

Figure S1. Coverage and Validation of RBC proteome.

(A) RBC proteins were ranked based on abundance (black plot). While hemoglobin is the most abundant protein in RBCs, band 3 is the most abundant in our experiment because of hemoglobin depletion steps in our protocol. Blue plot shows >90% of the RBC proteins in the gold standard set of 859 proteins were detected within the most 2,000 abundant proteins in our experiment, showing a good coverage of RBC proteome.

(B-E) A Venn diagram shows the overlap and differences of proteins detected in the three prior proteomic studies (Bryk and Wiśniewski, 2017; Goodman et al., 2007; Lange et al., 2014). Proteins in the intersection were considered well-supported RBC training examples; human proteins not observed by all 3 prior studies were considered non-RBC proteins. Proteins observed by only 1 or 2 prior studies were considered candidate RBC proteins to be scored by the classifier. The remaining panels plot the percentage of proteins in each of the 3 prior studies as a function of the confidence scores assigned by the classifier. Vertical red dashed lines indicate the 1% FDR threshold.



Figure S2. Cryo-EM data processing workflow and structure validation for 20S proteasome

- (A) Cryo-EM data processing workflow for 20S proteasome.
- **(B)** Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC) curves for the 20S proteasome based on the gold-standard between two independent half maps.
- (C) Euler angle distribution plot for the 20S proteasome.
- (D) Local resolution map of the 20S proteasome reconstruction.
- (E) Region from the alpha-6 subunit of the 20S proteasome reconstruction and model showing a more highly resolved portion of the map.
- (F) Map-to-model FSC.



Figure S3. Assessment of proteasomes from negative stain electron microscopy of RBC hemolysate shows a majority in the 20S form.

(A) Representative micrograph of hemolysate after being passed through a 100 kDa filter.

(B) Representative reference-free 2D class averages from filtered hemolysate showing macromolecular assemblies of distinct sizes and shapes. Box length is 254 Å.

(**C**) Reference-free 2D class averages and aligned raw particles for 20S proteasome (top view), 20S proteasome (side view) and 26S proteasome (single-capped) from left to right. Box length corresponds to 459 Å.

(**D**) Distribution of observed proteasome states from negative stain EM of hemolysate. The total number of proteasome particles classified was 1,510.



Figure S4. 3D models of individual membrane/cytoskeletal proteins prior to integration into larger complexes.

(A) Global validation of crosslinks shows that crosslinked pairs are likely to have high CF-MS scores, suggesting that our crosslink experiment captures true physical interactions.

(B) Yellow lines in the protein structures represent intramolecular crosslinks detected in our crosslinking experiments. The yellow lines above rectangular boxes show the amino acid residues with detected crosslinks. Intramolecular crosslinks of individual proteins agreed with the existing x-ray crystal structures of these proteins.



Figure S5. Integrative Modeling Platform (IMP) workflow.

Data in the blue box are converted into spatial restraints. The orange boxes show x-ray crystal structures, statistical inferences, and physical properties. The gold box displays the sampling and scoring of the models. The models are analyzed via an initial clustering to select a high scoring group of models from various simulation runs followed by sampling exhaustiveness (pink boxes). The light blue boxes show the ensemble validation against the data used in the modeling, the probability density for each subunit, and a comparison against the known structure.



Figure S6. Correlated cross-linker violations suggest ankyrin may adopt a highly compressed structure within the band 3-Ank1 complex.

(A) 30% of crosslinks exceed the inter-amino acid distances expected for the DSSO crosslinker (30 Å) when mapped onto the ANK1 structure in its open conformation (as observed crystallographically by (Wang et al., 2014), but these crosslinks can be satisfied by a model of ANK1 in a closed conformation, suggesting that ANK1 in the band 3-Ank1 complex may adopt both open and closed conformations.

(B&C) Integrative 3D model of band 3-Ank1 complex with Ank1 in open (left) and closed (right) conformations. Side views of the band 3-Ank1 complex.

(D&E) Top views of the band 3-Ank1 complex with Ank1 in either open (left) or closed (right) conformation.

Table S1. Cryo-EM data collection and reconstruction statistics.

Protein	20S Proteasome
EMDB	EMD-24822
Microscope	FEI Titan Krios
Voltage (kV)	300
Detector	Gatan K3
Magnification (nominal)	22,500
Pixel size (Å/pix)	1.045
Flux (e ⁻ /pix/sec)	15.5
Frames per exposure	20
Exposure (e ⁻ /Å ²)	42.58
Defocus range (µm)	1.09 - 2.5
Micrographs collected	6,606
Particles extracted/final	1016378/59972
Symmetry imposed	none (C1)
Map sharpening B-factor	122.3
Unmasked resolution at 0.143 FSC (Å)	4.4
Masked resolution at 0.143 FSC (Å)	3.35

EM data collection and reconstruction statistics

Protein complex	Cluster precision	Cluster size	% XLs satisfied	ССС
Ank1-open	41.739 Å	6,672	87%	0.9785
Ank1-closed	43.884 Å	4,452	95%	0.9718
Ank1-open w/ enzymes	52.747 Å	4,361	90%	0.9921
Ank1-closed w/ enzymes	52.849 Å	2,506	98%	0.9442
band 4.1-spectrin	25.937 Å	4,237	95%	0.9866

 Table S2: Statistical validation for integrative modeling of protein complexes.

Table S3: Individual protein modeling source and representation.

Protein (gene) name	Uniprot ID	C-Score or PDB ID	Representation
ANK1	P16157	N/A	Chain of rigid bodies & flexible beads
EPB41	P11171	-0.54	Rigid body
EPB42	P16452	1.88	Rigid body
GYPA	P02724	1AFO(81-120)	Rigid body & flexible beads
RhAG	Q02094	1.07	Rigid body
RhCE	P18577	1.55	Rigid body
SLC2A1	P11166	1.45	Rigid body
SLC4A1	P02730	4YZF(381-887),1H YN(56-355)	Chain of rigid bodies & flexible beads
SPTA1	P02549	N/A	Chain of rigid bodies
SPTB	P11277	N/A	Chain of rigid bodies
GAPDH	P04406	1u8f	Rigid body
PGK1	P00558	4033	Rigid body