Supplementary File:

The impact of molecular subtyping on pathological staging of Pancreatic Cancer

Stephan B Dreyer^{1,2 MD, PhD}, Sarah Rae^{1 BMSc}, Kirsty Bisset^{2 MD}, Rosie Upstill-Goddard^{1 PhD}, Georgios Gemenetzis^{2 MD}, Amber L. Johns^{3 BSc}, Australian Pancreatic Cancer Genome Initiative³, Glasgow Precision Oncology Laboratory¹, Euan J. Dickson^{2 MD}, Anubhav Mittal^{4, 5 MD PhD}, Anthony J. Gill^{3,6,7 MD, PhD}, Fraser Duthie^{1, 8 MD}, PhD, Antonio Pea^{1,9 MD, PhD}, Rita T. Lawlor^{10 PhD}, Aldo Scarpa^{10,11 MD, PhD}, Roberto Salvia^{9 MD}, Alessandra Pulvirenti^{12 MD}, Alessandro Zerbi^{12,13 MD}, Federica Marchesi^{14, ^{15 PhD}, , Colin J. McKay^{1,2 MD}, Andrew V. Biankin^{1,2 MD, PhD}, Jaswinder S. Samra^{4, 5 MD}, David K. Chang^{*1,2 MD, PhD} and Nigel B Jamieson^{*1,2,4 MD, PhD}}

¹Wolfson Wohl Cancer Research Centre, Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Bearsden, Glasgow, Scotland G61 1QH, United Kingdom; ²West of Scotland Pancreatic Unit, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow G31 2ER UNITED KINGDOM; ³The Kinghorn Cancer Centre, 370 Victoria Street, Darlinghurst and Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA; ⁴Department of Surgery, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, Sydney NSW 2065, Australia; ⁵University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia; ⁶Cancer Diagnosis and Pathology Group Kolling Institute of Medical Research and Department of Anatomical Pathology, Royal North Shore Hospital. Sydney NSW 2065, AUSTRALIA; ⁷Northern Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sydney, AUSTRALIA; ⁸Department of Pathology, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow, G51 4TF, UNITED KINGDOM; ⁹ General and Pancreatic Surgery Department, Pancreas Institute, University and Hospital Trust of Verona, Verona, ITALY; ¹⁰ARC-Net Research Centre, University and Hospital Trust of Verona, Verona, ITALY; ¹¹Department of Diagnostics and Public Health, University and Hospital Trust of Verona, Verona, ITALY. ¹²Pancreatic Surgery Unit, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano, Milan, ITALY; ¹³Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Pieve Emanule, Milan, ITALY; ¹⁴Department of Immunology, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano, ITALY; ¹⁵Department of Medical Biotechnology and Translational Medicine, University of Milan, Milan, ITALY.

Research Funding Support:

- Wellcome Trust Senior Investigator Award: 103721/Z/14/Z
- Cancer Research UK Programme: C29717/A17263 and C29717/A18484
- Cancer Research UK Glasgow Centre: C596/A18076
- Cancer Research UK Clinical Training Award: C596/A20921
- Cancer Research UK Clinician Scientist Award: C55370/A25813
- The National Health & Medical Research Council of Australia, The Cancer Council NSW, Cancer Institute NSW, Royal Australasian College of Surgeons, St Vincent's Clinic Foundation and R. T. Hall Trust.
- The Australian Pancreatic Cancer Foundation
- Associazione Italiana Ricerca Cancro (AIRC 5x1000 n. 12182);
- Fondazione Italiana Malattie Pancreas Ministero Salute [FIMPCUP_J38D19000690001]
- Fondazione Cariverona: Oncology Biobank Project "Antonio Schiavi" (prot. 203885/2017)
- IG2020-24393-Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca su Cancro

Corresponding authors:

Nigel B Jamieson

Wolfson Wohl Cancer Research Centre, Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Bearsden, Glasgow Scotland G61 1BD Tel: +44 141 330 5670 Fax: +44 141 330 5834 Email: <u>nigel.jamieson@glasgow.ac.uk</u>

David K. Chang

Wolfson Wohl Cancer Research Centre, Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Bearsden, Glasgow Scotland G61 1BD Tel: +44 141 330 7589 Fax: +44 141 330 5834 Email: <u>david.chang@glasgow.ac.uk</u>

Running Head: Staging Pancreatic Cancer in era of molecular subtyping

Keywords: Pancreatic Cancer, Molecular Subtyping, Cancer Staging

Conflicts of Interest: There are no conflicts of interests to declare in relevance to

this piece of work

Garvan Institute of Medical Research Amber L. Johns¹. Anthony J Gill^{1, 5}. Lorraine A. Chantrill^{1,22}, Paul Timpson¹, Angela Chou^{1,5}, Marina Pajic¹, Tanya Dwarte¹, David Hermann¹, Claire Vennin¹, Thomas R Cox¹, Brooke Pereira¹, Shona Ritchie¹, Daniel A Reed¹, Cecilia R Chambers¹, Xanthe Metcalf¹, Max Nobis¹, **QIMR Berghofer** Medical Research Institute Nicola Waddell², John V. Pearson², Ann-Marie Patch², Katia Nones², Felicity Newell², Pamela Mukhopadhyay², Venkateswar Addala², Stephen Kazakoff², Oliver Holmes², Conrad Leonard², Scott Wood². University of Melbourne, Centre for Cancer Research Sean M. Grimmond³, Oliver Hofmann³. Royal North Shore Hospital Jaswinder S. Samra⁵, Nick Pavlakis^{5,} Jennifer Arena⁵, Hilda A. High⁵. Bankstown Hospital Ray Asghari⁶, Neil D. Merrett⁶, Amitabha Das⁶. **Liverpool Hospital** Peter H. Cosman⁷, Kasim Ismail⁷. **St Vincent's Hospital** Alina Stoita⁸, David Williams⁸, Allan Spigellman⁸. Westmead Hospital Vincent W. Lam⁹, Duncan McLeod⁹, Judy Kirk⁹. **Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Chris O'Brien Lifehouse** James G. Kench¹⁰, Peter Grimison¹⁰, Charbel Sandroussi¹⁰, Annabel Goodwin^{7,10}. **Prince of Wales Hospital** R. Scott Mead^{1,11}, Katherine Tucker¹¹, Lesley Andrews¹¹. **Fiona Stanley Hospital** Michael Texler¹², Cindy Forrest¹², Mo Lesley Andrews¹⁷. Fiona Stanley Hospital Michael Texler¹⁷, Cindy Forrest, Mo Ballal^{12,13}, David Fletcher¹². **St John of God Healthcare** Maria Beilin¹³, Kynan Feeney¹³ Krishna Epari¹³ Sanjay Mukhedkar¹³. **Epworth HealthCare** Nikolajs Zeps²³. **Royal Adelaide Hospital** Nan Q Nguyen¹⁴, Andrew R. Ruszkiewicz¹⁴, Chris Worthley¹⁴. **Flinders Medical Centre** John Chen¹⁵, Mark E. Brooke-Smith¹⁵, Virginia Papangelis¹⁵. **Envoi Pathology** Andrew D. Clouston¹⁶. **Princess Alexandria Hospital** Andrew P. Barbour¹⁷, Thomas J. O'Rourke¹⁷, Jonathan W. Fawcett¹⁷, Kellee Slater¹⁷, Michael Hatzifotis¹⁷, Peter Hodgkinson¹⁷. **Austin Hospital** Mehrdad Nikfarjam¹⁸. Johns Hopkins Medical Institutes James R. Eshleman¹⁹, Ralph H. Hruban¹⁹, Christopher L. Wolfgang¹⁹. ARC-Net Centre for Applied Research on **Cancer** Aldo Scarpa²⁰, Rita T. Lawlor²⁰, Vincenzo Corbo²⁰, Claudio Bassi²⁰. **University of Glasgow** Andrew V Biankin ²¹, Nigel B. Jamieson²¹ David K. Chang¹, ^{21,} Stephan B. Dreyer²¹.

¹The Kinghorn Cancer Centre, Garvan Institute of Medical Research, 370 Victoria Street, Darlinghurst, Sydney, New South Wales 2010, Australia.

²QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, 300 Herston Rd, Herston, Queensland 4006, Australia.

³University of Melbourne, Centre for Cancer Research, Victorian Comprehensive Cancer Centre, 305 Grattan Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia.

⁴ Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of QLD, St Lucia, Queensland 4072, Australia.

⁵Royal North Shore Hospital, Westbourne Street, St Leonards, New South Wales 2065, Australia.

⁶Bankstown Hospital, Eldridge Road, Bankstown, New South Wales 2200, Australia.

⁷Liverpool Hospital, Elizabeth Street, Liverpool, New South Wales 2170, Australia.

⁸ St Vincent's Hospital, 390 Victoria Street, Darlinghurst, New South Wales, 2010 Australia.

⁹Westmead Hospital, Hawkesbury and Darcy Roads, Westmead, New South Wales 2145, Australia.

¹⁰Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Missenden Road, Camperdown, New South Wales 2050, Australia.

¹¹Prince of Wales Hospital, Barker Street, Randwick, New South Wales 2031, Australia.

¹²Fremantle Hospital, Alma Street, Fremantle, Western Australia 6959, Australia.

¹³ St John of God Healthcare, 12 Salvado Road, Subiaco, Western Australia 6008, Australia.

¹⁴ Royal Adelaide Hospital, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia.

¹⁵ Flinders Medical Centre, Flinders Drive, Bedford Park, South Australia 5042, Australia.

¹⁶ Envoi Pathology, 1/49 Butterfield Street, Herston, Queensland 4006, Australia.

¹⁷ Princess Alexandria Hospital, Cornwall Street & Ipswich Road, Woolloongabba, Queensland 4102, Australia.

¹⁸ Austin Hospital, 145 Studley Road, Heidelberg, Victoria 3084, Australia.

¹⁹ Johns Hopkins Medical Institute, 600 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21287, USA.

²⁰ ARC-NET Center for Applied Research on Cancer, University of Verona, Via dell'Artigliere, 19 37129 Verona, Province of Verona, Italy.

²¹ Wolfson Wohl Cancer Research Centre, Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Bearsden, Glasgow, Scotland G61 1BD, United Kingdom.

²² Wollongong Hospital, Illawarra and Shoalhaven Local Health District, Loftus Street, Wollongong NSW 2500.

²³ Epworth HealthCare, 89 Bridge Rd, Richmond VIC 3121, Australia

Ethics approval numbers

- Sydney Local Health District (RPA Zone) : Approval number X16-0293
- University of Melbourne Health Sciences Human Ethics Subcommittee: Approval Number 1748955.
- North Shore Private Hospital Ethics Committee: Approval number NSPHEC 2016-016.
- Garvan Institute of Medical Research Approval number 1627.

- West of Scotland Research Ethics Service (WoSRES) committee, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde-Molecular profiling of pancreatic cancer for improved prediction of Survival. Research Ethics Committee reference number: 07/S0704/26
- Ethics approval for Royal North Shore Hospital cohort was obtained from Northern Sydney Local Health District Human Research and Ethics Committee (NSLHD HREC HREC/16/HAWKE/105).
- Protocol number ICH-595, Humanitas Research Hospital, Milan

All data was transferred under the premise of approved data transfer agreements between the institutions and the University of Glasgow.

Dreyer *et al*

Page 6 of 6

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1: Patient characteristics for the Glasgow, APGI, RNSH and Milan Cohorts															
	Glas	gow Coh	ort	AF	GI Cohor	t	Royal No	rth Shore	Cohort	Verd	ona Coho	rt	М	ilan Coho	rt
Variables	n = 366 No. (%)	Median DSS (months)	Pvalue (Log- rank)	n = 518 No. (%)	Median DSS (months)	P value (Log- rank)	n = 283 No. (%)	Median DSS (months)	P value (Log- rank)	n = 103 No. (%)	Median DSS (months)	P value (Log- rank)	n = 28 No. (%)	Median DSS (months)	Pvalue (Log- rank)
Sex Male Female	192 (52.5) 174 (47.5)	23.7 25.7	0.339	258 (49.8) 260 (50.2)	18.7 21.0	0.573	151 (53.4) 132 (46.6)	27.7 34.1	0.677	49 (47.6) 54 (52.4)	28.0 30.0	0.798	12 (42.8) 16 (57.2)	25.0 12.0	0.189
Mean Median Range	63.0 64.3 30.8 – 86.0			66.7 68.0 28.0 – 88.0			67.1 68.4 33.9 - 91.3			66.01 68.00 37 - 87			70.32 72.50 43 – 85		
Outcome Follow-up (months) Median follow	3.2 – 166.1 41.0			18.0–163.8 47.0			11.1 – 98.9 33.0			7.0 – 93.0 56.5			6 – 55 17.5		
Death PDAC Death other Death Unknown Alive Lost to FU	261 45 - 60 -			394 (76.1) 31 (6.0) 3 (0.6) 89 (17.2) 1 (0.1)			147 12 - 123 -			64 13 - 26 0			15 (53.6) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 13 (46.4) 0 (0.0)		
Stage (AJCC 8 th) CPR* IA IB IIA IIB III	5 (1.4) 41 (11.2) 36 (9.8) 19 (5.2) 149 (40.7) 116 (31.7)	NR 47.7 26.7 31.0 19.0 22.4	< 0.001	38 (7.3) 94 (18.1) 36 (6.9) 227 (43.8) 108 (20.8)	30.0 19.6 13.0 20.4 17.0	<0.001	6 (2.1) 25 (8.8) 46 (16.3) 7 (2.5) 116 (41.0) 83 (29.3)	NR NR 34.9 78.6 33.1 23.9	<0.001	0 (0.0) 4 (3.9) 7 (6.8) 3 (2.9) 33 (32.0) 56 (54.4)	55.0 32.0 NR 51.0 22.0	0.033	0 (0.0) 1 (3.6) 4 (14.3) 2 (7.1) 8 (28.6) 13 (46.4)	N/A	0.150
T Stage (AJCC 8 th) CPR* T1 T2 T3 T4 Unknown	5 (1.4) 81 (22.1) 207 (56.6) 73 (19.9) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	NR 39,0 22.6 13.5	<0.001	101 (19.5) 292 (56.4) 119 (23.0) 2 (0.3) 4 (0.8)	34.4 18.6 13.2	<0.001	6 (2.1) 48 (17.0) 175 (61.8) 53 (18.7) 1 (0.4) 0 (0.0)	NR NR 30.5 27.6	0.011	0 (0.0) 33 (32.0) 56 (54.4) 14 (13.6) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	30.0 28.0 14.0	0.358	0 (0.0) 4 (14.3) 13 (46.4) 11 (39.3) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	NR 14.0 19.0	0.511
N Stage (AJCC 8 th) N0 N1 N2 Unknown	101 (27.6) 149 (40.7) 116 (31.7) 0 (0.0)	47.7 19.0 22.4	<0.001	169 (32.6) 228 (44.0) 109 (21.0) 12 (2.3)	22.2 20.3 16.0	<0.001	85 (30.0) 116 (41.0) 82 (29.0) 0 (0.0)	61.1 33.1 23.9	<0.001	14 (13.6) 33 (32.0) 56 (54.4) 0 (0.0)	55.0 51.0 22.0	0.007	7 (25.0) 8 (28.6) 13 (46.4) 0 (0.0)	NR NR 13.0	0.041
Tumor Differentiation I / Well II / Moderate III / Poor IV / undifferentiated Unknown	30 (8.2) 229 (62.6) 100 (27.3) 0 (0.0) 7 (1.9)	36.3 25.0 17.1	<0.001	41 (7.9) 340 (65.6) 130 (25.1) 5 (1.0) 2 (0.4)	35.4 21.0 17.0 13.0	0.039	14 (4.9) 186 (65.7) 76 (26.9) 0 (0.0) 7 (2.5)	46.4 34.1 26.5	0.059	5 (4.8) 62 (60.2) 35 (34.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.0)	30.0 30.0 19.0	0.480	1(3.6) 6 (21.4) 21 (75.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	NR NR 17.0	0.271
Resection margin† Clear Involved	119 (32.5) 247 (67.5)	36.7 20.1	<0.001	338 (65.3) 180 (15.4)	23.7 15.4	<0.001	123 (43.5) 160 (56.5)	54.2 26.2	0.001	59 (57.3) 44 (42.7)	48.0 22.0	0.002	12 (42.9) 16 (57.1)	NR 14.0	0.134
Iumor Location Head / Uncinate Body / Tail	328 (89.6) 38 (10.4)	23.0 39.0	0.004	426 (82.2) 92 (17.8)	22.0 12.1	<0.001	268 (94.7) 15 (5.3)	30.2 40.2	0.055	87 (84.5) 16 (15.5)	29.0 23.0	0.848			

Dreyer et al

Page 8 of 8

*CPR = complete pathological response; † = Margin status defined as original pathology report for each cohort (see methods)

Supplementary Table 2: The association of clinicopathological features and				
	Classical Pancreatic n = 330 (%)	Squamous <i>n</i> = 112 (%)	<i>P</i> -value (Chi-square)	
T - stage T1 T2 T3	63 (19.1) 192 (58.4) 74 (22.5)	8 (7.3%) 72 (65.5%) 30 (27.3%)	0.013	
N - stage N0 N1 N2	69 (21.0) 121 (36.8) 139 (42.2)	23 (20.7) 38 (34.2) 50 (45.0)	0.860	
Tumor location Head Body / Tail	276 (88.7) 35 (11.3)	79 (76.7) 24 (23.3)	0.002	
Grade / Differentiation / / V	230 (70.1) 98 (29.9)	47 (42.7) 63 (57.3)	<0.001	
Perineural Invasion Negative Positive	38 (11.7) 288 (88.3)	21 (19.1) 89 (80.9)	0.049	
Lymphovascular invasion Negative Positive	168 (52.2) 154 (47.8)	45 (40.9) 65 (59.1)	0.041	
Margin R1 = 1mm Negative Positive	92 (43.4) 120 (56.6)	26 (37.1) 44 (62.9)	0.358	
Margin R1 = 0mm Negative Positive	193 (70.7) 80 (29.3)	61 (66.3) 31 (33.7)	0.428	

Supplementary Table 3: Molecular	subtype cohort univaria	te survival	
analys	is		
	Univariate Analysis		
	HR (95% CI)	Р	
Tumour Grade (High vs low)	1.57 (1.24 – 1.99)	<0.001	
Margin Involvement (R1<1mm)	2.03 (1.51 – 2.73)	<0.001	
Lymphovascular Invasion (Positive)	1.88 (1.49 – 2.37)	<0.001	
Perineural Invasion (Positive)	1.56 (1.09 – 2.22)	0.014	
Lymph Node stage 8th N1	1.36 (0.96 – 1.92)	0.082	
Lymph Node stage 8 th N2	2.34 (1.89 – 3.26)	<0.001	
T stage (8th edition) T2	1.54 (1.09 – 2.17)	0.013	
T stage (8 th edition) T3	2.29 (1.56 – 3.35)	<0.001	
Adjuvant Chemotherapy	0.68 (0.51 – 0.90)	0.008	
Tumor Location (Body/Tail vs Head)	1.45 (1.05 – 1.99)	0.023	
Molecular Subtype (Squamous vs Classical)	1.98 (1.54 – 2.56)	<0.001	

Supplementary Table 4: Molecular subtype cohort multivariate survival			
analys	is		
	Multivariate A	nalysis	
	HR (95% CI)	Р	
Step 1			
T stage – T2	1.14 (0.62 – 2.11)	0.676	
Т3	1.58 (0.81 – 3.08)	0.179	
Lymph Node – N1	1.14 (0.68 – 1.90)	0.632	
N2	1.54 (0.89 – 2.65)	0.121	
Grade (High grade)	1.61 (1.10 – 2.35)	0.015	
Perineural Invasion	1.07 (0.63 – 1.82)	0.79	
Lymphovascular Invasion	1.83 (1.24 – 2.71)	0.003	
Tumor Location (body/tail)	1.83 (1.12 – 2.97)	0.015	
Adjuvant Therapy	0.63 (0.44 – 0.90)	0.012	
Margin (R1 = 1mm)	1.45 (0.96 – 2.20)	0.076	
Molecular Subtype (Squamous)	1.50 (1.00 – 2.25)	0.051	
STEP 2			
T stage – T2	1.14 (0.62 – 2.12)	0.672	
Т3	1.59 (0.81 – 3.09)	0.176	
Lymph Node – N1	1.13 (0.68 – 1.90)	0.637	
N2	1.54 (0.90 – 2.66)	0.119	
Grade (High grade)	1.61 (1.10 – 2.35)	0.015	
Lymphovascular Invasion	1.86 (1.29 – 2.69)	0.001	
Tumor Location (body/tail)	1.83 (1.13 – 2.98)	0.015	
Adjuvant Therapy	0.63 (0.44 – 0.91)	0.013	
Margin (R1 = 1mm)	1.48 (1.00 – 2.19)	0.049	
Molecular Subtype (Squamous)	1.49 (1.00 – 2.22)	0.053	
Step 3 – Final Model			
Lymph Node – N1	1.21 (0.73 – 2.01)	0.47	
N2	1.68 (0.98 – 2.86)	0.058	
Grade (High grade)	1.66 (1.15 – 2.42)	0.007	
Lymphovascular Invasion	1.85 (1.28 – 2.68)	0.001	
Tumor Location (body/tail)	1.99 (1.24 – 3.22)	0.005	
Adjuvant Therapy	0.63 (0.44 – 0.90)	0.010	
Margin (R1 = 1mm)	1.50 (1.01 – 2.21)	0.044	
Molecular Subtype (Squamous)	1.54 (1.04 – 2.28)	0.032	

Supplementary Table 5: Classical su	btype multivariate survi	val analysis
Final M	odel	
	Univariate An	alysis
	HR (95% CI)	Р
Tumor Grade (High vs low)	2.26 (1.44 – 3.54)	<0.001
Margin Involvement (R1 _{<1mm})	2.10 (1.40 – 3.17)	<0.001
Lymphovascular Invasion (Positive)	2.46 (1.62 – 3.73)	<0.001
T stage (8th edition) T2	1.15 (0.61 – 2.16)	0.665
T stage (8 th edition) T3	2.23 (1.14 – 4.35)	0.019
Adjuvant Chemotherapy	0.62 (0.40 – 0.95)	0.028

Supplementary Table 6: Squamous su	ubtype multivariate surv	vival analysis
Final Mo	odel	
	Univariate An	alysis
	HR (95% CI)	Р
Perineural Invasion (Positive)	1.98 (0.89 – 4.40)	0.095
Tumor Location (Body/Tail vs Head)	2.46 (1.17 – 5.17)	0.018
Adjuvant Chemotherapy	0.55 (0.29 – 1.07)	0.079

Supplementary Table 7: The association of adjuvant therapy and molecular subtype (only showing for those with known accurate adjuvant data)				
	Classical Pancreatic n = 261 (%)	Squamous <i>n</i> = 82 (%)	<i>P</i> -value (Chi-square)	
Adjuvant Chemotherapy Yes No	194 (74.3) 67 (25.7)	51 (62.2) 31 (37.8)	0.025	
Chemotherapy Agent Gemcitabine based Other	4 (3.5) 109 (96.5)	2 (6.3) 30 (93.8)	0.396	

Supplementary Table 8: The association of neoadjuvant therapy and molecular				
subtype (only showing for those who received neoadjuvant therapy)				
	Classical Pancreatic	Squamous	<i>P</i> -value	
	<i>n</i> = 13 (%)	<i>n</i> = 3 (%)	(Chi-square)	
Neoadjuvant Therapy				
FOLFIRINOX	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0.376	
Gemcitabine	4 (30.8)	0 (0.0)		
Gemcitabine / 5FU ChemoRad	3 (23.1)	1 (33.3)		
Gemcitabine / Abraxane	3 (23.1)	0 (0.0)		
Unknown	3 (23.1)	1 (33.3)		

Supplementary Figures

Figure Legends

Supplementary Figure 1: Kaplan-Meier survival curves for all patient cohorts stratified by (a - d) T-stage, (e - h) N-stage according to the AJCC 8th staging criteria and (i - l) resection margin status. The Verona and Milan cohorts were combined **Supplementary Figure 2:** Kaplan-Meier survival curves for all patients stratified by AJCC 8th staging criteria T-status (a - b), lymph node status (c - d) in both margin negative (a, c) and positive (b, d) patients.

Supplementary Figure 3: Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the molecular subtype cohort stratified by molecular subtype (squamous vs classical pancreatic) in both Margin negative (a) and margin positive (b) groups. Margin status defined as R1_{≤1mm}. Molecular subtype is prognostic in both margin positive and negative groups.

Supplementary Figure 4: Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the molecular subtype cohort stratified by lymph node involvement and margin status R1_{0mm}. Lymph node involvement is prognostic in (a) the entire cohort and (b) the Classical Pancreatic subtype, but not (c) in the Squamous subtype. Positive resection margin is prognostic in (d) the entire cohort and (e) the Classical Pancreatic subtype, but not in (f) the Squamous subtype.

Supplementary Figure 5: Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the molecular subtype cohort stratified by administration of adjuvant therapy. Irrespective of adjuvant therapy, squamous subtype had significantly worse prognosis.

Supplementary Figure 6: Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the molecular subtype stratified by S100A2 / A4 expression, lymph node status (a - c) and margin status (d-f). Margin status defined as $R1_{\leq 1mm}$. Biomarker status was determined using immunohistochemistry, and defined as both negative, either S100A2 or A4 positive or both biomarkers positive.

Dreyer *et al*

Page 15 of 15

251658240		

Supplementary

Figure

251658240

×

Supplementary Figure 2

251658240



Supplementary Figure 3

	×
251658240	

Supplementary Figure 4

251658240

ChartDescription automatically generated

Supplementary Figure 5

251658240

Supplementary Figure 6