Cell Genomics, Volume 1

Supplemental information

Machine learning enables new insights into

genetic contributions to liver fat accumulation

Mary E. Haas, James P. Pirruccello, Samuel N. Friedman, Minxian Wang, Connor A. Emdin, Veeral H. Ajmera, Tracey G. Simon, Julian R. Homburger, Xiuqing Guo, Matthew Budoff, Kathleen E. Corey, Alicia Y. Zhou, Anthony Philippakis, Patrick T. Ellinor, Rohit Loomba, Puneet Batra, and Amit V. Khera

Table of Contents

Table S1. Baseline characteristics of participants in UK Biobank stratified by inclusion in theimaging substudy, Related to Table 1.3
Table S2. Definitions of disease in each cohort, Related to STAR methods4
Table S3. 95% credible sets after fine-mapping of lead variant at eight common GWAS lociassociated with quantitative liver fat, Related to Table 2
Table S4. Effects of eight common variants associated with quantitative liver fat in the n=4,040 individuals with previously-quantified liver fat compared to the n=32,974 individuals with liver fat quantification enabled by machine learning, Related to Table 2
Table S5. Effect of variants previously associated with liver fat, related liver disease, or cirrhosis in common variant association study, on liver fat in 32,974 individuals in UK Biobank, Related to Table 27
Table S6. Effects of eight common liver fat variants on quantitative liver fat after adjusting for alcohol consumption, Related to Table 2
Table S7. Replication of eight common UK Biobank variants associated with quantitative liver fatin two additional liver fat cohorts, Related to Table 2.9
Table S8. Effects of eight common variants associated with quantitative liver fat on bloodbiomarkers of liver injury, Related to Table 2.10
Table S9. Effects of eight common variants associated with quantitative liver fat on clinicaldiagnoses of liver disease, Related to Table 2.11
Table S10. Comparison of C statistics for discrimination of liver diseases with addition of aneight-variant polygenic score, Related to Figure 4.12
Table S11. Rare inactivating variants in APOB are associated with decreased circulating lipidsbut increased markers of liver injury, Related to Figure 5.13
Table S12. Characteristics of UK Biobank participants with hepatic steatosis stratified bypresence of an inactivating variant in APOB or MTTP, Related to Figure 5
Figure S1. Comparison of previously-quantified liver fat with teacher-model inferences, and teacher-model inferences with student-model inferences, Related to STAR methods
Figure S2. Assessment of machine learning model overfitting via model loss, Related to STAR methods
Figure S3. Prediction of liver fat using a variable dispersion beta regression model of clinical and anthropometric measurements, Related to STAR methods
Figure S4. Quantile-quantile plot for common variant genome-wide association study analysis of liver fat in 32,974 UK Biobank participants, Related to Figure 3
Figure S5. Relationship between percent liver fat and inverse normal transformation of percent liver fat among 32,974 UK Biobank participants, Related to Figures 3-5
Supplemental References

inaging substady, related to rable 1.				
	Overall	Imaged	Not Imaged	P-value
	(N=502521)	(N=36703)	(N=465818)	
Female	273394 (54.4%)	19049 (51.9%)	254345 (54.6%)	1.5x10 ⁻²³
Age at enrollment, years	56.5 (8.10)	54.9 (7.47)	56.7 (8.13)	<1x10 ⁻³⁰⁰
Age at imaging, years	NA	64.2 (7.56)	NA	NA
Self-reported ethnicity				
White	472711 (94.1%)	35572 (96.9%)	437139 (93.8%)	1.2x10 ⁻¹¹⁴
Black	8034 (1.6%)	214 (0.6%)	7820 (1.7%)	8.8x10 ⁻⁵⁹
Other Asian	3389 (0.7%)	165 (0.4%)	3224 (0.7%)	3.7x10 ⁻⁸
South Asian	8024 (1.6%)	313 (0.9%)	7711 (1.7%)	1.7x10 ⁻³²
Multiple, other or not provided	10363 (2.1%)	439 (1.2%)	9924 (2.1%)	7.7x10 ⁻³⁴
Coronary artery disease	17404 (3.5%)	1076 (2.9%)	16328 (3.5%)	7.2x10 ⁻⁹
Diabetes	27848 (5.5%)	1808 (4.9%)	26040 (5.6%)	8.5x10 ⁻⁸
Obese	122252 (24.3%)	6495 (17.7%)	115757 (24.9%)	6.8x10 ⁻²¹⁵
Hypertension	147343 (29.3%)	10289 (28.0%)	137054 (29.4%)	1.8x10 ⁻⁸
Medications				
Anti-hypertensive therapy	104005 (20.7%)	4940 (13.5%)	99065 (21.3%)	9.4x10 ⁻²⁷⁷
Lipid-lowering therapy	98894 (19.7%)	5552 (15.1%)	93342 (20.0%)	6.3x10 ⁻¹¹⁵
Anthropometric data	· · ·			
Weight, kg	78.1 (15.9)	76.8 (14.8)	78.2 (16.0)	5.3x10 ⁻⁴³
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.87 (0.09)	0.86 (0.09)	0.87 (0.09)	1.7x10 ⁻¹⁴²
Body-mass index, kg/m ²	27.4 (4.80)	26.6 (4.19)	27.5 (4.84)	7.0x10 ⁻²⁷⁷
Body fat, %	31.5 (8.55)	30.0 (8.17)	31.6 (8.57)	7.7x10 ⁻²⁵⁸
Estimated untreated systolic blood				
pressure, mmHg	141 (20.7)	137 (19.3)	141 (20.8)	6.8x10 ⁻²⁹²
Alcohol consumption				
Weekly drinks, U.S. standard	4.84 (6.74)	5.48 (6.37)	4.79 (6.76)	1.5x10 ⁻²⁶⁴
Weekly drinks, U.K. standard	8.47 (11.8)	9.58 (11.1)	8.38 (11.8)	1.5x10 ⁻²⁶⁴
Excessive alcohol intake, U.S.	26408 (5.3%)	2015 (5.5%)	24393 (5.2%)	0.036
Excessive alcohol intake, U.K.	105842 (21.1%)	9066 (24.7%)	96776 (20.8%)	1.5x10 ⁻⁷⁰
Liver-associated biomarker concentrations				
Alanine aminotransferase, IU/L	23.5 (14.2)	23.0 (13.9)	23.6 (14.2)	1.7x10 ⁻¹⁸
Aspartate aminotransferase, IU/L	26.2 (10.7)	25.8 (10.5)	26.3 (10.7)	2.5x10 ⁻¹⁷
Gamma glutamyltransferase, IU/L	37.4 (42.1)	33.7 (33.9)	37.7 (42.7)	2.2x10 ⁻¹¹⁹
Estimated untreated lipid concentrations				
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	228 (42.4)	227 (40.7)	228 (42.5)	2.7x10 ⁻⁸
LDL cholesterol, mg/dL	146 (33.3)	144 (32.0)	146 (33.4)	1.8x10 ⁻²⁰
HDL cholesterol, mg/dL	56.0 (14.8)	57.0 (14.5)	55.9 (14.8)	2.2x10 ⁻⁴⁵
Triglycerides, mg/dL	135 [94-197]	126 [89-184]	136 [95-199]	8.4x10 ⁻¹²⁷
Glycemic biomarker concentrations				
Glycated hemoglobin, %	5.46 (0.620)	5.36 (0.475)	5.47 (0.629)	2.3x10 ⁻²⁶⁰
Random glucose, mg/dL	92.3 (22.4)	89.9 (17.5)	92.5 (22.7)	1.9x10 ⁻⁹³

Table S1. Baseline characteristics of participants in UK Biobank stratified by inclusion in the imaging substudy, Related to Table 1.

Values correspond to number (%), mean (standard deviation), or median [interquartile range]. P-values correspond to chi-squared test or Wilcoxon rank sum for categorical and continuous variables, respectively, for imaged compared to not imaged. Obesity was defined as body-mass index \geq 30 kg/m² [1]; excessive alcohol intake, U.S. was defined as alcohol intake exceeding American Association for the Study of Liver Disease guidelines for NAFLD definition [2]; excessive alcohol intake, U.K. was defined as alcohol intake exceeding the UK Chief Medical Officers recommendations [3]. Diseases were defined as prevalent at time of initial assessment. Estimated untreated lipid measures and blood pressure were according to previously described adjustments [4,5]. NA, Not applicable.

Table S2. Definitions of disease in each cohort, Related to STAR methods.

Cohort	Disease	Definition
UK Biobank	NAFLD	Hospitalization due to nonalcoholic fatty liver (ICD10 K76.0)
UK Biobank	NASH	Hospitalization due to other specified inflammatory liver diseases including nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (ICD10 K75.8)
UK Biobank	NAFLD/NASH	Hospitalization due to nonalcoholic fatty liver (ICD10 K76.0) or other specified inflammatory liver diseases including nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (ICD10 K75.8)
UK Biobank	Cirrhosis	Hospitalization or death due to (ICD10; ICD9 codes): cirrhosis (K74.6; 5715), alcoholic cirrhosis or liver damage (K70.3; 5712, 5713), esophageal varices (I85.0,I85.9; 4560, 4561) or portal hypertension (K76.6; 5723)
UK Biobank	Hepatocellular Carcinoma	Hospitalization due to liver cell carcinoma (ICD10 C22.0)
UK Biobank	Hepatitis B/C	Hospitalization due to hepatitis B or C (ICD10 B18.02) or self-reported hepatitis B or C during verbal interview with trained nurse (df-20002)
UK Biobank	Coronary Artery Disease	Self-report of heart attack diagnosed by doctor (df-6150), self-reported heart attack, coronary angioplasty, coronary artery bypass grafts, or triple heart bypass during verbal interview with trained nurse (df-20002, df-20004), hospitalization or death due to myocardial infarction (ICD10 I21, I21.0-4, I21.9, I22, I22.0, I22.1, I22.8, I22.9, I23, I23.06, I23.8; ICD9 410, 4109, 412, 4129), ischemic heart disease ICD10 (I24, I24.0, I24.1, I24.8, I24.9, I25.2; ICD9 411, 4119); operative procedures: replacement of coronary artery(ies) (K40, K40.14, K40.89, K41, K41.14, K41.89, K42,K42.14,K42.89, K43, K43.14, K43.89, K44, K44.12, K44.89), connection of thoracic artery to coronary artery (K45.1-K45.6, K45.89), other bypass of coronary artery(ies) (K46, K46.1-K46.5, K46.89), endarterectomy of coronary artery (K47.1), percutaneous transluminal balloon angioplasty of coronary artery(ies) (K49.14,K49.89), transluminal operations on coronary artery (K50.12, K50.4), percutaneous transluminal balloon angioplasty and insertion of stent into coronary artery (K75.14,K75.89), adjudicated report of myocardial infarction from self-report, hospitalization, or death (df-42001)
UK Biobank	Diabetes	Self-reported diabetes during verbal interview with trained nurse (df-20002), hospitalization or death due to diabetes (ICD10 E10, E10.09, E11, E11.09, E12, E12.1, E12.8, E12.9, E13, E13.19, E14, E14.09, N08.3, O24.03; ICD9 2500, 25000, 25001, 25009, 25010, 25011, 25019, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 25099)
UK Biobank	Hypertension	Self-report of high blood pressure diagnosed by doctor (df-6150), self-reported hypertension, or essential hypertension during verbal interview with trained nurse (df-20002); hospitalization or death due to (ICD10; ICD9 codes) essential hypertension (I10; 401, 4010, 4011, 4019), hypertensive heart disease (I11, I11.0, I11.9, I13, I13.0-13.2; 402, 4020, 4021, 4029, 404, 4040, 4041, 4049), hypertensive renal disease (I12, I12.0, I12.9, I13, I13.0-13.2; 403, 4030, 4031, 4039, 404, 4040, 4041, 4049), secondary hypertension or renovascular hypertension (I15, I15.0-15.2, I15.8, I15.9; 405, 4050, 4051, 4059)
Mass General Brigham Biobank	NAFLD/NASH	Hospitalization due to nonalcoholic fatty liver (ICD10 K76.0) or nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (ICD10 K75.81)

NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.

quantitative i		ai, Relateu i	U Table Z.								
		Position	Nearest	Variant	Effect	Other	Effect Allele	Effect on			Lead Variant at
Variant	Chr.	(hg19)	Gene	Consequence	Allele	Allele	Freq.	(Beta, SD)	P-value	PIP	Locus?
rs2642438	1	220970028	MTARC1	Missense (p.T165A)	G	А	0.70	0.052	1.7E-09	0.91	Yes
rs867772	1	220972343	MTARC1	Intronic	G	А	0.69	0.047	3.3E-08	0.05	No
rs1229984	4	100239319	ADH1B	Missense (p.H48R)	С	Т	0.98	0.158	7.0E-10	0.9997	Yes
rs112875651	8	126506694	TRIB1	Intergenic	G	А	0.61	0.050	3.8E-10	0.77	Yes
rs28601761	8	126500031	TRIB1	Intergenic	С	G	0.58	0.047	2.0E-09	0.16	No
rs2001844	8	126478745	TRIB1	Intergenic	А	G	0.52	0.037	1.5E-06	0.03	No
rs2792751	10	113940329	GPAM	Missense (p.V43I)	Т	С	0.27	0.053	2.1E-09	0.26	No
rs2254537	10	113917085	GPAM	Synonymous	Т	А	0.27	0.053	2.6E-09	0.22	No
rs10787429	10	113949664	GPAM	Intronic; Non-coding	Т	С	0.27	0.054	1.8E-09	0.20	No
rs77987196	10	113933006	GPAM	Intronic	ATT	А	0.27	0.052	5.1E-09	0.12	No
rs2297991	10	113913222	GPAM	3' UTR	Т	С	0.28	0.050	1.7E-08	0.03	No
rs2250802	10	113921354	GPAM	Intronic	G	А	0.27	0.054	1.4E-09	0.02	Yes
rs2803619	10	113934384	GPAM	Intronic	G	С	0.27	0.053	2.2E-09	0.01	No
rs2803611	10	113922728	GPAM	Intronic	А	G	0.27	0.053	2.3E-09	0.01	No
rs2803608	10	113916302	GPAM	Intronic	Т	С	0.27	0.053	2.5E-09	0.01	No
rs2254532	10	113916835	GPAM	Intronic	Α	С	0.27	0.053	2.6E-09	0.01	No
rs2792736	10	113921159	GPAM	Intronic	Т	А	0.27	0.053	2.7E-09	0.009	No
rs4918722	10	113947040	GPAM	Upstream	С	Т	0.27	0.053	2.6E-09	0.009	No
rs2792759	10	113936855	GPAM	Intronic	С	Т	0.27	0.053	3.2E-09	0.008	No
rs2803621	10	113939584	GPAM	Intronic	G	Α	0.27	0.053	3.6E-09	0.007	No
rs1129555	10	113910721	GPAM	3' UTR	Α	G	0.27	0.053	3.5E-09	0.007	No
rs2792735	10	113921825	GPAM	Intronic	G	Α	0.28	0.052	3.2E-09	0.007	No
rs2803609	10	113919124	GPAM	Intronic	Α	G	0.27	0.052	4.0E-09	0.007	No
rs429358	19	45411941	APOE	Missense (p.R130C)	Т	С	0.85	0.121	1.5E-29	1.00	Yes
rs56252442	19	18229208	MAST3	Intronic	Т	G	0.25	0.049	2.7E-08	0.67	Yes
rs60146811	19	18221213	MAST3	Intronic	Α	G	0.27	0.044	4.4E-07	0.07	No
rs67234314	19	18218610	MAST3	Intronic	Т	Α	0.27	0.044	3.6E-07	0.05	No
rs885683	19	18244690	MAST3	Intronic	Α	G	0.25	0.047	9.6E-08	0.03	No
rs746721254	19	18220370	MAST3	Intronic	Α	AGAGT	0.27	0.045	1.7E-07	0.03	No
rs72999466	19	18248499	MAST3	Intronic	Т	С	0.26	0.046	2.8E-07	0.03	No
rs56345159	19	18235873	MAST3	Intronic	Т	С	0.25	0.048	1.1E-07	0.03	No
rs874628	19	18304700	MPV17L2	Missense (p.M72V)	G	А	0.28	0.040	2.8E-06	0.03	No
rs11554159	19	18285944	IFI30	Missense (p.R76Q)	А	G	0.27	0.042	3.2E-06	0.02	No
rs58542926	19	19379549	TM6SF2	Missense (p.E167K)	Т	С	0.07	0.289	2.8E-85	0.99	Yes
rs738409	22	44324727	PNPLA3	Missense (p.I148M)	G	С	0.21	0.195	5.6E-95	0.94	No
rs738408	22	44324730	PNPLA3	Synonymous	Т	С	0.21	0.195	5.3E-95	0.06	Yes

Table S3. 95% credible sets after fine-mapping of lead variant at eight common GWAS loci associated with quantitative liver fat, Related to Table 2.

Chr., chromosome; Freq., frequency; PIP, posterior inclusion probability; GWAS, genome-wide association study; Effect on liver fat (Beta, SD), effect of variant on inverse normal transformed liver fat in standard deviation (SD) units.

Table S4. Effects of eight common variants associated with quantitative liver fat in the n=4,040 individuals with previously-quantified liver fat compared to the n=32,974 individuals with liver fat quantification enabled by machine learning, Related to Table 2.

						Varian individua qua	t effects als with ntified li	in 4,040 previously- ver fat	Var mac expar individe	ects in arning 32,974 h liver fat	
Lead Variant	Chr.	Position (hg19)	Nearest Gene	Effect Allele	Other Allele	Effect on Liver Fat (Beta, SD)	SE	P-value	Effect on Liver Fat (Beta, SD)	SE	P-value
Newly-identifi	ed vari	iants									
rs2642438	1	220970028	MTARC1	G	А	0.03	0.024	0.160	0.05	0.008	1.7E-09
rs1229984	4	100239319	ADH1B	С	Т	0.16	0.073	0.026	0.16	0.025	7.0E-10
rs112875651	8	126506694	TRIB1	G	А	0.08	0.023	3.6E-04	0.05	0.008	3.8E-10
rs2250802	10	113921354	GPAM	G	А	0.07	0.025	5.9E-03	0.05	0.009	1.4E-09
rs56252442	19	18229208	MAST3	Т	G	0.06	0.025	0.012	0.05	0.009	2.7E-08
Previously-ide	entified	l variants									
rs58542926	19	19379549	TM6SF2	Т	С	0.35	0.042	9.3E-17	0.29	0.015	2.8E-85
rs429358	19	45411941	APOE	Т	С	0.14	0.031	7.1E-06	0.12	0.011	1.5E-29
rs738409	22	44324727	PNPLA3	G	С	0.25	0.027	2.3E-20	0.19	0.009	5.6E-95

Chr., chromosome; SE, standard error; Effect on liver fat (Beta, SD), effect of variant on inverse normal transformed liver fat in standard deviation (SD) units.

Table S5. Effect of variants previously associated with liver fat, related liver disease, or cirrhosis in common variant genome-wide association study, on liver fat in 32,974 individuals in UK Biobank, Related to Table 2.

		Desition	Effect	Other	Effect	Effect on			Drovieue
Variant	Chr.	(hg19)	Allele	Allele	Freq.	(Beta, SD)	P-value	Locus	reference(s)
rs12077210	1	65894160	С	Т	0.96	-0.03	0.11	LEPR	[6]
rs12137855	1	219448378	С	Т	0.79	0.01	0.46	LYPLAL1	[7,8]
rs1260326	2	27730940	Т	С	0.39	0.04	4.10E-07	GCKR	[8–10]
rs780094	2	27741237	Т	С	0.38	0.04	3.50E-06	GCKR	[7,8]
rs6834314	4	88213808	А	G	0.72	0.01	0.32	HSD17B13	[11–13]
rs72613567	4	88231392	Т	TA	0.73	0.01	0.40	HSD17B13	[11–13]
rs62305723	4	88231429	G	А	0.93	0.01	0.59	HSD17B13	[12]
rs11134977	5	175904141	Т	С	0.55	-0.01	0.09	FAF2	[14]
rs4240624	8	9184231	G	А	0.09	-0.02	0.10	PPP1R3B	[7,8]
rs10883451	10	101924418	Т	С	0.50	0.02	0.02	ERLIN1	[15]
rs11597086	10	101953705	А	С	0.55	0.02	0.01	CHUK	[15]
rs62021874	15	55874043	С	Т	0.93	-0.02	0.29	PYGO1	[6]
rs2228603	19	19329924	С	Т	0.92	-0.22	1.80E-51	NCAN	[7,8]
rs641738	19	54676763	С	Т	0.56	-0.03	8.80E-06	MBOAT7- TMC4	[16–18]

Chr., chromosome; Freq., frequency; Effect on liver fat (Beta, SD), effect of variant on inverse normal transformed liver fat in standard deviation (SD) units.

Table 50. Lifects of eight common invertat variants on quantitative invertat alter adjusting for alconol consumption, related to Table A
--

					Unadj consump	Unadjusted for alcohol consumption (original CVAS n=32974) Effect on			lcohol c ed, adju of week n=3206	onsumers sted for dy drinks 2)	Forme alcoho guide	er and e l consur lines) e (n=3021	xcessive mers (US xcluded I6)	Former and excessive alcohol consumers (UK guidelines) excluded (n=23931)		
					Effect on liver fat		ł.	Effect on liver fat			Effect on liver fat	x	ł	Effect on liver fat		
Lead Variant	Chr.	Position (hg19)	Nearest Gene	Effect Allele	(Beta, SD)	SE	P-value	(Beta, SD)	SE	P-value	(Beta, SD)	SE	P-value	(Beta, SD)	SE	P-value
Newly-Identi	fied v	variants														
rs2642438	1	220970028	MTARC1	G	0.052	0.008	1.70E-09	0.050	0.009	8.70E-09	0.046	0.009	4.20E-07	0.052	0.010	3.20E-07
rs1229984	4	100239319	ADH1B	С	0.158	0.025	7.00E-10	0.153	0.026	3.40E-09	0.147	0.026	1.40E-08	0.116	0.029	5.30E-05
rs112875651	8	126506694	TRIB1	G	0.050	0.008	3.80E-10	0.053	0.008	3.70E-11	0.052	0.008	3.50E-10	0.057	0.009	8.30E-10
rs2250802	10	113921354	GPAM	G	0.054	0.009	1.40E-09	0.056	0.009	3.70E-10	0.056	0.009	2.10E-09	0.050	0.010	1.10E-06
rs56252442	19	18229208	MAST3	Т	0.049	0.009	2.70E-08	0.050	0.009	1.70E-08	0.051	0.009	3.20E-08	0.039	0.011	1.60E-04
Previously-ic	lentif	ied variants														
rs58542926	19	19379549	TM6SF2	Т	0.289	0.015	2.80E-85	0.288	0.015	6.10E-83	0.283	0.015	1.60E-75	0.285	0.017	3.60E-61
rs429358	19	45411941	APOE	Т	0.121	0.011	1.50E-29	0.120	0.011	3.90E-28	0.114	0.011	4.80E-24	0.102	0.013	3.90E-16
rs738409	22	44324727	PNPLA3	G	0.195	0.009	5.60E-95	0.194	0.010	1.10E-92	0.191	0.010	3.20E-84	0.175	0.011	6.10E-56

Chr., chromosome; SE, standard error; Effect on liver fat (Beta, SD), effect of variant on inverse normal transformed liver fat in standard deviation (SD) units. Excessive alcohol intake, U.S. guidelines was defined as alcohol intake exceeding American Association for the Study of Liver Disease guidelines for NAFLD definition [2]; excessive alcohol intake, U.K. guidelines was defined as alcohol intake exceeding the UK Chief Medical Officers recommendations [3].

Table S7. Replication of eight common UK Biobank variants associated with quantitative liver fat in two additional liver fat cohorts, Related to Table 2.

					UK Biobank Discovery		ry Framingham Heart			MESA Replication			Combined Framingham +				
						CVAS		Stud	y Repli	cation	MILO,	(i topi	oution	N	IESA F	<u>eplicatio</u>	n
					Effect			Effect			Effect			Effect			
					on MRI			on CT			on CT			on CT			
					liver fat			liver fat			liver fat			liver fat			
		Position	Nearest	Effect	(Beta,			(Beta,			(Beta,			(Beta,			Phet-
Lead Variant	Chr.	(hg19)	Gene	Allele	SD)	SE	P-value	SD)	SE	P-value	SD)	SE	P-value	SD)	SE	P-value	value
Newly-identi	fied	variants															
rs2642438	1	220970028	MTARC1	G	0.052	0.008	2E-09	0.088	0.028	2E-03	0.062	0.026	0.017	0.074	0.019	1E-04	0.50
rs1229984	4	100239319	ADH1B	С	0.158	0.025	7E-10	0.101	0.057	0.081	0.034	0.040	0.394	0.056	0.033	0.088	0.34
rs112875651	8	126506694	TRIB1	G	0.050	0.008	4E-10	0.070	0.026	8E-03	0.044	0.023	0.059	0.055	0.017	1E-03	0.45
rs2250802	10	113921354	GPAM	G	0.054	0.009	1E-09	0.058	0.028	0.037	0.031	0.025	0.218	0.043	0.019	0.021	0.47
rs56252442	19	18229208	MAST3	Т	0.049	0.009	3E-08	0.065	0.032	0.041	0.017	0.026	0.501	0.036	0.020	0.070	0.24
Previously-ic	denti	fied variants	s														
rs58542926	19	19379549	TM6SF2	Т	0.289	0.015	3E-85	0.326	0.056	6E-09	0.229	0.045	3E-07	0.267	0.035	2E-14	0.17
rs429358	19	45411941	APOE	Т	0.121	0.011	2E-29	-0.119	0.075	0.110	0.056	0.029	0.054	-0.018	0.087	0.837	0.03
rs738409	22	44324727	PNPLA3	G	0.195	0.009	6E-95	0.278	0.030	3E-20	0.296	0.024	4E-34	0.289	0.019	3E-53	0.65

Liver fat was measured by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in UK Biobank and by computed tomography (CT) imaging in the Framingham Heart Study (n=3284) and Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA, n=4195). Effects of Framingham and MESA were combined via fixed-effects inverse variance weighted meta-analysis; random-effects models were used when nominal heterogeneity was noted (P_{het} <0.05). Chr., chromosome; SE, standard error; P_{het}, heterogeneity p value; Effect on liver fat (Beta, SD), effect of variant on inverse normal transformed liver fat in standard deviation (SD) units.

			3													
	Lead Variant	Chr.	Position (hg19)	Nearest Gene	Effect Allele	Other Allele	Effect Allele Freq.	Effect on liver fat (Beta, SD)	SE	P-value	Effect on ALT (U/L)	SE	P-value	Effect on AST (U/L)	SE	P-value
Ν	lewly-identif	ied va	ariants													
	rs2642438	1	220970028	MTARC1	G	А	0.70	0.052	0.008	2E-09	0.47	0.036	1E-39	0.16	0.028	5E-09
	rs1229984	4	100239319	ADH1B	С	Т	0.98	0.158	0.025	7E-10	0.41	0.112	2E-04	0.32	0.086	2E-04
r	s112875651	8	126506694	TRIB1	G	А	0.61	0.050	0.008	4E-10	0.56	0.034	2E-61	0.19	0.026	2E-13
	rs2250802	10	113921354	GPAM	G	А	0.27	0.054	0.009	1E-09	0.41	0.037	3E-29	0.16	0.028	3E-08

0.049

0.289

0.121

0.195

0.009

0.015

0.011

0.009

3E-08

3E-85

2E-29

6E-95

0.29

1.28

0.66

1.68

0.038

0.062

0.045

1E-14

2E-94

7E-49

0.040 <1E-300

0.03

0.67

0.07

1.06

0.029

0.048

0.035

0.031

0.342

2E-44

0.045

1E-261

Table S8. Effects of eight common variants associated with quantitative liver fat on blood biomarkers of liver injury, Related to Table 2.

Т

Т

Т

G

MAST3

TM6SF2

APOE

PNPLA3

18229208

19379549

45411941

44324727

rs56252442 19

rs58542926 19

rs429358

rs738409

Previously-identified variants

19

22

G

С

С

С

0.25

0.07

0.85

0.21

Effects on alanine aminotransferase (ALT, n=345,930 with ALT measurement) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST, n=344,799 with AST measurement) were measured in the n=362,910 subset of the UK Biobank who did not undergo abdominal MRI imaging. Chr., chromosome; Freq., frequency; SE, standard error.

Table S9. Effects of eight common variants associated with quantitative liver fat on clinical diagnoses of liver disease, Related to Table 2.

		-					Uł	< Bioban	k	Mass G	eneral B Biobank	righam	Combi Gen	ned UK B eral Brigh	iobank + nam Bioba	Mass ank
							Effect on NAFLD/			Effect on NAFLD/			Effect or NAFLD/)		
Lead Variant	Chr.	Position (hg19)	Nearest Gene	Effect Allele	Other Allele	Allele Freq.	NASH diagnosis (OR)	SE (logOR))P-value	NASH diagnosis (OR)	SE (logOR)	P-value	NASH diagnosi: (OR)	s SE (logOR)	P-value	P _{het} - value
Newly-identi	fied v	/ariants												/		
rs2642438	1	220970028	MTARC1	G	А	0.70	1.14	0.034	2E-04	1.06	0.027	0.03	1.09	0.021	4E-05	0.12
rs1229984	4	100239319	ADH1B	С	Т	0.98	1.33	0.118	0.015	1.26	0.052	7E-06	1.28	0.048	3E-07	0.68
rs112875651	8	126506694	TRIB1	G	А	0.61	1.10	0.032	2E-03	1.07	0.025	6E-03	1.08	0.020	4E-05	0.46
rs2250802	10	113921354	GPAM	G	А	0.27	1.08	0.033	0.016	1.07	0.026	8E-03	1.08	0.021	3E-04	0.82
rs56252442	19	18229208	MAST3	Т	G	0.25	1.00	0.035	0.991	1.04	0.028	0.20	1.02	0.022	0.32	0.42
Previously-ic	lenti	fied variants	1													
rs58542926	19	19379549	TM6SF2	Т	С	0.07	1.41	0.050	6E-12	1.38	0.044	8E-13	1.39	0.033	3E-23	0.71
rs429358	19	45411941	APOE	Т	С	0.85	1.24	0.045	1E-06	1.18	0.036	5E-06	1.20	0.028	5E-11	0.37
rs738409	22	44324727	PNPLA3	G	С	0.21	1.52	0.033	9E-37	1.38	0.027	7E-32	1.44	0.050	2E-13	0.02

Effects on NAFLD/NASH in a subset of the UK Biobank who did not undergo abdominal MRI imaging (2,225 cases vs 360,685 controls) and NAFLD/NASH in Mass General Brigham Biobank (4,129 cases vs 26,444 controls) were measured. Effects of UK Biobank and Mass General Brigham Biobank were combined via fixed-effects inverse variance weighted meta-analysis; random-effects models were used when nominal heterogeneity was noted (P_{het} <0.05). NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; Chr., chromosome; Freq., frequency; OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error; P_{het}, heterogeneity p-value.

Table S10. Comparison of C statistics for discrimination of liver diseases with addition of an eight-variant polygenic score, Related to Figure 4.

Disease	N Events / N Individuals	Model	C-statistic (95%CI)	P-value
Nonalcoholic fatty	1635 /	Baseline	0.552 (0.538-0.566)	
liver disease	361852	Baseline + Polygenic score	0.598 (0.584-0.612)	4.41E-34
Nonalcoholic	208 /	Baseline	0.603 (0.564-0.642)	
steatohepatitis	361852	Baseline + Polygenic score	0.684 (0.648-0.721)	3.44E-16
Cirrhogia	977 /	Baseline	0.674 (0.657-0.691)	
CITTIOSIS	361852	Baseline + Polygenic score	0.694 (0.677-0.711)	1.75E-30
Hepatocellular	171 /	Baseline	0.750 (0.713-0.786)	
Carcinoma	361852	Baseline + Polygenic score	0.770 (0.731-0.808)	2.55E-15

Baseline model: age + age squared + sex + genotyping array + 10 principal components of ancestry. P-value, comparison of baseline and baseline + polygenic score models using likelihood ratio test.

Table S11. Rare inactivating variants in *APOB* are associated with decreased circulating lipids but increased markers of liver injury, Related to Figure 5.

		APOB		MTTP inactivating			
		inactivating					
	Noncarriers	variant carriers	Adjusted	Noncarriers	variant carriers	Adjusted	
	(N=168470)	(N=130)	P-value	(N=168510)	(N=90)	P-value	
BMI, mean (SD)	27.4 (4.72)	27.4 (4.21)	0.91	27.4 (4.72)	27.3 (4.50)	0.83	
Obesity, N (%)	40081 (23.8%)	35 (26.9%)	0.36	40098 (23.8%)	18 (20.0%)	0.42	
Apolipoprotein B, mean (SD), g/L	1.03 (0.24)	0.643 (0.29)	5.48E-48	1.03 (0.24)	1.03 (0.25)	0.61	
LDL cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL	146 (33.0)	81.2 (38.1)	3.74E-113	146 (33.0)	149 (34.3)	0.60	
Triglycerides, mean (SD), mg/dL	160 (95.3)	87.2 (68.4)	7.77E-18	160 (95.3)	151 (59.2)	0.37	
Alanine aminotransferase, mean (SD), U/L	23.4 (13.8)	31.6 (24.0)	9.62E-13	23.4 (13.8)	24.7 (13.9)	0.26	
Aspartate aminotransferase, mean (SD), U/L	26.2 (10.2)	29.9 (14.6)	1.73E-05	26.2 (10.2)	26.9 (9.33)	0.45	
Coronary artery disease, N (%)	10071 (6.0%)	2 (1.5%)	0.04	10067 (6.0%)	6 (6.7%)	0.93	
Type 2 diabetes, N (%)	13340 (7.9%)	14 (10.8%)	0.24	13341 (7.9%)	13 (14.4%)	0.04	

Apolipoprotein B values were missing in 55/130 APOB inactivating variant carriers (42%) and 8796/168470 controls (5%), all other measurements had <3% difference in missingness between groups. Obesity was defined as body-mass index (BMI) \ge 30 kg/m² [1]. LDL cholesterol and triglycerides were adjusted for lipid-lowering medication to estimate untreated values as previously described [5].

 Table S12. Characteristics of UK Biobank participants with hepatic steatosis stratified by presence of an inactivating variant in APOB or MTTP,

 Related to Figure 5.

								Combined	
		APOB			MTTP			MTTP	
		inactivating			inactivating			inactivating	
	Noncarriers	carriers	Adjusted	Noncarriers	carriers	Adjusted	Noncarriers	carriers	Adjusted
	(N=3260)	(N=13)	P-value	(N=3259)	(N=14)	P-value	(N=3246)	(N=27)	P-value
BMI, mean (SD)	29.6 (4.3)	27.6 (3.5)	0.10	29.6 (4.3)	28.0 (3.3)	0.21	29.6 (4.3)	27.8 (3.3)	0.04
Obesity, N (%)	1294 (39.7%)	3 (23.1%)	0.23	1294 (39.7%)	3 (21.4%)	0.21	1291 (39.8%)	6 (22.2%)	0.08
Apolipoprotein B, mean (SD), g/L	1.08 (0.24)	0.60 (0.24)	9.9E-07	1.08 (0.24)	1.15 (0.31)	0.24	1.08 (0.24)	0.99 (0.39)	0.09
LDL cholesterol, mean (SD), mg/dL	151 (31.8)	73.2 (34.4)	1.4E-18	150 (32.1)	168 (48.4)	0.05	150 (31.7)	122 (63.6)	3.2E-06
Triglycerides, mean (SD), mg/dL	204 (110)	60.6 (29.6)	2.5E-06	203 (110)	174 (57.3)	0.29	204 (110)	120 (73.5)	5.4E-05
Alanine aminotransferase, mean (SD), U/L	31.1 (18.1)	31.0 (14.8)	0.91	31.1 (18.1)	25.7 (10.6)	0.25	31.1 (18.1)	28.2 (12.8)	0.46
Aspartate aminotransferase, mean (SD), U/L	28.6 (10.8)	26.9 (5.08)	0.60	28.6 (10.8)	28.1 (6.41)	0.80	28.6 (10.9)	27.5 (5.73)	0.58
Coronary artery disease, N (%)	165 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0.97	165 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0.97	165 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	0.98
Type 2 diabetes, N (%)	484 (14.8%)	3 (23.1%)	0.40	485 (14.9%)	2 (14.3%)	0.82	482 (14.8%)	5 (18.5%)	0.69
Weekly drinks, U.S. standard	5.90 (7.54)	5.85 (6.23)	0.97	5.90 (7.54)	4.96 (5.59)	0.57	5.90 (7.55)	5.39 (5.81)	0.71
Excessive alcohol intake, U.S., N (%)	232 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	0.97	232 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	0.97	232 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	0.96
Excessive alcohol intake, U.K., N (%)	855 (26.2%)	4 (30.8%)	0.69	855 (26.2%)	4 (28.6%)	0.89	851 (26.2%)	8 (29.6%)	0.71

Apolipoprotein B values were missing in 7/13 APOB inactivating variant carriers (53%) and 199/3260 controls (6%), all other measurements had <3% difference in missingness between groups. Obesity was defined as body-mass index (BMI) \ge 30 kg/m² [1]; excessive alcohol intake, U.S. was defined as alcohol intake exceeding American Association for the Study of Liver Disease guidelines for NAFLD definition [2]; excessive alcohol intake, U.K. was defined as alcohol intake exceeding the UK Chief Medical Officers recommendations [3]. LDL cholesterol and triglycerides were adjusted for lipid-lowering medication to estimate untreated values as previously described [5].



Figure S1. Comparison of previously-quantified liver fat with teacher-model inferences, and teachermodel inferences with student-model inferences, Related to STAR methods. A) In a held-out set of 1,214 participants with previously-quantified liver fat from gradient-echo imaging who were not used for model creation, the Pearson correlation between previously-quantified liver fat and liver fat inferred from the machine learning teacher model was 0.974 (95% CI 0.971-0.977; P<2.4x10⁻⁷⁸⁴), and the mean absolute error was 0.50% (95%CI, 0.45-0.55%). B) The subset of testing individuals in A) with self-reported non-European ethnicity. C) In a separate held-out set of 383 samples with both gradient-echo and IDEAL imaging who were not used for model creation, the Pearson correlation between the teacher model inferred liver fat and the student model inferred liver fat was 0.992 (95% CI 0.990-0.993; P = 3.1×10^{-351}) and the mean absolute error was 0.41% (95%CI, 0.37-0.46%). D) The subset of testing individuals in C) with self-reported non-European ethnicity.



Figure S2. Assessment of machine learning model overfitting via model loss, Related to STAR methods. To determine whether our machine learning model quantifying liver fat was prone to overfitting, we generated learning curves that show model's loss parameters according to epoch on the training set of images from 2,915 individuals (blue line) and on a held-out set of data set of images from 635 individuals (orange line) distinct from the final test set. Each epoch was defined as a full pass over the training set MRIs. Increased loss in the training set is suggestive of model overfitting. By the end of training, we note consistent loss in the training and validation dataset suggestive no evidence of overfitting.



Figure S3. Prediction of liver fat using a variable dispersion beta regression model of clinical and anthropometric measurements, Related to STAR methods. In a held-out set of 1,214 participants with previously-estimated liver fat, the Pearson correlation between the previously-quantified liver fat and liver fat estimated from a beta regression model using clinical and anthropometric measurements was 0.578 (95% CI 0.539-0.614; p-value=3.8x10⁻¹⁰⁹). Measurements that were at least nominally (p-value < 0.05) associated with liver fat in univariable analysis and therefore included in the beta regression model were: body-mass index, waist circumference, hip circumference, total body fat mass, total body fat percent, age at baseline, sex, height, weight, trunk fat mass, trunk fat percent, waist-to-hip ratio, LDL cholesterol, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, systolic blood pressure, alkaline phosphatase, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), ALT/AST, gamma glutamyltransferase, hemoglobin A1c, random glucose, and C-reactive protein. Lipid measures were adjusted for lipid-lowering medication use and blood pressure was adjusted for anti-hypertensive medication use, as previously described [4,5].



Figure S4. Quantile-quantile plot for common variant genome-wide association study analysis of liver fat in 32,974 UK Biobank participants, Related to Figure 3. Expected p-values from a uniform distribution for each variant in the genome-wide association study (GWAS) are shown on the x-axis; the corresponding observed p-values for each variant are shown on the y-axis.



Figure S5. Relationship between percent liver fat and inverse normal transformation of percent liver fat among 32,974 UK Biobank participants, Related to Figures 3-5. Percent liver fat is shown on the x-axis; the inverse-normal transformation of percent liver fat used in common genome-wide and rare variant association studies (GWAS and RVAS) is shown on the y-axis.

Supplemental References

- 1. NHLBI Expert Panel (1998). Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults--The Evidence Report. Obes. Res. 6 Suppl 2, 51S-209S.
- Chalasani, N., Younossi, Z., Lavine, J.E., Charlton, M., Cusi, K., Rinella, M., Harrison, S.A., Brunt, E.M., and Sanyal, A.J. (2018). The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatol. Baltim. Md 67, 328–357.
- 3. Department of Health (2016). UK Chief Medical Officers' Low Risk Drinking Guidelines.
- 4. Ehret, G.B., Ferreira, T., Chasman, D.I., Jackson, A.U., Schmidt, E.M., Johnson, T., Thorleifsson, G., Luan, J., Donnelly, L.A., Kanoni, S., *et al.* (2016). The genetics of blood pressure regulation and its target organs from association studies in 342,415 individuals. Nat. Genet. *48*, 1171–1184.
- Patel, A.P., Wang, M., Fahed, A.C., Mason-Suares, H., Brockman, D., Pelletier, R., Amr, S., Machini, K., Hawley, M., Witkowski, L., *et al.* (2020). Association of Rare Pathogenic DNA Variants for Familial Hypercholesterolemia, Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Syndrome, and Lynch Syndrome With Disease Risk in Adults According to Family History. JAMA Netw. Open *3*, e203959.
- Anstee, Q.M., Darlay, R., Cockell, S., Meroni, M., Govaere, O., Tiniakos, D., Burt, A.D., Bedossa, P., Palmer, J., Liu, Y.-L., *et al.* (2020). Genome-wide association study of nonalcoholic fatty liver and steatohepatitis in a histologically characterised cohort. J. Hepatol. 73, 505–515.
- 7. Speliotes, E.K., Yerges-Armstrong, L.M., Wu, J., Hernaez, R., Kim, L.J., Palmer, C.D., Gudnason, V., Eiriksdottir, G., Garcia, M.E., Launer, L.J., *et al.* (2011). Genome-Wide Association Analysis Identifies Variants Associated with Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease That Have Distinct Effects on Metabolic Traits. PLoS Genet. *7*, e1001324-14.
- Palmer, N.D., Musani, S.K., Yerges-Armstrong, L.M., Feitosa, M.F., Bielak, L.F., Hernaez, R., Kahali, B., Carr, J.J., Harris, T.B., Jhun, M.A., *et al.* (2013). Characterization of European ancestry nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-associated variants in individuals of African and Hispanic descent. Hepatol. Baltim. Md *58*, 966–975.
- Parisinos, C.A., Wilman, H.R., Thomas, E.L., Kelly, M., Nicholls, R.C., McGonigle, J., Neubauer, S., Hingorani, A.D., Patel, R.S., Hemingway, H., *et al.* (2020). Genome-wide and Mendelian randomisation studies of liver MRI yield insights into the pathogenesis of steatohepatitis. J. Hepatol., 1–41.
- Palmer, N.D., Kahali, B., Kuppa, A., Chen, Y., Du, X., Feitosa, M.F., Bielak, L.F., O'Connell, J.R., Musani, S.K., Guo, X., *et al.* (2021). Allele-specific variation at APOE increases nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and obesity but decreases risk of Alzheimer's disease and myocardial infarction. Hum. Mol. Genet. *30*, 1443–1456.

- Abul-Husn, N.S., Cheng, X., Li, A.H., Xin, Y., Schurmann, C., Stevis, P., Liu, Y., Kozlitina, J., Stender, S., Wood, G.C., *et al.* (2018). A Protein-Truncating HSD17B13Variant and Protection from Chronic Liver Disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 378, 1096–1106.
- Ma, Y., Belyaeva, O.V., Brown, P.M., Fujita, K., Valles, K., Karki, S., de Boer, Y.S., Koh, C., Chen, Y., Du, X., *et al.* (2019). 17-Beta Hydroxysteroid Dehydrogenase 13 Is a Hepatic Retinol Dehydrogenase Associated With Histological Features of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Hepatol. Baltim. Md 69, 1504–1519.
- Gellert-Kristensen, H., Nordestgaard, B.G., Tybjærg-Hansen, A., and Stender, S. (2020). High Risk of Fatty Liver Disease Amplifies the Alanine Transaminase–Lowering Effect of a HSD17B13 Variant. Hepatology *71*, 56–66.
- 14. Schwantes-An, T.-H., Darlay, R., Mathurin, P., Masson, S., Liangpunsakul, S., Mueller, S., Aithal, G.P., Eyer, F., Gleeson, D., Thompson, A., *et al.* (2020). Genome-wide association study and meta-analysis on alcohol-related liver cirrhosis identifies novel genetic risk factors. Hepatol. Baltim. Md.
- Feitosa, M.F., Wojczynski, M.K., North, K.E., Zhang, Q., Province, M.A., Carr, J.J., and Borecki, I.B. (2013). The ERLIN1-CHUK-CWF19L1 gene cluster influences liver fat deposition and hepatic inflammation in the NHLBI Family Heart Study. Atherosclerosis 228, 175–180.
- Buch, S., Stickel, F., Trépo, E., Way, M., Herrmann, A., Nischalke, H.D., Brosch, M., Rosendahl, J., Berg, T., Ridinger, M., *et al.* (2015). A genome-wide association study confirms PNPLA3 and identifies TM6SF2 and MBOAT7 as risk loci for alcohol-related cirrhosis. Nat. Genet. *47*, 1443–1448.
- Mancina, R.M., Dongiovanni, P., Petta, S., Pingitore, P., Meroni, M., Rametta, R., Borén, J., Montalcini, T., Pujia, A., Wiklund, O., *et al.* (2016). The MBOAT7-TMC4 Variant rs641738 Increases Risk of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Individuals of European Descent. Gastroenterology *150*, 1219-1230.e6.
- Teo, K., Abeysekera, K.W.M., Adams, L., Aigner, E., Anstee, Q.M., Banales, J.M., Banerjee, R., Basu, P., Berg, T., Bhatnagar, P., *et al.* (2021). rs641738C>T near MBOAT7 is associated with liver fat, ALT and fibrosis in NAFLD: A meta-analysis. J. Hepatol. *74*, 20–30.