Biomedical Optics EXPRESS

Deep attentive convolutional neural network for automatic grading of imbalanced diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus images: supplement

FENG LI,1,* SHIQING TANG,1 YUYANG CHEN,1 AND HAIDONG ZOU2,3

This supplement published with Optica Publishing Group on 14 October 2022 by The Authors under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License in the format provided by the authors and unedited. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

Supplement DOI: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21311274

Parent Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.472176

¹School of Optical-Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China

²Shanghai Eye Disease Prevention & Treatment Center, Shanghai 200040, China

³Ophthalmology Center, Shanghai General Hospital, Shanghai 200080, China

^{*}lifenggold@163.com

Table S1. The DR grading result variability under different hyper-parameter k in DACNN using ResNet-50 as backbone on DDR dataset. The best results were shown in bold.

	k=4	k=5	k=6	k=7	k=8	k=9
Acc	0.878	0.889	0.868	0.870	0.878	0.859
Kappa	0.924	0.930	0.903	0.921	0.925	0.913

Acc: accuracy

Table S2. The DR grading performance of our DACNN with ResNet-50 backbone using different imbalanced ratios in DDR dataset. #M was the number of samples in the union of DR 0 and DR 2, and #L denoted the number of samples in the union of DR 1, DR 3, and DR 4. We used samples in the union of DR 1, DR 3, and DR 4 at 100%, 80%, and 60% ratios, respectively.

#M/#L	Ratio	Methods	Acc	Kappa
5/1	100%	baseline	0.858	0.905
5/1		DACNN	0.889	0.930
6/1	900/	baseline	0.838	0.903
6/1	80%	DACNN	0.870	0.911
7/1	60%	baseline	0.823	0.893
7/1		DACNN	0.864	0.901

Acc: accuracy

Table S3. The DR grading results of recent cutting-edge category networks integrating the presented sASPP, GAM, CAM, and LCM on DDR dataset.

Method	Acc	Kappa
(No.1) Baseline (MobileNet-1.0)	0.798	0.835
(No.2) Baseline+GAM	0.809	0.847
(No.3) Baseline+GAM+CAM	0.817	0.856
(No.4) Baseline+GAM+CAM+LCM	0.849	0.901
(No.5) Baseline+GAM+CAM+LCM+sASPP	0.851	0.891
(No.1) Baseline (Inception-v3)	0.863	0.918
(No.2) Baseline+GAM	0.872	0.915
(No.3) Baseline+GAM+CAM	0.874	0.925
(No.4) Baseline+GAM+CAM+LCM	0.863	0.903
(No.5) Baseline+GAM+CAM+LCM+sASPP	0.876	0.918
(No.1) Baseline (DenseNet-121)	0.732	0.755
(No.2) Baseline+GAM	0.747	0.769
(No.3) Baseline+GAM+CAM	0.751	0.778
(No.4) Baseline+GAM+CAM+LCM	0.835	0.881
(No.5) Baseline+GAM+CAM+LCM+sASPP	0.845	0.906

Acc: accuracy