# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Jaffrey, S.R.
Last updated by author(s):	Dec 5, 2022

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

<b>~</b> .			
St.	at	121	۱Ċ۶:

For	all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed
	$\square$ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

#### Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection Illumina HiSeq, HiSeq X and NextSeq platforms were used to collect sequencing data in this study.

Data analysis Already existing pipelines and softwares were utilized for data analyses.

For the analyses of sequencing reads: UNIX commands, UNIX-based Flexbar v2.5, pyCRAC v1.3.2, seqtk v1.3 (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk), Bowtie v1.2.3, BEDTools v2.28.0., STAR aligner v2.7.

For further data processing and quantification: GraphPad Prism v9.0.1, R packages (edgeR v3.36.0), R packages (drc v3.0-1), WebGestalt (http://www.webgestalt.org/).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All raw and processed data generated in this study are available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with GSE196043 accession number. Published datasets used in this study are also available at the NCBI GEO with the following accession numbers: GSE69352, GSE49831, GSE99978, GSE141507, GSE52662. Genome build: human (hg38), mouse (mm10), Drosophila (dm6).

FANTOM5 CAGE-seq: human: https://fantom.gsc.riken.jp/5/datafiles/reprocessed/hg38_latest/extra/CAGE_peaks/ mouse: https://fantom.gsc.riken.jp/5/datafiles/reprocessed/mm10_latest/extra/CAGE_peaks/			
Field-spe	cific reporting		
Please select the or	ne below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.		
Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences		
For a reference copy of t	he document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>		
Lite scier	ices study design		
All studies must dis	close on these points even when the disclosure is negative.		
Sample size	Sample size was chosen to ensure the reproducibility of the results.  All experiments reported in this study were performed at least twice, but often three or more times unless otherwise indicated.		
Data exclusions	No data were excluded.		
Replication	All attempts to replicate experiments in this study were successful.  Sequencing experiments were performed in two replicates at minimum, with the exception of TSS-seq dataset from mES and MCF-7 cells.  The validity of the TSS-seq datasets was confirmed by comparison to the FANTOM5 CAGE-seq datasets. Replicates from sequencing experiments were in good agreement for each reported method in this study.  Sequencing-based findings in this study were routinely cross-validated and replicated using different, orthogonal approaches.		
Randomization	The random allocation is not relevant to our study; all experiments were performed under controlled experimental conditions.		
Blinding	The investigators were not blinded. The experiments were performed and the results were analyzed by the experimenter.		
We require information system or method list	g for specific materials, systems and methods on from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, ed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.		
	perimental systems Methods  Plaushed in the study		
n/a   Involved in th			
Eukaryotic			
	Palaeontology and archaeology MRI-based neuroimaging		
Animals an	d other organisms		
Human res	Human research participants		
	Clinical data		
Dual use re	search of concern		
Antibodies			
Antibodies used	Rabbit anti-FTSJD1 polyclonal antibody (Invitrogen, PAS-61696); 1:500 dilution in 3% milk-TBST Rabbit anti-RIG-I (D14G6) monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling, 3743T); 1:1,000 in 3% BSA-TBST) Rabbit RIG-I/DDX58 [EPR18629] monoclonal antibody (Abcam, ab180675); 1:2,000 in 3% milk-TBST Rabbit anti-MDA-5 (D74E4) monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, 5321T); 1:1,000 in 3% BSA-TBST Rabbit anti-IFIT (D2X9Z) monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, 14769S); 1:1,000 in 3% milk in TBST Rabbit anti-IFITM3 (D8E8G) XP monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, 59212T); 1:1,000 in 3% milk-TBST Mouse anti-NLRP1 monoclonal antibody (Biolegend, 679802); 1:1,000 in 3% BSA-TBST Rabbit anti-MAVS polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, 3993T); 1:1,000 in 3% milk-TBST Rabbit anti-OAS3 polyclonal antibody (Abcam, ab154270); 1:1,000 in 3% milk-TBST Mouse anti-GAPDH monoclonal antibody (GeneTex, GT239); 1:10,000 in 3% milk-TBST Mouse anti-Beta Actin monoclonal antibody (Thermo, AM4302); 1:4,000 in 3% milk-TBST anti-Bromodeoxyuridine antibody (MBL Life Science, MI-11-3); for IP 0.5-1 micrograms antibody per 10 micrograms RNA) Mouse anti-puromycin (12D10) monoclonal antibody (Millipore Sigma, MABE343); 1:5,000 in 3% milk-TBST HRP-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Cytiva, NA934); 1:5,000 in 3% milk-TBST or 3% BSA-TBST HRP-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgG (Cytiva, NA931); 1:5,000 in 3% milk-TBST or 3% BSA-TBST		

All antibodies used in this study were compared to previously published results and antibody manufacturers data.

Validation

Validation Rabbit anti-FTSJD1 polyclonal antibody for CMTR2 was validated by western blots on protein samples extracted from CMTR2

knockout cells (Extended Data Figures 1&7), as well as through an overexpression of the NeonGreen-tagged CMTR2 (Extended Data Figures 0)

Figure 9).

Antibody validation source for primary antibodies used in this study:

https://www.cellsignal.com/products/primary-antibodies/rig-i-d14g6-rabbit-mab/3743

https://www.abcam.com/rig-iddx58-antibody-epr18629-ab180675.html

https://www.cellsignal.com/products/primary-antibodies/mda-5-d74e4-rabbit-mab/5321

https://www.cellsignal.com/products/primary-antibodies/ifit1-d2x9z-rabbit-mab/14769

https://www.cellsignal.com/products/primary-antibodies/ifitm3-d8e8g-xp-rabbit-mab/59212

https://www.biolegend.com/fr-fr/products/purified-anti-nlrp1-antibody-12213

https://www.cellsignal.com/products/primary-antibodies/mavs-antibody/3993

https://www.abcam.com/oas3-antibody-ab154270.html

https://www.genetex.com/Product/Detail/GAPDH-antibody-GT239/GTX627408

https://www.thermofisher.com/antibody/product/beta-Actin-Antibody-clone-AC-15-Monoclonal/AM4302

https://www.mblbio.com/bio/g/dtl/A/index.html?pcd=MI-11-3

https://www.emdmillipore.com/US/en/product/Anti-Puromycin-Antibody-clone-12D10,MM\_NF-MABE343

## Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines</u>

Cell line source(s)

Mouse embryonic stem cells were a gift from J. Hanna and S. Geula (Weizmann Institute of Science). HEK293T (ATCC CRL-3216), MCF-7 (ATCC HTB-22), A549 (ATCC CRM-CCL-185).

Authentication

mES cells were previously described in Geula, et. al. 2015.

HEK293T, MCF-7, and A549 cells were purchased from ATCC. The authors did not authenticate cell lines.

Mycoplasma contamination

All cell lines used in this study tested negative for mycoplasma contamination. Mycoplasma contamination was routinely tested with Hoechst staining.

Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)

None of the ICLAC cell lines were used.

## Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals

C. elegans, adult; Zebrafish, AB line, 48 h old larvae; Mice, C57BL/6, female, 16 weeks old

Wild animals

This study did not involve wild animals.

Field-collected samples

This study did not involve field-collected samples.

Ethics oversight

The mice and zebrafish species were maintained in compliance with Weill Cornell Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) protocols.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.