Supplemental Online Content

Mentz RJ, Anstrom KJ, Eisenstein EL, et al; TRANSFORM-HF Investigators. Effect of torsemide vs furosemide after discharge on all-cause mortality in patients hospitalized with heart failure: the TRANSFORM-HF randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.23924

eMethods. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

eFigure. All-Cause Mortality or All-Cause Hospitalization

eTable 1. Pre-specified On-Treatment Sensitivity Analyses Assessing the Primary Endpoint and All-Cause Mortality or All-Cause Hospitalization

eTable 2. Baseline Characteristics of TRANSFORM-HF Participants by On-Treatment Status at 30 Days

eTable 3. Additional Post-Hoc Cox Proportional Hazards Models of the Time to Event Endpoints

eTable 4. Additional Post-Hoc Sensitivity Analysis for COVID-19 Pandemic

eTable 5. Additional Post-Hoc Analysis of All-Cause Hospitalizations Through 12 Months With a Competing Risk Model

eTable 6. Details of Loop Diuretic Status at Study Follow-up as Acquired via the DCRI Call Center

This supplemental material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eMethods. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.

Inclusion Criteria

- 1) Patient hospitalized (≥ 24 hours or over a change in calendar date) with worsening of chronic heart failure, or new diagnosis of heart failure AND meets 1 of the following criteria:
 - a. Has a left ventricular ejection fraction $(EF) \le 40\%$ within 24 months prior to and including index hospitalization by any method (with most recent value used to determine eligibility)
 - b. Has an elevated natriuretic peptide level (either NT-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide or B-type natriuretic peptide) during index hospitalization as measured by local laboratory
- 2) Plan for a daily outpatient oral loop diuretic regimen upon hospital discharge with anticipated need for long-term loop diuretic use
- 3) ≥ 18 years of age
- 4) Signed informed consent

Exclusion Criteria

- 1) End-stage renal disease requiring renal replacement therapy
- 2) Inability or unwillingness to comply with the study requirements
- 3) History of heart transplant or actively listed for heart transplant
- 4) Implanted left ventricular assist device or implant anticipated <3 months
- 5) Pregnant or nursing women
- 6) Malignancy or other non-cardiac condition limiting life expectancy to <12 months
- 7) Known hypersensitivity to furosemide, torsemide, or related agents

Online-Only Figure



eFigure. All-cause mortality or all-cause hospitalization.

Shown are the rates of the secondary outcome of all-cause mortality or all-cause hospitalization in the torsemide and furosemide groups. Whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.

Online-Only Tables

	ITT Analysis	On-treatment at Discharge	On-treatment at 30- Days
All-cause mortality	1.02 (0.89, 1.18),	0.99 (0.85, 1.15),	0.96 (0.78, 1.18),
	P=0.76	P=0.86	P=0.69
All-cause mortality or all-cause hospitalization	0.92 (0.83, 1.02),	0.91 (0.81, 1.01),	0.89 (0.78, 1.02),
	P=0.11	P=0.082	P=0.10

eTable 1. Pre-specified on-treatment sensitivity analyses assessing the primary endpoint and all-cause mortality or all-cause hospitalization*.

*Presented as HR and 95% CI with p-value based on a Cox proportional hazards regression model including the assigned treatment (torsemide vs. furosemide as the reference group) as well as age, sex, baseline ejection fraction (<40%, 41-49%, >50%, unknown), and loop diuretic treatment prior to index hospital admission as covariates.

	On-treatment	Not on-treatment (N=1156)	
Characteristics	(N=1703)		
Age			
Mean (SD) y	64.5 (13.7)	64.5 (14.4)	
Median [IQR], y	65.0 (56, 74)	66.0 (56, 75)	
Sex, No. (%)			
Female	629 / 1703 (36.9%)	426 / 1156 (36.9%)	
Male	1074 / 1703 (63.1%)	730 / 1156 (63.1%)	
Race, No. (%)			
American Indian or Alaska Native	5 / 1701 (0.3%)	7 / 1153 (0.6%)	
Asian	36 / 1701 (2.1%)	27 / 1153 (2.3%)	
Black or African American	568 / 1701 (33.4%)	400 / 1153 (34.7%)	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	8 / 1701 (0.5%)	12 / 1153 (1.0%)	
White	1024 / 1701 (60.2%)	644 / 1153 (55.9%)	
Other	36 / 1701 (2.1%)	43 / 1153 (3.7%)	
Multiple	24 / 1701 (1.4%)	20 / 1153 (1.7%)	
Not reported	2 / 1793 (0.1%)	3 / 1156 (0.3%)	
Hispanic ethnicity—no. (%)	71 / 1699 (4.2%)	84 / 1156 (7.3%)	
Vital Signs			
Systolic blood pressure—mmHg	118.8 (19.3)	118.8 (20.6)	
Heart rate—beat/min	79.8 (15.5)	81.7 (16.3)	
Body mass index—kg/m ²	32.35 (9.47)	31.92 (9.52)	
Baseline Laboratories			
Median NT-proBNP (IQR)—pg/mL	3721 (1904, 7611) [N=850]	4202 (2127, 9404) [N=526]	
Median BNP (IQR)—pg/dL	855 (438, 1693) [N=787]	1064 (531, 1935) [N=594]	
Estimated GFR—ml/min/1.73 m ²	59.9 (25.3) [N=1701]	58.7 (25.8) [N=1153]	

eTable 2. Baseline Characteristics of TRANSFORM-HF Participants by ontreatment status at 30 days.

Values shown as n / N provided (%) or mean (SD), unless otherwise specified. Abbreviations: BNP denotes B-type natriuretic peptide, GFR glomerular filtration rate.

Endpoint	Model	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
All-cause mortality	Pre-specified adjustment model*	1.02 (0.89, 1.18)
	Adjusted model plus site as a random effect	1.02 (0.89, 1.18)
	Treatment only with robust variance estimate	1.00 (0.87, 1.15)
All-cause mortality or all-cause hospitalization through 12 months	Pre-specified adjustment model*	0.92 (0.83, 1.02)
	Adjusted model plus site as a random effect	0.92 (0.83, 1.02)
	Treatment only with robust variance estimate	0.92 (0.83, 1.03)
All-cause mortality or all-cause hospitalization through 30 days	Pre-specified adjustment model*	0.94 (0.75, 1.18)
	Adjusted model plus site as a random effect	0.94 (0.75, 1.18)
	Treatment only with robust variance estimate	0.94 (0.75, 1.17)

		Case Dran antiana	I I lamanda Madala .		- Event Endersinte
e l able 3. Add	litional post-noc	Cox Proportiona	i Hazards Models (or the time to	D Event Endpoints.

*Hazard ratio (HR), 95% confidence interval (CI) and p-value are based on a Cox proportional hazards regression model including the assigned treatment (torsemide vs. furosemide as the reference group) as well as age, sex, baseline ejection fraction (<40%, 41-49%, >50%, unknown), and loop diuretic treatment prior to index hospital admission as covariates.

Time-Dependent COVID-19 Covariate	Treatment HR (95% CI)	COVID-19 Time- Dependent Covariate P-value	Interaction P-value
	1.02 (0.89, 1.18)		
Prior to the US COV/ID-19 National	0.99 (0.77, 1.28)	0.80	0.77
Emergency Date of March 13, 2020	0.33 (0.77, 1.20)	0.00	0.11
On/After US COVID-19 National Emergency Date of March 13, 2020	1.04 (0.87, 1.23)		
	0.92 (0.83, 1.02)		
Prior to the US COVID-19 National Emergency Date of March 13, 2020	0.89 (0.77, 1.03)	0.85	0.56
On/After US COVID-19 National Emergency Date of March 13, 2020	0.95 (0.82, 1.10)		
	Time-Dependent COVID-19 Covariate Image: Co	Time-Dependent COVID-19 CovariateTreatment HR (95% Cl)Image: CovariateHR (95% Cl)Image: Covariate1.02 (0.89, 1.18)Image: Covariate1.02 (0.89, 1.18)Image: Covariate0.99 (0.77, 1.28)Image: Covariate0.99 (0.77, 1.28)Image: Covariate1.04 (0.87, 1.23)Image: Covariate0.92 (0.83, 1.02)Image: Covariate0.92 (0.83, 1.02)Image: Covariate0.92 (0.83, 1.02)Image: Covariate0.92 (0.82, 1.03)Image: Covariate0.95 (0.82, 1.10)Image: Covariate0.95 (0.82, 1.10)	Time-Dependent COVID-19 CovariateTreatment HR (95% CI)COVID-19 Time- Dependent Covariate P-valueImage: Covid CovariateTreatment HR (95% CI)P-valueImage: Covid Covid CovariateImage: Covid Covariate P-valueImage: Covid Covariate P-valuePrior to the US COVID-19 National Emergency Date of March 13, 20200.99 (0.77, 1.28)0.80Image: Covid Covid Covid Covariate Emergency Date of March 13, 2020Image: Covid Covariate Image: Covid Covariate P-valueImage: Covariate P-valueImage: Covid Covid Covariate Emergency Date of March 13, 2020Image: Covariate Image: Covariate P-valueImage: Covariate P-valueImage: Covariate P-valueImage: Covariate P-valueImage: Covariate P-valueImage: Covariate

eTable 4. Additional post-hoc sensitivity analysis for COVID-19 pandemic*.

* Adjusted Cox model including a time dependent covariate based on a date of 3/13/2020 (time dependent covariate COVID status = no prior to this date, COVID status = yes on/after this date) and time dependent covariate*treatment interaction. The treatment HRs, (95% CI) within each time dependent COVID status are provided as well as the p-values for the time dependent covariate and the interaction.

	First event rate	e per 100 pt-years	
Endpoint	Torsemide	Furosemide	HR (95% CI)*
All-cause hospitalization through 12 months			
Primary ITT Analysis	82.5	92.2	0.88 (0.78, 0.99)
On-treatment at discharge	81.1	91.3	0.87 (0.77, 0.99)
On-treatment at 30 days	75.3	82.8	0.88 (0.77, 1.02)

eTable 5. Additional post-hoc analysis of all-cause hospitalizations through 12 months with a competing risk model.

Hazard ratio (HR), 95% confidence interval (CI) are based on a Fine and Gray competing risk model including the assigned treatment (torsemide vs. furosemide as the reference group) as well as age, sex, baseline ejection fraction (\leq 40%, 41-49%, \geq 50%, unknown), and loop diuretic treatment prior to index hospital admission as covariates. In the competing risk model, subjects who did not have an event and died were considering competing risks (i.e., precludes the occurrence of the event of interest) and have a different censoring code from those who were alive at censoring.

eTable 6. Details of loop diuretic status at study follow-up as acquired via the DCRI Call Center.

Timepoint	Torsemide	Furosemide	All Patients
	(N=1431)	(N=1428)	(N=2859)
Discharge			
Known loop diuretic status	1371 (95.8%)	1384 (96.9%)	2755 (96.4%)
Prescribed assigned loop diuretic [^]	1223 (89.2%)	1268 (91.6%)	2491 (90.4%)
Total daily dose prescribed (furosemide-	79.5 (69.8)	79.1 (56.4)	79.3 (63.3)
Total daily does proscribed (reported) mg	30.7 (34.0)	70 1 (56 4)	50.8 (51.0)
Total daily dose prescribed (reported), rig	39.7 (34.9)	79.1 (30.4)	09.0 (01.0)
	112 (8.2%)	75 (5.4%)	187 (6.8%)
Crossover (between arms)*	96 (7.0%)	53 (3.8%)	149 (5.4%)
	36 (2.6%)	41 (3.0%)	77 (2.8%)
Death as reason for not on assigned loop	3 (0.2%)	4 (0.3%)	7 (0.2%)
Unknown loop diuretic status	57 (4.0%)	40 (2.8%)	97 (3.4%)
Month 1	4.400 (00.40()	4.440 (00.40()	00.40 (00.40()
Month 1 visit record	1423 (99.4%)	1419 (99.4%)	2842 (99.4%)
Known loop diuretic status*	1016 (71.4%)	1031 (72.7%)	2047 (72.0%)
Prescribed assigned loop diuretic^	848 (83.5%)	862 (83.6%)	1710 (83.5%)
l otal daily dose prescribed (furosemide- equivalents), mg	77.8 (74.5)	68.4 (50.2)	73.1 (63.4)
Total daily dose prescribed (reported), mg	38.9 (37.2)	68.4 (50.2)	53.9 (46.7)
Prescribed a different loop diuretic [^]	92 (9.1%)	102 (9.9%)	194 (9.5%)
Crossover (between arms)^	81 (8.0%)	57 (5.5%)	138 (6.7%)
No loop diuretic [^]	76 (7.5%)	67 (6.5%)	143 (7.0%)
Unknown loop diuretic status at conducted visit*	52 (3.7%)	46 (3.2%)	98 (3.4%)
Death as reason for not on assigned loop*	56 (3.9%)	63 (4.4%)	119 (4.2%)
Unknown status due to visit not done*	299 (21.0%)	279 (9.7%)	578 (20.3%)
Month 6			
Month 6 visit record	1290 (90.1%)	1267 (88.7%)	2557 (89.4%)
Known loop diuretic status*	826 (64.0%)	855 (67.5%)	1681 (65.7%)
Prescribed assigned loop diuretic [^]	672 (81.4%)	633 (74.0%)	1305 (77.6%)
Total daily dose prescribed (furosemide-	78.3 (83.4)	66.2 (52.0)	72.3 (69.9)
Total daily daga proparihad (reported) mg	20.1 (41.7)	66.2 (52.0)	ED E (40.0)
Dressribed a different lean divisition	39.1 (41.7)	120 (15 10()	52.5 (49.0)
	<u> </u>	129 (15.1%)	217 (12.9%)
No loop divertie	74 (9.0%)	02 (9.0%)	
NO IOOP diuretic.		93 (10.9%)	159 (9.5%)
Deeth as reason for not on assigned loop*	30 (2.0%) 100 (7.9%)		04 (2.3%)
Linknown status due to visit net dene*	100 (7.0%)	202 (22 0%)	101 (7.170) 621 (24 704)
Month 12	320 (23.4%)	303 (23.9%)	031 (24.7%)
Month 12 visit record	1062 (74 20/)	1060 (74.0%)	2121/74 50/)
Known loop divisitie status*	1002 (14.2%) 600 (65.0%)	672 (62 0%)	2131(74.3%)
Proscribed assigned loop diuratio	510 (73 0%)	477 (70.0%)	097 (72 4%)
Tetal daily daga properibed (furgeomide		4// (/0.9%) 61.6 (45.7)	<u> </u>
equivalents) mg	03.0 (93.1)	01.0 (45.7)	72.0 (74.7)
Total daily dose prescribed (reported) mg	41.5 (46.6)	61 6 (45 7)	51 3 (47 2)
Prescribed a different loop diuretic^	92 (13 3%)	104 (15 5%)	196 (14 4%)
Crossover (between arms)^	67 (9.7%)	71 (10.5%)	138 (10.1%)
No loop diuretic^	88 (12.8%)	92 (13.7%)	180 (13.2%)
Unknown loop diuretic status at conducted visit*	20 (1.9%)	27 (2.5%)	47 (2.2%)
Death as reason for not on assigned loop*	72 (6.8%)	84 (7.9%)	156 (7.3%)
Unknown status due to visit not done*	280 (26.4%)	285 (26.7%)	565 (26.5%)

Denominators: * = patients with a monthly visit record; ^ = patients with a known loop diuretic status; otherwise column header N