FIGURE 2 | Meta-analysis and forest plot for (A)the protocol of TEAS and (B)the choice of acupoints.

Chudu on Cubana	TEAS gi		Control g		Mainht	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	lotal	Events	Total	weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
2.3.1 Intraoperative							1.00
Gao 2018	2	32	8	32	5.6%	0.25 [0.06, 1.09]	
Liu 2019	5	59	16	59	13.8%	0.31 [0.12, 0.80]	
Qian 2021	1	32	3	32	2.5%	0.33 [0.04, 3.04]	
Tang 2017	2	45	4	45	4.5%	0.50 [0.10, 2.59]	
Wang 2016a	3	30	5	30	6.8%	0.60 [0.16, 2.29]	
Wang 2016b	3	30	4	30	6.1%	0.75 [0.18, 3.07]	
Wang 2021	3	27	7	29	7.8%	0.46 [0.13, 1.60]	
Wu 2020	2	42	3	42	4.0%	0.67 [0.12, 3.79]	
Zhang 2021	7	43	15	43	19.4%	0.47 [0.21, 1.03]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		340		342	70.6%	0.44 [0.29, 0.67]	-
Total events	28		65				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi	² = 2.18	, df = 8 (P	= 0.98);	I ^z = 0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.84 (P = 0.00	001)				
2.3.2 Both intraopera	ative and p	ostope	rative				
Chang 2021	5	44	6	41	9.9%	0.78 [0.26, 2.35]	
Wei 2021	3	50	10	52	8.0%	0.31 [0.09, 1.07]	
Wu 2021	4	30	11	30	11.5%	0.36 [0.13, 1.01]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		124		123	29.4%	0.45 [0.24, 0.86]	•
Total events	12		27				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi	² = 1.44	df = 2 (P	= 0.49);	I ² = 0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.44 (P = 0.01)				
Total (95% CI)		464		465	100.0%	0.45 [0.31, 0.63]	•
Total events	40		92				a instruction and a construction of the second seco
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi	² = 3.62	, df = 11 (F	P = 0.98)); I² = 0%		
Test for overall effect:							
rest for overall effect.	Z = 4.55 (P < 0.00	0001)				Eavours [experimental] Eavours [control]
Test for subaroup diff				(P = 0.9	7). I² = 09	ю	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
				(P = 0.9	17). I² = 09	6	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
	(erences: (Chi²= O	.00. df = 1		17). I² = 09		
Test for subaroup diff	ferences: (Chi² = 0 roup	.00. df = 1 Control g	roup		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff Study or Subgroup	TEAS gr Events	Chi² = 0 roup	.00. df = 1	roup			Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subgroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig	TEAS gr Events uan(PC6)	Chi² = 0 roup Total	Control g	roup Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subgroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018	TEAS gr Events uan(PC6) 2	Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32	.00. df = 1 Control g Events 8	Total 32	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 [0.06, 1.09]	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(LI4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019	TEAS gr TEAS gr Events uan(PC6) 2 5	Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32 59	00. df = 1 Control g Events 8 16	roup <u>Total</u> 32 59	Weight 10.8% 26.7%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H, Random, 95% Cl</u> 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021	TEAS gr Events uan(PC6) 2 5 1	Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32	00. df = 1 Control <u>c</u> Events 8 16 3	Total 32 59 32	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H, Random, 95% CI</u> 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020	TEAS gr TEAS gr Events uan(PC6) 2 5	Chi ² = 0 roup Total 32 59 32 42	00. df = 1 Control g Events 8 16	Total 32 59 32 42	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(LI4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% CI)	TEAS gr Events uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2	Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32	Control Contro	Total 32 59 32	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H, Random, 95% CI</u> 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04)	Risk Ratio
Test for subgroup diff <u>Study or Subgroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events	TEAS gr Events uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 1 2	Chi ² = 0 roup Total 32 59 32 42 165	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 3 30	Total 32 59 32 42 165	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subgroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	ferences: 0 TEAS gr Events uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 5 1 2 10 2 0.00; Chi	Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78	00. df = 1 Control g Events 8 16 3 3 4 df = 3 (P	Total 32 59 32 42 165	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79)	Risk Ratio
Test for subgroup diff <u>Study or Subgroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events	ferences: 0 TEAS gr Events uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 5 1 2 10 2 0.00; Chi	Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78	00. df = 1 Control g Events 8 16 3 3 3 df = 3 (P	Total 32 59 32 42 165	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	TEAS gu Events uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 10 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00	00. df = 1 <u>Control g</u> <u>Events</u> 8 16 3 3 30 , df = 3 (P 12)	Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85);	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1% I [#] = 0%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79) 0.34 (0.17, 0.67]	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneily: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N	TEAS gr <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 10 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (leiguan(PC	Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus	0.00. df = 1 <u>Control (Events</u> 8 16 3 3 30 , df = 3 (P 12) sanli(ST36	Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85);	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 50.1% [* = 0% njiao(SP(Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 [0.06, 1.09] 0.31 [0.12, 0.80] 0.33 [0.04, 3.04] 0.67 [0.12, 3.79] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67]	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017	TEAS gi <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 10 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (leiguan(P(2	Chi ² = 0 Toup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 35	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 3 30 df = 3 (P 12) canli(ST36 6	Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1% I ² = 0% njiao(SP(10.0%	Risk Ratio <u>M-H, Random, 95% CI</u> 0.25 [0.06, 1.09] 0.31 [0.12, 0.80] 0.33 [0.04, 3.04] 0.67 [0.12, 3.79] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 6) 0.33 [0.07, 1.54]	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a	TEAS gu Events uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 10 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (leiguan(PC 2 3	Chi ² = 0 Total 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 35 30	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 3 3 0 df = 3 (P 12) canli(ST36 6 5	Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35 30	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1% I [*] = 0% njiao(SP/ 10.0% 13.1%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 [0.06, 1.09] 0.31 [0.12, 0.80] 0.33 [0.04, 3.04] 0.67 [0.12, 3.79] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 0.33 [0.07, 1.54] 0.60 [0.16, 2.29]	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wang 2016b	TEAS gr <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 10 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (leiguan(PC 2 3 3 3	Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 35 30 30	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 30 df = 3 (P 12) canli(ST36 6 5 4	roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85);), Sanyi 35 30 30	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1% I* = 0% njiao(SP4 10.0% 13.1% 11.8%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 [0.06, 1.09] 0.31 [0.12, 0.80] 0.33 [0.04, 3.04] 0.67 [0.12, 3.79] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 0.33 [0.07, 1.54] 0.60 [0.16, 2.29] 0.75 [0.18, 3.07]	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wang 2016b Wang 2021	TEAS gu Events uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 10 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (leiguan(PC 2 3	Chi ² = 0 roup Total 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 30 30 27	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 3 3 0 df = 3 (P 12) canli(ST36 6 5	Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35 30 30 29	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1% I [*] = 0% njiao(SP) 10.0% 13.1% 11.8% 15.1%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79) 0.34 (0.17, 0.67] 0.33 (0.07, 1.54) 0.60 (0.16, 2.29) 0.75 (0.18, 3.07) 0.46 (0.13, 1.60)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wang 2016b Wang 2021 Subtotal (95% Cl)	TEAS gr <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 0 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (leiguan(P(2 3 3 3 3	Chi ² = 0 roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 35 30 30	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 30 df = 3 (P 12) sanli(ST36 6 5 4 7	roup <u>Total</u> 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85);), Sanyi 35 30 30	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1% I* = 0% njiao(SP4 10.0% 13.1% 11.8%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 [0.06, 1.09] 0.31 [0.12, 0.80] 0.33 [0.04, 3.04] 0.67 [0.12, 3.79] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 0.33 [0.07, 1.54] 0.60 [0.16, 2.29] 0.75 [0.18, 3.07]	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wang 2016b Wang 2021 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events	TEAS gi <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 5 1 2 5 1 2 5 1 2 5 1 2 5 1 2 5 1 2 5 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Chi ² = 0 Total 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 35 30 30 27 122	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 3 30 df = 3 (P 12) canli(ST36 6 5 4 7 22	7000 Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35 30 30 29 124	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 50.1% 1° = 0% njiao(SP/ 10.0% 13.1% 11.8% 15.1% 49.9%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79) 0.34 (0.17, 0.67] 0.33 (0.07, 1.54) 0.60 (0.16, 2.29) 0.75 (0.18, 3.07) 0.46 (0.13, 1.60)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff Study or Subgroup 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wang 2016b Wang 2021 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	TEAS gi <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 1 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Chi ² = 0 Total 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 35 30 30 27 122 ² = 0.67	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 3 30 df = 3 (P 22 df = 3 (P 22 df = 3 (P	7000 Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35 30 30 29 124	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 50.1% 1° = 0% njiao(SP/ 10.0% 13.1% 11.8% 15.1% 49.9%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79) 0.34 (0.17, 0.67] 0.33 (0.07, 1.54) 0.60 (0.16, 2.29) 0.75 (0.18, 3.07) 0.46 (0.13, 1.60)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wang 2016b Wang 2021 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events	TEAS gi <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 1 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Chi ² = 0 Total 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 35 30 30 27 122 ² = 0.67	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 3 30 df = 3 (P 22 df = 3 (P 22 df = 3 (P	7000 Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35 30 30 29 124	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 50.1% 1° = 0% njiao(SP/ 10.0% 13.1% 11.8% 15.1% 49.9%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79) 0.34 (0.17, 0.67] 0.33 (0.07, 1.54) 0.60 (0.16, 2.29) 0.75 (0.18, 3.07) 0.46 (0.13, 1.60)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wang 2016b Wang 2021 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	TEAS gi <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 1 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2 2 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Chi ² = 0 roup Total 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 35 30 27 122 ² = 0.67 P = 0.00	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 3 30 df = 3 (P 22 df = 3 (P 22 df = 3 (P	Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35 30 30 29 124 = 0.88);	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 7.8% 50.1% I [*] = 0% 10.0% 13.1% 11.8% 49.9% I [*] = 0%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 [0.06, 1.09] 0.31 [0.12, 0.80] 0.33 [0.04, 3.04] 0.67 [0.12, 3.79] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 0.33 [0.07, 1.54] 0.60 [0.16, 2.29] 0.75 [0.18, 3.07] 0.46 [0.13, 1.60] 0.52 [0.26, 1.03]	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wyang 2016b Wang 2016b Wang 2021 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Total (95% Cl)	TEAS gr <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (leiguan(P(2 3 3 1 1 0.00; Chi Z = 1.88 (Chi ² = 0 Total 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 35 30 30 27 122 ² = 0.67	0.00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 30 df = 3 (P) control (Events 8 16 3 30 df = 3 (P 22 df = 3 (P))	Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35 30 30 29 124 = 0.88);	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 50.1% 1° = 0% njiao(SP/ 10.0% 13.1% 11.8% 15.1% 49.9%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 (0.06, 1.09) 0.31 (0.12, 0.80) 0.33 (0.04, 3.04) 0.67 (0.12, 3.79) 0.34 (0.17, 0.67] 0.33 (0.07, 1.54) 0.60 (0.16, 2.29) 0.75 (0.18, 3.07) 0.46 (0.13, 1.60)	Risk Ratio
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wang 2016a Wang 2016b Wang 2021 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Total (95% Cl) Total events	TEAS gr <u>Events</u> uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 10 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (leiguan(PC 2 3 3 11 0.00; Chi Z = 1.88 (21	Chi ² = 0 roup Total 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 36 30 30 27 122 ² = 0.67 P = 0.00 287	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 30 df = 3 (P) 22 6 5 4 7 22 df = 3 (P) 52	Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35 30 30 29 124 = 0.88); 289	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 50.1% F [*] = 0% 10.0% 13.1% 11.8% 15.1% 49.9% F [*] = 0% 100.0%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 [0.06, 1.09] 0.31 [0.12, 0.80] 0.33 [0.04, 3.04] 0.67 [0.12, 3.79] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 0.33 [0.07, 1.54] 0.60 [0.16, 2.29] 0.75 [0.18, 3.07] 0.46 [0.13, 1.60] 0.52 [0.26, 1.03]	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
Test for subaroup diff <u>Study or Subaroup</u> 2.4.1 Hegu(Ll4), Neig Gao 2018 Liu 2019 Qian 2021 Wu 2020 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 2.4.2 Baihui(GV20), N Tan 2017 Wang 2016a Wang 2016b Wang 2016b Wang 2021 Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Total (95% Cl)	TEAS gr Events Uan(PC6) 2 5 1 2 10 0.00; Chi Z = 3.12 (leiguan(PC 2 3 3 3 11 0.00; Chi Z = 1.88 (21 0.00; Chi Z = 1.88 (21 0.00; Chi 2 = 1.88 (2 =	Chi ² = 0 roup Total 32 59 32 42 165 ² = 0.78 P = 0.00 C6), Zus 30 30 27 122 ² = 0.67 P = 0.00 287 ² = 2.22	00. df = 1 Control (Events 8 16 3 30 df = 3 (P 22) df = 3 (P 52 df = 7 (P 52 df = 7 (P	Total 32 59 32 42 165 = 0.85); 35 30 30 29 124 = 0.88); 289	Weight 10.8% 26.7% 4.8% 50.1% F [*] = 0% 10.0% 13.1% 11.8% 15.1% 49.9% F [*] = 0% 100.0%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.25 [0.06, 1.09] 0.31 [0.12, 0.80] 0.33 [0.04, 3.04] 0.67 [0.12, 3.79] 0.34 [0.17, 0.67] 0.33 [0.07, 1.54] 0.60 [0.16, 2.29] 0.75 [0.18, 3.07] 0.46 [0.13, 1.60] 0.52 [0.26, 1.03]	Risk Ratio

(B)