# Data extraction form: Pregnancy after living kidney donation, a systematic review and meta-analysis of the available evidence and a review of the current guidance.

Question to be addressed: Are living kidney donors at an increased risk of pregnancy induced complications following a donor nephrectomy, compared to the risks of pregnancy induced complications in healthy women who have not undergone a donor nephrectomy?

This data extraction form has been adapted from the Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group Data extraction template for included studies<sup>1</sup>.

Please highlight any missing information as unclear or not described, to make it clear that the information was not included in the description of the study.

The inclusion criteria for the systematic review (and therefore data extraction) are as follows:

- 1. Population of interest: Living kidney donors with a post-donation pregnancy
- 2. Outcomes of interest: Pregnancy induced complications including but not limited too; gestational hypertension, gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, proteinuria
- 3. No limit on the follow-up time

If we proceed to a meta-analysis, then this will be performed on the studies which also include a comparator group. The comparator group(s) are living kidney donors with a post-donation pregnancy or healthy controls.

#### 1. General information

1.	Date of form completed	
2.	Name of person completing form	
3.	Name of study (First_author_year)	
4.	Publication date (year)	
5.	Country in which the study was conducted	

#### 2. Methods: Population and setting

	2. Wethous, ropulation and setting					
		Descriptions as stated in the report/paper	Location			
			in text			
6.	Aim of the study					
	Study design (e.g., cross-					
7.	sectional study, cohort study,					
	before and after study)					
	Population description (from					
8.	which study participants are					
	drawn)					
	Number of arms or groups					
9.	(including control groups);					
	briefly describe each group					
10.	Geographic location i.e.,					
10.	country, rural, urban etc.					
11.	Number of transplant centres					
11.	included in study					

12.	Data source(s)	
13.	Sampling technique (e.g., random or whole LKD population)	
14.	Period of kidney donation	
15.	Period of pregnancies	
16.	If survey: % of responders	
Not	es:	

## **3.** Results: Participant characteristics

		Descrip	Descriptions as stated in the report/paper			Locat
		Group 1:	Group 2:	Group 3:	Group 4:	ion in text
17.	Description groups e.g., group 1= LKD pre-donation, group 2= LKD post-donation, group 3= heathy control etc. (add more columns if necessary)					
18.	Total number of participants per group (n)					
19.	Total number of pregnancies per group (n)					
20.	Median age at donation (yr)					
21.	Median age at pregnancy of interest (yr)					
22.	Median time from donation to pregnancy (yr)					
23.	BMI (kg/m²)					
24.	eGFR (ml/min/1.73m²)					
25.	Ethnicity (% white)					
Notes:						

### 4. Outcomes

	Descriptions as stated in the report/paper	Location
		in text

26.	How were outcomes reported?		
27.	Please highlight key findings i.e., RR of XX for group x vs y		
Not	es: **Please highlight the primary	outcome if this was stated	

### 5. Results: Maternal Outcomes

	Maternal outcomes, N* (%)		Descriptions as stated in the report/paper				
	*if other please state	Group 1:	Group 2:	Group 3:	Group 4:	in text	
28.	Proteinuria						
29.	Gestational hypertension						
30.	Pre-eclampsia						
31.	Eclampsia						
32.	Pre-eclampsia and gestational hypertension combined						
33.	Gestational diabetes						
34.	Death						
35.	Other:						
Not	Notes:						

### **6.** Results: Foetal Outcomes

Foetal outcomes, N (%)		Descript	Location			
	*if other please state	Group 1:	Group 2:	Group 3:	Group 4:	in text
36.	Pre-term birth (gestation <37/40)					
37.	Birth weight <2500 g					
38.	Still birth					
39.	Death <28 days after birth					
40.	Other:					
Not	Notes:					

7. Limitation and mitigation strategy

		Descriptions as stated in the report/paper	Location in text
41.	Strength		
42.	Limitation		
43.	Strategies to overcome the limitation		
44.	Bias; please mention any potential biases you have identified in this study		
Not	es:		

### 8. Conclusion and other information

		Descriptions as stated in the report/paper	Location in text
45.	Key conclusions of study authors		
Not	es:		

 Ryan R, Synnot A, Prictor M, Hill S. Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group Data extraction template for included studies. CCCG http://cccrg.cochrane.org/author-resources. La Trobe University, Melbourne. Published November 2016. Approved (S. Hill) November 29th 2016. Accessed 6<sup>th</sup> December 2018.