The implementation of a risk-based assessment approach by the South African Health Products Authority (SAHPRA)

Pharmaceutical Medicine

Lerato Moeti^{1,2}, Madira Litedu¹, Jacques Joubert²

¹South African Health Products Regulatory Authority (SAHPRA), Pretoria, South Africa.

Corresponding Author: jjoubert@uwc.ac.za

School of Pharmacy, University of the Western Cape, Robert Sobukwe Road, Bellville 7535, Cape Town, South Africa.

OF-BL-09B_v1 Page 1 of 8

²University of the Western Cape, School of Pharmacy, Cape Town, South Africa.

PHARMACEUTICAL EVALUATION MANAGEMENT PRE-REG UNIT EVALUATION REPORT FORMAT BIOEQUIVALENCE EVALUATION REPORT

Application number			
Product (proprietary) name			
Approved name (INN) (INNM)			
	рКа:	BCS Classification:	
Applicant			
Date of application			
Manufacturer			
Manufacturer applied for			
API Manufacturer			
API manufacturer applied for			
Dosage form			
Dosage & relation to food intake			
Foreign registration			
Review pathway			

TECHNICAL SCREENING: The same template to be used for technical screening. The screener to state critical deficiencies found. The information to be populated by the screener are in black text highlighted in yellow. Once the information has been completed, the screener should remove the yellow highlight. The screener's report should be shared with the initial evaluator so that the populated information can be reproduced.

Kev:

Red: Initial screener conclusions **Blue**: Second screener conclusions. **Red**: First reviewer's conclusions.

Comments pane: peer reviewer's comments and discussions

Green: peer review meeting conclusions.

Queries to the applicant by the screener and initial evaluator: Red text highlighted in yellow

Protocol (in-vivo, in-vitro, waiver)	
API pk	
Linearity	
Food effect	

OF-BL-09B_v1 Page 2 of 8

^{*}biostudy in-vivo, invitro as applicable

Absorption			
T max			
Elim half-life			
Sample size calculation			
Ethics			
Study title (BE, dissolution, biowaiver)			
CRO (BE)			
Principal investigator Sponsor (BE)			
Study Protocol Number(s) (BE)			
Report number(s)			
Study design — washout 5 x t½ dose within SA approved range?			
Test batch name and strength Test Batch size, batch number			
Date of manufacture			
Reference product / HCR Batch Number & Exp date			
RSA Innovator Product/Applicant Batch Number & Exp date			
Study period (dates)	Clinical:	Period I	
		Period II	
	Completion		
	Analytical me	thod validation	
	Analysis		
	Bioanalytical		
	Final report		
Dates of report and submission i.e. Biostudy age at the time of submission; if more than 5 years,	laboratories,	and all data	investigational sites, facilities and (including source data) and concerning the data including

then include standard sentence (stated in next column – delete if not relevant)	, , ,								
Subjects									
Sample collection and storage									
Peak concentration normally									
Samples in absorption phase									
Samples in elimination phase									
Protocol BE parameters									
Primary parameters Note: Change values if not same as minimum	AUC _{0-t} , C _n Minimum between	90 %	CI of t	he relat	ive mea	_			
Secondary parameters (indicate with x)	AUC _{0-inf}		T _{max}		T _{1/2}		K _{el}		
Statistical procedure									
Study reporting – GCP, GLP, cGMP									
Analytical method validation									
Date if old check for appendices									
Experimental Parameters									
Analyte									
Biological matrix & anticoagulant									
Selectivity incl haemolysis									
Carryover (internal & active analytes)									
Analytical range									
Calibration curve/linearity									
Accuracy	LQC			MQC			но	(C	
Dilution integrity									
Precision (inter and intra)									
Freeze-thaw cycles									

Working soln stability for controls and sample	
Drug interference (more recently applicable)	
Analytical report (BE)	
Analytical method	
LOQ and CC range	
Number of samples collected	
Number of samples received	
Number of samples analysed	
Repeat analysis	
Reanalysis/incurred analysis	
Representative chromatograms	
Comprehensive index to identify subject number	
Calibration curves and QC samples included in correct sequence	
Calibration curves correspond	
Injection sequence chronological with no gaps, interspersed with control samples	
Dates are logical	
Annotations logical, correspond with the chromatograms	
Are samples identifiable?	
Pk and statistical report	
Pre-dose concentrations	
AUC _{0-t} / AUC _{0-inf} (80 %) / AUC extrapolated < 20 %	
Results (or copied below if there is a	ı similar table)

Proposed professional insert	Time to peak ; elimination half-life
Safety evaluation	Adverse events
Test and Ref Comparable?	
In line with API safety profile?	
Test and ref product similarity BE, in-vitro, biowaiver	
Formulations test and reference	Qualitatively the same? Tabulated comparison with formulation of test product could also be under 3.2.R1.1.10; 3.2.R.1.2 and 3.2.P.2.3
Assay	Test and reference CoAs + spec in 32P51 see table below
Dissolution if applicable	Pharmaceutical availability 32R14 – incl dissoln summary below Test and reference CoAs + spec in 32P51 see separate table below
	For abridged or reliance application pathways: Include the approved dissolution specifications if these are stated in the approval letter, especially US FDA.
Dissolution discriminating ability	
Impurity profile	Test and reference CoAs + spec in 32P51 see separate table below
Conclusion re specifications	
More than one strength	
Formulations different strengths	Proportionally similar? Tabulated comparison of strengths?
Assay	Test and reference CoAs + spec in 32P51 see table below

Dissolution if applicable	Pharmaceutical availability 32R14 — incl dissoln summary below Test and reference CoAs + spec in 32P51 see separate table below
Impurity profile	Test and reference CoAs + spec in 32P51 see separate table below
Conclusion re specifications	
BCS biowaiver additional aspects	
Dose/volume solubility	
Relevant solubility values	
Other	
Overall study conclusions	
BE of the test & reference prod (invivo and in-vitro)	
Similarity of Bioref & RSA ref	
Proportional similarity	
Final product specifications	
Recommendations: I recommended II recommended provided that III not approved until IV not recommended	

Essential similarity of test & reference products & comparison with specifications 32P51

		Spe	cifications 3.2.P.	Ref batch	Test Batch	
		Aa mg	Bb mg	Cc mg	Results	Results
					3.2.r.1.3	3.2.r.1.3
,	elease tability					
Dissolution %						
Medium & cor	nditions					
Total impuritie	es R					
	S					

3.2.R.1.4 Dissolution

NB Include the actual dissolution results (mean values)

Repeat tables as necessary

	erence(s) trength countr	' У	BN		SA innovator(name strengt	•	BN	
Mins	0,1 N HCl	pH 4,5	pH 6,8	QC	0,1 N HCl	pH 4,5	pH 6,8	QC
10								
15								
20								
30								
45								

SA Inno	vators trength countr							
Mins	0,1 N HCl	pH 4,5	pH 6,8	QC	0,1 N HCl	pH 4,5	рН 6,8	QC
10								
15								
20								
30								
45								

EVALUATORS

Full name	Signature	Date
1 Screener:		
2 Second screener		
3 Evaluator		
4 Peer reviewer (Group Meeting)		