wildtype translocase was negligible, both pre-forms were secreted equally well.

Discussion

How evolution has manipulated highly efficient protein folding in order to delay it and facilitate translocation remains unclear. Using a structural twin pair, we revealed intrinsic adaptations that slowed down the folding of a secretory mature domain twin. Addition of a secretion-specific add-on, a N-terminal signal peptide, further delayed it.

Folding of both the secretory PpiA and its cytoplasmic homologue PpiB followed a defined three-stage pathway with a single intermediate (Fig 2B). The process was hierarchical: a small number (4–6) of initial foldons became stabilized in a defined order before collective, rapid, near-simultaneous, presumably cooperative folding occurred by the remaining foldons (Fig 3; Appendix Fig S2). These initial foldons had features similar to those observed in other studies but were better resolved, in some cases down to three residues (Maity et al, [2005](#page-19-0); Walters et al, [2013](#page-20-0); Englander & Mayne, [2014\)](#page-18-0). Remarkably, the order of formation of the initial foldons in the twins was similar but not identical (Nickson & Clarke, [2010\)](#page-19-0) following a different order to yield intermediates (Fig 3; Appendix Fig S2). Folding was driven by small differences between the foldons of each twin. Minor side chain changes altered hydrophobicity, bulkiness and degree of residue frustration in the native structure (Fig 1C; 4°C). Changes in loops/β-turns and increased local flexibility around foldons (e.g. at the N terminus of PpiA) might have restricted or favoured the extent of stochastic collisions between folding segments (Fig 1B–D). Low temperature, presumably by weakening hydrophobic contacts and dynamics, exacerbated the effect of such components in folding (Figs 2 and 3; Baldwin, [1986](#page-18-0); Tilton Jr et al, [1992;](#page-20-0) van Dijk et al, [2015;](#page-20-0) Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0)).

Cytoplasmic proteins like PpiB are expected to form multiple foldons with substantial native structure soon after coming out of the ribosome (Figs $2B$ and 3). Meanwhile, secreted proteins like PpiA would remain longer in minimally folded states, in a signal peptide-independent manner (Figs 2B, and 3B and D). Their mature domain intrinsic adaptations allow them to slow down, or limit, the formation of initial foldons, enabling secretion compatibility (Huber et al, [2005b;](#page-19-0) Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0)). Differences in efficiency of foldons could have major repercussions in facilitating downstream recognition and secretion steps.

Our analysis suggested that even subtle changes would have sufficed to alter the folding fate of a hypothetical primordial ancestor cytoplasmic protein to facilitate its secretion. A grafting experiment clarified that this can be specifically guided by a few highly stabilized, key native contacts that have critical long-range interactions between or within the initial foldons (Fig 4C). These contacts determined whether an intermediate was quickly formed or delayed (Fig 4E), a key aspect for secretability (Fig 4F).

Secretory mature domains have evolved to display slower folding. Collectively, their sequences bear hallmarks that facilitate this process (Figs 2 and 3; Chatzi et al, [2017](#page-18-0); Sardis et al, [2017](#page-20-0); Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0)): enhanced disorder, reduced hydrophobicity, increased number of β-stranded structures, etc. (Loos et al, [2019](#page-19-0)). While this enables them to avoid folding during their cytoplasmic and inner membrane crossing, it begs the question of how this inherent property is overcome once across the inner membrane and beyond, when stable final folded structures must be acquired. Interestingly, the native secretome proteins are more stable than their cytoplasmic counterparts (Loos et al, [2019](#page-19-0)), as exemplified here in the Ppi twins (Fig EV1). This could be the result of higher conformational entropy due to regions with increased flexibility (Fig 1B), requiring more effort to unfold due to the low gain in entropy as observed in thermophilic cytochrome c (Liu et al, [2018\)](#page-19-0). In PpiA, a core initial foldon, such as B, formed rapidly but possibly due to suboptimal residues did not connect well to foldon A (Fig 1C and D) which was very slow to form, leading to differential foldon pathways. Despite delaying folding, this did not prevent PpiA from acquiring a structure similar to its cytoplasmic counterpart PpiB in the end (Fig 1B). Additional means of stabilization of secreted proteins, once at their final location, include use of disulphide bonding, tight binding of prosthetic groups, formation of quaternary complexes and for outer membrane proteins, and embedding in the lipid bilayer (De Geyter et al, [2016\)](#page-18-0).

The evolutionary tinkering towards generating maximally nonfolding states is not uniformly extensive for all secretory proteins (Chun et al, [1993;](#page-18-0) Tsirigotaki et al, [2018\)](#page-20-0). Over-optimization of non-folding in the cytoplasm might yield highly secreted yet nonfolded molecules. Where mature domains could not be tinkered with further, due to penalties in folding or function, the cell relied on signal peptides (Randall & Hardy, [1986\)](#page-20-0). They delay folding of mature domains during their cytoplasmic transit, stabilizing kinetically trapped, loosely folded intermediates (Fig 5B; Randall & Hardy, [1986](#page-20-0), [1989;](#page-20-0) Huber et al, [2005a](#page-19-0); Singh et al, [2013](#page-20-0); Tsirigotaki et al, [2018](#page-20-0)) and are proteolytically removed on the trans-side of the membrane. As revealed here, signal peptides quickly acquire partial α-helical structure in their core while maintaining disordered Cterminal ends (Fig 6A) that translates into the early mature domain, preventing some of the crucial initial foldons located there from being stabilized (Figs 5C–F and 6A). As a result, subsequent folding is rendered ineffective.

As an exogenous add-on, the signal peptide of PpiA also blocked folding of the cytoplasmic PpiB, although less efficiently than proPpiA (Fig 5F vs. E) and led to similar levels of secretion (Fig 6B).

Figure 7. Model of folding initiation in PpiA and its manipulation by the signal peptide.

Folding initiation in PpiA using foldons B (from the two N-terminal β-strands) and A as suggested by rigidification of early folding regions, H-bonding and stabilized by native contacts (see text for details). The signal peptide causes disorder in the early mature domain and blocks this process either in "cis" (preventing stable H-bonding in foldon B) or in "trans" (directly using parts of foldon B).

This suggested that signal peptide and internal mature domain properties may co-evolve in secretory proteins so as to optimally stall their cytoplasmic folding, thereby maintaining them translocationcompetent. The signal peptide effect was strongly dominant and able to manipulate the folding features of the cytoplasmic PpiB. However, there are many cases of signal peptides that are inefficient in delaying folding and fail to secrete fast-folding native E. coli proteins (Huber et al, [2005a](#page-19-0), [2005b](#page-19-0)) or heterologous proteins of biotechnological interest (Zhang et al, [2018](#page-21-0); Peng et al, [2019](#page-20-0)). In addition to a role in cytoplasmic non-folding, we hypothesize that most secretory mature domains need to remain unfolded in the cell envelope even after their signal peptide has been cleaved. Such proteins need to traffic further, be modified or bind prosthetic groups (De Geyter et al, [2016](#page-18-0)). How some signal peptides are competent to slow down folding and drive secretion of certain proteins remains unclear and will require future studies.

We assume that the signal peptide's dramatic effect on preventing folding of the succeeding mature domain folding sequence was likely due to its proximity to the initial foldons of the mature domain, primarily B, D and A (Figs 6A and 7, top). Of note, the initial foldons in PpiA, PpiB, MBP (Walters et al, [2013](#page-20-0)), RNase H (Hu et al, [2013](#page-19-0)) and Cytochrome c (Hu et al, [2016](#page-19-0)) whose folding has been dissected in detail to date with local HDX-MS, are all located at or near the N-termini of these proteins, according to primary sequence or 3D structure. In this context, it is interesting that Foldon A of PpiB that is located a long way downstream in the linear sequence is not affected by the signal peptide but its interaction with the N-terminal Foldon B is (Fig 5C and D). An N-terminal location makes sense as a choice for initial foldons, as these regions exit the ribosome (in cytoplasmic proteins) or/and the Sec translocase (in secretory proteins) first. In either case, these would be the first regions that are available for folding (Raimondi et al, [2019](#page-20-0)), before the rest of the polypeptide (C terminus) is even synthesized or available for interactions (Jacobs & Shakhnovich, [2017](#page-19-0)). Hence, it is interesting to speculate that N-terminal foldons might be a widespread polypeptide feature that can be manipulated by N-terminal signal peptides or by chaperones during ribosomal exit (Smets et al, [2019](#page-20-0)). Extensive folding datasets, currently unavailable from most proteins (Pancsa *et al*, [2016\)](#page-20-0), are required to test this. Secretory chaperones such as SecB, Trigger Factor and SecA might bind to prevent early foldon formation on secretory proteins that would further delay their folding behaviour or ability to be secreted (Saio et al, [2014;](#page-20-0) Huang et al, [2016](#page-19-0)).

Finally, to postulate how signal peptides block the first initiating foldons from forming, we considered "cis" and "trans" models (Fig 7, bottom). In the cis model, accommodation of the signal peptide's bulky hydrophobic core in the aqueous environment is frustrated and this leads to high signal peptide mobility, partial helical structure and enhanced disorder (Fig 6A). These effects are translated via the conformational rheostat (Sardis et al, [2017](#page-20-0)) to enhanced dynamics in the early mature domain and destabilization of the critical initial foldons. In the trans model,

the hydrophobic helix of the signal peptide exploits the flexible connecting linker to physically interact with exposed hydrophobic residues on initial foldons (e.g. residues participating in critical highly stabilized native contacts), thus making these residues unavailable for foldon formation. As the folding process is

hierarchical and vectorial, that is, N-terminal foldons must form first, in both cases downstream steps of the folding process are blocked or slowed down. Testing these models will require probing the signal peptide properties and dynamics in parallel to monitoring the folding reaction.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and Tools table

Reagents and Tools table (continued)

Methods and Protocols

Protein preparation

Genes were inserted into the indicated plasmids by restriction enzyme digestion and ligation using T4 DNA Ligase (Promega). Restriction sites for the gene of interest and mutations were added using PCR with PFU Ultra Polymerase (Stratagene) containing templates and primers as indicated (Appendix Tables S1 and S2). Other constructs were designed as synthetic genes cloned in expression vectors (GenScript). To synthesize proteins, E. coli expression cells (Appendix Table S3) were transformed with pET22b vectors carrying the derivative gene (Appendix Table $S4$) to produce $His₆$ -tagged proteins. The cells were grown in LB medium and induced with 0.1 mM IPTG at 37°C for 3 h or 18°C overnight. In case of preproteins, 5 mM MgCl₂ was added to the medium before growth to stabilize the signal peptide and 4 mM sodium azide was added before induction to abolish SecA-dependent secretion and thus prevent signal peptide cleavage [19]. Cells were collected $(4,500 \times g; 4^{\circ}C;$ 15 min; Avanti J-26S XPI, JLA 8.1000 rotor; Beckman) and stored at −20°C until purification.

For soluble and denaturing purification, cells are resolubilized in buffer S-A and U-A (buffers in Appendix Table S5), respectively, containing 50 μg/ml DNase I and 2.5 mM PMSF; and were lysed with a French press (1,000 psi; 5–6 rounds; pre-cooled cylinder; Thermo). Soluble proteins were separated using centrifugation of lysed cells (26,600 \times g; 30 min; 4°C, Sorvall RC 6 plus, Fisher Scientific) to remove the insoluble fractions. The proteins present in inclusion bodies or insoluble fraction were resolubilized in buffer U-B using a Dounce homogenizer and centrifuged $(26,600 \times g;$ 30 min; 4°C, Sorvall RC 6 plus, Fisher Scientific) to remove the insoluble membrane fraction. The urea-solubilized supernatant was diluted with buffer U-A to 6 M Urea. Soluble/Urea-solubilized protein fractions were run through a $Ni²⁺-NTA$ Agarose resin (Qiagen) packed in a gravity-flow column pre-equilibrated with buffer S-A/U-A (gravity flow; 1 ml/min) and washed with buffer S-A/U-C and S-B/U-D (10 column volumes each). Proteins were eluted with buffer

S-B/U-E supplemented with 200/100 mM imidazole, incubated with EDTA (10 mM; 10 min, ice) and dialyzed (12–14 kDa MW cut-off, Medicell Membranes Ltd.); in buffer S-C/U-F (overnight, 4°C) followed by buffer S-D/U-G (overnight, 4°C). Protein aliquots were stored at −20°C. Protein purity was determined on Coomassie gels using SDS–PAGE and in case of MS analysis, denatured, nondeuterated proteins were run on global HDX-MS (see below).

Measuring protein concentration

Protein concentration was determined by spectroscopic measurements (280 nm; Nanodrop 2000; Thermo) in the range of 0.3–3 mg/ ml (linear range of the OD measurements; Stoscheck, [1990](#page-20-0)). The concentration was measured according to the molecular weight and extinction coefficients of each protein, determined using the ExPASy server ([http://web.expasy.org/protparam/\)](http://web.expasy.org/protparam/). Centrifugal ultrafiltration concentrators were used to concentrate protein samples [10 kDa cut-off, Viva products, Vivaspin 500 for small volumes $(12,000 \times g; 4^{\circ}C)$ and Vivaspin 4 for larger volumes $(4,500 \times g;$ 4° C)].

Native state dynamics with Local Hydrogen-Deuterium exchange (HDX) mass spectrometry (MS)

Local HDX-MS conditions and analysis routines have been described in detail in Krishnamurthy et al ([2021](#page-19-0)) and preprint: Krishnamurthy et al ([2022](#page-19-0)). Specific conditions used in this study are detailed below.

Labelling experiment

Proteins were dialyzed O/N in buffer B at 4°C. A 100 μM protein stock was prepared and equilibrated at 30°C together with labelling buffers. Labelling buffers were prepared from lyophilized aliquots of buffer A resolubilized in D_2O (pD 8.0) with 5 mM DTT and 1 mM EDTA. The protein stock was diluted and labelled in 90% labelling buffer (4 μM protein) for 10 s, 30 s, 1 min, 5 min, 10 min and 30 min at 30°C. The reaction was quenched with pre-chilled quenching buffer (6 M Urea, 0.1% DDM, 5 mM TCEP, formic acid to pD 2.5) on ice. A fully deuterated control was added, where the protein was labelled O/N at 50 \degree C. $n = 3$ technical repeats.

MS analysis

This is identical to the analysis of refolding with local HDX-MS (see below). DynamX data of the defined peptides with average D-uptake and standard deviations, presented in Dataset EV4 (as suggested in Masson et al, [2019\)](#page-19-0), have been further analysed using PyHDX (see below).

Derivation of ΔG values per residue using PyHDX

ΔG values per residue were derived using PyHDX (v0.4.1 (68624c40) (Smit et al, [2021](#page-20-0))). A fully deuterated control sample was used to correct for back exchange. PyHDX settings used for fitting ΔG values: stop_loss: 0.05, stop patience: 50, learning rate: 10, momentum: 0.5. The first and second regularizer values were set at 0.1 and 0.05, respectively, where the latter acts as a damping term for differences between the aligned proteins (Smit et al, [2021\)](#page-20-0).

Refolding kinetics with Global Hydrogen-Deuterium exchange (HDX) mass spectrometry (MS)

Protein refolding

Proteins dialyzed in buffer C were incubated at 37°C for 40 min for maximal denaturation, diluted to 6 M Urea and pre-chilled on ice for 40 min. To reduce the proteins to mimic cytoplasmic conditions, they were treated with 100 mM DTT; 5 mM EDTA at 4°C for 20 min and centrifuged $(20,000 \times g; 15 \text{ min}; 4^{\circ}\text{C})$ prior to refolding. The pre-treated denatured protein was used as a control for max H/D exchange. The refolding experiment was initiated by diluting the denatured protein in aqueous buffer to 0.2 M urea; 5 mM DTT and 1 mM EDTA (18 μM protein). For refolding at 4° C, samples were pulse-labelled with an excess of D_2O at 20 s, 40 s, 60 s, 5 min, 10 min, 15 min, 20 min, 30 min and 1 h (inc. 24 h if necessary). And for refolding at 25°C, samples were pulse-labelled at 10 s, 20 s, 40 s, 60 s, 2 min 30 s and 5 min (inc. 10 min, 30 min and 1 h if necessary). In case soluble native protein was purified, this was added as a natively folded control. $n = 2$ biological repeats.

Deuterium pulse-labelling

Labelling buffers were made from lyophilized aliquots of buffer A and were directly resolubilized in D_2O (99.9% atom D, Sigma Aldrich P/N 151882) or after adding 6 M Urea-d₄ (98% atom D, Sigma Aldrich P/N 176087). Isotope pulse-labelling during refolding was performed with 0.2 M Urea-d₄ (pD 8.0; 95.52%(v/v) D₂O) for 100 s to 0.8 μM protein on ice. Labelling was quenched with prechilled formic acid (to pD 2.5), snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until MS analysis. Denatured controls were labelled with 6 M Urea-d₄ (pD 8.0; 95.52%(v/v) D₂O), 5 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA on ice for 100 s (t0 control) and 1 h (fully deuterated control). Native controls were prepared in buffer A containing 0.2 M urea; 5 mM DTT; 1 mM EDTA to mimic folding conditions and labelled identical to refolding samples.

MS analysis

For mass determination, unlabelled proteins (0.8 μM) were prepared in buffer A (150 μl) with 0.23% formic acid and analysed with the MS. (Un)labelled samples were manually injected on a nanoAC-QUITY UPLC System with HDX technology (Waters) online-coupled

with a Synapt G2 ESI-Q-TOF instrument (Waters) for intact protein analysis. The UPLC chamber was set at 0.2°C to reduce back exchange and contained solvent A and B $(ddH_2O + 0.23\%$ (v/v) formic acid and Acetonitrile + 0.23% formic acid, respectively). Proteins were trapped on a MassPREP Micro Desalting column (1,000 Å, 20 mm, 2.1×5 mm, Waters) and desalted at 250 μ l/min for 2 min with solvent A and subsequently eluted using a linear gradient of solvent B 5–90% over 3 min. The remaining protein was washed from the column with 90% solvent B for 1 min, 5% solvent B for 1 min and again 90% solvent B for 1 min before returning to the initial conditions for re-equilibration.

Positively charged ions in the range of 50–2,000 m/z were analysed after ionization and desolvation with the following parameters: capillary voltage 3.0 kV, Sampling cone 25V, Extraction cone 3.6V, source temperature 80°C, desolvation gas flow 650 l/h at 175°C. Leucine Enkephalin solution (2 ng/ μ l in 50:50 ACN:ddH₂O with 0.1% formic acid, Waters) was co-infused at 5 μl/min for accurate mass measurements.

Protein relative D-uptake determination

Data analysis was performed manually with ESI-Prot, Excel and Python. Deuterium uptake was normalized to the maximum deuteration control (fully denatured protein) and calculated as follows:

$$
\%\text{Relative D uptake} = \left(\frac{M_L - M_{\text{UNL}}}{M_{FD} - M_{\text{UNL}}}\right) \times 100
$$

Where M_L = mass of the labelled sample, M_{UNL} = mass of the unlabelled sample, M_{FD} = mass of the fully deuterated control (fully denatured protein).

First, the D-uptake of the different folding states was calculated using the whole m/z spectra that was analysed with ESI-Prot where the average mass of each peak was calculated (Dataset EV2; Winkler, [2010](#page-20-0)). Next, a single charged state of the highest intensity was selected for plotting D-uptake as a function of folding time within a 25 m/z window/range. The highest intensity was set at 100%. First, the mass spectra from every timepoint were smoothed (Savitzky-Golay, window: 15, number: 5) and baseline corrected by subtracting a polynomial of degree 1 (using PeakUtils (Hermann & Christophe, [2017\)](#page-19-0)). The corrected spectra containing multimodal distributions were integrated into one to express each mode/folding state as population fractions. The m/z values were converted to % D-uptake by setting the D-uptake of the FD control as 100% and that of the non-deuterated control as 0%, reflecting the degree of unfoldedness (see scripts in Data Availability).

Presentation of global HDX-MS folding spectra as colour maps

The time course of the different folding states (Fig EV2A) of the single charged peak was shown in a folding colour map where we follow the states based on their degree of unfoldedness (%D-uptake). To create a continuous folding colour map from discrete folding timepoints, the population fractions were linearly interpolated (using NumPy). After which, they were plotted with a "magma" colour map from MatPlotLib using a colour scale from 0 to 0.35 to have a clear visualisation of all folding populations despite their lower fractions (See values in Dataset EV3B). This might give some altered view of the fractions above 0.35 as the bands only broaden after reaching the brightest colour (see comparison in Dataset EV3C) but is the optimal display with the bright

colours of the gradient. The unfolded control was displayed as a separate slice on the left where the protein is in 6 M urea before the actual folding pathway is shown in 0.2 M Urea on the right. For the selected charged state, the m/z values were processed to %D-uptake from the molecular weight determination and with the D-uptake of the protein in 6 M urea set as 100%. The script is accessible through GitHub (see Data Availability).

Refolding kinetics with Local Hydrogen-Deuterium exchange (HDX) mass spectrometry (MS)

Refolding kinetics with pulse-labelling

Proteins dialyzed in buffer C were incubated at 37°C for 20–30 min for complete denaturation, diluted to 6 M Urea and pre-chilled on ice for 10 min and treated with 100 mM DTT; 5 mM EDTA at 4°C and centrifuged (20,000 \times g; 15 min; 4°C) prior to refolding (40 μ M protein during refolding). The pre-treated denatured protein was used as a control for max H/D exchange. For refolding at 4 and 25°C, samples were pulse-labelled at 5 s, 10 s, 20 s, 30 s, 40 s, 60 s, 2 min 30 s, 5 min, 10 min, 15 min, 20 min and 30 min (inc. 45 min, 1 h, 3 h and 16 h if necessary). An additional $t_{\text{folding time}} = 0$ control (referred to as $t = 0$ in Dataset EV4) was added where the denatured protein was added directly to deuterated buffer for the standard HDX time = 10 s, to observe the fastest folding events (H-bonding faster than D-uptake). The PpiA and PpiB soluble native proteins were used as natively folded controls. $n = 3$ biological replicates.

Labelling buffers were prepared as described for global HDX. Isotope pulse-labelling during folding was performed with 0.2 M Urea d_4 (pD 8.0; 95.52% (v/v) D₂O) for 10 s to 1.8 μM protein at the same temperature as folding. Labelling was quenched with Quenching buffer (7.37 M Urea-d4, 7.8% FA) to pD 2.5 (final protein concentration of 1.1 μM) and kept for 2 min at 4°C. During this time, samples were centrifuged $(20,000 \times g; 1.5 \text{ min}; 4^{\circ}\text{C})$. Only supernatants were injected. The denatured controls were labelled with 6 M Uread₄ (pD 8.0; 95.52% (v/v) D₂O), 5 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA for 10 s at 4°C (fully deuterated control). Native controls were prepared in buffer A containing 0.2 M urea; 5 mM DTT; 1 mM EDTA to mimic folding conditions and were labelled identically to folding samples.

MS analysis

The same instrument was used as in global HDX-MS. For local HDX-MS, the protein was first digested at 16°C through an immobilized pepsin (Sigma) cartridge (2 mm \times 2 cm, Idex) or Nepenthesin-2 (Affipro) cartridge (column- 2.1×20 mm). The UPLC chamber was set at $2^{\circ}C$ to avoid back exchange, and the resulting peptides were trapped onto a VanGuard C₁₈ Pre-column (130 Å, 1.7 mm, 2.1 \times 5 mm, Waters) at 100 μl/min for 3 min using ddH2O with 0.23% (v/v) formic acid. Peptides were subsequently separated on a C_{18} analytical column (130 Å, 1.7 mm, 1×100 mm, Waters) at 40 μl/min. UPLC separation (solvent A: 0.23% v/v formic acid, solvent B: 0.23% v/v formic acidic acetonitrile) was carried out using a 12-min linear gradient (5–50% solvent B). At the end, solvent B was raised to 90% for 1 min to wash out any remaining protein. The same ionization and desolvation parameters were kept as for intact protein analysis.

The peptide spectrum of the unlabelled protein in buffer B was first determined. Peptide identification was performed using the ProteinLynx Global Server (PLGS v3.0.1, Waters, UK) using the primary sequence of PpiA and PpiB. Peptides were individually assessed for accurate identification and were only considered if they

had a signal-to-noise ratio above 10 and a PLGS score above 7 and if they appeared in 3 replicates for each protein. Data analysis was carried out using DynamX 3.0 (Waters, Milford MA) software to compile and process raw mass spectral data and generate centroid values to calculate relative deuteration values. DynamX data of the defined peptides with average D-uptake and standard deviations, presented in Dataset EV4 (as suggested in Masson et al [2019](#page-19-0)), have been further analysed using PyHDX (see below).

Derivation of folded fraction per residue using PyHDX

Using DynamX, the centroid mass was determined per peptide spectrum to calculate its D-uptake (Dataset EV4). D-uptake triplicates from all timepoints and controls were input on PyHDX version 0.4.1; (Smit et al, 2021), and the folded fraction was determined using the "RFU" web application module in PyHDX. To determine the folded fraction, the centroid mass of the fully deuterated control was set as 0 (ND control field in PyHDX) and that of the final folding point as 1 (FD control field in PyHDX). This yields fraction folded per peptide, and these values were transformed to residue-level folded fractions by weighted averaging (weights are inverse length of the peptides) and were subsequently multiplied by 100 to obtain folded fractions as percentage (Dataset EV5). This final folded state approximates the natively purified protein as the protein reaches a native-like state with a D-uptake plateau. The folded fraction was expressed in a colour map plotting the foldedness of residues over time using a custom colour map with a gradient from white with increasing darker blue for 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% folded fractions. These fractions were determined from interpolation between folded fractions in our discrete experimental timepoints.

Next, time to reach 80 and 50% folded fraction $(t_{80\%}$ and $t_{50\%})$ was interpolated from the PpiB and PpiA dataset, respectively. The $t_{80\%}$ and $t_{50\%}$ were used to define the size and order of the initial foldons. Each foldon was given a letter (alphabetical order) and colour to show the folding order. The script is accessible through GitHub (see Data Availability).

Derivation of degree of unfoldedness per residue for preproteins using PyHDX

For preproteins, the degree of unfoldedness (%D-uptake) was determined setting the fully denatured (FD) control as 100% D-uptake, non-deuterated as 0% D-uptake and the D-uptake resulting from Dexposure during the labelling pulse after the protein was allowed to fold for a set of timepoints were compared with this control (Dataset EV6).

Circular Dichroism (CD) spectropolarimetry

CD spectra were recorded in the far UV range (190–260 nm) using a J-1500 spectropolarimeter (Jasco) equipped with a six-position cuvette holder and a Peltier device to regulate temperature (typically 2–18 μM protein to satisfy −5 to −20 mdeg signal range; 1 mm quartz cuvettes).

For thermal denaturation analysis, native proteins were dialyzed twice in buffer A (1 l; overnight; 4°C followed by 1 l; 1 h; 4°C before measurements). Protein spectra (15 μM) were recorded at 222 nm (minima) from 20 to 90°C with data taken every 0.5°C (CD scale 200 mdeg/1.0 dOD; D.I.T. 0.5 s). Denaturation curves were smoothed with a Butterworth filter (filter order of 3 and cut-off frequency of 0.1), followed by manually calculating the derivative

 $y = (y_{n+1}-y_n)/x + 0.5*(x_{n+1}-x_n)$ of the curve and defining the x value for the maximum y value (NumPy function) which corresponds to the transition temperature (Python script).

For chemical denaturation analysis using urea, native proteins were diluted 100x in buffer B containing different urea concentrations (final protein concentration 15 μ M) and equilibrated, where the time to equilibrate was determined using denaturation kinetics after diluting in 8 M urea. Spectra were measured at 210–260 nm (CD scale 20 mdeg/0.05 dOD; Data pitch 0.5 nm; D.I.T. 0.5 s; 20 accumulations), and the values at 222 nm were plotted. Denaturation curves were fitted using a two-state transition model to determine the apparent denaturation temperature (Python) using the equation (Clarke & Fersht, [1993](#page-18-0); Lowe et al, [2018](#page-19-0)):

$$
F = e^{m(x-d50)/RT}/\left(1 + e^{m(x-d50)/RT}\right)
$$

With *F* as fraction unfolded, *m* as *m*-value (cal*mol⁻¹*M⁻¹), *x* as denaturation concentration (M) , d50 as denaturation midpoint, R as Universal Gas Constant (kcal*mol $^{-1}$ * K^{-1}) and T as Temperature (Kelvin). The script is accessible through GitHub (see Data Availability).

Intrinsic fluorescence

Intrinsic fluorescence of tyrosine residues was recorded for PpiA and PpiB due to the lack of Tryptophane in PpiA. This was performed in a Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies) with a 4-cell holder (15 μM of protein in 1 cm quartz cuvettes; Helma) and cooled with a Peltier device.

For thermal denaturation analysis, native proteins were diluted in buffer B. Protein spectra were recorded with excitation (slit: 2.5 nm) at 260 nm and emission (slit: 20 nm) at 304 nm (PpiA) or 327 nm (PpiB) for 15–90°C in steps of 0.5°C at 1°C/min. Similar to CD data analysis, denaturation curves were smoothed with a Butterworth filter (filter order of 3 and cut-off frequency of 0.1), followed by plotting the derivative of the curve and defining its minimum which corresponds to the transition temperature. The script is accessible through GitHub (see Data Availability).

Protein sequence and structure analysis

FASTA protein sequences were retrieved from [https://www.](https://www.uniprot.org) [uniprot.org](https://www.uniprot.org) and aligned using Clustal Omega (Sievers et al, [2011;](#page-20-0) from [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/\)](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). Protein structures with PDB codes were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (RCSB, [http://www.rcsb.org/\)](http://www.rcsb.org/), visualized, studied and aligned with PyMOL software.

Bioinformatics tools

Frustratometer-based analysis

Information about the native energy and frustration of residues in the native structures was derived from existing PDB structures with the AWSEM-MD (Associative memory, Water mediated, Structure and Energy Model) Frustratometer (Jenik et al, [2012](#page-19-0); Parra et al, [2016\)](#page-20-0). An averaged-out frustration index (Z-score) was calculated from all the available PDB structures. The Frustratometer calculates empirical native energy based on potential of mean force that depends on the contact counts, type of residue interaction and solvent accessibility. The AWSEM energy function refers to additional incorporation of water-mediated interactions instead of only hydrophobic ones. Frustration is determined by comparing native to decoy residues at each location and calculating whether the native or other residues are good fits by comparing their energy function in this new environment. We focused on the configurational frustration to define the frustration of each interaction pair in the 3D structures that are a direct output from the Frustratometer with the highly [red; (Fig 1C)] and minimally (green) frustrated contacts displayed as lines between amino acids. Furthermore, the native energy scores per residue (average of all contacts, Dataset EV7) were determined.

Normal mode analysis

This analysis was performed with Webnm@ using existing PDB structures (Tiwari et al, [2014](#page-20-0)). Total displacement was calculated using the unweighted sum for the first 6 non-trivial normal modes (modes 7–13).

Rosetta-based analysis

The residue/structure compatibility scores (p_aa_pp) were calculated using the PpiA (PDB [1V9T](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T)) and PpiB (PDB [2NUL\)](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP) structures (see Dataset EV1E). The PDBs were relaxed in the torsion space with coordinate constraints and coloured using a gradient from white to red (value 0 to 1, optimal to suboptimal) on the structures using PyMOL (Schrödinger & DeLano, [2020\)](#page-20-0).

In silico mutational scanning was computed using the Rosetta cartesian-ddG application (Frenz et al, [2020\)](#page-19-0). Mutational free energy predictions were computed for every 19 possible substitutions of every residue in PpiA (PDB ID: [1V9T](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T), 3154 substitutions) and PpiB (PDB ID: [1LOP,](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP) 3116 substitutions). The PDB structures were relaxed in the cartesian space before the calculations, as required by the cartesian-ddg protocol [\(https://www.rosettacommons.org/docs/](https://www.rosettacommons.org/docs/latest/cartesian-ddG) [latest/cartesian-ddG\)](https://www.rosettacommons.org/docs/latest/cartesian-ddG). For each mutation, three iterations of the Rosetta total_score calculations were carried out for the wildtype and the mutated variant. The computed total_scores were averaged and subtracted (totalscoreMUT - totalscoreWT) to derive the mutational free energy predictions. ddG values of PpiA and PpiB were aligned and subsequently subtracted residue-wise to obtain mutational differences dddG values. The dddG values were clipped to a symmetric interval containing 95% of datapoints to exclude outlying values. dddG values of all mutations were then averaged to obtain a single per-residue dddG value.

Stride

Calculating the surface accessibility of each residue in existing PDB structures (Frishman & Argos, [1995](#page-19-0)) was performed on the Web Stride Server ([http://webclu.bio.wzw.tum.de/cgi-bin/stride/](http://webclu.bio.wzw.tum.de/cgi-bin/stride/stridecgi.py) [stridecgi.py\)](http://webclu.bio.wzw.tum.de/cgi-bin/stride/stridecgi.py).

Protein hydrophobicity calculations

The GRAVY index (grand average of hydropathy) of proteins was calculated based on the Kyte-Doolittle hydrophobicity scale (Kyte & Doolittle, [1982](#page-19-0)) using the ExPaSy ProtScale server [\(https://web.](https://web.expasy.org/protscale/) [expasy.org/protscale/;](https://web.expasy.org/protscale/) Wilkins et al, [1999](#page-20-0)).

Protein polarity calculations

Polarity scores were calculated based on the Grantham scale (Grantham, [1974\)](#page-19-0) using the ExPaSy ProtScale server (Wilkins et al, [1999](#page-20-0)).

Early folding predictions

The EFoldMine predictor (Raimondi et al, [2017\)](#page-20-0) of early folding regions was trained on residue-level HDX NMR or MS-based folding data accumulated in the Start2Fold dataset (Pancsa et al, [2016](#page-20-0)) to predict the residues with a primed folding confirmation according to their local neighbourhood (primary sequence). Prediction scores above 0.169 were used to define residue groups with high early folding propensity (see Dataset EV7A).

Quantification and statistical analysis

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of assays from replicates was performed using Excel and Python. Error bars represent standard error or standard deviation, as indicated.

Fitting folding populations in global HDX-MS data

Starting from a single charged state of the MS spectra at each refolding time, the folding states (unfolded, intermediate and folded) were defined by fitting a single peak at their proper position. The complete m/z peak for the unfolded and folded state could be experimentally determined by the fully deuterated control and final folded state to include modification and adduct peaks. Intermediates were modelled as a single Lorentzian curve where the position and width were free fit parameters (Dataset EV3).

This fitting procedure resulted in quantified folding population fractions at each timepoint. The script is accessible through GitHub.

Global HDX-MS ODE model fit

Quantified folding populations were fitted to an ordinary differential equation (ODE) model using python packages symfit (Roelfs & Kroon, [2020\)](#page-20-0) and SciPy (Virtanen et al, [2020\)](#page-20-0). The rate for loss and formation of different folding states was calculated using differential equations. A simple three-state model seemed to optimally describe the folding kinetics for all refolding behaviours in this study:

$$
U \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_1}{\hookleftarrow}} I \overset{k_2}{\to} F
$$

With the Unfolded (U), Intermediate (I) and Folded (F) state whose reactions were described with the following equations:

$$
\frac{d}{dt}U = -k_1 * U + k_{-1} * I
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}F = k_2 * I
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}I = k_1 * U - k_{-1} * I - k_2 * F
$$

where curves with k_1 , k_{-1} and k_2 parameters were fitted against the previously defined datapoints. For this study, we focused primarily on the equilibrium constant $K_1 = \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}}$ for the first folding step. The script is accessible through GitHub.

Ιn vivo secretion assay

Protein secretion efficiency was tested in vivo using C-terminally fused alkaline phosphatase (PhoA). PhoA acts as a secretion reporter as it only becomes an active hydrolase in the periplasm after translocation where it forms disulphide bonds that are necessary to fold and dimerize (Prinz et al, [1996\)](#page-20-0). This will provide information about secretion of the N-terminally fused target protein that guides translocation. PhoA activity was measured using para-Nitrophenylphosphate (PNPP, Thermo Fisher Scientific) as hydrolysis results in a yellow substance (para-Nitrophenol). PhoA fused constructs in pBAD501 were tested in MC4100 cells in combination with $SecY_{pr1A4}EG$ in pET610 that can translocate some protein without the need of signal peptide triggering (Derman et al, [1993](#page-18-0); Smith et al, [2005](#page-20-0)). Translocation was confirmed using a negative control condition with the translocation inhibitor sodium azide.

Cells were grown to OD 0.2–0.25, before being induced (6.67– 13.3 μM arabinose to express the PhoA fusion constructs and 0.05 mM IPTG to express $SecY_{prIA4}EG$) for 30 min. One milliliter of cells were transferred on ice and centrifuged $(1,500 \times g, 8 \text{ min})$, the supernatant was removed, and the cells were redissolved in 1 M Tris–HCl (pH 8.0). The assay was initiated when 0.01 M para-Nitrophenol phosphate (PNPP) was added to 500 μl cells and put at 37°C for 10 to 40 min. The reaction was stopped by transferring the cells back to ice and adding $0.17 M K₂HPO₄$. The cells were broken with 0.17% Triton X-100 and removed by centrifugation (15,500 \times g; 5 min; 4°C). The supernatant was transferred to ELISA plates to measure the PNPP hydrolysis at $OD₄₂₀$ and the cell density at OD_{600} . The OD_{420} values were divided by the assay time to define the amounts of pmol PhoA secreted using the standard curve and converted to secretion per 10⁸ cells (see Dataset EV9A). Background activity was subtracted from the activity from induction with arabinose (and IPTG) as there was no protein expression from the background as indicated from immunostaining. The amount of protein expressed was determined from analysis of $8*10^7$ cells for each protein with SDS–PAGE (12%), followed by immunostaining with antiproPhoA antibody (Chatzi et al, [2017\)](#page-18-0) and secondary peroxidaseconjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (AffiniPure; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). Staining was visualized using the West Pico kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) and a CCD-camera system (LAS-4000; GE Health-care). The amount of protein was quantified using scanning densitometry [Image J (<https://imagej.net>)] with each blot containing a standard curve of 50,100 and 200 ng PhoA, which was adjusted to amounts for 10^8 cells.

Data availability

The Protein Data Bank (RCSB, [http://www.rcsb.org/\)](http://www.rcsb.org/) was used to obtain crystal structures. For PpiA (UniProt [P0AFL3](http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/P0AFL3)), three struc-tures were available from the same study (Konno et al, [2004\)](#page-19-0): PDB [1J2A](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T) (K163T, X-ray, 1.80 A), [1V9T](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP) (K163T, X-ray, 1.70 A, 2 chains) and [1VAI](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1VAI) (K163T, X-ray, 1.80 A, 2 chains). For PpiB (UniProt [P23869](http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/P23869)), two structures were available: PDB [1LOP](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP) (E132V, X-ray, 1.70 Å, Konno et al, [1996](#page-19-0)) and $2NUL$ (WT, X-ray, 2.10 Å, Edwards et al, [1997](#page-18-0)). For all bioinformatics analysis except frustration index, the most resolved structures ([1V9T](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T) for PpiA and [1LOP](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP) for PpiB) were selected.

Protein sequences were retrieved from UniProt ([https://www.](https://www.uniprot.org) [uniprot.org\)](https://www.uniprot.org). For PpiA, [P0AFL3](http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/P0AFL3) was used and for PpiB, [P23869.](http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/P23869)

The Python scripts are available on [https://github.com/](https://github.com/DriesSmets/Non-folding-for-translocation) [DriesSmets/Non-folding-for-translocation](https://github.com/DriesSmets/Non-folding-for-translocation).

The raw Mass Spectrometry data for local and global HDX-MS can be made accessible from the lead author upon reasonable request.

Expanded View for this article is available [online.](https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2022111344)

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to G. Roussel for discussions and advice on the biophysical refolding experiments, J. De Geyter for help with setting up the *in vivo* secretion assay, and J. Van den Schilden for the sequence analysis of PpiA and PpiB. Research in our laboratories was funded by grants (to AE): ProFlow EOS, FWO-FNRS excellence programme (#G0G0818N, FWO-FNRS), CARBS and DOT3S (#G0C6814N and # G0C9322N; FWO); (to SKa): FWO Research Grant (#G0B4915N, Binamics G094522N and G086222N; FWO); (to AE and SKa): FOscil C1 Basic Research (ZKD4582) and (to WV): (Research grant #G028821N; FWO) and (to AV): (VSC (Flemish Supercomputer Center); FWO and the Flemish Government). JHS was a PDM/KU Leuven fellow (PDM/20/167). SKr was a FWO [PEGASUS]² MSC fellow and this project has received funding from the Research Foundation—Flanders (FWO) and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement #665501.

Author contributions

Dries Smets: Data curation, software, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, methodology, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing. Alexandra Tsirigotaki: Resources, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology. Jochem H Smit: Data curation, software, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, methodology, writing-review and editing. Srinath Krishnamurthy: Data curation, validation, methodology. Athina G Portaliou: Resources, data curation, investigation. Anastassia Vorobieva: Resources, data curation, software, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, methodology. Wim Vranken: Resources, data curation, software, formal analysis, visualization, methodology, writing-review and editing. Spyridoula Karamanou: Conceptualization, resources, data curation, formal analysis, supervision, funding acquisition, investigation, visualization, methodology, project administration, writing-review and editing. Anastassios Economou: Conceptualization, resources, data curation, formal analysis, supervision, funding acquisition, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing.

Disclosure and competing interests statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Akiyama Y, Ito K (1993) Folding and assembly of bacterial alkaline phosphatase in vitro and in vivo. J Biol Chem 268: 8146 –8150
- Alford RF, Leaver-Fay A, Jeliazkov JR, O'Meara MJ, DiMaio FP, Park H, Shapovalov MV, Renfrew PD, Mulligan VK, Kappel K et al (2017) The Rosetta all-atom energy function for macromolecular modeling and design. J Chem Theory Comput 13: 3031-3048
- Anfinsen CB (1972) The formation and stabilization of protein structure. Biochem J 128: 737 –749
- Ashkenazy H, Abadi S, Martz E, Chay O, Mayrose I, Pupko T, Ben-Tal N (2016) ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. Nucleic Acids Res 44: W344–W350
- Auclair SM, Bhanu MK, Kendall DA (2011) Signal peptidase I: cleaving the way to mature proteins. Protein Sci 21: 13 –25
- Bahar I, Lezon TR, Bakan A, Shrivastava IH (2010) Normal mode analysis of biomolecular structures: functional mechanisms of membrane proteins. Chem Rev 110: 1463 – 1497
- Bai Y, Milne JS, Mayne L, Englander SW (1993) Primary structure effects on peptide group hydrogen exchange. Proteins 17: 75 – 86
- Baldwin RL (1986) Temperature dependence of the hydrophobic interaction in protein folding. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83: 8069 –8072
- Best RB, Hummer G, Eaton WA (2013) Native contacts determine protein folding mechanisms in atomistic simulations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110: 17874– 17879
- Bittrich S, Schroeder M, Labudde D (2018) Characterizing the relation of functional and Early Folding Residues in protein structures using the example of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. PLoS ONE 13: e0206369
- Bornschlogl T, Rief M (2011) Single-molecule protein unfolding and refolding using atomic force microscopy. Methods Mol Biol 783: 233 – 250
- Braselmann E, Chaney JL, Clark PL (2013) Folding the proteome. Trends Biochem Sci 38: 337 –344
- Casadaban MJ (1976) Transposition and fusion of the lac genes to selected promoters in Escherichia coli using bacteriophage lambda and Mu. J Mol Biol 104: 541 –555
- Chatzi KE, Sardis MF, Tsirigotaki A, Koukaki M, Sostaric N, Konijnenberg A, Sobott F, Kalodimos CG, Karamanou S, Economou A (2017) Preprotein mature domains contain translocase targeting signals that are essential for secretion. J Cell Biol 216: 1357 – 1369
- Chen J, Liu X, Chen J (2018) Atomistic peptide folding simulations reveal interplay of entropy and long-range interactions in folding cooperativity. Sci Rep 8: 13668
- Chun SY, Strobel S, Bassford P Jr, Randall LL (1993) Folding of maltosebinding protein. Evidence for the identity of the rate-determining step in vivo and in vitro. *| Biol Chem* 268: 20855-20862
- Clarke J, Fersht AR (1993) Engineered disulfide bonds as probes of the folding pathway of barnase: increasing the stability of proteins against the rate of denaturation. Biochemistry 32: 4322–4329
- De Geyter J, Portaliou AG, Srinivasu B, Krishnamurthy S, Economou A, Karamanou S (2020) Trigger factor is a bona fide secretory pathway chaperone that interacts with SecB and the translocase. EMBO Rep 21: e49054
- De Geyter J, Tsirigotaki A, Orfanoudaki G, Zorzini V, Economou A, Karamanou S (2016) Protein folding in the cell envelope of Escherichia coli. Nat Microbiol 1: 16107
- Derman AI, Puziss JW, Bassford PJ Jr, Beckwith J (1993) A signal sequence is not required for protein export in prlA mutants of Escherichia coli. EMBO J 12: 879 –888
- Dill KA (1999) Polymer principles and protein folding. Protein Sci 8: 1166–1180
- Dill KA, MacCallum JL (2012) The protein-folding problem, 50 years on. Science 338: 1042 – 1046
- Edwards KJ, Ollis DL, Dixon NE (1997) Crystal structure of cytoplasmic Escherichia coli peptidyl-prolyl isomerase: evidence for decreased mobility of loops upon complexation. J Mol Biol 271: 258 –265
- Englander SW, Mayne L (2014) The nature of protein folding pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111: 15873 –15880
- Englander SW, Mayne L (2017) The case for defined protein folding pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 114: 8253 –8258
- Englander SW, Mayne L, Kan ZY, Hu W (2016) Protein folding-how and why: by hydrogen exchange, fragment separation, and mass spectrometry. Annu Rev Biophys 45: 135 –152
- Englander SW, Mayne L, Krishna MM (2007) Protein folding and misfolding: mechanism and principles. Q Rev Biophys 40: 287 –326
- Ferraro DM, Lazo N, Robertson AD (2004) EX1 hydrogen exchange and protein folding. Biochemistry 43: 587 –594
- Ferreiro DU, Hegler JA, Komives EA, Wolynes PG (2007) Localizing frustration in native proteins and protein assemblies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 19819 –19824
- Ferreiro DU, Komives EA, Wolynes PG (2014) Frustration in biomolecules. Q Rev Biophys 47: 285 –363
- Frenz B, Lewis SM, King I, DiMaio F, Park H, Song Y (2020) Prediction of protein mutational free energy: benchmark and sampling improvements increase classification accuracy. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 8: 558247
- Frishman D, Argos P (1995) Knowledge-based protein secondary structure assignment. Proteins 23: 566 – 579
- Fuller AA, Du D, Liu F, Davoren JE, Bhabha G, Kroon G, Case DA, Dyson HJ, Powers ET, Wipf P et al (2009) Evaluating beta-turn mimics as beta-sheet folding nucleators. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106: 11067 –11072
- Gianni S, Freiberger MI, Jemth P, Ferreiro DU, Wolynes PG, Fuxreiter M (2021) Fuzziness and frustration in the energy landscape of protein folding, function, and assembly. Acc Chem Res 54: 1251 –1259
- Gianni S, Ivarsson Y, Jemth P, Brunori M, Travaglini-Allocatelli C (2007) Identification and characterization of protein folding intermediates. Biophys Chem 128: 105 –113
- Gouridis G, Karamanou S, Gelis I, Kalodimos CG, Economou A (2009) Signal peptides are allosteric activators of the protein translocase. Nature 462: 363 – 367
- Grantham R (1974) Amino acid difference formula to help explain protein evolution. Science 185: 862 – 864
- Hayano T, Takahashi N, Kato S, Maki N, Suzuki M (1991) Two distinct forms of peptidylprolyl-cis-trans-isomerase are expressed separately in periplasmic and cytoplasmic compartments of Escherichia coli cells. Biochemistry 30: 3041 –3048
- Hermann NL, Christophe V (2017) lucashn/peakutils: v1.1.0 (Version v1.1.0). Zenodo
- Ho CS, Lam CW, Chan MH, Cheung RC, Law LK, Lit LC, Ng KF, Suen MW, Tai HL (2003) Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry: principles and clinical applications. Clin Biochem Rev 24: 3-12
- Hodge EA, Benhaim MA, Lee KK (2020) Bridging protein structure, dynamics, and function using hydrogen/deuterium-exchange mass spectrometry. Protein Sci 29: 843– 855
- Hu W, Kan ZY, Mayne L, Englander SW (2016) Cytochrome c folds through foldon-dependent native-like intermediates in an ordered pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113: 3809 –3814
- Hu W, Walters BT, Kan ZY, Mayne L, Rosen LE, Marqusee S, Englander SW (2013) Stepwise protein folding at near amino acid resolution by hydrogen exchange and mass spectrometry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110: 7684– 7689
- Huang C, Saio T, Rossi P, Kalodimos CG (2016) Structural basis for the antifolding activity of a molecular chaperone. Nature 537: 202 –206
- Huber D, Boyd D, Xia Y, Olma MH, Gerstein M, Beckwith J (2005a) Use of thioredoxin as a reporter to identify a subset of Escherichia coli signal sequences that promote signal recognition particle-dependent translocation. *J Bacteriol* 187: 2983-2991
- Huber D, Cha MI, Debarbieux L, Planson AG, Cruz N, Lopez G, Tasayco ML, Chaffotte A, Beckwith J (2005b) A selection for mutants that interfere with folding of Escherichia coli thioredoxin-1 in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 18872 –18877
- Ikura T, Hayano T, Takahashi N, Kuwajima K (2000) Fast folding of Escherichia coli cyclophilin A: a hypothesis of a unique hydrophobic core with a phenylalanine cluster. J Mol Biol 297: 791– 802
- Jacobs WM, Shakhnovich EI (2017) Evidence of evolutionary selection for cotranslational folding. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 114: 11434– 11439
- Jenik M, Parra RG, Radusky LG, Turjanski A, Wolynes PG, Ferreiro DU (2012) Protein frustratometer: a tool to localize energetic frustration in protein molecules. Nucleic Acids Res 40: W348–W351
- Konno M, Ito M, Hayano T, Takahashi N (1996) The substrate-binding site in Escherichia coli cyclophilin A preferably recognizes a cis-proline isomer or a highly distorted form of the trans isomer. J Mol Biol 256: 897 –908
- Konno M, Sano Y, Okudaira K, Kawaguchi Y, Yamagishi-Ohmori Y, Fushinobu S, Matsuzawa H (2004) Escherichia coli cyclophilin B binds a highly distorted form of trans-prolyl peptide isomer. Eur J Biochem 271: 3794– 3803

Krishnamurthy S, Eleftheriadis N, Karathanou K, Smit JH, Portaliou AG, Chatzi KE, Karamanou S, Bondar AN, Gouridis G, Economou A (2021) A nexus of intrinsic dynamics underlies translocase priming. Structure 29: 846 – 858

- Krishnamurthy S, Sardis M-F, Eleftheriadis N, Chatzi KE, Smit JH, Karathanou K, Gouridis G, Portaliou AG, Bondar AN, Karamanou S et al (2022) Preproteins couple the intrinsic dynamics of SecA to its ATPase cycle to translocate via a catch and release mechanism. BioRxiv [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110346)10. 1016[/j.celrep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110346)2022.110346 [PREPRINT]
- Kyte J, Doolittle RF (1982) A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. *| Mol Biol* 157: 105-132
- Leman JK, Weitzner BD, Lewis SM, Adolf-Bryfogle J, Alam N, Alford RF, Aprahamian M, Baker D, Barlow KA, Barth P et al (2020) Macromolecular modeling and design in Rosetta: recent methods and frameworks. Nat Methods 17: 665 –680
- Liu Z, Lemmonds S, Huang J, Tyagi M, Hong L, Jain N (2018) Entropic contribution to enhanced thermal stability in the thermostable P450 CYP119. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115: E10049–E10058
- Loos MS, Ramakrishnan R, Vranken W, Tsirigotaki A, Tsare EP, Zorzini V, Geyter J, Yuan B, Tsamardinos I, Klappa M et al (2019) Structural basis of the subcellular topology landscape of Escherichia coli. Front Microbiol 10: 1670
- Lowe AR, Perez-Riba A, Itzhaki LS, Main ERG (2018) PyFolding: Open-Source Graphing, Simulation, and Analysis of the Biophysical Properties of Proteins. Biophys J 114: 516-521
- Maity H, Maity M, Krishna MM, Mayne L, Englander SW (2005) Protein folding: the stepwise assembly of foldon units. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 4741 –4746
- Marcelino AM, Gierasch LM (2008) Roles of beta-turns in protein folding: from peptide models to protein engineering. Biopolymers 89: 380–391
- Marcsisin SR, Engen JR (2010) Hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry: what is it and what can it tell us? Anal Bioanal Chem 397: 967– 972
- Masson GR, Burke JE, Ahn NG, Anand GS, Borchers C, Brier S, Bou-Assaf GM, Engen JR, Englander SW, Faber J et al (2019) Recommendations for performing, interpreting and reporting hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) experiments. Nat Methods 16: 595 – 602
- Mayor U, Guydosh NR, Johnson CM, Grossmann JG, Sato S, Jas GS, Freund SM, Alonso DO, Daggett V, Fersht AR (2003) The complete folding pathway of a protein from nanoseconds to microseconds. Nature 421: 863 –867
- Munoz V, Cerminara M (2016) When fast is better: protein folding fundamentals and mechanisms from ultrafast approaches. Biochem / 473: 2545 –2559
- Nickson AA, Clarke J (2010) What lessons can be learned from studying the folding of homologous proteins? Methods 52: 38 –50
- Nymeyer H, Garcia AE, Onuchic JN (1998) Folding funnels and frustration in off-lattice minimalist protein landscapes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95: 5921– 5928
- Oldfield CJ, Dunker AK (2014) Intrinsically disordered proteins and intrinsically disordered protein regions. Annu Rev Biochem 83: 553 –584
- Onuchic JN, Luthey-Schulten Z, Wolynes PG (1997) Theory of protein folding: the energy landscape perspective. Annu Rev Phys Chem 48: 545–600
- Orfanoudaki G, Markaki M, Chatzi K, Tsamardinos I, Economou A (2017) MatureP: prediction of secreted proteins with exclusive information from their mature regions. Sci Rep 7: 3263
- Panchenko AR, Luthey-Schulten Z, Wolynes PG (1996) Foldons, protein structural modules, and exons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 2008– 2013
- Pancsa R, Varadi M, Tompa P, Vranken WF (2016) Start2Fold: a database of hydrogen/deuterium exchange data on protein folding and stability. Nucleic Acids Res 44: D429 –D434
- Park S, Liu G, Topping TB, Cover WH, Randall LL (1988) Modulation of folding pathways of exported proteins by the leader sequence. Science 239: 1033 – 1035
- Parra RG, Schafer NP, Radusky LG, Tsai MY, Guzovsky AB, Wolynes PG, Ferreiro DU (2016) Protein Frustratometer 2: a tool to localize energetic frustration in protein molecules, now with electrostatics. Nucleic Acids Res 44: W356 –W360
- Peng C, Shi C, Cao X, Li Y, Liu F, Lu F (2019) Factors influencing recombinant protein secretion efficiency in gram-positive bacteria: signal peptide and beyond. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 7: 139
- Prinz WA, Spiess C, Ehrmann M, Schierle C, Beckwith J (1996) Targeting of signal sequenceless proteins for export in Escherichia coli with altered protein translocase. EMBO / 15: 5209-5217
- Raimondi D, Orlando G, Pancsa R, Khan T, Vranken WF (2017) Exploring the sequence-based prediction of folding initiation sites in proteins. Sci Rep 7: 8826
- Raimondi D, Orlando G, Pancsa R, Khan T, Vranken WF (2019) Author correction: exploring the sequence-based prediction of folding initiation sites in proteins. Sci Rep 9: 12140
- Randall LL, Hardy SJ (1986) Correlation of competence for export with lack of tertiary structure of the mature species: a study in vivo of maltosebinding protein in E. coli. Cell 46: 921–928
- Randall LL, Hardy SJ (1989) Unity in function in the absence of consensus in sequence: role of leader peptides in export. Science 243: 1156 –1159
- Roelfs M, Kroon PC (2020) symfit 0.5.3. Zenodo
- Saio T, Guan X, Rossi P, Economou A, Kalodimos CG (2014) Structural basis for protein antiaggregation activity of the trigger factor chaperone. Science 344: 1250494
- San Millan JL, Boyd D, Dalbey R, Wickner W, Beckwith J (1989) Use of phoA fusions to study the topology of the Escherichia coli inner membrane protein leader peptidase. J Bacteriol 171: 5536-5541
- Sardis MF, Tsirigotaki A, Chatzi KE, Portaliou AG, Gouridis G, Karamanou S, Economou A (2017) Preprotein conformational dynamics drive bivalent translocase docking and secretion. Structure 25: 1056-1067
- Scholz C, Stoller G, Zarnt T, Fischer G, Schmid FX (1997) Cooperation of enzymatic and chaperone functions of trigger factor in the catalysis of protein folding. EMBO J 16: 54-58
- Schrödinger L, DeLano W (2020) PyMOL.
- Schuler B, Eaton WA (2008) Protein folding studied by single-molecule FRET. Curr Opin Struct Biol 18: 16 –26
- Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H, Remmert M, Soding J et al (2011) Fast, scalable generation of highquality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol 7: 539
- Singh P, Sharma L, Kulothungan SR, Adkar BV, Prajapati RS, Ali PS, Krishnan B, Varadarajan R (2013) Effect of signal peptide on stability and folding of Escherichia coli thioredoxin. PLoS ONE 8: e63442
- Smets D, Loos MS, Karamanou S, Economou A (2019) Protein transport across the bacterial plasma membrane by the sec pathway. Protein / 38: 262 –273
- Smit JH, Krishnamurthy S, Srinivasu BY, Parakra R, Karamanou S, Economou A (2021) Probing universal protein dynamics using hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry-derived residue-level gibbs free energy. Anal Chem 93: 12840–12847
- Smith MA, Clemons WM Jr, DeMars CJ, Flower AM (2005) Modeling the effects of prl mutations on the Escherichia coli SecY complex. J Bacteriol 187: 6454–6465
- Stein A, Kortemme T (2013) Improvements to robotics-inspired conformational sampling in rosetta. PLoS ONE 8: e63090
- Stoscheck CM (1990) Quantitation of protein. Methods Enzymol 182: 50–68
- Tilton RF Jr, Dewan JC, Petsko GA (1992) Effects of temperature on protein structure and dynamics: X-ray crystallographic studies of the protein ribonuclease-A at nine different temperatures from 98 to 320 K. Biochemistry 31: 2469 –2481
- Tiwari SP, Fuglebakk E, Hollup SM, Skjaerven L, Cragnolini T, Grindhaug SH, Tekle KM, Reuter N (2014) WEBnm@ v2.0: Web server and services for comparing protein flexibility. BMC Bioinformatics 15: 427
- Tsirigotaki A, Chatzi KE, Koukaki M, De Geyter J, Portaliou AG, Orfanoudaki G, Sardis MF, Trelle MB, Jorgensen TJD, Karamanou S et al (2018) Long-lived folding intermediates predominate the targeting-competent secretome. Structure 26: 695 – 707
- Tsirigotaki A, De Geyter J, Sostaric N, Economou A, Karamanou S (2017a) Protein export through the bacterial Sec pathway. Nat Rev Microbiol 15: 21– 36
- Tsirigotaki A, Papanastasiou M, Trelle MB, Jorgensen TJ, Economou A (2017b) Analysis of translocation-competent secretory proteins by HDX-MS. Methods Enzymol 586: 57 – 83
- Uversky VN (2013) The alphabet of intrinsic disorder: II. Various roles of glutamic acid in ordered and intrinsically disordered proteins. Intrinsically Disord Proteins 1: e24684
- van Dijk E, Hoogeveen A, Abeln S (2015) The hydrophobic temperature dependence of amino acids directly calculated from protein structures. PLoS Comput Biol 11: e1004277
- Van Puyenbroeck V, Vermeire K (2018) Inhibitors of protein translocation across membranes of the secretory pathway: novel antimicrobial and anticancer agents. Cell Mol Life Sci 75: 1541 – 1558
- Virtanen P, Gommers R, Oliphant TE, Haberland M, Reddy T, Cournapeau D, Burovski E, Peterson P, Weckesser W, Bright J et al (2020) Author correction: SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. Nat Methods 17: 352
- Wales TE, Engen JR (2006) Hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry for the analysis of protein dynamics. Mass Spectrom Rev 25: 158 – 170
- Walters BT, Mayne L, Hinshaw JR, Sosnick TR, Englander SW (2013) Folding of a large protein at high structural resolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110: 18898 –18903
- Wilkins MR, Gasteiger E, Bairoch A, Sanchez JC, Williams KL, Appel RD, Hochstrasser DF (1999) Protein identification and analysis tools in the ExPASy server. Methods Mol Biol 112: 531 –552
- Winkler R (2010) ESIprot: a universal tool for charge state determination and molecular weight calculation of proteins from electrospray ionization mass spectrometry data. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 24: 285 –294
- Wolynes PG (2015) Evolution, energy landscapes and the paradoxes of protein folding. Biochimie 119: 218 –230
- Zhang W, Lu J, Zhang S, Liu L, Pang X, Lv J (2018) Development an effective system to expression recombinant protein in E. coli via comparison and

optimization of signal peptides: expression of Pseudomonas fluorescens BJ-10 thermostable lipase as case study. Microb Cell Fact 17: 50

Zhou J, Dunker AK (2018) Regulating protein function by delayed folding. Structure 26: 679 –681

Expanded View Figures

Figure EV1. Structural dynamics and stability analysis of the native state of PpiB and PpiA (related to Fig 1).

- A Raw data of thermal denaturation analysis monitored by intrinsic fluorescence (top, in relative units setting the highest value at 1 with excitation at 260 nm and emission at 327 for PpiA and PpiB based on the mainly buried tyrosine residues, as PpiA does not contain Trp and PpiB only contains an outward facing one and circular dichroism (bottom, CD; in relative molar ellipticity ([θ]) with highest value at 1) at 222 nm. The full spectrum of the folded (protein at 25°C) and unfolded state (protein at $T_{\text{m,app}}$ + 5°C) is displayed on the left, and apparent melting temperature $(T_{\text{m,app}})$ on the right was determined after smoothening the curves with a Butterworth filter (see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0)) and plotting the first derivative where the maximum (CD) or minimum (Intrinsic Fluorescence) was determined using a Python script (see [Materials and Methods\)](#page-12-0). The $T_{\text{m,app}}$ is indicated on the graph with a dotted grey line. $n = 3$ technical repeats.
- B Chaotrope denaturation analysis in urea monitored by CD at 222 nm (depicted as unfolded fraction calculated from [θ] of the unfolded protein (8 M Urea) set as 1 and that of the natively purified protein (0 M Urea) set as 0). The raw data are shown with dots and fitted using a two-state transition model ((Lowe et al, [2018](#page-19-0)), see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0)) to determine the transition midpoint. $n = 3$ technical repeats, s.d.
- C Unfolding of PpiB (green) and PpiA (orange) from their native states in 8 M Urea monitored with CD at 22°C at 222 nm (depicted as the unfolded fraction (as in B.)).
- D Steps performed in PyHDX software to calculate the ΔG values per residue as derived from the local HDX-MS analysis of the structural dynamics of the native states (see detailed analysis in (Smit et al, [2021](#page-20-0)), Fig 1B).
- E Structural dynamics of the native state of PpiB and PpiA derived from local HDX-MS analysis (Fig 1B). The weighted average %D-uptake at the indicated HDX time was mapped on the 3D structures (PpiB PDB 1[LOP](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP), PpiA PDB 1[V](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T)9T). 0–20%, 20–40%, 40–60%, 60–80% and 80–100% Deuterium uptake intervals are shown in the indicated colour scale. Residues without coverage are in grey. $n = 3$ technical repeats.
- F Mutational free energy (ddG) predictions for PpiB (PDB 1[LOP](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP) and PpiA (PDB 1[V](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T)9T) using in silico mutagenesis displayed as a custom colour map with all substitutions indicated (see scripts on GitHub). Missing residues from alignment and native residues are in dark grey. Increase in ddG values (brown colour) signifies mutations that destabilize the structure or a more stable native residue, while decrease in ddG values (white) signifies the possibility of other residues to fit that same position.
- G Computed conformation/energy landscape of β-hairpin 1 of PpiB (left, PDB 1[LOP](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP)) and PpiA (right, PDB 1[V](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T)9T). Each point represents one decoy generated with the Rosetta KIC protocol, scored based on Rosetta total_score and aligned to the native structure. The structure of the 10 lowest energy decoys for each protein is presented on the right side of each graph.

Source data are available online for this figure.

- **Figure EV2.** Refolding kinetics analysed with global HDX-MS of PpiA and PpiB at 25 and 4°C (related to Fig 2).
A Pipeline of processing in vitro refolding kinetics of intact proteins using global HDX-MS analysis and subs tured proteins are refolded out of chaotrope (6 M urea) into aqueous buffer where the different folding states are observed. (ii) An aliquot of the refolding reaction is removed at different timepoints and pulse-labelled in high % D₂O where the amount of Deuterium taken up reflects the number of non-H-bonded/solventaccessible backbone amides and is inversely related to how folded (i.e. stably H-bonded) the protein is. The unfolded state (6 M Urea) is experimentally defined as a single peak/population with maximum D-uptake (set as 100%), followed by intermediate D-uptake and finally the lowest D-uptake for the folded state. (iii) From the electrospray ionisation MS analysis of each refolding timepoint, an m/z spectrum with multiple charged m/z peaks is obtained. From the latter, a single highintensity peak (highest Signal over Noise) is selected and smoothed (Savitzky-Golay, window: 15, number: 5) to be followed over different refolding timepoints (as depicted in the bottom section). Due to Deuterium being 1 Da heavier than Hydrogen, a shift from a high to lower m/z is observed over time as the protein folds and takes up fewer Deuterium during pulse-labelling. "o": Potassium adducts and Urea modification peaks that are visible on the (un)folded state. (iv) The intensi-ties of the folding populations from the single m/z peak at different timepoints are normalized to the integrated area (See [Materials and Methods\)](#page-12-0). To observe the conversion of the folding populations over time, a 3D plot was displayed with all the normalized m/z spectra over time. The normalized intensities now reflect the population fractions of each folding state. Linear interpolation was performed between the m/z spectra over time to get a continuous time course of the refolding pathway and used to create a 2D colour map to visualize the interconversion between folding states indicated based on their degree of unfoldedness (%D-uptake). The colour gradient ("magma" colourmap) reflects increasing population fractions ranging from small (dark) to high (yellow; see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0), Dataset EV3C).
- B, C Smoothed spectra of the 24⁺ charged m/z peak (highest intensity) of the refolding kinetics of PpiA and PpiB from global HDX-MS analysis at the indicated timepoints (4 and 25°C) that were used for constructing the continuous colour map (Fig 2B). The denatured protein or the fully deuterated (FD; 6 M Urea-d₄ for 1 h; red line) control and the Native control (i.e. soluble purified native protein; blue line) are marked throughout the folding timepoints. "o" refers to Potassium adducts and Urea modification peaks that are also visible in the colour maps in Fig 2B.
- D Population fraction over time after Lorentzian curve fitting of the 24⁺ charged m/z peak in (B and C) with the unfolded (red), intermediate (purple) and folded (blue) state (from biological repeats, see below). The relative percentage of D-uptake of each intermediate state is noted in its subscript. For PpiB at 25°C (n = 2), PpiA at 25°C ($n = 2$), PpiB at 4°C ($n = 7$) and PpiA ($n = 4$), data are shown as dots (up to 3 repeats) and average as line.
- E For 4°C, the population fractions were fitted with an ODE model (see equation, see [Materials and Methods](#page-12-0)). The fitted curves are displayed with the different folding states (Unfolded (U), Intermediate (I) and Folded (F)) and the equilibrium constant K₁ is displayed below. $n = 4$ biological repeats.
- Refolding of PpiA (orange) and PpiB (green) monitored by CD at 4° C (recorded at 222 nm and shown as the folded fraction over time setting the 6 M Urea state as 0 and the final 0.2 M Urea state as 1).

Figure EV2.

- Figure EV3. Rates and spectra of refolding kinetics analysed with local HDX-MS of PpiA and PpiB at 25 and 4°C (related to Fig 3).
A Pipeline of processing in vitro refolding kinetics of pepsinized proteins using local HDXurea) into aqueous buffer where the different folding states are observed. (ii) An aliquot of the refolding reaction is removed at different timepoints and pulse-labelled in high %D₂O where the amount of Deuterium taken up reflects the number of non-H-bonded/solvent-accessible backbone amides and is inversely related to how folded (i.e. stably H-bonded) the protein is. Pulse-labelled proteins are pepsinized to determine the D-uptake of each peptide to obtain folding details. (iii) All peptides are identified by their retention time during Liquid Chromatography and their m/z spectrum (Englander et al, [2007](#page-18-0); Tsirigotaki et al, [2017](#page-20-0)b). The unfolded and folded state are a single distribution with the highest and lowest D-uptake, respectively, where during folding the conversion from a completely unfolded to the folded state is observed (bimodal distributions, EX1 HDX kinetics (Englander et al, [2007](#page-18-0); Tsirigotaki et al, [2017](#page-20-0)b)). The average D-uptake of each distribution is determined using a centroid that gets converted to the folded fraction using the D-uptake of the unfolded state as 0% folded and that of the folded state as 100%.
- B The schematic pipeline describes the steps of analysis we performed on the local HDX-MS data using PyHDX, in order to obtain folded fractions per residue or degree of unfoldedness per residue. Data from different steps are presented on separate Datasets (as indicated) and were used on the indicated Figures.
- C Comparison in data processing of a PpiA peptide to calculate folded fractions (results in Dataset EV5 per residue) using centroids vs. Gaussian fitting. Peptide aa1-19 demonstrates folding with bimodal distributions. Left, the centroid position (red line) of the peptide is used to determine the folded fraction (unfolded m/z value is 0% folded and natively purified protein is 100% folded). Right, the unfolded (U, high m/z) and folded (F, low m/z) distributions are fitted with Gaussian curves (individual Gaussians: dashed lines, fit: red line and dots for the mean of each Gaussian) to determine the % area of the folded one. For both, the folded fractions calculated from centroid and Gaussian curve fitting are shown in purple. Use of the centroid approach avoided the fitting of very broad unfolded Gaussian peaks at later timepoints and was preferred hereafter.
- D Refolding analysis of two peptides from regions in PpiB and PpiA at 4°C that display small D-uptake differences between the unfolded and the folded state and only display very minor shift of the whole spectra during refolding. The centroid is depicted as a red line. Both sites did not show any distinct folding and were left out of the analysis (grey bar, Fig 3A and B).
- E Comparing foldons from local HDX-MS to global HDX-MS data. The foldons from Fig 3 are displayed on top (based on t_{80%} or t_{50%}, Dataset EV5) with their formation timeline where they are coloured after formation in a time interval. If only sections (half or one third) of the foldon are formed this is indicated in the square. These foldons timelines are aligned to the global HDX-MS data where only 1 min of refolding is shown from Fig EV2D.

Figure EV3.

◀

Figure EV4. Grafting of native contacts between PpiA and PpiB (related to Fig 4).

- A Highly stabilized native contacts that are dissimilar between PpiA and PpiB are indicated and labelled as spheres (C_n, Fig 4C) on the 3D structure of PpiB (PDB 1[LOP](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1LOP)) and PpiA (PDB 1[V](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/search/structidSearch.do?structureId=1V9T)9T) together with the initial foldons coloured (data from 4°C, Fig 3).
- B Mutant derivatives of PpiA (orange) or PpiB (green) with grafted residues from PpiB and PpiA (labelled PpiA>B and PpiB>A, respectively, Fig 4E) are displayed as mutations as squares below the linear map of secondary structure (PpiB, green; PpiA, orange) with annotations at the bottom.
- C Mutational differences dddG values from in silico mutational scanning using Rosetta cartesian-ddG application. dddGs are subtracted residue-wise ddG values of PpiA and PpiB to compare the stability of residues between proteins.
- D Equilibrium constant K₁ of the refolding PpiB>A and PpiA>B derivatives at 4°C between the unfolded and intermediate state are shown as bar plots in rows for the grafted triplets (T) and sixplet (S) mutants compared with the wildtype (WT) proteins (calculated from Fig 4E). $n = 2-4$ biological repeats, s.d.
- E In vivo protein expression in the E. coli strain MC4100 at 30°C during in vivo secretion assay detected by immunostaining with α-PhoA antibodies on western blots (Fig 4F, See [Materials and Methods,](#page-12-0) PhoA secretion activity in Dataset EV9B). ppiX-phoA fusions carried on vector pBAD501 (ara promoter) were expressed in the cell (13.3 µM arabinose) to monitor PpiX secretion in the presence of secY_{prlA4}EG encoded on plasmid pET610 (lac promoter; expressed with 0.05 mM IPTG). Expression of $secY_{nrlaa}$ is required for secretion of proteins that have no signal peptide (Derman et al, [1993](#page-18-0)). Left, purified PhoA protein loaded at the indicated amounts was used for quantification of protein expression (Dataset EV9).

Source data are available online for this figure.

- **Figure EV5. Refolding kinetics of (pro)PpiA and (pro)PpiB at 25°C analysed with local HDX-MS followed by secretion efficiency (related to Figs 3, 5 and 6).
A Refolding pathway of PpiA and proPpiA at 4°C. The folding popul** The unfolded state (6 M urea, left) is separated from the refolding data in 0.2 M Urea. The Unfolded (U), Intermediate (I) and Folded (F) populations are indicated with brackets. "o" refers to modifications/adducts of the folded state that are not part of the folding pathway. The left panel contains the same data and image as in Fig 2B bottom right panel and is used again here to facilitate comparison.
- B Fitting of the two Lorentzian curves on the broad intermediate of the global HDX-MS data of proPpiA refolding at 25°C (20 min, Fig 5B). The data were fitted with 3 folding states consisting of the I_{87} , I_{68} and "folded" (F') state as annotated on the right.
- C Degree of unfoldedness per residue of proPpiA and proPpiB (signal peptide fused using PpiA N-terminal tail) during folding (%D-uptake, data in Dataset EV6) where reduced degree of unfoldedness is related to gain of secondary structure that is shown on the top (based on Appendix Fig S1D). The %D-uptake during pulse-labelling is defined by the fully denatured control (FD; 100% D-uptake) and shown for the preprotein (full line) and mature domain PpiA/PpiB (MD, dashed line). The degree of unfoldedness per residue of the natively folded protein is displayed in purple. Foldons are displayed on top; residues with no coverage as indicated. $n = 3$ biological repeats.
- D D-uptake of peptide in the non-folding regions during refolding. PpiA or PpiB (green: 4°C; purple: 25°C) vs. their preprotein derivatives (25°C in dark red) display no reduction in D-uptake during folding and remain disordered and therefore were removed from the analysis (light grey bars, Figs 3E and F, and 5C and D). The peptides of (pro)PpiA (residues 137–145, proPpiA numbering) and (pro)PpiB (residue 140–149, proPpiB numbering) are displayed. $n = 3$ biological repeats.
- E Comparison of degree of unfoldedness (%D-uptake) of peptides inside foldons between PpiA/PpiB and their preprotein derivatives (Figs 5C and D vs. 2E and F). Similar to (B), the degree of unfoldedness was determined for the whole peptide and displayed over folding time. Top, refolding of a peptide covering foldon A (β8-α2) at 25°C for (pro)PpiA (same peptide, residue 146–157, proPpiA numbering) and (pro)PpiB (same peptide, residue 152–160, proPpiB numbering). Middle, refolding of foldon B (N-strap) at 25°C in (pro)PpiA (same peptide, residue 24–42, proPpiA numbering) and (pro)PpiB (different peptide, residue 30–45 and 24–43, respectively, proPpiB numbering). Bottom, refolding of foldon C (end of α1) at 25°C for (pro)PpiA (same peptide, residue 56–64, proPpiA numbering) and (pro)PpiB (different peptide, residue 43– 59 and 44–59, respectively, proPpiB numbering).
- F In vivo protein expression in the E. coli strain MC4100 at 30°C detected by immunostaining with α-PhoA antibodies on western blots (PhoA secretion activity in Dataset EV9B). Transcription of ppiX-phoA fusions carried on vector pBAD501 was induced in the cell (6.67 μM arabinose) and monitor PpiX secretion monitored (Fig 6 A). Lanes 1-3, purified PhoA protein loaded at the indicated amounts used for quantification of protein expression. "-": uninduced cells containing the vector with the indicated constructs.

Source data are available online for this figure.

Figure EV5.

Appendix

Evolutionary adaptation of the protein folding pathway for secretability

Dries Smets, Alexandra Tsirigotaki, Jochem H. Smit, Srinath Krishnamurthy, Athina G. Portaliou, Anastassia Vorobieva, Wim Vranken, Spyridoula Karamanou and Anastassios Economou

Table of contents

Appendix Figure S1 Structure and sequence alignment of PpiA and PpiB with homology comparison across bacteria (related to Figure 1)

A. Structural alignment of periplasmic PpiA (PDB 1V9T: chainB 1.8Å, orange) and cytoplasmic PpiB (PDB 1LOP 1.7Å, green) using PyMOL, yielding an RMSD of 0.37Å. Both structures consist of an orthogonal β-barrel with the anti-parallel β-strands in the following sequence β1- 10-3-4-6-5-7-2 (numbers indicated on the structure) with α-helices on either side of the barrel and two minor β-strands β8/9 located outside the β-barrel.

B. Similar residues between *E. coli* PpiA (P0AFL3) and PpiB (P23869). Identical, strongly similar physicochemical properties (scoring >0.5, Gonnet PAM 250 matrix) and weakly similar physico-chemical properties (scoring \leq 0.5, Gonnet PAM 250 matrix) are depicted on the 3D structure of PpiA (PDB 1V9T: chainB).

C. Structural position of the 'front-facing' N- and C-strap (ribbons, dark blue and grey, respectively) within the cradle formed by the saddle in the back and the α-helices on either side (surface, light grey) using PpiA (PDB 1V9T: chainB).

D. Sequence alignment of *E. coli* (pro)PpiA with PpiB using Clustal Omega [1].

Top: the linear secondary structure is displayed with the different structural elements coloured and annotated (based on RCSB PDB). The residues of the active site are underlined [2]. '*': identical residues; ':' strongly similar and '.' weakly similar physicochemical properties.

Bottom: Consensus derived from 150 (pro)PpiA and PpiB sequences from across γproteobacteria that contain both twins (all sequences in Dataset EV1).

Appendix Figure S2 Folding kinetics of PpiA and PpiB at 25 and 4°C analyzed with local HDX-MS displayed as a colour map (related to Figure 3)

Folding kinetics of PpiB (**A-D**) and PpiA (**E-H**) at 25°C or 4°C (as indicated), monitored by local HDX-MS.

A, B, E, F. The HDX-MS refolding kinetics data for PpiA and PpiB at 25 and 4°C (Dataset EV4; *n=3* biological repeats) were further analyzed by PyHDX in order to determine the folded fractions per residue (Dataset EV5). The pipeline of analysis is shown in Fig.EV3B. Folding, displayed per residue (x-axis) over time (y-axis) in a colour map in steps of 25% folded fraction (as indicated at the bottom), is shown up to 10min for 25°C and 30-60min for 4°C (as indicated, complete data set in Dataset EV5). The alignment index (x-axis) is based on PpiA (extended N-tail; missing loop between β6-β7; Appendix Fig. S1D). For each peptide, 100% folding was set to the D-uptake of the final folded protein. Grey areas: residues absent in one of the twins, prolines or no experimental coverage. Colour-boxes below the linear secondary structure map (top) indicate foldons; named in alphabetical order and subscript numbers (if formed in gradual steps) following the order of formation sequence. Grey bar: unstructured regions that acquired final states fast (Fig. EV3) and were omitted from the analysis.

C, D, G, H. Foldons, colour-coded as in the left panels, are indicated relative to formation time and temperature on the PpiB (1LOP) and PpiA (1V9T) 3D-structures. The indicated time points were: for PpiB, 25°C (t_{80%} of 0.29-0.33-0.42-0.47 min); for PpiB, 4°C (t_{80%} of 0.09-0.29-0.90-1.75 min); for PpiA, 25°C (t80% of 0.24-0.33-0.47-0.51 min); for PpiA, 4°C (t50% of 0.34-0.55-0.79- 0.99 min) (Dataset EV5).

Appendix Figure S3 Refolding kinetics of (pro)PpiA and (pro)PpiB at 25°C analyzed with local HDX-MS (Related to Figure 5)

Folding kinetics of the mature domains of proPpiA (**A**, **C**) and proPpiB, carrying the proPpiA signal peptide, (**B**, **D**) at 25°C (as indicated), monitored by local HDX-MS.

A, B. The HDX-MS refolding kinetics data for (pro)PpiA and (pro)PpiB, at 25°C (Dataset EV4; *n=3* biological repeats) were further analyzed by PyHDX in order to determine the folded fractions per residue (Dataset EV5). The pipeline of analysis is shown in Fig.EV3B. Folding, displayed per residue (x-axis) over time (y-axis) in a colour map in steps of 25% folded fraction (as indicated at the bottom), is shown for up to 30 min (complete data set in Dataset EV5). The alignment index (x-axis) is based on the proPpiA sequence (signal peptide, extended N-tail; missing loop between β6-β7; Appendix Fig. S1D). For each peptide, 100% folding was set to the D-uptake of the corresponding native mature domain peptide. Grey areas: residues absent in one of the twins, prolines or indicating no experimental coverage. Colour-boxes below the linear secondary structure map (top) indicate foldons; named in alphabetical order and subscript numbers (if formed gradually, step-wise) following the order of formation sequence. Grey bar: unstructured fast folding regions (Fig. EV5D) omitted from analysis.

C, D. Foldons, colour-coded as in the left panels, are indicated relative to formation time and temperature on the PpiB (1LOP) and PpiA (1V9T) 3D-structures. The indicated time points are: for proPpiA (t50% of 0.9-2.0-2.3-20.8 min) and for proPpiB (t50% of 0.06-0.08-0.44-1.2 min), both at 25°C (Dataset EV5).

Appendix Figure S4 Effect of signal peptide on the initial foldons of PpiA (Related to Figure 5)

Peptides (proPpiA numbering followed) spanning two initial foldons, B (left) and A (right), were selected as examples to demonstrate the effect of the signal peptide on the folding of PpiA. Spectra at selected timepoints are displayed with the corresponding centroid indicated. The unfolded (0%) and native (100%) state (HDX data in Dataset EV4, *n=3* biological repeats) were used to calculate the folded fractions in PyHDX (purple; Dataset EV5). The pipeline of analysis is shown in Fig. EV3B.

Supplemental tables:

Appendix Table S1 Plasmids

Appendix Table S2 Primers

Appendix Table S3 Strains

Appendix Table S4 Cloned genes

Appendix Table S5 Buffer list

References

- 1. Sievers, F., et al., *Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega.* Mol Syst Biol, 2011. **7**: p. 539.
- 2. Kallen, J. and M.D. Walkinshaw, *The X-ray structure of a tetrapeptide bound to the active site of human cyclophilin A.* FEBS Lett, 1992. **300**(3): p. 286-90.
- 3. Ashkenazy, H., et al., *ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2016. **44**(W1): p. W344-50.
- 4. Landau, M., et al., *ConSurf 2005: the projection of evolutionary conservation scores of residues on protein structures.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2005. **33**(Web Server issue): p. W299-302.
- 5. Mitchell, C. and D. Oliver, *Two distinct ATP-binding domains are needed to promote protein export by Escherichia coli SecA ATPase.* Mol Microbiol, 1993. **10**(3): p. 483-97.
- 6. Casadaban, M.J., *Transposition and fusion of the lac genes to selected promoters in Escherichia coli using bacteriophage lambda and Mu.* J Mol Biol, 1976. **104**(3): p. 541- 55.
- 7. Genevaux, P., et al., *Scanning mutagenesis identifies amino acid residues essential for the in vivo activity of the Escherichia coli DnaJ (Hsp40) J-domain.* Genetics, 2002. **162**(3): p. 1045-53.
- 8. Ullers, R.S., et al., *Trigger Factor can antagonize both SecB and DnaK/DnaJ chaperone functions in Escherichia coli.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2007. **104**(9): p. 3101-6.
- 9. Guzman, L.M., et al., *Tight regulation, modulation, and high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter.* J Bacteriol, 1995. **177**(14): p. 4121-30.
- 10. van der Does, C., et al., *SecA is an intrinsic subunit of the Escherichia coli preprotein translocase and exposes its carboxyl terminus to the periplasm.* Mol Microbiol, 1996. **22**(4): p. 619-29.
- 11. Gouridis, G., et al., *Signal peptides are allosteric activators of the protein translocase.* Nature, 2009. **462**(7271): p. 363-7.
- 12. Tsirigotaki, A., et al., *Long-Lived Folding Intermediates Predominate the Targeting-Competent Secretome.* Structure, 2018.