nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Karthik Srinivasan NPJDIGITALMED-03614R	
Last undated by author(s).	Aug 16, 2022	

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section

\sim .				
∖ †	· 2 †	ist	۲ı/	~ C

n/a	Confirmed
	\square The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	🔀 A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.
So	ftware and code

reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Data collection

Data analysis

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

Zephyr, Aclima wearable sensor software

- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Policy information about availability of computer code

- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

R programming language, Stan programming language for Bayesian analysis

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender

49.78% of participants identified as female gender while the rest were male.

Population characteristics

Average age of participant was 44.15 years (SD=12.22) and BMI was 27.60 (SD = 6.10).

Recruitment

A total of 248 office workers expressed interest in participating in our study, representing approximately 12% of the workforce located in areas of the office buildings where recruitment took place. Pregnant women and those wearing pacemakers or insulin pumps were excluded. Participants taking medication known to affect cardiac activity were noted but not excluded. Due to scheduling problems, sickness and exclusionary criteria, 17 office workers did not participate, resulting in a total enrollment of 231 participants.

Ethics oversight

Our study was approved by the University of Arizona Institutional Review Board.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for	your research. If you are not sure,	read the appropriate sections	before making your selection
--	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------

Life sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Behavioural & social sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description

We conducted a field study using multiple wearable devices on 231 federal office workers to assess the impact of the indoor environment on individual wellbeing.

Research sample

A total of 248 office workers expressed interest in participating in our study, representing approximately 12% of the workforce located in areas of the office buildings where recruitment took place. Pregnant women and those wearing pacemakers or insulin pumps were excluded. Participants taking medication known to affect cardiac activity were noted but not excluded. Due to scheduling problems, sickness and exclusionary criteria, 17 office workers did not participate, resulting in a total enrollment of 231 participants. Due to unexpected changes in work schedules, 8 of the 231 participants were only observed for two, rather than the full three days. The participant's average age was 44.15 (SD=12.22), 49.78% female, with an average body mass index (BMI) of 27.60 (SD = 6.10).

Sampling strategy

Participants were recruited using convenience sampling, in which office workers from 3 office buildings enrolled out of personal interest.

Data collection

Data was collected from participants using an intake survey, a neck-worn environment sensing device, a chest-worn heart and physical activity monitor, and experience sampling mobile surveys recorded every two hours while participants were in the office premises. After pre-processing, our dataset contained 31,557 observations aggregated at five-minute intervals and processed approximately 200,000 minutes of wearable data streams from the 231 participants.

Timing

The field study was conducted in multiple phases/cohorts during May 2015 and August 2016. Within each cohort, participants were expected the health monitors and environment sensing devices for three days.

Data exclusions

Pregnant women and those wearing pacemakers or insulin pumps were excluded.

Non-participation

Due to scheduling problems, sickness and exclusionary criteria, 17 office workers did not participate.

Randomization

Allocation was not random, covariates related to short-term physiological wellbeing were measured and controlled for.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems Methods n/a Involved in the study n/a Involved in the study ☑ Antibodies ☑ ChIP-seq ☑ Eukaryotic cell lines ☑ Flow cytometry ☑ Palaeontology and archaeology ☑ MRI-based neuroimaging ☑ Animals and other organisms ☑ Clinical data

Dual use research of concern