Stem Cell Reports

Resource

-OPEN ACCESS

Single-cell transcriptomics reveals correct developmental dynamics and highquality midbrain cell types by improved hESC differentiation

Kaneyasu Nishimura,^{[1](#page-0-0)[,3](#page-0-1)} Shanzheng Yang,^{[1,](#page-0-0)[4](#page-0-2)} Ka Wai Lee,^{1,4} Emilía Sif Ásgrímsdóttir,¹ Kasra Nikouei,¹ Wojciech Paslawski, 2 2 Sabine Gnodde, 1 1 Guochang Lyu, 1 Lijuan Hu, 1 Carmen Saltó, 1 Per Svenningsson, 2 Jens Hjerling-Leffler,^{[1](#page-0-0)} Sten Linnarsson,¹ and Ernest Arenas^{[1,](#page-0-0)[*](#page-0-4)}

1Department of Medical Biochemistry and Biophysics, Karolinska Institutet, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden

2Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska University Hospital, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden

3Present address: Laboratory of Functional Brain Circuit Construction, Graduate School of Brain Science, Doshisha University, Kyoto 610-0394, Japan 4These authors contributed equally

*Correspondence: ernest.arenas@ki.se

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2022.10.016>

SUMMARY

Stem cell technologies provide new opportunities for modeling cells in health and disease and for regenerative medicine. In both cases, developmental knowledge and defining the molecular properties and quality of the cell types is essential. In this study, we identify developmental factors important for the differentiation of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) into functional midbrain dopaminergic (mDA) neurons. We found that laminin-511, and dual canonical and non-canonical WNT activation followed by GSK3b inhibition plus FGF8b, improved midbrain patterning. In addition, neurogenesis and differentiation were enhanced by activation of liver X receptors and inhibition of fibroblast growth factor signaling. Moreover, single-cell RNA-sequencing analysis revealed a developmental dynamics similar to that of the endogenous human ventral midbrain and the emergence of high-quality molecularly defined midbrain cell types, including mDA neurons. Our study identifies novel factors important for human midbrain development and opens the door for a future application of molecularly defined hESC-derived cell types in Parkinson disease.

INTRODUCTION

Midbrain dopaminergic (mDA) neurons are known to control several important functions in humans, such as voluntary movement, cognition, motivation, and reward. Among them, mDA neurons of the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) project to the caudate-putamen and form the nigrostriatal pathway, which controls voluntary movements. The loss of SNc DA neurons and of dopamine in the caudate-putamen is a defining feature of Parkinson disease (PD) [\(Damier et al., 1999](#page-14-0)), a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by paucity of movements, tremor, rigidity, and loss of postural control ([Lees et al., 2009\)](#page-15-0). However, the cause of PD is largely unknown, and current treatments are symptomatic and lose efficiency with time.

Progress in understanding the molecular logic and mechanisms controlling mDA neuron development has led to important developments in different areas of stem cell biology, including PD modeling, drug screening, and personalized therapeutics [\(Caiazza et al., 2020\)](#page-14-1), as well as PD cell replacement therapy [\(Adler et al., 2019;](#page-14-2) [Arenas](#page-14-3) [et al., 2015;](#page-14-3) [Doi et al., 2020;](#page-15-1) [Kikuchi et al., 2017](#page-15-2); [Kim](#page-15-3) [et al., 2021](#page-15-3); [Kirkeby et al., 2017;](#page-15-4) [Moriarty et al., 2022;](#page-15-5) [Schweitzer et al., 2020;](#page-16-0) [Tao et al., 2021](#page-16-1)). mDA neurons are currently thought to derive from radial glia-like progenitor cells at the caudal and ventral end of the midbrain floor plate ([Bonilla et al., 2008](#page-14-4); [Ono et al., 2007](#page-15-6)). This area is controlled by signals derived from two organizing centers, the midbrain-hindbrain boundary (MHB) and the floor plate [\(Wurst et al., 2001\)](#page-16-2). One of the most critical signaling events in mDA neuron development is the activation of the Wnt/b-catenin pathway by Wnt1, a morphogen derived from these two centers [\(Arenas, 2014](#page-14-5)). Wnt1 controls several aspects of mDA neuron development, such as anterior-posterior patterning [\(McMahon and Bradley, 1990;](#page-15-7) [Thomas and Capecchi, 1990](#page-16-3)), the specification of mDA progenitors ([Prakash et al., 2006](#page-16-4)), and the induction of mDA neurogenesis in the midbrain floor plate ([Andersson](#page-14-6) [et al., 2013\)](#page-14-6). Accordingly, activation of this pathway in human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) by glycogen synthase kinase (GSK) 3β inhibitors, such as CHIR99021, has led to significant improvements in protocols for the generation of mDA neurons [\(Denham et al., 2012;](#page-14-7) [Doi et al., 2014;](#page-15-8) [Kim et al., 2021](#page-15-3); [Kirkeby et al., 2012](#page-15-9); [Kriks et al., 2011\)](#page-15-10). However, there are multiple additional developmental factors and signaling pathways known to control mDA neuron development in mice, whose function in human midbrain development remains to be examined. One of them is Wnt5a, a morphogen known to promote midbrain morphogenesis, neurogenesis, and mDA progenitor differentiation in the developing mouse midbrain ([Andersson](#page-14-8) [et al., 2008](#page-14-8); [Castelo-Branco et al., 2003\)](#page-14-9). Wnt5a is known to activate the Wnt/planar cell polarity/Rac1 (Wnt/PCP/ Rac1) pathway in mDA progenitors and neurons [\(Ander](#page-14-8)[sson et al., 2008](#page-14-8); Čajánek et al., 2013; [Parish et al., 2008\)](#page-15-11).

Moreover, analysis of double Wnt1 and Wnt5a knockout mice revealed a complex interplay between these two pathways, which controls diverse aspects of ventral midbrain development ([Andersson et al., 2013;](#page-14-6) [Arenas, 2014](#page-14-5)). Another interesting pathway that remains to be implemented in advanced protocols for mDA differentiation of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) is activation of the nuclear receptors NR1H3 and NR1H2 (also known as liver X receptor α and β , LXRs), which control not only lipid metabolism but also mDA neurogenesis both in vitro and in vivo [\(Sacchetti et al., 2009](#page-16-5); [Theofilopoulos et al., 2013](#page-16-6); [Toledo et al., 2020\)](#page-16-7). Finally, one additional component that we examined is the midbrain-specific extracellular matrix protein, laminin 511 (LN511), which is known to expand hPSC-derived mDA progenitors ([Doi et al., 2014](#page-15-8); [Kirkeby et al., 2017](#page-15-4)) and differentiate neuroepithelial stem cells [\(Zhang et al., 2017\)](#page-16-8), but it is unclear whether full-length LN511 can control progenitor identity and mDA differentiation in hESCs.

Single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) has provided very powerful and unbiased insights into the cell types and gene expression profiles in the developing human ventral midbrain ([Birtele et al., 2020](#page-14-11); [La Manno et al.,](#page-15-12) [2016](#page-15-12)). In our study, we used scRNA-seq data ([La Manno](#page-15-12) [et al., 2016\)](#page-15-12) as a blueprint of the developmental dynamics and the cell types physiologically found in the developing midbrain in vivo, as well as a reference dataset to evaluate cell composition and quality of cell types generated by hESCs during mDA differentiation. Four different types of endogenous human progenitors have been found in the endogenous human midbrain floor plate: the ventral midline progenitor (ProgM), medial floor plate progenitor (ProgFPM), lateral floor plate progenitor (ProgFPL), and neuronal progenitor (NProg). In addition, two radial glialike cells (Rgl1 and Rgl3) were found enriched in the ventral midbrain floor plate. Moreover, four of these cell types (ProgM, ProgFPM, ProgFPL, and Rgl1) are known to selectively express key factors such as the morphogen WNT1, and the transcription factors LMX1A, OTX2, and FOXA2, all of which are required for the specification of mDA progenitors and for mDA neuron development [\(Andersson](#page-14-12) [et al., 2006;](#page-14-12) [Ferri et al., 2007](#page-15-13); [Puelles et al., 2004\)](#page-16-9). Other cells of interest are the neuronal progenitor (NProg) and the first postmitotic cell of the mDA lineage, the medial neuroblast (NbM), both of which express genes either involved in or required for mDA neurogenesis, such as NEUROD1 and NEUROG2 (also known as NGN2) [\(Kele](#page-15-14) [et al., 2006\)](#page-15-14), respectively. The NbM also expresses the nuclear receptor NR4A2 (NURR1), which is required for mDA neuron development (Zetterström et al., 1997). NR4A2 is also expressed in the three embryonic mDA neuron subpopulations (DA0, DA1, and DA2) [\(La Manno et al.,](#page-15-12) 2016), together with tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) and tran-

scription factors required for mDA development, such as Engrailed 1 (EN1) ([Simon et al., 2001\)](#page-16-11), Pre-B-cell leukemia homeobox 1 (PBX1) [\(Villaescusa et al., 2016](#page-16-12)), and Pituitary homeobox 3 (PITX3) ([Nunes et al., 2003\)](#page-15-15). However, despite all this knowledge, the precise cell composition and quality of hESC-derived midbrain cell types remains to be compared with endogenous single-cell standards and is largely undefined.

In this study, we leverage existing human scRNA-seq data and functional analysis of the developing mouse midbrain to explore whether three key developmental components (WNT5A, LXR, and LN511) can improve the generation of mDA neurons from hESCs. We carefully monitor pathway activation by synchronizing gene expression in hESCs differentiating into mDA neurons with that in endogenous human ventral midbrain development. We found that dual activation of Wnt/b-catenin and Wnt/ PCP/Rac1 with CHIR99021 and WNT5A, respectively, together with activation of LXRs with a synthetic ligand and extended use of LN511, improves mDA differentiation of hESCs. Moreover, scRNA-seq allowed us to define the quality of the hESC-derived cells compared with the endogenous human ventral midbrain standards. We found that our human development-based protocol recapitulates key features of human midbrain development, including the generation of functional mDA neurons and cell types similar to those in the developing human ventral midbrain. Our study thus defines the function of developmental factors during human midbrain differentiation of hESCs and shows their implementation improves the composition and quality of hESC-derived mDA cultures.

RESULTS

Efficient induction of midbrain floor plate progenitors by LN511 and dual WNT activation

Human ESCs were cultivated in chemically defined medium with the dual Smad inhibitors LDN193189 and SB431542 to promote neural induction ([Chambers et al.,](#page-14-13) [2009](#page-14-13)), the Shh agonist purmorphamine to ventralize [\(Kriks](#page-15-10) [et al., 2011\)](#page-15-10), and the GSK3β inhibitor CHIR99021 to activate Wnt/βcatenin signaling and achieve caudal midbrain identity [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)A) ([Kim et al., 2021](#page-15-3); [Kirkeby et al., 2012](#page-15-9); [Kriks et al., 2011\)](#page-15-10). ScRNA-seq data of the developing human ventral midbrain was used to monitor both midbrain patterning and the emergence of midbrain progenitor markers (LMX1A, FOXA2, and OTX2), which are expressed by four different cell types, Rgl1, ProgM, ProgFPL, and ProgFPM ([Figure 1B](#page-2-0)) [\(La Manno et al., 2016](#page-15-12)). qPCR analysis at day 11 ([Figures 1](#page-2-0)C and [S1](#page-14-14)A) showed that 2.0-3.5 μ M CHIR99021 induced the expression of hindbrain marker genes (FGF8B, GBX2, and HOXA2), while ventral midbrain

(legend on next page)

genes (LMX1A, FOXA2, OTX2, and CORIN) were upregulated by 1.0-1.5 μM CHIR99021. Moreover, 1.5-2.0 μM CHIR99021 increased the expression of caudal-ventral midbrain genes (MSX1, WNT5A, WNT1, and EN1). Since mDA progenitors are enriched in the caudal midbrain ([Kir](#page-15-4)keby et al., 2017), we chose 1.5 μ M CHIR99021 as a baseline to examine the possible function of factors whose function in early mDA progenitor patterning has not yet been fully established, such as LN511 and WNT5A.

We first focused on the extracellular matrix protein LN511, which is enriched in the developing human ventral midbrain and is known to promote the differentiation and survival of mDA neurons ([Zhang et al., 2017](#page-16-8)). hESCs were cultivated on good manufacturing practice (GMP)-grade LN111 or LN511, until day 11. In both cases $\approx 70\%$ of the cells were LMX1A⁺;FOXA2⁺/DAPI⁺ cells and LMX1A⁺;OTX2⁺/DAPI⁺ ([Figures 1](#page-2-0)D-1F) and the pluripotent stem cell markers NANOG and POU5F1 drastically decreased [\(Figure S1](#page-14-14)B). However, LN511 but not LN111 decreased the expression of hindbrain markers such as GBX2 and HOXA2 [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)G). In addition, a greater yield of mDA progenitor cells was obtained with LN511 than on LN111 [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)H). Thus, our results show that LN511 efficiently expands midbrain progenitors and prevents the expression of hindbrain patterning genes.

We next investigated the function of WNT5A, a morphogen co-expressed with WNT1 in the four candidate human ventral midbrain DA progenitors (Rgl1, ProgM, ProgFPM, and ProgFPL) [\(La Manno et al., 2016\)](#page-15-12). Since Wnt1 and Wnt5a are known to cooperate to promote mDA neuron development in vitro and in vivo [\(Andersson](#page-14-6) [et al., 2013;](#page-14-6) [Castelo-Branco et al., 2003\)](#page-14-9), we performed a dual WNT activation of hESCs with CHIR99021 and WNT5A from day 7 to day 11 and then examined patterning markers. qPCR analysis at day 11 revealed a significant decrease in the expression of FOXG1, NKX2.1,

GBX2, and HOXA2 and a significant increase in LMX1A and EN1 after treatment with WNT5A (100 ng/mL) ([Fig](#page-2-0)[ure 1I](#page-2-0)). Moreover, WNT5A also increased the proportion of LMX1A⁺;FOXA2⁺/DAPI⁺ and LMX1A⁺;OTX2⁺/DAPI⁺ cells in a significant manner, from $72.7\% \pm 1.2\%$ to $86.1\% \pm 0.7\%$ and from $73.5\% \pm 1.7\%$ to $84.6\% \pm 1.7\%$, respectively [\(Figures 1J](#page-2-0)–1L). This regulation was specific, as it did not change LMX1A⁺;CORIN⁺ immunostainig or the proportion of CORIN⁺/DAPI⁺ cells ([Figures S1C](#page-14-14) and S1D), two markers expressed in ProgM [\(La Manno et al.,](#page-15-12) [2016](#page-15-12)). These results indicate that WNT5A, in combination with CHIR99021 (1.5 μ M), improves the induction of mDA progenitors compared with CHIR99021 alone at the expense of more anterior and posterior fates. Moreover, comparable midbrain patterning was confirmed in three different hESC lines, HS401, HS975, and HS980 ([Figures S1](#page-14-14)E–S1H), indicating that the effects of dual WNT activation are both specific and robust.

Specification of caudal-ventral midbrain domain by CHIR99021 and fibroblast growth factor 8b

It is known that mDA neurons originate in the caudal floor plate domain under the influence of Wnt1, a morphogen strongly expressed in the midbrain side of the MHB and in the two bands that define the lateral floor plate [\(Prakash](#page-16-4) [et al., 2006](#page-16-4); [Wurst et al., 2001](#page-16-2)). In addition, the hindbrain side of the MHB expresses fibroblast growth factor 8b (FGF8b), a factor also known to induce mDA neurons ([Ye](#page-16-13) [et al., 1998\)](#page-16-13). We therefore treated our cultures with both CHIR99021 and FGF8b in the presence of purmorphamine, to mimic the morphogens controlling the midbrain floor plate. To estimate the resulting strength of Wnt signaling during CHIR99021, purmorphamine, and FGF8b treatment, we examined the expression of endogenous canonical and non-canonical Wnts [\(Figure 2A](#page-4-0)). As expected, activation of canonical Wnt/ β -catenin signaling with

Figure 1. Induction of floor plate progenitors from hESCs at day 11 using a new developmental-based protocol

(A) Schematic of the differentiation protocol for mDA neurons.

(D and E) Immunostaining of LMX1A⁺;FOXA2⁺ cells (D) and LMX1A⁺;OTX2⁺ cells (E) at day 11. Scale bar, 200 µm.

(F) Quantification of LMX1A⁺;FOXA2⁺ cells and LMX1A⁺;OTX2⁺ cells. NS, not significant (n = 4 independent experiments).

(G) qPCR analysis of GBX2 and HOXA2 in cells differentiating on either LN111 or LN511. *p < 0.05 versus D0; \uparrow p < 0.05 versus LN511 (n = 6 independent experiments).

(H) Cell yield by LN111 or LN511 at day 11. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 versus D0; \uparrow p < 0.05 versus LN511 (n = 6 independent experiments).

(I) qPCR analysis of differentiated cells in the presence/absence of WNT5A at day11 (n = 5–9 independent experiments). *p < 0.05 versus $WNT5A(-)$ condition.

(J and K) Immunostaining of LMX1A⁺; FOXA2⁺ cells (J) and LMX1A⁺;OTX2⁺ cells (K) at day 11. Scale bar, 200 µm.

(L) Quantification of LMX1A⁺;FOXA2⁺ cells and LMX1A⁺;OTX2⁺ cells. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 versus WNT5A(-) condition (n = 4 independent experiments).

⁽B) Violin plots of LMX1A, FOXA2, and OTX2 generated from scRNA-seq data of developing human ventral midbrain shown across corresponding cell types. Right axis shows absolute molecular counts. Gray, enriched over baseline with posterior probability >99.8%. For cell type nomenclature, see [La Manno et al. \(2016\).](#page-15-12)

⁽C) Gene expression profile of differentiated cells according to CHIR99021 concentration at day 11.

(legend on next page)

increasing concentrations of CHIR99021 downregulated the expression of WNT1 and WNT7A, but did not affect the expression of WNT5A and WNT11. Notably, 7.5 μ M CHIR99021 did not change the proportion of LMX1A⁺;FOXA2⁺/DAPI⁺ cells and LMX1A⁺;OTX2⁺/DAPI⁺ cells ([Figures S2](#page-14-14)A–S2C), but increased the expression of $EN1$ ([Figure 2B](#page-4-0)) and the proportion of $EN1^{+}/DAPI^{+}$ cells compared with 1.5 µM CHIR99021 [\(Figures 2C](#page-4-0) and 2D). Moreover, rostral and/or lateral midbrain markers such as NKX2.1, BARHL1, PITX2, and SIX3 decreased with the concentration of CHIR99021 ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)E). Thus our results indicate that the combination of 7.5 μ M CHIR99021 and FGF8b from day 11 to day 16 does not only effectively increase caudal midbrain gene expression, but decreases rostral and lateral gene expression.

We also investigated whether combined 7.5 μ M CHIR99021 and FGF8b promotes lineage progression from proliferative to neurogenic progenitors. We therefore examined the presence of cells expressing CORIN, an early midbrain gene selectively expressed in Rgl3 and ProgM cell types, and NGN2, a gene selectively expressed in two cell types undergoing neurogenesis, NProg and NbM ([Fig](#page-4-0)[ure 2F](#page-4-0)). Analysis of hESCs treated with 7.5 μ M CHIR99021 days 11 to 16 revealed a decrease in CORIN⁺ cells, and an increase in NGN2⁺ cells, compared with 1.5 μ M CHIR99021 ([Figures 2](#page-4-0)G–2I). In addition, we also observed a very low proportion of LMX1A⁺;CORIN+/ DAPI⁺ ProgM cells and a higher proportion of LMX1A⁺;NGN2⁺/DAPI⁺ cells in three different hESC lines treated with 7.5 μM CHIR99021 [\(Figures S2](#page-14-14)D–S2H). Thus, our results indicate that treatment with $7.5 \mu M$ CHIR99021 and FGF8b promotes cell lineage progression toward neurogenesis.

Promotion of neurogenesis in hESC-derived progenitors by LXR activation

On day 16, our cultures contained abundant LMX1A⁺, FOXA2⁺, and CORIN⁻ cells, indicating that most of the cells are ProgFPL and ProgFPM. On the other hand, we observed a growing number of $NGN2^+$ cells within the

LMX1A+ and FOXA2+ population, suggestive of an emerging NProg population.We thus examined whether neurogenesis could be enhanced by the synthetic LXR ligand, GW3965. Treatment with GW3965 (5–10 μ M, days 16–21) upregulated the expression of the LXR target genes, SREBF1 [\(Fig](#page-6-0)[ure 3A](#page-6-0)) and ABCA1 [\(Figure 3B](#page-6-0)), indicating effective activation of LXRs. In addition we monitored the expression of SOX2, a neural progenitor marker, and of doublecortin (DCX), a marker expressed during neurogenesis in NProg and in all postmitotic neuroblasts and neurons ([Figure 3C](#page-6-0)). We found that $10 \mu M$ GW3965 significantly decreased the proportion of SOX2+ progenitors, and increased the propor-tion of DCX⁺ cells ([Figures 3D](#page-6-0)-3F). Moreover, 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) pulse-chase experiments revealed an increase in neurogenesis as shown by the increased proportion of EdU and DCX double-positive cells in the culture by 10μ M GW3965 at day 21 ([Figures 3](#page-6-0)G and 3H). However, at this stage, immature cells including progenitors and $NGN2^+$ cells were still present in the cultures [\(Figure 3](#page-6-0)I) and TH+ neurons had not yet emerged.

Maturation of hESC-derived neurons by blocking of FGF signaling

FGF receptors 1–3 are predominantly expressed in immature $SOX2^+$ cell types in the developing human ventral midbrain, such as radial glia and progenitors ([Figure 4](#page-7-0)A). Since FGF signaling is important to maintain and expand neural precursors [\(Elkabetz et al., 2008](#page-15-16); [Koch et al., 2009\)](#page-15-17), we speculated that inhibition of FGF signaling may limit the growth of progenitors and promote their differentiation. To inhibit FGF signaling, cultures were treated from day 21 to day 28 with 1μ M PD0325901, a MEK/ERK pathway inhibitor, and $5 \mu M$ SU5402, an FGF receptor inhibitor. We found that treatment with PD0325901 and SU5402 drastically reduced SOX2⁺ cell clusters and the number of phospho-histone H3 $(pH3)^+$ cells at day 28 [\(Figure 4](#page-7-0)B). At this stage, some cells exhibited neuronal morphology and expressed TH together with either LMX1A or FOXA2, suggesting the emergence of the dopaminergic DA0 neuronal population ([Figure 4C](#page-7-0)). Markers identified at the single-cell level in SNc neurons

Figure 2. Analysis of floor plate patterning in hESC-derived neural progenitors at day 16

(A) qPCR analysis of canonical WNT pathway and non-canonical WNT pathway at day 16. $*p < 0.05$ versus D11; $jp < 0.01$, $\text{min} < 0.001$ versus 1.5 μ M CHIR99021 (n = 6 independent experiments).

(B) qPCR analysis of EN1 at day 16. ***p < 0.001 versus D11; $\dagger \dagger p$ < 0.01 versus 1.5 µM CHIR99021 (n = 6 independent experiments).

(C) Immunostaining of LMX1A⁺;EN1⁺ cells. Scale bar, 100 μ m.

(G) Immunostaining of CORIN⁺;LMX1A⁺ cells and NGN2⁺;LMX1A⁺ cells. Scale bar, 100 µm.

(H and I) Quantification of CORIN⁺/DAPI⁺ cells (H) and NGN2⁺/DAPI⁺ cells (I). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 versus 1.5 µM CHIR99021 (n = 3 independent experiments).

⁽D) Quantification of $EN1⁺/DAPI⁺$ cells. *p < 0.05 versus 1.5 µM CHIR99021 (n = 3 independent experiments).

⁽E) qPCR analysis of rostral and lateral midbrain markers at day 16. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 versus D11; \uparrow p < 0.05 versus 1.5 µM CHIR99021 (n = 6 independent experiments).

⁽F) Violin plots of CORIN, LMX1A, and NGN2 generated from scRNA-seq data of developing human ventral midbrain.

Figure 3. Analysis of hESC differentiation and neurogenesis at day 21

qPCR analysis of SREBF1 (A) and ABCA1 (B) at day 21. $***$ p < 0.001 versus GW3965($-$) condition. $\dagger\dagger\dagger$ p < 0.001 versus day 16 (n = 6 independent experiments).

(C) Violin plots of SOX2 and DCX generated from scRNA-seq data of developing human ventral midbrain.

(D) Immunostaining of $SOX2^+$; DCX⁺ cells at day 21. Scale bar, 100 μ m.

(E and F) Quantification of SOX2⁺ cells (E) and DCX⁺ cells (F). $*$ $*$ p < 0.01 and $**$ $*$ p < 0.001 versus GW3965 (-) condition (n = 8 independent experiments).

(G) Immunostaining of SOX2⁺;DCX⁺ cells at day 16 and EdU⁺; DCX⁺ cells at day 21, after GW3965 treatment (day 16-21). EdU pulse was performed for 4 h at day 16 and EdU detection was performed at day 21. Scale bar, 100 µm.

(H) Quantification of EdU⁺;DCX⁺/DAPI⁺ cells at day 21. **p < 0.01 versus GW3965(-) condition (n = 6 independent experiments).

(I) Immunostaining of LMX1A⁺ and NGN2⁺ cells at day 21. Scale bar, 100 μ m.

and in embryonic dopaminergic neurons type 2 (DA2) ([La](#page-15-12) [Manno et al., 2016](#page-15-12)), such as *LMO3* and *ALDH1A1* [\(Fig](#page-7-0)[ure 4](#page-7-0)D), were examined by qPCR and were found significantly increased at day 28 after treatment with PD0325901 and SU5402 ([Figures 4E](#page-7-0) and 4F). These results indicate that blocking FGF signaling promotes the maturation of mDA neurons as shown by the increase in DA subtype marker expression. In agreement with these findings, a time-course analysis of gene expression by qPCR confirmed that the

expression of progenitor markers (SOX2, NEUROG2, LMX1A, and FOXA2) peak at day 21 and decrease at day 28, while markers of postmitotic cells (DCX and TUBB3) and of mDA neurons (NR4A2 and TH) peak at day 28 and remain stable thereafter ([Figures S3](#page-14-14)A and S3B). Moreover, markers and transcription factors expressed at the singlecell level in mDA neurons (TH, KNCJ6, CALB1, ERBB4, and PBX1) or selectively in DA2 neurons (LMO3, DEAF1, POU6F1, and DKK3) ([La Manno et al., 2016\)](#page-15-12), increase at

Figure 4. Analysis of postmitotic cells at day 28 and mDA neuron differentiation

(A) Violin plots of SOX2 and FGFRs generated from scRNA-seq data of developing human ventral midbrain.

(B) Immunostaining of DCX⁺ and SOX2⁺ cells or pH3⁺ cells at day 28. Scale bars, 200 μ m (upper panels) and 400 μ m (lower panels).

(C) Immunostaining of LMX1A⁺;TH⁺ cells and FOXA2⁺;TH⁺ cells at day 28. Scale bars, 100 μ m.

(D) Violin plots of TH, LMO3, and ALDH1A1 generated from scRNA-seq data of developing human ventral midbrain.

(E and F) qPCR analysis of LMO3 (E) and ALDH1A1 (F) at day 28. *p < 0.05 versus vehicle (n = 3 independent experiments).

(G) Gene expression analysis during differentiation as assessed by qPCR. Values are color coded and normalized to the sample with highest expression for each gene ($n = 2-3$ independent experiments).

(legend on next page)

days 42 and 56, suggesting a stable generation of postmitotic mDA neurons [\(Figure 4](#page-7-0)G).

Analysis of hESC-derived cell types by scRNA-seq

To further examine the quality of the hESC-derived midbrain cell types, we performed single-cell transcriptomics profiling of H9 and HS980 cells at days 0, 11, 16, 21, and 28 of differentiation. The quality of the cells was monitored by immunocytochemistry and found to be comparable for both cell lines at day 16 ([Figures S4A](#page-14-14) and S4B).

After filtering, 11,681 high-quality cells were included in our analysis. The mean UMIs of cells at different time points of differentiation ranged between 6,753 and 15,482, and their mean transcripts between 2,490 and 3,931 ([Figure S5A](#page-14-14)). Both H9 and HS980 contributed similar proportions of cells to the time points and clusters ([Figures S5A](#page-14-14) and S5B). Dimensionality reduction and Louvain clustering with Cytograph revealed 38 clusters ([Fig](#page-8-0)[ure 5](#page-8-0)A). Cluster 1 was formed by undifferentiated hESCs at days 0 and 11, while clusters 0 and 2 to 11 were mainly contributed by cells from day 11 to 16, which have a higher proliferation index and are enriched in progenitor markers such as SOX2 [\(Figures 5B](#page-8-0), [S5](#page-14-14)C and S5D). Clusters 12 to 37 were mainly contributed by days 21 and 28 and contained all the cells enriched in the expression of neuronal markers such as STMN2 and MYTL1 [\(Figures 5B](#page-8-0), 5C and [S5](#page-14-14)E).

hESC derivatives are comparable to endogenous midbrain standards

Logistic regression was next used on scRNA-seq data to determine the probability of each of the hESC-derived cells being any of the endogenous human ventral midbrain tissue reference cell types as defined by [La Manno et al. \(2016\)](#page-15-12) ([Figures S5](#page-14-14)F–S5H). In addition, we also used a reference dataset of vascular leptomeningeal cells (VLMCs) [\(Marques et al.,](#page-15-18) [2018\)](#page-15-18) because this cell type was not previously found in the

endogenous developing human ventral midbrain in vivo [\(La](#page-15-12) [Manno et al., 2016\)](#page-15-12), but has been detected in hESC-derived midbrain cultures (Tiklová [et al., 2019\)](#page-16-14). We found that our human development-based hESC differentiation protocol generates cells with low or extremely low probability of being cell types defined by non-ventral midbrain standards, such as hindbrain serotonin neurons or VLMCs, respectively [\(Fig](#page-8-0)[ure 5D](#page-8-0)). Consistent with these data, double COL1A1⁺ and PDGFRA+ VLMCs were not detected at day 16. However, early CHIR99021 treatment (days 0–2) and removal of high CHIR99021 (7.5 μ M) in the presence of FGF8b (days 11– 16) induced the emergence of strongly double-positive COL1A1+ and PDGFRA+ cells [\(Figure S6\)](#page-14-14). These results show that VLMCs are not present in our standard midbrain culture conditions, but they can emerge by premature CHIR99021 treatment in the absence of CHIR99021 boost.

We also found that cells generated in our cultures had high probability of being cell types defined by the in vivo human ventral midbrain standards [\(Figure 5](#page-8-0)D). The most abundant progenitor-like cell types were ProgM and progenitor floor plate (ProgFP), identified at day 16. Instead, postmitotic cell types such as oculomotor and trochlear neurons (OMTNs) were found at day 21, and DA at day 28. Notably, other neural cell types, such as oligodendrocyte progenitors or Rgl2, both found in the basal plate [\(La](#page-15-12) [Manno et al., 2016](#page-15-12)), were not identified ([Figure 5](#page-8-0)D). These findings indicate that the transcriptomic profiles of the hESC-derived cell types are comparable to those of cells in the most ventral aspect of the human midbrain in vivo.

Improved quality and developmental dynamics of hESC-derived midbrain cell types compared with previous hESC differentiation conditions

Next we used our reference dataset to predict cell types from a previous scRNA-seq experiment ([La Manno et al., 2016\)](#page-15-12) in which H9 and HS401 hESC lines were differentiated for 12,

(A–C) UMAP projection of hESC-derived cells after quality filtering showing cells colored by their Louvain cluster's membership (A), day of differentiation and analysis (B), and their log-library size normalized expression of STMN2 (C).

(D and E) Wheel plot showing hESC-derived midbrain cell types (dots) generated by the protocol developed in this study (D) or the protocol by [Kriks et al. \(2011\)](#page-15-10) (E), compared by logistic regression to endogenous standards (wheel): human embryonic ventral midbrain cell types from [La Manno et al. \(2016\)](#page-15-12) and vascular leptomeningeal cells from [Marques et al. \(2018\).](#page-15-18)

(F) Heatmap showing genes with highest coefficients from logistic regression for progenitor midline (ProgM), progenitor floor plate (ProgFP), dopaminergic neurons (DA), andoculomotor and trochlear nucleus (OMTNs). Log-library size normalized gene expression of top-similar hESCs to in vivo counterparts derived from current protocol and [Kriks et al. \(2011\)](#page-15-10) are shown. Permutation test for each reference cell type was performed with H1: The sum of average expression of genes in the heatmap. Permutation was performed 1,000 times on normalized counts, p values are shown.

(G) Track plot showing log-library size normalized gene expression of selected genes of cells at days 21 and 28 of differentiation (top). Heatmap showing similarities between differentiated cells and reference endogenous cell types from [La Manno et al. \(2016\)](#page-15-12) and [Marques](#page-15-18) [et al. \(2018\),](#page-15-18) scoring using logistic regression (bottom).

(H) Dot plot showing clusters from days 21 and 28 of differentiation with average similarity scores, as determined by logistic regression, between 0.5 and 0.79 (orange) or between 0.8 and 1.0 (purple). Only clusters with similarity scores >0.5 are shown.

(I) Violin plot showing genes enriched in cluster 24 and 35. Log-library size normalized gene expression is shown.

 $\pmb{0}$

 $\overline{28}$

 $\overline{35}$

 42

Days in vitro

 $\overline{56}$

200

100

sEPSC amplitude (pA)

50

150

 0.0

 O

(legend on next page)

KCI

 $0.0\,$

Baseline

18, and 35 days into mDA neurons using the protocol by [Kriks et al. \(2011\)](#page-15-10) ([Figure 5](#page-8-0)E). Comparison of cells generated by the Kriks protocol to those generated by our new protocol, with improved developmental control, revealed four important differences. First, abundant basal plate progenitors but not midline progenitors were generated by the Kriks protocol (2011), while our new protocol generated more midline progenitors, reflecting an improved ventralization ([Figures 5](#page-8-0)D and 5E). Second, a significant enrichment in the expression of genes defining endogenous human ProgM, such as CORIN, SLIT2, SULF1, and ALCAM, was found in the new compared with the old protocol [\(Fig](#page-8-0)[ure 5F](#page-8-0)). Third, mDA neurons appeared at day 35 in the old protocol, but they were already abundant at day 28 in our new protocol [\(Figures 5D](#page-8-0) and 5E). Fourth, neurons of higher quality were found in the new protocol compared with the old one, as assessed by their similarity to OMTN and DA standards (dots near the vertices in the wheel/polygon plot, [Figures 5](#page-8-0)D and 5E). Moreover, genes typically expressed by OMTNs (i.e., ISL1, NEFL, and PHOX2A), or DA neurons (i.e., NR4A2, EN1, and TH) were significantly enriched in cells generated by the new protocol compared with the old one ([Figure 5F](#page-8-0)). Combined, these results sug-gest that our new protocol, compared with that by [Kriks](#page-15-10) [et al. \(2011\)](#page-15-10), generates cultures with improved cell composition and cell types of a quality closer to that in the human ventral midbrain in vivo.

Correct developmental dynamics and high-quality cell types in hESC-derived cultures compared with endogenous midbrain standards

We first found that hESC-derived clusters enriched in day 21 and 28 cells (c12-37, [Figures 5G](#page-8-0) and [S5](#page-14-14)I) are similar to several cell types in the endogenous human ventral midbrain from week 6 to 11 ([La Manno et al., 2016](#page-15-12)). At day 21, clusters expressing high levels of FABP7 (c12-16) contained cells that resembled either neuronal progenitors expressing the proneural genes NHLH1 and NHLH2 (NProg, c12-13) or both endogenous floor plate and midline progenitors (ProgFP and ProgM, c15-16), or both floor plate or basal plate progenitors (c14). Notably, hESC-derived postmitotic cells expressing STMN2 and MYT1L were also found at day 21 (clusters 29, 32–35, [Figures 5](#page-8-0)A–5C). These clusters resembled mediolateral neuroblasts (NbML1, c33) or OMTNs (c35) [\(Fig](#page-8-0)[ure 5G](#page-8-0)), both of which appear early in the human ventral midbrain in vivo [\(La Manno et al., 2016\)](#page-15-12).

At day 28, we also observed a cluster with progenitors similar to endogenous basal plate progenitors (ProgBP, cluster 37), but most of the progenitor cells resembled midline progenitors (ProgM, clusters 18–21), with cluster 21 exhibiting additional features of Rgl3 identity ([Figure 5G](#page-8-0)). We found cells expressing neurogenesis markers such as NHLH1 and NHLH2 (c22), and markers such as SLC18A2 and/or SLC17A6 (clusters 26–28 and 30–31), expressed by nascent mDA neurons ([Kouwenhoven et al., 2020](#page-15-19)). Moreover, postmitotic day 28 cells resembled endogenous human week 6 to 11 red nucleus neurons (RN, c23) and dopaminergic neurons (hDA, c24). Thus, our results indicate that the most prominent progenitors derived from hESCs are the floor plate and midline progenitors at day 21, followed by the midline progenitor at day 28. Notably, neurons are generated with a developmental timing similar to that of their in vivo counterparts, with OMTNs being detected at week 7 in vivo and day 21 in vitro, followed by DA neurons at week 8 in vivo and day 28 in vitro.

Next, we scored the degree of similarity between hESCderived cells and the endogenous standards. Cells reaching the highest degree of similarity (score 0.8–1) in ascending order were as follows: ProgFP (c15,16), ProgM (c18-21), mDA neurons (c24), and OMTNs (c35) ([Figure 5H](#page-8-0)). Notably, 50% of the cells in c24 and 96% in c35 highly resembled endogenous mDA neurons (mean similarity score of 0.91) and OMTNs (0.98), respectively ([Figure 5H](#page-8-0)). Accordingly, c35 was found selectively enriched in genes that define OMTNs

(A and B) Immunocytochemical staining of FOXA2⁺; TH⁺ cells as well as NURR1⁺; TH⁺ cells (A), as well as PITX3⁺; TH⁺ cells, LM03⁺; TH⁺ cells, ALDH1A1⁺; TH⁺ cells, or GIRK2⁺/TH⁺ cells (B) at day 56. Scale bar, 200 μ m (A) and 25 μ m (B).

(C–K) Electrophysiological analysis of cells from days 56 to 73. (C and D) Decrease of resting membrane potential (C) (n = 55 cells) and reduction in input resistance (D) ($n = 46$ cells) with increasing days in vitro (DIV).

(E and F) Percentage of cell population exhibiting the ability to generate the different spiking types in response to square current pulses as seen in example traces (F) (56–59 DIV, n = 12 cells; 62–63 DIV, n = 11 cells; 64–67 DIV, n = 14 cells; and 71–73 DIV, n = 14 cells). (G) Example trace of a spontaneous active neuron.

(H) Percentage of cells spontaneously spiking (56-59 DIV, n = 3 cells; 62-63 DIV, n = 8 cells; 64-67 DIV, n = 12 cells; and 71-73 DIV, n = 13 cells).

(I) Spontaneous action potential (AP) frequency of cells that were spontaneously spiking ($n = 12$ cells).

(J) Example trace of a cell receiving two spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs).

(K) Cumulative distribution of sEPSC amplitudes in each cell population (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: 56–59 DIV versus 62–67 DIV p value = 0.243, 56–59 DIV versus 71–73 DIV p value = 7.97 \times 10⁻⁴, 62–67 DIV versus 71–73 DIV p value = 9.67 \times 10⁻⁴).

(L and M) HPLC analysis of whole cell dopamine content from day 28–56 (L) and dopamine release at day 56 (M). $*p < 0.05$, $*p < 0.01$ (n = 4 independent experiments, error bars are SEM).

such as ISL1 and DLC1, while c24 was enriched in DA neuron genes, including NR4A2, TH, and PITX3 ([Figure 5I](#page-8-0)). Combined, these results indicate that our current differentiation protocol sequentially generates good-quality progenitors (floor plate followed by midline progenitors), and very high-quality neurons (OMTNs followed by mDA neurons), closely resembling endogenous midbrain development.

hESC-derived mDA neurons become mature functional neurons

We first explored whether the mDA neurons generated in vitro at day 28 can develop into mature mDA neurons. Analysis of marker expression at day 56 revealed that TH⁺ neurons are FOXA2⁺ and NURR1⁺ [\(Figure 6A](#page-10-0)). Moreover, some of the TH⁺ neurons were positive for markers associated with mature mDA neurons, such as PITX3⁺, LMO3⁺, ALDH1A1⁺, or GIRK2⁺ ([Figure 6](#page-10-0)B), indicating that hESCderived mDA neurons adopt mature midbrain phenotypes.

We next performed electrophysiological recordings to examine whether these cells can mature into functional neurons. Analysis of the membrane resting potential and input resistance revealed a progressive decrease of these two parameters from day 56 until day 73, indicating further maturation during this period ([Figures 6](#page-10-0)C and 6D). Similarly, currentclamp recordings from day 56 to 73 revealed improved firing capacity upon current injections ([Figures 6](#page-10-0)E and 6F). Notably, the proportion of neurons responding with multiple or single action potentials increased from 42% at days 56 to 59, to close to 100% at days 71 to 73. Moreover, the proportion of neurons exhibiting spontaneous electrical activity and action potentials also increased from day 56 to 73 [\(Figures 6](#page-10-0)G–6I). In addition, the patched cells received spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) from days 56 to 73 [\(Figures 6](#page-10-0)J and 6K), suggesting the establishment of synaptic connections. Combined, these results indicate that our human development-based differentiation protocol gives rise to neurons that progressively mature in culture and become electrophysiologically active by days 71 to 73. Finally, we examined whether these neurons also acquire the capacity to synthesize and release the neurotransmitter dopamine [\(Figures 6L](#page-10-0) and 6M). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) revealed very low levels of dopamine content at day 28, an increase at days 35 and 42, and high levels at day 56, whereas dopamine release was only detected at day 56. Thus, the results above show that hESCderivedmDA neurons progressively acquire functional properties of mature mDA neurons in vitro.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we address the challenge of achieving hESCderived products with sufficient molecular definition and similarity to endogenous standards with the goal of enabling their future development for drug development and cell replacement therapy. We show that by modulating different pathways in a time-controlled manner in hESCs, it is possible to reproduce key aspects of the developmental dynamics of the ventral midbrain and improve midbrain patterning as well as mDA neurogenesis and differentiation. ScRNA-seq analysis revealed sequential generation of hESC-derived ventral midbrain progenitors and neurons with single-cell transcriptomics profiles similar to those found in the endogenous human midbrain. Moreover, these profiles were of higher quality than those obtained with a previous mDA differentiation protocol. In addition, we find that hESC-derived mDA neurons can mature and become functional in vitro. Indeed, mDA neurons appear by day 28, express mature mDA markers by days 42 to 56, acquire the capacity to release dopamine by day 56, and become electrophysiologically active neurons by day 73.

Our work additionally defines the function of a number of key developmental pathways, which have not been previously examined or used to differentiate hESCs into mDA neurons. Factors such as full-length LN511, the morphogen WNT5A, and the combination of FGF8b and high CHIR99021 (7.5 μ M) were found to affect anteriorposterior patterning. For instance, LN511 and WNT5A decreased the expression of hindbrain genes such as GBX2 and HOXA2 at day 11. Moreover, WNT5A as well as high CHIR99021 combined with FGF8b, decreased the expression of anterior and lateral genes (FOXG1, BARHL1, PITX2, SIX3, and NKX2.1), and increased expression of midbrain genes (LMX1A and EN1) at days 11 and 16. In addition, cell types such as VLMCs, absent in our developmental standards and in other hESC-derived ventral midbrain cultures ([Kim et al., 2021\)](#page-15-3), were not detected during mDA differentiation. Interestingly, a modified protocol involving early CHIR99021 administration (day 0–11) and FGF8 treatment in the absence of CHIR99021 boost (day 9– 16) gave rise to VLMCs, suggesting this cell type can emerge in specific culture conditions, as previously reported [\(Ti](#page-16-15)klová [et al., 2020](#page-16-15)).

We also found that sequential administration of the small molecules CHIR99021 (7.5 μ M) and GW3965, to activate Wnt/β-catenin and LXR signaling, respectively, control different aspects of neurogenesis. Indeed, high CHIR99021 increased the number of NGN2⁺ cells at day 16, a gene required for mDA neurogenesis ([Kele et al.,](#page-15-14) [2006\)](#page-15-14), while GW3965 improved neurogenesis (EdU⁺; DCX^{+} cells) and reduced the number of $SOX2^{+}$ cells at day 21. In addition, we found that treatment with the FGF receptor inhibitor, SU5402, and the MEK/ERK inhibitor, PD0325901, further reduced proliferation and SOX2⁺ cells at day 28. At this stage, abundant TH^+ neurons expressed midbrain markers such as LMX1A, FOXA2,

NURR1, PITX3, LMO3, ALDH1A1, and GIRK2 (KCNJ6), indicating efficient mDA neurogenesis.

Single-cell transcriptomics allowed us to perform a detailed analysis of the molecular cell types generated in our hESC cultures compared with endogenous human ventral midbrain standards ([La Manno et al., 2016](#page-15-12)). This comparison enabled us to define the identity of the cell types generated in vitro as well as their quality and their developmental dynamics. Analysis of hESC-derived cultures revealed the presence of good-quality progenitors, which followed a temporal sequence of events similar to that found *in vivo*. The floor plate progenitor (c14-16) was enriched at day 21 and was nearly absent at day 28, whereas the midline progenitor (c18-21) was present at day 21 and is abundant at day 28. Notably we found that the identity of progenitors at day 21 was less well defined than at day 28. Indeed, day 21 progenitor clusters contained two types of progenitors, floor plate and basal plate (c14) or floor plate and midline (c15, 16). In addition, some progenitors in these clusters partially shared the two identities, suggesting the presence of cell transitions or earlier progenitors that are not present in week 6 to 11 developmental standards and are thus only partially recognized. Instead, day 28 clusters contained only one type of progenitor, either midline (c18-21) or basal plate progenitors (c37), suggesting that they have refined their identities and are then recognized by our developmental standards.

As expected by the presence of basal plate, floor plate, and midline progenitors, our cultures give rise to diverse postmitotic cell types found in the endogenous human ventral midbrain during weeks 6 to 11. Interestingly these cells also emerge following a specific developmental sequence of events, with mediolateral neuroblast 1 (NbML1, c33), and OMTNs (c35) emerging at day 21, followed by red nucleus (c23) and mDA neurons (c24) at day 28. Notably, the quality of mDA neurons was very high already at day 28, with 50% of the hESC-derived mDA neurons showing a transcriptome 91% similar to that of endogenous embryonic human mDA neurons. These results show that our human development-based differentiation protocol, by improving developmental control of hESCs during mDA differentiation, recapitulates multiple aspects of human ventral midbrain development, including the temporal axis and the generation of high-quality prototypical cell types as defined by scRNA-seq analysis. We therefore suggest the current differentiation paradigm may be useful to model and study human mDA neuron development and functionality in vitro. Moreover, since human ventral midbrain tissue has been successfully used for cell replacement therapy in PD patients ([Kefalopoulou et al.,](#page-15-20) [2014](#page-15-20); [Li et al., 2016;](#page-15-21) [Lindvall et al., 1990\)](#page-15-22), and hPSCderived DA progenitors are currently being used in clinical trials for PD cell replacement therapy [\(Barker et al., 2017](#page-14-15); [Doi et al., 2020;](#page-15-1) [Kim et al., 2021](#page-15-3); [Piao et al., 2021](#page-15-23); [Schweit](#page-16-0)[zer et al., 2020;](#page-16-0) [Tao et al., 2021](#page-16-1)), we suggest our differentiation paradigm may also be useful for this type of application. We envision that strategies aiming at generating or selecting molecularly defined cell types, such as the progenitor of the dopaminergic neuron subtype mainly affected by disease, the SOX6_AGTR1 subpopulation ([Ka](#page-15-24)[math et al., 2022\)](#page-15-24), may enable highly precise and safe cell replacement therapy for PD.

In the near future, we expect that hESC-derived preparations destined for cell replacement therapy will be routinely examined at the single-cell level in order to control for cell composition and quality. In this context, our work represents a first attempt to compare cell preparations with endogenous standards, but more work will be needed to improve the resolution of the single-cell analysis. This will involve (1) improving the definition of endogenous human midbrain standards, with more time points, deeper coverage, and multimodal single-cell data; (2) correlating cell composition and quality at the single-cell level in vitro with the preclinical and clinical performance of the grafts; and (3) developing new computational methods and tools to integrate multiple levels of information and precisely compare hPSC-derived cell types with endogenous standards and functionality in vitro and in vivo. Ultimately, we should be able to design and develop hPSC preparations with the desired cell composition, single-cell quality, and functionality for specific and precise in vitro and in vivo applications.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Resource availability Corresponding author Ernest Arenas, ernest.arenas@ki.se Materials availability This study did not generate any unique reagents. Data and code availability RNA sequencing datasets are available at the European Genome-Phenome Archive (EGA), study ID: EGAS00001006313.

Undifferentiated human ESC culture

Human ESC lines H9 [\(Thomson et al., 1998\)](#page-16-16), HS401, HS975, and HS980 ([Rodin et al., 2014](#page-16-17)) were maintained on LN521 (BioLamina)-coated dishes in NutriStem XF hESC medium (Biological Industries). Cells were passaged with TrypLE Select (Thermo Fisher Scientific) every 4 to 6 days, and were re-plated at a density of 50,000 to 100,000 cells/ cm^2 in medium supplemented with $10 \mu M$ Y27632 (Tocris) for the first 24 h.

Additional methods

Please see the [supplemental experimental procedures.](#page-14-14)

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2022.10.016) [10.1016/j.stemcr.2022.10.016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2022.10.016)

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

K.Nishimura, S.Y., and E.A. designed the project. K.Nishimura performed the experiments in [Figures 1](#page-2-0), [2](#page-4-0), [3,](#page-6-0) [4](#page-7-0), [6A](#page-10-0), 6B, S1, S2, S3, and S4. S.Y. and E.S.A. performed additional DA differentiation experiments [\(Figures 5,](#page-8-0) [6](#page-10-0), and S6) with support from C.S. and G.L. S.Y. and L.H. performed scRNA-seq with support from S.L. K.L. performed the bioinformatics analysis in [Figures 5](#page-8-0) and S5. K.Nikouei, S.G., and J.H.L. contributed to the electrophysiological analysis in [Figures 6](#page-10-0)C–6K and interpretation. W.P. and P.S. contributed to the analysis of dopamine content and release in [Figures 6](#page-10-0)L and 6M and interpretation. E.A. supervised the project, co-wrote the manuscript with K.Nishimura, S.Y., and K.L. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Arenas lab for help, suggestions, and helpful discussions; Natalie Welsh for feedback on the manuscript; and BioLamina for providing laminins. This work was supported by Vetenskapsrådet (VR2016-01526 and 2020-01426), EU grants Neurostemcell-repair (FP7, 602278), H2020-MSCA-ITN-2018 (813851) and Neurostemcell-reconstruct (H2020, 874758), ERC advanced grant (884608), Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW scholar 2018.0232), Karolinska Institutet StratRegen (SFO2018), Cancerfonden (CAN 2016/572), Parkinsonfonden (900/16), and Hjärnfonden (FO2019-0068) to E.A.; by the Chan Zuckeberg Initiative and the Silicon Valley (2018-191929) to E.A., S.L., and P.S.; by the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF, SB16-0065) to E.A. and S.L.; by KAW (2018.0172, 2018.0220), Erling-Persson Foundation (HDCA), and EU (BRAINTIME, 874606) to S.L.; by the Uehara Memorial Foundation, the Kyoto University Foundation, the Mochida Memorial for Medical and Pharmaceutical Research Foundation (6-2) and the Scandinavia-Japan Sasakawa Foundation (15–18) to K.N.; Hjärnfonden (PS2018-0043) to S.Y.; and KID grant (2-5996/2018) to E.S.A. A preprint of this work was deposited on bioRxiv on September 15th, 2022.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

E.A. is founder, shareholder and scientific advisor of Cholestenix Ltd (08771706).

Received: June 30, 2022 Revised: October 20, 2022 Accepted: October 20, 2022 Published: November 17, 2022

REFERENCES

[Adler, A.F., Cardoso, T., Nolbrant, S., Mattsson, B., Hoban, D.B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref1) Jarl, U., Wahlestedt, J.N., Grealish, S., Björklund, A., and Parmar, [M. \(2019\). hESC-derived dopaminergic transplants integrate into](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref1) [basal ganglia circuitry in a preclinical model of Parkinson's disease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref1) Cell Rep. 28[, 3462–3473.e5.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref1)

[Andersson, E., Tryggvason, U., Deng, Q., Friling, S., Alekseenko, Z.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref2) [Robert, B., Perlmann, T., and Ericson, J. \(2006\). Identification of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref2) [intrinsic determinants of midbrain dopamine neurons. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref2) 124, [393–405](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref2).

[Andersson, E.R., Prakash, N., Cajanek, L., Minina, E., Bryja, V., Bry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref3)[jova, L., Yamaguchi, T.P., Hall, A.C., Wurst, W., and Arenas, E.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref3) [\(2008\). Wnt5a regulates ventral midbrain morphogenesis and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref3) [the development of A9-A10 dopaminergic cells in vivo. PLoS](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref3) One 3[, e3517](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref3).

Andersson, E.R., Saltó, C., Villaescusa, J.C., Cajanek, L., Yang, S., [Bryjova, L., Nagy, I.I., Vainio, S.J., Ramirez, C., Bryja, V., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref4) [\(2013\). Wnt5a cooperates with canonical Wnts to generate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref4) [midbrain dopaminergic neurons in vivo and in stem cells. Proc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref4) [Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref4) 110, E602–E610.

[Arenas, E. \(2014\). Wnt signaling in midbrain dopaminergic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref5) [neuron development and regenerative medicine for Parkinson's](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref5) [disease. J. Mol. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref5) 6, 42–53.

[Arenas, E., Denham, M., and Villaescusa, J.C. \(2015\). How to make](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref6) [a midbrain dopaminergic neuron. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref6) 142, 1918–1936.

[Barker, R.A., Parmar, M., Studer, L., and Takahashi, J. \(2017\). Hu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref7)[man trials of stem cell-derived dopamine neurons for Parkinson's](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref7) [disease: dawn of a new era. Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref7) 21, 569–573.

Birtele, M., Sharma, Y., Storm, P., Kajtez, J., Wahlestedt, J.N., Sozzi, E., Nilsson, F., Stott, S., He, X.L., Mattsson, B., et al. (2020). Single cell transcriptional and functional analysis of human dopamine neurons in 3D fetal ventral midbrain organoid like cultures. Preprint at bioRxiv. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.01.322495>.

Bonilla, S., Hall, A.C., Pinto, L., Attardo, A., Götz, M., Huttner, [W.B., and Arenas, E. \(2008\). Identification of midbrain floor plate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref9) [radial glia-like cells as dopaminergic progenitors. Glia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref9) 56, 809–820. [Caiazza, M.C., Lang, C., andWade-Martins, R. \(2020\).What we can](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref10) [learn from iPSC-derived cellular models of Parkinson's disease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref10) [Prog. Brain Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref10) 252, 3–25.

Čajánek, L., Ganji, R.S., Henriques-Oliveira, C., Theofilopoulos, S., Koník, P., Bryja, V., and Arenas, E. (2013). Tiam1 regulates the [Wnt/Dvl/Rac1 signaling pathway and the differentiation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref11) [midbrain dopaminergic neurons. Mol. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref11) 33, 59–70.

[Castelo-Branco, G., Wagner, J., Rodriguez, F.J., Kele, J., Sousa, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref12) [Rawal, N., Pasolli, H.A., Fuchs, E., Kitajewski, J., and Arenas, E.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref12) [\(2003\). Differential regulation of midbrain dopaminergic neuron](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref12) [development by Wnt-1, Wnt-3a, and Wnt-5a. Proc. Natl. Acad.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref12) Sci. USA 100[, 12747–12752](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref12).

[Chambers, S.M., Fasano, C.A., Papapetrou, E.P., Tomishima, M., Sa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref13)[delain, M., and Studer, L. \(2009\). Highly efficient neural conver](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref13)[sion of human ES and iPS cells by dual inhibition of SMAD](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref13) [signaling. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref13) 27, 275–280.

[Damier, P., Hirsch, E.C., Agid, Y., and Graybiel, A.M. \(1999\). The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref14) [substantia nigra of the human brain: II. Patterns of loss of dopa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref14)[mine-containing neurons in Parkinson's disease. Brain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref14) 122, [1437–1448.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref14)

[Denham, M., Bye, C., Leung, J., Conley, B.J., Thompson, L.H., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref15) [Dottori, M. \(2012\). Glycogen synthase kinase 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref15)b and activin/nodal [inhibition in human embryonic stem cells induces a pre-neuroepi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref15)[thelial state that is required for specification to a floor plate cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref15) [lineage. Stem Cell.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref15) 30, 2400–2411.

[Doi, D., Samata, B., Katsukawa, M., Kikuchi, T., Morizane, A., Ono,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref16) [Y., Sekiguchi, K., Nakagawa, M., Parmar, M., and Takahashi, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref16) [\(2014\). Isolation of human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref16) [dopaminergic progenitors by cell sorting for successful transplan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref16)[tation. Stem Cell Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref16) 2, 337–350.

[Doi, D., Magotani, H., Kikuchi, T., Ikeda, M., Hiramatsu, S., Yosh](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref17)[ida, K., Amano, N., Nomura, M., Umekage, M., Morizane, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref17) [et al. \(2020\). Pre-clinical study of induced pluripotent stem cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref17)[derived dopaminergic progenitor cells for Parkinson's disease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref17) [Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref17) 11, 3369.

[Elkabetz, Y., Panagiotakos, G., Al Shamy, G., Socci, N.D., Tabar, V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref18) [and Studer, L. \(2008\). Human ES cell-derived neural rosettes reveal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref18) [a functionally distinct early neural stem cell stage. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref18) 22, [152–165](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref18).

[Ferri, A.L.M., Lin, W., Mavromatakis, Y.E., Wang, J.C., Sasaki, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref19) [Whitsett, J.A., and Ang, S.L. \(2007\). Foxa1 and Foxa2 regulate mul](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref19)[tiple phases of midbrain dopaminergic neuron development in a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref19) [dosage-dependent manner. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref19) 134, 2761–2769.

[Kamath, T., Abdulraouf, A., Burris, S.J., Langlieb, J., Gazestani, V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref20) [Nadaf, N.M., Balderrama, K., Vanderburg, C., and Macosko, E.Z.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref20) [\(2022\). Single-cell genomic profiling of human dopamine neurons](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref20) [identifies a population that selectively degenerates in Parkinson's](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref20) [disease. Nat. Neurosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref20) 25, 588–595.

[Kefalopoulou, Z., Politis, M., Piccini, P., Mencacci, N., Bhatia, K., Ja](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref21)hanshahi, M., Widner, H., Rehncrona, S., Brundin, P., Björklund, [A., et al. \(2014\). Long-term clinical outcome of fetal cell transplan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref21)[tation for Parkinson disease: two case reports. JAMA Neurol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref21) 71, [83–87.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref21)

[Kele, J., Simplicio, N., Ferri, A.L.M., Mira, H., Guillemot, F., Arenas,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref22) [E., and Ang, S.L. \(2006\). Neurogenin 2 is required for the develop](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref22)[ment of ventral midbrain dopaminergic neurons. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref22) 133[, 495–505](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref22).

[Kikuchi, T., Morizane, A., Doi, D., Magotani, H., Onoe, H., Hayashi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref23) [T., Mizuma, H., Takara, S., Takahashi, R., Inoue, H., et al. \(2017\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref23) [Human iPS cell-derived dopaminergic neurons function in a pri](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref23)[mate Parkinson's disease model. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref23) 548, 592–596.

[Kim, T.W., Piao, J., Koo, S.Y., Kriks, S., Chung, S.Y., Betel, D., Socci,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref24) [N.D., Choi, S.J., Zabierowski, S., Dubose, B.N., et al. \(2021\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref24) [Biphasic activation of WNT signaling facilitates the derivation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref24) [midbrain dopamine neurons from hESCs for translational use.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref24) [Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref24) 28, 343–355.e5.

[Kirkeby, A., Grealish, S., Wolf, D.A., Nelander, J., Wood, J., Lund](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref25)[blad, M., Lindvall, O., and Parmar, M. \(2012\). Generation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref25) [regionally specified neural progenitors and functional neurons](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref25) [from human embryonic stem cells under defined conditions.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref25) Cell Rep. 1[, 703–714](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref25).

[Kirkeby, A., Nolbrant, S., Tiklova, K., Heuer, A., Kee, N., Cardoso, T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref26) [Ottosson, D.R., Lelos, M.J., Rifes, P., Dunnett, S.B., et al. \(2017\). Pre](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref26)[dictive markers guide differentiation to improve graft outcome in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref26) [clinical translation of hESC-based therapy for Parkinson's disease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref26) [Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref26) 20, 135–148.

Koch, P., Opitz, T., Steinbeck, J.A., Ladewig, J., and Brüstle, O. [\(2009\). A rosette-type, self-renewing human ES cell-derived neural](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref27) [stem cell with potential for in vitro instruction and synaptic inte](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref27)[gration. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref27) 106, 3225–3230.

[Kouwenhoven, W.M., Fortin, G., Penttinen, A.M., Florence, C., De](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref28)[lignat-Lavaud, B., Bourque, M.J., Trimbuch, T., Luppi, M.P., Salvail-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref28)[Lacoste, A., Legault, P., et al. \(2020\). VGluT2 expression in dopa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref28)[mine neurons contributes to postlesional striatal reinnervation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref28) J. Neurosci. 40[, 8262–8275.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref28)

Kriks, S., Shim, J.W., Piao, J., Ganat, Y.M., Wakeman, D.R., Xie, Z., Car[rillo-Reid, L., Auyeung, G., Antonacci, C., Buch, A., et al. \(2011\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref29) [Dopamine neurons derived from human ES cells efficiently engraft](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref29) [in animal models of Parkinson's disease. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref29) 480, 547–551.

[Lees, A.J., Hardy, J., and Revesz, T. \(2009\). Parkinson's disease. Lan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref30)cet 373[, 2055–2066](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref30).

Li, W., Englund, E., Widner, H., Mattsson, B., Van Westen, D., Lätt, J., Rehncrona, S., Brundin, P., Björklund, A., Lindvall, O., et al. [\(2016\). Extensive graft-derived dopaminergic innervation is main](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref31)[tained 24 years after transplantation in the degenerating parkinso](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref31)[nian brain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref31) 113, 6544–6549.

[Lindvall, O., Brundin, P., Widner, H., Rehncrona, S., Gustavii, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref32) [Frackowiak, R., Leenders, K.L., Sawle, G., Rothwell, J.C., Marsden,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref32) [C.D., et al. \(1990\). Grafts of fetal dopamine neurons survive and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref32) [improve motor function in Parkinson's disease. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref32) 247, [574–577](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref32).

[La Manno, G., Gyllborg, D., Codeluppi, S., Nishimura, K., Salto, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref33) [Zeisel, A., Borm, L.E., Stott, S.R.W., Toledo, E.M., Villaescusa, J.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref33) [et al. \(2016\). Molecular diversity of midbrain development in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref33) [mouse, human, and stem Cells. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref33) 167, 566–580.e19.

[Marques, S., van Bruggen, D., Vanichkina, D.P., Floriddia, E.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref34) Munguba, H., Väremo, L., Giacomello, S., Falcão, A.M., Meijer, M., Björklund, Å[.K., et al. \(2018\). Transcriptional convergence of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref34) [oligodendrocyte lineage progenitors during development. Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref34) Cell 46[, 504–517.e7](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref34).

[McMahon, A.P., and Bradley, A. \(1990\). The Wnt-1 \(int-1\) proto](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref35)[oncogene is required for development of a large region of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref35) [mouse brain. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref35) 62, 1073–1085.

[Moriarty, N., Gantner, C.W., Hunt, C.P.J., Ermine, C.M., Frausin, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref36) [Viventi, S., Ovchinnikov, D.A., Kirik, D., Parish, C.L., and Thomp](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref36)[son, L.H. \(2022\). A combined cell and gene therapy approach for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref36) [homotopic reconstruction of midbrain dopamine pathways using](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref36) [human pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref36) 29, 434–448.e5.

[Nunes, I., Tovmasian, L.T., Silva, R.M., Burke, R.E., and Goff, S.P.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref37) [\(2003\). Pitx3 is required for development of substantia nigra dopa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref37)[minergic neurons. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref37) 100, 4245–4250.

[Ono, Y., Nakatani, T., Sakamoto, Y., Mizuhara, E., Minaki, Y., Ku](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref38)[mai, M., Hamaguchi, A., Nishimura, M., Inoue, Y., Hayashi, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref38) [et al. \(2007\). Differences in neurogenic potential in floor plate cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref38) [along an anteroposterior location: midbrain dopaminergic neu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref38)[rons originate from mesencephalic floor plate cells. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref38) 134[, 3213–3225](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref38).

[Parish, C.L., Castelo-Branco, G., Rawal, N., Tonnesen, J., Sorensen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref39) [A.T., Salto, C., Kokaia, M., Lindvall, O., and Arenas, E. \(2008\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref39) [Wnt5a-treated midbrain neural stem cells improve dopamine cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref39) [replacement therapy in parkinsonian mice. J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref39) 118, [149–160](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref39).

[Piao, J., Zabierowski, S., Dubose, B.N., Hill, E.J., Navare, M., Claros,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref40) [N., Rosen, S., Ramnarine, K., Horn, C., Fredrickson, C., et al. \(2021\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref40) [Preclinical efficacy and safety of a human embryonic stem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref40)

cell-derived midbrain dopamine progenitor product, MSK-DA₀₁. [Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref40) 28, 217–229.e7.

[Prakash, N., Brodski, C., Naserke, T., Puelles, E., Gogoi, R., Hall, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref41) [Panhuysen, M., Echevarria, D., Sussel, L., Weisenhorn, D.M.V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref41) [et al. \(2006\). A Wnt1-regulated genetic network controls the iden](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref41)[tity and fate of midbrain-dopaminergic progenitors in vivo. Devel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref41)[opment](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref41) 133, 89–98.

[Puelles, E., Annino, A., Tuorto, F., Usiello, A., Acampora, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref42) [Czerny, T., Brodski, C., Ang, S.L., Wurst, W., and Simeone, A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref42) [\(2004\). Otx2 regulates the extent, identity and fate of neuronal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref42) [progenitor domains in the ventral midbrain. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref42) 131, [2037–2048](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref42).

[Rodin, S., Antonsson, L., Niaudet, C., Simonson, O.E., Salmela, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref43) [Hansson, E.M., Domogatskaya, A., Xiao, Z., Damdimopoulou, P.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref43) [Sheikhi, M., et al. \(2014\). Clonal culturing of human embryonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref43) [stem cells on laminin-521/E-cadherin matrix in defined and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref43) [xeno-free environment. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref43) 5, 3195.

[Sacchetti, P., Sousa, K.M., Hall, A.C., Liste, I., Steffensen, K.R., The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref44)ofilopoulos, S., Parish, C.L., Hazenberg, C., Richter, L.Ä., Hovatta, [O., et al. \(2009\). Liver X receptors and oxysterols promote ventral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref44) [midbrain neurogenesis in vivo and in human embryonic stem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref44) [cells. Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref44) 5, 409–419.

[Schweitzer, J.S., Song, B., Herrington, T.M., Park, T.-Y., Lee, N., Ko,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref45) [S., Jeon, J., Cha, Y., Kim, K., Li, Q., et al. \(2020\). Personalized iPSC](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref45)[derived dopamine progenitor cells for Parkinson's disease. N. Engl.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref45) J. Med. 382[, 1926–1932.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref45)

[Simon, H.H., Saueressig, H., Wurst, W., Goulding, M.D., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref46) [O'Leary, D.D. \(2001\). Fate of midbrain dopaminergic neurons](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref46) [controlled by the engrailed genes. J. Neurosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref46) 21, 3126–3134.

[Tao, Y., Vermilyea, S.C., Zammit, M., Lu, J., Olsen, M., Metzger,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref47) [J.M., Yao, L., Chen, Y., Phillips, S., Holden, J.E., et al. \(2021\). Autol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref47)[ogous transplant therapy alleviates motor and depressive behav](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref47)[iors in parkinsonian monkeys. Nat. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref47) 27, 632–639.

[Theofilopoulos, S., Wang, Y., Kitambi, S.S., Sacchetti, P., Sousa,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref48) K.M., Bodin, K., Kirk, J., Saltó, C., Gustafsson, M., Toledo, E.M., [et al. \(2013\). Brain endogenous liver X receptor ligands selectively](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref48) [promote midbrain neurogenesis. Nat. Chem. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref48) 9, 126–133.

[Thomas, K.R., and Capecchi, M.R. \(1990\). Targeted disruption of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref49) [the murine int-1 proto-oncogene resulting in severe abnormalities](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref49) [in midbrain and cerebellar development. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref49) 346, 847–850.

[Thomson, J.A., Itskovitz-Eldor, J., Shapiro, S.S., Waknitz, M.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref50) [Swiergiel, J.J., Marshall, V.S., and Jones, J.M. \(1998\). Embryonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref50) [stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref50) 282, [1145–1147.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref50)

Tiklová, K., Björklund, Å[.K., Lahti, L., Fiorenzano, A., Nolbrant, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref51) [Gillberg, L., Volakakis, N., Yokota, C., Hilscher, M.M., Hauling, T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref51) [et al. \(2019\). Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals midbrain dopa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref51)[mine neuron diversity emerging during mouse brain develop](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref51)[ment. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref51) 10, 581.

Tiklová, K., Nolbrant, S., Fiorenzano, A., Björklund, Å.K., Sharma, [Y., Heuer, A., Gillberg, L., Hoban, D.B., Cardoso, T., Adler, A.F.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref52) [et al. \(2020\). Single cell transcriptomics identifies stem cell-derived](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref52) [graft composition in a model of Parkinson's disease. Nat. Com](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref52)mun. 11[, 2434.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref52)

[Toledo, E.M., Yang, S., Gyllborg, D., van Wijk, K.E., Sinha, I., Varas-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref53)Godoy, M., Grigsby, C.L., Lönnerberg, P., Islam, S., Steffensen, K.R., [et al. \(2020\). Srebf1 controls midbrain dopaminergic neurogenesis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref53) [Cell Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref53) 31, 107601.

[Villaescusa, J.C., Li, B., Toledo, E.M., Rivetti di Val Cervo, P., Yang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref54) [S., Stott, S.R., Kaiser, K., Islam, S., Gyllborg, D., Laguna-Goya, R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref54) [et al. \(2016\). A PBX1 transcriptional network controls dopami](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref54)[nergic neuron development and is impaired in Parkinson's disease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref54) EMBO J. 35[, 1963–1978](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref54).

[Wurst, W., Bally-Cuif, L., and Bally-Cuif, L. \(2001\). Neural plate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref55) [patterning: upstream and downstream of the isthmic organizer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref55) [Nat. Rev. Neurosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref55) 2, 99–108.

[Ye, W., Shimamura, K., Rubenstein, J.L., Hynes, M.A., and Rosen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref56)[thal, A. \(1998\). FGF and Shh signals control dopaminergic and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref56) [serotonergic cell fate in the anterior neural plate. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref56) 93, 755–766. Zetterström, R.H., Solomin, L., Jansson, L., Hoffer, B.J., Olson, L., [and Perlmann, T. \(1997\). Dopamine neuron agenesis in Nurr1-defi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref57)[cient mice. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref57) 276, 248–250.

Zhang, D., Yang, S., Toledo, E.M., Gyllborg, D., Saltó, C., Carlos Vil[laescusa, J., and Arenas, E. \(2017\). Niche-derived laminin-511 pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref58)[motes midbrain dopaminergic neuron survival and differentiation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref58) [through YAP. Sci. Signal.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(22)00512-4/sref58) 10, eaal4165.

Stem Cell Reports, Volume 18

Supplemental Information

Single-cell transcriptomics reveals correct developmental dynamics

and high-quality midbrain cell types by improved hESC differentiation

Kaneyasu Nishimura, Shanzheng Yang, Ka Wai Lee, Emilía Sif Ásgrímsdóttir, Kasra Nikouei, Wojciech Paslawski, Sabine Gnodde, Guochang Lyu, Lijuan Hu, Carmen Saltó, Per Svenningsson, Jens Hjerling-Leffler, Sten Linnarsson, and Ernest Arenas

Figure S1. Patterning of hESCs into midbrain floor plate progenitors, related to Figure 1 (**A**) qPCR analysis of differentiated cells according to CHIR99021 concentration at day 11 (n = 3 independent experiments). (**B**) qPCR analysis of *NANOG* and *POU5F1* of differentiating cells on LN111 and LN511. ***p < 0.001 vs. D0. NS, not significant ($n = 6$ independent experiments). (**C**) Immunostaining of LMX1A⁺;CORIN⁺ cells at day 11. Scale bar, 200 µm. (**D**) Quantification of CORIN⁺ cells at day 11. NS, not significant ($n = 3$ independent experiments). (**E**) Immunostaining of LMX1A+;FOXA2+ cells, LMX1A+;OTX2+ cells and LMX1A+;CORIN+ cells in HS401, HS975 and HS980 hESC lines at day 11. Scale bar, 200 µm. (**F**-**H**) Quantification of LMX1A+;FOXA2+/DAPI+ cells (**F**), LMX1A+;OTX2+/DAPI+ cells (**G**) and LMX1A+;CORIN+/DAPI+ cells (**H**) at day 11 in the WNT5A+ condition (n = 3 independent experiments).

Figure S2. Characterization of DA progenitors derived from three different hESCs, related to Figure 2. (A) Immunostaining of LMX1A⁺;FOXA2⁺ cells and LMX1A⁺;OTX2⁺ cells at day 16, after exposure of HS980 cells to different concentrations of CHIR99021. Scale bar, 100 µm. (**B** and **C**) Quantification of LMX1A+;FOXA2+/DAPI+ cells (**B**), LMX1A+;OTX2+/DAPI+

cells (C) at day 16 (n = 3 independent experiments). (D) Immunostaining of LMX1A⁺;FOXA2⁺ cells, LMX1A⁺;OTX2⁺ cells, LMX1A⁺;CORIN⁺ cells and LMX1A⁺;NGN2⁺ cells in HS401, HS975 and HS980 hESC lines at day 16 of differentiation with 7.5 µM CHIR99021. Scale bar, 100 µm. (**E**-**H**) Quantification of LMX1A+;FOXA2+/DAPI+ cells (**E**), LMX1A+;OTX2+/DAPI+ cells (F) , LMX1A⁺;CORIN⁺/DAPI⁺ cells (G) and LMX1A⁺;NGN2⁺/DAPI⁺ cells (H) at day 16 (n = 3) independent experiments).

Figure S3. Time course of gene expression during hESC differentiation into mDA neurons, related to Figure 4. (**A**) qPCR analysis of midbrain progenitor and mDA neuron markers during hESC differentiation (n = 3 independent experiments) (**B**). Violin plots generated from scRNA-seq data for genes expressed in the developing human ventral midbrain *in vivo*.

Figure S4. Validation of hESC-derived mDA progenitors by immunocytochemistry prior to scRNA-seq analysis, related to Figure 5. Immunofluorescence of hESC-derived mDA progenitors derived from H9 (**A**) and HS980 (**B**) hESCs at day 16. Scale bar, 100 µm.

Figure S5. Analysis of hESCs-derived midbrain cell types by scRNA-seq, related to Figure 5. (A) Histogram showing the distribution of UMIs (right panel) and detected transcripts (left panel) per cell and day of differentiation. Bars show the fractions of cells generated by

each of the two cell lines (H9 or HS980) at the indicated day of differentiation. (**B-E**) UMAP projection of hESCs-derived cells as in Figure 5A, showing cells coloured by cell line of origin (**B**) cell cycle score (**C**) and their log-library size normalised gene expression of *SOX2* (**D**) and *MYT1L* (**E**). (**F**) Left: Mean Brier score per trial. Right: Mean accuracy score per trial. Dotted line indicates the parameter used for the final model (C = 1.99). (**G**) Corresponding between predicted cell types and reference cell types of 20% of the reference data after using 80% of the reference data for optimizing regularization strength in logistic regression. (**H**) Individual cells from training set (Red circles, 80% of the reference data), test set (Yellow circles, 20% of the reference data), and negative control (Black crosses, 20% of the reference data with random permutation of the selected gene set) plotted on a wheel plot. (**I**) Violin plot showing genes enriched in clusters from day 21 and 28 of differentiation. Log-library size normalized gene expression is shown.

Figure S6. VLMCs emerge after abnormal patterning with CHIR99021, related to Figure 5. (**A**) Fluorescence immunocytochemistry staining for PDGFRA and COL1A1 showing that VLMCs, defined by the co-localization of PDGFRA and COL1A1, are not present in our standard culture conditions (control) or after adding growth factors or removing FGF8b at day 16. Background levels of co-localization (very few pixels in the same cell) were found in few cells (dotted line and arrowhead). However, abnormal Wnt patterning with CHIR99021 too early (Day 0-2) and too short (until day 11) gives rise to clear double+ cells that we identify as VLMCs (encircled cells pointed by arrows). (**B**) Percentage of PDGFRA+, COL1A1+ and PDGFRA⁺;COL1A1⁺ cells out of the total cells (DAPI) in different conditions. Values under 1% PDGFRA⁺;COL1A1⁺ cells resulted from background levels of staining (few pixels). Mann– Whitney U-test was used for pair comparison. Scale bar, 25 μ m.

Supplemental experimental procedures Differentiation protocol into mDA neurons

hESCs were seeded at a density of 500,000 cells/cm² on LN511 (BioLamina)-coated dishes in NutriStem XF hESC medium with 10 µM Y27632. Cells were first differentiated in TeSR-E6 medium (Stem Cell Technologies) supplemented with nonessential amino acids (Thermo Fisher Scientific), L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco). At day 0, 200 nM LDN193189 (Stemgent), 10 µM SB431542 (Tocris) and 10 µM Y27632 were added. 2 μ M purmorphamine (Stemgent) was incorporated at day 1, and 1.5 μ M CHIR99021 (Sigma) at day 3. 10 µM Y27632 was removed from culture medium on day 3. The medium was gradually changed to neurobasal medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with B27 supplement (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 2 mM L-glutamine from day 5 to day 11. SB431542 was removed at day 7 and 100 ng/mL Wnt5A (R&D Systems) was added. 100 ng/mL FGF8b (Peprotech) was incorporated from day 9-16. On day 11, the cells were dissociated into single cells and were re-plated on LN511-coated dish at a density of 500,000 cells/ cm^2 in neurobasal medium with B27 supplement and 2 mM L-glutamine and treated with 100 ng/mL FGF8b, 7.5 µM CHIR99021 from day 11 to day 16. 10 µM Y27632 was in medium the first 24 hr after re-plating. On day 16, the cells were dissociated into single cells and were re-plated on LN511-coated dish at a density of 700,000 cells/cm² in neurobasal medium with B27 supplement and 2 mM L-glutamine. 10 µM GW3965 (Sigma), 10 µM DAPT (Sigma), 20 ng/mL brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (R&D Systems), 200 µM ascorbic acid (Sigma) were supplemented from day 16 to day 21. 10 µM Y27632 was in medium the first 24 hr after re-plating. At day 21, 10 µM GW3965 was removed and the cultures received 10 ng/mL glial-cell derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) (R&D Systems), 500 µM dbcAMP (Sigma) and 1 ng/mL transforming growth factor (TGF)β3 (R&D Systems) until the end of the culture. 1 µM PD0325901 (Sigma) and 5 µM SU5402 (Sigma) was added day 21-28 (**Figure 1A**).

Derivation of VLMCs from hESCs

Different variables in our mDA differentiation protocol were modified to examine whether VLMCs can be generated from hESCs when differentiated in culture conditions described in other protocols in the literature (Doi et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2021; Tiklová et al., 2019). To this end we compared administration of CHIR99021 (1.0 µM) at day 0 vs day 2 (1.5 µM, our protocol), and combined these two variables together with FGF8b (100 ng/mL in our protocol vs no treatment), CHIR99021 at day 11 to day 15 (7.5 µM in our protocol vs no treatment), as well as administration of growth factors and small molecules from day 11 to 15 (20 ng/mL BDNF, 20 ng/mL GDNF, 500 µM dbcAMP and 200 µM AA). Cells were re-plated at day 16 in neurobasal medium with B27 supplement, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 ng/mL FGF8b, 20 ng/mL BDNF, 20 ng/mL GDNF, 10 µM DAPT, 500 µM dbcAMP, 200 µM AA and 10 µM Y27632. Analysis was performed by immunocytofluorescence at day 17.

cDNA synthesis and qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from the cells using RNeasy Plus Kit (Qiagen). 500 ng-1 µg total RNA was used for reverse transcription by a Super Script II First strand synthesis system with random primer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). qPCR was performed by using StepOne detection system (Applied Biosystems). Data analysis is based on dCt method with normalization of the raw data to *GAPDH* genes. Primer sequences were listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Fluorescent immunocytochemistry

The cells were fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 30 min at 4˚C. The samples were then pre-incubated by 5% donkey serum in phosphate buffered saline containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (PBST) for 1 hr. The samples were incubated with primary antibodies at 4˚C overnight. The samples were incubated with either Alexa488 or Alexa555 or Alexa647-conjugated secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min and then were incubated with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 15 min. The primary antibodies were used as follows: ALDH1A1 (rabbit, 1:1,000, Abcam, ab23375), COL1A1 (sheep, 1:200, R&D Systems, AF6220), CORIN (rat, 1:1,000, R&D Systems, MAB2209), DCX (goat, 1:500, SantaCruz, sc-8066), EN1 (mouse 1:50, DSHB, 4G11), FOXA2 (goat, 1:500, R&D Systems, AF2400), GIRK2 (rabbit, 1:400, Alomone, APC006), LMX1A (rabbit, 1:4,000, Millipore, AB10533), LMO3 (goat, 1:200, SantaCruz, sc-82647), MAP2 (mouse 1:1,000, Sigma, M4403), NGN2 (goat, 1:200, SantaCruz, sc-19233), NURR1 (rabbit, 1:500, SantaCruz, sc-990), OTX2 (goat, 1:1,000, R&D Systems, AF1979), pH3 (rabbit, 1:500, Millipore, 06-570), PITX3 (goat, 1:500, SantaCruz, sc-19307), PDGFRa (rabbit, 1:100, Cell Signaling, 5241), SOX2 (rabbit, 1:500, Millipore, AB5603), TH (rabbit, 1:1,000, Millipore, AB152), TH (mouse, 1:500, ImmunoStar, 22941), and TH (sheep, 1:500, Novus, NB300).

EdU pulse and chase

Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for EdU pulse and chase experiment.10 µM EdU was supplemented into the culture medium for 4 hr at day 16 of differentiation, and then the cells were cultured until day 21. After fixation by 4% PFA, EdU detected was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Single-cell RNA-sequencing libraries preparation

hESCs and cells of differentiation days 11, 16, 21, 28 were thawed and re-suspended in NeutriStem medium with 10 µM Y27632. Cells were centrifuged at 300 x g for 2 min. Cell pellets were re-suspended in BD staining buffer (FBS) at room temperature. Cell suspension was mixed with Sample Tag and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. Cells were washed with BD staining buffer twice and re-suspended in PBS (with 0.04% BSA). Cells were filtered and counted. For each hESC line, we pooled 1000 cells from day 0, 1200 cells from differentiation days 11, 16 and 21, and 1400 cells from day 28. The pooled cells were processed with single cell capture, reverse transcription, and cDNA amplification according to the 10x ChromiumTM Single Cell 3' Reagent Kits v2 User Guide. The corresponding Sample Tag libraries were prepared according to BD Single-Cell Multiplexing Kit—Human.

Processing of single cell data

The samples were aligned to a combined reference genome of GRCh38.p12 and BD sample tags using Cell Ranger v3.0.2. Samples were then de-multiplexed using BD Genomics Sample Multiplexing tools v0.4 from Cell Ranger outputs. Final UMI count matrices were obtained by running Velocyto v0.17 with default parameters for Chromium 10X samples on de-multiplexed bam files with a gtf file combined of the human reference and BD sample tags. Data was filtered and processed using Cytograph (La Manno et al., 2016) with the following quality parameters. For each cell line count matrices, cells with less than 2000 UMIs detected transcripts, more than 5% of mitochondrial genes in their library, and identified as doublets by the Cytograph version of DoubletFinder, were excluded from further analysis. After filtering, there were 1359, 2372, 2714, 1962, 3274 cells remained at day 0, day 11, day 16, day 21 and day 28 of differentiation respectively with mean UMIs between 6 753 and 15 482, and mean detected transcripts between 2 490 and 3 931 (**Figure 5A**). Dimension reduction and Louvain clustering were then performed using Cytograph with PCA using 40 components on highly variable genes detected by variances. Clusters were refined by aggregating clusters that were not transcriptionally differentiated by manual inspection of gene enrichment for each cluster, resulting in 29 clusters (**Figure 5B**). Then, cells from days 21 and 28 of differentiation (cluster 11 onwards) were pulled for another iteration of processing as described. Final cluster membership (**Figure 5A**) was obtained by aggregating clustering results from the iterations.

Comparison of hESC-derived cells to the human ventral midbrain development reference dataset

UMI matrices of hESCs-derived cells from the current protocol were log-transformed, normalized and scaled to the reference data with selected gene set (described below). The same transformation was performed to UMI matrices from previous hESC-derived midbrain cells (La Manno et al., 2016), which were differentiated as described (Kriks et al., 2011). The similarities of *in vitro* cells to *in vivo* reference were measured as probabilities being each reference cell types, using logistic regression (described below) and visualized in a wheel plot as described (La Manno et al., 2016). In brief, the similarities to reference cell types are summarized as dot products to respective coordinates of reference cell types in the wheel, such that the distance to each reference cell type of an individual cell is in proportion to its relative similarity to the reference. For comparing the hESC-derived cells to the *in vivo* reference, L2-regularised logistic regression was used on human ventral midbrain cell types (La Manno et al., 2016), as well as mouse pericyte lineage cells (PLCs) and vascular leptomeningeal cells (VLMCs) (Marques et al., 2018). Logistic regression was implemented as described (La Manno et al., 2016), using the following prototypes: Embryonic stem cells (eES) consisting of eSCa, eSCb and eSCc; Floor plate progenitors (ProgFP) consisting of ProgFPM and ProgFPL; Radial glia 2 (Rgl2) consisting of hRgl2a, hRgl2b, hRgl2c; Dopaminergic

neurons (DA) consisting of hDA0, hDA1 and hDA2; GABAergic lineage (Gaba) consisting of hGaba and hNbGaba; VLMCs consisting of pnVLMCs and VLMCs; Pericytes (Peric) consisted of hPeric and PLCs.

Logistic regression training

For training the logistic regression, UMI matrices corresponding to cells belonging to cell types of interests (La Manno et al., 2016; Marques et al., 2018) were aggregated, after conversion of mouse genes to their human counterparts with Ensembl BioMart tool (GRCh37 version). Then a gene set (excluding sex, mitochondrial, erythrocytes and cell-cycle related genes) was selected from recursive feature elimination (RFE) (Guyon et al., 2002) using linear supper vector classification (SVC) with 5-fold stratified cross validation, step = 0.1, and F1-weighted score as the evaluation on log-transformed, max-normalized and median total UMIs-scaled data. The implementation was performed using the python package scikit-learn v0.23.1. With RFE, we have converged to a compact set of genes ($n = 1184$) that was discriminant between reference cell types, by eliminating incrementally 10% of the genes that were least important. To attest the performance of the selected gene set on cell type prediction, 80% of the normalized and scaled data was used for optimizing the regularization strength (C) with either the selected gene set or size-matched random gene set ($n = 1184$). For each condition, C was scanned using Optuna (Akiba et al., 2019), a Bayesian hyperparameter optimization, with the default sampler over log uniform distribution (between the range of 0.001 and 2) for 100 trials. Over the trials, C was optimized by evaluating the mean brier score of 5-folds train-test split per trial. Mean accuracy per trial was also logged (**Figure S5F**). The selected gene set was validated by using the optimized C to predict the 20% of the data at the end of the optimization (**Figure S5G** and **S5H**). Final model with the full dataset was trained in the same approach (C = 1.99) and used for comparing *in vitro* cells.

Analysis of dopamine release and content by HPLC

For dopamine measurement experiments, hESC-derived mDA progenitors were plated on LN511 coated 12-well plates at a density of 5 x 10^5 cells/cm² on day 16 and collected on days 28, 35, 42 and 56 of differentiation for dopamine contents and release. Cells were incubated in 200 μL of Neurobasal + N2 medium for 30 min at 37°C and the supernatant was collected. Cells were then incubated in Neurobasal + N2 medium supplemented with 56 mM KCl for 30 min at 37°C and the supernatant collected. The supernatant was immediately stabilized with 20 μL of 1 M perchloric acid containing 0.05% sodium metabisulphite and 0.01% ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) disodium salt and the samples stored at -80°C. Two days later, cells were collected to measure intracellular dopamine content. On the day of analysis, samples were centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C and then filtered through 0.2 µm nylon membrane inserts by centrifugation at 4,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The HPLC-ECD system used was a Dionex Ultimate 3000 series (Dionex, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) and the injection volume was 20 µL for each sample. Analyte separation was performed on a

Dionex C18 reversed-phase MD-150 3.2 mm x 250 mm column (3 µm particle size). Column and analytical cell were kept at 30°C and the first and second analytical cell were set to -100 mV and +300 mV, respectively. The mobile phase was pumped at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min and consisted of 75 mM monobasic sodium phosphate, 2.2 mM 1-octanesulfonic acid sodium salt, 100 uL/L triethylamine, 25 uM EDTA disodium salt and 10% acetonitrile (y/y), pH 3.0 adjusted with 85% phosphoric acid. Chromatograms were acquired with Chromeleon software (Dionex, ThermoFisher Scientific) over an acquisition time of 55 min. Dopamine concentration was calculated for each sample. For dopamine release experiments, dopamine levels after KCl stimulation were normalized to un-stimulated dopamine levels. For intracellular dopamine content, dopamine content was normalized to intracellular dopamine content on day 28. Data is shown as averaged normalized values from three independent experiments.

Electrophysiological recordings

For patch-clamp electrophysiological recordings, hESC-derived mDA progenitors were plated on LN511 coated 24-well plates at a density of 500 000 cells/cm² on day 16 and recordings were performed between days 56-73 of the differentiation protocol. Cells with neurites and non-flat cell body, general morphological aspects of neurons, were selected for whole-cell patch clamp recordings. Borosilicate glass pipettes (4-10 MΩ) were filled with intracellular solution containing 105 mM K-gluconate, 30 mM KCl, 10 mM Na-phosphocreatine, 10 mM HEPES, 4 mM Mg-ATP, 0.3 mM Na-GTP, and 0.3 mg/mL of Lucifer yellow (Sigma-Aldrich) (pH 7.3 adjusted with KOH). The cells were continuously perfused with a solution containing 140 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1.2 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1 mM MgSO₄, 1.3 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM glucose and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, bath kept 30-35˚C. The signal was amplified and digitized with Multiclamp 700B (Molecular Devices) and Digidata 1550 (Molecular Devices), respectively. Clampfit 11.1 (Molecular Devices) was used for analysis. For sEPSC detection, cells were clamped to -70 mV in voltage-clamp mode and 10-30 seconds were analyzed per cell by using template search (template made by averaging 10 typical EPSCs), false positives were manually removed by an analyzer blinded for the DIV of the cells. The remaining recordings were in current-clamp mode. Input resistances were calculated with a 1 second long \pm 4-20 pA current injection. Spontaneous AP frequency was assessed during 30-60 seconds gap free recording. For spiking properties, cells that had a resting membrane potential above spiking threshold were brought down to ca -70 mV before current step injections. Pearson's correlation (r) and two-tail p-values were reported.

Statistic analysis

Results are given as means ± standard deviation (SD) or standard error of the mean (SEM). The significance of differences was determined by Student's *t*-test for single comparisons and by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or two-way ANOVA for multiple comparisons. Further statistical analysis for *post hoc* comparisons was performed using Tukey's test (Prism 6; GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Mann-Whitney U-test was also performed using R v4.1.1

to analyze the numbers of VLMCs. Permutation test was performed using python v3.7.7 for analyzing the average gene expression for top-similar cells as shown from logistic regression analysis between current protocol and the protocol by Kriks et al., 2011.

Supplemental references

- Akiba, T., Sano, S., Yanase, T., Ohta, T., and Koyama, M. (2019). Optuna: A next-generation hyperparameter optimization framework. Proc. ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowl. Discov. Data Min. 2623–2631.
- Guyon, I., Weston, J., Barnhill, S., and Vapnik, V. (2002). Gene selection for cancer classification using support vector machines. In Machine Learning, pp. 389–422.

Supplementary Table S1 List of primer sequences

ABCA1 ATGTGAGGCGGGAAAGACAGAG ATCCTGTCAACAGCAGGCTTCC CTGGCCCTGGTGGTAGAATA CTGGAGCGCTGAGTAATTGCCT TGCCCATACTGATCCACAAA GGCAGGAGTCCATGACTGT GGCAGGTACAGGTCCTTGTGCT *DEAF1 CCAGGTCCTCAGTCTCCTCCAA* TGTCGTACACAGAAGGGTCCCA *DKK3 GGTGGAAGAGATGGAGGCAGAA CCAACCTTCGTGTCTGTGTTGG* **ACTTGTATCAGATTACTCTC** TCATTATTGGTCCATCAGAG TCTCGCTGTCTCTCCCCTCTC GAGAGGTGATGCCCTGTTGCTT TGTAGAGTTGGTAGGTCCGG AGTCTCGACCCCCACTTGCT GTGGTGGTTGTCGTTCTGG GAAGGTGAAGGTCGGAGTCA GCCGGTGTAGACGAAATGGCCG TCCCTCTGGGCATTTATCTG TAGGCCAGCTCCACAGTTCT GGCACACTTCAGGCAGTCTTCA GGTTTCCCACTCTGGACTGC GAGACATGGCCTCTAGCTCTGT GGTTCCCAGTCGGGTTCA CAGGCTGAGTTCAGACGTCCAG GGCCTTCAGTCTACGGGTCT CAGAGTGTGCCCAGAGTGAA *NEUROD1* ACCCCTACTCCTACCAGTCGCC GGCTTAACGTGGAAGACATGGG GGTGAGGTCCATGCTAAACTTGA GAGGTGGACAAGGGATCTGA GCTCGTCCATCTCCAAAGGCTA CCCGACGATTCTTGAACCAAAC TCAGTTTGAATGCATGGGAGAGC GTTCCGCAGTTCAGCTTCGTTT AGCTTCTCGGCCTCCTGGTAGT GCTTAGCCTCGTCGATGAAC CTCTGGAAAGGTGAGCCAGCAT TCTCAGGCTCCTCAGACAGG ATACTCCTCACGCACCTTGC TGCAGGGAGAAAGGAGAGAA GTGGCACCCACTACTTGCACAC GCCCAGAGCTACCACTGAGGAG CAGGTGACGTAGCAGCACCAGT