

Appendix

Table 1. Seven-point Likert scales for 11 constructs were showed as below.

Constructs	Measurement Items	Literature
Perceived Infection Risk (PIR)	PIR1: How worried are you about your daughter being exposed to HPV at present? PIR2: How likely do you think your daughter is to be affected by HPV in the future? PIR3: How seriously do you think that your daughter might become sick from HPV?	van der Linden, S. (1,2); Sarah Dryhurst, et al. (3); Ran Wei et al. (4)
Perceived Vaccine Effectiveness (PVE)	PVE1: I believe that the HPV vaccine is effective in preventing genital HPV infection. PVE2: I believe that the HPV vaccine works to prevent genital HPV infection. PVE3: I believe that if my daughter gets the HPV vaccine, she will be less likely to get genital HPV infection.	Loretta Brabin, et al. (5); Xiaoli Nan and Kelly Madden (6)
Perceived Vaccine Side-Effects	PVSE1: I worry about the short-term side-effects of the HPV	

(PVSE)	<p>vaccine.</p> <p>PVSE2: I worry that the HPV vaccine might negatively affect my daughter's health.</p> <p>PVSE3: I worry that the HPV vaccine might have unknown long-term side-effects.</p>	
Attitude toward Vaccination (AV)	<p>AV1: For my daughter, getting HPV vaccination at 13–15 years of age is beneficial.</p> <p>AV2: For my daughter, getting HPV vaccination at 13–15 years of age is necessary.</p> <p>AV3: For my daughter, getting HPV vaccination at 13–15 years of age is wise.</p> <p>AV4: For my daughter, getting HPV vaccination at 13–15 years of age is important.</p>	<p>Icek Ajzen (7);</p> <p>Abhyankar, Connor, and Lawton (8);</p> <p>Vinita Agarwal (9)</p>
Anticipated Regret (AR)	<p>If I don't get my daughter vaccinated against HPV at 13–15 years of age,</p> <p>AR1: I will feel regret.</p> <p>AR2: I will be troubled.</p>	<p>Conner et al. (10)</p>

	AR3: I will feel guilty.	
	AR4: I will feel disappointed.	
Anticipated Pride (AP)	If I get my daughter vaccinated against HPV at 13–15 years of age,	
	AP1: I will be proud.	
	AP2: I will be happy.	
	AP3: I will be satisfied.	
Descriptive Norms (DN)	DN1: Most parents on the Internet have vaccinated their 13–15-year-old daughters against HPV.	
	DN2: Most girls aged 13–15 years on the Internet are vaccinated against HPV.	
	DN3: Most of my friends have vaccinated their 13–15-year-old daughters against HPV.	Icek Ajzen (7); Mark Conner and Paul Norman (11)
	DN4: Most of my friends' daughters were vaccinated against HPV at 13–15 years of age.	
	DN5: The parents of most of my daughter's classmates have vaccinated their daughters against HPV.	

DN6: Most of my daughter's classmates are vaccinated against HPV.

Injunctive Norms on the Internet (INI) IN1: People online think that I should vaccinate my 13–15-year-old daughter.

IN2: People online approve of me vaccinating my 13–15-year-old daughter against HPV.

IN3: People online hope that I vaccinate my 13–15-year-old daughter against HPV.

Perceived Moral Obligation (PMO) PMO1: It is my responsibility to get my daughter vaccinated against HPV at 13–15 years of age.

PMO2: It is moral to have my daughter vaccinated against HPV at 13–15 years of age.

PMO3: It is in my values to have my daughter vaccinated against HPV at 13–15 years of age.

PMO4: I would feel incompetent if I didn't get my daughter vaccinated against HPV at 13–15 years of age.

E. Dubé et al. (12);
Chu and Chiu (13)

Self-Efficacy for Vaccination (SEV)	SEV1: I'm confident that I can take my daughter to get vaccinated against HPV.	Mark Conner and Paul Noman (11);
	SEV2: It is very easy for my daughter to get the HPV vaccine.	Z. Janet Yang (14)
	SEV3: I can afford to get my daughter vaccinated against HPV.	

Vaccination Intention (VI)	VI1: How likely would you be to accept vaccination of your daughter with the HPV vaccine sometime soon?	
	VI2: If you were faced with the decision of getting your daughter vaccinated against HPV today, how likely is it that you would choose to accept the vaccine?	Xiaoli Nan and Kelly Madden (6); Rothman et al. (15)
	VI3: How likely would you be to accept your daughter's vaccination against HPV in the future?	

References

1. van der Linden, S. The Social-Psychological Determinants of Climate Change Risk Perceptions: Towards a Comprehensive Model. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. (2015)41: 112-24. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.11.012.
2. van der Linden, S. Determinants and Measurement of Climate Change Risk Perception, Worry, and Concern. In: M. Nisbet, Editor. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Climate Science*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press (2017). p.1-49.
3. Sarah Dryhurst, Claudia R. Schneider, John Kerr, Alexandra L. J. Freeman, Gabriel Recchia, Anne Marthe van der Bles, David Spiegelhalter & Sander van der Linden. Risk perceptions of COVID-19 around the world. *Journal of Risk Research*. (2020) 23: 994-1006. doi: 10.1080/13669877.2020.1758193.
4. Wei, R., Lo, V.-H., & Lu, H.-Y. Reconsidering the Relationship Between the Third-Person Perception and Optimistic Bias. *Communication Research*. (2007) 34: 665–84. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650207307903>.
5. Loretta Brabin, Stephen A. Roberts, Farah Farzaneh, Henry C. Kitchener. Future acceptance of adolescent human papillomavirus vaccination: A survey of parental attitudes. *Vaccine*. (2006)24: 3087-94. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.01.048>.
6. Xiaoli Nan & Kelly Madden. HPV Vaccine Information in the Blogosphere: How Positive and Negative Blogs Influence Vaccine-Related Risk Perceptions, Attitudes, and Behavioral Intentions. *Health Communication*. (2012) 27:829-36. doi: 10.1080/10410236.2012.661348.
7. Icek Ajzen. Constructing a TPB Questionnaire: Conceptual and Methodological Considerations. 2006. Available online at: <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.601.956&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

(accessed Aug 10, 2022).

8. Purva Abhyankar, Daryl B. O'connor & Rebecca Lawton. The role of message framing in promoting MMR vaccination: Evidence of a loss-frame advantage. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*. (2008) 13:1-16. doi: 10.1080/13548500701235732.
9. Vinita Agarwal. A/H1N1 Vaccine Intentions in College Students: An Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of American College Health*. (2014) 62:416-24.
doi: 10.1080/07448481.2014.917650.
10. Conner, M., Godin, G., Sheeran, P., & Germain, M. Some feelings are more important: Cognitive attitudes, affective attitudes, anticipated affect, and blood donation. *Health Psychology*. (2013) 32: 264-72. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028500>.
11. Mark Conner and Paul Norman. *Predicting Health Behaviour: Research and Practice with Social Cognition Models*. Buckingham: Open University Press (1996).
12. PIN-YU CHU & JA-FUN CHIU. Factors Influencing Household Waste Recycling Behavior: Test of an Integrated Model. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*. (2003)33: 604-26. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2003.tb01915.x>.
13. Z. Janet Yang. Predicting Young Adults' Intentions to Get the H1N1 Vaccine: An Integrated Model. *Journal of Health Communication*. (2015)20: 69-79.
doi: 10.1080/10810730.2014.904023.
14. Dubé E, Gagnon D, MacDonald N, Bocquier A, Peretti-Watel P, Verger P. Underlying factors impacting vaccine hesitancy in high income countries: a review of qualitative studies. *Expert Rev Vacc*. (2018) 17:989-1004. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14760584.2018.1541406>.
15. Rothman AJ, Martino SC, Bedell BT, Detweiler JB, Salovey P. The Systematic Influence

of Gain-and Loss-Framed Messages on Interest in and Use of Different Types of Health Behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. (1999) 25:1355-69. doi:10.1177/0146167299259003.