HGGA, Volume 4

#### **Supplemental information**

### Awareness and utilization of genetic testing

#### among Hispanic and Latino adults living in the US: The Hispanic

#### **Community Health Study/Study of Latinos**

Kurt D. Christensen, Mengran Zhang, Lauren N. Galbraith, Einat Granot-Hershkovitz, Sarah C. Nelson, Sara Gonzalez, Maria Argos, Krista M. Perreira, Martha L. Daviglus, Carmen R. Isasi, Jianwen Cai, Gregory A. Talavera, Carrie L. Blout Zawatsky, Robert C. Green, Rosario Isasi, Robert Kaplan, and Tamar Sofer

# Awareness and Utilization of Genetic Testing among Hispanic/Latino Adults Living in the US: The Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos:

# Supplemental Information

#### **Table of Contents**

Figure S1. Spanish version of the genetic testing awareness survey.	2
Figure S2. English version of the genetic testing awareness survey.	4
Figure S3: Proportion of aware participants who were offered and used genetic tests.	6
Figure S4: Awareness, offers, and usage of genetic tests, stratified by gender.	7
Figure S5. Awareness of genetic tests, by demographic factors.	8
Table S1. Characteristics of survey participants.	10
Table S2. Logistic regression models for awareness of genetic tests, by site.	12
Table S3. Logistic regression models for awareness of genetic tests, by gender.	14
Table S4. Logistic regression models about awareness of specific types of genetic tests.	16
Table S5. Poisson regression models of perceived utility, by site.	18
Table S6. Poisson regression models of perceived utility, by gender.	20

## Figure S1. Spanish version of the genetic testing awareness survey.

Hapanic Comm	Antines Antine				ł	ЧC	:HS G	S/S Sene	OL- etic Te	Folle	ow Up warene	o In ss, G	terv sts	viev	V					
ID NU	MBER:							١	FORM /ERSIOI	CODE: 0 N: 1, 4/8/	STS 2019	Co Occ	ntact asion	C	1	0	)ccurrei	nce	0	1
	NISTRATIN	/E INF	ORMA																	
0a. (	Completior	n Date:		]/			/					0b	. Staf	f ID:						
<b>Instru</b> 'Don't	ctions: Er know/refus	nter the sed, Mi	e answ ssing,	er give	n by tl those	he p e qu	artic estic	ipar ons t	it for ea hat do	ach res not list	oonse.     l these as	Jse tl s an c	ne CD ption.	)AR1	「 Note	elog	windc	w to	cod	e
INTRO	OUCTIO	N: Lo ra	os méo zones	licos u de sal	tilizar ud.	ı es	tudi	os g	jenétic	os par	a analiz	ar lo	s ger	nes d	de las	s pe	rsona	as po	or	
1. ¿H un	la oído us a enferme	sted had e	ablar : en par	sobre u ticular'	ina pi ?	ruel	ba g	ené	tica pa	ira det	erminar	el rie	esgo	o la	prob	abili	dad o	le co	ontra	aer
	No 0 🗌	] <b>[Go</b> 1	to iter	n 1c]	Sí	1 [			Rehu	sa con	testar 9		[Go t	o ite	em 2	]				
1a	. ¿Le han	ofrec	ido al	guna v	ez es	te t	ipo c	de p	rueba	?										
	No 0 🗌	] <b>[Go</b> 1	to iter	n 1c]	Sí	1 [			No sé	8	[Go to i	tem	1c]	Reh	usa d	cont	estar	9 [		
1b	. ¿Le han	hech	o algu	ina vez	este	tip	o de	pru	ieba?											
	No 0 🗌	]	Sí 1	🗌 [Go	o to it	em	2]		No sé	8	Rehu	isa c	ontes	star	9 🗌					
1c	. Si se la	ofreci	eran,	¿estarí	a ust	ed i	nter	esa	do(a)	en hac	erse est	te tip	o de	prue	eba?					
	No 0 🗌	]	Sí 1		Nc	es	toy s	segi	uro(a)/	depen	de 2 🗌	Re	husa	a cor	ntesta	ar 9				
2. ;⊦ en	la oído us fermedad	sted ha	ablar : ditaria	sobre u a sus	ına pı hijosʻ	ruel ?	ba g	ené	tica pa	ira det	erminar	la pr	obab	ilida	d de	trar	nsmiti	r una	a	
	No 0 🗌	] <b>[Go</b> 1	to iter	n 2c]	Sí	1 [			Rehu	sa con	testar 9		[Go t	o ite	em 3	]				
2a	Le han: ¿Le	ofrec	ido al	guna v	ez es	te t	ipo c	de p	rueba	?										
	No 0 🗌	] [Go	to iter	n 2c]	Sí	1 [			No sé	8	[Go to i	tem	2c]	Reh	usa d	cont	estar	9 [		
2b	. ¿Le han	hech	o algu	ina vez	este	tip	o de	pru	eba?											
	No 0 🗌	]	Sí 1	🗌 [Go	o to it	em	3]		No sé	8	Rehu	isa c	ontes	star	9 🗌					
2c	. Si se la	ofreci	eran,	¿estarí	a ust	ed i	nter	esa	do(a)	en hac	erse est	te tip	o de	prue	eba?					
	No 0 🗌	]	Sí 1		Nc	es	toy s	segi	uro(a)/	depen	de 2 🗌	Re	husa	a cor	ntesta	ar 9				
3. ;⊦ de	la oído us spués de	sted h I diagr	ablar : nóstice	sobre ı o?	ına pi	ruel	ba g	ené	tica pa	ira det	erminar	cóm	o se (	deb	e trat	ar u	na er	nferm	neda	эd
	No 0 🗌	] <b>[Go</b> 1	to iter	n 3c]	Sí	1 [			Rehu	sa con	testar 9		[Go t	o ite	em 4	]				
3a	. ¿Le han	ofrec	ido al	guna v	ez es	te t	ipo d	de p	rueba	?										
	No 0 🗌	] <b>[Go</b> 1	to iter	n 3c]	Sí	1 [			No sé	8	[Go to i	tem	3c]	Reh	usa d	cont	estar	9 [		
3b	. ¿Le han	hech	o algu	ına vez	: este	tip	o de	pru	eba?											
	No 0 🗌	]	Sí 1	🗌 [Gc	o to it	em	4]		No sé	8	Rehu	isa c	ontes	star	9 🗌					
3c	. Si se la No 0 🗌	ofreci	eran, , Sí 1	¿estarí □	a ust No	ed i ) es	nter toy :	esa segi	do(a) uro(a)/	en hac depen	erse est de 2 🔲	te tip   Re	o de ehusa	prue a cor	eba? ntesta	ar 9				
				s Spanie	h 201		08 5	inal	docx									1	of 2	

Figure S1 (continued).

ID NUMBER:     FORM CODE: GTS     Contact       VERSION: 1, 4/8/2019     Occasion     Occurrence
4. ¿Ha oído usted hablar sobre una prueba genética para determinar los medicamentos que pueden servirle o no a una persona (individuo)?
No 0 🗌 [Go to item 4c] Sí 1 🗌 Rehusa contestar 9 🗌 [Go to item 5]
4a. ¿Le han ofrecido alguna vez este tipo de prueba?
No 0 🗌 [Go to item 4c] Sí 1 🗌 No sé 8 🗌 [Go to item 4c] Rehusa contestar 9 🗌
4b. ¿Le han hecho alguna vez este tipo de prueba?
No 0 🗌 Sí 1 🗌 <b>[Go to item 5]</b> No sé 8 🗌 Rehusa contestar 9 🗌
4c. Si se la ofrecieran, ¿estaría usted interesado(a) en hacerse este tipo de prueba? No 0
5. En una escala del 1 al 10, donde 1 es "de ningún modo" y 10 es "absolutamente", ¿qué tan útil cree usted que es la prueba genética para controlar la salud de una persona?

GTS\_Genetic Testing Awareness\_Spanish\_20190408\_Final.docx

## Figure S2. English version of the genetic testing awareness survey.

Succession of the second	HCHS/SOL- Follow Up Interview Genetic Testing Awareness, GTE
	R: FORM CODE: GTE Contact VERSION: 1, 4/8/2019 Occasion 0 1 Occurrence 0 1
	RATIVE INFORMATION
0a. Co	letion Date:
Instruc window	<b>ns:</b> Enter the answer given by the participant for each response. Use the CDART Notelog code 'Don't know/refused, Missing, etc.' for those questions that do not list these as an option.
INTROD	TION: Doctors use genetic tests to analyze someone's genes for health reasons.
1. Hav I	ou heard of a genetic test to determine the risk or likelihood of getting a particular disease? 0  [ [Go to item 1c] Yes 1  Refuse to answer 9 [[Go to item 2]
1a. I 1b.	ve you ever been offered such test? 0  [Go to item 1c] Yes 1  Don't know 8 [Go to item 1c] Refuse to answer 9  ve you ever received this kind of test?
I	0 🗌 Yes 1 🔲 [Go to item 2] Don't know 8 🗌 Refuse to answer 9 🗌
1c.	ffered to you, would you be interested in receiving this kind of test?
I	0 Ves 1 Not sure / it depends 2 Refuse to answer 9
2. Hav chilo	ou heard of a genetic test to determine the likelihood of passing an inherited disease to your n?
I	0 🗌 [Go to item 2c] Yes 1 🗌 Refuse to answer 9 🔲 [Go to item 3]
2a.	ve you ever been offered such test?
I	0 🗌 [Go to item 2c] Yes 1 🗌 Don't know 8 🗌 [Go to item 2c] Refuse to answer 9 🗌
2b.	ve you ever received this kind of test?
I	0 🗌 Yes 1 🗌 [Go to item 3] Don't know 8 🗌 Refuse to answer 9 🗌
2c. I	ffered to you, would you be interested in receiving this kind of test?
	0 Yes 1 Not sure / it depends 2 Refuse to answer 9
3. Hav	ou heard of a genetic test to determine how a disease should be treated after diagnosis?
I	0 🗌 [Go to item 3c] Yes 1 🗌 Refuse to answer 9 🔲 [Go to item 4]
3a.	ve you ever been offered such test?
I	0 🗌 [Go to item 3c] Yes 1 🗌 Don't know 8 🔲 [Go to item 3c] Refuse to answer 9 🗌
3b.	ve you ever received this kind of test?
I	0 🗌 Yes 1 🗌 [Go to item 4] Don't know 8 🗌 Refuse to answer 9 🗌
3c.   	ffered to you, would you be interested in receiving this kind of test? 0 \[ Yes 1 \] Not sure / it depends 2 \[ Refuse to answer 9 \[
GTE Ger	c Testing Awareness_English_20190408_FINAL 1 of 2

## Figure S2 (continued).

	ID NUMBER: FORM CODE: GTE Contact VERSION: 1, 4/8/2019 Occasion Occurrence
4.	Have you heard of a genetic test to determine which drug(s) may or may not work for an individual?
	No 0 🗌 [Go to item 4c] Yes 1 🗌 Refuse to answer 9 🗌 [Go to item 5]
	4a. Have you ever been offered such test?
	No 0 🗌 [Go to item 4c] Yes 1 🗌 Don't know 8 🔤 [Go to item 4c] Refuse to answer 9 🗌
	4b. Have you ever received this kind of test?
	No 0 🗌 Yes 1 🗌 [Go to item 5] Don't know 8 🗌 Refuse to answer 9 🗌
	4c. If offered to you, would you be interested in receiving this kind of test?
	No 0 Yes 1 Not sure / it depends 2 Refuse to answer 9
5.	On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is "not at all" and 10 is "extremely", how useful do you think genetic testing is for managing a person's health?

GTE\_Genetic Testing Awareness\_English\_20190408\_FINAL

2





Bars represent estimates and 95% CIs of the proportions of participants who were aware of genetic tests that additionally reported ever being offered or using them.





Bars represent estimates and 95% CIs of the proportions of participants who reported being aware of genetic tests, being offered them, or using them, stratified by gender.



Figure S5. Awareness of genetic tests, by demographic factors.





Bars represent estimates and 95% CIs of the proportions of participants who reported being aware of at least one type of genetic test, stratified by demographic factors of interest.

 Table S1. Characteristics of survey participants.

Total N	Did not Complete Any Awareness Items (n=3,639)	Completed at Least One Awareness Item (n=5,769)	р	% Missing
Mean Age, years	46.31 (15.25)	45.81 (14.55)	0.081	0
Sex			0.023	0
Female	2249 (51.1)	3701 (53.3)		
Male	1390 (48.9)	2068 (46.7)		
Center			<0.001	0
Bronx	1357 (41.9)	1030 (21.7)		
Chicago	658 (9.0)	1950 (20.4)		
Miami	736 (30.4)	1065 (27.6)		
San Diego	888 (18.7)	1724 (30.4)		
Education			<0.001	0.5
Less than high school degree	1464 (36.9)	2004 (28.8)		
High school degree	946 (28.0)	1458 (26.9)		
Associate, bachelor, or vocational degree	1103 (32.9)	2078 (40.4)		
Masters, doctoral, professional degree	95 ( 2.1)	206 ( 4.0)		
Household Income			<0.001	3.1
Less than \$10,000	486 (14.5)	613 (9.7)		
\$10,001-\$20,000	1057 (28.7)	1532 (25.7)		
\$20,001-\$40,000	1162 (32.8)	1999 (34.4)		
\$40,001-\$75,000	571 (17.2)	1039 (19.7)		
More than \$75,000	214 (6.7)	441 (10.5)		
Health Insurance Status			0.690	0.7
Uninsured	1037 (30.9)	1686 (31.5)		
Has health insurance	2552 (69.1)	4068 (68.5)		
Doctor Visit in Last 12 Months			0.824	1.8

No	944 (31.1)	1521 (32.0)		
Yes, one or two times	1113 (30.9)	1820 (30.2)		
Yes, at least three times	1484 (38.0)	2352 (37.8)		
Hispanic or Latino background			<0.001	0.5
Dominican	449 (13.6)	413 (8.1)		
Mexican	1344 (29.4)	2753 (42.4)		
Central American	332 (7.7)	575 (7.0)		
Cuban	426 (21.2)	593 (19.2)		
Puerto Rican	717 (19.1)	820 (14.4)		
South American	228 (4.7)	424 (5.2)		
More than one/other	112 (4.4)	172 (3.7)		
Language Preference			<0.001	0
Spanish	2859 (73.2)	4731 (75.7)		
English	780 (26.8)	1038 (24.3)		
Marital Status			<0.001	0.3
Single	906 (33.1)	1234 (29.0)		
Married or living with partner	1935 (48.1)	3288 (53.5)		
Separated, divorced or widowed	777 (18.8)	1240 (17.5)		
Employment Status			<0.001	0.7
Retired/not currently employed	1660 (44.5)	2362 (37.4)		
Employed part-time (≤35 hours/week)	730 (20.1)	1318 (22.2)		
Employed full-time (>35 hours/week)	1199 (35.3)	2071 (40.4)		
Nativity				
Not U.S. Born	2969 (77.0)	4835 (77.5)	0.021	0.5
U.SBorn	643 (23.0)	918 (22.5)		

The table summarizes characteristics of HCHS/SOL participants who participated in the annual follow-up survey. Analyses compare the characteristics of individuals who completed at least one genetic testing awareness item against characteristics of individuals who did not. 
 Table S2. Logistic regression models for awareness of genetic tests, by site.

	Bronx	Chicago	Miami	San Diego
Term	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Age, years (ref: 40 and younger)				
41-60	0.93 (0.60-1.43)	1.07 (0.81-1.41)	0.89 (0.51-1.54)	1.14 (0.76-1.71)
61 or older	1.34 (0.77-2.33)	0.94 (0.60-1.46)	0.66 (0.34-1.28)	0.96 (0.47-1.94)
Male sex (ref: Female)	0.81 (0.54-1.21)	1.01 (0.76-1.35)	0.86 (0.60-1.22)	0.87 (0.60-1.25)
Education, years (ref: less than high school degree)				
High school degree	0.76 (0.47-1.21)	1.61 (1.16-2.22)*	1.16 (0.68-1.99)	1.02 (0.65-1.58)
Associate, bachelor, or vocational degree	1.90 (1.23-2.94)*	2.26 (1.56-3.27)**	1.44 (0.90-2.29)	1.70 (1.10-2.64)
Masters, doctoral, professional degree	1.46 (0.46-4.65)	3.17 (1.29-7.77)	1.04 (0.49-2.20)	2.80 (1.37-5.74)*
Income (ref: Less than \$10,000)				
\$10,001-\$20,000	1.46 (0.86-2.49)	0.89 (0.51-1.55)	1.24 (0.69-2.24)	0.68 (0.36-1.28)
\$20,001-\$40,000	1.39 (0.73-2.64)	0.97 (0.58-1.62)	1.09 (0.60-1.98)	1.11 (0.61-2.03)
\$40,001-\$75,000	1.54 (0.71-3.31)	0.98 (0.54-1.77)	2.40 (1.11-5.22)	1.73 (0.86-3.48)
More than \$75,000	1.60 (0.75-3.43)	1.60 (0.79-3.24)	1.87 (0.78-4.53)	3.24 (1.30-8.08)
Health Insurance Status (ref: None)	0.81 (0.41-1.57)	1.19 (0.85-1.67)	0.92 (0.63-1.33)	1.24 (0.82-1.86)
Doctor Visit in Last 12 Months (ref: none)				
Yes, one or two times	1.08 (0.57-2.03)	1.32 (0.93-1.88)	1.02 (0.65-1.58)	0.86 (0.57-1.30)
At least three times	1.20 (0.64-2.27)	1.37 (0.97-1.92)	0.74 (0.42-1.29)	1.00 (0.69-1.45)

Hispanic or Latino Background (ref: Dominican)

Mexican	1.07 (0.49-2.33)	0.68 (0.24-1.92)	0.16 (0.02-1.24)	NA <sup>↑</sup>
Central American	1.03 (0.45-2.35)	0.79 (0.25-2.47)	0.77 (0.28-2.10)	NA <sup>↑</sup>
Cuban	4.02 (0.69-23.40)	0.77 (0.21-2.82)	0.96 (0.34-2.65)	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A}^{\uparrow}$
Puerto Rican	1.08 (0.68-1.70)	0.62 (0.22-1.78)	1.14 (0.24-5.48)	$NA^{\uparrow}$
South American	1.57 (0.71-3.45)	0.47 (0.16-1.33)	0.68 (0.21-2.20)	$\mathbf{NA}^{\uparrow}$
More than one/other	1.36 (0.52-3.55)	1.32 (0.35-4.98)	2.99 (0.58-15.40)	NA <sup>↑</sup>
English Language Preference (ref: Spanish)	0.91 (0.53-1.56)	1.00 (0.63-1.59)	1.98 (0.72-5.39)	1.65 (1.10-2.48)
Marital Status (ref: Single)				
Married or living with partner	0.69 (0.47-1.02)	1.34 (0.99-1.82)	0.73 (0.44-1.22)	0.89 (0.57-1.40)
Separated, divorced or widowed	0.48 (0.27-0.85)	1.41 (0.89-2.23)	0.66 (0.37-1.20)	0.63 (0.36-1.12)
Employment Status (ref: Retired/not currently employ	yed)			
Employed part-time (≤35 hours/week)	1.51 (0.88-2.59)	0.97 (0.62-1.53)	0.80 (0.49-1.31)	1.17 (0.76-1.78)
Employed full-time (>35 hours/week)	1.16 (0.69-1.93)	0.93 (0.66-1.31)	0.91 (0.58-1.44)	0.91 (0.60-1.39)
U.Sborn (ref: Not U.Sborn)	1.26 (0.71-2.25)	1.11 (0.67-1.84)	1.49 (0.66-3.38)	0.95 (0.61-1.48)

\* p<0.01 \*\* p<0.001

The table summarizes logistic regression analyses that examined associations between participant characteristics and the likelihood of reporting awareness of at least one type of genetic test, with separate models for each HCHS/SOL site of enrollment. Estimates represent odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals, and shows the increase in odds of reporting awareness relative to the reference category. Analyses included all covariates jointly, and were weighted to generate estimates valid for the HCHS/SOL population.

<sup>+</sup> Results by Hispanic or Latino background at the San Diego site are omitted because 94% self-reported Mexican heritage.

	Women	Men
Term	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Age, years (ref: 40 and younger)		
41-60	1.15 (0.87-1.52)	0.86 (0.61-1.22)
61 or older	1.16 (0.82-1.63)	0.69 (0.42-1.14)
Education, years (ref: less than high school degr	ee)	
High school degree	1.03 (0.78-1.35)	1.05 (0.72-1.53)
Associate, bachelor, or vocational degree	1.50 (1.13-1.99)*	1.95 (1.36-2.80)**
Masters, doctoral, professional degree	1.10 (0.61-1.98)	2.07 (0.97-4.39)
Center (ref: Bronx)		
Chicago	0.64 (0.43-0.97)	0.44 (0.26-0.75)*
Miami	1.77 (1.09-2.89)	1.82 (0.98-3.37)
San Diego	1.47 (0.88-2.45)	0.92 (0.48-1.76)
Income (ref: Less than \$10,000)		
\$10,001-\$20,000	1.16 (0.83-1.61)	1.17 (0.63-2.18)
\$20,001-\$40,000	1.52 (1.11-2.08)*	0.97 (0.52-1.81)
\$40,001-\$75,000	2.15 (1.42-3.27)**	1.44 (0.76-2.71)
More than \$75,000	3.76 (2.20-6.42)**	1.93 (0.95-3.93)
Health Insurance Status (ref: None)	0.93 (0.72-1.20)	1.10 (0.77-1.55)
Doctor Visit in Last 12 Months (ref: none)		
Yes, one or two times	1.03 (0.75-1.43)	0.98 (0.69-1.39)
At least three times	1.06 (0.78-1.45)	0.95 (0.65-1.39)
Hispanic or Latino Background (ref: Dominican)		
Mexican	0.57 (0.32-1.03)	2.04 (0.93-4.47)
Other Central American	0.99 (0.57-1.75)	1.28 (0.57-2.90)
Cuban	0.90 (0.48-1.67)	1.90 (0.78-4.67)
Puerto Rican	0.69 (0.43-1.11)	1.61 (0.80-3.23)

 Table S3. Logistic regression models for awareness of genetic tests, by gender.

South American	0.86 (0.46-1.62)	1.16 (0.54-2.48)
More than one/other	1.09 (0.44-2.67)	2.27 (0.91-5.70)
English Language Preference (ref: Spanish)	1.27 (0.89-1.80)	1.06 (0.72-1.55)
Marital Status (ref: Single)		
Married or living with partner	0.78 (0.58-1.05)	0.95 (0.68-1.33)
Separated, divorced or widowed	0.66 (0.47-0.95)	0.77 (0.48-1.23)
Employment Status (ref: Retired/not currently em	iployed)	
Employed part-time (≤35 hours/week)	1.02 (0.77-1.36)	1.15 (0.72-1.82)
Employed full-time (>35 hours/week)	1.04 (0.79-1.38)	0.86 (0.58-1.27)
U.Sborn (ref: Not U.Sborn)	1.34 (0.90-1.98)	1.02 (0.65-1.58)

\* p<0.01

\*\* p<0.001

The table summarizes logistic regression analyses that examined associations between participant characteristics and the likelihood of reporting awareness of at least one type of genetic test. Estimates represent odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals, and shows the increase in odds of reporting awareness relative to the reference category. Analyses included all covariates jointly, and were weighted to generate estimates valid for the HCHS/SOL population.

|--|

Term	Disease Risk OR (95%Cl)	Risks to Children OR (95%Cl)	Personalized Treatment OR (95%Cl)	Drug Efficacy OR (95%Cl)
Age, years (ref: 40 and younger)				
41-60	0.90 (0.71-1.16)	0.89 (0.71-1.12)	1.47 (1.04-2.09)	1.23 (0.90-1.68)
61 or older	0.96 (0.71-1.28)	0.78 (0.59-1.04)	1.34 (0.90-1.99)	1.16 (0.80-1.70)
Male sex (ref: Female)	0.82 (0.67-1.00)	0.91 (0.74-1.11)	1.15 (0.91-1.45)	0.98 (0.77-1.24)
Education, years (ref: less than high school degree)				
High school degree	1.09 (0.85-1.40)	1.14 (0.88-1.48)	1.20 (0.87-1.66)	1.38 (1.01-1.89)
Associate, bachelor, or vocational degree	1.70 (1.34-2.17)**	1.71 (1.36-2.16)**	1.78 (1.30-2.43)**	1.81 (1.35-2.42)**
Masters, doctoral, professional degree	2.01 (1.20-3.38)*	1.93 (1.17-3.19)	2.45 (1.42-4.22)*	3.01 (1.86-4.85)**
Center (ref: Bronx)				
Chicago	0.87 (0.63-1.20)	0.74 (0.51-1.06)	0.94 (0.62-1.43)	1.21 (0.81-1.80)
Miami	3.02 (2.11-4.31)**	1.39 (0.92-2.11)	1.15 (0.74-1.80)	1.17 (0.73-1.87)
San Diego	1.50 (1.03-2.21)	1.19 (0.75-1.89)	0.82 (0.49-1.39)	1.34 (0.84-2.14)
Income (ref: Less than \$10,000)				
\$10,001-\$20,000	1.17 (0.83-1.64)	1.04 (0.76-1.43)	0.97 (0.63-1.49)	1.00 (0.65-1.52)
\$20,001-\$40,000	1.18 (0.85-1.65)	1.12 (0.81-1.57)	0.89 (0.58-1.34)	0.84 (0.56-1.26)
\$40,001-\$75,000	1.81 (1.22-2.68)*	1.55 (1.07-2.26)	1.11 (0.70-1.75)	1.02 (0.64-1.62)
More than \$75,000	3.44 (2.15-5.51)**	1.84 (1.18-2.85)*	1.55 (0.89-2.72)	1.33 (0.78-2.26)
Has health insurance (ref: does not)	1.05 (0.83-1.33)	0.98 (0.79-1.22)	1.21 (0.93-1.57)	1.03 (0.78-1.36)

Doctor visit (ref: none)

Yes, one or two times	1.08 (0.85-1.39)	0.98 (0.77-1.24)	0.90 (0.69-1.18)	1.01 (0.76-1.33)
At least three times	0.94 (0.73-1.23)	0.95 (0.74-1.22)	0.86 (0.66-1.13)	0.91 (0.68-1.23)
Heritage (ref: Dominican)				
Mexican	0.65 (0.41-1.03)	0.90 (0.55-1.46)	1.04 (0.57-1.88)	1.09 (0.62-1.93)
Central American	0.64 (0.41-1.00)	1.15 (0.71-1.86)	0.75 (0.42-1.36)	1.01 (0.56-1.82)
Cuban	0.90 (0.56-1.44)	1.28 (0.75-2.17)	0.80 (0.45-1.41)	1.00 (0.53-1.87)
Puerto Rican	0.86 (0.56-1.34)	0.87 (0.60-1.27)	0.90 (0.54-1.52)	1.03 (0.62-1.70)
South American	0.84 (0.50-1.39)	0.98 (0.63-1.52)	0.61 (0.34-1.11)	0.78 (0.42-1.48)
More than one/other	1.02 (0.57-1.83)	1.55 (0.85-2.84)	1.44 (0.72-2.88)	1.52 (0.76-3.06)
English Language Preference (ref: Spanish)	1.25 (0.92-1.69)	1.13 (0.86-1.48)	1.38 (0.97-1.95)	1.39 (1.03-1.87)
Marital Status (ref: Single)				
Separated, divorced or widowed	0.84 (0.65-1.08)	0.91 (0.73-1.13)	1.16 (0.88-1.51)	1.03 (0.78-1.36)
Married or Living with Partner	0.81 (0.60-1.09)	0.78 (0.58-1.05)	0.91 (0.65-1.28)	0.67 (0.47-0.96)
Employment Status (ref: Retired/not currently employed)				
Employed full-time (>35 hours/week)	1.15 (0.89-1.48)	1.05 (0.82-1.34)	0.91 (0.66-1.26)	0.86 (0.62-1.19)
Employed part-time (≤35 hours/week)	0.98 (0.76-1.26)	1.10 (0.88-1.38)	0.67 (0.50-0.91)	0.79 (0.58-1.08)
U.Sborn (ref: Not U.Sborn)	1.05 (0.76-1.45)	1.11 (0.83-1.47)	1.04 (0.72-1.49)	0.93 (0.67-1.29)

\* p<0.01 \*\* p<0.001

The table summarizes logistic regression analyses that examined associations between participant characteristics and the likelihood of reporting awareness of specific genetic tests. Estimates represent odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals, and shows the increase in odds of reporting awareness relative to the reference category. Analyses included all covariates jointly, and were weighted to generate estimates valid for the HCHS/SOL population.

	Bronx	Chicago	Miami	San Diego
Term	Estimate (95% Cl)	Estimate (95% CI)	Estimate (95% CI)	Estimate (95% Cl)
Age, years (ref: 40 and younger)				
41-60	1.01 (0.94-1.08)	1.01 (0.96-1.05)	1.05 (1.01-1.09)	1.03 (0.98-1.09)
61 or older	0.91 (0.83-0.99)	0.95 (0.89-1.01)	1.05 (1.00-1.09)	1.01 (0.95-1.06)
Male sex (ref: Female)	0.97 (0.91-1.03)	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.99 (0.96-1.02)	0.97 (0.94-1.00)
Education, years (ref: less than high school degree)				
High school degree	1.04 (0.96-1.13)	1.01 (0.97-1.06)	0.99 (0.94-1.03)	1.00 (0.95-1.04)
Associate, bachelor, or vocational degree	1.08 (1.02-1.14)*	1.02 (0.97-1.07)	1.02 (0.97-1.06)	1.00 (0.95-1.05)
Masters, doctoral, professional degree	1.03 (0.90-1.18)	1.03 (0.95-1.11)	0.93 (0.85-1.01)	0.94 (0.86-1.04)
Income (ref: Less than \$10,000)				
\$10,001-\$20,000	1.03 (0.94-1.13)	1.04 (0.97-1.10)	0.99 (0.93-1.06)	0.97 (0.90-1.05)
\$20,001-\$40,000	1.02 (0.92-1.13)	0.98 (0.93-1.04)	1.03 (0.98-1.08)	0.97 (0.90-1.05)
\$40,001-\$75,000	1.06 (0.96-1.18)	0.98 (0.91-1.06)	1.03 (0.98-1.08)	1.00 (0.92-1.09)
More than \$75,000	0.93 (0.83-1.05)	0.93 (0.84-1.04)	1.01 (0.92-1.09)	0.99 (0.89-1.09)
Health Insurance Status (ref: None)	1.07 (0.98-1.16)	1.01 (0.97-1.05)	0.98 (0.95-1.01)	0.99 (0.95-1.03)
Doctor Visit in Last 12 Months (ref: none)				
Yes, one or two times	0.95 (0.87-1.03)	1.04 (1.00-1.08)	1.01 (0.99-1.04)	1.03 (0.98-1.08)
At least three times	1.00 (0.93-1.08)	1.02 (0.97-1.07)	0.97 (0.95-1.00)	1.02 (0.97-1.07)

# Table S5. Poisson regression models of perceived utility, by site.

Hispanic or Latino Background (ref: Dominican)

Mexican	1.00 (0.90-1.11)	1.09 (0.87-1.36)	0.89 (0.74-1.07)	0.86 (0.81-0.91)**
Other Central American	1.05 (0.99-1.11)	1.11 (0.88-1.39)	0.93 (0.87-1.00)	0.88 (0.76-1.02)
Cuban	0.89 (0.68-1.17)	1.16 (0.90-1.48)	0.97 (0.91-1.04)	0.92 (0.76-1.11)
Puerto Rican	1.01 (0.95-1.06)	1.14 (0.91-1.43)	0.98 (0.88-1.08)	0.88 (0.76-1.02)
South American	1.03 (0.96-1.11)	1.11 (0.88-1.41)	0.91 (0.86-0.97)*	0.88 (0.73-1.05)
More than one/other	1.07 (0.96-1.18)	1.03 (0.81-1.32)	1.00 (0.92-1.07)	0.79 (0.71-0.88)**
English Language Preference (ref: Spanish)	0.94 (0.89-0.99)	0.97 (0.88-1.06)	1.01 (0.93-1.10)	0.94 (0.89-0.99)
Marital Status (ref: Single)				
Married or living with partner	1.06 (0.99-1.15)	0.95 (0.89-1.01)	1.00 (0.96-1.04)	1.00 (0.96-1.05)
Separated, divorced or widowed	1.09 (1.01-1.17)	0.98 (0.91-1.06)	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	1.01 (0.96-1.07)
Employment Status (ref: Retired/not currently emplo	yed)			
Employed part-time (≤35 hours/week)	1.05 (1.00-1.11)	0.99 (0.95-1.04)	1.01 (0.97-1.06)	1.01 (0.97-1.06)
Employed full-time (>35 hours/week)	1.01 (0.94-1.08)	0.99 (0.95-1.04)	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.98 (0.94-1.03)
U.Sborn (ref: Not U.Sborn)	0.96 (0.90-1.03)	0.90 (0.83-0.96)*	0.93 (0.87-0.98)	0.97 (0.93-1.01)

\* p<0.01 \*\* p<0.001

The table summarizes Poisson regression analyses that examined associations between participant characteristics and the perceived utility of genetic testing, rated on a 1-10 scale, with separate models for each study site. Estimates represent exponentiated coefficients, and show the increase in perceived utility scores relative to the reference category. Analyses included all covariates jointly, and were weighted to generate estimates valid for the HCHS/SOL population.

 Table S6. Poisson regression models of perceived utility, by gender.

	Women	Men
Term	Estimate (95% Cl)	Estimate (95% Cl)
Age, years (ref: 40 and younger)		
41-60	1.03 (1.00-1.07)	1.01 (0.96-1.07)
61 or older	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	0.97 (0.91-1.03)
Education, years (ref: less than high school degree)		
High school degree	1.03 (1.00-1.06)	0.99 (0.94-1.05)
Associate, bachelor, or vocational degree	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	1.05 (1.00-1.09)
Masters, doctoral, professional degree	1.01 (0.94-1.08)	0.93 (0.85-1.01)
Center (ref: Bronx)		
Chicago	0.97 (0.92-1.02)	1.06 (0.98-1.15)
Miami	1.04 (0.99-1.10)	1.10 (1.02-1.18)
San Diego	0.99 (0.93-1.04)	1.08 (0.98-1.19)
Income (ref: Less than \$10,000)		
\$10,001-\$20,000	1.05 (0.99-1.10)	0.95 (0.86-1.04)
\$20,001-\$40,000	1.04 (0.99-1.10)	0.95 (0.87-1.03)
\$40,001-\$75,000	1.06 (1.00-1.12)	0.98 (0.91-1.06)
More than \$75,000	1.04 (0.97-1.12)	0.92 (0.84-1.00)
Health Insurance Status (ref: None)	1.01 (0.98-1.04)	0.98 (0.94-1.02)
Doctor Visit in Last 12 Months (ref: none)		
Yes, one or two times	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	1.01 (0.97-1.05)
At least three times	0.98 (0.95-1.02)	1.04 (1.00-1.09)
Hispanic or Latino Background(ref: Dominican)		
Mexican	1.05 (0.99-1.12)	0.92 (0.82-1.03)
Other Central American	1.02 (0.97-1.08)	0.97 (0.90-1.05)
Cuban	1.04 (0.98-1.11)	0.99 (0.91-1.09)

Puerto Rican	1.00 (0.93-1.07)	0.97 (0.89-1.06)
South American	1.02 (0.97-1.08)	0.94 (0.85-1.04)
More than one/other	1.06 (0.98-1.14)	0.89 (0.80-0.99)
English Language Preference (ref: Spanish)	0.97 (0.93-1.01)	0.93 (0.88-0.98)*
Marital Status (ref: Single)		
Married or living with partner	0.99 (0.96-1.02)	1.05 (1.01-1.10)
Separated, divorced or widowed	1.02 (0.98-1.05)	1.03 (0.97-1.09)
Employment Status (ref: Retired/not currently employed)		
Employed part-time (≤35 hours/week)	1.01 (0.98-1.04)	1.04 (0.99-1.09)
Employed full-time (>35 hours/week)	0.99 (0.97-1.02)	1.01 (0.97-1.05)
U.Sborn (ref: Not U.Sborn)	0.95 (0.91-0.99)	0.97 (0.92-1.02)

The table summarizes Poisson regression analyses that examined associations between participant characteristics and the perceived utility of genetic testing, rated on a 1-10 scale, with separate models for each gender. Estimates represent exponentiated coefficients, and show the relative increase in perceived efficacy scores relative to the reference category. Analyses included all covariates jointly, and were weighted to generate estimates valid for the HCHS/SOL target population. No findings were statistically significant at p<0.01.