### **1** Supplementary Material

### 2 Supplementary methods: Construction process of structural

#### 3 morphological features

The main pipeline processing steps were described below: i) The native three-4 dimensional T<sub>1</sub> images of each subject were corrected for non-uniformity artifacts using 5 the N3 algorithm; ii) Classification of the grey matter (GM), white matter (WM) and 6 CSF was performed using the INSECT algorithm; iii) The Constrained Laplacian-based 7 Anatomic Segmentation with Proximity (CLASP) algorithm was applied to generate a 8 model of the cortical surface, including 40,962 vertices and 81,920 triangular meshes 9 per hemisphere; iv) Hemispheric surfaces were generated for both the WM/GM 10 interface and GM/CSF interface; v) surfaces for each hemisphere were non-linearly 11 registered to an average surface created from the ICBM152 brain template; vi) A reverse 12 linear transformation was carried out on each subject's images, and cortical thickness 13 estimations were calculated at each cortical point in native space using the tlink metric; 14 vii) Subjects' surface maps, including cortical thickness, surface area, GM surface mean 15 curvature, were blurred using a 20-millimeter full width at half maximum surface-based 16 diffusion smoothing kernel; viii) Process voxel-based morphometry (VBM) files to 17 calculate the GM volumes and WM volumes; ix) Blurring kernel size in 8 mm for 18 volume; x) Cortical thickness, surface area, surface mean curvature and GM volumes 19 were calculated at each region according to the Anatomical Automatic Labeling 20 (AAL) 90 1-mm atlas, while WM volumes were calculated at each region according 21 to the WM John Hopkins University Atlas JHU-ICBM-tracts-maxprob-thr25-1 mm. 22

23 Supplementary Figure 1 Differences in surface area between Parkinson's disease

24 (PD) and healthy controls (HCs) and its relationship with the Montreal Cognitive

- 25 Assessment (MOCA). (a) The bilateral olfactory cortex (OLF) showed significant
- 26 increasing surface area in PD patients than in HC. (b) Only surface area of the left OLF
- 27 was correlated with the MOCA. L = left; R = right.



28

29 Supplementary Table 1: Participants numbers of each center.

Center	HCs	Future	<b>Future FOG</b>	PD	Total	
number		NFOG				
7	4	11	8	19	23	
12	0	1	2	3	3	
28	5	10	3	13	18	
32	12	11	9	20	32	
73	10	9	4	13	23	
88	9	10	7	17	26	
120	5	13	8	21	26	
196	2	2	1	3	5	
289	7	7	11	18	25	
290	10	13	9	22	32	
291	8	3	2	5	13	
304	1	2	2	4	5	
Total 12	73	92	66	158	231	

HCs = healthy controls; PD = Parkinson's disease; FOG = freezing of gait; NFOG =

31 non-freezing of gait.

32

# Supplementary Table 2: EV-SVM model performance for different proportions of future NFOG and FOG in train and test sets.

iuture i (i o o	unu i o o m t	and the test	50051		
Features	Future	AUC	ACC	SEN	SPE
	NFOG :				
	FOG				
BF	4:6	0.7	0.69	0.55	0.84
	5:5	0.67	0.66	0.59	0.78
	3:7	0.76	0.75	0.85	0.66
SF	4:6	0.73	0.72	0.7	0.74
	5:5	0.83	0.76	0.89	0.67
	3:7	0.86	0.79	0.77	0.81

All	4:6	0.77	0.78	0.74	0.83
	5:5	0.91	0.84	0.94	0.71
	3:7	0.91	0.84	0.90	0.83

FOG = freezing of gait; NFOG = non-freezing of gait; AUC = area under curve; ACC
 accuracy; SEN = sensitivity; SPE = specificity; BF = both clinical and laboratory

37 features; SF = structural features.

#### Supplementary Table 3: Regions with increased surface area in PD patients.

Group	Region	Х	У	Z	Т-	Peak	FDR	Size
					value	voxel	corrected	(voxel)
						р	р	
PD > HC	OLF.L	82	141	61	3.536	0.039	0.05	87
	OLF.R	100	142	61	3.317	0.042	0.05	81

40 PD = Parkinson's disease; HC = healthy controls; FDR = false discovery rate; OLF =

41 olfactory cortex; L= left; R = right.

42

# 43 Supplementary Table 4: Aberrant structural brain regions in patients with future 44 FOG compared with future NFOG at baseline.

Group	Region	X	У	Z	Т-	Peak	Size
					value	voxel	(vertex/voxel)
						р	
	Cortical						
	thickness						
NFOG > FOG	PCG.L	85	83	97	1.595	0.025	137
	Cortical						
	mean						
	curve						
NFOG < FOG	ROL.L	43	118	86	-1.642	0.013	302
	INS.L	55	133	75	-1.949	0.002	566
	CUN.R	104	47	100	-1.231	0.012	434
	MOG.R	127	46	91	-0.241	0.009	595
NFOG > FOG	SMA.R	99	126	134	1.323	0.028	666
	Surface						
	area						
NFOG < FOG	SMA.R	99	126	134	-1.389	0.030	666
	SOG.R	114	45	103	-1.027	0.013	428
	PoCG.R	131	101	125	-0.505	0.032	1138
	SPG.L	67	66	131	-0.032	0.027	631
	SPG.R	116	67	134	-0.128	0.014	647
NFOG > FOG	MOG.R	127	46	91	0.171	0.024	595
	PoCG.L	48	103	121	0.050	0.031	1159
	PCUN.L	83	70	120	0.751	0.043	1079
	MTG.L	34	92	70	0.448	0.024	1439
	MTG.R	147	89	71	1.209	0.008	1356

<sup>38</sup> 39

	Grey						
	matter						
	volume						
NFOG < FOG	SMA.R	99	126	134	-0.943	0.039	666
	CUN.R	104	47	100	-0.339	0.015	434
	LING.R	106	59	68	-0.276	0.030	678
	IOG.L	54	48	64	-2.696	0.013	268
	IOG.R	128	44	64	-2.956	0.006	314
	FFG.L	59	86	52	-1.989	0.042	687

45 \* None of the above structural measurements could be corrected by false discovery

46 rate (FDR). FOG = freezing of gait; NFOG = non-freezing of gait; L = left; R = right;

47 PCG = posterior cingulate gyrus; ROL = rolandic operculum; SMA = supplementary

48 motor area; INS = insula; CUN = cuneus; MOG = middle occipital gyrus; SOG =

49 superior occipital gyrus; PoCG = postcentral gyrus; SPG = superior parietal gyrus;

50 PCUN = precuneus; MTG = middle temporal gyrus; LING = lingual gyrus; IOG =

51 inferior occipital gyrus; FFG = fusiform gyrus.