Supplementary document

List of Tables and Figures

- Table E1. Search strategy
- Table E2. Demographic characteristics of the included studies (n=12)
- Table E3. Markers of asthma severity of the included studies (n=12)
- Table E4. JBI quality assessment of the included studies (n=12)
- Table E5. Covariates adjusted in a statistical model for estimates used in this review
- Figure E1. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for hospitalisation for COVID-19
- Figure E2. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for mortality for COVID-19

Figure E3. Adjusted odds ratio (ORs) for ICU admission for COVID-19

Table E1. Search strategy

Database	Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process, In-Data-Review & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Daily and Versions(R) <1946 to 14 January, 2022>,							
Date of search	20210719	20210923	20220118					
Search history	2 coronavirus*.ti,ab,kw,kf. 3 ("COVID-19*" or COVID19* 4 1 or 2 or 3 5 exp Asthma/ 6 asthma*.ti,ab,kw. 7 5 or 6 8 4 and 7 9 (201912* or 2020* or 2021* d 10 8 and 9 11 Meta-Analysis as Topic/ 12 meta analy\$.tw. 13 metaanaly\$.tw. 14 Meta-Analysis/ 15 (systematic adj (review\$1 or 16 exp Review Literature as To 17 or/11-16 18 cochrane.ab. 19 embase.ab. 20 (psychlit or psyclit).ab. 21 (psychinfo or psycinfo).ab. 22 (cinahl or cinhal).ab 23 science citation index.ab. 24 bids.ab. 25 cancerlit.ab. 26 or/18-25 27 reference list\$.ab. 28 bibliograph\$.ab. 30 relevant journals.ab. 31 manual search\$.ab. 30 relevant journals.ab. 31 manual search\$.ab. 32 or/27-31 33 selection criteria.ab. 34 data extraction.ab. 35 33 or 34 36 Review/ 37 35 and 36 38 Comment/ 39 Letter/ 40 Editorial/ 41 animal/ 42 human/ 43 41 not (41 and 42) 44 or/38-40,43 45 17 or 26 or 32 or 37 46 45 not 44 47 10 and 46 48 10 not 47	* or "COVID-2019*" or covi or 2022*).ed. • overview\$1)).tw. pic/						
Number of results	624	110	168					
Systematic	21	2	5					
reviews Others	603	108	163					
Database	WHO Covid literature database							
Date of search	20210719	20210923	20220118					
Search history		analy*" or metaanaly* or m	eta-analys* or "rapid review" or "evidence					
	summary" or "evidence synthesis"							
Number of	1836	270	575					

Systematic reviews	47	7	16						
Others	1789	263	559						
Database	Medrxivr (https://mcguinlu.shinyapps.io/medrxivr/)								
Date of search	20210719	20210923	20220118						
Search history	2019nCoV Betacoronavirus Corona Virus Coronavirus Coronovirus \\bCoV\\b \\bCoV2\\b COVID HCoV-19 \\bnCoV\\b SARS CoV 2 SARS2 SARSCoV SARS-CoV [Aa]sthma Sub set to identify SRs: [Ss]ystematic review [Mm]eta analy [Mm]eta analy [Mm]eta analys [Rr]apid review [Ee]vidence summary [Ee]vidence synthesis								
Number of results	90	7	2						
Systematic reviews	4	1	0						
Others	86	6	2						

Note: Searches were updated on 23 September 2021 and 18 January 2022. 1. Ovid MEDLINE(R): Date of search 20210923 with entry date limit applied to prior search [(2021072* or 2021073* or 202108* or 202109*).ed.], date of search 20220118 on database segment <1946 to January 14, 2022> with entry date limit applied to prior search ed=20210924-20220117. Entry date limit in line 9 (to focus on Covid-19 pandemic period) edited to allow additions from 2022; WHO COVID literature database: Date of search 202201923 with entry date limit applied to prior search [entry_date:(2021072* or 2021073* or 202108* or 202109*)], Date of search 20220118 with entry date limit applied to prior search [entry_date:(2021072* or 2021073* or 202108* or 202109*)], Date of search 20220118 with entry date limit applied to prior search [(entry_date:(2021092* or 20211* or 2022*))]; Medrxivr: Date of search 20210923 with entry date limit applied to prior search [records added from 20 July 202]. Date of search 20220118 with entry date limit applied to prior search [records added from 24 September 2021].

	Control group (N, %)	Control group with severe COVID- 19 outcome cases*	Severe asthma (N, %)	Severe asthma with severe COVID-19 outcome cases		
Aveyard (2021)	No respiratory disease	Hospitalisation: 3127	385,702	Hospitalisation: 1369		
• • •	4,693,447	ICU: 672		ICU admission: 124		
		Death: 1475		Death: 476		
Bloom (2021)	No asthma 7,083	Death: 382	201	Death: 24		
Choi (2021)	Step 1 (mild asthma)	ICU admission: 3	4	ICU admission: 0		
	51	Death: 5		Death: 0		
Eger (2020)	Dutch population	Hospitalisation: 10,691	634	Hospitalisation: 7		
	13,363,687	ICU for intubation: 2,673		ICU for intubation: 5		
		Death 4,009		Death: 1		
Fong (2021)	Non-SA [§]	Death: 32	39	Death 1		
	63					
Robinson (2021)	No asthma [∲]	Hospitalisation: 45	44	Hospitalisation: 14		
		Ventilation: 12		Ventilation: 5		
	210	Death: 9		Death: 0		
Schultze (2020)	SABA only:	SABA only	101,077	Death: 105		
· · ·	108,411	Death: 49 (0.05%)				
Williamson (2020)	With no recent OCS use 2,454,403	Death: 1,211	291,670	Death: 335		
Jung (2021)	No asthma	Death: 108	40	Death: 4		
	3,704					
aShi (2022)	No asthma	Hospitalisation: 366	965	Hospitalisation: 15		
	39,518					
bShi (2022)	No asthma	Hospitalisation: 21,487	9,154	Hospitalisation: 1,464		
	215,345	ICU admission: 1,679		ICU admission: 85		
		Death: 7,875		Death: 450		
Zein (2022)	No asthma	Hospitalisation: NA	290	Hospitalisation: NA		
	62,042	ICU admission: NA		ICU admission: NA		
		Death: NA		Death: NA		

Table E2. Demographic characteristics of included studies (n=12)

NA, Not available; SD, Standard deviation; IQR, Interquartile range; SA, Severe asthma; *matched with cases in severe asthma group; §calculated from COVID0-19 tested SA and total number of patients with asthma ;

 $^{\oint}\!Age,$ sex, and date of SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis matched comparators

Author (year)	Markers of asthma severity
Aveyard (2021)	Patients who were treated with at least three different classes of asthma medication, including ICS , the year prior to cohort entry
Bloom (2021)	Patients who were treated with ICS plus LABA plus another maintenance medication
Choi (2021)	Patients who were treated with OCS for a duration of over 90 days (Step 5, GINA guidelines)
Eger (2020)	Patients who were treated with high-dose ICS , plus a second controller (and/or systemic corticosteroids) according to ERS/ATS guidelines.
Fong (2021)	Patients who were treated with high-dose treatment or continuous/frequent OCS use according to BTS guidelines
Robinson (2021)	Patients who were treated with (1) asthma biologics (anti-IgE, anti-interleukin-5/interleukin-5receptor, or anti-interleukin-4 receptor) in the last 1 year or (2) $OCS \ge 3$ times in the last 12months, or (3) theophylline in the last 1 year
Schultze (2020)	Patients who were treated with high-dose ICS within 4 months before entering the study cohort
Williamson (2020)	Patients who were treated with OCS in the preceding 12 months
Jung (2021)	Patients who were treated with one of the following medications within 24 months: (1) ICS/LABA + long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMAs), (2) ICS/LABA + LTRA, (3) ICS/LABA + xanthine, and (4) corticosteroids for over 90 days .
_a Shi (2022)*	Patients who were treated with OCS in the preceding 12 months
_b Shi (2022)*	Patients who were treated with OCS in the preceding 12 or 24 months
Zein (2022)	Patients who were treated with high-dose ICS equivalent to or exceeding 800 µg/d of budesonide (Step 4 and 5, GINA guidelines)

Table E3. Markers of asthma severity of the included studies (n=12)

Abbreviations: ICS, Inhaled corticosteroids; LABA, Long-acting beta-agonist; OCS, Oral corticosteroids; ERS/ATS, European Respiratory Society (ERS) and American Thoracic Society (ATS); BTS, British Thoracic Society; SIGN, Scottish intercollegiate Guidelines Network; GINA, Global Initiative for Asthma; * also defined one, two, three or more, or no courses of corticosteroids in the preceding 12 and 24 months.

Study	Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population?	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	Were confounding factors identified?	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explored?	Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilised?	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	Scoring [§] (%)	Overall Study Quality
Aveyard (2021)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	100	High
Bloom (2021)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	100	High
Choi (2021)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	81	High
Eger (2020)	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	63	Moderate
Fong (2021)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	100	High
Robinson (2021)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	81	High
Schultze (2020)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	100	High
Williamson (2020)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	91	High
Jung (2021)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	81	High
aShi (2022)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	91	High
bShi (2022)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	91	High
Zein (2022)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	81	High

 Table E4. JBI Quality assessment of included studies (n=12)

[§]Scoring scale: high 80 – 100%, moderate 50 – 79%, low <50%

Author (year)	Covariates
Aveyard (2021)	age, sex, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, region of England, body-mass index
	(categorical variable), smoking status, non-smoking-related illness (hypertension,
	type 1 diabetes, chronic liver disease, and chronic neurological disease), smoking-
	related illness (coronary heart disease, stroke, atrial fibrillation, type 2 diabetes, and
	chronic kidney disease), and all other respiratory diseases
Bloom (2021)	only RT-PCR confirmed cases, age, sex, ethnicity, deprivation, obesity, smoking,
	chronic cardiac disease, chronic kidney disease, and malignancy
Choi (2021)	age, sex, underlying disease, and asthma medications/severity
Eger (2020)	Not available
Fong (2021)	age, sex, ethnicity, obesity, other co-morbidities
Robinson (2021)	age, sex, race, ethnicity, payor, smoking status, body mass index, and Charlson comorbidity index.
	age, sex, BMI, Indices of multiple deprivations, diagnosed hypertension, heart
Schultze (2020)	disease, diabetes, cancer, immunosuppressive conditions, chronic kidney disease,
Schultze (2020)	influenza vaccination status, pneumococcal vaccination status, statin use,
	exacerbation history, oral steroids use
	age, sex, BMI, smoking, Ethnicity, IMD quintile, hypertension or high blood
	pressure, asthma, chronic heart disease, diabetes, non-haematological cancer,
Williamson (2020)	haematological malignancy, reduced kidney function, liver disease, stroke or
	dementia, other neurological disease, organ transplant, asplenia, rheumatoid arthritis,
	lupus or psoriasis, and other immunosuppressive condition
	age, sex, income, obesity, smoking, alcohol consumption, systolic
Jung (2021)	blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, total cholesterol, CCI
Jung (2021)	scores, number of NSAIDs used, number of steroids used and hypertension, asthma
	or COPD
aShi (2022)	age, sex, socioeconomic status, nine other risk groups of interest, and number of
a5111 (2022)	non-asthma hospital admissions within the 2 years before March 1, 2020.
	age, sex, socioeconomic status, body mass index, number of risk groups of interest,
bShi (2022)	number of non-asthma related hospitalisations within the two-year period prior to
	March 1, 2020 and vaccine status.
	age, sex, race, ethnicity, body mass index, smoking history, pack-years smoking,
	medications (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, angiotensin converting enzyme
Zein (2022)	2 inhibitor, angiotensin receptor blocker, and intranasal corticosteroids),
<i>L</i> AIII (2022)	comorbidities (allergic rhinitis, diabetes, hypertension, coronary artery disease, heart
	failure, cancer [historical or current], and immunosuppressive disease), and month of
	testing

Table E5. Covariates adjusted in a statistical model for estimates used in this review

Figure E1. Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) for hospitalisation for COVID-19

Study	logOR	SE	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Zein, 2022 Eger, 2020		0.1506 0.3820		2.89		86.5% 13.5%	52.5% 47.5%
Common effect mod Random effects mod Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 93\%$	del	p < 0.01 0.5	1 2	3.57 6.12	[2.72; 4.70] [0.00; 136318.68]	100.0% 	 100.0%

Figure E2. Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) for mortality for COVID-19

Study	logOR SE	Odds Ra	atio OF	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Jung, 2021 Zein, 2022 Eger, 2020	-0.36 0.8529 -0.16 0.4413 1.61 1.0037		0.70 0.83 5.00	. , ,	18.3% 68.4% 13.2%	20.5% 64.5% 15.1%
Common effect mode Random effects mod Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 30\%$	el	1 0.2 0.5 1	1.04 1.07 2 5 10	[0.51; 2.12] [0.15; 7.82]	100.0% 	 100.0%

Figure E3. Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) for ICU admission for COVID-19

Study	logOR	SE	Od	ds Ratio	OF	R	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Zein, 2022 Eger, 2020		0.2771 0.4497			1.8 > 40.8		3.24] 98.50]	72.5% 27.5%	50.7% 49.3%
Common effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: l^2 = 97%, 1	l	7, p < 0.01 0.5	1	2	4.3 8.5	9 [2.76; 3 [0.00; 265308	6.96] 30531.37]	100.0% 	 100.0%