## **All Panelists**

The following document presents the group's median and range of ratings. Each cell is color coded. Cells in yellow are ones where the group disagreed (≥2 panelists gave that cell a rating of 1-3 and ≥2 panelists gave that cell a rating of 7-9); cells in blue are ones where the group agreed, with the darker blues representing higher medians, as shown in the key on the right.

Median (Range)

Yellow: Disagreement (≥2 ratings of 1-3 and ≥2 ratings of 7-9)

Blue 1: Median ≥7-9 without disagreement
Blue 2: Median ≥4-<7, without disagreement

Blue 3: Median 1-<4 without disagreement

### SECTION 1

### **TABLE 1. SOLID ORGAN CANCERS**

Please enter a rating in each yellow cell, where each cell represents a cancer or cancer stage.  If there is heterogeneity within a category, do your best to imagine a <b>typical</b> patient.		What is the likelihood that adults diagnosed with this cancer at tage today will be cured [1] with typical treatment [2]?  Q2: What is the likelihood that untreated adults with stage I or II of this cancer will progress to stage III or IV disease over their lifetime?						Imagine an annual hypothetical screening blood test for patients ≥50 years of age that is 100% sensitive and 10s cancer you are being asked to rate. Assume this test cannot differentiate between higher and lower risk cancers. If all cancers of this type were diagnosed no later than the stage [4] indicated by the row heading, to what screen to progress from the ginning of this stage [3] to the ginning of the next stage in all patients with this cancer, relative to cure relative to life expectancy without the screening test?  Assume patients are receiving typical treatment.  Imagine an annual hypothetical screening blood test for patients ≥50 years of age that is 100% sensitive and 10s cancer. Now the scancer risk cancer. Now the diagnosed no later than the stage [4] indicated by the row heading, to what with Cancer relative to cure rates increase in all patients with this cancer, relative to cure rates without the screening test?  Assume patients are receiving typical treatment.  Q5: Assume patients are receiving typical treatment.  Q6: Assume patients are receiving typical treatment.			
	For columns A through 1 = Extremely unlikely 3 = Somewhat unlikely 5 = Neutral, neither likely 7 = Somewhat likely 9 = Extremely likely	h <b>F</b> , enter a rating of 1 t	hrough 9, where:				For column G, enter a rating of 1 through 9, where: 1 =Less than 1 year 5 =5 years 9 =9 or more years	For columns H through K, enter a rating of 1 through 9, where your answer reflects your judgement about how much the outco (e.g., life expectancy in Q4 and cure rates in Q5, Q6, Q7) would increase: 1=Not at all 3=Slightly 5=Moderately 7=Considerably 9=A great deal, doubling the outcome of interest			ut how much the outcome of interest
	Lower risk [8]	Higher risk [9]	Overall	Lower risk	Higher risk	Overall	Overall	Overall Overall Overall			Overall
	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	H I		J	K
Prostate 1				3.5 (1 – 5)	7.0 ( 5 – 9 )	6.0 ( 3 - 7 )					
Stage I 2		8.0 (5 - 9)	8.5 ( 7 – 9 )				7.0 ( 5 – 8 )	3.5 ( 1 – 6 )	3.0 ( 1 – 5 )	3.5 ( 1 – 5	3.0 ( 1 – 7 )
Stage II 3	9.0 ( 8 - 9 )	7.0 (5 – 9)	8.0 ( 7 – 9 )				5.0 ( 4 – 6 )	4.0 ( 2 - 5 )	3.0 ( 2 – 5 )	3.0 ( 2 – 5	4.5 ( 3 – 5 )
Stage III 4	7.0 ( 5 – 9 )	4.0 (3 - 6)	5.5 ( 4 - 8 )				3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	2.0 ( 1 – 5 )	3.0 ( 2 – 5	4.0 ( 3 – 6 )
Stage IV 5	1.5 ( 1 – 7 )	1.0 (1 - 4)	1.0 ( 1 - 5 )								
Breast 6				6.0 (5 - 7)	8.0 ( 5 – 9 )	7.0 ( 7 – 8 )					
Stage I 7	9.0 ( 8 - 9 )	8.0 (6 - 9)	9.0 ( 7 - 9 )				3.0 ( 2 - 4 )	7.0 ( 6 – 8 )	6.0 ( 3 - 8 )	6.5 ( 4 – 8	7.5 ( 3 – 9 )
Stage II 8	8.0 ( 8 - 9 )	6.5 (4 - 8)	7.5 ( 6 - 8 )				2.0 ( 1 - 3 )	5.0 ( 4 – 6 )	5.5 ( 2 - 7 )	5.5 ( 3 - 7	6.0 ( 4 - 7 )
Stage III 9	6.5 ( 4 - 7 )	4.0 ( 3 - 5 )	5.5 ( 4 - 6 )				1.5 ( 1 – 2 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	3.0 ( 2 - 6 )	3.0 ( 2 - 6	5.0 ( 3 - 7 )
Stage IV 10	1.5 ( 1 - 3 )	1.0 (1 - 4)	1.0 ( 1 - 2 )								
Lung 11				7.0 (4 – 9)	9.0 (8 – 9)	8.0 ( 7 - 9 )					
Stage I 12	7.5 ( 7 – 9 )	6.0 (4 - 7)	7.0 ( 6 - 9 )			,	2.0 ( 2 - 3 )	8.0 ( 7 - 9 )	8.0 ( 6 - 9 )	8.0 ( 6 - 9	8.0 ( 3 - 9 )
Stage II 13	5.0 ( 4 - 7 )	4.5 (3 - 6)	5.0 (3 - 8)				1.0 ( 1 - 2 )	6.0 ( 5 - 7 )	6.0 ( 4 - 6 )	7.0 ( 5 - 7	7.0 (4 - 8)
Stage III 14	3.0 (1 - 5)	2.5 (1 - 4)	3.0 (1 - 5)				1.0 ( 1 - 1 )	3.5 ( 3 - 5 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	4.0 ( 2 - 6	5.0 ( 3 - 6 )
Stage IV 15	1.0 ( 1 - 2 )	1.0 (1 - 1)	1.0 (1 - 2)					,		, in the second	

[1] Curability is the receipt of effective treatment such that a population of individuals who are "cured" would have the same life expectancy as a population that never had the cancer being considered. Some members of each of those populations (cured and never had cancer) might be expected to die prematurely (e.g., from trauma), but many more would be expected to die of more typical age-related causes.

[2] Includes active treatment or surveillance.

[3] For example, to answer 2G, consider the time from the beginning of stage I to the beginning of stage II. For 3G, consider the time from the beginning of stage III. Similarly, for 4G, consider the time from the beginning of stage III to the beginning of stage IV.

[4] For stage I, assume all stage II-IV cancers are detected during stage II. For stage II, assume that all stage III and IV cancers were diagnosed during stage III; any cancers that had been detected in stage I or II would still be detected during those stages. For the stage III, assume all stage IV cancers were diagnosed during stage III; any cancers that had been detected in stages I-III would still be detected during those stages.

[5] If there are many treatment advancements, cure rates may increase, and if treatment remains unchanged, cure rates are unlikely to change.

[6] A patient who is not cured could have increased life expectancy (e.g. still die of this cancer).

[7] Treatment consistent with NCCN guidelines.

[8] Please refer to the table above for examples of lower risk cancers.

[9] Please refer to the table above for examples of higher risk cancers.

1

Please enter a rating in								Imagine an annual hypothetical scr	eening blood test for patients ≥50 y	rears of age that is 100% sensitive	and 100% specific for the stage of
each yellow cell, where								cancer you are being asked to rate			
each cell represents a						If all cancers of this type were diagnosed no later than the stage [4] indicated by the row heading, to what Now consider what medical					
cancer or cancer stage.								extent would	nooca no later than the stage [4] in	alouted by the fow floading, to what	practice might be like in 2030 [5]
							Q3: How long does it take this	extent would			with cure rates higher than today's.
If there is heterogeneity							cancer to progress from the	Q4:life expectancy increase in			with cure rates higher than today s.
within a category, do your		ood that adults diagnose			ood that untreated adult		beginning of this stage [3] to the	all patients with this cancer,	cure rates increase in all patien	ts with this cancer, relative to cure	Q7: If all cancers of this type were
best to imagine a typical	this stage today will be	cured [1] with typical to	reatment [2]?	this cancer will progres	ss to stage III or IV disea	se over their lifetime?	beginning of the next stage in	relative to life expectancy without	rates without the screening test?		diagnosed no later than this stage,
patient.							undiagnosed adults (natural	the screening test [6]?	, g		to what extent would cure rates
							history of disease)?				increase with typical treatment in
									L		
								Assume patients are receiving		Q6: Assume patients are receiving	relative to 2030 cure rates without
								typical treatment.	typical treatment.	best available treatment [7].	the screening test?
				I					L	L	
	For columns A through	h F, enter a rating of 1	through 9 where:								ut how much the outcome of interest
	1 =Extremely unlikely	in it, onto a rating or i	anoagn o, miore.				For column G, enter a rating of 1		e rates in Q5, Q6, Q7) would increa	ase:	
	3 = Somewhat unlikely						through 9, where:	1 =Not at all			
	5 = Neutral, neither like	lv nor unlikelv					1 =Less than 1 year	3 = Slightly			
	7 = Somewhat likely	ly nor animory					<b>5</b> = 5 years	5 = Moderately			
	9 =Extremely likely						9 = 9 or more years	7 = Considerably			
								9 = A great deal, doubling the outco			
	Lower risk [8]	Higher risk [9]	Overall	Lower risk	Higher risk	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	l	J	K
Colon/Rectum 16				7.0 (6 – 8)	8.0 ( 8 – 9 )	8.0 ( 7 – 9 )					
Stage I 17		9.0 (8 - 9 )	9.0 ( 8 - 9 )				3.5 ( 2 - 5 )	7.0 ( 6 - 9 )	7.0 ( 6 - 9 )	7.5 ( 6 - 9	8.0 ( 3 - 9 )
Stage II 18	, ,	7.0 ( 7 - 8 )	8.0 ( 7 - 8 )				3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	6.0 ( 5 - 8 )	6.0 ( 5 - 7 )	6.0 ( 5 - 7	7.0 ( 4 - 8 )
Stage III 19	6.0 ( 4 - 8 )	5.0 (3 - 6)	5.0 ( 3 - 6 )				1.0 ( 1 - 2 )	5.0 ( 1 – 6 )	4.0 ( 3 - 5 )	5.0 ( 3 - 6	6.0 ( 4 – 7 )
Stage IV 20 Melanoma 21	\ //	1.0 (1 – 2)	1.5 ( 1 – 3 )	6.5 (4 - 8)	00 / 0 0 )	7.0 ( 6 - 9 )					
Melanoma 21 Stage I 22		9.0 (7 - 9 )	9.0 ( 8 - 9 )	0.5 (4 - 6)	8.0 ( 6 – 9 )	7.0 ( 0 - 9 )	3.0 ( 1 - 5 )	7.0 ( 3 - 8 )	7.0 ( 6 - 8 )	7.0 ( 6 - 9	7.0 ( 3 - 8 )
Stage II 23		7.0 ( 6 - 8 )	7.0 ( 6 - 8 )				2.0 ( 1 - 4 )	5.0 ( 2 - 6 )	5.0 ( 5 - 6 )	5.0 ( 5 - 8	6.0 ( 5 - 7 )
Stage III 24		3.5 (2 - 6)	4.0 ( 3 - 6 )				1.0 ( 1 - 2 )	3.0 ( 1 - 5 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	4.0 ( 3 - 5	6.0 ( 3 - 7 )
Stage IV 25	2.0 ( 1 - 3 )	1.0 (1 - 2)	1.5 ( 1 - 8 )				1.0 ( 1 - 2 )	3.0 ( 1 = 3 )	3.0 ( Z = 3 )	4.0 ( 3 - 3	0.0 ( 3 - 7 )
Kidney 26	- 1	1.0 ( 1 2 )	1.0 ( 1 0 )	5.5 (4 - 8)	8.0 (7 – 9)	7.0 ( 6 - 8 )					
Stage I 27		9.0 (4 - 9 )	9.0 ( 7 - 9 )	0.0 ( 1 0 )	0.0 ( , 0 )	1.0 ( 0 0 )	5.0 ( 1 - 7 )	7.0 ( 3 - 8 )	7.0 ( 5 - 8 )	7.0 ( 5 - 9	7.0 ( 3 - 8 )
Stage II 28		7.0 (4 - 8)	8.0 ( 6 - 8 )				3.0 ( 1 - 5 )	5.5 ( 4 - 7 )	5.5 ( 4 - 6 )	6.0 ( 5 - 7	6.0 ( 5 - 7 )
Stage III 29		4.0 (3 - 6)	5.0 (4 - 6)				2.0 ( 1 - 2 )	4.5 ( 3 - 5 )	5.0 ( 3 - 6 )	5.0 ( 3 - 6	6.0 (3 - 7)
Stage IV 30	2.0 (1 - 3)	1.0 (1 - 2)	2.0 (1 - 2)								
Head and Neck 31		, ,		7.0 (5 – 8)	8.0 (6 – 9)	8.0 ( 6 - 9 )					
Stage I 32	8.5 ( 7 - 9 )	9.0 (7 - 9)	9.0 (7 - 9)				3.0 ( 2 - 6 )	7.0 ( 4 – 9 )	6.0 ( 4 - 9 )	7.0 ( 5 - 8	7.0 ( 3 - 8 )
Stage II 33		7.0 (5 - 8)	7.5 ( 6 – 8 )				2.0 ( 1 - 4 )	5.0 ( 3 - 7 )	5.0 ( 3 - 7 )	6.0 ( 4 - 7	6.0 ( 4 - 7 )
Stage III 34		4.5 ( 3 - 6 )	5.0 ( 3 - 6 )				1.0 ( 1 – 2 )	3.0 ( 2 – 6 )	3.0 ( 2 - 6 )	4.0 ( 3 - 7	5.0 ( 4 – 7 )
Stage IV 35	4.0 ( 2 - 6 )	2.0 (1 - 4)	3.0 ( 1 - 5 )								
Uterus 36				6.0 (3 - 9)	8.0 (6 – 9)	7.0 ( 5 - 9 )					
Stage I 37		8.0 (7 - 9)	9.0 ( 8 – 9 )				4.0 ( 3 - 5 )	6.0 ( 2 - 7 )	6.0 ( 2 - 7 )	6.0 ( 2 - 8 )	7.0 ( 2 – 8 )
Stage II 38		6.5 ( 5 - 8 )	8.0 ( 7 – 8 )				3.0 ( 1 – 5 )	5.0 ( 3 – 6 )	5.0 ( 3 - 6 )	5.0 ( 4 - 7	6.0 ( 4 – 7 )
Stage III 39		4.5 ( 2 - 5 )	5.0 ( 5 – 7 )				1.5 ( 1 - 3 )	4.0 ( 3 - 6 )	4.0 ( 2 - 6 )	4.0 ( 3 - 6	5.0 ( 3 - 8 )
Stage IV 40	( /	1.0 (1 – 2)	1.0 ( 1 – 3 )								
Pancreas 41						9.0 ( 8 - 9 )					
Stage I 42			4.0 ( 3 - 7 )				1.0 ( 1 - 2 )	5.0 ( 5 - 9 )	5.0 ( 3 - 9 )	6.0 ( 4 - 9	6.5 ( 4 - 9 )
Stage II 43			2.5 ( 1 – 5 )				1.0 ( 1 - 2 )	3.0 ( 2 - 7 )	3.0 ( 1 - 6 )	4.0 ( 2 - 9	5.0 ( 2 - 8 )
Stage III 44			1.0 ( 1 - 3 )				1.0 ( 1 - 1 )	2.0 ( 1 – 4 )	1.0 ( 1 – 3 )	2.0 ( 1 - 4	4.0 ( 1 – 6 )
Stage IV 45			1.0 ( 1 – 1 )								

Please enter a rating in each yellow cell, where each cell represents a									cancer you are being asked to rate	. Assume this test cannot differentia	vears of age that is 100% sensitive at the between higher and lower risk car	ancers.	
cancer or cancer stage.								Q3: How long does it take this cancer to progress from the beginning of this stage [3] to the beginning of the next stage in	extent would  Q4:life expectancy increase in	dicated by the row heading, to what	nat Now consider what medical practice might be like in 2030 [5] with cure rates higher than today's.		
If there is heterogeneity within a category, do your best to imagine a <b>typical</b> patient.			ood that adults diagnose cured [1] with <u>typical tr</u>			ood that <b>untreated adul</b> ss to stage III or IV disea			all patients with this cancer, relative to life expectancy without the screening test [6]?	cure rates increase in all patien rates without the screening test?	Q7: If all cancers of this type were diagnosed no later than this stage, to what extent would <b>cure rates</b> increase with <b>typical treatment</b> in		
									Assume patients are receiving typical treatment.	Q5: Assume patients are receiving typical treatment.	Q6: Assume patients are receiving best available treatment [7].	all patients with this cancer, relative to 2030 cure rates without the screening test?	
For columns A through F, enter a rating of 1 through 9, where: 1 = Extremely unlikely 3 = Somewhat unlikely 5 = Neutral, neither likely nor unlikely 7 = Somewhat likely 9 = Extremely likely					***			For column G, enter a rating of 1 through 9, where: 1 = Less than 1 year 5 = 5 years 9 = 9 or more years	For columns H through K, enter a rating of 1 through 9, where your answer reflects your judgement about how much the outcome of interest				
		Lower risk [8]	Higher risk [9]	Overall	Lower risk	Higher risk	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	
Thumaid 40		Α	В	С	4.0 (1 – 7 )	7.5 ( 6 – 9 )	5.0 ( 2 - 7 )	G	Н		J	K	
Thyroid 46 Stage I 47		9.0 ( 9 - 9 )	6.0 (1 - 7)	9.0 ( 8 - 9 )	4.0 (1 – 7)	7.5 ( 6 - 9 )	5.0 ( 2 - 7 )	5.5 ( 4 - 8	3.0 ( 1 - 7 )	3.0 ( 1 - 7 )	3.0 ( 1 - 7 )	3.0 ( 1 - 7 )	
Stage II 48		9.0 ( 8 - 9 )	5.0 (1 - 6)	8.0 ( 7 - 9 )				5.0 ( 3 - 7	3.0 ( 1 - 7 )	3.0 ( 1 - 7 )	3.0 ( 1 - 7 )	3.0 ( 1 - 7 )	
Stage III 49		8.0 ( 7 - 9 )	3.0 (1 - 4)	7.0 ( 6 - 9 )				4.0 ( 2 - 5	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	3.0 ( 1 - 5 )	3.0 ( 2 - 6 )	
Stage IV 50		6.0 ( 1 - 7 )	1.0 (1 - 2)	5.0 (1 - 7)				( _	, ( _ ,	0.0 (		0.0 ( _ 0 )	
Bladder 51		0.0 ( 1 . )	1.0 ( )	0.0 ( 1 )			7.0 ( 5 - 9 )						
Stage I 52				8.5 ( 7 - 9 )				3.0 ( 2 - 5	6.0 ( 5 - 7 )	6.0 ( 5 - 7 )	6.0 ( 5 - 7 )	7.0 ( 5 - 8 )	
Stage II 53				6.5 ( 6 - 8 )				2.0 ( 1 - 5	5.0 ( 4 - 6 )	4.0 ( 3 - 6 )	5.0 ( 4 – 6 )	6.0 ( 4 - 6 )	
Stage III 54				4.0 ( 3 - 5 )				1.0 ( 1 – 2	3.0 ( 2 - 4 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	3.0 ( 3 – 5 )	4.0 ( 3 - 7 )	
Stage IV 55				1.0 ( 1 - 2 )									
Liver/Intrahepatic 56					7.0 (5 – 8)	8.0 (5 – 9)	7.5 ( 6 - 9 )						
Bile-duct Stage I 57		5.0 ( 3 - 7 )	3.5 (1 - 6)	4.0 ( 2 - 7 )	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2.0 ( 1 - 3	) 6.5 ( 4 - 7 )	6.0 ( 4 - 7 )	6.5 ( 4 - 8 )	7.0 ( 5 - 8 )	
Stage II 58		3.0 ( 2 - 7 )	2.0 (1 - 7)	3.0 ( 2 - 7 )				1.0 ( 1 - 2	5.0 ( 3 - 6 )	4.5 ( 2 - 5 )	5.0 ( 4 - 6 )	6.0 ( 4 - 6 )	
Stage III 59		2.0 ( 1 - 5 )	1.0 (1 - 5)	1.5 ( 1 - 5 )				1.0 ( 1 - 1	2.5 ( 2 - 5 )	2.0 ( 1 - 5 )	2.5 ( 1 - 5 )	4.0 ( 3 - 6 )	
Stage IV 60		1.0 ( 1 – 1 )	1.0 (1 - 1)	1.0 ( 1 - 1 )				1	,,			( ) ,	
Ovary 61		, ,			7.0 (5 – 9)	8.0 (8 – 9)	8.0 ( 7 - 9 )						
Stage I 62		9.0 ( 6 - 9 )	8.0 (3 - 8)	8.0 ( 7 - 9 )				3.0 ( 1 - 3		7.0 ( 6 – 8 )	7.0 ( 6 – 8 )	8.0 ( 6 – 9 )	
Stage II 63		8.0 ( 4 - 9 )	6.0 (3 - 7)	7.0 ( 5 – 8 )				2.0 ( 1 - 2	) 6.0 ( 5 - 8 )	5.0 ( 5 - 8 )	6.0 ( 5 - 8 )	7.0 ( 6 - 8 )	
Stage III 64		7.0 ( 5 - 9 )	2.0 (1 - 4)	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )				1.0 ( 1 – 1	) 3.0 ( 1 - 5 )	3.0 ( 1 – 6 )	3.0 ( 1 – 6 )	5.0 ( 3 - 7 )	
Stage IV 65	_	5.5 ( 1 - 9 )	1.0 ( 1 – 1 )	1.0 ( 1 – 3 )		00 ( 7 0 )	00 / 7 0						
Stomach 66 Stage I 67		8.0 ( 6 - 9 )	7.0 (5 - 7)	7.0 ( 6 – 8 )	7.0 (6 – 8 )	9.0 ( 7 – 9 )	8.0 ( 7 - 9 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5	7.0 ( 6 - 8 )	7.0 ( 5 - 8 )	7.0 ( 6 - 8 )	8.0 ( 6 - 9 )	
Stage I 67 Stage II 68		5.0 ( 4 - 7 )	4.0 (3 - 6)	4.5 ( 2 - 7 )				2.0 ( 2 - 5	/	5.0 ( 3 - 7 )	5.5 ( 4 - 8 )	6.0 ( 5 - 9 )	
Stage III 69		3.0 ( 4 - 7 )	2.0 (1 - 4)	2.0 (1 - 5)				1.0 ( 1 - 2		3.0 ( 3 - 7 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	4.0 ( 2 - 6 )	
Stage IV 70	_	1.0 ( 1 - 2 )	1.0 (1 - 1)	1.0 ( 1 - 1 )				1.0 ( 1 2	, ( 2 0 )	5.5 ( , 4	0.0 ( 2 0 )	( 2 0 )	
Esophagus 71	_			,	7.5 (7 – 8)	9.0 (8 – 9)	8.0 ( 8 - 9 )						
Stage I 72		7.0 ( 4 - 9 )	6.0 (5 - 7)	7.0 ( 5 - 8 )				2.5 ( 2 - 5	7.0 ( 6 - 9 )	7.0 ( 5 - 7 )	7.0 ( 6 – 9 )	7.0 ( 6 – 9 )	
Stage II 73	_	4.0 ( 2 - 6 )	3.5 ( 3 - 6 )	4.0 ( 3 - 7 )	4			1.0 ( 1 - 2	4.5 ( 3 - 8 )	4.5 ( 3 - 7 )	5.0 ( 4 - 8 )	6.0 ( 5 - 9 )	
Stage III 74		2.5 ( 1 - 4 )	2.0 (1 - 4)	2.0 ( 1 - 5 )				1.0 ( 1 – 2	2.0 ( 2 - 5 )	2.0 ( 1 – 4 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	4.0 ( 3 - 6 )	
Stage IV 75		1.0 ( 1 – 2 )	1.0 ( 1 - 1 )	1.0 ( 1 – 1 )									

Please enter a rating in each yellow cell, where each cell represents a cancer or cancer stage.  If there is heterogeneity within a category, do your best to imagine a typical patient.		ood that adults diagnose e cured [1] with t <u>ypical t</u> i			ood that <b>untreated adull</b> ss to stage III or IV disea		Q3: How long does it take this cancer to progress from the beginning of this stage [3] to the beginning of the next stage in undiagnosed adults (natural history of disease)?	rer to progress from the normal stage [3] to the nant stage in all patients with this cancer, relative to fife expectancy without the screening test f6]?  Q4:life expectancy increase in all patients with this cancer, relative to cur rates increase in all patients with this cancer, relative to cur rates without the screening test?				
	For columns A throug 1 =Extremely unlikely 3 =Somewhat unlikely 5 =Neutral, neither like 7 =Somewhat likely 9 =Extremely likely		through 9, where:				For column G, enter a rating of 1 through 9, where: 1 = Less than 1 year 5 = 5 years 9 = 9 or more years	the screening test?  For columns H through K, enter a rating of 1 through 9, where your answer reflects your judgement about how much the outcome of if (e.g., life expectancy in Q4 and cure rates in Q5, Q6, Q7) would increase:  1 = Not at all  3 = Slightly  5 = Moderately  7 = Considerately  9 = A great deal, doubling the outcome of interest				
	Lower risk [8]	Higher risk [9]	Overall	Lower risk	Higher risk	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	
Gallbladder 76						9.0 ( 4 - 9 )						
Stage I 77			5.0 ( 4 - 6 )				2.0 ( 1 - 3		6.0 ( 5 - 8 )	6.5 ( 5 - 8	7.0 ( 5 - 8 )	
Stage II 78			3.0 ( 2 - 5 )				1.0 ( 1 - 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4.0 ( 3 - 5 )	5.0 ( 3 - 6	5.0 ( 4 – 6 )	
Stage III 79			2.0 ( 1 – 3 )				1.0 ( 1 – 1	2.0 ( 1 – 4 )	2.0 ( 1 – 4 )	2.0 ( 1 – 4	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	
Stage IV 80			1.0 ( 1 – 1 )									
Cervix 81						8.0 ( 7 - 9 )						
Stage I 82			9.0 ( 8 - 9 )				4.0 ( 1 – 5	7.0 ( 5 – 8 )	7.0 ( 5 – 8 )	7.0 ( 6 – 8	7.0 ( 5 – 8 )	
Stage II 83			7.0 ( 6 – 8 )				2.5 ( 1 – 4	5.5 ( 4 – 7 )	5.5 ( 4 – 7 )	6.0 ( 5 - 7	6.0 ( 5 – 7 )	
Stage III 84			5.0 ( 4 - 6 )				1.0 ( 1 – 2	3.0 ( 3 - 6 )	3.0 ( 2 - 6 )	4.0 ( 2 - 6	4.5 ( 3 - 7 )	
Stage IV 85			1.0 ( 1 - 3 )									
Sarcoma 86				5.5 (4 – 9)	8.0 (7 – 9)	7.5 ( 6 – 9 )						
Stage I 87		7.0 (5 - 8)	8.0 ( 6 - 8 )				3.5 ( 1 – 6	7.0 ( 5 – 8 )	7.0 ( 6 – 8 )	7.0 ( 7 – 8	8.0 ( 5 – 9 )	
Stage II 88	7.0 ( 6 - 9 )	6.0 (4 - 7)	7.0 ( 4 – 7 )				2.0 ( 1 – 4	5.0 ( 4 – 7 )	5.0 ( 4 - 7 )	6.0 ( 5 - 7	6.0 ( 5 - 7 )	
Stage III 89		3.0 (1 - 4)	4.0 ( 2 - 5 )				1.0 ( 1 – 2	3.0 ( 2 – 5 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	3.5 ( 2 – 5	4.5 ( 3 - 5 )	
Stage IV 90	1.0 ( 1 - 3 )	1.0 (1 - 1)	1.0 ( 1 - 2 )									
Urothelial Tract 91						8.5 ( 6 - 9 )						
Stage I 92			8.0 ( 6 - 9 )				3.0 ( 2 - 7	7.0 ( 5 - 7 )	7.0 ( 5 – 7 )	7.0 ( 5 – 7	7.0 ( 5 – 7 )	
Stage II 93			5.5 ( 5 - 7 )				2.0 ( 2 - 5	5.0 ( 5 - 6 )	5.0 ( 4 - 6 )	5.0 ( 4 - 6	5.5 ( 5 - 7 )	
Stage III 94			4.0 ( 3 - 5 )				1.0 ( 1 – 4	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	3.0 ( 2 - 4 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5	4.0 ( 3 - 6 )	
Stage IV 95			1.5 ( 1 - 3 )									
Anus 96						8.0 ( 6 - 9 )						
			9.0 (8 - 9)	VI .			3.0 ( 2 - 7	5.5 ( 4 - 8 )	6.0 ( 4 - 8 )	6.0 ( 4 - 8	7.0 ( 5 - 8 )	
Stage I 97			9.0 ( 0 - 9 )					) 3.5 ( 4 - 0 )	0.0 ( 4 - 0 )	0.0 ( + 0		
Stage I 97 Stage II 98			8.0 ( 6 - 8 )				2.0 ( 2 - 5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5.0 ( 4 - 7 )	5.0 ( 4 - 7	5.0 ( 5 - 7 )	
								, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

### SECTION 2

# TABLE 2. HEMATOLOGIC CANCERS: LYMPHOMA

Please enter a rating in each yellow cell, where each cell represents a								Imagine an annual hypothetical screening blood test for patients ≥50 years of age that is 100% sensitive and 100% specific for the stage of cancer you are being asked to rate. Assume this test cannot differentiate between higher and lower risk cancers.					
cancer or cancer stage.								If all cancers of this type were diag what extent would	nosed no later than the stage [13] if	idicated by the row heading, to	Now consider what medical		
cancer or cancer stage.							Q3: How long does it take this	what extent would	ı		practice might be like in 2030 [14] with cure rates higher than today's.		
If there is heterogeneity							cancer to progress from the	Q4:life expectancy increase in			with cure rates higher than today s.		
within a category, do your		od that adults diagnose			ood that untreated adul	•	beginning of this stage [12] to the	all patients with this cancer,	cure rates increase in all patient	ts with this cancer, relative to cure	Q7: If all cancers of this type were		
best to imagine a typical	this stage today will be	cured [10] with typical	treatment [11]?	this cancer will progre	ss to stage III or IV disea	ise over their lifetime?	beginning of the next stage in		rates without the screening test?	diagnosed no later than this stage,			
patient.							undiagnosed adults (natural history of disease)?	the screening test [15]?			to what extent would cure rates		
							filstory or disease)?			I	increase with typical treatment in		
								Assume patients are receiving	Q5: Assume patients are receiving	Q6: Assume patients are receiving	all patients with this cancer,		
								typical treatment.	typical treatment.	best available treatment [16].	relative to 2030 cure rates without		
									<u> </u>		the screening test?		
	For columns A through	h F, enter a rating of 1	through Q whore:				For columns H through K, enter a rating of 1 through 9, where your answer reflects your judgement about how much the outcome of intere-						
	1 =Extremely unlikely	i i , enter a rating or i	unough a, where.				For column G, enter a rating of 1	t: 1=Not at all					
	3 = Somewhat unlikely						through 9, where:						
	5 = Neutral, neither likel	ly nor unlikely					1 =Less than 1 year	3=Slightly					
	7 = Somewhat likely	, ,					5 = 5 years	5 =Moderately					
	9 =Extremely likely						9 = 9 or more years	7=Considerably 9=A great deal, doubling the outcome of interest					
	1	115-1	0	1 2.1			0				1 0		
	Lower risk [17]	Higher risk [18]	Overall	Lower risk	Higher risk	Overall	Overall G	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall		
Lymphoma 101	A	D	U	5.0 (3 - 6)	9.0 (7 – 9 )	7.0 ( 6 – 8 )	G	n		J	K		
Stage I 102		8.0 (5 - 8)	8.0 ( 7 – 9 )	3.0 ( 3 = 0 )	3.0 ( 7 - 3 )	7.0 ( 0 = 0 )	4.0 ( 2 - 6 )	4.5 ( 2 - 8 )	4.0 ( 3 - 8 )	5.0 ( 3 - 8 )	7.0 ( 5 - 7 )		
Stage II 103		7.0 (3 - 8)	8.0 ( 5 - 8 )				2.5 ( 1 - 5 )	4.0 ( 3 - 6 )	4.0 ( 3 - 6 )	4.0 ( 3 - 7 )	6.0 ( 3 - 7 )		
Stage III 104	6.5 ( 3 - 8 )	5.5 (1 - 7)	6.0 (3 - 7)				2.0 ( 1 - 3 )	3.0 ( 2 - 5 )	3.0 ( 2 - 4 )	3.5 ( 2 - 4 )	5.0 ( 3 - 7 )		
Stage IV 105	5.0 ( 2 - 7 )	5.0 (1 - 6)	5.0 ( 2 - 6 )										

<sup>[10]</sup> Curability is the receipt of effective treatment such that a population of individuals who are "cured" would have the same life expectancy as a population that never had the cancer being considered. Some members of each of those populations (cured and never had cancer) might be expected to die prematurely (e.g., from trauma), but many more would be expected to die of more typical age-related causes.

[11] Includes active treatment or surveillance.

<sup>[12]</sup> For example, to answer 2G, consider the time from the beginning of stage I to the beginning of stage II. For 3G, consider the time from the beginning of stage III. Similarly, for 4G, consider the time from the beginning of stage II to the beginning of stage II.

[13] For stage I, assume all stage II-IV cancers are detected during stage I. For stage II, assume that all stage III and IV cancers are detected by stage II; any cancers that had been detected in stage I or II would still be detected during those stages. For the stage III, assume all stage IV cancers were diagnosed during stage III, any cancers that had to Justice I stage I if water and a stage I is a control of a control

<sup>[15]</sup> A patient who is not cured could have increased life expectancy (e.g. still die of this cancer).

<sup>[16]</sup> Treatment consistent with NCCN guidelines.

<sup>[17]</sup> Please refer to the table above for examples of lower risk cancers.

<sup>[18]</sup> Please refer to the table above for examples of higher risk cancers.

### TABLE 3. OTHER HEMATOLOGIC CANCERS

Please enter a rating in each yellow cell, where each cell represents a cancer type.  If there is heterogeneity within a category, do your best to imagine a typical patient.		ood that adults diagnose with <u>typical treatment</u>			ood that <b>untreated adul</b> is cancer will progress a		Imagine an annual hypothetical sci you are being asked to rate. Assun If all cancers of this type were diag Q3:life expectancy increase in all patients with this cancer, relative to life expectancy without the screening test [23]?	Now consider what medical practice might be like in 2030 [22] with cure rates higher than today's.  Q6: If all cancers of this type were diagnosed earlier in their disease course, to what extent would cure rates increase with typical treatment in all patients with this				
	For columns A throug 1 =Extremely unlikely 3 =Somewhat unlikely 5 =Neutral, neither like 7 =Somewhat likely 9 =Extremely likely	h F, enter a rating of 1	through 9, where:				typical treatment.  typical treatment.  best available treatment [24].  cancer, relative to 2030 cure rates without the screening test?  For columns G through J, enter a rating of 1 through 9, where your answer reflects your judgement about how much the outcome of interest (e.g., life expectancy in Q3 and cure rates in Q4, Q5, Q6) would increase:  1 =Not at all  3 = Slightly  5 = Moderately  7 = Considerably					
							9 = A great deal, doubling the outco					
	Lower risk [25]	Higher risk [26]	Overall	Lower risk	Higher risk	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall		
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	H		J		
ALL [27] 106			4.0 ( 3 - 5 )			9.0 ( 1 - 9 )	3.0 ( 1 – 5 )	3.0 ( 1 – 5 )	3.0 ( 1 – 5	5.0 ( 4 – 5 )		
CLL [28] 107	4.5 ( 1 – 7 )	2.0 (1 - 4)	4.0 ( 1 – 7 )	3.5 (1 – 7)	7.0 ( 1 – 9 )	5.0 ( 1 - 8 )	2.5 ( 1 – 5 )	2.5 ( 1 – 5 )	3.0 ( 1 – 5	4.0 ( 1 – 5 )		
Lymphoid Leukemia			5 (3 - 6)			7.0 ( 4 - 8 )	3 ( 1 – 5 )	3 ( 1 – 5 )	3 ( 1 – 5	5 ( 1 – 5 )		
Plasma Cell Neoplasm (Multiple 109 Myeloma)	7.0 ( 5 – 8 )	2.0 ( 1 - 3 )	3.5 ( 2 - 5 )	6.0 (2 - 7)	8.0 ( 6 – 9 )	7.0 ( 7 – 8 )	4.5 ( 3 – 5 )	3.5 ( 2 – 5 )	4.5 ( 2 – 5	5.0 ( 4 – 7 )		
AML 110 CML 111  Myeloid Neoplasm 112	6.0 ( 5 - 7 )	2.0 ( 2 - 4 )	3.5 ( 3 - 5 ) 7.0 ( 5 - 8 ) 4.0 ( 3 - 7 )	8.5 (7 – 9)	9.0 ( 7 – 9 )	9.0 ( 7 - 9 ) 8.0 ( 6 - 9 ) 8.0 ( 7 - 9 )	3.5 ( 1 - 6 ) 3.0 ( 1 - 5 ) 3.0 ( 1 - 5 )	3.5 ( 1 - 5 ) 2.0 ( 1 - 5 ) 3.0 ( 1 - 4 )	3.0 ( 1 - 5 3.0 ( 1 - 5 3.0 ( 1 - 5	5.0 ( 1 - 5 ) 4.0 ( 1 - 5 ) 5.0 ( 1 - 5 )		

[20] Includes active treatment or surveillance.

6

<sup>[19]</sup> Curability is the receipt of effective treatment such that a population of individuals who are "cured" would have the same life expectancy as a population that never had the cancer being considered. Some members of each of those populations (cured and never had cancer) might be expected to die prematurely (e.g., from trauma), but many more would be expected to die of more typical age-related causes.

<sup>[21]</sup> We define "early" as asymptomatic but already meeting the definition of cancer (e.g., not having a precursor condition).

<sup>[22]</sup> If there are many treatment advancements, cure rates may increase, and if treatment remains unchanged, cure rates are unlikely to change.

<sup>[23]</sup> A patient who is not cured could have increased life expectancy (e.g. still die of this cancer).

<sup>[24]</sup> Treatment consistent with NCCN guidelines.

<sup>[25]</sup> Please refer to the table above for examples of lower risk cancers.

<sup>[26]</sup> Please refer to the table above for examples of higher risk cancers.

<sup>[27]</sup> Acute lymphocytic (or lymphoblastic) leukemia.

<sup>[28]</sup> Chronic lymphocytic (or lymphoblastic) leukemia.
[29] Acute myeloid leukemia.

<sup>[30]</sup> Chronic myeloid leukemia.