1 **Supplementary material**

- 2 **Unravelling the interplay of ecological processes shaping the bacterial rare biosphere**
- 3 Xiu Jia, Francisco Dini-Andreote, Joana Falcão Salles 4

5 **Methods**

6 **Soil sampling**

7 Soil samples were collected across five successional stages (i.e. 0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years of development from 1809 to 2017) of a well-characterized soil chronosequence located on the island of Schiermonnikoog, the 8 1809 to 2017) of a well-characterized soil chronosequence located on the island of Schiermonnikoog, the
9 Netherlands (53°30' N. 6°10' E) in May July. September and November 2017 [1]. Similar sampling sites and 9 Netherlands (53°30' N, 6°10' E) in May, July, September and November 2017 [1]. Similar sampling sites and times were used in previous studies [2, 3]. At each successional stage, we established three replicate plots (5 10 times were used in previous studies [2, 3]. At each successional stage, we established three replicate plots (5×5 11 m). At each plot, we randomly sampled 20 soil cores (top 10 cm), which were homogenized and used 11 m). At each plot, we randomly sampled 20 soil cores (top 10 cm), which were homogenized and used as one pooled sample per plot. A total of 2 g of each homogenized soil sample per plot was directly preserved in LifeGuard 12 sample per plot. A total of 2 g of each homogenized soil sample per plot was directly preserved in LifeGuard Soil
13 Preservation Solution (Qiagen, Germany) for further RNA extraction. Preserved soil samples were stored 13 Preservation Solution (Qiagen, Germany) for further RNA extraction. Preserved soil samples were stored at -80℃ prior to RNA extraction.

$\frac{15}{16}$ 16 **RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and bacterial 16S rRNA sequencing**
17 To capture the putatively 'active' bacterial (i.e. excluding relic DNA) from

17 To capture the putatively 'active' bacterial (i.e. excluding relic DNA) from soil, soil RNA extractions were carried
18 out using the RNeasy PowerSoil Total RNA kit (Oiagen Germany) following the manufacturer's instruct 18 out using the RNeasy PowerSoil Total RNA kit (Qiagen, Germany), following the manufacturer's instructions.
19 DNA was digested from RNA samples using the DNase Max kit (Qiagen, Germany). The DNA-free RNA was 19 DNA was digested from RNA samples using the DNase Max kit (Qiagen, Germany). The DNA-free RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the Transcriptor High Fidelity cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Switzerland). reverse transcribed into cDNA using the Transcriptor High Fidelity cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Switzerland). 21 The cDNA samples were then purified using the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Germany). The 22 concentration of cDNA was quantified using NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA).

 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27 24 Bacterial community profiling was carried out by sequencing the 16S rRNA from the cDNA samples. The V4 25 region of bacterial 16S rRNA was amplified using the primer set 515F (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTA-AT-3'), in accordance with the Earth Microbiome Project [4, 5]. For 27 this, each sample was given a 12-base barcode sequence that linked on the forward primer. PCR assays were performed in 25 μ L of PCR with 1 μ L of template DNA, 1 μ L of each primer (final concentration 200 pM), 28 performed in 25 µL of PCR with 1 µL of template DNA, 1 µL of each primer (final concentration 200 pM), 9.5 29 µL of MOBIO PCR water and 12.5 µL of QuantaBio's AccuStart II PCR ToughMix (final concentration 1×). PCR 30 started with 3 minutes at 94 °C followed by 23 cycles at 94 °C for 45 s, 50 °C for 60 s, and 72 °C for 90 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were quantified using PicoGreen (Invitrogen, USA) an final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were quantified using PicoGreen (Invitrogen, USA) and pooled 32 in a tube using equimolar concentrations of each sample. The sample pool was purified using AMPure XP Beads
33 (Beckman Coulter, USA), and quantified by a Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen, USA). Pooled amplicons were
34 d 33 (Beckman Coulter, USA), and quantified by a Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen, USA). Pooled amplicons were 34 diluted to 2 nM, denatured, and then diluted to a final concentration of 6.75 pM with a 10% PhiX spike for 35 increasing the diversity of our library. Sequencing was performed on a $151bp \times 12bp \times 151bp$ Illumina MiSeq run (Illumina, USA) at the Environmental Sample Preparation and Sequencing Facility (ESPSF) at Argonne National 36 (Illumina, USA) at the Environmental Sample Preparation and Sequencing Facility (ESPSF) at Argonne National 37 Laboratory using the Version 2 chemistry sequencing reagent kit [4]. All 16S rRNA sequence data analyzed in
38 this study were deposited at the Sequence Read Archive of the National Center for Biotechnology information
 this study were deposited at the Sequence Read Archive of the National Center for Biotechnology information with the accession numbers PRJNA546612 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra)[1].

Supplementary Figures

 Figure 1 Methodological framework to calculate the relative influences of distinct assembly processes, using phylogenetic (Step 1) and taxonomic (Step 2) distribution (modified from Stegen *et al.*[6, 7]). In Step1 (upper left panel), **(A)**selection is inferred by the deviation of βMNTDobs from βMNTDnull(i.e. βNTI value). **(B, C)** βMNTDobs (solid black lines) represents the phylogenetic distance between a given pair of communities, whereas βMNTDnull (dashed grey lines) indicates the null distribution of phylogenetic turnover, generated by shuffling species in the tips of the phylogenetic tree. The predominance of variable selection leads to distinct phylogenetic species composition between two communities, e.g. communities C1 and C2 that dwell in distinct environmental conditions (illustrated in the upper right corner). In this case, the phylogenetic distance of observed communities 50 (βMNTD_{obs}) is higher than that of the null distribution (βMNTD_{null}), i.e. βNTI > +2. Homogeneous selection (e.g. communities C1 and C3) generates a similar phylogenetic structure between observed communities in comparison with the null expectation, i.e. βNTI < -2. Non-significant deviation of βMNTD between observed communities and the null distribution indicates the absence of selection, i.e. that dispersal and/or drift processes govern community turnover (-2 < βNTI < +2). In Step2 (upper right panel), **(D)** dispersal and /or drift are further quantified by the Bray-Curtis (BC) based Raup-Crick (RCbray). **(E, F)** This is done by calculating the deviation in the taxonomic difference (Bray-Curtis) between a given pair of observed communities (BCobs, solid black lines) and a randomly sampled distribution (BCnull, dashed grey lines). Dispersal limitation leads to a significant distinct 58 community composition between a given pair of communities (e.g. communities C4 and C6), i.e. $RC_{\text{bray}} > +0.95$. On the contrary, the predominance of homogenizing dispersal generates a significant clustering between a given pair of communities (e.g. communities C5 and C6), i.e. RCbray < -0.95. When neither selection nor dispersal is significant, i.e. neither βNTI nor RCbray are significantly different from the null distribution, the combination of drift, dispersal and selection (termed as undominated processes) is responsible for the random pattern in 63 community turnover. In Step 3 (lower panel), the βNTI and RC_{brav} matrices acquired from Step 1 and Step 2, are used to calculate the fraction of pairwise comparisons with significant values, which infer the relative influences of distinct assembly processes. In steps 1 and 2, circles with numbers indicate communities located in different

locations and/or environmental gradients.

 Figure 2 Rarity cutoff values based on the rank abundance curves of all samples (grey lines). The *x*-axis displays the abundance of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) on a log scale, and the *y*-axis displays the rank of their abundances. The rarity cutoff values are shown as dashed lines and their respective percentages are indicated in the panels. (A) Rarity cutoff values are commonly used in the literature (1.0%, 0.1% and 0.01%; blue lines, e.g. ref. [8-11]) and their fit on our dataset. The orange line indicates the average of sample-specific cutoff, i.e. 0.2% (for further detail, see Figure 5). (B) Distinct rarity cutoff values were tested in this study (0.2%, 0.1% and 0.05%; blue lines).

- **Figure 3** Workflow for defining the common and rare biospheres and classifying the different types of rarity and
- commonness, i.e. permanently common, conditionally rare/common, transiently rare and permanently rare.

80

81 **Figure 4** Conceptual figure displaying the method used to define the sample-specific rarity cutoff based on the rank abundance curve of a community (green line). Species with abundances above the intercept line ($y = ax$

rank abundance curve of a community (green line). Species with abundances above the intercept line ($y = ax$,

83 orange line) are classified as common, and those below as rare. The slope of the intercept line (*a*) represents the sequencing depth, i.e. the ratio of the value of observed species (S_{obs}) to the value of the estima

sequencing depth, i.e. the ratio of the value of observed species (S_{obs}) to the value of the estimated species (S_{chao1}).

 Figure 5 Panels displaying the sample-specific rarity cutoffs of each individual sample in our dataset. The sample- specific rarity cutoff values are set between 57 and 81 reads (numbers in blue). ASVs with read counts below these 88 values are defined as rare, and those above as common. The average of the sample-specific rarity cutoffs is 69 reads, which equals to 0.2% of the total abundance per sample. This value is based on a rarified ASV table at 31,500 reads per sample. Partial of the rank abundance curve in each sample is shown by grey lines. Red lines indicate the recalibrated intercept used to identify the sample-specific rarity cutoffs. Sample IDs on top of the panels indicate the successional stage, sampling month, and replicate (separated by underscores).

-
-

95

96 **Figure 6** Rarefaction curves of individual samples. Curves are visualized by the observed number of amplicon 97 sequence variance (ASVs) against the number of sequence reads. Lines with different colors indicate different 98 samples/communities. Sample IDs in the legend indicate the successional stage, sampling month, and replicate (separated by underscores). (separated by underscores).

Figure 7 Box plots displaying α-diversity indices (i.e. richness, Chao1). Median values and interquartile ranges are indicated in the plots. The panels display values across successional stages (i.e. 0, 10, 40, 70 and 1 103 are indicated in the plots. The panels display values across successional stages (i.e. 0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years) and sampling time (i.e. May, July, September and November). and sampling time (i.e. May, July, September and November).

Figure 8 Principal Coordinate Analyses (PCoA) displaying bacterial community β-diversities across successional stages (i.e. 0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years) and sampling time (i.e. May, July, September and November). (A 108 stages (i.e. 0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years) and sampling time (i.e. May, July, September and November). (A) PCoA plot based on Jaccard distances. (B) PCoA plot based on Bray-Curtis distances. plot based on Jaccard distances. (B) PCoA plot based on Bray-Curtis distances.

 Figure 9 Venn diagrams indicating the number of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in the rare (green circle) 115 and common (orange circle) biospheres. Values are shown at rarity cutoffs of (A) 0.2%, (B) 0.1% and (C) 0.05%.

 Figure 10 Bar charts displaying the relative abundances of rare and common species per bacterial phyla (green 118 and orange bars, respectively). The rare and common biospheres were defined by the rarity cutoff of 0.1%. The height of each bar represents the average relative abundance of each phylum in the corresponding sampling gr height of each bar represents the average relative abundance of each phylum in the corresponding sampling group. The *x*-axis displays the sampling time (M-May, J-July, S-September and N-November) and successional stage (0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years), and the *y*-axis displays the relative abundances (in %).

123

124 **Figure 11** Principal Coordinate analyses (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis distances of bacterial communities across successional stages (i.e. 0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years), separated by the rare and common biospheres. Each

125 successional stages (i.e. 0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years), separated by the rare and common biospheres. Each plot displays the result at a different cutoff value: (A) 0.2%, (B) 0.1% and (C) 0.05%. displays the result at a different cutoff value: (A) 0.2% , (B) 0.1% and (C) 0.05% .

 Figure 12 Bar charts displaying the number of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in each type of rarity and commonness per bacterial phylum. The rare and common biospheres were defined at the rarity cutoff of 0.1%. The height of each bar represents the number of ASVs.

Figure 13 Bar charts displaying the relative abundance of each type of commonness (A, C and E) and rarity (B, D and F). The plots display changes across five successional stages (0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years) and four sam

- D and F). The plots display changes across five successional stages (0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years) and four sampling times (M-May, J-July, S-September, N-November). The common and rare biospheres are shown at distinct rarity
- cutoffs: (A, B) 0.2%, (C, D) 0.1%, and (E, F) 0.05%.

 Figure 14 Bar charts displaying the number of ASVs of each type of commonness (A, C and E) and rarity (B, D 138 and F). The plots display changes across five successional stages (0, 10, 40, 70 and 110 years) and four sampling 139 times (M-May, J-July, S-September, N-November). The common and rare biospheres are shown at distinct rarity cutoffs: (A, B) 0.2%, (C, D) 0.1%, and (E, F) 0.05%.

 Figure 15 Plots displaying the relative influences of distinct assembly processes structuring the common (upper 145 panel) and rare (lower panel) biospheres at different rarity cutoff values (0.2%, 0.1% and 0.05%). *indicates the relative influences of homogenizing dispersal (0.23%) and undominated processes (0.11%) for the rare biosphere at the rarity cutoff of 0.2%. **indicates the relative influences of homogenizing dispersal (0.23%) and undominated processes (0.51%) for the rare biosphere at the rarity cutoff of 0.05%.

the immigration rates, i.e., the possibility the space vacated by the death of an individual is filled by an immigrant.

158 **Supplementary Tables**

159 **Table 1** Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) results showing the influence of 160 successional stage (Year), sampling time (Month) and their interaction on the community β -diversity, based on 161 (A) Jaccard distances and (B) Bray-Curtis distances, respectively.

Df Sums of Sqs Mean Sqs Pseudo-F *R***²** *Pr***(>F) (A) Jaccard Year** 4 10.40741 2.601853 14.87203 0.44966 1.00E-04 **Month** 3 1.311632 0.437211 2.49907 0.05667 2.00E-04 **Year:Month** 12 4.428039 0.369003 2.1092 0.191317 1.00E-04 **Residuals** 40 6.997974 0.174949 0.302353 **Total** 59 23.14506 1 **(B) Bray-Curtis Year** 4 12.31698 3.079246 32.22555 0.611171 1.00E-04 **Month** 3 0.997447 0.332482 3.479561 0.049493 2.00E-04 **Year:Month** 12 3.016551 0.251379 2.630784 0.149682 1.00E-04 **Residuals** 40 3.822118 0.095553 0.189654 **Total** 59 20.1531 1

162 Df - degrees of freedom; Sum of Sq - sum of squares; Mean Sqs - mean of squares; Pseudo-F - F value by

163 - permutation; R^2 - explained variation; *P*-values based on 9999 permutations

- 165 **Table 2** Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) results based on Bray-Curtis distances
- 166 of the bacterial rare and common biospheres. Results are shown at distinct rarity cutoff values: (A) 0.2%, (B) 0.1%, and (C) 0.05%. and (C) $0.05%$.

Total 119 50.175485 1 168 Df - degrees of freedom; Sum of Sq - sum of squares; Mean Sqs - mean of squares; Pseudo-F - F value by

permutation; R^2 - explained variation; *P*-values based on 9999 permutations $\frac{169}{170}$

172 **Table 3** Summary table displaying the percentage and richness of each type of commonness and rarity at distinct 173 cutoff values (0.2%, 0.1%, and 0.05%).

Rarity cutoff	Biosphere	Types of rarity/commonness	Proportion in each biosphere (relative abundance)	Number of ASVs in each biosphere
0.2%	Rare	Permanently rare	$66.92 \pm 0.65\%$	1560.95 ± 59.27
		Transiently rare	$3.33 \pm 0.36\%$	139.88 ± 12.85
		Conditionally rare	$29.75 \pm 0.67\%$	249.25 ± 7.04
	Common	Conditionally common	$98.54 \pm 0.43\%$	73.88 ± 1.69
		Permanently common	$1.46 \pm 0.43\%$	0.98 ± 0.30
0.1%	Rare	Permanently rare	$54.73 \pm 0.85\%$	1258.00 ± 52.66
		Transiently rare	$4.14 \pm 0.42\%$	138.88 ± 12.78
		Conditionally rare	$41.13 \pm 0.96\%$	437.37 ± 14.85
	Common	Conditionally common	$95.84 \pm 0.41\%$	185.42 ± 4.06
		Permanently common	$4.16 \pm 0.41\%$	5.25 ± 0.69
0.05%	Rare	Permanently rare	$40.96 \pm 0.88\%$	815.42 ± 40.01
		Transiently rare	$5.93 \pm 0.58\%$	135.17 ± 12.41
		Conditionally rare	$53.11 \pm 1.13\%$	632 ± 27.24
	Common	Conditionally common	$90.77 \pm 0.66\%$	413.42 ± 8.62
		Permanently common	$9.23 \pm 0.66\%$	28.88 ± 2.88

175 **References**

- 176 1. Jia X, Dini-Andreote F, Salles JF. Comparing the influence of assembly processes governing bacterial community succession based on DNA and RNA data. Microorganisms. 2020; accepted. 177 community succession based on DNA and RNA data. Microorganisms. 2020; accepted.
178 2. Dini-Andreote F, Pylro VS, Baldrian P, van Elsas JD, Salles JF. Ecological succession
- 178 2. Dini-Andreote F, Pylro VS, Baldrian P, van Elsas JD, Salles JF. Ecological succession reveals potential 179 signatures of marine-terrestrial transition in salt marsh fungal communities. ISME J. 2016;10:1984–97. 179 signatures of marine–terrestrial transition in salt marsh fungal communities. ISME J. 2016;10:1984–97.
180 3. Dini-Andreote F. Silva M. Triado-Margarit X. Casamayor EO, van Elsas JD. Salles JF. Dynamics of
- 180 3. Dini-Andreote F, Silva M, Triado-Margarit X, Casamayor EO, van Elsas JD, Salles JF. Dynamics of the bacterial community succession in a salt marsh chronosequence: evidences for temporal niche 181 bacterial community succession in a salt marsh chronosequence: evidences for temporal niche
182 partitioning ISME J. 2014;8(10):1989-2001; doi: 10.1038/ismei.2014.54.
- 182 partitioning. ISME J. 2014;8(10):1989-2001; doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.54.
183 4. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, 183 4. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, et al. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 184 patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
185 2011;108(Supplement 1):4516-22; doi:10.1073/pnas.1000080107. 185 2011;108(Supplement 1):4516-22; doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000080107.
186 5. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, 1
- 186 5. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, et al. Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. ISME J. 2012;6(8):1621-4. 187 microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. ISME J. 2012;6(8):1621-4.
188 6. Stegen JC, Lin X, Fredrickson JK, Chen X, Kennedy DW, Murray CJ, et al. Quantifying community
- 188 6. Stegen JC, Lin X, Fredrickson JK, Chen X, Kennedy DW, Murray CJ, et al. Quantifying community assembly processes and identifying features that impose them. ISME J. 2013;7(11):2069-79; doi: 189 assembly processes and identifying features that impose them. ISME J. 2013;7(11):2069-79; doi:
190 10.1038/ismej.2013.93. 190 10.1038/ismej.2013.93.
191 7. Stegen JC. Lin X. Fr
- 191 7. Stegen JC, Lin X, Fredrickson JK, Konopka AE. Estimating and mapping ecological processes 192 influencing microbial community assembly Front Microbiol 2015 6 doi: 10 3389/fmich 2015 00370 192 influencing microbial community assembly. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00370;
193 doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00370. 193 doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00370.
194 8. Galand PE. Casamayor EO. Kir
- 194 8. Galand PE, Casamayor EO, Kirchman DL, Lovejoy C. Ecology of the rare microbial biosphere of the 195 Arctic Ocean Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009:106(52):22427-32: doi:10.1073/pnas.0908284106 195 Arctic Ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009;106(52):22427-32; doi: 10.1073/pnas.0908284106.
196 9. Reveillaud J. Maignien L. Murat Eren A. Huber JA. Apprill A. Sogin ML. et al. Host-specificity
- 196 9. Reveillaud J, Maignien L, Murat Eren A, Huber JA, Apprill A, Sogin ML, et al. Host-specificity among abundant and rare taxa in the sponge microbiome. ISME J. 2014;8(6):1198-209; doi: 197 abundant and rare taxa in the sponge microbiome. ISME J. 2014;8(6):1198-209; doi:
198 10.1038/ismei.2013.227. 198 10.1038/ismej.2013.227.
199 10. Logares R, Audic S, Bass
- 199 10. Logares R, Audic S, Bass D, Bittner L, Boutte C, Christen R, et al. Patterns of rare and abundant marine microbial eukaryotes. Current biology : CB. 2014;24(8):813-21; doi: 10.1016/i.cub.2014.02.050. 200 microbial eukaryotes. Current biology : CB. 2014;24(8):813-21; doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.02.050.
201 11. Campbell BJ, Yu L, Heidelberg JF, Kirchman DL. Activity of abundant and rare bacteria in a
- 201 11. Campbell BJ, Yu L, Heidelberg JF, Kirchman DL. Activity of abundant and rare bacteria in a coastal 202 ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011;108(31):12776-81; doi: 10.1073/pnas.1101405108.