# **TCRconv: Predicting recognition between T cell receptors and epitopes using contextualized motifs**

# Supplementary material

# **S1. VDJdb confidence scores**

All TCR-epitope pairs in VDJdb have been given confidence scores from 0-3 as follows: **0:**low confidence or no information (a critical aspect of sequencing or specificity validation is missing) **1:** moderate confidence (no verification or poor TCR sequence confidence) **2:** high confidence (has some specificity verification, good TCR sequence confidence) **3:** very high confidence (has extensive verification or structural data)

See more detailed description at<https://github.com/antigenomics/vdjdb-db>

## **S2. Other embedding techniques for TCRs**

Gradient-based saliency maps can describe how much each position in a sequence influences the predicted epitope-specificity. We computed saliency maps for a TCRconv model with the protBERT model for computing embeddings for the CDR3 sequences using the full context (i.e., an embedding is first computed for the complete TCR determined by the CDR3, and V- and J-genes, and then the part corresponding to the CDR3 is extracted), trained with the VDJdbαβ-large dataset. The saliency values were computed as the average over all absolute saliency values for all features at each position. To determine the importance of each residue individually, we compute the gradients of the true epitope binding w.r.t. the outputs of the non-contextualized layer (the input layer embedding's output) of protBERT. The values were scaled between 0 and 1 for each TCR separately. We chose to extract contextualized embeddings with protBERT without further fine-tuning its parameters (we did not find improvements when doing so, see Supplementray Section S2, and we wanted to avoid overfitting). Therefore, the gradients with respect to individual, uncontextualized residues need to propagate through the 30 untuned transformer layers in protBERT. Due to the complexity of the protBERT model, the high dimensionality of the embeddings, and the multiple convolutional layers in our predictor (four parallel convolutional layers and another consecutive convolutional layer, each with several filters), it is expected that identification of clear motifs can be challenging. This is illustrated by Supplementary Figures S15-S16 that show examples of these saliency maps for TCRs recognizing seven different epitopes, each from different epitope species. However, some more general observations can be made; On average the position-wise saliency values for the positions in CDR3 are higher than those outside the CDR3, and with the paired TCRαβ, the average position-wise saliency was in general higher for the chain that had better predictive performance when used individually (see Supplementary Table S7 and Fig. 5). A few examples of saliency maps for paired TCRαβ sequences are shown in Supplementary Fig. S17.

We also attempted to make the ProtBERT model more specialized to TCR sequences by fine-tuning it on 5 million TCRβ sequences from VDJdb (Bagaev, *et al.*, 2020), and studies of Emerson *et al*. (2017) and Dash *et al*. (2017) for eight epochs but this did not improve the prediction accuracies (mean AUROC 0.848 and AP 0.575 on VDJdbβ-small dataset). We also tested two ELMo (Embeddings from Language Models) architectures, classical ELMo (Peters *et al*., 2018) and masked ELMo (Senay and Salin, 2020), and trained them on a smaller dataset of 3 million TCRβ-sequences from the same sources as those used in the BERT fine-tuning. The main difference between these two models is that instead of unidirectional LSTMs, the masked ELMo uses a bidirectional two-layered LSTM and when trained in the token prediction task, the predicted token (amino acid) is masked to avoid leakage of information. We found that both ELMo models produced reasonable accuracies in the prediction task, and with masked ELMo we achieved almost as good accuracy as with the BERT embeddings (mean AUROC and AP 0.838 and 0.539 for ELMo and 0.847 and 0.571 for masked ELMo, on VDJdbβ-small dataset).

## **S3. Saliency maps**

All boxplots presented in the paper have the same formatting, that uses the default settings used by Seaborn<sup>1</sup> and Matplotlib<sup>2</sup> Python packages for the extents of the box, center line, and whiskers. That is, the box extends from the first quartile (Q1) to the third quartile (Q3) of the data and the median is marked with a line. The whiskers extend from the box by 1.5 times the inter quartile range. The whiskers are thus placed at Q1 - 1.5

- 1. <https://seaborn.pydata.org/generated/seaborn.boxplot.html>
- 2. https://matplotlib.org/stable/api/ as gen/matplotlib.pyplot.boxplot.html

## **S4. Phenotypes of SARS-CoV-2 specific T cells in moderate and severe COVID-19**

Count matrices, TCRαβ-seq results, and metadata from Liao *et al.* (2020) were downloaded from GEO GSE145926. The data was analyzed mainly with Python package scVI tools (Gayoso *et al.*, 2022) (v 0.14.5) and R package Seurat (Hao *et al.*, 2021) (v 4.0.4). Cells with > 10% mitochondrial gene counts, < 1000 UMI counts, < 200 or > 6000 detected genes, and cells with no detected TCR were filtered out. The highly variable genes were identified with "highly variable genes" function in scVI tools with default parameters, which were then used to learn latent embeddings with "model.SCVI" function in scVI tools with default parameters. The CD8+ T cells were then identified with SingleR (Aran *et al.*, 2019) (v 1.6.1), and the process was repeated with scVI tools. The obtained embeddings were then used for finding clusters with "FindNeighbors" and "FindClusters" functions and further visualized with UMAP dimensionality reduction with "RunUMAP" function using default parameters in Seurat. The optimal clustering threshold was chosen as 0.2 based on visual inspection of the clustering results in the UMAP reduced space. The markers used to define the clusters were found with Student's t-test using the "FindMarkers" function in Seurat with logfc.threshold = 0.25 from expression data that was scaled with "ScaleData" function with scaling factor of 10000. Patients C141, C142, and C144 have moderate COVID-19. Patients reported by Liao *et al.* (2020) to have severe (C143 and C145) or critical disease (C146, C148, C149, and C152) were considered to have severe COVID-19 in these analyses.

## **S5. Box plots**

× (Q3 - Q1) and Q3 + 1.5 × (Q3 - Q1). Addititionally, all datapoints are shown, see the Figure legends for their descriptions.

# **References**

- Aran, D. *et al.* (2019). Reference-based analysis of lung single-cell sequencing reveals a transitional profibrotic macrophage. *Nature immunology*, **20**(2), 163–172.
- Bagaev, D. V. *et al*. (2020). VDJdb in 2019: database extension, new analysis infrastructure and a T-cell receptor motif compendium. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **48**(D1), D1057–D1062.
- Dash, P. *et a*l. (2017). Quantifiable predictive features define epitope-specific T cell receptor repertoires. *Nature*, **547**(7661), 89–93.
- Emerson, R. O. *et al*. (2017). Immunosequencing identifies signatures of cytomegalovirus exposure history and HLA-mediated effects on the T cell repertoire. *Nature Genetics*, **49**(5), 659–665.
- Gayoso, A. *et al.* (2022). A python library for probabilistic analysis of single-cell omics data. *Nature Biotechnology*, **40**(2), 163–166.
- Hao, Y. *et al.* (2021). Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell*, **184**(13), 3573–3587.
- Liao, M. *et al.* (2020). Single-cell landscape of bronchoalveolar immune cells in patients with COVID-19. *Nature medicine*, **26**(6), 842–844.
- Peters, M. E. et al. (2018). Deep contextualized word representations. *arXiv*.
- Senay, G. and Salin, E. (2020). Masked ELMo: An evolution of ELMo towards fully contextual RNN language models. *arXiv*.



Supplementary Fig. S1. TCR cross-reactivity in datasets a) VDJdbβ-small, b) VDJdbβ-large, and c) VDJdbaβ-large. Each row of a heat map represents TCRs specific to the corresponding epitope and their fraction recognizing any of the epitopes present in the dataset. The bar plots on the right side of each heatmap show the average number of epitope specificities per TCR recognizing the epitope on the corresponding row. For example, TCRs specific to EBV epitope EBNA3ARLRAEAQVK recognize on average 2.2 different epitopes on (b) dataset VDJdbβ-large and 2.0 on (c) dataset VDJdbαβ-large. TCRs recognizing certain epitopes have notable cross-reactivity. To highlight them we have marked DENV epitopes with pink, EBV epitopes with blue, and two HIV-1 epitopes (HIV-1KRWIILGLNK and HIV-1KRWIIMGLNK) with green.



Supplementary Fig. S2. Epitope-wise method comparison with respect to AUROC score on (a) VDJdbβ-small and (b) VDJdbβ-large datasets and with respect to average precision (AP) on (c) VDJdbβ-small and (d) VDJdbβ-large datasets. The results are sorted by increasing order of TCRconv predictions. To highlight the accuracies for epitopes with notably cross-reactive TCRs, we have highlighted such epitopes similarly to Supplementary Fig. S1: DENV epitopes with pink, EBV epitopes with blue, and two HIV-1 epitopes (HIV-1KRWIILGLNK and HIV-1KRWIIMGLNK) with green.





**A**

 $1.0$ 



Supplementary Fig. S3. CDR3 edit distances on VDJdbβ-large from TCRs with chosen specificity to nearest TCR with same specificity (red) or other specificity (grey).



Supplementary Fig. S4. CDR3 edit distances on VDJdbβ-large from TCRs with chosen specificity to all TCRs with same specificity (red) or to all TCRs with other specificity (grey). Y-axis has log-scale.





Supplementary Fig. S5. CDR3 edit distances on VDJdbβ-small. (A) Edit distance from TCRs with chosen specificity to nearest TCR with same specificity (red) or other specificity (grey). (B) CDR3 edit distance from TCRs with chosen specificity to all TCRs with same specificity (red) or to all TCRs with other specificity (grey)





## **CMV IE2NEGVKAAW**  $\bullet$

- CMV pp65<sub>IPSINVHHY</sub>  $\bullet$
- CMV pp65NLVPMVATV  $\bullet$
- CMV pp65TPRVTGGGAM  $\bullet$
- **DENV1 NS3GTSGSPIVNR**  $\bullet$
- DENV3/4 NS3GTSGSPIINR  $\blacksquare$
- EBV BMLF1GLCTLVAML O

# **UMAP** -7

 $\mathbf{\Omega}$ 

- EBV BRLF1YVLDHLIVV  $\bullet$
- **EBV BZLF1 RAKFKQLL**  $\bullet$
- **HCV NS3 ATDALMTGY**  $\bullet$
- **HCV NS3 KLVALGINAV**
- HIV-1 GagEIYKRWII
- HIV-1 GagFRDYVDRFYKTLRAEQASQE  $\bullet$
- HIV-1 GagGPGHKARVL  $\bullet$
- HIV-1 Gag<sub>KAFSPEVIPMF</sub>
- **HIV-1 GagKRWIILGLNK**  $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array}$
- **HIV-1 GagTPQDLNTML**  $\bullet$
- **HIV-1 NefFLKEKGGL**  $\bullet$
- HTLV-1 TaxSFHSLHLLF  $\bullet$
- **IAV HAPKYVKQNTLKLAT**  $\bullet$
- **IAV MGILGFVFTL**  $\bullet$



**UMAP1** 

Supplementary Fig. S6. UMAP clustering of TCRs in VDJdbβ-small. Each dot correpsonds to one TCR and is colored by its epitope specificity. TCRs specific to multiple epitopes are colored by only one of its specificities.



**IAV MGILGFVFTL** 



Supplementary Fig. S7. UMAP clustering of TCRs in VDJdbβ-small. Each dot correpsonds to one TCR and is colored with red if it recognizes the epitope in the title and otherwise with grey.





Supplementary Fig. S8. Method comparisons. Mean AUROC and AP scores on (a) VDJdbβ-small and (b) VDJdbβ-large dataset.

**A**

**B**





![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Supplementary Fig. S9. TCRconv evaluation. All AUROC and AP scores are obtained over stratified 10-fold cross-validation. (A) Pearson's correlation between the diversity of epitope specific TCRs and the AUROC and AP scores. Panels (i) and (ii) show the mean AUROC scores for datasets VDJdbβ-small and VDJdbβ-large, respectively, and (iii) and (iv) mean AP scores for both datasets.

(B) Increasing embedding context size increases the predictive AUROC and AP scores. The schematics on the top show the approximate sections included in different context sizes. Complete TCR refers to using the complete TCR with the predictor, without extracting only the CDR3 part. Panels (i) and (ii) show the mean AUROC scores for datasets VDJdbβ-small and VDJdbβ-large, respectively, and (iii) and (iv) mean AP scores for both datasets.

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

Supplementary Fig. S10. HLA-types of the MHCs restricting the epitopes do not alone explain variance in results. All AUROC and AP scores are obtained over stratified

10-fold cross-validation.

(A) HLA-types of the MHCs restricting the epitopes in dataset VDJdbβ-large.

(B) TCRconv predictions for VDJdbβ-large dataset have some variation in terms of AUROC and AP scores when the predictions are divided into three groups (HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-DRA1) based on the HLA-gene.

(C) AUROC and AP scores for HLA-A\*02 restricted epitopes are similar whether the TCRconv is trained only on TCRs specific to HLA-A\*02 restricted epitopes or to TCRs specific to all epitopes in VDJdbβ-large dataset. For reference TCRconv model trained and tested with TCRs specific to all epitopes, corresponding to results shown in Fig. 1a ii, is shown on right.

Supplementary Fig. S11. TCRconv prediction performance for SARS-CoV-2 epitopes.

- (A) TCRconv performance in terms of AUROC and AP scores when trained with 139099 TCRs specific to 188 peptide groups from SARS-CoV-2. Mean scores are shown above both boxplots. Each circle represents the score for one peptide group, colored by the genomic region and numbered according to Supplementary Table S3.
- (B) TCRconv performance when trained with TCRs specific to 20 best performing peptides groups from SARS-CoV-2 combined with VDJdbβ-large dataset; above results for all 70 peptide (groups) and below for only the 20 SARS-CoV-2 peptides. For SARS-CoV-2 peptides coloring and numbering are the same as in panel (a), other epitopes are white, and the numbering corresponds to Supplementary Table S1.
- (C)AUROC and AP scores from the model from (a) by the peptides' genome location and the diversity of the TCRs specific to each peptide group by the peptides' genome location.

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

Supplementary Fig. S12. Analysis with COVID-19 patient repertoires.

(A) Shannon clonality (i) for each dataset and (ii) by Days from diagnosis to sample.

(B) Subject age (i) by dataset and (ii) by Days from diagnosis to sample.

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

(C) Normalized frequency grouped by number of days from diagnosis to sample for (i) six EBV specific epitopes and (ii) for four HCV specific epitopes.

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

Supplementary Fig. S13. T cell phenotypes and specificity in COVID-19.

(A) Characteristics of scRNA+TCR UMAP representation of CD8+ T-cells based on their phenotypes, colored by patient. Patients C141, C142, and C144 have

moderate COVID-19, while patients C143, C145, C146, C148, C149, and C152 have severe disease.

(B) Frequencies of T-cells predicted to be specific to the tested epitopes separately for each patient. Only T-cells with both TCR- and RNA-seq available are shown.

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **B**

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_112.jpeg)

Supplementary Fig. S14. Predicted and experimentally validated specificity of TCRs for SARS-CoV-2 epitope SpikeYLQPRTFLL.

Each TCR clone in the repertoire sample ADIRP0000273\_20200527 is represented as a circle that is colored red if it has been validated in the MIRA experiment eQD123 and grey if not. Each circle is positioned by it's productive frequency (y-axis) and TCRconv prediction score (x-axis). The two vertical black lines show prediction thresholds 0.643 and 0.944 that correspond to false positive rates of 0.001 and 0.0001 obtained from the 10-fold cross-validation with VDJdbβ-dataset. The TCRs with clone size one are shaded. The table below shows the true positive rate (TPR), false positive rate (FPR), false discovery rate (FDR), and positive predictive value (PPV) for the two thresholds and for clones of size at least two or at least three.

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

EBV BMLF1GLCTLVAML: CDR3βs with length 13 (50 sequences, 31 %)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

Human MLANAELAGIGILTV: CDR3βs with length 14 (108 sequences, 28 %)

- 1.0

15

13

 $12$ 

 $10$ 

 $11$ 

 $14$ 

16

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

Supplementary Fig. S15. Saliency maps for CDR3β sequences, one example for each epitope species in VDJdbαβ-large dataset (1/2). Each plot consists of a sequence logo and a heatmap of for CDR3 sequences with the most common length specific to an epitope. The height of a letter in a sequence logo corresponds to that amino acids frequency at that position, and the the background color of the letter shows the average saliency for the amino acid at that position. The heatmap shows the saliency values for each CDR3 sequence individually. The sequences are clustered by the similarity of their saliency values, as illustrated by the dendogram on its left side.

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

## SARS-CoV-2 Spike YLQPRTFLL: CDR3βs with length 13 (91 sequences, 38 %)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

Supplementary Fig. S16. Saliency maps for CDR3β sequences, one example for each epitope species in VDJdbαβ-large dataset (2/2). Each plot consists of a sequence logo and a heatmap of for CDR3 sequences with the most common length specific to an epitope. The height of a letter in a sequence logo corresponds to that amino acids frequency at that position, and the the background color of the letter shows the average saliency for the amino acid at that position. The heatmap shows the saliency values for each CDR3 sequence individually. The sequences are clustered by the similarity of their saliency values, as illustrated by the dendogram on its left side.

## EBV BMLF1<sub>GLCTLVAML</sub> paired TCRαβ

# **A**

Supplementary Fig. S16. Saliency maps for paired TCRαβ sequences from VDJdbαβ-large dataset, a few examples of TCRs specific to EBV epitope BMLF1GLCTLVAML, YFV epitope NS4BLLWNGPMAV, or SARS-CoV-2 epitope SpikeYLQPRTFLL. TCRs specific to BMLF1GLCTLVAML epitope have on average higher saliency values for the β-chain, TCRs specific to NS4BLLWNGPMAV for the α-chain and with SpikeYLQPRTFLL the saliency values are quite similar to both chains (see Supplementary Table S7).

(A) Paired CDR3αβ sequences with the two most common lengths specific to EBV epitope BMLF1GLCTLVAML, YFV epitope NS4BLLWNGPMAV, or SARS-CoV-2 epitope Spike<sub>YLQPRTFLL</sub>. The height of a letter in a sequence logo corresponds to that amino acids frequency at that position, and the background color of the letter shows the average saliency for the amino acid at that position.

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

CDR3 $β$  length: 13, CDR3 $α$  length: 11 (55 pairs, 21 %)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_12.jpeg)

(B) Examples of paired TCR⍺β sequences.

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

## YFV NS4BLLWNGPMAV paired TCRαβ

![](_page_16_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_994.jpeg)

 $\text{TOIAL epitope-I CR pairs:}$  32367 2001 21629

Supplementary Table S1. Three datasets of epitope-specific TCR-data collected from VDJdb.The datasets contain epitope-specific TCRs for Cytomegalovirus (CMV), Dengue virus types 1, 2 and 3 (DENV1, DENV2, DENV3-4), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), Hepatitis C virus (HCV), Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), Influenza A virus (IAV), Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), and Yellow Fever virus (YFV), as well as human stromal antigen 2 (BST2), insulin like growth factor 2 mRNA binding protein 2 (IGF2BP2), melanoma antigen (MLANA), and transketolase (TKT). VDJdbβ-large and VDJdbβ-small were collected in January 2021 and VDJdbαβ-large in September 2021 which explains why some of the SARS-CoV-2 epitopes are only present in VDJdbαβ-large.

![](_page_17_Picture_995.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2731.jpeg)

Supplementary Table S2. Method comparison. Mean AUROC and AP scores for TCRconv, TCRGP, TCRdist, SETE, DeepTCR and ERGO-II from stratified 10-fold cross-validation. Mean AUROC and AP scores are macro averages over all epitopes. Standard deviation is given over all folds and over all epitopes (Epit.), showing that with all methods variation between folds is smaller than variation between different epitopes. With TCRconv we have used protBERT embeddings for CDR3 + full context, meaning that the embedding is first computed for the complete TCR (as defined by the CDR3 and V- and J-genes), but only the parts of the embeddings correponding to the CDR3 are used with the predictor. For TCRGP, DeepTCR and TCRdist the results were computed with models using only CDR3βs or additionally other components of TCRβs. With these methods accuracies were higher when additional components were used. All result figures present the more accurate version of each method.

![](_page_18_Picture_2732.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $N_e$  is the number of epitopes (21 in VDJdbß-small, 51 in VDJdbß-large),  $N_f$  is the number of folds  $(10)$ ,  $S_{e,f}$  is the mean score (AUROC or AP) for epitope e in fold f  $S<sub>e</sub>$  is the mean score for epitope e over all folds,  $S_f$  is the mean score for fold  $f$  over all epitopes, and  $S$  is the mean score over all epitopes and folds.

![](_page_18_Picture_2733.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2734.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2735.jpeg)

Supplementary Table S3. Overview of ImmuneCODE MIRA data used for training TCRconv classifiers for SARS-CoV-2 specific epitopes. Spike refers to surface glycoprotein, membrane to membrane glycoprotein, and nucleocapsid to nucleocapsid phosphoprotein. The peptide groups are ordered by the start point of their genomic location (Loc). The coloring of the genomic regions matches Supplementary Fig. 8.

Supplementary Table S4. Healthy control and ImmuneCODE repertoire data used in the analysis for T-cell dynamics during COVID-19 (Fig. 2a). The controls consist of the first 72 TCR repertoires from healthy (CMV-) subjects in cohort 1 in the study of Emerson et al. that had over 250 000 TCRs, number of templates reported, and where the subject is known to be at least 18 years old (which is the age of the youngest subject in the ImmuneCODE data used here). From ImmuneCODE 493 repertoires with over 250 000 TCRs and "Days from diagnosis to sample" reported were selected from four separate datasets.

Supplementary Table S5. Significance of case-control and age effects on frequency of virus specific T-cells. Linear regression analysis was performed to assess if COVID patients have significantly higher frequency of virus specific T-cells than healthy control subjects, and if frequencies are positively correlated with subjects' age (see Methods). **(A)** The Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-values representing the significance of *bcc* > 0. **(B)** The Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-values representing the significance of *bage* > 0. One-tailed t-test was used for computing the p-values and the multiple testing adjustments are done for each virus (column) separately. Adjusted p-values smaller than 0.1 are bolded.

![](_page_19_Picture_823.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_824.jpeg)

Supplementary Table S7. Average position-wise saliency values for TCRs specific to each epitope in VDJdbαβ-large dataset. Values are given separately for α- and βchains for the CDR3 region and the complete TCR, defined by the V- and J-genes and CDR3.

![](_page_19_Picture_825.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_826.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_827.jpeg)

Supplementary Table S6. Embedding comparison. Mean AUROC and AP scores from stratified 10-fold cross-validation with TCRconv on VDJdbβ-small and VDJdbβlarge datasets using different embeddings.

![](_page_19_Picture_828.jpeg)